

# Drosophila melanogaster: A Powerful Tiny Animal Model for the Study of Metabolic Hepatic Diseases

Karen C M Moraes, Jacques Montagne

# ▶ To cite this version:

Karen C M Moraes, Jacques Montagne. Drosophila melanogaster: A Powerful Tiny Animal Model for the Study of Metabolic Hepatic Diseases. Frontiers in Physiology, 2021, 12, pp.728407. 10.3389/fphys.2021.728407. hal-03455461

# HAL Id: hal-03455461 https://hal.science/hal-03455461v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	Drosophila melanogaster: a powerful tiny animal model for the study of				
2	metabolic hepatic diseases				
3					
4	Karen C.M. Moraes <sup>1*</sup> , Jaques Montagne <sup>2*</sup> ,				
5 6	<sup>1</sup> Laboratório de Sinalização Celular e Expressão Gênica, Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Biologia Geral e Aplicada, UNESP, Rio Claro, SP, Brazil				
7 8	<sup>2</sup> Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, F-91190, Gif-sur-Yvette, France				
9					
10					
11	* Correspondence:				
12	Karen C.M. Moraes				
13	kcm.moraes@unesp.br				
14					
15	Jaques Montagne				
16	jacques.montagne@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr				
17					
18					
19	Keywords: alternative animal model, Drosophila, genetics, liver diseases, system biology				
20					
21	Running title: Drosophila, a model for NAFLD				
22					
23					

## 24 Abstract

25 Animal experimentation is limited by unethical procedures, time-consuming protocols, and high cost. 26 Thus, the development of innovative approaches for disease treatment based on alternative models in 27 a fast, safe, and economic manner is an important, yet challenging goal. In this paradigm, the fruit-28 fly Drosophila melanogaster has become a powerful model for biomedical research, considering its 29 short life cycle and low-cost maintenance. In addition, biological processes are conserved and 30 homologs of ~75% of human disease-related genes are found in the fruit-fly. Therefore, this model 31 has been used in innovative approaches to evaluate and validate the functional activities of candidate 32 molecules identified in *in vitro* large-scale analyses, as putative agents to treat or reverse pathological conditions. In this context, Drosophila offers a powerful alternative to investigate the molecular 33 aspects of liver diseases, since no effective therapies are available for those pathologies. 34 35 Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is the most common form of chronic hepatic dysfunctions, which 36 may progress to the development of chronic hepatitis and ultimately to cirrhosis, thereby increasing 37 the risk for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). This deleterious situation reinforces the use of the 38 Drosophila model to accelerate functional research aimed at deciphering the mechanisms that sustain 39 the disease. In this short review, we illustrate the relevance of using the fruit-fly to address aspects of 40 liver pathologies to contribute to the biomedical area.

41

42

### 43 Introduction

44 In modern societies, obesity has become a prevalent problem; according to the World Health 45 Organization (WHO), more than 600 million adults were obese in 2016 (WGO, 2020). Consequently, 46 metabolic dysfunctions have considerably increased, including hepatic diseases, which are one of the 47 top 10 causes of death worldwide (Asrani et al., 2019; Powell et al., 2021; WHO, 2020). These 48 diseases classified as acute or chronic may progress to irreversible damage and malfunction of the 49 liver, while therapeutic strategies are still limited. To address this problem, innovative drugs and 50 natural products have been explored; however, clinical trials have their own limitations, considering 51 patient safety against the adverse side effects of pharmaceutical products, extensive time required for 52 analyses, and ethical issues. Thus, to help investigating new compounds in preclinical stages, the Drosophila melanogaster model has become of utmost importance. Drosophila genetics have been 53 54 used for studying many biological processes and is now a powerful alternative model to investigate 55 metabolic changes associated with disease settings. The fruit-fly has a short life cycle, which enables analyses of the effects of drugs in various metabolic routes in a short time (Lee et al., 2020; Men et 56 57 al., 2016; Tennessen et al., 2014). Furthermore, relevant biological processes and disease-related 58 genes are conserved between human and Drosophila (Pandey and Nichols, 2011; Ugur et al., 2016), 59 despite the flies lower genomic complexity compared to that of humans. In this minireview we 60 discuss the advantages offered by the fruit-fly model to investigate hepatic dysfunctions in a translational perspective. 61

62

## 63 The burden of hepatic diseases needs translational science

64 The liver is a critical organ for the maintenance of body homeostasis; it controls several molecular and metabolic routes and also acts in detoxification processes (Ramos et al., 2021). In metabolic 65 66 disorders, lipids may accumulate in the hepatocytes contributing to the establishment of fatty liver, 67 also known as hepatic steatosis. This clinical condition, which happens when triacylglycerols (TAGs) 68 represent at least 5% of the liver weight (Ikura, 2014; Powell et al., 2021; Ramos et al., 2021), can 69 remain asymptomatic for several years or progress to more deleterious stages. Nonalcoholic fatty 70 liver disease (NAFLD) is one of the most common forms of liver pathologies affecting ~25% of the world population (Younossi et al., 2018). In addition, drug abuse, environmental contaminants, 71 72 imbalanced diet, and viral infections may also contribute to the development of NAFLDs (Byrne and 73 Targher, 2015). More dramatically, NAFLD favors the development of chronic hepatitis that

potentially progresses to cirrhosis, increasing the risk for HCC (Friedman et al., 2018; Powell et al.,

75 2021). The worldwide panel of liver pathologies also comprises chronic viral hepatitis, alcoholic

76 liver disease, metabolic and cholestatic liver dysfunctions (Asrani et al., 2019; WGO, 2020). Although

77 NAFLD was identified more than 50 years ago (Parise, 2019; Sanyal, 2019), the therapeutic options

to treat or at least to control these diseases rely on long-term procedures and are still limited to

79 weight loss and diet modification, stressing the critical needs of innovative strategies based on fast

80 and reliable preclinical tests.

81 Translational science aims at using the scientific discoveries from preclinical models to set up the

82 bases of clinical trials (Gilliland et al., 2016; van Erk et al., 2021). Knowledge on liver pathologies

83 has now increased, leading to the characterization of the biochemical mechanisms underlying disease

84 progression and to the identification of specific biomarkers (Eslam and George, 2020; Friedman et

al., 2018; Samuel and Shulman, 2018). Advances in our understanding of lipid and sugar metabolism

86 along with omics studies and mechanistic investigations, have revealed important regulatory

87 functions of the transcriptions factors sterol-regulatory-element-binding-proteins (SREBPs),

88 carbohydrate-responsive-element-binding-protein (ChREBP), liver-X-receptors (LXRs) and

89 peroxisome-proliferator-activated-receptors (PPARs). In addition, the patatin-like-phospholipase-

90 domain-containing-3 (PNPLA3), a triacylglycerol-lipase, has been shown to contribute to the control

91 of energy expenditure or storage; PNPLA3 expression is regulated by nutritional sources, especially

92 carbohydrates (Bruschi et al., 2017). Furthermore, the mechanistic-target-of-rapamycin (mTOR),

93 which coordinates cell growth at the organismal level, is implicated in metabolic-related disease (Ji et

94 al., 2021; Saxton and Sabatini, 2017). Other studies have demonstrated that epigenetic processes

95 have been linked to liver diseases, but also that the genetic profile of an individual is directly

96 correlated to the severity of the disease, since different alleles encode metabolic enzymes with

97 variable functional activities (Anstee et al., 2019; Ramos et al., 2021). This has opened an unexplored

98 field in the search for innovative therapeutics, including oligonucleotide usage to reduce through

99 RNA-interference (RNAi) the levels of molecules relevant for liver homeostasis. In this context,

100 translational research provides a safe way to test innovative drugs at a large scale using animal

101 models. Rodents, have been long used in preclinical trials, despite the time-consuming analyses,

102 while unpredictable side-effects of the drugs in humans cannot be excluded (Bryda, 2013; Van

103 Norman, 2019). Thus, alternative models provide a golden solution, considering that many societies

104 limit animal experimentation to protect them against cruelty (Doke and Dhawale, 2015; Freires et al.,

105 2017).

