



HAL
open science

Elaboration of individual thought during collective philosophical discussion: a reciprocal enrichment

Emmanuèle Auriac-Slusarczyk, Hélène Maire, Antonietta Specogna

► To cite this version:

Emmanuèle Auriac-Slusarczyk, Hélène Maire, Antonietta Specogna. Elaboration of individual thought during collective philosophical discussion: a reciprocal enrichment. 11th International Conference on the Dialogical Self, Jun 2021, Madrid, Spain. hal-03457127

HAL Id: hal-03457127

<https://hal.science/hal-03457127v1>

Submitted on 30 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Elaboration of individual thought during collective philosophical discussion: a reciprocal enrichment

Emmanuele Auriac-Slusarczyk, H el ene Maire & Antonietta Specogna



Plan

THEORETICAL FRAME
VIEW ON PREVIOUS
STUDY
METHODOLOGY
RESULTS
CONCLUSION
DISCUSSION



Theoretical frame

Herman H, J. M., & Kemen, H.J.G. (1993). ***The Dialogical Self: Meaning as Movement***. Publisher: Academic Press.

Hubert J.M., Hermans, Harry J.G. Kempen, & van Loon R.J.P. (1992). **The dialogical self: Beyond individualism and rationalism**. *American Psychologist*, 2, 47, 23-33

Lipman, M. (2006). ***A l'école de la pensée. Enseigner une pensée holistique***. Bruxelles: De Boeck et Larcier.

Specogna, A. Lauricella, M., & Saint-Dizier, V. (2019). **Between oral and writing input from philosophically oriented discussions**. *Quaderni di Didattica della Scrittura*, 32, 2.

Saint-Dizier de Almeida, Colletta, J-M, Auriac-Slusarczyk, E., Specogna, A., & Fiema, G. (2016). **Collaborative philosophical inquiry: A theoretical and methodological framework applied to a case study**. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 29(5), 686-713. doi: 10.1080/09518398.2016.1145277

Topping, K. J., & Trickey, S. (2014). **The role of dialog in philosophy for children**. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 63, 69-78. doi:10.1016/j.ijer.2013.01.002

Definition and *corpus* illustration

Where is the truth ?
To believe or to know?

Corpora Extract from *Anda Fournel's* Ph. D. dissertation (2019)

135	Teacher	mais cela n'est peut-être pas vrai ?/ but this may not be true ?
136	Clément	ouais/yeah
137	Jean-Luc	en gros c'est pas sûr /basically that's not sure
138	Clément	et croire ça peut être penser // par exemple euh/and to believe may be to think // for example um:
139	Jean-Luc	en gros c'est pas sûr ?/basically that's not sure ?
140	Clément	non c'est pas sûr à tous les coups // par contre tu vois un (x) devant toi // là t'es / t'es sûr que (xxx)/ no that's not sure every time // but if you see a (x) in front of you then you're / you're sure that (xxx)

The “*dialogical self*” is a psychological concept which describes the mind’s **ability to imagine the different positions** of **participants** in an internal dialogue, in close connection with external dialogue. Dialogical self theory (DST) was created and developed by **Hubert Hermans since the 1990s**.

The “*philosophical discussion in community of inquiry*” is a pedagogical disposition in which the mind’s **ability to imagine the different positions** of participants in an internal dialogue is in close connection with creative, critical and caring thinking. Philosophy for Children (P4C) was created and developed by **Matthew Lipman since 1969**.

Qualitative comparison
between two classes
Grades 6 and 7

The data

Participants, grade,
topic of the
philosophical
discussion, and turn-
talkings individual and
collective proportion

and

Previously descriptive
individual tendency

Grade	Number of turn-talkings	Topic
6 (n= 7)	438	What is the destiny ?
7 (n= 6)	245	To believe or to know ?

Name	Number of turn-talking
Shade	173
Abelle	86
Yann	20
Ramon	68
Elias	28
Dan	40
Pierre	23

Name	Number of turn-talking
Léonie	98
Clément	44
Nizam	7
Medhi	11
Jean-Luc	62
Nourra	23


Data: Previous Study

Extract from

Auriac-
Slusarczyk, E. &
Maire, H. (2021),
*Quelles assises
pour le
déploiement
des pensées?
Etude
comparative
des propos
d'élèves dans
le corpus
a(p)prendre.*
Grenoble: UGA
Editions.

