



HAL
open science

Acoustic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger lattice: Direct mapping of acoustic waveguides to the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model

Antonin Coutant, Audrey Sivadon, Liyang Zheng, Vassos Achilleos, Olivier Richoux, Georgios Theocharis, Vincent Pagneux

► To cite this version:

Antonin Coutant, Audrey Sivadon, Liyang Zheng, Vassos Achilleos, Olivier Richoux, et al.. Acoustic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger lattice: Direct mapping of acoustic waveguides to the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model. Physical Review B, 2021, 103 (22), pp.224309. 10.1103/PhysRevB.103.224309 . hal-03451255

HAL Id: hal-03451255

<https://hal.science/hal-03451255>

Submitted on 26 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Acoustic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger lattice: Direct mapping of acoustic waveguides to the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model

Antonin Coutant ^{*}

LAUM, UMR-CNRS 6613, Le Mans Université, Avenue O. Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans, France and Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne (IMB), UMR 5584, CNRS, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France

Audrey Sivadon

LAUM, UMR-CNRS 6613, Le Mans Université, Avenue O. Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans, France; and LabTAU, INSERM, Centre Léon Bérard, Université Lyon 1, Université Lyon, F-69003, LYON, France

Liyang Zheng 

LAUM, UMR-CNRS 6613, Le Mans Université, Avenue O. Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans, France and Department of Physics, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, ES-28916 Leganes, Madrid, Spain

Vassos Achilleos, Olivier Richoux, Georgios Theocharis, and Vincent Pagneux
LAUM, UMR-CNRS 6613, Le Mans Université, Avenue O. Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans, France



(Received 22 March 2021; revised 7 June 2021; accepted 8 June 2021; published 23 June 2021)

Topological band theory strongly relies on prototypical lattice models with particular symmetries. We report here on a theoretical and experimental work on acoustic waveguides that are directly mapped to the one-dimensional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model. Starting from the continuous two-dimensional wave equation, we use a combination of monomode approximation and the condition of equal-length tube segments to arrive at the wanted chiral symmetric discrete equations. It is shown that open or closed boundary conditions lead automatically to the existence of topological edge modes. We illustrate by graphical construction how the edge modes appear naturally owing to a quarter-wavelength condition and the conservation of flux. Furthermore, the transparent chirality of our system, which is ensured by simple geometrical constraints allows us to study chiral disorder numerically and experimentally. Our experimental results in the audible regime demonstrate the predicted robustness of the topological edge modes.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.103.224309](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.224309)

I. INTRODUCTION

The field of topological insulators, first discovered in the context of the quantum Hall effect [1], has found many applications to classical wave systems, whether it is in photonics [2], mechanics [3], or acoustics [4,5] among others. A key aspect of materials/structures with topological phases is that they host modes localized on their boundaries or on designed interfaces, with properties that are robust to continuous deformations or the addition of special types of disorder.

For one-dimensional systems, the most famous example of nontrivial topology is given by the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [6,7]. This is the simplest two-band model where a topological phase transition occurs at band inversion coming with gap closing. This discrete model belongs to the BDI class and as a consequence, in its topological phase, the system hosts localized modes on its edges. In particular, the chiral symmetry of the 1D SSH model guarantees that these edge modes have zero energy, a property that is maintained upon adding chiral disorder.

The very appealing property of a localized mode with a locked disorder-insensitive frequency has generated a lot of interest in reproducing the behavior of the SSH model using acoustic wave systems. Most of the approaches to date are based on coupled resonator systems [8–13]. There, to mimic the SSH model, the resonating acoustic cavities play the role of the atoms and the hoppings are realized by connecting tubes. The hopping strength is tunable by changing the cross-sectional areas of the tubes. The link with the SSH model is justified *a posteriori* through a tight-binding approximation (TBA) with fitted coupling coefficients. Another approach is based on waveguide phononic crystals [14–18] where the analysis of the band diagram owing to Zak phase enables the identification of topological properties of band gaps. In all these approaches, there is an analogy with the discrete SSH model. However, the two main ingredients of this model, the energy and the couplings, are not obtained through explicit or simple expressions of the acoustic system.