106

### 107 The fruit-fly model

108 In this paradigm, the fruit-fly has emerged as a powerful system to study pathological conditions. 109 The Drosophila life-cycle comprises four developmental stages: embryonic, larval, pupal and adult. 110 Development from embryo to adult takes about ten days and adult lifespan one to two months 111 (Johnson and Stolzing, 2019), allowing metabolic investigations on hundreds of offspring either at 112 juvenile (larva and pupa) or adult stages. Given its powerful genetics, the Drosophila model has 113 proved an efficient strategy for the study of several types of human pathologies, including metabolic 114 (Baenas and Wagner, 2019; Musselman and Kuhnlein, 2018; Perrimon et al., 2016) neurological 115 (Coll-Tane et al., 2019; Link and Bellen, 2020; Mariano et al., 2020; Salazar et al., 2020), cardiac (Birse et al., 2010; Diop et al., 2015; Guida et al., 2019; Piazza and Wessells, 2011), digestive 116 117 (Musselman and Kuhnlein, 2018; Nayak and Mishra, 2019) and nephrocytic (Millet-Boureima et al., 118 2018; Rani and Gautam, 2018) comorbidities. In addition, tumor models may be induced in larvae or 119 adult flies by genome manipulation (Dong et al., 2007; Gonzalez, 2013; Hirabayashi et al., 2013; 120 Igaki et al., 2006; Pagliarini and Xu, 2003; Samji et al., 2021). The fruit-fly enables functional large-121 scale analysis to validate relevant molecules and biomarkers in a faster way than with rodent-based 122 studies (Fernandez-Hernandez et al., 2016; Papanikolopoulou et al., 2019; Bangi, 2020; Bossen et 123 al., 2019; Richardson and Portela, 2018).

124 Most of the genes and metabolic routes involved in human hepatic diseases are conserved in

125 Drosophila (Table 1). The conservation between fly and mammalian genes is of utmost interest for

126 translational studies aiming for a deeper understanding of cellular dysfunctions for which,

127 investigation is technically restricted in mammalian models and impossible in humans.

128 In contrast to mammals, most invertebrates do not contain an organ equivalent to the liver, although

129 hepatic functions are conserved. In insects, the fat body (FB) has long been considered as the liver

130 counterpart (Li et al., 2019), although recent studies suggest that the oenocytes also accomplish

hepatic-related functions (Gutierrez et al., 2007; Storelli et al., 2019). In Drosophila, the FB is an

- 132 organ that spreads throughout the entire organism and oenocytes are groups of cells located
- 133 underneath the abdominal external cuticle. In humans, dietary nutrients are transferred to the liver
- through a portal system and lipids are transported through the lymph stream as chylomicrons.
- 135 Drosophila has an open circulatory system so that nutrients crossing the intestinal epithelium enter
- 136 the haemolymph, and thus, the body-wide distribution of the FB favors nutrient uptake (Figure 1A).

137 Further, given its eating sources (mostly rotting fruits), the fruit-fly needs a powerful detoxification

138 system that involves the gut, the FB and the oenocytes (Huang et al., 2019; Iredale, 2010; Yang et al.,

139 2007). Over the past two decades, several studies on metabolic hepatic dysfunctions have taken

advantage of the fly model (Ghosh et al., 2020; Hader et al., 2003; Hofbauer et al., 2020; Liao et al.,

141 2020; Villanueva et al., 2019) to describe the functional activities of molecules relevant for human

142 pathologies (Hmeljak and Justice, 2019; Heier and Kuhnlein, 2018; Perrimon et al., 2016; Ugur et al.,

- 143 2016).
- 144

## 145 Homeostatic dysfunctions in NAFLD

146 Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is another metabolic-related disease progressing exponentially. The

147 T2DM hallmark is the development of insulin resistance, due to impaired response to the hormone or

148 its reduced production by pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells (Boland et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021), thereby resulting in

high glucose levels, increased general oxidative stress, vascular problems, and serious secondary

150 perturbations in the physiology and the metabolism of the body (Chatterjee et al., 2017; Galicia-

151 Garcia et al., 2020). In diabetic patients, lipid metabolism is modified, and the liver may accumulate

152 fatty acids (FAs), which facilitates the establishment of NAFLD (Kuchay et al., 2020; Sanyal, 2019).

153 In NAFLD, the metabolism of both lipid and glucose in hepatic cells is disrupted (Sanyal, 2019; Shen

154 et al., 2017). The accumulation of lipids in the hepatocytes results from changes in lipid uptake, *de* 

155 *novo* synthesis of FAs,  $\beta$ -oxidation and export of very-low-density-lipoproteins (VLDL) (Ipsen et

al., 2018; Sanyal, 2019), which together affect the serum levels of TAG and cholesterol and may

157 provoke instability of body homeostasis. Conversely, these changes in lipid metabolism, which are in

158 part connected to insulin resistance in the hepatic tissue, reinforce the persistence of liver

159 dysfunction, indicating that cellular metabolism is integrated at the organismal level (Chao et al.,

160 2019; Sanyal, 2019). Under a normal and healthy homeostatic environment, hepatic cells use

161 pyruvate to produce energy through the citric-acid cycle in mitochondria. During this process the

162 exceeding energy is exported from the mitochondria as citrate to generate palmitic acid that can be

163 used as a precursor of membrane lipids or esterified to TAGs and stored in cytosolic lipid droplets

164 (LDs) (Currie et al., 2013; Ramos et al., 2021). These hepatic lipid stores can be remobilized through

- 165 the  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway in response to energy demands, or exported from the liver in water-soluble
- 166 VLDL particles comprising apolipoproteins, cholesterol and phospholipids (Ipsen et al., 2018).
- 167 However, under conditions of metabolic dysfunction, excess LDs may accumulate in the liver and

trigger the NAFLD, which favors lipotoxicity and subsequently the development of inflammatory

- 169 processes and an increase in the levels of reactive oxygen species. Together, these perturbations
- 170 provoke mitochondrial dysfunction and disease progression, leading to insulin resistance, T2DM and
- 171 metabolic syndrome (Rosato et al., 2019).

172 Binding of insulin to its cognate receptor activates the mTOR signaling network (Meng et al., 2021;

173 Yoon, 2017), which acts through a feedback loop to dampen the insulin response by inactivating

174 insulin-receptor-substrate-1 (IRS1) and repressing the expression of the insulin receptor (InR) and

insulin-like-growth-factor-1 (IGF1). Therefore, in the context of metabolic dysfunction, the mTOR

176 signaling network is poorly activated and the subsequent absence of the negative feedback loop

177 sustains an auto-amplification of the insulin resistance process. In this pathological condition, a

178 number of regulatory proteins are synthesized by the hepatocytes to counteract homeostasis

179 disruption, including Notch-receptor-2, insulin-like-growth-factor-binding-proteins (IGFBPs), the

180 potassium-inwardly-rectifying-channel-subfamily-J-member-11, chemokines and kinases (Tamarai et

181 al., 2019).

182 The PPAR nuclear receptors that can act as lipid sensors to regulate metabolic homeostasis are

183 potential targets for the development of drug therapy to NAFLD (Liss et al., 2017). The human

184 genome encodes three PPAR members ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta/\delta$  and  $\gamma$ ), which are differentially expressed in tissues,

although each of them may impinge on liver metabolism. PPAR $\alpha$  is largely expressed in the liver

186 where it controls several aspects of FA homeostasis; it is frequently found at low level in patients

187 with steatosis. PPAR  $\beta/\delta$  is mainly expressed in muscle cells, but at lower levels in adipocytes and

hepatocytes. PPAR γ, which is mainly expressed in adipose tissue, is upregulated in the NAFLD

189 pathological condition to dampen dysfunction of lipid and glucose metabolism. However, these

190 unbalanced production of PPARs may contribute to insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome (Liss

et al., 2017; Corrales et al., 2018), supporting the use of PPAR agonists to treat NAFLD {Seo, 2008

192 #1332; Yoo, 2021 #1331}. The utilization Glucagon-likepeptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists is

another therapeutic strategy currently explored in clinical trials { Dai, 2020 #1334; Lv, 2020 #1333}.

