

# Processing of information from the centromedian/parafascicular complex of the thalamus through the basal ganglia

Maroua Hanini-Daoud, Florence Jaouen, Pascal Salin, Lydia Kerkerian-Le Goff, Nicolas Maurice

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Maroua Hanini-Daoud, Florence Jaouen, Pascal Salin, Lydia Kerkerian-Le Goff, Nicolas Maurice. Processing of information from the centromedian/parafascicular complex of the thalamus through the basal ganglia. 2021. hal-03453189v1

### HAL Id: hal-03453189 https://hal.science/hal-03453189v1

Preprint submitted on 27 Nov 2021 (v1), last revised 30 Aug 2022 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Title: Processing of information from the centromedian/parafascicular complex of the thalamus
- 2 through the basal ganglia
- 3 Abbreviated title: Thalamic information transfer through the basal ganglia
- 4 Authors: Maroua Hanini-Daoud, Florence Jaouen, Pascal Salin, Lydia Kerkerian-Le Goff and Nicolas
- 5 Maurice\*
- 6 Authors affiliations: Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IBDM, Marseille, France
- 7 \* Corresponding author: Dr. Nicolas Maurice, Aix Marseille Univ, Institut de Biologie du
- 8 Développement de Marseille (IBDM), CNRS UMR 7288, Case 907, Parc Scientifique de Luminy, 13288
- 9 Marseille Cedex 9, France. e-mail: nicolas.maurice@univ-amu.fr, phone: +33 (0)4 91 26 92 47, fax: +33
- 10 (0)4 91 26 92 44
- 11 Pages: 26 pages
- 12 **Figures**: 9
- 13 **Table**: 1
- 14 **Abstracts**: 249 words
- 15 **Significance Statements**: 120 words
- 16 Introduction: 706 words
- 17 **Discussion**: 1365 words
- 18 **Conflict of interest statement**: The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 19 Acknowledgements: This work was granted by Fondation de France (2013-00043175 and 2014-
- 20 00053111) and supported by CNRS and Aix-Marseille University. It was conducted within the context
- of the DHUNE project supported by A\*MIDEX (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02). IBDM is affiliated with the

Institute NeuroMarseille and the graduate School NeuroSchool supported by the French government under the Programme "Investissements d'Avenir", Initiative d'Excellence d'Aix-Marseille Université via A\*Midex funding (AMX-19-IET-004) and ANR (ANR-17-EURE-0029). Microscopy was performed at the imaging platform of the IBDM, supported by the ANR through the "Investments for the Future" program (France-Biolmaging, ANR-10-INSB-04-01). MHD was awarded a PhD excellence fellowship from the Ministry of Higher Education and Research of Tunisia. We thank Jean-Pierre Kessler for helpful discussion and help with the confocal microscopy.

#### **ABSTRACT**

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

51

53

Accumulating evidence implicates the thalamic centromedian /parafascicular complex (CM/Pf) in basal ganglia (BG)-related functions and pathologies. Despite CM/Pf connectivity with all BG components, most attention is focused on the thalamostriatal system and an integrated view of thalamic information processing in this network is still lacking. Here, we addressed this question by recording the responses elicited by CM/Pf activation in single neurons of the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), the main BG output structure in rodents, in anaesthetized mice. We performed optogenetic activation of CM/Pf neurons innervating the striatum, the subthalamic nucleus or the SNr using virallymediated transcellular delivery of Cre from injection in either target in Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice to drive channelrhodopsin expression. Photoactivation of CM/Pf neurons connecting the striatum evoked an inhibition often followed by an excitation, likely resulting from the activation of the transstriatal direct and indirect pathways, respectively. Photoactivation of CM/Pf neurons connecting the SNr or the STN triggered one or, rarely, two early excitations, suggesting partial functional segregation of trans-subthalamic and direct thalamonigral projections. Excitations were followed in about half of the cases by an inhibition that might reflect recruitment of intranigral inhibitory loops. Finally, global CM/Pf stimulation, electrical or optogenetic, elicited similar complex responses comprising up to four components: one or two short-latency excitations, an inhibition and a late excitation. These data provide evidence for functional connections between the CM/Pf and different BG components and for convergence of the information processed through these pathways in single SNr neurons, stressing their importance in regulating BG outflow.

49 KEY WORDS: basal ganglia, substantia nigra pars reticulata, optogenetics, in vivo electrophysiology, 50 intralaminar nuclei of the thalamus

#### SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

52 The thalamic centromedian /parafascicular (CM/Pf) complex is a major input and output station of the basal ganglia (BG). While increasing knowledge is gained on the thalamostriatal circuitry, the functional connectivity of the CM/Pf with the other BG components and the integration of the information it provides to the network is poorly understood. By combining *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings with electrical or optogenetic stimulation, we show that neuronal activity in the BG output structure can be shaped by convergent information processed through trans-striatal, trans-subthalamic and direct thalamonigral subcircuits. Such high level of integration suggests an important functional weight of these different pathways on BG outflow, which needs to be considered to fully appreciate CM/Pf implication in BG physiological and pathological functioning.

#### INTRODUCTION

The centromedian/parafascicular (CM/Pf) complex of the thalamus is the primary subcortical source of excitatory afferents to the basal ganglia (BG), where it mainly targets the striatum, the major input station of the network. Over the last twenty years, significant knowledge has been gained on the complex anatomy, physiology and functions of the microcircuits linking the CM/Pf with the cerebral cortex and the striatum (Smith et al., 2009; Mandelbaum et al., 2019), in particular its robust link with the striatal cholinergic interneurons (Lapper and Bolam, 1992; Sidibé and Smith, 1999) that are now viewed as central regulators of striatal functions (Abudukeyoumu et al., 2019). Hence, major progress has been made in the dissection of the network mechanisms underlying the proposed key role of the CM/Pf projections to the striatum in processes as redirection of attention, associative learning, correct action selection in an ongoing sensorimotor context and behavioral flexibility (Matsumoto et al., 2001; Brown et al., 2010; Ding et al., 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2018; Mandelbaum et al., 2019). Renewed interest for the CM/Pf, long neglected in the models of BG functioning, also arose from clinical and experimental data supporting its involvement in the pathophysiology of BG-related disorders, including Parkinson's disease and Tourette's syndrome, and the potential of its deep brain stimulation to alleviate symptoms of these diseases (Henderson et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2004; Kerkerian-Le Goff

et al., 2009; Lanciego et al., 2009; Stefani et al., 2009; Fasano et al., 2012; Testini et al., 2016; Ilyas et al., 2019; Watson et al., 2021).

