



HAL
open science

Urgent need for updating the slogan of global climate actions from “tree planting” to “restore native vegetation”

Csaba Tölgyesi, Elise Buisson, Aveliina Helm, Vicky Temperton, Péter Török

► To cite this version:

Csaba Tölgyesi, Elise Buisson, Aveliina Helm, Vicky Temperton, Péter Török. Urgent need for updating the slogan of global climate actions from “tree planting” to “restore native vegetation”. *Restoration Ecology*, 2022, 30 (3), pp.e13594. 10.1111/rec.13594 . hal-03454170

HAL Id: hal-03454170

<https://hal.science/hal-03454170>

Submitted on 26 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Urgent need for updating the slogan of global
2 climate actions from “tree planting” to “restore
3 native vegetation”

4 Csaba Tölgyesi¹, Elise Buisson², Aveliina Helm³, Vicky M. Temperton⁴ and Péter Török^{5*}

6
7 ¹Department of Ecology, University of Szeged, Közép fasor 52, Szeged, 6726, Hungary.

8 ²Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, Aix
9 Marseille Université, IUT d'Avignon, Agroparc, 84911 Avignon, France.

10 ³Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Lai 40, 51005, Tartu, Estonia.

11 ⁴Institute of Ecology, Faculty of Sustainability, Leuphana University Lüneburg,
12 Universitätsallee 1, 21335 Lüneburg, Germany.

13 ⁵MTA-DE Lendület Functional and Restoration Ecology Research Group, University of
14 Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1, Debrecen, 4032, Hungary.

15 *Correspondence to: molinia@gmail.com

16

17 **Running head:** Restore self-sustaining native vegetation

18

19

20 **Abstract**

21 The prevailing nature-based solution to tackle climate change is tree planting. However, there
22 is growing evidence that it has serious contraindications in many regions. The main
23 shortcoming of global tree planting is its awareness disparity to alternative ecosystem types,
24 mainly grasslands. Grasslands, where they constitute the natural vegetation, may support
25 higher biodiversity and a safer, soil-locked carbon stock than plantations and other forests.
26 We suggest replacing “tree planting” by “restore native vegetation.” This improved action
27 terminology reduces the risks of inappropriate afforestation and, by diversifying target
28 ecosystem types, does not reduce but increases potential land area for nature-based climate
29 mitigation.

30
31 **Keywords:** afforestation, biodiversity loss, Bonn Challenge, climate mitigation, EU
32 Biodiversity Strategy, forest restoration, grassland restoration, tree planting, Trillion Trees
33

34 **Author contributions:** CT and PT developed the idea, and all authors contributed to the
35 writing and revision of the text.

36

37 **Implications for practice**

- 38
- Reforestation and afforestation are heavily supported by most climate strategies and
39 are often limited to planting monocultures of exotic tree species.
 - There is growing concern that afforestation targets open habitats, mostly grasslands
40 and savannas, despite strong evidence that these biodiverse habitats can store large
41 quantities of carbon belowground, are less exposed to devastating fires, and absorb
42 less solar radiation than closed-canopy forests due to their higher albedo.
 - “Tree planting” as a general slogan of climate strategies should thus be replaced with
43 “restore native vegetation,” which can be forest, grassland, or combinations thereof,
44 depending on the habitat conditions of an area.
45
46
47

