



HAL
open science

Additional information on “Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for 89Zr-immunoPET”

René Raavé, Gerwin Sandker, Pierre Adumeau, Christian Borch Jacobsen, Floriane Mangin, Michel Meyer, Mathieu Moreau, Claire Bernhard, Laurène da Costa, Adrien Dubois, et al.

► To cite this version:

René Raavé, Gerwin Sandker, Pierre Adumeau, Christian Borch Jacobsen, Floriane Mangin, et al.. Additional information on “Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for 89Zr-immunoPET”. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 2020, 47 (2), pp.505-506. 10.1007/s00259-019-04561-8. hal-03470948

HAL Id: hal-03470948

<https://hal.science/hal-03470948v1>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Additional information on “Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for ⁸⁹Zr-immunoPET”

René Raavé¹ · Gerwin Sandker¹ · Pierre Adumeau² · Christian Borch Jacobsen³ · Floriane Mangin² · Michel Meyer² · Mathieu Moreau² · Claire Bernhard² · Laurène Da Costa² · Adrien Dubois² · Victor Goncalves² · Magnus Gustafsson³ · Mark Rijpkema¹ · Otto Boerman¹ · Jean-Claude Chambron^{2,4} · Sandra Heskamp¹ · Franck Denat²

Received: 25 September 2019 / Accepted: 27 September 2019 / Published online: 9 November 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Dear Sir,

Following the publication of our article entitled “Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for ⁸⁹Zr-immunoPET” in EJNMMI [1], readers sent us some remarks and questions. In this letter, we would like to address these questions by providing additional information about our study.

- DFOcyclo* is derived from DFO and not from DFO*. In DFO*, the trihydroxamate DFO is elongated with an extra hydroxamate group, exactly the same as present in DFO. In DFOcyclo*, the DFO is elongated

with a cyclic hydroxamate group having a different linker length.

- During the manuscript review process, DFO*-NCS was made commercially available at ABX (catalogue number 7272). Therefore, the following statement in the introduction “However, in the absence of an improved, clinically applicable chelator, there is room for more efficient [⁸⁹Zr]Zr⁴⁺ chelators” is no more correct.
- The stability assays were performed in PBS at pH 7.4.
- The amounts of DFO and EDTA used for the challenge experiments were calculated based on the amount of chelators.
- DFOcyclo* was used as a racemate in the experiments reported in the article.
- The results depicted in Figs. 4c and 5a are based on two different sets of experiments. Initially the in vivo stability of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFOcyclo*-trastuzumab was compared with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-trastuzumab, of which the results are depicted in Fig. 4c. Once we observed an improved in vivo stability of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFOcyclo*-trastuzumab, a subsequent in vivo study was performed to investigate its stability compared with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO*-trastuzumab as well (Fig. 5). Although aimed at similar experimental conditions, the use of a freshly thawed cell line and new mice could have caused heterogeneity between the two experiments (e.g., increased tumor growth rates which result in different interstitial pressures that could affect the %ID/g), which could explain the slight differences in tumor uptake, blood kinetics, and uptake in other organs.

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Radiopharmacy

✉ Jean-Claude Chambron
jcchambron@unistra.fr

✉ Sandra Heskamp
Sandra.Heskamp@radboudumc.nl

✉ Franck Denat
franck.denat@u-bourgogne.fr

¹ Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud UMC, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

² Institut de Chimie Moléculaire de l’Université de Bourgogne, UMR 6302, CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 9 avenue A. Savary, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France

³ Global Research Technologies, Novo Nordisk A/S, Novo Nordisk Park, DK-2760 Måløv, Denmark

⁴ Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, UMR 7177, CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, 1 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France

Compliance with ethical standards The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with human participants.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Reference

1. Raavé R, Sandker G, Adumeau P, Jacobsen CB, Mangin F, Meyer M, et al. Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for ^{89}Zr -immunoPET. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2019;46(9):1966–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04343-2>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.