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

Amongst the thalamic nuclei, the CM/Pf is characterized by its widespread connectivity with the entire BG network, contrasting with its relatively sparse, although highly organized, connections with the cerebral cortex. Besides its heavy input to the striatum, it provides weak to moderately dense inputs to all the BG components (Sadikot et al., 1992; Bevan et al., 1995; Deschênes et al., 1996; Marini et al., 1999; Lanciego et al., 2004; Yasukawa et al., 2004; Castle et al., 2005; Tandé et al., 2006) and, in turn, receives a substantial innervation from the BG output structures (Sadikot and Rymar, 2009). Single axon reconstruction showed that some Pf axons give rise to collaterals that arborize within the striatum and extrastriatal BG components (Deschênes et al., 1996), including the subthalamic nucleus (STN) that is also considered as an input station of the BG. However, dual retrograde tracing study provided evidence that projections to the striatum and to the STN mostly arise from distinct neuronal populations of the CM/Pf (Féger et al., 1994), which has been recently confirmed using a combination of Cre-dependent retrograde viruses (Watson et al., 2021). Whether or not CM/Pf neurons projecting to different extrastriatal targets are also mostly segregated has not been investigated in depth. Furthermore, contrasting with the increasing knowledge on the function of the projections of this thalamic complex to the striatum, little is known about the functional contributions of the subcircuits it forms with the other BG components. The importance of these issues is pointed out by a recent study demonstrating that the projections to STN while not to striatum contribute to movement initiation (Watson et al., 2021).

The present study was undertaken to provide an integrated view of thalamic information processing through the BG network. To do so, we combined *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings of neurons in the *substantia nigra pars reticulata* (SNr), the main basal ganglia output structure in rodents, with stimulation of CM/Pf, either electrical or by optogenetics, in anaesthetized mice. We recorded the responses evoked by stimulating neuronal CM/Pf subpopulations distinguished on the basis of their

connectivity with the striatum, the STN or the SNr, respectively, and of CM/Pf neurons taken as a whole, to decipher the contribution of the different circuits to the global responses. The results show that trans-striatal, trans-subthalamic and direct thalamonigral circuits are functional and can convey converging information onto single SNr neurons. They provide evidence for segregation of the information transferred through the striatum and through extrastriatal pathways, but for partial functional overlap between the trans-subthalamic and thalamonigral pathways, as also confirmed by retrograde tracing experiments. Finally, they highlight the CM/Pf-evoked responses recorded in the SNr as a readout of the functionality of the different pathways by which the CM/Pf impacts BG outflow.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Animal experimental procedures were approved by the Comité National de Réflexion Ethique sur l'Expérimentation Animale (Comité d'éthique de Marseille # 14) and were in agreement with the European Communities Council Directive (2010/63/EU). All surgical procedures electrophysiological recordings were conducted in mice anaesthetized with intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of ketamine and xylazine (100 and 10 mg/kg, respectively) Mice. We used CamKIIα-IRES-Cre BAC mice (CamKII<sup>cre/+</sup> mice, purchased through European Mouse Mutant Archive, ID:EM01153) and Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice (ChR2-EYFP mice, purchased from Jackson Laboratories, stock number: 012569), both strains maintained on C57BI/6J genetic background. Virally-mediated expression of opsins in CM/Pf neurons. To drive channelrhodopsin (ChR2) expression in CM/Pf neurons, we used two different AAV vectors containing transgene to express either ChR2 (AAV-Ef1α-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry) or Cre recombinase (AAV-EF1α-mCherry-IRES-WGA-Cre). These recombinant AAV vectors were serotyped with AAV5 coat proteins and packaged by the viral vector core at the University of North Carolina (UNC Vector Core, Chapel Hill, NC).

Anaesthetized mice were mounted on a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). Injections were made with a 10- $\mu$ l syringe, connected to the injector (30 G) by a polyethylene tubing, and controlled by an injection pump at 0.3  $\mu$ l/min.

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

To achieve Cre-dependent expression of ChR2 in CM/Pf neurons independently on their projection site, we microinjected 1 µl of AAV-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry into the CM/Pf of CamKII<sup>cre/+</sup> mice (-2.3 mm AP, ±0.7 mm ML, -3.1 mm DV). To achieve Cre-dependent expression of ChR2 in subpopulations of CM/Pf neurons based on their connectivity with distinct BG nuclei, we applied a strategy relying on the transcellular transport of Cre using a virus expressing Cre recombinase fused to the transcellular tracer protein wheat germ agglutinin (AAV5-EF1 $\alpha$ -mCherry-IRES-WGA-Cre; Gradinaru et al., 2010). Microinjections of this virus were performed in Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice into either the striatum (1 µL at two dorso-ventral sites: +1.0 mm AP, +1.7 mm ML, -3.1 and -2.7 mm DV), the STN (0.2  $\mu$ L at one site at: -1.8 mm AP, +1.6 mm ML, -4.5 mm DV) or the SNr (0.3  $\mu$ L at one site: -2.8 mm AP, +1.35 mm ML, -4.4 mm DV), at coordinates defined from bregma with the incisor bar at -1.0 mm according to the stereotaxic mouse atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (2001). Cre recombinase fused to the transcellular tracer is then transported along with WGA to the cell-body of anatomically connected neurons where it activates opsin expression only in the cells defined by this connectivity. ChR2-expressing neurons were visualized by immunodetection of either mCherry in CamKII<sup>cre/+</sup> mice or of EYFP in Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP. Cortical afferent terminals were visualized in the CM/Pf by VGLUT1 immunolabelling. For primary antibody exposure, brain sections were incubated overnight at 4°C in Rabbit anti-RFP (#600-401-379, Rockland), Chicken anti-GFP (#GFP-1020, Aves Labs, Davis, CA) and/or Guinea Pig anti-VGLUT1 (#AB5905, Merck) diluted to 1/1000. Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 555 Donkey anti-Rabbit (#A-31572, Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey anti-Chicken (#703-545-155, Jackson Immunotech, Cambridgeshire, UK) and/or Alexa Fluor 633 Goat anti-Guinea Pig (#A-21050, Invitrogen) used at 1/500 for 1h30 at room temperature.