48

49 **Introduction**

50 Nature-based climate strategies, such as the Bonn Challenge or the Trillion Tree Campaign,
51 have become exceedingly popular to counteract anthropogenic carbon emissions. The first and
52 foremost method of these strategies is planting trees worldwide (Bastin et al. 2019; Cook-
53 Patton et al. 2020; Fleischman et al. 2020; Holl & Brancalion 2020), which is often limited to
54 planting monocultures of exotic tree species (Lewis et al. 2019; Heilmayr et al. 2020).
55 However, there is growing concern that global afforestation, that is, the establishment of
56 woody vegetation in historically or naturally non-forested areas, may have critical side effects
57 in many regions. Such inappropriately chosen target areas include temperate and tropical
58 grasslands and savannas, despite strong evidence that these habitats can store large quantities
59 of carbon belowground, are less exposed to devastating fires, and absorb less solar radiation
60 than closed-canopy forests (Carvalho et al. 2014; Dass et al. 2018; Temperton et al. 2019).
61 Many of these ecosystems are also very biodiverse (Murphy et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2020),
62 and their afforestation is not only a missed opportunity to truly mitigate climate change but
63 also increases biodiversity loss (Veldman et al. 2019).
64 Global afforestation programs may thus generate conflict with local to regional restoration,
65 conservation, and climate targets (see also Suding et al. 2015). They might have limited effect
66 not only on climate mitigation but also on livelihood goals (Coleman et al. 2021). There
67 seems little progress toward a prompt solution for this issue as even its recognition is largely
68 lacking among pro-afforestation groups. As a reinforcement of this global deficiency of
69 climate strategies, the recently declared EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 also ignores the
70 climate benefit of grasslands by setting the plantation of 3 billion trees as a major action,
71 which is not counterbalanced by any other proposed form of major habitat restoration
72 (Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2020; Selva et al. 2020). Why is this?
73

74 **Grassland awareness disparity**

75 We believe that the mismatch between the ultimate goal of global climate strategies and their
76 tree planting action is mainly due to grassland awareness disparity, i.e. a general
77 undervaluation of the diverse flora, fauna and ecosystem services of grasslands (and other
78 non-forested vegetation types) compared to those of forests, leading to an ignorance of
79 grasslands as possible alternatives for forest-based solutions. For centuries, the western world
80 has considered forests the climax of ecological organization; hence, nature tends to equal
81 forests for many people (Pausas and Bond 2019). In colonial times, this notion was spread all
82 across developing countries, where it continues to persist until present days (Davies and
83 Robbins 2018). Tropical grasslands and savannas have traditionally been mistaken for
84 degraded forests with little biodiversity conservation value (Veldman 2016); therefore, it is no
85 surprise that climate actions have not embraced them yet. In contrast, the biodiversity of
86 temperate grasslands in the northern hemisphere has long been acknowledged but, to date, no
87 global climate strategy considers them as targets. Grasslands lack large and obvious above-
88 ground carbon stores such as massive tree trunks and their microclimate is generally more
89 hostile (i.e., warmer and drier) compared to that of forests (Tölgyesi et al. 2020), hindering
90 their appreciation as efficient climate solutions by the general public and policy makers.
91 However, grasslands may sometimes represent larger and more stable carbon sinks
92 belowground than forests below- and aboveground combined (Dass et al. 2018). The attention
93 of the scientific community is also biased for forests, as a recent synthesis highlighted that
94 nearly three times more papers are available in the Web of Science portal on the restoration of
95 forests than grasslands (Török et al. 2021). This bias is particularly expressed in the Global
96 South, where grassland restoration is still in its infancy (Buisson et al. 2021). Nevertheless,
97 the number of studies emphasizing the importance of grassland restoration (e.g. Török et al.
98 2011; Buisson et al. 2019) and the inclusion of this action in large-scale biodiversity

99 conservation programs, such as the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration (Dudley et al.
100 2020) is increasing, especially in the Global North. As long as climate actions do not embrace
101 grasslands and handle them equally to forests, though, the conflict between afforestation and
102 the conservation or restoration of non-forested ecosystems will persist and hinder activities on
103 both sides (see also Silveira et al. 2021).