In this paper, we report on an acoustic SSH lattice model based on a different approach. The idea relies on considering acoustic waveguides made of segments of alternating cross sections but more importantly, *equal lengths* [19–24]. Starting from the 2D wave equation, for slender waveguide segments,

*antonin.coutant@univ-lemans.fr

we use a continuous monomode 1D approximation. Then, the choice of segments of equal length leads us to an explicit mapping with the 1D SSH model. On one hand, the SSH coupling coefficients are directly given by the ratios of cross sections, and hence are easily tunable for numerical or experimental purposes, in contrast to all the previous acoustic approaches. On the other hand, the SSH energy appears naturally as a simple function of the acoustic frequency.

The monomode approximation used here, remains valid as long as the wavelength is large compared to the widths of the waveguide segments. Consequently, in contrast to TBA, our approximate discrete model is valid over a broad frequency range: from zero frequency up to the first cut-off frequency of the waveguide for which the 1D monomode approximation is broken. Surprisingly, the topological transition that accompanies the band inversion appears exactly for the case of the simplest of all waveguides, the one with constant cross section (this will be shown in Fig. 2). Another key consequence of our approach, and the explicit mapping to the 1D SSH model, is that chiral symmetry is ensured to be preserved as long as the lengths of the segment are kept equal. This allows us to identify to what type of disorder the system is immune to.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sec. II we describe the acoustic waveguide and show its explicit mapping with the SSH model. In Sec. III we compute the set of eigenmodes for a finite waveguide with different boundary conditions, and discuss the presence of topological edge and interface modes. The influence of disorder is analyzed in Sec. IV, and in Sec. V, we present the experimental results.

II. FROM 2D HELMHOLTZ TO SSH MODEL

We consider an acoustic waveguide, as shown in Fig. 1(a), composed of periodically arranged segments of length ℓ with two different cross sections S_A and S_B . For a lossless fluid in the linear regime with time harmonic dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$, the acoustic pressure field $p(x, y)$ is governed by the 2D Helmholtz equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} + k^2 p = 0, \quad (1)$$

with Neumann boundary conditions, $\partial_n p = 0$ at the boundaries, corresponding to zero normal velocity at the rigid wall [solid black lines in Fig. 1(a)]. Here $k = \omega/c$ with ω the angular frequency and c the sound velocity.

For sufficiently low frequencies, when only one mode is propagating in each waveguide (monomode assumption), it is possible to make the approximation that, in each segment, the acoustic wave is governed by the one-dimensional (1D) wave equation

$$\frac{d^2 p}{dx^2} + k^2 p = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the pressure $p(x)$ depends only the axial coordinate x . The most important part in this simple 1D approximation is in the jump conditions at each cross section S changes:

$$[p] = 0, \quad \left[S \frac{dp}{dx} \right] = 0, \quad (3)$$




FIG. 1. (a) A sketch of a 2D acoustic waveguide composed of segments of the same length ℓ and different cross sections S_A and S_B . (b) Under the monomode approximation the acoustic pressure depends only on the axial coordinate x . On this axis, we can identify the points where the cross sections change. (c) The explicit map onto the SSH discrete model. [(d),(e)] The dispersion relation of the SSH model as given by Eq. (15).

enforcing actually continuity of acoustic pressure and flow rate [19,20]. Here $[X] = X^+ - X^-$ denotes the difference at each cross section. At this stage, we have reduced the initial 2D continuous problem [Fig. 1(a)] to a 1D continuous approximation [Fig. 1(b)].

Now, we can derive the corresponding discrete equations by focusing only on the acoustic pressure at the points of cross-section area changes. From Eq. (2), the trick is to write the following expressions corresponding to the solutions of Eq. (2) for the segments between x_n^A, x_n^B and x_{n-1}^B, x_n^A respectively,

$$p(x_n^B) = \cos k\ell p(x_n^A) + \frac{\sin k\ell}{k} p'(x_n^{A+}), \quad (4)$$

$$p(x_{n-1}^B) = \cos k\ell p(x_n^A) - \frac{\sin k\ell}{k} p'(x_n^{A-}), \quad (5)$$

where prime denote d/dx . Here, the continuity of pressure Eq. (3) has been already used, leading to no differentiation between pressure at left (-) and right (+). The pressure derivatives are discontinuous at the cross section changes, but we remark that they can be eliminated using the relations given by Eq. (3); indeed multiplying Eq. (4) by S_A , Eq. (5) by S_B , and summing yields

$$S_A p(x_n^B) + S_B p(x_{n-1}^B) = (S_A + S_B) \cos k\ell p(x_n^A). \quad (6)$$

Following directly the same lines, but around $x = x_n^B$, we obtain

$$S_A p(x_n^A) + S_B p(x_{n+1}^A) = (S_A + S_B) \cos k\ell p(x_n^B). \quad (7)$$

where the waveguide spectrum is shown for three different values of the cross-section ratio t . The most intriguing aspect of the band inversion occurring at $t = 1/2$ is that it corresponds to $S_A = S_B$, which means a flat waveguide as shown in Fig. 2(b). Let us stress here that there is no need to compute the topological Zak phase of the bands since our acoustic waveguide is modelled by the discrete SSH system where the topological transition is classically obtained from the winding number [7].

