

# Small- and large-scale processes including anthropogenic pressures as drivers of gastropod communities in the NE Atlantic coast: A citizen science based approach

Bruno Serranito, Tristan Diméglio, Frédéric Ysnel, Anne Lizé, Eric Feunteun

# ▶ To cite this version:

Bruno Serranito, Tristan Diméglio, Frédéric Ysnel, Anne Lizé, Eric Feunteun. Small- and large-scale processes including anthropogenic pressures as drivers of gastropod communities in the NE Atlantic coast: A citizen science based approach. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 816, pp.151600. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151600 . hal-03469236

HAL Id: hal-03469236

https://hal.science/hal-03469236

Submitted on 18 Apr 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.


Large-scale citizen science-based survey reveals impact of anthropogenic disturbances on gastropod communities of the North-East Atlantic rocky shores

# Serranito Bruno<sup>1\*</sup>, Dimeglio Tristan<sup>2</sup>, Ysnel Frederic<sup>3, 4</sup>, Anne Lizé<sup>1, 4, 5</sup> & Feunteun Eric<sup>1,4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Centre de Recherche et d'Enseignement sur les Systèmes Côtiers (CRESCO), Station Marine de Dinard, 38 rue du port blanc, 35800 Dinard, France
- <sup>2</sup> Association Planète-mer, 137 avenue Clôt Bey, 13008 Marseille, France
- <sup>3</sup> Territoires, Urbanisation, Biodiversité, Environnement (EA 7462 G-TUBE), Laboratoire TUBE, Université de Rennes, 263 General Leclerc Avenue, 35042 Rennes, France
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), Station Marine de Dinard, 38 rue du port blanc, 35800, Dinard, France
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behaviour, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK

#### \*Corresponding author:

Email: bruno.serranito@mnhn.fr



Highlights (for review : 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters including spaces per bullet point)

# Highlights:

- Rocky-shore are subjected to multiple stressors acting at different spatial scales
- Gastropod communities were investigated using a citizen-science scheme
- The relative importance of small/large-scale variables was evaluated with RF models
- Anthropogenic stressors alter the gastropod communities at large scale
- Citizen-science programs represent powerful tools in the context of bioindication

Click here to view linked References

Abstract

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Rocky-shores are among the most threatened coastal habitats by human pressures. While rocky-shore communities have been increasingly used to evaluate local anthropogenic perturbations such as water eutrophication, large-scale variability in relation to both natural and anthropogenic stressors was poorly assessed. Here, using a Random Forest modelling approach applied on citizen science-based data, we assessed the relative impact of both small- and large-scale conditions (including natural and anthropogenic stressors) on intertidal gastropod communities as well as taxa-specific gastropod abundances over more than 1000 km of the North-East Atlantic coast. Our results demonstrate that small-scale conditions (i.e. within shore) dominate the shaping of gastropod communities. However, this community response is mainly driven by a taxa-specific affinity. Our work also highlights that inorganic nutrient concentrations and suspended matter related to large river inputs are the main drivers among large-scale predictors, impacting the gastropod communities by depleting both taxon abundances and richness. According to models, the expected decline in abundance of the yet most abundant taxa (Steromphala umbilicalis, Patella spp., Steromphala pennanti and Phorcus lineatus) is estimated to be between 65% and 85%, while the common periwinkle Littorina littorea was the only taxon being favoured by high anthropogenic activities. Such results provide relevant insights in the context of everincreasing needs for bioindicators of coastal ecosystems. Finally, this work sheds light on the value of a citizen science program resulting from a consultation between professional and citizen volunteers as a useful and efficient tool to investigate large-scale processes.

24 Keywords: intertidal gastropod community, anthropogenic stressors, citizen science, scale-

25 dependant processes, brown macroalgae canopy

Rocky-shores, located at the interface between land and sea, are particular habitats concentrating numerous environmental stressors on a very limited surface. As a result, they were considered for a long time as a "natural laboratory" to explore biological and ecological issues (Helmuth et al., 2006; Levin, 1992; Paine, 2010). Owing to the relative accessibility of rocky-shores, variability of the related intertidal communities were extensively documented since the late nineteenth century by marine biologists and naturalists (Benson, 2002; Mieszkowska, 2016). Former studies mainly focused on fine-scale patterns of variability (10s cm to 10s m) such as the vertical distribution pattern (the so-called "unidirectional zonation") resulting from physical and biological stress gradients closely related to tide alternations, wave exposure and biotic interactions (see for instance Little et al. (2010)). While vertical zonation, and other fine-scale patterns (such as 'patchiness') represent major and ubiquitous sources of variability for rocky-shore communities (Barnes and Hughes, 1999; Little et al., 2010), other studies pointed out the needs to consider variability at larger spatial scales (from 100s m to 1000s km) as a response to different and entangled environmental processes (e.g. Benedetti-Cecchi (2001)). Over the years, there was a paradigmatic shift in the scale-dependant signals recognition from noise to a mean for assessing processes across scales (Cruz-Motta et al., 2010; Dal Bello et al., 2017). Exploring multi-scale variability of species distribution and abundance are important to identify and hierarchize the impact of very broad range of processes on structure assemblage (Levin, 1992; Dungan et al., 2002; Fraschetti et al., 2005).

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

In the context of increased anthropogenic pressures, of which impact on coastal systems are increasingly being recognised (Halpern et al., 2015, 2008), rocky-shores are described as one of the most threatened coastal habitat (Halpern et al., 2007). These concerns led to the development of various indicators to address water or environmental quality status as

required respectively by the Water Framework Directive (WFD; Directive 2000/60/EC) and the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD; 2008/56 EC) for European marine waters (Borja et al., 2008). Small-scale experimental investigations on the effects of anthropogenic disturbances on rocky shore communities yielded mixed results depending on sites and taxa (Crowe et al., 2000). On the whole, intertidal communities such as macroalgae (Neto et al., 2012; Strain et al., 2014; Ar Gall et al., 2016) or, to a lesser extent, molluscan assemblages (Espinosa et al., 2007; Wells et al., 2007; Atalah, 2012) were considered as cost-effective tools to monitor anthropogenic impact on coastal zones (Vinagre, 2016a). Consequently, numerous investigations have reported local intertidal community variations as a response to additive and synergistic impacts from anthropogenic stressors such as nutrient enrichment (Atalah, 2012), sediment deposition (Airoldi, 2007) and even trampling (Micheli et al., 2016) through the comparison between pristine and impacted sites (Kraufvelin et al., 2006; Atalah and Crowe, 2010). However, whether and to what extent human-related stressors shape large-scale rocky-shore assemblages, where many processes are entangled, is still poorly explored (Crowe et al., 2000). Canopy-forming brown macroalgae (e.g. Fucal algae) represent a structuring and remarkable element of these sheltered hard-substratum intertidal ecosystems of temperate regions (van den Hoek, 1975). As bioengineer species, the high diversity of seaweed provides food but also protection from desiccation, temperature, wave action and predation for numerous species (Chapman, 1995; Mieszkowska et al., 2013; Watt and Scrosati, 2013a). Gastropods represent a dominant and a key structuring group associated with these intertidal habitats. For instance, some gastropods were shown to benefit from a shelter-effect provided by the macroalgae canopy, in decreasing local temperature and evaporation as compared to neighbour bare rock (Bertness et al., 1999), thus expanding their spatial distribution

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

(Burrows et al., 2020). In turn, gastropods grazers structured seaweed canopies, controlling both cover and diversity of macroalgae assemblages (Bertness et al., 1999) as well as the grazing pressure from other invertebrates (Pavia and Toth, 2000). Therefore, gastropod species, as other sedentary macroinvertebrates, are considered as taxa of interest to explore the impacts of environmental change on intertidal ecosystems in the context of increasing anthropogenic stressors (Atalah, 2012) and climate change (Mieszkowska et al., 2007). Regarding the global decline of canopy macroalgae species (Beck and Airoldi, 2007; Álvarez-Losada et al., 2020), so far only few studies have attempted to investigate large-scale (e.g. regional and global) spatial variations of intertidal gastropod communities (Schoch et al., 2006; Dal Bello et al., 2017) and in relation with anthropogenic pressures (Cruz-Motta et al., 2010; Miloslavich et al., 2013). Counterintuitively, the latter studies found a weak relationship between gastropod diversity and anthropogenic variables at large-scales (i.e. at Large Marine Ecosystems 'LME' and global scales). According to authors, this could be explained, at least partially, by the monitoring process (Miloslavich et al., 2013). Indeed, despite the recognized value of large-scale monitoring for reporting on the status and trends of biodiversity, the inherent time and financial costs remain one of the main limitations of such program implementation (Caughlan and Oakley, 2001; Pereira and David Cooper, 2006). Recent studies have demonstrated how citizen science schemes can be used to address ecological issues over large-scales (Chandler et al., 2017), for instance to improve conservation biogeography (Devictor et al., 2010), test macroecological theories (Vye et al., 2020) or for invasive species monitoring (Lehtiniemi et al., 2020). Although its definition remains unclear in the literature, citizen science involves the contribution of non-scientist

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

volunteers to address scientific issues, often through data collection (Kullenberg and

Kasperowski, 2016). As a result, when associated with appropriate protocols and trainings, citizen-science can provide valuable sources of data, whose quality may be equivalent to professional scientific collection form (van der Velde et al., 2017), but whose quantity and at spatial scales generally not affordable by the latest (Tulloch et al., 2013; Theobald et al., 2015). Started in 2012 the "Algues-Brunes et Bigorneaux" sighting scheme (hereafter "ABB") from the BioLit program from the French NGO Planète-Mer (https://www.planetemer.org/actions/biolit-et-biolit-junior) focuses on gastropod communities associated macroalgae canopy, by monitoring the co-occurrence of seaweeds and their gastropod inhabitants. As such, the ABB protocol has the potential to account for local habitat features (small-scale environment) while taking into account large-scale variability. Here, we used this citizen science-based dataset to explore key drivers of variability in gastropod communities associated with canopy-forming brown macroalgae from North-Eastern (NE) Atlantic rocky-shores. More specifically, using an Ecological Niche Modelling (ENM) method on abundance dataset, our aim was threefold: 1) to explore the relative importance of local gradients linked to habitat-forming macroalgae and larger scale processes, including anthropogenic processes, on gastropod communities, 2) to investigate the large-scale and temporal variability on abundances and taxonomic richness of gastropods across a transitional biogeographic area and 3) to evaluate species-specific responses of key gastropod taxa to "natural" and anthropogenic stressors.