Electrophysiological recordings. Mice under anesthesia were fixed in a stereotaxic frame (Horsley-Clarke apparatus, Unimécanique, Epinay-sur-Seine, France) and body temperature was maintained at 36.5°C. All Recordings were performed 8-10 weeks after injection of the viruses. Single-unit activity of neurons in the SNr (at: -3.3/-2.9 mm AP, +1.3/+1.5 mm ML, -3.7/-4.6 mm DV) was recorded extracellularly using glass micropipettes (25-35 M $\Omega$ ) filled with a 0.5M sodium chloride solution containing 1.5% neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Action potentials were recorded using a Axoclamp-2B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA), amplified, filtered with an AC/DC amplifier (DAM 50, Tektronix, Courtabœuf, France). Data were sampled on-line at 10 kHz rate on a computer connected to a CED 1401 interface and off-line analyzed using Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK). Nigral neurons were identified as non-dopaminergic by their classically defined electrophysiological characteristics: narrow spikes (width ≤ 2 ms) and ability to present high-frequency discharge (> 10 Hz) without decrease in spike amplitude (Bunney et al., 1973; Deniau et al., 1978). CM/Pf stimulation and analysis of evoked responses. The CM/Pf (at: -2.3 mm AP, +0.7 mm ML, -3.2 mm DV) ipsilateral to the recorded SNr was stimulated through either bipolar coaxial stainless steel electrode (SNE-100, Rhodes Medical Instruments, Woodlands Hill, CA) or optical fiber (NA, 200 μm diameter, Thorlabs, Canada). Electrical stimulation consisted of pulses of 600 µs width and 10-20 V, delivered at 1 Hz. Optical stimulation consisted of pulses of blue light (1 ms, Laser 473 nm, 180-200 mW) delivered at 1 Hz. Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) were generated from 140 stimulation trials. Spikes were discriminated from noise and stimulation artifact based on their amplitude, using the gate function of a 121 window discriminator (World Precision Instruments) and sampled on-line. Excitatory responses were defined as > 50% increase vs. pre-stimulus in the number of spikes for at least three consecutive bins and were characterized by their latency, duration and amplitude. Inhibitory responses corresponded to the time interval during which no spike was observed and were characterized by their latency and duration. Given the high spontaneous firing rate of SNr neurons in

anaesthetized animals, inhibitory responses can be evidenced from 60 stimulations as previously

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177 documented following cortical stimulations (Maurice et al., 1999). Numerical values are given as means 178 ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma Plot 12.5 (Systat software Inc., San Jose, CA) and 179 significance was assessed by performing appropriate statistical tests (Student's t test or, for multiple 180 comparisons, one-way repeated measure ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak post-hoc test). 181 Juxtacellular labeling using neurobiotin. Some CM/Pf neurons were juxtacellularly-labeled with neurobiotin according to Pinault (1996). Briefly, positive current pulses (2-6 nA, 200 ms, 50% duty 182 183 cycle) were applied until single-unit activity became robustly entrained by the pulses for at last 5 184 minutes. Two to four hours after labeling, mice were euthanized by a lethal dose of anesthetic and 185 transcardially perfused with 5 ml sodium chloride solution followed by 20 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde 186 in phosphate buffer (PB). Brains were removed and cryoprotected in sucrose 30% in PB and frozen 187 until cutting. Serial frozen section (40 µm) were incubated overnight at room temperature in Triton 188 (0.3%) phosphate buffer saline (PBS) containing Cy3-streptavidin (1:1 000, Thermo Fisher Scientific). 189 Retrograde labelling with cholera toxin subunit B (CTB). Three adult (8-10 weeks) mice under 190 anesthesia were placed in a David Kopf stereotaxic apparatus. Small craniotomies were made on the 191 skull. Solutions of 150 nl of cholera toxin subunit B (CTB) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594 (#C34777, 192 Molecular probes, Eugene, OR) and Alexa Fluor 488 (#C34775, Molecular probes) at 1μg/μl in PBS 193 were pressure-injected through a Hamilton syringe at a flow rate of 30 nl/min in the SNr and in the 194 STN, respectively. The stereotaxic coordinates of the injection sites were as follows: SNr: -2.7 mm AP, 195 +1.3 mm ML, -4.4 mm DV; STN: -1.7 mm AP; +1.6 mm ML; -4.35 mm DV. After surgery, mice were 196 injected with 5 mg/kg carprofen (Rimadyl®, Pfizer) to reduce postoperative inflammation and pain. 197 Ten days after CTB injection, mice were anaesthetized and perfused intracardially with 5 ml NaCl 198 (0.9%) followed by 30 ml paraformaldehyde (4% in 0.12M PBS pH 7.2). Dissected brains were post-199 fixed in paraformaldehyde (4% in PBS) overnight at 4 °C and kept in a cryoprotective solution 200 containing 30% of sucrose at 4 °C for 2 days and then frozen in dry ice and stored at -80°C. Frozen 201 coronal (40 μm thick) tissue sections were cut at -20°C with a cryostat (CM3050, Leica, Nussloch,

Germany) at Pf level. The sections were then mounted on SuperFrost Plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific, Elancourt, France). The sections were inspected under a Zeiss confocal microscope (510 Meta, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at low magnification. Photomicrographs were obtained at the highest magnification available (63× oil-immersion lens, NA 1.4; 1024×1024 pixels) and with the appropriate band-pass and long-pass filter setting to avoid cross-talk.