III. FINITE SYSTEM

To further investigate the acoustic SSH model, in this section, we consider waveguides of finite size (finite number of segments), and study their eigenmodes. For this, we must solve an eigenvalue problem for a finite sized matrix (the Hamiltonian H), which size corresponds to the number of sites in the lattice model. We then compare the results with two-dimensional numerical simulations using a finite element method.

Different types of boundary conditions (BC) can be considered, open with Dirichlet BC or hard wall with Neumann BC, and in the next sections we are looking at these different BC's for a finite waveguide system.

A. Open boundary conditions and an even number of cross section changes

We first consider finite waveguides made of $2N + 1$ segments and $2N$ cross section changes, where both ends have a cross section S_B and are open to the exterior, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Equilibrium with the exterior pressure imposes the boundary condition of vanishing pressure at these ends (we neglect radiative losses, which vanish in the limit of small cross sections). In the lattice representation, this boundary condition amounts to having a site on the left (resp. right) end that is only connected to a right (resp. left) neighbor, i.e., $B_0 = A_{N+1} = 0$. This is represented in Fig. 3(a). We compute the discrete set of eigenmodes, which are the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s & 0 & t & & \vdots \\ 0 & t & 0 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & t & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & t & 0 & s \\ 0 & & & 0 & s & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

and the eigenvalue problem $EX = H \cdot X$ with $X = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_N, B_N)^T$. Bulk modes can be obtained as superpositions of right and left going Bloch waves [i.e., eigenvectors of Eq. (14)]. Assuming $E > 0$, we look for modes of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} = \alpha e^{iqn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{i\phi(q)} \end{pmatrix} + \beta e^{-iqn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{-i\phi(q)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where $\phi(q) = \arg(s + te^{iq})$, and α and β are complex amplitudes to be determined. Requiring that the end sites are only one-side connected (or equivalently, the pressure vanishes on




FIG. 3. Open boundary conditions and even cross section changes. (a) Schematic representation of the waveguide configuration with open boundary conditions and the lattice model corresponding to the one-dimensional limit of the waveguide. The symmetric (b) and antisymmetric (c) edge modes as obtained numerically for a waveguide with $2N + 1 = 11$ and $t = 2/3$. (d) The spectrum of the same waveguide of $2N + 1 = 11$ segments as a function of t .

the open ends) leads to the relation $\alpha = -\beta$, and the equation

$$\sin((N + 1)q + \phi(q)) = 0, \quad (18)$$

which has to be solved for $0 < q < \pi$ to obtain bulk modes and their eigenenergies. Interestingly, the phase $\phi(q)$ is related to the so-called Zak phase, which characterizes the topology of the SSH model. Based on this, Eq. (18) can be used to demonstrate the bulk-edge correspondence in this model [25] (see also [24] for a higher-order topology context). Each solution for the Bloch wave number q gives an eigenenergy through the relation $E(q) = |s + te^{iq}|$ [with its opposite $-E(q)$ being also an eigenenergy by chiral symmetry].

We now compute the spectrum for varying cross sections using both the 1D discrete model [direct diagonalization of (16)] and 2D numerical simulations (finite element method). They are shown in Fig. 3(d), with a good agreement between the discrete and continuous models. We see two distinct cases: if $s > t$, all eigenvalues are concentrated in bands of the infinite model and correspond to bulk modes; if $s < t$ we see two eigenvalues inside the gaps. These isolated eigenvalues correspond to eigenmodes localized on the edges (symmetrically or antisymmetrically), and are the topologically protected modes of the SSH model [7]. The hybridization of these two edge states induces a small energy splitting near $t = 1/2$. The 2D modes are shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c).




FIG. 4. Same as in Fig. 3 but for the case of open boundary conditions and even cross-section changes.