104

105 **Where to plant what?**

106

107 Ecological theory predicting whether forest or grassland (or other non-forested vegetation
108 types) should occur under natural conditions in a specific location is well-founded. Where
109 climate, soil and/or topography are restrictive for closed-canopy natural forests, the
110 restoration of alternative ecosystems, such as grasslands and other open habitats, should be
111 encouraged. The success rate of afforestation in areas that are too arid for trees would be low
112 and hence the carbon targets are unlikely to be met. Intensive forestry techniques may
113 decrease the mortality of trees but entail heavy soil disturbance (Yildiz et al. 2018),
114 compromising soil carbon stocks and questioning the net effect on carbon storage. Moreover,
115 afforestation in arid areas can have detrimental regional side-effects, including soil
116 salinization and negative water balance (Jackson et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021).

117 Afforested arid lands are also more prone to fire, and when burnt, exhibit a heavier
118 overall land degradation, including soil erosion, than non-forested sites (Nunes et al. 2018). In
119 contrast, grasslands in arid areas preserve native biodiversity and safely store carbon in the
120 soil, even when fires sweep through (Dass et al. 2018). The amount of carbon potentially
121 stored in the soil of arid grasslands, such as the prairie and steppe grasslands, is well indicated
122 by their typical, black chernozemic soil, which is among the most carbon-rich soils on Earth
123 (Mikhailova & Post 2006). Similarly, open habitats with water-logged conditions, such as
124 peatlands, are also advised against for afforestation, as it changes their carbon-rich soil from
125 sink to source, preventing a net gain in ecosystem carbon stocks (Friggens et al. 2020).

126 On the other hand, historically forested regions, located mostly in humid but not
127 water-logged tropical, temperate or boreal areas, should be reforested if currently cleared.
128 However, monocultures should be avoided and the natural diversity of the forests should be
129 restored, since plantations harbour little biodiversity and store little more carbon, on average,
130 than the land cleared to plant them (Lewis et al. 2019, Martin et al. 2021). Furthermore, care
131 should be taken in that indiscriminate reforestation of agriculturally utilized land may lead to
132 deforestation or the destruction of grasslands elsewhere to meet the demand for food and feed
133 products (Fleischman et al. 2020; Holl & Brancalion 2020).

134

135 In a broad zone between these two extremes, i.e. historically treeless grasslands or
136 closed canopy forests, savannas and forest-steppes can be found, featured by a mosaic of
137 woody vegetation and grassland (Staver et al. 2011). Here, environmental conditions are
138 within the tolerance limit of closed-canopy forests; therefore these ecosystems are among the
139 major targets of afforestation plans (e.g. Bastin et al. 2019). Historically, wildfires, herbivores
140 and/or millennia-long traditional land-use have partially or temporarily suppressed woody
141 species in this zone, thereby making room for grassy vegetation (Sankaran et al. 2008; Erdős
142 et al. 2018). The mosaic structure of these ecosystems allows for exceptionally high species
143 diversity and large capacity for ecosystem service provisioning (Archer et al. 2017).

144 Grasslands within these regions are among the globally most threatened habitats due to
145 conversion to cropland or land abandonment and subsequent woody species encroachment,
146 and will be further decimated if they fall victim to a tree planting frenzy (Bond 2019). A key
147 concern about the afforestation of savannas and forest-steppes lies in the fact that ongoing
148 climate change has already shown an increase in the frequency of droughts and other extreme

149 weather conditions, compromising the sustainability of forests (Mátyás & Sun 2014;
150 Anderegg et al. 2020) and their capacity to efficiently capture atmospheric carbon dioxide
151 (Anderegg et al. 2015). Another important aspect to consider is that increasing atmospheric
152 carbon dioxide tends to increase soil organic carbon in grasslands but not in forests (Terrer et
153 al. 2021). Restoration in these transitional areas, whether for carbon storage or biodiversity
154 conservation, should thus focus on the re-establishment of both woody and grassy vegetation
155 in appropriate proportions and spatial configuration. Implementation of new concepts for
156 multifunctional landscapes could be a promising way forward (Manning et al. 2018; Svenning
157 2020).