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

202

203

204

205

206

#### **RESULTS**

## SNr responses elicited by optogenetic activation of subpopulations of CM/Pf neurons distinguished on the basis of their connectivity

The CM/Pf is connected to the SNr through different BG pathways, including the trans-striatal, transsubthalamic and the direct thalamonigral pathways. To assess the functionality of these different pathways, we recorded the responses elicited in SNr neurons by the optogenetic activation of subpopulations of CM/Pf neurons segregated based on their connections with the striatum, STN or SNr, respectively (Fig. 1). This connectivity-based strategy relies on the expression of the opsin in the projection neurons innervating a given target owing to the transcellular transport of Cre from this target, using virally-mediated expression of the WGA-Cre. For instance, 5 weeks after the unilateral injection of the virus into the striatum of Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice, robust ChR2-EYFP expression was observed in a subset of CM/Pf neurons strictly in the ipsilateral side (Fig. 2A), as well as in other neuronal populations known as innervating the striatum, such as cortical projection neurons and nigral dopaminergic cells (data not shown). Although the opsin will be expressed in all the systems innervating the virally-injected structure, restricted activation of the thalamic projection system will be achieved by light delivery into the CM/Pf. The limitation of this strategy might be the recruitment, besides CM/Pf neurons projecting to either targets, of axon collaterals within the CM/Pf of other neuronal systems projecting to the injected BG component. Previous work in the cat using dual retrograde tracing provided evidence for collateralized projections from the cerebral cortex to

the CM/Pf and the striatum (Royce, 1983; Paré and Smith, 1996), although the number of double labelled cortical neurons was few compared to the single labelled ones from either target (Royce, 1983). To investigate the potential implication of CM/Pf collaterals of corticostriatal projections in our experimental paradigm, we labelled cortical terminals into the CM/Pf using VGLUT1 immunoreactivity as a specific marker in Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice after WGA-Cre viral injection into the striatum (Fig. 2B). VGLUT1 and EYFP immunostained profiles were spatially segregated, suggesting that cortico-CM/Pf fibers are not expressing ChR2 at detectable level following WGA-Cre viral delivery into the striatum and thus will not be recruited by CM/Pf light shining. The next step was to validate the functionality of the ChR2 expressed using the WGA system by performing single unit extracellular recordings of CM/Pf neurons. As illustrated in figure 2C, some of the recorded CM/Pf neurons were efficiently driven by 1 ms blue light pulses, and their juxtacellular labeling with neurobiotin showed that these light-driven neurons actually expressed ChR2-EYFP, confirming the efficiency of our optogenetic strategy.

Photo-activation of the CM/Pf neurons projecting to the striatum evoked responses in 22 out of 68 recorded SNr cells (Fig. 3). Among the responding cells, 10 presented an inhibition followed by an excitation (Fig. 3A), 8 cells displayed only an inhibition (Fig. 3B) whereas the 4 remaining neurons showed only late excitation (not shown). The population PSTH in figure 3C evidences two components in the average response, namely an inhibition followed by an excitation, whose characteristics are detailed in figure 3D. It is to note that there was a competition between the inhibition and the following excitation. This was evidenced by the fact that *i*) the inhibition was longer (21.5  $\pm$  2.0 ms vs. 14.1  $\pm$  2.1 ms; p = 0.023; Student's *t*-test) when it was not followed by an excitation (n = 8) and *ii*) the excitation, when alone (n = 4), had a shorter latency (18.1  $\pm$  5.0 ms vs. 35.2  $\pm$  4.2 ms; p = 0.038; Student's *t*-test), a tendency for a longer duration (23.0  $\pm$  4.8 ms vs. 14.3  $\pm$  1.7 ms; n.s. p = 0.052; Student's *t*-test) and a stronger amplitude (71.1  $\pm$  21.7 spikes vs. 19.7  $\pm$  4.4 spikes; p = 0.02: Mann-Whitney rank sum test).

Photo-activation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to the STN evoked responses in 8 out of 78 examined SNr cells (Fig. 4). These responses consisted of one (6/8) or two early excitations (2/8), followed, in most of the cases (n=5, 62.5 %), by an inhibition, as illustrated in figure 4A. The population PSTH (Fig. 4B) further shows that the excitations are distributed in two peaks with latencies <5ms or  $\ge$ 5ms. Characteristics of the population responses are detailed in figure 4C.

Photo-activation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to SNr evoked responses in 14 out of 53 recorded SNr cells (Fig. 5). These responses consisted of a single (12/14, Fig. 5A and 5B) or a double excitation (2/14) followed, in half of the cells, by an inhibition (Fig. 5B). Population PSTH in figure 5C evidences these excitations followed by the inhibition in the average population response. The distribution displays a peak with a shoulder reminiscent of the second peak of the trans-STN responses ( $\geq$ 5ms, see also Fig 4C). We then used the same latency thresholds (<5ms or  $\geq$ 5ms) to distinguish the two excitations and provide the characteristics of the population responses (Fig. 5D).

#### SNr responses evoked by global CM/Pf stimulation

We then investigated the global transfer of information from the CM/Pf through the BG, by recording the responses elicited in SNr neurons by CM/Pf stimulation, either electrically or by optogenetics.

Responses evoked by electrical stimulation of the CM/Pf were analyzed in 54 SNr responding cells recorded in 18 mice (Fig. 6). They presented up to 4 components: one or two early excitations, an inhibition and a late excitation. Inhibition was recorded in the majority of the cells (n = 37 of 54; 68.5%), the most often (30 of 37), preceded by one or two short latency excitations (Fig. 6A-C). The inhibition was in some cases followed by a late excitation (13 out of 37 cells) (Fig. 6C). In the 17 remaining SNr cells out of the 54 (31.5%), excitation without inhibition were recorded, consisting in one (3 cells, Fig. 6D) or two (7 cells; Fig. 6E) short latency excitations the more often followed (9 cells) by a late latency excitation. All the components were found together in 17% (n = 9) of the responding

cells. Figure 6F provides the pie-chart of the different types of responses expressed as percent of total responding cells. The characteristics of each of these components were determined by pooling all evoked responses together to measure the latency (L) and the duration (D) of each events as well as the amplitude (A) of the excitations. Results are summarized in table 1.

There was no obvious topographical distribution of the SNr cells presenting those different complex responses to CM/Pf stimulation and these responses were reproducible overtime in a given neuron for identical stimulation parameters. By contrast, modifying the intensity or the current polarity of the stimulation could alter the respective weight of each component of the evoked responses, or evoke different patterns of responses in the same individual neuron (not shown), presumably by recruiting populations of CM/Pf neurons with different projections within the BG network.