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

# **Material and Methods**

# Study area

From September 2012 to December 2020 (see details in Fig. SB), the AAB protocol was applied to 55 rocky-shore sites spread over more than 1000 km, including 500 km of latitude, along the French NE Atlantic coast (extending from 45° N to 50° N and from -4° E to 1° W). According to the 'Marine Ecoregion Of the World' (MEOW) biogeographic system (Spalding et al., 2007), the study sites covered 2 different provinces (Northern European Sea and Lusitanian), 3 ecoregions (North Sea, Celtic Sea and South European Atlantic Shelf) (Fig. 1) defining areas characterized by both specific physico-chemical features and biological communities (Dinter, 2001; Ramos et al., 2012). More particularly, the Brittany coast represented a biogeographical transition zone characterized by a gradual change in water temperature, tidal range and wave exposure (Ramos et al., 2012; Gallon et al., 2014).

# **Data Collection**

The ABB protocol focused on 16 of the most common gastropod taxa in the NE Atlantic coast, associated with canopy-forming brown macroalgae and for whom the identification is relatively easy for a non-expert public (see details in Fig. SA). However, among these taxa, some species from the *Littorina* genus are known to exhibit significant morphological variations (as for *L. saxatilis* often confused with *L. compressa*) or a lack reliable morphological features to distinguish sister species (*i.e. L. fabalis* and *L. obtusata*). This represents an important source of bias in identification, even for experts. Consequently, we retrospectively decided to group abundances of these pairs into 2 species complexes, namely *L. saxatilis/L. compressa* and *L. fabalis/L. obtusata*. Prior to sampling sessions, each

volunteer received a minimum of 4 hours of formation in the use of the protocol and taxa identification. In addition, during sampling session, beginner volunteers were assisted by expert naturalists. Then, taxa abundances and distributions were obtained at low tide using randomly placed 0.1 m<sup>2</sup> quadrats. When quadrats fell on complex rocky fields including crevices of fissures or puddles, participants were asked to reiterate the throw to limit influence of microhabitats. According to the protocol, sampling was only performed in the presence of main temperate intertidal canopy-forming brown macroalgae species. These seaweeds were known to exhibit a clear vertical zonation pattern (i.e. the sequence of Pelvetia canaliculata, Fucus spiralis, Fucus vesiculosus, Ascophyllum nodosum and Fucus serratus, from the top to the bottom of the mediolittoral level) (Schonbeck and Norton, 1978; Johnson et al., 1998). Therefore, in order to describe small-scale conditions, the volunteers indicated for each sample the nature of the dominant brown macroalgae species (hereafter 'seaweed belt') and provided a visual estimate of canopy cover using an ordinal scale (0-5; 5-25; 25-50; 50-75; 75-100% of cover). Moreover, to avoid misidentification, the entire sample and each different taxon identified within the sample were photographed. Each sample and taxon identified was then visually validated by experimented naturalists. Prior to analysis, we applied a percentile-based outlier removal method to improve reliability of the data as detailed by Li et al. (2020). For each shore level (defined by the nature of the dominant seaweed species), observations corresponding to the top 1% of total abundance were then removed from the initial dataset (2337 samples). In addition, to reduce influence of small-scale horizontal variability (such as patchiness), taxa abundances and canopy cover estimates were averaged according to the site, date and the shore level. Only samples with a minimum of 2 replicates were considered for further investigations (final dataset of 407 averaged samples).

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

# **Environmental predictors**

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

Descriptors of small-scale conditions from field observations were coupled with large-scale suited environmental variables to explore both local and larger scale controls on rocky-shore communities (Table 1). Large-scale processes were investigated using mean annual and range of Sea Surface Temperature (SST.M and SST.r), temperature of the coldest and warmest month (SST.cold and SST.warm), mean and standard deviation of coastal Salinity (Sal and Sal.sd), mean and standard deviation of chlorophyll a concentrations (Chloa and chloa.sd), bathymetry, mean annual Kinetic Energy due to Waves (WKE) values and the mean tide amplitude (TAmp) which was defined as the difference between high and low tide during spring tide. We also added predictors related to anthropogenic activities such as mean and standard deviation of inorganic nitrogen (N-NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) concentrations (Nit and Nit.sd), mean and standard-deviation of total suspended matter (TSM.M and TSM.sd) and coastal population density estimation (Pop) as proxies of eutrophication, sediment deposition and direct anthropogenic pressure on coastal ecosystems, respectively. For inorganic nitrogen, we produced an interpolated raster layer from monthly data collected between 2012 and 2020 within the framework of the SOMLIT ("Service d'Observation en Milieu LITtoral") program from the INSU/CNRS (http://somlit.fr) and from the REPHY ("REseau de surveillance du PHYtoplancton et des phycotoxines") program from Ifremer ("French Research Institute for the Sustainable Exploitation of the Sea"), which are both national networks monitoring the hydrological parameters of French waters. Only nonestuarine stations completing a minimum of 3 years of monitoring were considered in order to reduce bias from sampling effort and seasonality effect. Prior to interpolations, time series from each selected station were visually inspected to evaluate presence of abrupt change in phenological signal and suspicious data. Finally, a 1 km resolution layer of coastal waters was interpolated from mean and standard-deviation concentration values using an inverse distance weighting kriging method.

All environmental variables were regridded to a 1 km pixel resolution from their native resolution. Then, extraction at each sampling point was performed by averaging values using a buffer of 2 km to deal with the heterogeneity in predictor resolutions and the potential inaccuracies in the spatial localisation of sampled shores. In addition to environmental variables, temporal changes in taxa abundances and taxonomic richness were investigated by considering the 'season' (winter: January to March; spring: April to June; summer: July to September; fall: October to December) and 'year' of each sampling session. We also included the number of replicates as predictor in the modelling step to explicitly account for the impact of sampling effort on mean abundances.

### Modelling framework

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

Abundance distribution of each taxon, as well as total gastropod abundance (Tot ab), and taxonomic richness (S) (hereafter 'response variables') were investigated using the Random Forest (RF) method (Breiman, 2001). RF is a supervised tree-based approach relying on a bootstrap-aggregative (bagging) scheme which aggregates predictions from multiple independent decision trees (weak learners) using a subset of the dataset at each iteration (Biau and Scornet, 2016). The RF is considered as a highly suitable method to address ecological issues such as taxon spatial occurrence and abundance distribution (Cutler et al., 2007; Hengl et al., 2018), because it allows to identify complex relationship between the response variable and numerous predictors and is poorly sensitive to multicollinearity as well as outliers or missing value (Li and Wang, 2013). Moreover, the RF algorithm consistently outperforms other classical regression methods (Cutler et al., 2007; Li and Wang, 2013; Prasad et al., 2006), even for rare or occasional taxa (Mi et al., 2017). In the RF approach, two main parameters can be tuned to improve predictions: 'mtry' and the 'ntrees', where 'mtry' characterizes the number of predictors selected at each tree split, and 'ntrees' is the number of trees produced. For each model, 500 trees were established as sufficient to stabilize the error rate in most of cases in a preliminary tests while the optimal 'mtry' parameter was assessed using a tuning grid as implemented in the 'caret' R package (Kuhn, 2008). The setting of the 'mtry' parameter was obtained using the overall performance of each model after 10 folds cross-validation repeated 10 times. This procedure randomly split the response variable into training (90%) and testing datasets (remaining 10%). Once the models were trained on the corresponding dataset, the performance was assessed using R<sup>2</sup> and the "Root Mean Square Error" (RMSE), which are two goodness-of-fit measures summarizing variations between observed and predicted values on the tested

dataset. Additionally, the performance was assessed by plotting predictions as a function of observations to identify model limitations (Fig. S4).

Finally, RF also allowed to quantify and rank the importance of each predictor (hereafter 'VarImp'), by computing the loss of performance associated with random permutations of predictors. Furthermore, the interpretability of VarImp was supplemented by performing Partial dependence Plot (PdP) and its Individual Conditional Expectations plot (ICE) produced with the 'ime' R package (Molnar et al., 2018). Both PdP and ICE aim to examine how a particular predictor impacts the response variable. While PdP provides an averaged model prediction, ICE allows the response variability to be explored by modulating values from other predictors. In the present case, predictions were performed for each particular shore level (seaweed belt).

#### Collinearity and spatial autocorrelation

To minimize collinearity (Dormann et al., 2013) while maintaining model performances, interpretability and comparison between taxa, predictor selection was performed following a methodology inspired by Georgian et al. (2019). Predictor variables were first clustered based on Pearson's correlation to identify highly correlated clusters (Fig. S3). Subsequently, we produced a RF model and assessed the variable importance for each predictor. Finally, among a cluster of correlated variables (r > 0.8), we retained the ones with the highest performance values for at least one taxon and with higher ecological relevance. Thus, despite their high correlation, both Total Suspended Matter and inorganic nitrogen concentrations were kept as they showed high variability in VarImp values according to the response variable (Fig. S3). We also computed the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) to detect collinearity in selected predictors. Predictors were finally included in models if overall VIF values were lower than a tolerant threshold of 10. As a result, SSTwarm and SST.r were not included in the final models as they tended to hugely inflate the VIF value.

Spatial autocorrelation (Legendre, 1993) was evaluated in residuals of model predictions for each response variable using Moran's I test, which compares correlation measures between neighbouring error values with a null distribution using 999 permutations (Moran, 1948). Moran's I test was performed using the 'spdep' R package (Bivand and Wong, 2018). As predictions were made for different shore levels at the same station, the Moran'I test was only computed for the seaweed belt characterizing the more suitable shore level of each response variable.