158

159 **Conclusions**

160

161 Considering the high number of situations where tree planting is disadvantageous, its use as
162 an action or a general slogan to address policy makers and the general public is highly
163 problematic. As catchy as it may sound, the fact that well-established science does not back it
164 up in many cases may confuse target groups (i.e. benevolent policy makers, non-
165 governmental organizations [NGOs], and the general public), thus, threatening the credibility
166 of climate mitigation strategies and generating conflicts between climate mitigation and
167 biodiversity conservation. We recommend that global climate strategies should include
168 grasslands and replace the slogan “tree planting” by “restoring native vegetation” as a nature-
169 based solution, which can be forest, grassland, or combinations thereof, depending on present
170 or projected future conditions of an area. This general approach fully includes reforestation if
171 the trees are planted in the right places, but also grants equal consideration to alternative
172 vegetation types, mostly grasslands or grassy ecosystems. Thus, the first step in all localities
173 would be, using comprehensive ecological knowledge, to assess what the target ecosystems
174 should be. This approach is more advanced than a simple call to plant trees and requires the
175 involvement of more expert knowledge to aid policy makers and NGOs, but would

176

177 (1) remove the current dangerous mismatch between actions and goals imposed by the risks of
178 inappropriate afforestation (Anderegg et al. 2020) and the loss of benefits from non-forested
179 vegetation types,

180 (2) provide reconciliation between actions of climate mitigation and biodiversity
181 conservation, and, most importantly,

182 (3) expand the potential land area that can be considered for nature-based climate solutions.
183 ‘Restoring native vegetation’ in climate strategies would be an action with a self-sustaining
184 outcome that has no critical adverse effects, so the reliability of promoting organizations will
185 not be undermined. The use of ‘restoring native vegetation’ applied at whole landscape and
186 watershed scales would pave the way for a more efficient global consensus on the activities to
187 mitigate climate change and the biodiversity crisis.

188 “Restoring native vegetation” in climate strategies would be an action with a self-sustaining
189 outcome that has no critical adverse effects, so the reliability of promoting organizations will
190 not be undermined. The use of “restoring native vegetation” applied at whole landscape and
191 watershed scales would pave the way for a more efficient global consensus on the activities to
192 mitigate climate change and the biodiversity crisis.

193

194 **Acknowledgements**

195 The authors were supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office of
196 Hungary (PD132131 – CT, K119225 – PT) and the Hungarian Academy of Sciences
197 (Momentum Program) during manuscript writing. CT was also supported by the Bolyai János
198 Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. The help of Gerhardt

199 Overbeck, Fernando A. O. Silveira and four anonymous reviewers improving the former
200 manuscript drafts are greatly acknowledged.

201

202 **References**

203

204 Archer SR, Andersen EM, Predick KI, Schwinning S, Steidl R J, Woods SR (2017) Woody
205 Plant Encroachment: Causes and Consequences. Pages 25-84. In: Briske DD (ed) Rangeland
206 systems. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.

207

208 Anderegg WRL, Schwalm C, Biondi F, Camarero JJ, Koch G, Litvak M, Ogle K, Shaw JD,
209 Shevliakova E, Williams AP, Wolf A, Ziaco E, Pacala S (2015) Pervasive drought legacies in
210 forest ecosystems and their implications for carbon cycle models. *Science* 349:528-532.

211

212 Anderegg WRL, Trugman AT, Badgley G, Anderson CM, Bartuska A, Ciais P, Cullenward
213 D, Field CB, Freeman J, Goetz SJ, Hicke JA, Huntzinger D, Jackson RB, Nickerson J, Pacala
214 S, Randerson JT (2020) Climate-driven risks to the climate mitigation potential of forests.
215 *Science* 368:eaaz7005.

216

217 Bastin JF, Finegold Y, Garcia C, Mollicone D, Rezende M, Routh D, Zohner CM, Crowther
218 TW (2019) The global tree restoration potential. *Science* 365:76-79.