The complex responses evoked by CM/Pf electrical stimulation in SNr neurons were reminiscent of the responses evoked by cortical stimulation (Maurice et al., 1999). Whether electrical stimulation of the CM/Pf recruits the cerebral cortex and remotely activates the corticostriatal pathway either orthodromically via thalamocortical projections, or through axonal reflex via cortical axons branching both in the thalamus and the striatum is an important matter of concern. The possibility of orthodromic recruitment is limited by the sparse innervation of the cerebral cortex from the CM/Pf. The above reported lack of EYFP expression in VGluT1-labelled terminals within the CM/Pf after virus injection in the striatum suggest that collaterals of corticostriatal projections are weak, if present, in mice; as previously reported in cat (Royce, 1983; Paré and Smith, 1996). To nevertheless exclude contribution of axonal reflex, we developed an optogenetic strategy to achieve selective activation the CM/Pf neurons and compared the elicited responses with those evoked by electrical CM/Pf stimulation. This was done by expressing ChR2-mCherry specifically in CM/Pf neurons by injecting Cre-dependent AAV5 carrying the ChR2-mCherry into the CM/Pf of mice expressing Cre under the promoter of the CaMKII, a marker of projection neurons including those of the thalamus and the cerebral cortex. 5 weeks following AAV injections into the CM/PF, numerous mCherry-expressing neurons were found in the

CM/Pf (Fig. 7A), whereas no mCherry neuron was detected into the cerebral cortex (Fig. 7B), confirming that corticothalamic neurons in our experimental setting do not express ChR2. Moreover, contrasting with the dense network of fibers in the striatum, very sparse mCherry fibers were detected in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 7C), in compliance with the literature. Together, these data support the view that the responses driven by light delivery locally into the CM/Pf will result most directly from the activation of CM/Pf neurons.

Responses evoked by photostimulation of CM/Pf neurons were then investigated in 41 SNr responding cells recorded in 5 CaMKII<sup>cre/+</sup> mice (Fig. 8), 8-10 weeks post-AAV injection into the CM/Pf. Similar to the electrical stimulation, in most tested cells (n = 37 of 41 responding neurons; 90%), photostimulation of the CM/Pf induced an inhibition (Fig. 8A, 8B and 8C), that was, in 27 out of 37 of the cells, preceded and/or followed by excitation. In the 4 (10%) remaining cells, CM/Pf stimulation evoked only excitation (Fig. 8D and 8E). Figure 8F summarizes the different patterns of responses expressed as percent of total responding cells. Note that the variety and the distribution of the components were similar to those recorded under electrical stimulation (fig. 8F). As for the electrical stimulation, no obvious topographical distribution of the cells with distinct types of responses could be observed. Here again, the characteristics of each component were determined by pooling these complex responses altogether to calculate the latency and the duration of each component as well as the amplitude for the excitations. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of each component of the responses evoked by electrical and optogenetic activation of CM/Pf. Differences between the two modes of stimulation were not statistically significant (n.s.; Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test).

#### CM/Pf neurons retrogradely labelled from the STN and the SNr

To investigate whether CM/Pf neurons projecting to the STN and to the SNr were branched, two fluorophore-conjugated variants of CTB were injected into the STN and the SNr in 3 mice. The populations labelled in the CM/Pf from either target show a weak overlap (Fig. 9). The observation of

such double-labelled neurons indicates that thalamosubthalamic and thalamonigral pathways arise, at least in part, from branched CM/Pf neurons projecting to both sites.

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

325

326

#### **DISCUSSION**

This study provides physiological evidence for functional connections between the CM/Pf and the main input (striatum and STN) and output (SNr) structures of the BG, and for possible convergence of the information conveyed though these pathways on single SNr neuron. They further indicate that the information processed through trans-striatal and extrastriatal projections arises from functionally segregated populations of CM/Pf neurons, whereas information conveyed through the STN and direct nigral projections originates from partly overlapping populations, as confirmed by retrograde tracing. While highly reproducible in a given cell for a given setting of stimulation parameter, the responses were variable from cell to cell, as reported previously regarding the transfer of cortical information through the BG (Maurice et al., 1999). This variability is likely due to the topographical relationship between the stimulated CM/Pf region and the recorded SNr neuron. This interpretation is consistent with the observation that modifying the stimulation intensity or polarity can impact the response pattern, presumably due to the recruitment of a less or more extended region of the CM/Pf connected with the recorded neuron. In the different stimulation paradigms tested, the responses were usually polymodal, suggesting the involvement of different subcircuits, even when targeting neurons identified based on their connectivity with a given component of the BG. This was expected for the neurons projecting to the striatum, as the information can be processed through the direct and the indirect trans-striatal pathways, but not for neurons projecting to the STN or SNr. For instance, optogenetic stimulation of the CM/Pf neurons projecting to the striatum elicited an inhibition, the most often followed by an excitation. These responses can be attributed to the recruitment of striatal medium spiny neurons

(MSNs) of the direct and of the indirect pathway, respectively, which exert inhibitory versus excitatory influence onto SNr neurons. Indeed, thalamostriatal terminals have been reported to target both types of MSNs, with the direct pathway MSNs receiving a greater density of thalamic synapses than those of the indirect pathway (Huerta-Ocampo et al., 2014), which could account for the more consistent recording of the inhibitory versus the late excitatory component of the responses. Activation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to either the STN or the SNr unexpectedly elicited complex responses, consisting of one or two early excitations followed in most cases by a brief inhibition. The lack of such early excitation component in the responses elicited by the activation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to the striatum suggests that these neurons do not provide significant functional input to the STN or the SNr. This complies with and extends previous observations in the rat (Féger et al., 1994) and the mouse (Watson et al., 2021) showing that the CM/Pf projections to the STN and striatum arise mostly from separate neuronal populations. Indeed, they emphasize a functional segregation of CM/Pf information processing via the striatum and extrastriatal sites. Such a segregation supports the view of differential implication in BG functions, as recently exemplified by the demonstration of the contribution of CM/Pf projections to STN but not to striatum in movement initiation (Watson et al., 2021). The fact that the activation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to either the STN or the SNr triggers excitations with similar bimodal distribution, or even two excitations in the same cell, suggests that the two structures are connected (at least in part) by the same thalamic neuronal population. This is confirmed by our dual retrograde fluorescent labeling using CTB, showing that some CM/Pf neurons project both to the STN and the SNr. Therefore, stimulation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to either the STN or the SNr would trigger both a monosynaptic and a di-synaptic response. These responses will involve respectively collateralized and non-collateralized projections for STN and vice versa for SNr. Regarding the brief inhibition that in most cases follows the early excitatory responses, it could result from the recruitment of the potent inhibitory microcircuit formed by the dense network of intranigral recurrent collaterals (Deniau et al., 1982; Brown et al., 2014). One cannot exclude, however, the contribution of the thalamic input to the globus pallidus, which could result in SNr inhibition either directly or via STN

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

inhibition in our experimental paradigm if CM/Pf projections to the STN and the SNr are collaterals of projections to the globus pallidus. Finally, the recently evidenced efferent projections of the STN neurons innervated by the CM/Pf onto the globus pallidus in addition to the SNr (Watson et al., 2021) might also be involved.