B. Open boundary conditions and an odd number of cross section changes

Next, we consider a modified configuration, which is obtained by taking out the last segment on the right-hand side of the previous one [11]. Doing so, the segments on the left end and right end have different cross-section values. At the level of the lattice model, this amounts to having an odd number of sites $(2N - 1)$ and $B_0 = B_N = 0$. This new configuration is shown in Fig. 4(a), and corresponds to the Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s & 0 & t & & \vdots \\ 0 & t & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & t \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

and the eigenvalue problem $EX = H \cdot X$ with $X = (A_1, B_1, \dots, B_{N-1}, A_N)^T$. Bulk modes can again be obtained as superposition of Bloch waves as in Eq. (17), but the equation for the extra site now leads to the simpler equation

$$\sin((N + 1)q) = 0, \quad (20)$$

which again has to be solved for $0 < q < \pi$. The main advantage of this new configuration is that there is always a unique edge mode: if $s > t$ it is localized on the right end, and if $s < t$ it is localized on the left end. The edge mode for $t = 2/3$ is shown in Fig. 4(b). In Fig. 4(c), we show the spectrum for varying cross sections. The second advantage of this configuration is that the edge mode has a closed-form expression. Indeed, the eigenvalue problem can be written as $2N - 1$ equations, which coincide with Eqs. (8) and (9) for $n = 1..N - 1$. For $E = 0$, the two equations are indepen-

dent. Moreover, the boundary conditions require $B_0 = B_N = 0$, which implies $B_n = 0$ for all n . Equation (8) is straightforward to solve and leads to the edge mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (-s/t)^n, \quad (21)$$

where α_0 is a normalization constant. This corresponds to $p(x_n^A) = \alpha_0(-s/t)$ and $p(x_n^B) = 0$.

Hence, this configuration displays an edge state for all parameter values. This could appear as a contradiction of the bulk boundary correspondence, since the topological invariant (Zak phase or winding number [7]) changes when passing through the Dirac point at $s = t$. We recall that this apparent paradox is resolved when one notes that the two edges in the SSH model with an odd number of sites correspond to different choices of unit cells [26]. Indeed, a given edge is compatible with a choice of unit cell if the chain ends on the edge with a whole unit cell (the same discussion extends to 2D systems such as honeycomb lattices [25,27]). Moreover, different unit cells lead to different winding numbers. Hence, the bulk boundary correspondence is restored by looking at each boundary separately, and the winding number going with the compatible unit cell. In the present configuration, this calculation gives 1 edge mode on the left and 0 edge mode on the right when $s < t$, and the inverse for $s > t$.

C. Closed boundary conditions and an odd number cross section changes

We now consider the same configuration as in the previous subsection, but with closed walls on both ends, hence changing the boundary conditions: The acoustic velocity now vanishes on both ends. For the lattice model, this introduces two particular sites on both sides. These sites obey a different equation due to the boundary condition. Using a procedure similar to Sec. II, we have that $E(k)B_0 = A_1$, and $E(k)B_{N+1} = A_N$. This leads to the Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s & 0 & t & & \vdots \\ 0 & t & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & t \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

and the eigenvalue problem $H \cdot X = EX$ with $X = (B_0, A_1, B_1, \dots, A_N, B_N)^T$. Note that the matrix H is not Hermitian, but it can be made so by a similarity transformation (we will address this in Sec. IV). This configuration, its spectrum and edge mode are shown in Fig. 5. We see that the results are very similar to the previous configuration (see Fig. 4). In view of the experimental implementation, this last configuration is preferred because open ends induce radiative losses while closed walls does not. Similar to Eq. (21) the edge mode has a closed-form expression

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (-s/t)^{-n}. \quad (23)$$

Notice that the edge mode is now localized on the other side of the system, as is manifest from Eq. (23).




FIG. 5. Same as in Fig. 3 but for the case of closed boundary conditions and even cross section changes.

D. Graphical construction of the edge mode

In our acoustic waveguides, from the very definition of the pseudo energy Eq. (11), it appears that the first zero-energy mode ($E = 0$) of the SSH model corresponds to a quarter wavelength ($k\ell = \pi/2$, i.e., $\lambda/4 = \ell$) pressure field in each of the segment of length ℓ . Then, it is possible to have a very simple and hopefully enlightening explanation of this edge mode that is of graphical nature. In Fig. 6, we display this construction for both the case of open waveguide [zero pressure at the extremity in Fig. 6(a)] and of hard wall termination [zero derivative of the pressure in Fig. 6(b)].