219

220 Bond WJ (2019) *Open Ecosystems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.

221

222 Buisson E, Le Stradic S, Silveira FAO, Durigan G, Overbeck GE, Fidelis A, Fernandes GW,
223 Bond WJ, Hermann J-M, Mahy G, Alvarado ST, Zaloumis NP, Veldman JW (2019)
224 Resilience and restoration of tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas, and grassy
225 woodlands. *Biological Reviews* 94:590-609.

226

227 Buisson E, Fidelis A, Overbeck GE, Schmidt IB, Durigan G, Young TP, Alvarado ST, Arruda
228 AJ, Boisson S, Bond W, Coutinho A, Kirkman K, Oliveira RS, Schmitt MH, Siebert F,
229 Siebert SJ, Thompson DI, Silveira FAO (2021) A research agenda for the restoration of
230 tropical and subtropical grasslands and savannas. *Restoration Ecology* e13528.

231

232 Carvalhais N, Forkel M, Khomik M, Bellarby J, Jung M, Migliavacca M, Mu M, Saatchi S,
233 Santoro M, Thurner M, Weber U, Ahrens B, Beer C, Cescatti A, Randerson JT, Reichstein M
234 (2014) Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial ecosystems.
235 *Nature* 514:213-217.

236

237 Coleman EA, Schultz B, Ramprasad V, Fischer H, Rana P, Filippi AM, Güneralp EA, Ma A,
238 Solorzano CR, Guleria V, Rana R, Fleishman F (2021) Limited effects of tree planting on
239 forest canopy cover and rural livelihoods in Northern India. *Nature Sustainability*, DOI:
240 [10.1038/s41893-021-00761-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00761-z)

241

242 Cook-Patton SC, Leavitt SM, Gibbs D, Harris NL, Lister K, Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Briggs
243 RD, Chazdon RL, Crowther TW, Ellis PW, Griscom HP, Herrmann V, Holl KD, Houghton
244 RA, Larrosa C, Lomax G, Lucas R, Madsen P, Malhi Y, Paquette A, Parker JD, Paul K,
245 Routh D, Roxburgh S, Saatchi S, van den Hoogen J, Walker WS, Wheeler CE, Wood SA, Xu
246 L, Griscom BW (2020) Mapping carbon accumulation potential from global natural forest
247 regrowth. *Nature* 585:545-550.

248

249 Dass P, Houlton BZ, Wang Y, Warlind D (2018) Grasslands may be more reliable carbon
250 sinks than forests in California. *Environmental Research Letters* 13:074027.
251

252 Davis DK, Robbins P (2018) Ecologies of the colonial present: Pathological forestry
253 from the taux de boisement to civilized plantations. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and*
254 *Space* 1:447-469.
255

256 Dudley N, Eufemia L, Fleckenstein M, Periago ME, Petersen I, Timmers JF. (2020)
257 Grasslands and savannahs in the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration. *Restoration Ecology*
258 28:1313-1317.
259

260 Erdős L, Ambarlı D, Anenkhnov OA, Bátorı Z, Cserhalmi D, Kiss M, Kröel-Dulay G, Liu
261 H, Magnes M, Molnár Z, Naqinezhad A, Semenishchenkov YA, Tölgyesi C, Török P (2018)
262 The edge of two worlds: A new review and synthesis on Eurasian forest-steppes. *Applied*
263 *Vegetation Science* 21:345-362.
264

265 Feurdean A, Ruprecht E, Molnár Z, Hutchinson SM, Hickler T (2018) Biodiversity-rich
266 European grasslands: Ancient, forgotten ecosystems. *Biological Conservation* 228:224-232.
267