# Spatial variability of gastropod community at large scale

Response variables were predicted for the whole French Atlantic rocky shore (from 45 to 51° N; from 5°W to 2°E), but only for models considered as sufficiently robust (i.e. when R²>0.35). To delineate rocky shores, high resolution coastline was first extracted from the Global Administration Areas (GADM) using the 'raster' R package (Hijmans, 2020). Then, the nature of the substrate was extracted from a 1:500 000 resolution composite raster layer from The Naval Hydrographic and Oceanographic Service (SHOM, 2020) for each coastline point and rasterized into a 1 km grid cell resolution. Pixels with a 'Rock' feature were selected to distinguish rocky from sandy and muddy shores. Large-scale predictor values were then extracted for selected each pixel. Small-scale predictor variables were fixed (i.e. seaweed belt and canopy cover class) for each response variable with the values characterizing the more suitable modality according to the models (Table 2). Spearman's correlation was then performed between predictions to compare the large-scale spatial variability of each response variable.

#### 278 Results

# Model performances

According to the cross-validation, the best models showed high performances ( $R^2>0.35$ ) for dominant taxa as well as Tot\_ab and S (Table 2). Among these response variables, the highest performance was found for the common and abundant taxon *Steromphala umbilicalis* ( $R^2=0.67\pm0.1$ ; RMSE=1.11 $\pm$  0.2) while *L. littorea* showed a lower relative accuracy of predictions ( $R^2=0.37\pm0.1$ ; RMSE=1.05 $\pm$ 0.1). Abundance of rare taxa such as *Nucella lapillus* ( $R^2=0.29\pm0.2$ ; RMSE=0.92 $\pm$ 0.2), *Ocenebra erinaceus* ( $R^2=0.23\pm0.2$ ; RMSE=0.42 $\pm$ 0.1) and *Gibbula magus* ( $R^2=0.29\pm0.1$ ; RMSE=0.13 $\pm$ 0.1) were inadequately modelled, especially for *Ocenebra inornatus* or *Tritia reticulata* ( $R^2<0.1$ ). Moran's I test applied on robust models did not identify any significant spatial autocorrelation (Table 2).

#### Variable importance and interpretation

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

For most of response variables, small-scale predictors showed higher variable importance than large-scale predictors (Table 3). The nature of the seaweed belt (shore level) was generally a good predictor for gastropod community. Indeed, it represents a critical predictor (rank 1) for S. umbilicalis, Steromphala pennanti, L. fabalis/L. obtusata and L. saxatilis/L. compressa abundances as well as for Tot ab (rank2) and S (rank 1). Specifically, the higher bound of the shore (characterized by P. canaliculata) turned out to be highly discriminating to predict most of gastropod abundances. Only S. pennanti and S. cineraria abundances showed important affinity with lower bound (F. serratus) (Fig. 2). Moreover, the models captured the relative shore level preference for each taxon as illustrated by the PdP. Steromphala umbilicalis, L. fabalis/L. obtusata, Patella spp., P. lineatus and L. littorea showed a more generalist behaviour with abundances predicted for several intermediate seaweed belts, as compared to S. pennanti and L. saxatilis/L. compressa, which were mainly associated with an unique one (F. serratus and P. canaliculata, respectively). The local estimated canopy cover also represented an important predictor for P. lineatus, L. saxatilis/L. compressa, S. pennanti (rank 2) and Patella spp., L. fabalis/L. obtusata (rank 3), and to another extent for S. umbilicalis (rank 4), but PdP and ICE highlighted a taxa-specific response with the canopy cover (Fig. 2). The RF models predicted higher abundance in light cover (0-50%) for P. lineatus and Patella spp., and in medium cover (25-75%) for S. umbilicalis, while S. pennanti, L. fabalis/L. obtusata and L. saxatilis/L. compressa were more abundant in dense seaweed canopies (50-100%). The mean of total suspended matter and inorganic nitrogen concentrations were among the

most important large-scale predictors for Tot ab (rank 1 and 4, respectively) and S (rank 4

and 2, respectively) as well as for taxon (Fig. 2). The models predicted a clear negative impact of suspended matter on *S. umbilicalis* (rank 2), *Patella* spp. (rank 1) and *P. lineatus*, while *S. pennanti* (rank 3) was negatively impacted by inorganic nitrogen, especially in the *F. serratus* belt. More specifically, the mean loss of abundance associated with these stressors was estimated to be 65% for *Patella* spp., 70% for *S. umbilicalis*, and 85% for *S. pennanti*. Conversely, *L. littorea* (rank 4) abundance was the only taxon positively affected, albeit slightly (+25%) by increased inorganic nitrogen concentrations.

WKE also appeared to be an important predictor of *Patella* spp. (rank 1) and *P. lineatus* (rank 2) with a positive impact on abundances. While the importance of sampling effort logically increased as the taxa became rare (Fig. S5), it nevertheless represents an important predictor for relatively abundant taxa such as the complexes *L. saxatilis/L. compressa* (rank 3) and *L. fabalis/L. obtusata* (rank 4). Regarding the PdP and ICE, it turned out that around 10 replicates were necessary in most cases to correctly predict the abundance of dominant taxa, albeit not for *S. cineraria*. By contrast, predictors defining temporal scales (*i.e.* 'season' and 'year') displayed a lower importance among predictors, indicating low temporal variability of all response variables (Fig. S5).

#### Spatial abundance distributions

For robust models (R²>0.35), predictions of spatial abundance distributions were generated along the NE Atlantic rocky shores. Taxonomic richness and total gastropod abundance exhibited overall similar spatial variations of predicted values (r=0.7) (Fig. 3) in which the lowest values were associated with areas subjected to inputs from the estuaries of major rivers: The Seine, the Vilaine, the Loire and the Charente. Among the predicted taxa *S. umbilicalis* showed the highest predicted abundances (from 3.7 to >100 ind.m²) and *S.* 

cineraria represented the less abundant species (from 1 to 5 ind.m²) of the mediolittoral zone (Fig. S6). According to the Pearson's correlation applied on taxa-specific spatial predictions, the pattern showed by the total abundance and the taxonomic richness was logically shared by the abundant *S. umbilicalis* (Tot\_ab: r=0.91, p<0.001, S: r=0.76, p<0.001), *Patella* spp. (Tot\_ab: r=0.75, p<0.001, S: r=0.43, p<0.001), *S. pennanti* (Tot\_ab: r=0.45, p<0.001, S: r=0.28, p<0.001) and to some extent by *S. cineraria* (Tot\_ab: r=0.29, p<0.001, S: r=0.34, p<0.001) and *P. lineatus* (S: r=0.4, p<0.001) (Fig. 4). Conversely, *L. littorea* showed a significant opposite pattern (Tot\_ab: r=-0.37, p<0.001, S: r=-0.17, p=0.002), with highest abundance values (~7 ind.m-²) predicted in southern rocky-shores and particularly near the Vilaine, the Loire and the Charente estuaries (Fig. S6). Finally, weak but significant correlations in spatial predicted values were found for species complexes *L. fabalis/L. obtusata* (Tot\_ab: r=0.17, p=0.002, S: r=0.25, p<0.001) and *L. saxatilis/L. compressa* (Tot\_ab: r=-0.12, p=0.03).

# Discussion

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

# Small-scale vs large-scale

Based on a citizen science scheme, this study provides a quantification of the relative importance of both local and regional scale processes on NE Atlantic mediolittoral gastropod communities. Our results reveal that small-scale conditions (i.e. the shore scale) have a greater impact than large-scale gradients on total abundance and taxonomic richness for most of investigated taxa. Particularly, the seaweed belt proxy of vertical zonation is the most critical factor in determining taxa abundance and diversity. While it was previously considered as an universal pattern (Barnes and Hughes, 1999), the relative importance of horizontal and vertical variations of intertidal rocky-shore community at large-spatial scale is still debated in the scientific community. Indeed, some authors argue that vertical variations have an equivalent or greater impact than horizontal ones at the site level (10s to 100s meters), but not at the shore and regional levels (from 100s m to 1000s km), where horizontal variability is superior in both NW Mediterranean sea (Benedetti-Cecchi, 2001) and NE Atlantic rocky-shores (Valdivia et al., 2011). These former studies were recently challenged by results demonstrating that vertical zonation is determinant even at the regional level in shaping species composition and richness along the Catalonian shoreline (NW Mediterranean sea) (Chappuis et al., 2014; Cefalì et al., 2019) but also along the NW Altantic and SE Pacific (Catalán et al., 2020). Here, our results strengthen these results on a 500 km latitudinal gradient along the NE Atlantic coast with a specific focus on gastropods communities associated with canopy habitat. Differences in the definition of the intertidal zone as well as the nature of communities considered in former studies can explain differences of results obtained in NE Atlantic rocky-shores. Our results identify the Pelvetia canaliculata belt, located at the limit of the supralittoral zone, as the main source of vertical

variability in both gastropod total abundance and taxonomic richness. By contrast, in Valdivia et al. (2011), authors have characterized the intertidal range using the *F. spiralis* belt as the upper boundary, thus omitting the upper belts which in our study represent an important part of the observed vertical variability.

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

Additionally, canopy cover also highly shapes the gastropod assemblage driven by an idiosyncratic taxa response. Through experimental studies, brown macroalgae canopy cover was shown to structure the temperate (Watt and Scrosati, 2013a) and sub-artic (Ørberg et al., 2018) intertidal communities by mediating the temperature, light and desiccation stresses caused by prolonged emergence but only at medium and high shore levels. As a result of this facilitation effect, higher canopy cover was associated with an increase of biomass and diversity (Watt and Scrosati, 2013a, 2013b). Our results on taxonomic richness only partially support this trend, probably due to the limited number of taxa involved. However, following the so-called "space-time" substitution paradigm (Pickett, 1989; Blois et al., 2013), taxa-specific responses bring new insights into how intertidal gastropod communities can shift in the context of macroalgae canopy regression (Airoldi et al., 2008; Álvarez-Losada et al., 2020). According to our model responses, a decrease of macroalgae canopy cover may promote P. lineatus and Patella spp. as dominating the communities by thriving abundance collapse of more sensitive species such as S. pennanti, L. fabalis/L. obtusata and, to a lesser extent, the abundant S. umbilicalis, which are all associated with higher macroalgae canopy cover. These predictions are in accordance with results obtained in a recent canopy regression experiment where authors showed that abundances of P. lineatus are negatively impacted by the A. nodosum cover as opposed to L. obtusata and S. umbilicalis ones (Pocklington et al., 2018). The absence of significant response observed for L. littorea in the cover regression experiment also supports the weak importance of the

canopy cover exhibited by our results. Furthermore, the antagonistic relation between limpets and the canopy cover highlighted by our model is well recognized as an observed pattern of temperate rocky-shore (Johnson et al., 1997; Jenkins et al., 1999a,1999b; Jenkins et al., 2004; Davies et al., 2007).