194 GLP-1 is secreted by enteroendocrine cells after meal ingestion to potentiate insulin secretion and

suppress glucagon production. The secreted bioactive forms act systemically, since the GLP-1

receptor (GLP-1R) is expressed in a number of tissues {Dai, 2020 #1334}. The utilization of GLP-1R

197 agonists exhibiting a longer half-life compare to that of the genuine hormone has been approved for

198 T2DM treatment {Andersen, 2018 #1335}. These agonists have positive effects on steatogenesis in

199 T2DM patient {Teshome, 2020 #1336}, but controversial results about the benefit yet restrain their

200 usage in NAFLD treatment {Lv, 2020 #1333}. Finally, a number of molecules secreted by the

201 adipose tissue may affect inflammatory processes, insulin resistance and NAFLD progression.

202 Adiponectin is one such factor that is down-regulated in response to hepatic stress and constitutes a

203 promising target to treat steatosis, since studies demonstrated that increased levels of this adipokine

ameliorate NAFLD (Scherer et al., 1995; Shabalala et al., 2020). Given the diversity of signals that

205 may induce metabolic deregulations in hepatic cells, genetic investigations using alternative *in vivo* 

- 206 models are needed to decipher the organ interconnections that elicit the deleterious condition of
- 207 NAFLD.
- 208

## 209 Homeostasis and metabolism in Drosophila

210 In Drosophila, the FB synthesizes and stores glycogen and TAG-containing LDs (Figure 1A) 211 (Garrido et al., 2015; Gronke et al., 2003; Yamada et al., 2018). In the gut, dietary lipids are hydrolyzed to glycerol, free FAs and monoacylglycerols and taken up into the enterocytes through a 212 213 molecular mechanism yet poorly characterized (Holtof et al., 2019; Miguel-Aliaga et al., 2018; 214 Toprak et al., 2020). In the enterocytes, these molecules are converted to diacylglycerols that are 215 loaded in the haemolymph on apolipophorin particles, functioning as lipid vehicles to the FB (Palm 216 et al., 2012). However, FB cells deficient for fatty-acid-synthase fail to accumulate LDs, indicating 217 that *de novo* FA synthesis is essential for lipid storage in these cells (Garrido et al., 2015). 218 Conversely, fasting induces mobilization and consumption of lipid stores from the FB. The perilipins 219 Lsd1 and Lsd2 envelop LDs and protect them from lipolysis, whereas the lipase, Brummer, catalyzes 220 TAG hydrolysis (Bi et al., 2012; Gronke et al., 2005; Gronke et al., 2007). Concurrent to fasting-221 induced lipid hydrolysis, oenocytes accumulate LDs (Figure 1B), suggesting that remobilized lipid 222 stores efflux from the FB and are taken up and oxidized in the oenocytes (Gutierrez et al., 2007). This 223 process is similar to the remobilization of lipid stores from adipocytes to hepatocytes induced by 224 fasting in mammals (Iredale, 2010; Yamada et al., 2020). Congruently, enzymes responsible for 225 ketone body biogenesis are highly expressed in oenocytes (Huang et al., 2019), although it has not 226 been formally demonstrated whether other tissues (FB, muscles) may also perform  $\beta$ -oxidation to 227 supply energy demand (Parvy et al., 2012). This energy mobilization process is regulated by target-228 of-rapamycin (TOR), which is present in two distinct complexes, TORC1 and TORC2; the former 229 directly responds to nutrients, whereas the latter is a component of the insulin signaling pathway. The 230 intermediates of this signaling network are conserved in the fruit-fly (Table 1), although the TORC1

and insulin/TORC2 signaling branches can work independently in most *Drosophila* tissues, including
the FB (Devilliers et al., 2021).

233 The FB also acts as a nutrient sensor to coordinate overall body growth and homeostasis through the 234 production of insulin-like-peptides (Dilps) by a cluster of neurosecretory cells (NSCs) (Colombani et 235 al., 2003). Moreover, similar to mammals, sugar metabolism in flies is modulated by an 236 insulin/glucagon-like axis (Figure 1A-B) (Oh et al., 2019). The Drosophila genome encodes eight 237 Dilps (Brogiolo et al., 2001), Dilp2 and Dilp5, which are produced in the NSCs, are the major 238 regulators of sugar homeostasis (Rulifson et al., 2002). Genetic ablation of the NSCs results in an 239 overall reduced body size and in an increase in the levels of glucose and trehalose (disaccharide of 240 glucose) that is the main circulating sugar in insects. This phenotype resembles the metabolic defects 241 provoked by insulin deficiency in Type-I-diabetic patients. Conversely, the Drosophila adipokinetic 242 hormone (AKH) produced by the neuroendocrine corpora cardiaca (CC) controls circulating sugar 243 levels in a glucagon-like manner (Kim and Rulifson, 2004). Both NSCs and CC secrete their 244 products into the haemolymph, close to the Drosophila heart equivalent, thereby favoring hormone 245 distribution throughout the entire body. Secretion of AKH in Drosophila by the CC depends on an 246 ATP-K+-dependent channel that directly responds to circulating sugar levels (Kim and Rulifson, 247 2004). A pair of glucose-sensing neurons plays a pivotal role in coordinating NSC and CC functions 248 by activating Dilp secretion, while inhibiting AKH secretion (Figure 1A-B)(Oh et al., 2019). 249 Furthermore, secretion of Dilps by the NSCs strongly relies on FB messengers that relay nutritional 250 cues (Colombani et al., 2003; Delanoue et al., 2016). The JAK-STAT ligand Unpaired2, is one such 251 messenger, which can be functionally replaced using molecular genetic tools by human leptin, 252 showing that as in mammals, fat cells produce an hormone in response to nutrient load to control 253 feeding physiology (Rajan and Perrimon, 2012). Therefore, although the mammalian liver equivalent 254 is not a discrete organ in Drosophila, hepatic functions and dysfunctions are closely conserved: the 255 FB appears to be in charge of hepatic functions related to feeding, whereas the oenocytes accomplish 256 functions related to fasting. Further, both in mammals and flies, integration of the TOR signaling 257 network at the organismal level is central in controlling lipid and sugar metabolism in response to the 258 nutritional status (Sanguesa et al., 2019; Schmitt et al., 2015).