In Fig. 6(a) for an open waveguide, we see how the edge mode is able to comply with the succession of cross section




FIG. 6. Graphical construction of the edge modes corresponding to the case of open (a) and closed (b) boundary conditions. The dashed lines at point B_1 illustrate the reduction of slope and thus of the oscillation amplitude.




FIG. 7. Graphical construction of interface modes by connecting two semi-infinite periodic waveguides of different topologies. (a) antisymmetric case obtained from Fig. 6(a). (b) symmetric case obtained from Fig. 6(b)

changes: starting from the edge boundary condition $p = 0$, due to the $\lambda/4 = \ell$ relation, each decrease of cross section (as at point A_1) is properly ignored (here $p' = 0$ and the change is transparent) whilst each increase of cross section (as at point B_1) induces a reduction of the slope and consequently a reduction of the oscillation amplitude. The mechanism of zero-energy edge mode is the same for the hard wall case [Fig. 6(b)] starting from the left extremity where $p' = 0$. In this construction, once again, we can see that what is the important point to map to SSH model is the identical length of each of the waveguide segments.

Incidentally, we can remark that interface modes obviously exist in our acoustic waveguide; they follow exactly the same graphical construction as seen in Fig. 7. They are represented on the interfaces joining two semi-infinite periodic parts with different topology. We see that it corresponds simply to unfolding the two previous edge configurations: Fig. 7(a) coming from Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 7(b) from Fig. 6(b).

IV. DISORDER

We now introduce disorder in the closed system by changing the cross section S_m of each segment ($m \in [1; 2N]$). We keep the length ℓ constant in order to preserve the chiral symmetry of the SSH model. Indeed, we can rederive the discrete equations as in Sec. II. Again, we exploit continuity of pressure and acoustic flow rate at each cross-section change. Let us start by considering the pressure at the position $x = x_n^A$. As before, we integrate the 1D Helmholtz equation (2) from x_{n-1}^B to x_n^A and then from x_n^B to x_n^A , and eliminate pressure derivatives using acoustic flow rate conservation. We then do the same starting from $x = x_n^B$. We obtain the two sets of equations:

$$E(k)A_n = \frac{S_{2n-1}}{S_{2n-1} + S_{2n}}B_{n-1} + \frac{S_{2n}}{S_{2n-1} + S_{2n}}B_n, \quad (24)$$

with $n \in [1; N]$,

$$E(k)B_n = \frac{S_{2n}}{S_{2n} + S_{2n+1}}A_n + \frac{S_{2n+1}}{S_{2n} + S_{2n+1}}A_{n+1} \quad (25)$$

with $n \in [0; N]$. Notice that the closed boundary conditions are easily implemented, as they correspond to defining extra cross-section values $S_0 = S_{2N+1} = 0$.

Now, the set of Eqs. (24) and (25) presents a technical difficulty, as it does not define a Hermitian eigenvalue problem




FIG. 8. (a) The edge mode in the periodic case (without disorder). [(b)–(d)] The edge modes for three different disorder realizations of strength $\delta S = 0.3$ as obtained numerically. (e) The eigenfrequency spectrum of a disorder realization as a function of the disorder strength δS . (f) The probability density of the eigenvalues for a set of 10^3 disorder realizations.

due to asymmetric coupling coefficients. To remedy this, a solution is to use normalized pressure values instead of physical ones. For this, we define

$$\tilde{A}_n = \sqrt{S_{2n-1} + S_{2n}} A_n, \quad (26a)$$

$$\tilde{B}_n = \sqrt{S_{2n} + S_{2n+1}} B_n. \quad (26b)$$

The set of Eqs. (24) and (25) can now be written as a disordered SSH model with symmetric coupling s_j :

$$E(k)\tilde{A}_n = t_{n-1}\tilde{B}_{n-1} + s_n\tilde{B}_n, \quad (27a)$$

$$E(k)\tilde{B}_n = s_n\tilde{A}_n + t_n\tilde{A}_{n+1}, \quad (27b)$$

with

$$s_n = \frac{S_{2n}}{\sqrt{(S_{2n-1} + S_{2n})(S_{2n} + S_{2n+1})}}, \quad (28a)$$

$$t_n = \frac{S_{2n+1}}{\sqrt{(S_{2n+1} + S_{2n+2})(S_{2n} + S_{2n+1})}}. \quad (28b)$$