# Large spatial scale processes

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

At large-scale, our findings reveal the overall dominant influence of both inorganic nitrogen and total suspended matter concentrations on gastropod spatial abundance distribution. These results contrast with environmental gradients frequently mentioned as shaping the intertidal community structure at regional and larger scale such as sea water temperature (Chapman, 1995; Burrows et al., 2008; Ramos et al., 2012; Mieszkowska et al., 2013), wave exposure (Burrows et al., 2008) as well as upwelling or salinity (Schoch et al., 2006). More specifically, our results do not attribute the large-scale variations of gastropod community to a biogeographical gradient induced by changes in water temperature. Located at the intersection of 3 coastal ecoregions (Spalding et al., 2007), the same area was however identified as a transitional zone for macroalgae distribution defining a boundary between colder and warmer temperate waters (Dauvin, 1997; Ramos et al., 2014). In the present study, the studied taxa exhibit a broad spatial distribution, as most of them are found from the North of the British waters to the Lusitanian coast (Hayward et al., 2005) (for details, see Fig. S1). Thus, this macroalgae transitional zone could represent the core of the thermal niche of most of the gastropod taxa considered in this study, where density variations induced by temperature are expected to be small. Furthermore, this apparent difference in driver processes may also be partially explained by the scope of these former studies in which anthropogenic related predictors were generally neglected (Sagarin et al., 2006). Finally, and in a consistent way, Kinetic Energy from Waves was found to be an important predictor positively affecting taxa abundance associated with low brown macroalgae cover, namely *Patella* spp. and *P. lineatus*. This result highlights the overall consistency of our models since high canopy cover is favoured by sheltered shores (van den Hoek, 1975) where the fetch is expected to be lower than in exposed shores.

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

While both nutrient and suspended matter concentrations are related to the influence of large river plumes, they referred to two different anthropogenic stressor processes affecting the rocky shore community: the sedimentary reposition and eutrophication. As a consequence of anthropogenic activities and particularly through soil erosion, increase of sediment loads is pointed out as a major concern for hard substratum coastal communities (Airoldi, 2003), impacting both cover of brown algae such as A. nodosum (Airoldi, 2003) or F. vesiculosus (Eriksson and Johansson, 2005), as well as grazer gastropods (Airoldi, 2007; Atalah and Crowe, 2010). Similarly, impact of coastal eutrophication, defined as anthropogenic excessive nutrient enrichment, is increasingly documented since the mid 90s (Nixon, 1995) as one of the main anthropogenic process impacting coastal community (Cloern, 2001; Crowe et al., 2000; Thompson et al., 2002; Worm and Lotze, 2006). Numerous experimental studies, mainly focussing on small spatial scales, have reported negative impacts of high nutrient concentrations on perennial macroalgae abundance such as canopyforming brown macroalgae species (Kraufvelin et al., 2006; Worm and Lotze, 2006) and sometimes in conjunction with other stressors such as sedimentation (Atalah and Crowe, 2010) or wave action (Kraufvelin et al., 2010). However, less attention was paid for grazer molluscs such as gastropods. For instance, Atalah & Crowe (2012) showed that contaminated sites were also characterized by a reduction of molluscan total abundance, while emphasising a species-specific response. Our results are in agreement with former results demonstrating a decrease of total abundance and taxonomic richness as a result of both total suspended matter and nutrient concentration gradients. Interestingly, significant impacts of these human related stressors are especially found in taxa associated with medium and low mediolittoral levels and appear to be smaller for L. saxatilis/L. compressa, located at higher shore levels. Such differences in responses across shore levels are consistent with the hypothesis of water mediated disturbances as lower habitats are more frequently immerged than upper ones. The taxon responses we observed in this study are congruent with recent integration of these taxa as sensitive species to inorganic pollution (Ecological Group I in the AZTI Marine Biotic Index (Borja et al., 2000)) (Vinagre, 2016a, 2016b), except for the grey top shell S. cineraria. This could be explained by inadequacy of our sampling protocol as S. cineraria habitat extent further the subtidal level. Our results also show that L. littorea is the only taxon positively affected by the gradient of nutrient concentrations. Littorina littorea is widely reported as a species tolerant to contamination since it was unaffected (Atalah, 2012) or even favoured by nutrient enrichment conditions (Kraufvelin et al., 2002) or by microplastic concentrations (Doyle, 2020). Finally, this work demonstrates the ability of a citizen-science program to detect local and regional changes in communities and can therefore be considered as a powerful tool for monitoring intertidal ecosystems, as previously discussed for instance in (Conrad and Hilchey, 2011).

#### **Caveats**

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

Notwithstanding the relevance of our results, it is important to delineate the limitations of our approach in terms of data collection and methods used. One of the main caveats of this study is that rare taxon abundance is zero-inflated in our dataset, and the methodology we used is not sufficiently adapted to deal with it (see fig. S4). Indeed, this study provides robust

models for 8 taxa out of 14, therefore excluding taxa for which abundance is zero-inflated (6 taxa). Increasing sampling effort may allow overcoming this limitation.

To assess large-scale variability of the community, small-scale predictors were fixed, assuming that the key brown macroalgal species defining shore height were found in all shores. However, these canopy-forming brown macro-algae, have their own environmental niche specificity (Chapman, 1995) and may not to be evenly distributed along the NE Atlantic coast. Furthermore, grouping species with similar morphologies into species complexes may also have led to an incorrect assessment of stressor impacts since the species-specific responses were neglected. For instance, the lack of response to nutrient concentrations by the L. obtusata/L. fabalis complex group, can be related to proven ecological differences between the two merged species (Williams, 1992, 1990). Our results may also inadequately address the specific effect of each stressor related to large river plumes because of potential collinearity between some predictors (e.g. inorganic nitrogen and suspended matter concentrations). Moreover, the revealed pattern could encompass other anthropogenic stressors that were not considered in this study such as metallic contamination or microplastic pollution (Gutow et al., 2015; Doyle, 2020). Finally, we found a weak importance of temporal predictors ('season' and 'year'), describing a lesser impact on abundance and species richness than spatial predictors. This low temporal variability could also be due to irregularities in the time series of our dataset or the relative short time period of monitoring (8 years) as compared to the time needed to observe responses to climate change (Hawkins et al., 2008). As such, our findings should be interpreted with caution.

# Conclusion

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

On top of their inherent fundamental interests, our results provide strong supports that citizen science databases can provide robust scientific information to assess and understand responses of biodiversity to environmental variability. Indeed, our modelling study reveals that anthropogenic processes are the main source of variability of gastropod coastal communities at the large-scale along more than 1000 km of coast within a biogeographic transitional zone. Although this spatial scale is one of the most investigated one by citizen science projects, only a small proportion of the provided data ends in peer-reviewed publications, probably highlighting the absence of acknowledgements of citizen science data in publications, which seems particularly true for marine ecosystems (Theobald et al., 2015). Combined efforts of both professional and citizen scientists may achieve relevant outcomes for biodiversity research as well as for the management of marine ecosystems. Finally, rockyshores community responses to anthropogenic pressures observed in this study, could be used as a bioindicator of coastal water quality at the local scale as previously demonstrated (Borja et al., 2000; Vinagre, 2016a) but also at regional ones where larger anthropogenic processes take place.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank all the citizen scientists having participated to the data collection in the frame of BioLit program. We also acknowledge the French NGO Planète-mer staff and the whole network of associations and citizens who provided support, training and assistance for data validation. Special thanks to Blandine Siegrist for her help in the description of taxa. This research was funded by the Foundation de France.

512

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

#### References:

- Airoldi, L., 2007. Negative effects of sediment deposition on grazing activity and survival of the limpet Patella vulgata. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 332, 235–240.
- Airoldi, L., 2003. The effects of sedimentation on Rocky Coast Assemblages. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol.
  Annu. Rev. 41, 161–236.
  - Airoldi, L., Balata, D., Beck, M.W., 2008. The Gray Zone: Relationships between habitat loss and marine diversity and their applications in conservation. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 366, 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.034
  - Álvarez-Losada, Ó., Arrontes, J., Martínez, B., Fernández, C., Viejo, R.M., 2020. A regime shift in intertidal assemblages triggered by loss of algal canopies: A multidecadal survey. Mar. Environ. Res. 160, 104981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.104981
  - Ar Gall, E., Le Duff, M., Sauriau, P.-G., de Casamajor, M.-N., Gevaert, F., Poisson, E., Hacquebart, P., Joncourt, Y., Barillé, A.-L., Buchet, R., Bréret, M., Miossec, L., 2016. Implementation of a new index to assess intertidal seaweed communities as bioindicators for the European Water Framework Directory. Ecol. Indic. 60, 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.06.035
    - Atalah, J., 2012. Nutrient enrichment and variation in community structure on rocky shores: The potential of molluscan assemblages for biomonitoring. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 99, 162–170.
    - Atalah, J., Crowe, T.P., 2010. Combined effects of nutrient enrichment, sedimentation and grazer loss on rock pool assemblages. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 51–57.
  - Barnes, R.S.K., Hughes, R.N., 1999. An Introduction to Marine Ecology, 3rd Edition, Wiley-Blackwell. ed.
  - Beck, M., Airoldi, L., 2007. Loss, Status and Trends for Coastal Marine Habitats of Europe, in: Gibson, R., Atkinson, R., Gordon, J. (Eds.), Oceanography and Marine Biology An Annual Review. CRC Press, pp. 345–405. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420050943.ch7
  - Belin, C., Neaud-Masson, N., Lemoine, M., Daniel, A., Lassus, P., Berthomé, J.-P., Grossel, H., Abadie, E., Allenou, J.-P., Andral, B., Arnaud, C., Auby, I., Bechemin, C., Beliaeff, B., Billard, C., Bozzozero, L., Blondel, C., Bouchoucha, M., Brach-Papa, C., Bruneau, A., Camus, P., 2019. REPHY French Observation and Monitoring program for Phytoplankton and Hydrology in coastal waters. SEANOE. https://doi.org/10.17882/47248
  - Benedetti-Cecchi, L., 2001. Variability in abundance of algae and invertebrates at different spatial scales on rocky sea shores. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 215, 79–92. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps215079
  - Benson, K.R., 2002. The Study of Vertical Zonation on Rocky Intertidal Shores—A Historical Perspective1,2. Integr. Comp. Biol. 776–779.
  - Bertness, M.D., Leonard, G.H., Levine, J.M., Schmidt, P.R., Ingraham, A.O., 1999. Testing the relative contribution of positive and negative interactions in rocky intertidal communities. Ecology 80, 2711–2726.
  - Biau, G., Scornet, E., 2016. A random forest guided tour. TEST 25, 197–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11749-016-0481-7
- Bivand, R.S., Wong, D.W.S., 2018. Comparing implementations of global and local indicators of spatial association. TEST 27, 716–748. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11749-018-0599-x
  - Blois, J.L., Williams, J.W., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Jackson, S.T., Ferrier, S., 2013. Space can substitute for time in predicting climate-change effects on biodiversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 9374–9379. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220228110
- Borja, A., Bricker, S.B., Dauer, D.M., Demetriades, N.T., Ferreira, J.G., Forbes, A.T., Hutchings, P., Jia,
   X., Kenchington, R., Marques, J.C., Zhu, C., 2008. Overview of integrative tools and methods
   in assessing ecological integrity in estuarine and coastal systems worldwide. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
   56, 1519–1537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.07.005