- 259 Most of the mammalian transcriptional regulators involved in NAFLD are conserved in *Drosophila*
- 260 (Table 1). Mondo, the ChREBP/MondoA homologue, regulates several metabolic routes in response
- to dietary sugar {Mattila, 2015 #869}. The *Drosophila* SREBP regulates the expression of genes
- 262 required for FA synthesis (Kunte et al., 2006). However, consistent with insect sterol auxotrophy

- 263 (Clark and Block, 1959), SREBP activity is not regulated by sterols, but by
- 264 phosphatidylethanolamine (Dobrosotskaya et al., 2002). The best homologues of LXRs and PPARs
- are the Ecdysone receptor (EcR) and the nuclear receptor Eip75B, respectively (Parvy et al, 2014).
- 266 Ecdysone is a steroid hormone that controls developmental transitions, whereas Eip75B is an
- 267 intermediate of the ecdysone signaling, whose activity depends on nitric-oxide-synthase.
- 268 Surprisingly, manipulating this signaling pathway in the ecdysone-producing gland, results in a
- 269 dramatic changes in LD accumulation in FB cells, indicating that this signaling pathway also impinge
- 270 on lipid homeostasis (Caceres et al., 2012). In summary, the metabolic routes and most of the
- 271 regulatory genes that play a critical role in NAFLD are conserved in *Drosophila*. The activity of
- some regulatory gene products varies as compare to that of their mammalian counterparts, but
- 273 remains connected to basal metabolism. Importantly, the phenotypes induced by loss-of-function of
- these genes can be used as reference criteria to monitor the efficiency and the adverse effects of drug
- 275 compounds in preclinical trials.
- 276

# 277 **Perspectives**

278 Alternative models have largely contributed to our understanding of biological processes. Recent 279 studies have shed light on potential targets for drug therapy, which need physiological validation 280 prior to clinical trials. Thanks to a plethora of genetics tools to direct in a tissue-specific manner 281 either over-expression or RNAi-inactivation of a gene of interest (Ugur et al., 2016) {Senturk, 2018 282 #1062, the fruit-fly model emerges as a powerful alternative for large-scale analyses. Collection of 283 transgenic lines targeting a vast majority of the Drosophila genes, with a particular focus on the 284 orthologues of disease-related-human genes, are available from Stock centers (Heigwer et al., 2018; 285 Dietzl et al., 2007). This approach validated the functions of relevant proteins, such as CDGSH-iron-286 sulfur-domain-containing-protein-2, whose haplo-insufficiency causes NAFLD and promotes HCC 287 development (Shen et al., 2017). This approach also identified genes that contribute to fat deposition 288 (Hoffmann et al., 2013; Pospisilik et al., 2010) and whose dysregulation in humans can lead to 289 obesity, diabetes, and NAFLD (Graham and Pick, 2017). Liver diseases are a burden in the modern 290 society, especially NAFLD and HCC; in vivo investigations using Drosophila in translational 291 approaches will be useful to validate enzymes and other molecules crucial for body homeostasis, 292 increasing the chance to develop innovative therapeutic strategies.

293

294	Conflict of Interest			
295	The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial			
296	relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.			
297				
298	Author Contributions			
299	The authors (K.C.M.M. & J.M) contributed equally to the content of the work.			
300				
301	Funding			
302	This study was supported by research grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São			
303	Paulo (FAPESP - 2018/05286-3; 2019/16406-2) to KCMM and from the CNRS (PRC2744 CNRS-			
304	FAPESP) to JM.			
305				
306	Acknowledgments			
307	We thank Anne-Marie Pret for manuscript editing, the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de			
308	São Paulo (FAPESP - 2018/05286-3; 2019/16406-2) and the CNRS (PRC2744 CNRS-FAPESP).			
309				
310	References			
311	Andersen, A., Lund, A., Knop, F.K., and Vilsboll, T. (2018). Glucagon-like peptide 1 in health and			
312	disease. Nat Rev Endocrinol 14, 390-403. doi: 10.1038/s41574-018-0016-2			
313	Anstee, Q.M., Reeves, H.L., Kotsiliti, E., Govaere, O., and Heikenwalder, M. (2019). From NASH to			
314	HCC: current concepts and future challenges. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 16, 411-428. doi:			
315	10.1038/s41575-019-0145-7			
316	Asrani, S.K., Devarbhavi, H., Eaton, J., and Kamath, P.S. (2019). Burden of liver diseases in the			
317	world. J Hepatol 70, 151-171. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.09.014			
318	Baenas, N., and Wagner, A.E. (2019). Drosophila melanogaster as an alternative model organism in			
319	nutrigenomics. Genes Nutr 14, 14. doi: 10.1186/s12263-019-0641-y			

- 320 Bangi, E. (2020). Strategies for Functional Interrogation of Big Cancer Data Using Drosophila
- 321 Cancer Models. Int J Mol Sci 21. doi: 10.3390/ijms21113754
- 322 Bi, J., Xiang, Y., Chen, H., Liu, Z., Gronke, S., Kuhnlein, R.P., and Huang, X. (2012). Opposite and
- 323 redundant roles of the two Drosophila perilipins in lipid mobilization. J Cell Sci 125, 3568-3577. doi:
- 324 10.1242/jcs.101329
- 325 Birse, R.T., Choi, J., Reardon, K., Rodriguez, J., Graham, S., Diop, S., Ocorr, K., Bodmer, R., and
- Oldham, S. (2010). High-fat-diet-induced obesity and heart dysfunction are regulated by the TOR
- 327 pathway in Drosophila. Cell Metab 12, 533-544. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2010.09.014
- 328 Bohni, R., Riesgo-Escovar, J., Oldham, S., Brogiolo, W., Stocker, H., Andruss, B.F., Beckingham,
- 329 K., and Hafen, E. (1999). Autonomous control of cell and organ size by CHICO, a Drosophila
- 330 homolog of vertebrate IRS1-4. Cell 97, 865-875. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80799-0
- 331 Boland, B.B., Rhodes, C.J., and Grimsby, J.S. (2017). The dynamic plasticity of insulin production in
- 332 beta-cells. Mol Metab *6*, 958-973. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2017.04.010
- 333 Bossen, J., Uliczka, K., Steen, L., Pfefferkorn, R., Mai, M.M., Burkhardt, L., Spohn, M., Bruchhaus,
- 334 I., Fink, C., Heine, H., et al. (2019). An EGFR-Induced Drosophila Lung Tumor Model Identifies
- Alternative Combination Treatments. Mol Cancer Ther 18, 1659-1668. doi: 10.1158/1535-
- 336 7163.MCT-19-0168
- Brogiolo, W., Stocker, H., Ikeya, T., Rintelen, F., Fernandez, R., and Hafen, E. (2001). An
- 338 evolutionarily conserved function of the Drosophila insulin receptor and insulin-like peptides in
- 339 growth control. Curr Biol 11, 213-221. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00068-9
- 340 Bruschi, F.V., Tardelli, M., Claudel, T., and Trauner, M. (2017). PNPLA3 expression and its impact
- on the liver: current perspectives. Hepat Med 9, 55-66. doi: 10.2147/HMER.S125718
- Bryda, E.C. (2013). The Mighty Mouse: the impact of rodents on advances in biomedical research.
  Mo Med *110*, 207-211.
- Byrne, C.D., and Targher, G. (2015). NAFLD: a multisystem disease. J Hepatol *62*, S47-64. doi:
  10.1016/j.jhep.2014.12.012
- 346 Caceres, L., Necakov, A.S., Schwartz, C., Kimber, S., Roberts, I.J., and Krause, H.M. (2011). Nitric
- 347 oxide coordinates metabolism, growth, and development via the nuclear receptor E75. Genes Dev 25,
- 348 1476-1485. doi: 10.1101/gad.2064111