The amplitude of verbal reasoning : comparisons between pupils.
[Calculated in consideration to each step of act thinking]

See **Shade** vs. **Jean-L.** ★




Data : previously Study

Extract from

Auriac-Slusarczyk, E. & Maire, H. (2021), *Quelles assises pour le déploiement des pensées? Etude comparative des propos d'élèves dans le corpus a(p)prendre*. Grenoble: UGA Editions.

Number of turn-talkings : comparisons between pupils

See **Shade** vs. **Jean L.**





Methodology

Based on transcribed corpora of Anda Fournel's Ph. D. dissertation (2019).

TWO STEPS

1. SELECTION OF LANGUAGE INDICATORS :

- a) **Doubt** (*maybe, I don't know...*)
- b) **Negation** (*not, no...*)
- c) Type of **language speech act** (*assertive, directive... etc. see, Austin, 1962*)
- d) **Logical connections** (*but, and so, or...*)

2. TRACKING OF THOUGHT MOVEMENTS INTEGRATION :

- a) Using **external dialogue**
- b) **Appropriation**
- c) **Multiplicity of positions integration**

Examples: linguistic analysis of individual turn talking of each pupil

140	Clément	non c'est pas sûr à tous les coups // par contre tu vois un (x) devant toi // là t'es /t'es sûr que (xxx)/ no it's not one hundred percent sure / But if you see a (x) in front / you are sure that (Xxx)
141	Léonie	sauf si t'en n'as / sauf si t'en n'a jamais eu // tu viens de naître // on te met un (xx) devant toi
142	Jean-Luc	non mais/ But no
143	Clément	(xxxxxxx)
144	Jean-Luc	non non mais/ No no but
145	Clément	(xxxx) au secours/ Help
146	Enseign.2	allez stop // respectez les tours de parole (xx) puisque si non ça va/ stop it / respect the turn talking or
147	Léonie	Nourra
148	Nourra	non c'est bon // je voulais dire que croire aussi c'était penser mais // il l'a dit/ no that's ok/ I just wanted to say that believing is thinking but / he said
149	Enseign.2	penser savoir/ thinking is knowing

C'est pas sûr: **doubt**

Par contre: **logical connection**

Non mais: **negation**

Je voulais dire: **reference anchorage of discourse**



Results

Description of internal discourse: correlation between several indicators

combined with

Correlation study of types of appropriation using external discourse

Results: The cohesion and coherence of internal discourse

	Takings-charge of discourse	Questions	Doubts	Shades/tempers	Logical connections	« No »
Takings-charge of discourse	1,000**	-0,047	0,483	-0,215	0,655*	0,477
Questions		1,000**	-0,181	-0,354	-0,211	0,055
Doubts			1,000**	0,109	0,710**	0,221
Shades/tempers				1,000**	0,178	0,004
Logical connections					1,000**	0,599*
« No »						1,000**

Circularity of discursive cohesive markers

Four discursive indicators are correlated :

1. **Doubts**
2. **References anchorage of discourse**
3. **Explicit negations**
4. **Logical connections**
depending on the age (see after)

Results

The older pupils are , the more they use logical connections in their internal discourse.

	Grade	Takings-charge of discourse	Questions	Doubts	Shades/tempers	Logical connections	« No »
Grade	1,000**	-0,459	-0,015	-0,350	-0,378	-0,632*	-0,210
Takings-charge of discourse		1,000**	-0,047	0,483	-0,215	0,655*	0,477
Questions			1,000**	-0,181	-0,354	-0,211	0,055
Doubts				1,000**	0,109	0,710**	0,221
Shades/tempers					1,000**	0,178	0,004
Logical connections						1,000**	0,599*
« No »							1,000*