- Boudière, E., Maisondieu, C., Ardhuin, F., Accensi, M., Pineau-Guillou, L., Lepesqueur, J., 2013. A suitable metocean hindcast database for the design of Marine energy converters. Int. J. Mar. Energy 3–4, 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijome.2013.11.010
- 568 Breiman, L., 2001. Random Forests. Machine learning 15–32.

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589 590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

- 569 Burrows, M., Harvey, R., Robb, L., 2008. Wave exposure indices from digital coastlines and the 570 prediction of rocky shore community structure. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 353, 1–12. 571 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07284
- Burrows, M.T., Hawkins, S.J., Moore, J.J., Adams, L., Sugden, H., Firth, L., Mieszkowska, N., 2020.
   Global-scale species distributions predict temperature-related changes in species
   composition of rocky shore communities in Britain. Glob. Change Biol. 26, 2093–2105.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14968
  - Catalán, A.M., Valdivia, N., Scrosati, R.A., 2020. Interhemispheric comparison of scale-dependent spatial variation in the structure of intertidal rocky-shore communities. Ecosphere 11. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3068
  - Caughlan, L., Oakley, K.L., 2001. Cost considerations for long-term ecological monitoring. Ecol. Indic. 1, 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-160X(01)00015-2
  - Cefalì, M.E., Cebrian, E., Chappuis, E., Terradas, M., Mariani, S., Ballesteros, E., 2019. Community-dependent variability in species composition and richness on rocky shores at a regional scale. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 230, 106425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106425
  - Chandler, M., See, L., Copas, K., Bonde, A.M.Z., López, B.C., Danielsen, F., Legind, J.K., Masinde, S., Miller-Rushing, A.J., Newman, G., Rosemartin, A., Turak, E., 2017. Contribution of citizen science towards international biodiversity monitoring. Biol. Conserv. 213, 280–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.004
  - Chapman, A.R.O., 1995. Functional ecology of fucoid algae: twenty-three years of progress. Phycologia 34, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-34-1-1.1
  - Chappuis, E., Terradas, M., Cefalì, M.E., Mariani, S., Ballesteros, E., 2014. Vertical zonation is the main distribution pattern of littoral assemblages on rocky shores at a regional scale. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 147, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.05.031
  - Cloern, J.E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 210, 223–253.
  - Conrad, C.C., Hilchey, K.G., 2011. A review of citizen science and community-based environmental monitoring: issues and opportunities. Env. Monit Assess 273–291.
  - Crowe, T.P., Thompson, R.C., Bray, S., Hawkins, S.J., 2000. Impacts of anthropogenic stress on rocky intertidal communities. J. Aquat. Ecosyst. Stress Recovery 7, 273–297.
- Cruz-Motta, J.J., Miloslavich, P., Palomo, G., Iken, K., Konar, B., Pohle, G., Trott, T., Benedetti-Cecchi,
   L., Herrera, C., Hernández, A., Sardi, A., Bueno, A., Castillo, J., Klein, E., Guerra-Castro, E.,
   Gobin, J., Gómez, D.I., Riosmena-Rodríguez, R., Mead, A., Bigatti, G., Knowlton, A.,
   Shirayama, Y., 2010. Patterns of Spatial Variation of Assemblages Associated with Intertidal
   Rocky Shores: A Global Perspective. PLoS ONE 5, e14354.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014354
- 605 Cutler, D.R., Edwards, T.C., Beard, K.H., Cutler, A., Hess, K.T., Gibson, J., Lawler, J.J., 2007. Random 606 Forests for classification in ecology. Ecology 88, 2783–2792.
- Dal Bello, M., Leclerc, J.-C., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Andrea De Lucia, G., Arvanitidis, C., Van Avesaath, P.,
  Bachelet, G., Bojanic, N., Como, S., Coppa, S., Coughlan, J., Crowe, T., Degraer, S., Espinosa,
  F., Faulwetter, S., Frost, M., Guinda, X., Jankowska, E., Jourde, J., Juanes De La Pena, J.A.,
  Kerckhof, F., Kotta, J., Lavesque, N., Magni, P., De Matos, V., Orav-Kotta, H., Pavloudi, C.,
  Pedrotti, M.L., Peleg, O., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Puente, A., Ribeiro, P., Rigaut-Jalabert, F., Rilov, G.,
  Rousou, M., Rubal, M., Ruginis, T., Silva, T., Simon, N., Sousa-Pinto, I., Troncoso, J., Warzocha,
  J., Weslawski, J.M., Hummel, H., 2017. Consistent patterns of spatial variability between NE
- 614 Atlantic and Mediterranean rocky shores. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 97, 539–547.
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315416001491

- 616 Dauvin, J.C., 1997. Les biocénoses marines et littorales françaises des côtes atlantiques, Manche et 617 Mer du Nord. Synthèse, menaces et perspectives., Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. ed. 618
- 619 Davies, A., Johnson, M., Maggs, C., 2007. Limpet grazing and loss of Ascophyllum nodosum canopies on decadal time scales. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 339, 131–141. 620 621 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps339131
- 622 Devictor, V., Whittaker, R.J., Beltrame, C., 2010. Beyond scarcity: citizen science programmes as 623 useful tools for conservation biogeography: Citizen science and conservation biogeography. 624 Divers. Distrib. 16, 354–362. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2009.00615.x
- 625 Dinter, W.P., 2001. Biogeography of the OSPAR Maritime Area. German Federal Agency for Nature 626 Conservation.
- 627 Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J.R.G., Gruber, B., 628 Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P.J., Münkemüller, T., McClean, C., Osborne, P.E., Reineking, B., 629 Schröder, B., Skidmore, A.K., Zurell, D., Lautenbach, S., 2013. Collinearity: a review of 630 methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography 36, 631 27-46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x
  - Doyle, D., 2020. Current environmental microplastic levels do not alter emergence behaviour in the intertidal gastropod Littorina littorea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 151, 1-6.
  - Dungan, J.L., Perry, J.N., Dale, M.R.T., Legendre, P., Citron-Pousty, S., Fortin, M.-J., Jakomulska, A., Miriti, M., Rosenberg, M.S., 2002. A balanced view of scale in spatial statistical analysis. Ecography 25, 626-640. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0587.2002.250510.x
  - Eriksson, B.K., Johansson, G., 2005. Effects of sedimentation on macroalgae: species-specific responses are related to reproductive traits. Oecologia 143, 438–448. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1810-1

633

634

635 636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643 644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

- Espinosa, F., Guerra-García, J.M., García-Gómez, J.C., 2007. Sewage pollution and extinction risk: an endangered limpet as a bioindicator? Biodivers. Conserv. 16, 377–397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-005-3014-3
- Fraschetti, S., Terlizzi, A., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., 2005. Patterns of distribution of marine assemblages from rocky shores: evidence of relevant scales of variation. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 296, 13–29. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps296013
- Gallon, R.K., Robuchon, M., Leroy, B., Le Gall, L., Valero, M., Feunteun, E., 2014. Twenty years of observed and predicted changes in subtidal red seaweed assemblages along a biogeographical transition zone: inferring potential causes from environmental data. J. Biogeogr. 41, 2293-2306. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12380
- Georgian, S.E., Anderson, O.F., Rowden, A.A., 2019. Ensemble habitat suitability modeling of vulnerable marine ecosystem indicator taxa to inform deep-sea fisheries management in the South Pacific Ocean. Fish. Res. 211, 256–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.11.020
- Gohin, F., Druon, J.N., Lampert, L., 2010. A five channel chlorophyll concentration algorithm applied to SeaWiFS data processed by SeaDAS in coastal waters 23, 1639–1661.
- Gutow, L., Eckerlebe, A., Gimen, L., 2015. Experimental Evaluation of Seaweeds as a Vector for 656 Microplastics into Marine Food Webs. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 915–923.
- 657 Halpern, B.S., Frazier, M., Potapenko, J., Casey, K.S., Koenig, K., Longo, C., Lowndes, J.S., Rockwood, 658 R.C., Selig, E.R., Selkoe, K.A., Walbridge, S., 2015. Spatial and temporal changes in cumulative 659 human impacts on the world's ocean. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–7. 660 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8615
- 661 Halpern, B.S., Selkoe, K.A., Micheli, F., Kappel, C.V., 2007. Evaluating and Ranking the Vulnerability of 662 Global Marine Ecosystems to Anthropogenic Threats. Conserv. Biol. 21, 1301–1315. 663 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00752.x
- Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno, J.F., Casey, 664 665 K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H., Madin, E.M., Perry, M.T., Selig, 666 E.R., Spalding, M., Steneck, R., Watson, R., 2008. A global map of human impact on marine 667 ecosystems. Science 319, 946-948. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151084