- 349 Chao, H.W., Chao, S.W., Lin, H., Ku, H.C., and Cheng, C.F. (2019). Homeostasis of Glucose and
- 350 Lipid in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Int J Mol Sci 20. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020298
- 351 Chatterjee, S., Khunti, K., and Davies, M.J. (2017). Type 2 diabetes. Lancet 389, 2239-2251. doi:
- 352 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30058-2
- Clark, A.J., and Block, K. (1959). The absence of sterol synthesis in insects. J Biol Chem 234, 25782582.
- 355 Coll-Tane, M., Krebbers, A., Castells-Nobau, A., Zweier, C., and Schenck, A. (2019). Intellectual
- disability and autism spectrum disorders 'on the fly': insights from Drosophila. Dis Model Mech *12*.
  doi: 10.1242/dmm.039180
- 358 Colombani, J., Raisin, S., Pantalacci, S., Radimerski, T., Montagne, J., and Leopold, P. (2003). A
- nutrient sensor mechanism controls Drosophila growth. Cell 114, 739-749. doi: 10.1016/s0092-
- 360 8674(03)00713-x
- 361 Corrales, P., Vidal-Puig, A., and Medina-Gomez, G. (2018). PPARs and Metabolic Disorders
- 362 Associated with Challenged Adipose Tissue Plasticity. Int J Mol Sci 19. doi: 10.3390/ijms19072124
- 363 Currie, E., Schulze, A., Zechner, R., Walther, T.C., and Farese, R.V., Jr. (2013). Cellular fatty acid
- 364 metabolism and cancer. Cell Metab 18, 153-161. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.05.017
- 365 Dai, Y., He, H., Li, S., Yang, L., Wang, X., Liu, Z., and An, Z. (2020). Comparison of the Efficacy
- 366 of Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients With Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver
- 367 Disease: Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 11, 622589.
- 368 doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.622589
- 369 Delanoue, R., Meschi, E., Agrawal, N., Mauri, A., Tsatskis, Y., McNeill, H., and Leopold, P. (2016).
- 370 Drosophila insulin release is triggered by adipose Stunted ligand to brain Methuselah receptor.
- 371 Science 353, 1553-1556. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf8430
- 372 Devilliers, M., Garrido, D., Poidevin, M., Rubin, T., Le Rouzic, A., and Montagne, J. (2021).
- 373 Differential Metabolic Sensitivity of Insulin-like-response- and mTORC1-Dependent Overgrowth in
- 374 Drosophila Fat Cells. Genetics. doi: 10.1093/genetics/iyaa010
- 375 Dietzl, G., Chen, D., Schnorrer, F., Su, K.C., Barinova, Y., Fellner, M., Gasser, B., Kinsey, K.,
- 376 Oppel, S., Scheiblauer, S., et al. (2007). A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional
- 377 gene inactivation in Drosophila. Nature 448, 151-156. doi: 10.1038/nature05954

- 378 Diop, S.B., Bisharat-Kernizan, J., Birse, R.T., Oldham, S., Ocorr, K., and Bodmer, R. (2015). PGC-
- 379 1/Spargel Counteracts High-Fat-Diet-Induced Obesity and Cardiac Lipotoxicity Downstream of TOR
- 380 and Brummer ATGL Lipase. Cell Rep 10, 1572-1584. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.022
- 381 Dobrosotskaya, I.Y., Seegmiller, A.C., Brown, M.S., Goldstein, J.L., and Rawson, R.B. (2002).
- 382 Regulation of SREBP processing and membrane lipid production by phospholipids in Drosophila.
- 383 Science 296, 879-883. doi: 10.1126/science.1071124
- 384 Doke, S.K., and Dhawale, S.C. (2015). Alternatives to animal testing: A review. Saudi Pharm J 23,
- 385 223-229. doi: 10.1016/j.jsps.2013.11.002
- 386 Dong, J., Feldmann, G., Huang, J., Wu, S., Zhang, N., Comerford, S.A., Gayyed, M.F., Anders, R.A.,
- 387 Maitra, A., and Pan, D. (2007). Elucidation of a universal size-control mechanism in Drosophila and
- 388 mammals. Cell 130, 1120-1133. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.019
- 389 Eslam, M., and George, J. (2020). Genetic contributions to NAFLD: leveraging shared genetics to
- uncover systems biology. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 17, 40-52. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0212-0
- 391 Fernandez-Hernandez, I., Scheenaard, E., Pollarolo, G., and Gonzalez, C. (2016). The translational
- relevance of Drosophila in drug discovery. EMBO Rep 17, 471-472. doi: 10.15252/embr.201642080
- 393 Freires, I.A., Sardi, J.C., de Castro, R.D., and Rosalen, P.L. (2017). Alternative Animal and Non-
- Animal Models for Drug Discovery and Development: Bonus or Burden? Pharm Res 34, 681-686.
- 395 doi: 10.1007/s11095-016-2069-z
- 396 Friedman, S.L., Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A., Rinella, M., and Sanyal, A.J. (2018). Mechanisms of
- 397 NAFLD development and therapeutic strategies. Nat Med 24, 908-922. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-
- 398 0104-9
- 399 Galicia-Garcia, U., Benito-Vicente, A., Jebari, S., Larrea-Sebal, A., Siddiqi, H., Uribe, K.B.,
- 400 Ostolaza, H., and Martin, C. (2020). Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Int J Mol Sci 21.
- 401 doi: 10.3390/ijms21176275
- 402 Garrido, D., Rubin, T., Poidevin, M., Maroni, B., Le Rouzic, A., Parvy, J.P., and Montagne, J.
- 403 (2015). Fatty Acid Synthase Cooperates with Glyoxalase 1 to Protect against Sugar Toxicity. PLoS
- 404 Genet 11, e1004995. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004995
- 405 Ghosh, A.C., Tattikota, S.G., Liu, Y., Comjean, A., Hu, Y., Barrera, V., Ho Sui, S.J., and Perrimon,
- 406 N. (2020). Drosophila PDGF/VEGF signaling from muscles to hepatocyte-like cells protects against
- 407 obesity. Elife 9. doi: 10.7554/eLife.56969

- 408 Gilliland, C.T., Zuk, D., Kocis, P., Johnson, M., Hay, S., Hajduch, M., Bietrix, F., Aversa, G.,
- 409 Austin, C.P., and Ussi, A.E. (2016). Putting translational science on to a global stage. Nat Rev Drug
- 410 Discov 15, 217-218. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2016.33
- 411 Gonzalez, C. (2013). Drosophila melanogaster: a model and a tool to investigate malignancy and
- 412 identify new therapeutics. Nat Rev Cancer 13, 172-183. doi: 10.1038/nrc3461
- 413 Graham, P., and Pick, L. (2017). Drosophila as a Model for Diabetes and Diseases of Insulin
- 414 Resistance. Curr Top Dev Biol 121, 397-419. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2016.07.011
- 415 Gronke, S., Beller, M., Fellert, S., Ramakrishnan, H., Jackle, H., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2003). Control
- 416 of fat storage by a Drosophila PAT domain protein. Curr Biol *13*, 603-606. doi: 10.1016/s0960-
- 417 9822(03)00175-1
- 418 Gronke, S., Mildner, A., Fellert, S., Tennagels, N., Petry, S., Muller, G., Jackle, H., and Kuhnlein,
- 419 R.P. (2005). Brummer lipase is an evolutionary conserved fat storage regulator in Drosophila. Cell
- 420 Metab 1, 323-330. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2005.04.003
- 421 Gronke, S., Muller, G., Hirsch, J., Fellert, S., Andreou, A., Haase, T., Jackle, H., and Kuhnlein, R.P.
- 422 (2007). Dual lipolytic control of body fat storage and mobilization in Drosophila. PLoS Biol 5, e137.
  423 doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050137
- 424 Guida, M.C., Birse, R.T., Dall'Agnese, A., Toto, P.C., Diop, S.B., Mai, A., Adams, P.D., Puri, P.L.,
- 425 and Bodmer, R. (2019). Intergenerational inheritance of high fat diet-induced cardiac lipotoxicity in
- 426 Drosophila. Nat Commun 10, 193. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-08128-3
- 427 Gutierrez, E., Wiggins, D., Fielding, B., and Gould, A.P. (2007). Specialized hepatocyte-like cells
- 428 regulate Drosophila lipid metabolism. Nature *445*, 275-280. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08492-8
- 429 Hader, T., Muller, S., Aguilera, M., Eulenberg, K.G., Steuernagel, A., Ciossek, T., Kuhnlein, R.P.,
- 430 Lemaire, L., Fritsch, R., Dohrmann, C., et al. (2003). Control of triglyceride storage by a
- 431 WD40/TPR-domain protein. EMBO Rep 4, 511-516. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.embor837
- 432 Havula, E., Teesalu, M., Hyotylainen, T., Seppala, H., Hasygar, K., Auvinen, P., Oresic, M.,
- 433 Sandmann, T., and Hietakangas, V. (2013). Mondo/ChREBP-Mlx-regulated transcriptional network
- 434 is essential for dietary sugar tolerance in Drosophila. PLoS Genet 9, e1003438. doi:
- 435 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003438
- 436 Heier, C., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2018). Triacylglycerol Metabolism in Drosophila melanogaster.
- 437 Genetics 210, 1163-1184. doi: 10.1534/genetics.118.301583