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

After having characterized the responses elicited in the SNr by optogenetic activation of neuronal subpopulations of CM/Pf distinguished on the basis of their connectivity with the striatum, the STN and the SNr, we aimed at examining whether and how the information processed through these pathways are integrated in the BG output structure. To do so, we examined the responses evoked by global activation of CM/Pf neuronal populations either electrical or by optogenetics. The response patterns were highly similar in the two paradigms, excluding major contribution, in the responses to electrical CM/Pf stimulation, of axonal reflex involving pathways collateralizing onto both CM/Pf and a BG component, as the projections from the cerebral cortex that branch in the CM/Pf and striatum (Paré and Smith, 1996). These responses were in most cases multiphasic, the more complex including 4 components, namely two early excitations followed by an inhibition and a late excitation. Given the connectivity-based responses reported above, the early excitations are likely to result from the recruitment of the trans-subthalamic and direct nigral pathways, the inhibition from recruitment of the trans-striatal direct pathway (presumably reinforced by local inhibitory microcircuits following SNr activation or recruitment of globus pallidus), and the late excitation from the activation of the indirect trans-striatal pathway. Taken as a whole, these data suggest that, although CM/Pf projections to extrastriatal structures are weak in terms of density of innervation, as compared to the massive thalamostriatal pathway, they should not be considered as functionally less important, since they have the potential to shape the activity of SNr neurons. Previous work in monkey called for a reappraisal of the functional weight of extrastriatal CM/Pf projections based on the observation that their long arborization, although poorly branched, covered a relatively large portion of each BG nucleus (Tandé et al., 2006). Moreover, in the rat, axons from the CM/Pf have been shown to contact more proximal dendrites of STN neurons (Bevan et al., 1995), which places them in position to exert potent action

onto the contacted neurons. If this is a general feature of extrastriatal projections, thalamic axons could then exert powerful action on the different components of the BG network.

In conclusion, our study shows that the trans-striatal, trans-subthalamic and direct nigral pathways formed from CM/Pf neurons are functional and although more or less segregated can provide converging information onto SNr neurons. The responses to global CM/Pf activation in the SNr thus provide a read-out of the functionality of the different subcircuits by which information from the CM/Pf can be processed through the BG to regulate the network outflow, which might be useful to detect changes in these circuits occurring in different treatment or pathological conditions, as previously done for the cortically-driven BG circuits. Here, CM/Pf neuronal populations were activated artificially either together or separately based on their connectivity, and what remains to be determined is whether and when these different components of the thalamo-BG circuits are recruited under physiological conditions in connection with behavior. Recent evidence has been provided for converging thalamic and cortical information onto direct and indirect MSNs in the striatal matrix, with a predominance of the cortical input (Huerta-Ocampo et al., 2014). Moreover, distinct corticalthalamic-striatal circuits through the CM/Pf have been revealed, placing this thalamic complex as a critical node that organizes limbic, associative and somatosensory information in the BG (Mandelbaum et al., 2019). Whether cortical and thalamic projections to STN neurons are also organized in such sophisticated circuits would need to be investigated. In addition, CM/Pf is in position to also provide direct information to the output structures of the network. Characterizing the information that flows within the BG through striatal and extrastriatal thalamic pathways is then a major issue that needs to be addressed to fully appreciate CM/Pf implication in BG functioning in physiological and pathological conditions.

423

424

425

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

#### **REFERENCES:**

Abudukeyoumu N, Hernandez-Flores T, Garcia-Munoz M, Arbuthnott GW (2019) Cholinergic

426	modulation of striatal microcircuits. Eur J Neurosci 49:604–622.
427	Bevan MD, Francis CM, Bolam JP (1995) The glutamate-enriched cortical and thalamic input to
428	neurons in the subthalamic nucleus of the rat: Convergence with GABA-positive terminals. J
429	Comp Neurol 361:491–511.
430	Brown HD, Baker PM, Ragozzino ME (2010) The parafascicular thalamic nucleus concomitantly
431	influences behavioral flexibility and dorsomedial striatal acetylcholine output in rats. J Neurosci
432	30:14390–14398.
433	Brown J, Pan WX, Dudman JT (2014) The inhibitory microcircuit of the substantia nigra provides
434	feedback gain control of the basal ganglia output. Elife 2014:1–25.
435	Bunney BS, Walters JR, Roth RH, Aghajanian GK (1973) Dopaminergic neurons: effect of antipsychotic
436	drugs and amphetamine on single cell activity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 185:560–571.
437	Castle M, Aymerich MS, Sanchez-Escobar C, Gonzalo N, Obeso JA, Lanciego JL (2005) Thalamic
438	innervation of the direct and indirect basal ganglia pathways in the rat: Ipsi- and contralateral
439	projections. J Comp Neurol 483:143–153.
440	Deniau JM, Hammond C, Riszk A, Féger J (1978) Electrophysiological properties of identified output
441	neurons of the rat substantia nigra (pars compacta and pars reticulata): evidences for the
442	existence of branched neurons. Exp Brain Res 32:409–422.
443	Deniau JM, Kitai ST, Donoghue JP, Grofova I (1982) Neuronal interactions in the substantia nigra pars
444	reticulata through axon collaterals of the projection neurons. An electrophysiological and
445	morphological study. Exp Brain Res 47:105–113.
446	Deschênes M, Bourassa J, Doan VD, Parent A (1996) A Single-cell Study of the Axonal Projections
447	Arising from the Posterior Intralaminar Thalamic Nuclei in the Rat. Eur J Neurosci 8:329–343.
448	Ding JB, Guzman JN, Peterson JD, Goldberg JA, Surmeier DJ (2010) Thalamic gating of corticostriatal