- 668 Hawkins, S., Moore, P., Burrows, M., Poloczanska, E., Mieszkowska, N., Herbert, R., Jenkins, S., 669 Thompson, R., Genner, M., Southward, A., 2008. Complex interactions in a rapidly changing 670 world: responses of rocky shore communities to recent climate change. Clim. Res. 37, 123-671 133. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00768
- Hayward, P.J., Nelson-Smith, T., Shields, C., 2005. Guides des bords de mer, Mer du Nord, Manche, 672 673 Atlantique, Méditerrannée, Delachaux&Niestlé. ed.
- 674 Helmuth, B., Mieszkowska, N., Moore, P., Hawkins, S.J., 2006. Living on the Edge of Two Changing 675 Worlds: Forecasting the Responses of Rocky Intertidal Ecosystems to Climate Change. Annu. 676 Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37, 373-404. 677 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110149
  - Hengl, T., Nussbaum, M., Wright, M.N., Heuvelink, G.B.M., Gräler, B., 2018. Random forest as a generic framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatio-temporal variables. PeerJ 6, e5518. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5518
  - Hijmans, R.J., 2020. raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling.

679

680

681

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

- 682 Hu, C., Lee, Z., Franz, B., 2012. Chlorophyll a algorithms for oligotrophic oceans: A novel approach 683 based on three-band reflectance difference: A NOVEL OCEAN CHLOROPHYLL a ALGORITHM. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 117, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007395
  - Jenkins, S.R., Hawkins, S.J., Norton, T.A., 1999a. Direct and indirect effects of a macroalgal canopy and limpet grazing in structuring a sheltered inter-tidal community.pdf. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 188, 81–92.
  - Jenkins, S.R., Hawkins, S.J., Norton, T.A., 1999b. Interaction between a fucoid canopy and limpet grazing in structuring a low shore intertidal community. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 233, 41–63.
  - Jenkins, S.R., Norton, T.A., Hawkins, S.J., 2004. Long term effects of Ascophyllum nodosum canopy removal on mid shore community structure. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 84, 1–3.
  - Johnson, M., Burrows, M., Hartnoll, R., Hawkins, S., 1997. Spatial structure on moderately exposed rocky shores:patch scales and the interactions between limpets and algae. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 160, 209–215. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps160209
  - Johnson, M.P., Hawkins, S.J., Hartnoll, R.G., Norton, T.A., 1998. The establishment of fucoid zonation on algal-dominated rocky shores: hypotheses derived from a simulation model: Vertical zonation and algal growth on rocky shores. Funct. Ecol. 12, 259–269. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00185.x
  - Kraufvelin, P., Christie, H., Olsen, M., 2002. Littoral macrofauna (secondary) responses to experimental nutrient addition to rocky shore mesocosms and a coastal lagoon, in: Vadstein, O., Olsen, Y. (Eds.), Sustainable Increase of Marine Harvesting: Fundamental Mechanisms and New Concepts. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 149-166. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-3190-4 13
  - Kraufvelin, P., Lindholm, A., Pedersen, M.F., Kirkerud, L.A., Bonsdorff, E., 2010. Biomass, diversity and production of rocky shore macroalgae at two nutrient enrichment and wave action levels. Mar. Biol. 157, 29-47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-009-1293-z
- 707 Kraufvelin, P., Moy, F.E., Christie, H., Bokn, T.L., 2006. Nutrient Addition to Experimental Rocky Shore 708 Communities Revisited: Delayed Responses, Rapid Recovery. Ecosystems 9, 1076–1093.
- 709 Kuhn, M., 2008. Building Predictive Models in R Using the caret Package. J. Stat. Softw. 28. 710 https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v028.i05
- 711 Kullenberg, C., Kasperowski, D., 2016. What Is Citizen Science? - A Scientometric Meta-Analysis. PLoS 712 ONE 11, e0147152. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147152
- 713 Legendre, P., 1993. Spatial Autocorrelation: Trouble or New Paradigm? Ecology 74, 1659–1673. 714 https://doi.org/10.2307/1939924
- 715 Lehtiniemi, M., Outinen, O., Puntila-Dodd, R., 2020. Citizen science provides added value in the 716 monitoring for coastal non-indigenous species. J. Environ. Manage. 267, 1–8. 717 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110608
- 718 Levin, S.A., 1992. The Problem of Pattern and Scale in Ecology: The Robert H. MacArthur Award 719 Lecture. Ecology 73, 1943–1967. https://doi.org/10.2307/1941447

- Li, J.S., Hamann, A., Beaubien, E., 2020. Outlier detection methods to improve the quality of citizen science data. Int. J. Biometeorol. 64, 1825–1833. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01968z
- Li, X., Wang, Y., 2013. Applying various algorithms for species distribution modelling. Integr. Zool. 8, 124–135. https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12000
- Little, C., Williams, G.A., Trowbridge, C.D., 2010. The Biology of Rocky Shores: 2nd (second) Edition. p. 376.
- Mi, C., Huettmann, F., Guo, Y., Han, X., Wen, L., 2017. Why choose Random Forest to predict rare species distribution with few samples in large undersampled areas? Three Asian crane species models provide supporting evidence. PeerJ 5, 1–22.
   https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2849
- Micheli, F., Heiman, K.W., Kappel, C.V., Martone, R.G., Sethi, S.A., Osio, G.C., Fraschetti, S., Shelton,
   A.O., Tanner, J.M., 2016. Combined impacts of natural and human disturbances on rocky
   shore communities. Ocean Coast. Manag. 126, 42–50.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.03.014
- 735 Mieszkowska, N., 2016. Intertidal Indicators of Climate and Global Change, in: Climate Change. 736 Elsevier, pp. 213–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63524-2.00014-2

- Mieszkowska, N., Hawkins, S.J., Burrows, M.T., Kendall, M.A., 2007. Long-term changes in the geographic distribution and population structures of *Osilinus lineatus* (Gastropoda: Trochidae) in Britain and Ireland. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 87, 537–545. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315407053799
- Mieszkowska, N., Milligan, G., Burrows, M.T., Freckleton, R., Spencer, M., 2013. Dynamic species distribution models from categorical survey data. J. Anim. Ecol. 82, 1215–1226. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12100
- Miloslavich, P., Cruz-Motta, J.J., Klein, E., Iken, K., Weinberger, V., Konar, B., Trott, T., Pohle, G., Bigatti, G., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Shirayama, Y., Mead, A., Palomo, G., Ortiz, M., Gobin, J., Sardi, A., Díaz, J.M., Knowlton, A., Wong, M., Peralta, A.C., 2013. Large-Scale Spatial Distribution Patterns of Gastropod Assemblages in Rocky Shores. PLoS ONE 8, e71396. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071396
- Molnar, C., Casalicchio, G., Bischl, B., 2018. iml: An R package for Interpretable Machine Learning. J. Open Source Softw. 3, 1–2.
- Moran, P.A.P., 1948. The Interpretation of Statistical Maps. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol. 10, 243–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1948.tb00012.x
- Nechad, B., Ruddick, K., Schroeder, T., Oubelkheir, K., Blondeau-Patissier, D., Cherukuru, N., Brando, V., Dekker, A., Clementson, L., Banks, A.C., Maritorena, S., Werdell, P.J., Sá, C., Brotas, V., Caballero de Frutos, I., Ahn, Y.-H., Salama, S., Tilstone, G., Martinez-Vicente, V., Foley, D., McKibben, M., Nahorniak, J., Peterson, T., Siliò-Calzada, A., Röttgers, R., Lee, Z., Peters, M., Brockmann, C., 2015. CoastColour Round Robin data sets: a database to evaluate the performance of algorithms for the retrieval of water quality parameters in coastal waters. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 7, 319–348. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-7-319-2015
- Neto, J.M., Gaspar, R., Pereira, L., Marques, J.C., 2012. Marine Macroalgae Assessment Tool (MarMAT) for intertidal rocky shores. Quality assessment under the scope of the European Water Framework Directive. Ecol. Indic. 19, 39–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.006
- Nixon, S.W., 1995. Coastal marine eutrophication: A definition, social causes and future concerns. Ophelia 41, 199–219.
- Ørberg, S.B., Krause-Jensen, D., Mouritsen, K.N., Olesen, B., Marbà, N., Larsen, M.H., Blicher, M.E.,
   Sejr, M.K., 2018. Canopy-Forming Macroalgae Facilitate Recolonization of Sub-Arctic
   Intertidal Fauna and Reduce Temperature Extremes. Front. Mar. Sci. 5, 1–13.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00332

- Paine, R.T., 2010. Macroecology: Does It Ignore or Can It Encourage Further Ecological Syntheses
   Based on Spatially Local Experimental Manipulations?: (American Society of Naturalists
   Address). Am. Nat. 176, 385–393. https://doi.org/10.1086/656273
- Pavia, H., Toth, G.B., 2000. Inducible chemical resistance to herbivory in the brown seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum 81, 3212–3225.