- 438 Heigwer, F., Port, F., and Boutros, M. (2018). RNA Interference (RNAi) Screening in Drosophila.
- 439 Genetics 208, 853-874. doi: 10.1534/genetics.117.300077
- 440 Hirabayashi, S., Baranski, T.J., and Cagan, R.L. (2013). Transformed Drosophila cells evade diet-
- 441 mediated insulin resistance through wingless signaling. Cell 154, 664-675. doi:
- 442 10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.030
- 443 Hmeljak, J., and Justice, M.J. (2019). From gene to treatment: supporting rare disease translational
- research through model systems. Dis Model Mech 12. doi: 10.1242/dmm.039271
- 445 Hofbauer, H.F., Heier, C., Sen Saji, A.K., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2020). Lipidome remodeling in aging
- 446 normal and genetically obese Drosophila males. Insect Biochem Mol Biol, 103498. doi:
- 447 10.1016/j.ibmb.2020.103498
- 448 Hoffmann, J., Romey, R., Fink, C., and Roeder, T. (2013). Drosophila as a model to study metabolic
- 449 disorders. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 135, 41-61. doi: 10.1007/10\_2013\_196
- 450 Holtof, M., Lenaerts, C., Cullen, D., and Vanden Broeck, J. (2019). Extracellular nutrient digestion
- 451 and absorption in the insect gut. Cell Tissue Res *377*, 397-414. doi: 10.1007/s00441-019-03031-9
- 452 Honegger, B., Galic, M., Kohler, K., Wittwer, F., Brogiolo, W., Hafen, E., and Stocker, H. (2008).
- 453 Imp-L2, a putative homolog of vertebrate IGF-binding protein 7, counteracts insulin signaling in
- 454 Drosophila and is essential for starvation resistance. J Biol 7, 10. doi: 10.1186/jbiol72
- 455 Huang, K., Chen, W., Zhu, F., Li, P.W., Kapahi, P., and Bai, H. (2019). RiboTag translatomic
- 456 profiling of Drosophila oenocytes under aging and induced oxidative stress. BMC Genomics 20, 50.
- 457 doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-5404-4
- 458 Igaki, T., Pagliarini, R.A., and Xu, T. (2006). Loss of cell polarity drives tumor growth and invasion
- through JNK activation in Drosophila. Curr Biol 16, 1139-1146. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.04.042
- 460 Ikura, Y. (2014). Transitions of histopathologic criteria for diagnosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver
- disease during the last three decades. World J Hepatol 6, 894-900. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v6.i12.894
- 462 Ipsen, D.H., Lykkesfeldt, J., and Tveden-Nyborg, P. (2018). Molecular mechanisms of hepatic lipid
- 463 accumulation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 75, 3313-3327. doi:
- 464 10.1007/s00018-018-2860-6
- 465 Iredale, J.P. (2010). Darwin, development, Drosophila, and disease. Hepatology 51, 1-3. doi:
- 466 10.1002/hep.23235

- 467 Ji, D., Zhao, Q., Qin, Y., Tong, H., Wang, Q., Yu, M., Mao, C., Lu, T., Qiu, J., and Jiang, C. (2021).
- Germacrone improves liver fibrosis by regulating the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling pathway. Cell
  Biol Int. doi: 10.1002/cbin.11607
- 470 Johnson, A.A., and Stolzing, A. (2019). The role of lipid metabolism in aging, lifespan regulation,
- 471 and age-related disease. Aging Cell 18, e13048. doi: 10.1111/acel.13048
- 472 Kim, S.K., and Rulifson, E.J. (2004). Conserved mechanisms of glucose sensing and regulation by
- 473 Drosophila corpora cardiaca cells. Nature 431, 316-320. doi: 10.1038/nature02897
- 474 Kuchay, M.S., Choudhary, N.S., Mishra, S.K., and Misra, A. (2020). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
- 475 should be considered for treatment allocation in standard management algorithms for type 2 diabetes.
- 476 Diabetes Metab Syndr 14, 2233-2239. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.11.015
- 477 Kunte, A.S., Matthews, K.A., and Rawson, R.B. (2006). Fatty acid auxotrophy in Drosophila larvae
- 478 lacking SREBP. Cell Metab 3, 439-448. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2006.04.011
- 479 Lee, J.Y., Lee, J.H., and Cheon, C.K. (2020). Functional Characterization of Gomisin N in High-Fat-
- 480 Induced Drosophila Obesity Models. Int J Mol Sci 21. doi: 10.3390/ijms21197209
- 481 Li, S., Yu, X., and Feng, Q. (2019). Fat Body Biology in the Last Decade. Annu Rev Entomol 64,
- 482 315-333. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011118-112007
- 483 Li, X.D., He, S.S., Wan, T.T., and Li, Y.B. (2021). Liraglutide protects palmitate-induced INS-1 cell
- 484 injury by enhancing autophagy mediated via FoxO1. Mol Med Rep 23. doi:
- 485 10.3892/mmr.2020.11786
- 486 Liao, S., Amcoff, M., and Nassel, D.R. (2020). Impact of high-fat diet on lifespan, metabolism,
- 487 fecundity and behavioral senescence in Drosophila. Insect Biochem Mol Biol, 103495. doi:
- 488 10.1016/j.ibmb.2020.103495
- 489 Link, N., and Bellen, H.J. (2020). Using Drosophila to drive the diagnosis and understand the
- 490 mechanisms of rare human diseases. Development 147. doi: 10.1242/dev.191411
- 491 Liss, K.H., and Finck, B.N. (2017). PPARs and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Biochimie 136, 65-
- 492 74. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.11.009
- 493 Lv, X., Dong, Y., Hu, L., Lu, F., Zhou, C., and Qin, S. (2020). Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor
- 494 agonists (GLP-1 RAs) for the management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): A
- 495 systematic review. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab 3, e00163. doi: 10.1002/edm2.163

- 496 Mariano, V., Achsel, T., Bagni, C., and Kanellopoulos, A.K. (2020). Modelling Learning and
- 497 Memory in Drosophila to Understand Intellectual Disabilities. Neuroscience 445, 12-30. doi:
- 498 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.07.034
- 499 Mattila, J., Havula, E., Suominen, E., Teesalu, M., Surakka, I., Hynynen, R., Kilpinen, H., Vaananen,
- 500 J., Hovatta, I., Kakela, R., et al. (2015). Mondo-Mlx Mediates Organismal Sugar Sensing through the
- 501 Gli-Similar Transcription Factor Sugarbabe. Cell Rep 13, 350-364.
- 502 doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.08.081
- 503 Men, T.T., Thanh, D.N., Yamaguchi, M., Suzuki, T., Hattori, G., Arii, M., Huy, N.T., and Kamei, K.
- 504 (2016). A Drosophila Model for Screening Antiobesity Agents. Biomed Res Int 2016, 6293163. doi:
- 505 10.1155/2016/6293163
- 506 Meng, Z., Liu, X., Li, T., Fang, T., Cheng, Y., Han, L., Sun, B., and Chen, L. (2021). The SGLT2
- 507 inhibitor empagliflozin negatively regulates IL-17/IL-23 axis-mediated inflammatory responses in
- 508 T2DM with NAFLD via the AMPK/mTOR/autophagy pathway. Int Immunopharmacol 94, 107492.
- 509 doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107492
- 510 Miguel-Aliaga, I., Jasper, H., and Lemaitre, B. (2018). Anatomy and Physiology of the Digestive
- 511 Tract of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 210, 357-396. doi: 10.1534/genetics.118.300224
- 512 Millet-Boureima, C., Porras Marroquin, J., and Gamberi, C. (2018). Modeling Renal Disease "On the
- 513 Fly". Biomed Res Int 2018, 5697436. doi: 10.1155/2018/5697436
- 514 Musselman, L.P., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2018). Drosophila as a model to study obesity and metabolic
- 515 disease. J Exp Biol 221. doi: 10.1242/jeb.163881
- 516 Nayak, N., and Mishra, M. (2019). Simple techniques to study multifaceted diabesity in the fly
- 517 model. Toxicol Mech Methods 29, 549-560. doi: 10.1080/15376516.2019.1634171
- 518 Oh, Y., Lai, J.S., Mills, H.J., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Giammarinaro, B., Saadipour, K., Wang, J.G.,
- 519 Abu, F., Neubert, T.A., and Suh, G.S.B. (2019). A glucose-sensing neuron pair regulates insulin and
- 520 glucagon in Drosophila. Nature 574, 559-564. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1675-4
- 521 Oldham, S., Montagne, J., Radimerski, T., Thomas, G., and Hafen, E. (2000). Genetic and
- 522 biochemical characterization of dTOR, the Drosophila homolog of the target of rapamycin. Genes
- 523 Dev 14, 2689-2694. doi: 10.1101/gad.845700