449	signaling by cholinergic interneurons. Neuron 67:294–307.
450	Fasano A, Daniele A, Albanese A (2012) Treatment of motor and non-motor features of Parkinson's
451	disease with deep brain stimulation. Lancet Neurol 11:429–442.
452	Féger J, Bevan M, Crossman AR (1994) The projections from the parafascicular thalamic nucleus to
453	the subthalamic nucleus and the striatum arise from separate neuronal populations: A
454	comparison with the corticostriatal and corticosubthalamic efferents in a retrograde fluorescen
455	double-labell. Neuroscience 60:125–132.
456	Henderson JM, Carpenter K, Cartwright H, Halliday GM (2000) Degeneration of the centre median-
457	parafascicular complex in Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 47:345–352.
458	Huerta-Ocampo I, Mena-Segovia J, Bolam JP (2014) Convergence of cortical and thalamic input to
459	direct and indirect pathway medium spiny neurons in the striatum. Brain Struct Funct
460	219:1787–1800.
461	Ilyas A, Pizarro D, Romeo AK, Riley KO, Pati S (2019) The centromedian nucleus: Anatomy, physiology
462	and clinical implications. J Clin Neurosci 63:1–7.
463	Kerkerian-Le Goff L, Jouve L, Melon C, Salin P (2009) Rationale for targeting the thalamic centre-
464	median parafascicular complex in the surgical treatment of Parkinson's disease. Park Relat
465	Disord 15:S167-S170.
466	Lanciego JL, Gonzalo N, Castle M, Sanchez-Escobar C, Aymerich MS, Obeso JA (2004) Thalamic
467	innervation of striatal and subthalamic neurons projecting to the rat entopeduncular nucleus.
468	Eur J Neurosci 19:1267–1277.
469	Lanciego JL, López IP, Rico AJ, Aymerich MS, Pérez-Manso M, Conte L, Combarro C, Roda E, Molina C,
470	Gonzalo N, Castle M, Tuñón T, Erro E, Barroso-Chinea P (2009) The search for a role of the
471	caudal intralaminar nuclei in the pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease. Brain Res Bull 78:55–

472	59.
473	Lapper SR, Bolam JP (1992) Input from the frontal cortex and the parafascicular nucleus to
474	cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal striatum of the rat. Neuroscience 51:533–545.
475	Mandelbaum G, Taranda J, Haynes TM, Hochbaum DR, Huang KW, Hyun M, Umadevi Venkataraju K,
476	Straub C, Wang W, Robertson K, Osten P, Sabatini BL (2019) Distinct Cortical-Thalamic-Striatal
477	Circuits through the Parafascicular Nucleus. Neuron 102:636-652.e7.
478	Marini G, Pianca L, Tredici G (1999) Descending projections arising from the parafascicular nucleus in
479	rats: trajectory of fibers, projection pattern and mapping of terminations. Somatosens Mot Res
480	16:207–222.
481	Matsumoto N, Minamimoto T, Graybiel AM, Kimura M (2001) Neurons in the thalamic CM-Pf
482	complex supply striatal neurons with information about behaviorally significant sensory events.
483	J Neurophysiol 85:960–976.
484	Maurice N, Deniau JM, Glowinski J, Thierry AM (1999) Relationships between the Prefrontal Cortex
485	and the Basal Ganglia in the Rat: Physiology of the Cortico-Nigral Circuits. J Neurosci 19:4674–
486	4681.
487	Paré D, Smith Y (1996) Thalamic collaterals of corticostriatal axons: Their termination field and
488	synaptic targets in cats. J Comp Neurol 372:551–567.
489	Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ (2001) The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates (Harcourt, ed)., Second

Pinault D (1996) A novel single-cell staining procedure performed in vivo under electrophysiological control: morpho-functional features of juxtacellularly labeled thalamic cells and other central neurons with biocytin or Neurobiotin. J Neurosci Methods 65:113–136.

Edi. San Diego: Academic Press.

Royce GJ (1983) Cortical neurons with collateral projections to both the caudate nucleus and the

495	centromedian-parafascicular thalamic complex: a fluorescent retrograde double labeling study
496	in the cat. Exp brain Res 50:157–165.
497	Sadikot AF, Parent A, François C (1992) Efferent connections of the centromedian and parafascicular
498	nuclei in the squirrel monkey: A PHA-L study of the subcortical projections. J Comp Neurol
499	315:137–159.
500	Sadikot AF, Rymar V V (2009) The primate centromedian-parafascicular complex: Anatomical
501	organization with a note on neuromodulation. Brain Res Bull 78:122–130.
502	Sidibé M, Smith Y (1999) Thalamic inputs to striatal interneurons in monkeys: synaptic organization
503	and co-localization of calcium binding proteins. Neuroscience 89:1189–1208.
504	Smith Y, Raju D, Nanda B, Pare J-F, Galvan A, Wichmann T (2009) The thalamostriatal systems:
505	anatomical and functional organization in normal and parkinsonian states. Brain Res Bull 78:60-
506	68.
507	Smith Y, Raju D V, Pare J-F, Sidibe M (2004) The thalamostriatal system: a highly specific network of
508	the basal ganglia circuitry. Trends Neurosci 27:520–527.
509	Stefani A, Peppe A, Pierantozzi M, Galati S, Moschella V, Stanzione P, Mazzone P (2009) Multi-target
510	strategy for Parkinsonian patients: the role of deep brain stimulation in the centromedian-
511	parafascicularis complex. Brain Res Bull 78:113–118.
512	Tandé D, Féger J, Hirsch EC, François C (2006) Parafascicular nucleus projection to the extrastriatal
513	basal ganglia in monkeys. Neuroreport 17:277–280.
514	Testini P, Min H-K, Bashir A, Lee KH (2016) Deep brain stimulation for tourette's syndrome: The case
515	for targeting the thalamic centromedian-parafascicular complex. Front Neurol 7:193.
516	Watson GDR, Hughes RN, Petter EA, Fallon IP, Kim N, Severino FPU, Yin HH (2021) Thalamic
517	projections to the subthalamic nucleus contribute to movement initiation and rescue of

518	parkinsonian symptoms. Sci Adv 7:1–13.
519	Yamanaka K, Hori Y, Minamimoto T, Yamada H, Matsumoto N, Enomoto K, Aosaki T, Graybiel AM,
520	Kimura M (2018) Roles of centromedian parafascicular nuclei of thalamus and cholinergic
521	interneurons in the dorsal striatum in associative learning of environmental events. J Neural
522	Transm 125:501-513.
523	Yasukawa T, Kita T, Xue Y, Kita H (2004) Rat intralaminar thalamic nuclei projections to the globus
524	pallidus: a biotinylated dextran amine anterograde tracing study. J Comp Neurol 471:153–167
525	

#### FIGURE LEGENDS:

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the strategy used to assess the functionality of the thalamostriatal, thalamosubthalamic and thalamonigral pathways. In three different sets of experiments, expression of WGA-Cre was achieved by viral delivery of mCherry-IRES-WGA-Cre into the striatum (1), the subthalamic nucleus (STN; 2) or the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr, 3) in Rosa26-LoxP-stop-ChR2-EYFP mice. ChR2 is expressed in the locally transduced neurons, and after transneuronal retrograde transport of WGA-Cre, in the connecting ones, including the CM/Pf projection neurons. Light was then delivered in the CM/Pf to activate the neuronal subpopulation connecting the virally-injected basal ganglia target, and the evoked responses were recorded in the SNr.

