



HAL
open science

Ornithopter's intra-flapping body pitch highly depends on wingbeat frequency

Abdoullah Ndoye, Sabrine Samorah Laki, Romain Miot, Edwin van Ruymbeke, Franck Ruffier

► To cite this version:

Abdoullah Ndoye, Sabrine Samorah Laki, Romain Miot, Edwin van Ruymbeke, Franck Ruffier. Ornithopter's intra-flapping body pitch highly depends on wingbeat frequency. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 2021, 24 (Sup 1), pp.S101-S103. 10.1080/10255842.2021.1978758 . hal-03466692v1

HAL Id: hal-03466692

<https://hal.science/hal-03466692v1>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2021 (v1), last revised 15 Dec 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ornithopter’s intra-flapping body pitch highly depends on wingbeat frequency

Abdoullah Ndoye^{a#}, Sabine Samorah Laki^{a#}, Romain Miot^b, Edwin Van Ruymbeke^b and Franck Ruffier^a

^aAix Marseille Univ, CNRS, ISM, Marseille, France; ^bXTIM, Marseille, France

[#]Both authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

1. Introduction

Many recent studies on flapping-wings UAV (Ruffier 2018) focused on the dynamic model in the vertical plane. Some authors modeled the flapping-wings UAV using airplane-like model (Sanchez-Laulhe et al. 2020) without considering the intra-flapping dynamics while others considered a sinusoidal motion (Norberg 1985).

During flight tests with Metafly developed by XTIM, we noticed that the flapping of wings creates a

Table 1. Metafly specifications.

Length	190 mm
Wingspan	290 mm
Wing chord	85 mm
Wing amplitude	55°
Flapping frequencies that keep the Metafly in the air	13–20 Hz
Mass / Mass with markers	10 / 13.2 grams
Flight duration	8 minutes




Figure 1. (Left) Wing total amplitude (55°) upstroke amplitude (45°) downstroke amplitude (10°). (Right) MetaFly pitch and roll axis.




Figure 2. Metafly flapping wing mechanism (Van Ruymbeke 2013).

small body pitch oscillation of about ±5° maximum amplitude called intra-flapping pitch.

In the first section, a complete description of the MetaFly and its specifications will be given. Subsequently, the experimental setup will be described as well as the method used to obtain the I/O data. Then, the dynamic model of the Metafly will be identified using experimental flight data and the identification results will be discussed in the last section.

2. Ornithopter description

The Metafly is very small and lightweight compared to existing ornithopters. Table 1 shows its main specifications (Figure 1).

The flapping wing mechanism designed by XTIM and patented by Edwin Van RUYMBEKE (Van Ruymbeke 2013) gives a continuous alternative rotation movement of the wings. A miniature two-stages



Figure 3. Markers of rigid body wing (left) and body (right).




Figure 4. Transfer function model with input $\beta(s)$ and output $\theta_{intra-flapping}(s)$.




Figure 5. Effect of wingbeat movement on intra-flapping pitch. The three blue samples were merged to identify the dynamics using MATLAB. The excitations frequencies are limited by the flight envelope of the Metafly. The aerostructure creates a low frequency mode (~2 Hz, (a)).




Figure 6. Validation with separated data (left). Bode diagram of H(s) (right).

Table 2. Model parameters.

$K, \zeta_r, \zeta_p1,2$	4.04, 8.38, $-21.92 \pm 101.15i$
$\zeta_r, \omega_r, \omega_n$	0.21, 103.5 rad/s, 16.47 Hz

planetary gearset system connects the DC motor powered with a 3.7V liPo battery to the crank with a reduction up to 385. The reduction is set to 36 for the Metafly. Figure 2 shows this flapping wing mechanism. The rotation of the crank causes the alternative rotation of the slideway around the wing L axis (this rotation is possible thanks to the slide linkage between the crank and the slideway). Gear L (fixed to the slideway) drag along gear R that will rotate around wing R axis at the same speed and symmetrically to the slideway. By connecting the left wing to the slideway and the right wing to Gear R, we obtain a continuous and synchronized alternative wingbeat.