- 524 Pagliarini, R.A., and Xu, T. (2003). A genetic screen in Drosophila for metastatic behavior. Science
- 525 *302*, 1227-1231. doi: 10.1126/science.1088474
- 526 Palm, W., Sampaio, J.L., Brankatschk, M., Carvalho, M., Mahmoud, A., Shevchenko, A., and Eaton,
- 527 S. (2012). Lipoproteins in Drosophila melanogaster--assembly, function, and influence on tissue lipid
- 528 composition. PLoS Genet *8*, e1002828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002828
- 529 Pandey, U.B., and Nichols, C.D. (2011). Human disease models in Drosophila melanogaster and the
- role of the fly in therapeutic drug discovery. Pharmacol Rev 63, 411-436. doi:
- 531 10.1124/pr.110.003293
- 532 Papanikolopoulou, K., Mudher, A., and Skoulakis, E. (2019). An assessment of the translational
- relevance of Drosophila in drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 14, 303-313. doi:
- 534 10.1080/17460441.2019.1569624
- 535 Parise, E.R. (2019). Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (Nafld), More Than a Liver Disease. Arq
- 536 Gastroenterol 56, 243-245. doi: 10.1590/S0004-2803.201900000-45
- 537 Parvy, J.P., Napal, L., Rubin, T., Poidevin, M., Perrin, L., Wicker-Thomas, C., and Montagne, J.
- 538 (2012). Drosophila melanogaster Acetyl-CoA-carboxylase sustains a fatty acid-dependent remote
- signal to waterproof the respiratory system. PLoS Genet 8, e1002925. doi:
- 540 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925
- 541 Parvy, J.P., Wang, P., Garrido, D., Maria, A., Blais, C., Poidevin, M., and Montagne, J. (2014).
- 542 Forward and feedback regulation of cyclic steroid production in Drosophila melanogaster.
- 543 Development 141, 3955-3965. doi: 10.1242/dev.102020
- 544 Perrimon, N., Bonini, N.M., and Dhillon, P. (2016). Fruit flies on the front line: the translational
- 545 impact of Drosophila. Dis Model Mech 9, 229-231. doi: 10.1242/dmm.024810
- 546 Piazza, N., and Wessells, R.J. (2011). Drosophila models of cardiac disease. Prog Mol Biol Transl
- 547 Sci 100, 155-210. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-384878-9.00005-4
- 548 Pospisilik, J.A., Schramek, D., Schnidar, H., Cronin, S.J., Nehme, N.T., Zhang, X., Knauf, C., Cani,
- 549 P.D., Aumayr, K., Todoric, J., et al. (2010). Drosophila genome-wide obesity screen reveals
- hedgehog as a determinant of brown versus white adipose cell fate. Cell 140, 148-160. doi:
- 551 10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.027
- 552 Powell, E.E., Wong, V.W., and Rinella, M. (2021). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Lancet. doi:
- 553 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

- 554 Rajan, A., and Perrimon, N. (2012). Drosophila cytokine unpaired 2 regulates physiological
- bomeostasis by remotely controlling insulin secretion. Cell 151, 123-137. doi:
- 556 10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.019
- 557 Ramos, L.F., Silva, C.M., Pansa, C.C., and Moraes, K.C.M. (2021). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease:
- 558 molecular and cellular interplays of the lipid metabolism in a steatotic liver. Expert Rev
- 559 Gastroenterol Hepatol 15, 25-40. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2020.1820321
- 560 Rani, L., and Gautam, N.K. (2018). Drosophila Renal Organ as a Model for Identification of Targets
- and Screening of Potential Therapeutic Agents for Diabetic Nephropathy. Curr Drug Targets 19,
- 562 1980-1990. doi: 10.2174/1389450119666180808114533
- 563 Richardson, H.E., and Portela, M. (2018). Modelling Cooperative Tumorigenesis in Drosophila.
- 564 Biomed Res Int 2018, 4258387. doi: 10.1155/2018/4258387
- 565 Rosato, V., Masarone, M., Dallio, M., Federico, A., Aglitti, A., and Persico, M. (2019). NAFLD and
- 566 Extra-Hepatic Comorbidities: Current Evidence on a Multi-Organ Metabolic Syndrome. Int J
- 567 Environ Res Public Health 16. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16183415
- 568 Rulifson, E.J., Kim, S.K., and Nusse, R. (2002). Ablation of insulin-producing neurons in flies:
- 569 growth and diabetic phenotypes. Science 296, 1118-1120. doi: 10.1126/science.1070058
- 570 Salazar, J.L., Yang, S.A., and Yamamoto, S. (2020). Post-Developmental Roles of Notch Signaling
- 571 in the Nervous System. Biomolecules 10. doi: 10.3390/biom10070985
- 572 Samji, P., Rajendran, M.K., Warrier, V.P., Ganesh, A., and Devarajan, K. (2021). Regulation of
- 573 Hippo signaling pathway in cancer: A MicroRNA perspective. Cell Signal 78, 109858. doi:
- 574 10.1016/j.cellsig.2020.109858
- 575 Samuel, V.T., and Shulman, G.I. (2018). Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease as a Nexus of Metabolic
- 576 and Hepatic Diseases. Cell Metab 27, 22-41. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.08.002
- 577 Sanguesa, G., Roglans, N., Baena, M., Velazquez, A.M., Laguna, J.C., and Alegret, M. (2019).
- 578 mTOR is a Key Protein Involved in the Metabolic Effects of Simple Sugars. Int J Mol Sci 20. doi:
- 579 10.3390/ijms20051117
- 580 Sanyal, A.J. (2019). Past, present and future perspectives in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Nat Rev
- 581 Gastroenterol Hepatol 16, 377-386. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0144-8