Figure 2. Optogenetic strategy to activate subpopulations of CM/Pf neurons distinguished on the basis of their connectivity with BG components: Example of the thalamostriatal neurons. *A*, Channelrhodopsin expression in the CM/Pf as revealed by EYFP immunodetection following injection of AAV carrying the EF1α::mCherry-IRES-WGA-Cre cassette in the striatum (maximum intensity projection, 40 μm depth). A strong labelling was observed in the ipsilateral CM/Pf (*A*1 and *A*3 at higher magnification) but not in the contralateral side (*A*2). *B*1, Relationship between EYFP expressing neurites and VGLUT1 expressing axon terminals (single confocal section). *B*2 and *B*3, higher magnification of the boxed area in *B*1 showing the absence of EYFP immunolabelling in VGLUT1 axon terminals. *B*4, Colocalization analysis of EYFP and VGLUT1 fluorescence confirms that the two markers are spatially segregated. *C*, Efficiency of the optogenetic strategy. Raw trace recording of a CM/Pf neuron (*C*1) whose firing was driven by 1 ms-blue light pulses (10 Hz). The cell body of this recorded neuron juxtacellularly labeled with neurobiotin (NBT, red) also shows EYFP immunolabelling (green) indicating that it actually expressed ChR2 (*C*2). fr: fasciculus retroflexus.

**Figure 3.** Patterns of responses evoked in the SNr by the photoactivation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to the striatum. Raster plots and PSTH illustrating examples of evoked responses that consisted in either an inhibition followed by an excitation (*A*) or a single inhibition (*B*). *C*, Population PSTH of the

overall responses evoked in SNr neurons (n = 18). PSTHs, generated from 140 stimulation trials, are aligned on the light pulse delivery (vertical blue line) and the light shaded color represents SEM. *D*, Characteristics of the responses evoked.

**Figure 4.** Patterns of responses evoked in the SNr by the photoactivation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to the STN. *A*, Raster plot and PSTH of an evoked response consisting in an excitation followed by an inhibition. *B*, Population PSTH of the responses evoked in SNr neurons (n = 8) expressed as in Figure 3. *C*, Characteristics of the responses evoked.

**Figure 5.** Patterns of responses evoked in SNr by the photoactivation of CM/Pf neurons projecting to the SNr. The evoked responses consisted in early excitations (*A*) that were often followed by an inhibition (*B*). *C*, Population PSTH of the responses evoked in SNr neurons (n = 14) expressed as in Figure 3. *D*, Characteristics of the responses evoked.

**Figure 6.** Patterns of responses evoked in SNr cells by CM/Pf electrical stimulation. **A-E**, Representative PSTHs illustrating the diversity of the responses recorded in individual SNr neurons; red lines indicate the time of electrical shock delivery.  $\mathbf{F}$ , Pie-chart illustrating the percentage of each type of response exhibited by the overall responding cells (n = 54).

Figure 7. Representative photomicrographs of the typical mCherry expression patterns in frontal sections at the level of the CM/Pf (A), the cerebral cortex (B) and the striatum (C) of a transgenic CaMKII<sup>cre/+</sup> mice following AAV-ChR2-mCherry virus injection into the CM/Pf. mCherry expression is revealed by immunostaining with an antibody against RFP (red). The right panels (scale 10  $\mu$ m) are magnification of the boxed areas in the corresponding left panels (scale 100  $\mu$ m). Both labelled cell bodies and neurites were observed in the CM/Pf (A1, A2) whereas the cerebral cortex (B1, B2) and the striatum (C1, C2) contained only axonal fibers. Note the very sparse cortical staining compared with the dense striatal labelling. cc: *corpus callosum*; fr: *fasciculus retroflexus*; STR: striatum.

Figure 8. Patterns of responses evoked in SNr cells by CM/Pf photostimulation. *A-E*, Representative PSTHs illustrating the diversity of the responses evoked in distinct SNr cells and their similarity with those induced by CM/Pf electrical stimulation (see Fig. 6); Blue lines indicate the time of light flash (1 ms) delivery. *F*, Pie-chart of the percentage of each type of response in the overall responding cells (n = 41).

Figure 9. Confocal image of retrogradely labelled cell bodies in the CM/Pf after Alexa Fluor 488-CTB injection into the STN (green) combined with Alexa Fluor 594-CTB injection into the SNr (magenta). *A*, merged image; *B*, *C*, single channels. The dually labelled cells are indicated by arrows. Scale bar: 20 μm.

Table 1. Characteristics of the responses evoked on SNr cells by electrical or optical stimulation of
 the CM/Pf.

	Early excitation			2 <sup>nd</sup> excitation			Inhibition		Late excitation		
	L (ms)	D (ms)	Nb Sp	L (ms)	D (ms)	Nb Sp	L (ms)	D (ms)	L (ms)	D (ms)	Nb Sp
	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)
Electrica I stim. (54)	4.4±0.4 (27)	6.1±0.7 (27)	40.0±6.2 (27)	10.6±0.6 (26)	6.6±0.6 (26)	39.9±5.0 (26)	14.8±1.0 (37)	18.4±2.2 (37)	29.4±2.6 (22)	20.3±4.5 (22)	62.1±17.2 (22)
Optical stim.	4.3±0.3	7.2±0.7	60.7±10.6	8.4±0.9	6.6±0.7	52.4±11.4	20.1±1.0	20.5±1.4	42.7±3.1	18.5±2.8	39.0±8.3
	(19)	(19)	(19)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(36)	(36)	(8)	(8)	(8)