268 Fleischman F, Basant S, Chhatre A, Coleman EA, Fischer HW, Gupta D, Güneralp B,
269 Kashwan P, Khatri D, Muscarella R, Powers JS, Ramprasad V, Rana P, Solorzano CR,
270 Veldman JW (2020) Pitfalls of tree planting show why we need people-centered natural
271 climate solutions. *BioScience* 70:947-950.
272

273 Friggens, NL, Hester, AJ, Mitchell, RJ, Parker, TC, Subke, J-A, Wookey, PA (2020). Tree
274 planting in organic soils does not result in net carbon sequestration on decadal timescales.
275 *Global Change Biology* 26:5178-5188.
276

277 Gómez-González S, Ochoa-Hueso R, Pausas JG (2020) Afforestation falls short as a
278 biodiversity strategy. *Science* 368:1439.
279

280 Heilmayr R, Echeverría C, Lambin EF (2020) Impacts of Chilean forest subsidies on forest
281 cover, carbon and biodiversity. *Nature Sustainability* 3:701-709.
282

283 Holl KD, Brancalion PHS (2020) Tree planting is not a simple solution. *Science* 368:580-581.
284

285 Jackson RB, Jobbágy EG, Avissar R, Roy SB, Barrett DJ, Cook CW, Farley KA, le Maitre
286 DC, McCarl BA, Murray BC (2005) Trading water for carbon with biological carbon
287 sequestration. *Science* 310:1944-1947.
288

289 Lewis SL, Wheeler, CE, Mitchard, ETA, Koch, A (2019) Restoring natural forests is the best
290 way to remove atmospheric carbon. *Nature* 568:25-28.
291

292 Li R, Zheng H, O'Connor P, Xu H, Li Y, Lu F, Robinson BE, Ouyang Z, Hai Y, Daily GC
293 (2021) Time and space catch up with restoration programs that ignore ecosystem service
294 trade-offs. *Science Advances* 7:eabf8650.
295

296 Manning P, van der Plas F, Soliveres S, Allan E, Maestre FT, Mace G, Whittingham MJ,
297 Fischer M (2018) Redefining ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*
298 2:427-436.

299
300 Martin MP, Woodbury DJ, Doroski DA, Nagele E, Storaice M, Cook-Patton SC, Pasternack
301 R, Ashton MS (2021) People plant trees for utility more often than for biodiversity or carbon.
302 *Biological Conservation* 261: 109224.
303
304 Mátyás C, Sun G (2014) Forests in a water limited world under climate change.
305 *Environmental Research Letters*, 9085001.
306
307 Mikhailova EA, Post CJ (2006) Organic carbon stocks in the Russian Chernozem. *European*
308 *Journal of Soil Science* 57:330-336.
309
310 Murphy BP, Andersen AN, Parr CL (2016) The underestimated biodiversity of tropical grassy
311 biomes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 371:20150319.
312
313 Nunes J, Quintanilla P, Santos J, Serpa D, Carvalho-Santos C, Rocha J, Keizer J, Keesstra S
314 (2018) Afforestation, subsequent forest fires and provision of hydrological services: a
315 model-based analysis for Mediterranean mountainous catchment. *Land Degradation and*
316 *Development* 29:776-788.
317
318 Pausas JG, Bond WJ (2019) Humboldt and the reinvention of nature. *Journal of Ecology*
319 107:1031-1037.
320
321 Sankaran M, Ratnam J, Hanan N (2008) Woody cover in African savannas: the role of
322 resources, fire and herbivory. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 17:236-245.
323
324 Selva N, Chylarecki P, Jonsson B-G, Ibisch PL (2020) Misguided forest action in EU
325 Biodiversity Strategy. *Science* 368:1438-1439.
326
327 Silveira FAO, Ordóñez-Parra CA, Moura LC, Schmidt IB, Andersen AN, Bond W, Buisson
328 E, Durigan G, Fidelis A, Oliveira RS, Parr C, Rowland L, Veldman JW, Pennington RT
329 (2021) Biome Awareness Disparity is BAD for tropical ecosystem conservation and
330 restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology* (in press), DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.14060
331
332 Staver AC, Archibald S, Levin SA (2011) The global extent and determinants of savanna and
333 forest as alternative biome states. *Science* 334:230-232.
334
335 Suding K, Higgs E, Palmer M, Callicott JB, Anderson CB, Baker M, Gutrich JJ, Hondula KL,
336 LaFevor MC, Larson BM (2015) Committing to ecological restoration. *Science* 348:638-640.
337
338 Svenning, JC (2020) Rewilding should be central to global restoration efforts. *One Earth*, 3(6),
339 657–660.
340
341 Temperton VM, Buchmann N, Buisson E, Durigan G, Kazmierczak Ł, Perring MP, de Sá
342 Dechoum M, Veldman JW, Overbeck GE (2019) Step back from the forest and step up to the
343 Bonn Challenge: how a broad ecological perspective can promote successful landscape
344 restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 27:705-719.
345
346 Terrer C, Phillips RP, Hungate BA, Rosende J, Pett-Ridge J, Craig ME, van Groenigen KJ,
347 Keenan TF, Sulman BN, Stocker BD, Reich PB, Pellegrini AFA, Pendall E, Zhang H, Evans