- Saxton, R.A., and Sabatini, D.M. (2017). mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. Cell *168*, 960-976. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.004
- 584 Scherer, P.E., Williams, S., Fogliano, M., Baldini, G., and Lodish, H.F. (1995). A novel serum
- protein similar to C1q, produced exclusively in adipocytes. J Biol Chem 270, 26746-26749.doi:
- 586 10.1074/jbc.270.45.26746
- 587 Schmitt, S., Ugrankar, R., Greene, S.E., Prajapati, M., and Lehmann, M. (2015). Drosophila Lipin
- 588 interacts with insulin and TOR signaling pathways in the control of growth and lipid metabolism. J
- 589 Cell Sci 128, 4395-4406. doi: 10.1242/jcs.173740
- 590 Senturk, M., and Bellen, H.J. (2018). Genetic strategies to tackle neurological diseases in fruit flies.
- 591 Curr Opin Neurobiol 50, 24-32. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2017.10.017
- 592 Seo, Y.S., Kim, J.H., Jo, N.Y., Choi, K.M., Baik, S.H., Park, J.J., Kim, J.S., Byun, K.S., Bak, Y.T.,
- 593 Lee, C.H., et al. (2008). PPAR agonists treatment is effective in a nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
- animal model by modulating fatty-acid metabolic enzymes. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 23, 102-109. doi:
- 595 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2006.04819.x
- 596 Shabalala, S.C., Dludla, P.V., Mabasa, L., Kappo, A.P., Basson, A.K., Pheiffer, C., and Johnson, R.
- 597 (2020). The effect of adiponectin in the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)
- and the potential role of polyphenols in the modulation of adiponectin signaling. Biomed
- 599 Pharmacother 131, 110785. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110785
- 600 Shen, Z.Q., Chen, Y.F., Chen, J.R., Jou, Y.S., Wu, P.C., Kao, C.H., Wang, C.H., Huang, Y.L., Chen,
- 601 C.F., Huang, T.S., et al. (2017). CISD2 Haploinsufficiency Disrupts Calcium Homeostasis, Causes
- 602 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, and Promotes Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cell Rep 21, 2198-2211.
- 603 doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.099
- 604 Storelli, G., Nam, H.J., Simcox, J., Villanueva, C.J., and Thummel, C.S. (2019). Drosophila HNF4
- 605 Directs a Switch in Lipid Metabolism that Supports the Transition to Adulthood. Dev Cell 48, 200-
- 606 214 e206. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2018.11.030
- 607 Tamarai, K., Bhatti, J.S., and Reddy, P.H. (2019). Molecular and cellular bases of diabetes: Focus on
- type 2 diabetes mouse model-TallyHo. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 1865, 2276-2284. doi:
- 609 10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.05.004
- 610 Tennessen, J.M., Barry, W.E., Cox, J., and Thummel, C.S. (2014). Methods for studying metabolism
- 611 in Drosophila. Methods 68, 105-115. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.02.034

- 612 Teshome, G., Ambachew, S., Fasil, A., and Abebe, M. (2020). Efficacy of Glucagon-Like Peptide-1
- Analogs in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review. Hepat Med 12, 139-151. doi:
- 614 10.2147/HMER.S265631
- 615 Toprak, U., Hegedus, D., Dogan, C., and Guney, G. (2020). A journey into the world of insect lipid
- 616 metabolism. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 104, e21682. doi: 10.1002/arch.21682
- 617 Ugur, B., Chen, K., and Bellen, H.J. (2016). Drosophila tools and assays for the study of human
- 618 diseases. Dis Model Mech 9, 235-244. doi: 10.1242/dmm.023762
- 619 van Erk, M., van Luijk, J., Yang, F., Leeuwenburgh, S.C.G., Sanchez-Fernandez, M.J., Hermans, E.,
- 620 Felix Lanao, R.P., and van Goor, H. (2021). A Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses on Animal
- 621 Models Used in Bone Adhesive Research. J Orthop Res. doi: 10.1002/jor.25057
- 622 Van Norman, G.A. (2019). Limitations of Animal Studies for Predicting Toxicity in Clinical Trials:
- 623 Is it Time to Rethink Our Current Approach? JACC Basic Transl Sci 4, 845-854. doi:
- 624 10.1016/j.jacbts.2019.10.008
- 625 Villanueva, J.E., Livelo, C., Trujillo, A.S., Chandran, S., Woodworth, B., Andrade, L., Le, H.D.,
- 626 Manor, U., Panda, S., and Melkani, G.C. (2019). Time-restricted feeding restores muscle function in
- 627 Drosophila models of obesity and circadian-rhythm disruption. Nat Commun 10, 2700. doi:
- 628 10.1038/s41467-019-10563-9
- 629 WGO (2020). Global burden of liver disease: A true burden on health sciences and economies!!.,
- 630 W.G. Organisation, ed. https://www.worldgastroenterology.org/publications/e-wgn/e-wgn-expert-
- 631 point-of-view-articles-collection/global-burden-of-liver-disease-a-true-burden-on-health-sciences-
- 632 and-economies
- 633 WHO, W.H.O. (2020). The top 10 causes of death. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
- 634 sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death
- 635 Yamada, T., Habara, O., Kubo, H., and Nishimura, T. (2018). Fat body glycogen serves as a
- 636 metabolic safeguard for the maintenance of sugar levels in Drosophila. Development 145. doi:
- 637 10.1242/dev.158865
- 638 Yamada, T., Hironaka, K.I., Habara, O., Morishita, Y., and Nishimura, T. (2020). A developmental
- 639 checkpoint directs metabolic remodelling as a strategy against starvation in Drosophila. Nat Metab 2,
- 640 1096-1112. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-00293-4

- 641 Yang, J., McCart, C., Woods, D.J., Terhzaz, S., Greenwood, K.G., ffrench-Constant, R.H., and Dow,
- 542 J.A. (2007). A Drosophila systems approach to xenobiotic metabolism. Physiol Genomics 30, 223-
- 643 231. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00018.2007
- 644 Yoo, J., Jeong, I.K., Ahn, K.J., Chung, H.Y., and Hwang, Y.C. (2021). Fenofibrate, a PPARalpha
- 645 agonist, reduces hepatic fat accumulation through the upregulation of TFEB-mediated lipophagy.
- 646 Metabolism 120, 154798. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154798
- 647 Yoon, M.S. (2017). The Role of Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) in Insulin Signaling.
- 648 Nutrients 9. doi: 10.3390/nu9111176
- 649 Younossi, Z., Anstee, Q.M., Marietti, M., Hardy, T., Henry, L., Eslam, M., George, J., and
- 650 Bugianesi, E. (2018). Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and
- prevention. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 15, 11-20. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109

652







Human	Drosophila	Function in <i>Drosophila</i>	Reference
ChREBP	Mondo	Regulates sugar usage	Havula et al., 2013
MondoA			
SREBPs	SREBP	Regulates genes of FA synthesis	Kunte et al., 2006
LXRs	EcR	Receptor for the steroid hormone ecdysone	Parvy et al., 2014
PPARs	Eip75B	Intermediate in steroid hormone signaling	Parvy et al., 2014
PNPLA3	Brummer	Performs TAGs breakdown	Gronke et al., 2005
Perilipins	Lsd1 Lsd2	Envelop LDs	Bi et al., 2012
Apolipo- proteins	apoLpp apoLTP MTP	Performs lipid transport throughout hemolymph Performs lipid loading on Lpp in enterocytes Performs lipid loading on Lpp in FB cells	Palm et al., 2012
mTOR	TOR	Regulates growth in response to nutrients	Oldham et al., 2000
Insulin IGF1 IGF2	DILPs	Regulate growth and metabolism	Brogiolo et al., 2001
IGFBP	Imp-L2	Modulates DILP activity	Honegger et al., 2008
InR IGF-R	InR	Receptor for the DILPs	Brogiolo et al., 2001
IRS1	chico	Regulates body growth and aging	Bohni et al., 1999
Glucagon	АКН	Regulates dietary sugar response	Kim and Rulifson, 2004
Leptin	Unpaired2	As for leptin, Udp2 is a ligand for the receptor of the JAK/STAT pathway	Rajan and Perrimon, 2012
ACC1 ACC2	ACC	rate-limiting enzyme for fatty acid synthesis	Parvy et al., 2012
FASN	FASN1	Ubiquitous FA-synthesis	Garrido et al., 2015
	FASN2	Oenocyte-restricted FA-synthesis	
	FASN3	Oenocyte-restricted FA-synthesis	

663 Table 1: *Drosophila* homologues of human gene products involved in metabolic and liver

664 disease.