Results: Own appropriation or integration of multiplicity of positions

	Age	Moral level	Gender	Supports on alter-position	Take-over of alter-position	Approvals	Doxa
Age	1,000**	1,000**	0,220	-0,284	0,707*	0,323	0,163
Moral level		1,000**	0,220	-0,284	0,707*	0,323	0,163
Gender			1,000**	-0,395	0,231	0,473	-0,231
Supports on alter-position				1,000**	-0,169	-0,549	0,321
Take-over of alter-position					1,000**	0,597*	0,464
Approvals						1,000**	-0,103
Doxa							1,000**

Depending on

1. One **structural** factor : **Age** or moral level
2. One **discursive** factor: Presence of **explicit approvals** in external dialogue

Example: Leonie's turn talking 231

231 Léonie mais si **il** peut pas le prouver // s'**il** dit juste // **je** crois en dieu // **je** suis sûr qu'il existe // j'ai pas d' preuve mais **je** suis sûr // **toi tu** vas pas dire // ah c'est bon c'est vrai // **même si** c'est une perso/ **même si** c'est ton meilleur ami ton père ou ta mère ?

But if **he** can't prove it / if **he** just says / I believe in God / I 'm sure he exists / I have no proof but I'm sure / **YOU** are not going to say/ Ok then that's true / **even if** it's someone / **even if** it's your best friend, your father or your mother

- Position of participant **multi-voiced self** : **il (he) je (I) tu (you)**
- **Imaginative** position: **you are not going to say « Ok then, that's true »**
- **Logical connection** to increase the coherence of discourse: **But - even if -or**

Results: Integration/appropriation of alter/external position

Using **assertions** is positively correlated with **taking over of alter-position** and using **doxa**.

	Supports on alter-position	Take-over of alter-position	Approvals	Doxa	Assertions
Supports on alter-position	1,000**	-0,169	-0,549	0,301	0,038
Take-over of alter-position		1,000**	0,597*	0,464	0,827**
Approvals			1,000**	-0,103	0,280
Doxa				1,000**	0,586*
Assertions					1,000**

Using **the alternative voice** depends on two correlated discursive factors :

- 1. Assertive speech act** in **internal** dialogue
- 2. Reported doxa** (consensus) in **external** dialogue

Examples: Nourra's and Leonie's turn talking 224 and 228

224 Nourra t'as pas besoin forcément de le vérifier par toi-même // si t'as confiance en la personne
You don't necessarily need to check it yourself/ if you trust the person

228 Léonie moi // bah // une personne// tu vas rencontrer quelqu'un qui est chrétien // il va te dire // ah non mais moi je suis sûr que/ que dieu existe // mais toi ? // tu vas penser qu'il existe ou pas?
Me// well // a person, you 're going to meet a Christian / he 's going to say / Oh, no but I'm sure God exists / and what about you ? Would you think he exists or not ?

- Doxa: someone is Christian
- Assertive speech act integration: I am sure God exists
- Using alternative voice: And what about you?

Conclusion

After this qualitative and exploratory study, we can say that:

If individual discourse is more cohesive after the age of **13** through using logical connection, **a multi-voiced self is already in construction before.**

Philosophical discussion **leads to join structural factor** (age/moral level) **and discursive abilities** to develop **dialogical self enrichment** by the appropriation of an alter-position. The two aspects, autonomously and dependently, configure and increase gradually the **multi-voiced self.**

As notified by *Hubert* et al. (1992*) : **“The self is not only « here » but also « there » and novel position is not outside self, but effectively alternative perspective built an original self and creative position. The self did not the sole control of cognitive movements.”**

*See Hubert et al. (1992). **The dialogical self beyond Individualism and rationalism**, *American Psychologist*, *47*, 28-29.



Discussion and Perspectives

Perspective:

Study the **impact of adult animation** on progression of external and internal enrichment during a philosophical discussion.

See soon:

Auriac-Slusarzyk, E. Lebas-Fraczak, L. & Maire, H. (2021). *Paroles authentiques d'élèves. Réflexion sur la formation aux capacités prudentielles chez les enseignants pratiquant le dialogue philosophique en classe P4C, L'école primaire au 21^e siècle*, 12-14, Paris: Cergy Paris University.

Thanks for your attention



emmanuele.auriac@uca.fr

helene.maire@univ-lorraine.fr

antonieta.specogna@univ-lorraine.fr