348 RD, Carrillo Y, Fisher JB, Van Sundert K, Vicca S, Jackson RB (2021) A trade-off between
349 plant and soil carbon storage under elevated CO₂. *Nature* 591:599-603.
350

351 Tölgyesi C, Török P, Hábczyus AA, Bátori Z, Valkó O, Deák B, Tóthmérész B, Erdős L,
352 Kelemen A (2020) Underground deserts below fertility islands? Woody species desiccate
353 lower soil layers in sandy drylands. *Ecography* 43:848-859.
354

355 Török P, Vida E, Deák B, Lengyel S, Tóthmérész B (2011) Grassland restoration on former
356 croplands in Europe: an assessment of applicability of techniques and costs. *Biodiversity and*
357 *Conservation* 20:2311-2332.
358

359 Török P, Brudvig LA, Kollmann J, Price JN, Tóthmérész B (2021) The present and future of
360 grassland restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 29:e13378
361

362 Yıldız O, Altundag E, Cetin B, Güner ST, Sarginci M, Toprak B (2018) Experimental arid
363 land afforestation in Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*
364 190:355.
365

366 Veldman JW (2016) Clarifying the confusion: old-growth savannahs and tropical ecosystem
367 degradation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 371:20150306.
368

369 Veldman JW, Aleman JC, Alvarado ST, Anderson TM, Archibald S, Bond WJ, Boutton TW,
370 Buchmann N, Buisson E, Canadell JG, Josep G. Canadell, de Sá Dechoum M, Diaz-Toribio
371 MH, Durigan G, Ewel JJ, Fernandes GW, Fidelis A, Fleischman F, Good SP, Griffith DM,
372 Hermann JM, Hoffmann WA, Le Stradic S, Lehmann ER, Mahy G, Nerlekar AS, Nippert JB,
373 Noss RF, Osborne CP, Overbeck GE, Parr CL, Pausas JG, Pennington RT, Perring MP, Putz
374 FE, Ratnam J, Sankaran M, Schmidt IB, Schmitt CB, Silveira FAO, Staver AC, Stevens N,
375 Still CJ, Strömberg CAE, Temperton VM, Varner JM, Zaloumis NP (2019) Comment on
376 “The global tree restoration potential”. *Science* 366 DOI: 10.1126/science.aay7976.
377

378 Wang Z, Peng D, Xu D, Zhang X, Zhang Y (2020). Assessing the water footprint of
379 afforestation in Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Arid Environments* 182: 104257.
380

381 Zhao Y, Liu Z, Wu J (2020) Grassland ecosystem services: a systematic review of research
382 advances and future directions. *Landscape Ecology* 35:793-814.