- Pereira, H., David Cooper, H., 2006. Towards the global monitoring of biodiversity change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.015
  - Pickett, S.T.A., 1989. Space-for-Time Substitution as an Alternative to Long-Term Studies, in: Likens, G.E. (Ed.), Long-Term Studies in Ecology. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp. 110–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7358-6 5
  - Pocklington, J.B., Jenkins, S.R., Bellgrove, A., Keough, M.J., O'Hara, T.D., Masterson-Algar, P.E., Hawkins, S.J., 2018. Disturbance alters ecosystem engineering by a canopy-forming alga. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 98, 687–698. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315416002009
  - Prasad, A.M., Iverson, L.R., Liaw, A., 2006. Newer Classification and Regression Tree Techniques: Bagging and Random Forests for Ecological Prediction. Ecosystems 9, 181–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-005-0054-1
  - Ramos, E., Juanes, J.A., Galván, C., Neto, J.M., Melo, R., Pedersen, A., Scanlan, C., Wilkes, R., van den Bergh, E., Blomqvist, M., Karup, H.P., Heiber, W., Reitsma, J.M., Ximenes, M.C., Silió, A., Méndez, F., González, B., 2012. Coastal waters classification based on physical attributes along the NE Atlantic region. An approach for rocky macroalgae potential distribution. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 112, 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.11.041
  - Ramos, E., Puente, A., Juanes, J.A., Neto, J.M., Pedersen, A., Bartsch, I., Scanlan, C., Wilkes, R., Van den Bergh, E., Ar Gall, E., Melo, R., 2014. Biological validation of physical coastal waters classification along the NE Atlantic region based on rocky macroalgae distribution. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 147, 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.05.036
  - Sagarin, R.D., Gaines, S.D., Gaylord, B., 2006. Moving beyond assumptions to understand abundance distributions across the ranges of species. Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 524–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.06.008
  - Sbrocco, E.J., Barber, P.H., 2013. MARSPEC: ocean climate layers for marine spatial ecology: *Ecological Archives* E094-086. Ecology 94, 979–979. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1358.1
  - Schoch, G.C., Menge, B.A., Allison, G., Kavanaugh, M., Thompson, S.A., A. Wood, S., 2006. Fifteen degrees of separation: Latitudinal gradients of rocky intertidal biota along the California Current. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 2564–2585. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.6.2564
  - Schonbeck, M., Norton, T.A., 1978. Factors controlling the upper limits of fucoid algae on the shore. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 31, 303–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(78)90065-5
  - SHOM, 2020. Information géographique maritime et littorale de référence [WWW Document]. URL See https://data.shom.fr/
  - Spalding, M.D., Fox, H.E., Allen, G.R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z.A., Finlayson, M., Halpern, B.S., Jorge, M.A., Lombana, A., Lourie, S.A., Martin, K.D., McManus, E., Molnar, J., Recchia, C.A., Robertson, J., 2007. Marine Ecoregions of the World: A Bioregionalization of Coastal and Shelf Areas. BioScience 57, 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707
  - Strain, E.M.A., Thomson, R.J., Micheli, F., Mancuso, F.P., Airoldi, L., 2014. Identifying the interacting roles of stressors in driving the global loss of canopy-forming to mat-forming algae in marine ecosystems. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 3300–3312. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12619
  - Theobald, E.J., Ettinger, A.K., Burgess, H.K., DeBey, L.B., Schmidt, N.R., Froehlich, H.E., Wagner, C., HilleRisLambers, J., Tewksbury, J., Harsch, M.A., Parrish, J.K., 2015. Global change and local solutions: Tapping the unrealized potential of citizen science for biodiversity research. Biol. Conserv. 181, 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.10.021
- Thompson, R.C., Crowe, T.P., Hawkins, S.J., 2002. Rocky intertidal communities: past environmental changes, present status and predictions for the next 25 years. Environ. Conserv. 29, 168–191. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892902000115

```
Tonani, M., Sykes, P., King, R.R., McConnell, N., Péquignet, A.-C., O'Dea, E., Graham, J.A., Polton, J.,
Siddorn, J., 2019. The impact of a new high-resolution ocean model on the Met Office North-
West European Shelf forecasting system. Ocean Sci. 15, 1133–1158.
https://doi.org/10.5194/os-15-1133-2019
```

- Tulloch, A.I.T., Possingham, H.P., Joseph, L.N., Szabo, J., Martin, T.G., 2013. Realising the full potential
   of citizen science monitoring programs. Biol. Conserv. 165, 128–138.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.05.025
  - Valdivia, N., Scrosati, R.A., Molis, M., Knox, A.S., 2011. Variation in Community Structure across Vertical Intertidal Stress Gradients: How Does It Compare with Horizontal Variation at Different Scales? PLoS ONE 6, e24062. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024062

- van den Hoek, C., 1975. Phytogeographic provinces along the coasts of the northern Atlantic Ocean. Phycologia 14, 317–330. https://doi.org/10.2216/i0031-8884-14-4-317.1
- van der Velde, T., Milton, D.A., Lawson, T.J., Wilcox, C., Lansdell, M., Davis, G., Perkins, G., Hardesty, B.D., 2017. Comparison of marine debris data collected by researchers and citizen scientists: Is citizen science data worth the effort? Biol. Conserv. 208, 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.025
- Vinagre, P.A., 2016a. Response of macroalgae and macroinvertebrates to anthropogenic disturbance gradients in rocky shores. Ecol. Indic. 61, 850–854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.038
  - Vinagre, P.A., 2016b. Ability of invertebrate indices to assess ecological condition on intertidal rocky shores. Ecol. Indic. 70, 255–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.06.004
  - Vye, S.R., Dickens, S., Adams, L., Bohn, K., Chenery, J., Dobson, N., Dunn, R.E., Earp, H.S., Evans, M., Foster, C., Grist, H., Holt, B., Hull, S., Jenkins, S.R., Lamont, P., Long, S., Mieszkowska, N., Millard, J., Morrall, Z., Pack, K., Parry-Wilson, H., Pocklington, J., Pottas, J., Richardson, L., Scott, A., Sugden, H., Watson, G., West, V., Winton, D., Delany, J., Burrows, M.T., 2020. Patterns of abundance across geographical ranges as a predictor for responses to climate change: Evidence from UK rocky shores. Divers. Distrib. 26, 1357–1365. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13118
  - Watt, C.A., Scrosati, R.A., 2013a. Bioengineer effects on understory species richness, diversity, and composition change along an environmental stress gradient: Experimental and mensurative evidence. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 123, 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.006
  - Watt, C.A., Scrosati, R.A., 2013b. Regional consistency of intertidal elevation as a mediator of seaweed canopy effects on benthic species richness, diversity, and composition. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 491, 91–99. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10521
  - Wells, E., Wilkinson, M., Wood, P., Scanlan, C., 2007. The use of macroalgal species richness and composition on intertidal rocky seashores in the assessment of ecological quality under the European Water Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 151–161.
  - Williams, G., 1992. The effects of predation on the life histories of Littorina obtusata and Littorina mariae. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 72, 403–412.
  - Williams, G., 1990. The comparative ecology of the flat periwinkles, Littorina obtusata (L.) and L. mariae Sacchi et Rastelli. Fied Stud. 7, 469–482.
  - WorldPop, 2020. WorldPop -Global High Resolution Population Denominators Project [WWW Document]. URL www.worldpop.org
- Worm, B., Lotze, H.K., 2006. Effects of eutrophication, grazing, and algal blooms on rocky shores. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 569–579. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1\_part\_2.0569

	Variable		Abb	Units	Native Spatial résolution	Temporal coverage	Source	
Selected in final models	Large- scale							
Х		Bathymetry	Bath	m	1 km	-	MARSPEC (Sbrocco and Barber, 2013)	
Х		Mean of annual SST	SST.M	°C	1 km	Mean (2002- 2010)	MARSPEC (Sbrocco and Barber, 2013)	
X		SST of the coldest month	SST.cold	°C	1 km	Mean (2002- 2010)	MARSPEC (Sbrocco and Barber, 2013)	
		Annual range of SST	SST.r	°C	1 km		MARSPEC (Sbrocco and Barber, 2013)	
		SST of the warmest month	SST.warm	°C	1 km		MARSPEC (Sbrocco and Barber, 2013)	
X		Mean Salinity	Sal	-	~3 km x ~2 km	Average value of 2020 daily mean	(Tonani et al., 2019)	
		Salinity Standard deviation	Sal.sd	-	~3 km x ~2 km	Average value of 2020 daily mean	(Tonani et al., 2019)	
X		Mean Chloa concentrations	Chloa	mg.m <sup>-3</sup>	1 km	Average value of daily mean (2013-2015)	(Gohin et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2012)	
		Chloa concentrations Standard déviation	Chloa.sd	mg.m <sup>-3</sup>	1 km	Average value of daily mean (2013-2015)	(Gohin et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2012)	
X		Kinetic Energy due to Waves	WKE	N.m <sup>-2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup>	300 m	Mean of 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile (2010-2015)	(Boudière et al., 2013)	
Χ		Tide amplitude	Tamp	m		(========)	(SHOM, 2020)	
Х		Wave Exposure index	WE	-	90 m		(Burrows et al., 2008)	
X		N-NO <sub>2</sub> - + N-NO <sub>3</sub> - concentrations	Nit	μmol.l <sup>-1</sup>	-	Mean (2012- 2020)	(Belin et al., 2019)	
		N-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> + N-NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentrations Standard deviation	Nit.sd	μmol.l <sup>-1</sup>	-	Mean (2012- 2020)	(Belin et al., 2019)	
X		Mean of Total Suspended Matter	TSM.M	mg.l <sup>-1</sup>	300 m	Average value of daily mean (2008-2012)	(Nechad et al., 2015)	
		Total Suspended Matter Standard deviation	TSM.sd	mg.l <sup>-1</sup>	300 m	Average value of daily mean (2008-2012)	(Nechad et al., 2015)	
Х		Coastal population density	Рор			2015	(WorldPop, 2020)	
	Small- scale							
Х		Nature of seaweed belt (shore level)	SW	-	-	-	BioLit program	
Х		Sampling effort (N° of replicates)	Rep	-	-	-	BioLit program	

Х	Canopy cover class	Cover	% class	-	-	BioLit program

Table 1 Environmental predictors considered during the modeling process, with abbreviation used, units, native résolution and temporal coverage. Predictors were grouped according to their scale of influence (Small- vs. Large-scale). Predictors included in the final set are identified by X.