3. Experimental setup

To record and analyze the movements of the MetaFly, a VICON motion capture was used. The Vicon motion capture system was composed of a set of cameras emitting infrared light reflected by markers: the position and the orientation (quaternion) of the defined rigid body was given through VICON TRACKER software.

During the flight tests, two rigid bodies were recorded: (i) ‘wing’ and (ii) ‘body’ (Figure 3). The flapping wings movement was obtained by calculating the quaternion between the ‘wing’ orientation and the ‘body’ orientation to isolate the wingbeat movement. The body pitch was directly obtained from the rigid body ‘body’. Figure 1 (right) shows the pitch and the roll axis.

We observed during forward flight tests that the wingbeat created intra-flapping pitch. The VICON motion capture can lose track when the Metafly flies outside the detection volume (4x6x3 ?³) or report

data with outliers due to e.g. markers occlusion (Figure 4). Consequently, only the parts where the Metafly was detected were selected and merged to identify the mathematical model (see Figure 5, blue samples).

4. Modeling of the intra-flapping pitch

Throughout the flight tests, we made two observations. First, the wingbeat movement causes an intra-flapping pitch at the same frequency. Second, the amplitude of the intra-flapping pitch increases until a certain value of the frequency (resonance) and starts decreasing. This is very similar to the behavior of dissipative systems subject to forced oscillations with low damping. Therefore, we chose a model with two poles to describe these dynamics. A zero is added to increase stability. The transfer function between the intra-flapping pitch, $\theta_{intra-flapping}$, and the wingbeat $\beta(s)$ is therefore reported in Equation 1.

$$H(S) = \frac{\theta_{intra-flapping}(s)}{\beta(s)} = \frac{K(c_2s + 1)}{(c_{p1}s + 1)(c_{p2}s + 1)} \quad (1)$$

The identified model fits the identification data to a 79.93% percentage. Figure 6 shows that a fit of 87.82% is obtained by validating this model with another dataset. Hence, one can say that the model H(s) (eq. (1)) accurately represents the intra-flapping pitch dynamics of the Metafly. While analyzing the frequency response of the identified transfer function (Figure 6, Bode diagram), one could observe a resonance effect at 16.51 Hz. One should note that the value of this frequency will depend on the biomimetic bird mass. This frequency was obtained with a mass of 1.2g on the wings, compared to the original wing mass of 0.42g.

In order to extract the intra-flapping pitch from the Metafly pitch during its 3D flight, a Butterworth filter was applied between 11 Hz and 24 Hz. Note that

the intra-flapping pitch is the AC component of the Metafly body pitch (Figure 5).

MATLAB's System Identification Toolbox was used to identify the parameters of the transfer function $H(s)$ from $\beta(s)$ and $\theta_{intra-flapping(s)}$ real data.

5. Results

The parameters values were found to be (Table 2)

6. Conclusion

First, a VICON motion capture was used to track the wingbeat movement around the roll axis as well as the body pitch. During these tests, a resonance effect in the intra-flapping pitch was observed at 16.51 Hz. The model fitted the estimation data to 79.93% and the validation data to 87.82%. It could be interesting for future work to investigate (i) the effect of the mass of wing markers on the 'resonant' frequency of intra-flapping pitch dynamics, (ii) the use of markerless technologies and (iii) the effect of aerodynamic forces on the wingbeat during aggressive maneuvers.

Acknowledgement

Financial support was provided via a Rapid project grant to E.v.R. and F.R. from DGA called Biofly.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Ruffier F. 2018. Robotic-flapper maneuvers and fruitfly turns. *Science*. 361(6407) 1073–1074.
- Sanchez-Laulhe E, Fernandez-Feria R, Acosta JÁ, Ollero A. 2020. Effects of Unsteady Aerodynamics on Gliding Stability of a Bio-Inspired UAV. *IEEE ICUAS conf.* p. 1596–1604.
- Norberg UM. 1985. Evolution of vertebrate flight: An aerodynamic model for the transition from gliding to active flight. *Am Naturalist*. 126(3):303–327.
- Van Ruymbeke E. 2013. Flying toy able to move by the flapping of wings. United States patent US 8,382,546.

KEYWORDS Ornithopter; flapping-wing UAV; pitch oscillations; wingbeat; identification

 abdoullah.ndoye@univ-amu.fr