Response variables	mtry	RMSE	E R²	Moran's I	Fixed predictors
Response variables	ilitiy	KWIJL	N.	Wordinst	SW / Cover class
S	15	0.87±0.1	0.51±0.1	-0.11 NS	Fvesi/ 0-5%
Tot_ab	15	0.75 ±0.1	0.57±0.1	-0.1 NS	Fvesi/ 0-5%
S.umbi	15	1.11 ±0.2	0.67±0.1	-0.11 NS	Fvesi/ 25-50%
L.fab/obt	15	1.07 ±0.1	0.44±0.1	-0.07 NS	Fvesi / 75-100%
Patella.spp	15	1.16 ±0.1	0.45±0.1	-0.02 NS	Fvesi/ 0-5%
S.penn	15	1.22 ±0.1	0.48±0.1	-0.1 NS	Fser/ 75-100%
P.line	15	1.08 ±0.1	0.55±0.1	-0.09 NS	Fspir/ 0-5%
L.litto	2	1.05 ±0.1	0.37±0.1	-0.07 NS	Fvesi/ 0-5%
L.saxa/comp	15	0.87 ±0.1	0.46±0.2	0.01 NS	Pel/ 0-5%
N.lapi	28	0.92 ±0.2	0.29±0.2	-	-
S.cine	25	0.50 ±0.2	0.37±0.2	-0.15 NS	F.ser/ 75-100%
T.reti	28	0.65 ±0.2	0.08±0.1	-	-
O.erin	2	0.42 ±0.1	0.20±0.2	-	-
C.zyzi	2	0.29 ±0.2	0.29±0.2	-	-
O.inor	28	0.15 ±0.1	0.01±0.0	-	-
G.magu	28	0.13 ±0.1	0.29±0.1	-	-

Table 2. Performance metrics of the best RF models (RMSE and R²) according to the 'mtry' parameter from cross-validation procedure for taxonomic richness (S), total abundance (Tot\_ab) and for each taxon. Steromphala umbilicalis (S.umbi), the complex Littorina fabalis/L. obtusata (L.fab/obt), Patella spp (Patella.spp), Steromphala pennanti (S.penn), Phorcus lineatus (P.line), Littorina littorea (L.litto), complex Littorina saxatilis/L. compressa (L.saxa/comp), Nucella lapillus (N.lapi), Steromphala cineraria (S.cine), Tritia reticulata (T.reti), Ocenebra erinaceus (O.erin), Calliostoma zyziphynum (C.zyzi), Ocinebrellus inornatus (O.inor) and Gibbula magus (G.magu). Small-scale fixed predictors (i.e. seaweed belt (SW) and canopy cover class (Cover class)) used for model predictions and spatial

autocorrelation index (Moran's I) were indicated for robust models (R²>0.35). SW abbreviations: *Pelvetia canaliculata* (**Pel**), *Fucus spiralis* (**Fspir**), *F. vesiculosus* (**F.vesi**), *F. serratus* (**Fser**). Non significant (NS) low values of Moran'I index indicate an absence of spatial autocorrelation in model residuals.

	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3	Rank 4	Rank 5	Rank 6
S	SW.Pel (100)	Nit (79.8)	Cover (76.9)	TSM.M (72.8)	WKE (70.9)	Pop (62.3)
Tot_ab	TSM.M (100)	SW.Pel (87.3)	WKE (62)	Nit (53.4)	Cover (50.3)	SST.cold (48.7)
S.umbi	SW.Pel (100)	TSM.M (38.4)	Nit (27.8)	Cover (23.1)	WKE (18)	SST.cold (10)
Patella.spp	WKE (100)	TSM.M (96)	Cover (78.5)	SST.cold (49.6)	Rep (38.03)	SW.Pel (37.1)
S.penn	SW.Fser (100)	Cover (30.5)	Nit (30)	TSM.M (21.5)	Pop (20.1)	Rep (19.2)
P.line	Cover (100)	WKE (48.6)	SW.Fser (33)	TSM.M (25.9)	Nit (20.2)	Rep (20.1)
L.fab.obt	SW.Pel (100)	Pop (60.4)	Cover (51.5)	Rep (36.6)	Sal (31.5)	Nit (24.2)
<b>L.litto</b>	Nit (100)	Chloa (97.3)	Sal (94.2)	WKE (92.1)	Pop (87.9.2)	SSTcold(80.3)
L.saxa.comp	SW.Pel (100)	Cover (48.5)	Rep (38.2)	SW.Fspir (35.5)	Nit (30.4)	Bath (25.3)
S.cine	SW.Fser (100)	Rep (97.7)	WKE (72.5)	Cover (46.7)	Pop (39.8)	Sal (39.4)

Table 3. Ranking of the 6 most important predictors according to standardized values of variable importance (in brackets) of each robust model (R²>0.35). Standardized values represent the relative importance scaled to 100, identifying the most important predictor. Abbreviations: Mean total suspended matter (TSM.M), Canopy cover class (Cover), inorganic nitrogen concentrations (Nit), Kinetic Energy due to Waves (WKE), Sampling effort (Rep), Chlorophyll a concentration (Chloa), Mean salinity (Sal), Coastal population density (Pop), Sea Surface Temperature of the coldest month (SST.cold), and the nature of seaweed belt (SW), namely *Pelvetia canaliculata* (SW.Pel), *Fucus spiralis* (SW.Fspir), *Fucus serratus* (SW.Fser).




Figure 1. Locations of sampled rocky-shores. The study area crossed 3 ecoregions (the North Sea, the Celtic Sea and the South European Atlantic Shelf) according to the 'Marine Ecoregion Of the World' biogeographic system (Spalding et al., 2007). For each point, labels refer to the vernacular shore name: ADG: "Anse Du Gesclin", AUD: "Audresselles", AYT: "Aytre", BAS: "La Bastille", BDM: "Baie des Marsoins", BFB: "Bas Fort Blanc". BOU: "Les Boucholeurs", BRE: "Bretteville", BSM: "Blainville-sur-mer", CAB: "Cabellou", CAL: "Cale", CDB: "Chef de Baie", CDG: "Cale de Gornevez", CDS: "Concheau de Suzac", CFR: "Cap Fréhel", DEL: "Dellec", DJO: "Dame jouanne", EVE: "L'Eventail", FOG: "Fogeo", FON: "Fort National", GC: "Grande Corbière – Chausey »", GLE: "Glénan (Saint-Nicolas)", GRB: "Grand Bé", HSE: "Houston SE – Chausey", IBO: "Ile de Boed", IDB: "Iles des Ebihens", IDU: "Ile Dumet", ILB: "Ile de Bailleron", ILC: "Ilot de la Colombière", ILM: "Iles aux Mouettes", ISL: "L'Islet", ISM: "Ilot Saint-Michel", IVE: "Ile Verte", KCD: "Kercouedo", KER:

"Kermorvan", KIB: "KignerBell", LI: "Longue IIe – Chausey", LOI: "Loix", MIN: "Les Minimes", PAG: "Pors-Ar-Goret", PDA: "Pointe de l'Arcouest", PDC: "Pointe du Chay", PDF: "Pointe de la Fumée", PDL: "Pointe de la Loge", PDP: "Pointe des Prouards", PEA: "Petit Ailly", PEG: "Père Gus", PEN: "Penvins", PIE: "Piegu", PIS: "La Piscine", PLA: "Platin", PM: "Port Marie – Chausey", PNA: "Port Navalo", POC: "Pont de la Chaume", PPL: "Pointe du Plomb", QUI: "Quiberville", ROP: "Roche Percée", ROV: "Roche Vilaine", SEN: "Saint-Enogat", SGB: "Saint Guirec/Bastille", SGO: "Saint Goustan", SJS: "Saint Jean-des-Sables", SMM: "Sainte Marguerite-sur-mer", SNE: "Sud Nellière", TAR: "La Tara", TAT: "Tatihou", TRE: "Trenez", VEC: "Vert-clos".




Figure 2. Partial dependance Plot (PdP) representing responses with the seaweed belts (**A**; barplot) and PdP in association with Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) (**B**; yellow curve + coloured curves, respectively) representing relation with other most relevant predictors: Mean total suspended matter (**TSM.M**), inorganic nitrogen concentrations (**Nit**), Kinetic Energy due to Waves (**WKE**), Sampling effort (**Rep**) and Canopy cover class (**Cover**) of each robust models (R<sup>2</sup> > 0.35): taxonomic richness (**S**), total gastropod abundance (**Tot\_ab**), *Steromphala umbilicalis* (**S.umbi**), *Patella spp* (**Patella.spp**), the complex *Littorina fabalis/L. obtusata* (**L.fab.obt**), *Steromphala pennanti* (**S.penn**), *Phorcus lineatus* (**P.line**), *Littorina littorea* (**L.litto**), complex *Littorina saxatilis/L. compressa* 

(**L.saxa.comp**) and *Steromphala cineraria* (**S.cine**); Cover class refers to the ordinal cover scale (**1**: 0-5%; **2**:5-25%; **3**:25-50%; **4**:50-75; **5**:75-100%). ICE describes the response for each seaweed belt, while PdP shows the overall average response. At the bottom of the subplot panel, black ticks provide the distribution of each predictor.




Figure 3. Predicted spatial variability of mean taxonomic richness (S, left panel) and mean total abundance (right panel) of gastropods along the rocky shores of the French Atlantic coast. Total abundance colour scale was log-transformed. Squares highlight lowest predicted values retrieved for the estuaries (A) The Seine (49°26'02"N; 0°12'24"E), (B) the Loire (47°30'00"N; 2°28'52"W) and the Vilaine (47°17'23"N; 2°07'28"W) and (C) the Charente (45°57'19"N; 1°04'41"W).




Figure 4. Pearson's correlation matrix of spatial abundance predictions for each response variable. Positive correlations (from orange to red) identify similarity in spatial abundance pattern, while negative ones (from violet to blue) highlight opposition.

**Credit Author Statement** 

**CRediT authorship contribution statement** 

**Bruno Serranito:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation, Investigations, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft

**Tristan Dimeglio:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Ressource, Investigations, Writing – Review and Editing,

**Fred Ysnel:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Methodology, Investigations, Writing – Review and Editing

Anne Lizé: Supervision, Writing – Review and Editing

**Eric Feunteun:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Investigations, Writing – Review and Editing, Project administration

Declaration of Interest Statement

## **Declaration of interests**

	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.	
	□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:	
l ·		