We now compute the spectrum of a finite disordered waveguide with $2N + 1$ cross section changes and closed boundary conditions. We take a fixed value of the cross section $S_{2n-1} = S_A$, and the values of S_{2n} are uniformly random in the interval $[S_B - \delta S, S_B + \delta S]$. The results are shown in Fig. 8. For three different realizations of strong disorder, from finite element solutions of the Helmholtz equation, we see that the edge mode is preserved [Figs. 8(a)–8(c)]. The evolution of eigenvalues as the strength of disorder δS is increased is displayed in Fig. 8(d). We see that the edge mode eigenfrequency (always close to $k\ell = \pi/2$) is much more robust compared to the neighboring bulk modes. We note here that for the discrete equations (27), the edge mode energy is exactly $E = 0$ in a chiral disorder, hence $k\ell = \pi/2$. The small frequency

deviations seen in the 2D model [Figs. 8(d) and 8(e)] originate from the 1D monomode approximation, which is not fully valid for some values of the cross ratio; see for example the curved contour pressure lines in Fig. 8(c). A particularity of the setup is that modes at $k\ell = 0$ and $k\ell = \pi$ are exact solutions of the 2D wave equation, making these eigenfrequencies insensitive to the chosen disorder. To get a clearer view of the effect of disorder the probability distribution of the eigenfrequencies are shown in Fig. 8(e).

Interestingly, for an odd number of cross section changes, the edge mode has a closed form expression similar to Eq. (23) [23]. Indeed, Eqs. (27) for $E = 0$ are solved by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_n \\ \tilde{B}_n \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n (-t_j/s_j). \quad (29)$$

Notice that this is simply Eq. (23) with varying hopping coefficients. This expression has various interesting consequences. In the limit of semi-infinite system ($N \rightarrow \infty$), one can define the localization length of the zero-energy mode as

$$L^{-1} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln (\max(|\tilde{A}_n|; |\tilde{B}_n|)), \quad (30)$$

which from Eq. (29) gives

$$L^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ln \left| \frac{t_j}{s_j} \right|. \quad (31)$$

At this level, the random variable $\ln |t_j/s_j|$ can be seen as a (biased) random walk [28,29]. Using the ergodic theorem, the spatial average (31) (i.e., time average in the analog random walk) can be replaced by an average over disorder realisations. Therefore, the localization length is given by

$$L^{-1} = \langle \ln |t/s| \rangle = \langle \ln |t| \rangle - \langle \ln |s| \rangle, \quad (32)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ means average over disorder realisations. Notice that L is finite whenever $\langle \ln |s| \rangle < \langle \ln |t| \rangle$. When $\langle \ln |s| \rangle = \langle \ln |t| \rangle$ we have $L = \infty$ but this does not mean the zero-energy mode is extended. In fact, the zero-energy level for $\langle \ln |s| \rangle = \langle \ln |t| \rangle$ is localized but decreases as $O(e^{-\lambda\sqrt{n}})$ [28].

V. EXPERIMENTS

Our experimental setup is based on an acoustic waveguide of rectangular cross section with a constant height of 1 cm. The 1D SSH lattice is realized by changing the cross section of the main waveguide every $\ell = 2$ cm. This is done by adding aluminium blocks as shown in Fig. 9 where an example of a specific choice of periodic configuration with two different cross sections S_A and S_B is illustrated. In the experiments, the waveguide is closed on the top by plexiglass plate. Measurements of the acoustic pressure are done using microphones at the different positions indicated in Fig. 9. Here, we choose to work with closed boundary conditions which is achieved by blocking the two ends of the waveguide using plexiglass plates.

The total length of the waveguide is 40 cm. Since $\ell = 2$ cm and due to the fact that we use closed boundary conditions this corresponds to a SSH lattice model of 21 lattice sites (see Sec. III and Fig. 9). To verify the appearance of the edge mode in the acoustic system, we performed experiments using two




FIG. 9. Experimental setup. (a) Side view of the waveguide where the two different cross sections are indicated. Cross section changes appear every ℓ . In (b) we show the whole waveguide of total length 40 cm, which is composed of $N = 10$ unit cells.

different cross sections $S_A = 0.5 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ and $S_B = 0.85 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ corresponding to $t = 0.63 = 1 - s$. Note that according to our analytical model the frequency of the edge mode is simply given by $k\ell = \pi/2$ which for our setup corresponds to $f_0 = 4.2875 \text{ kHz}$ assuming a speed of sound in air of $c = 343 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

We use a source positioned at the end of the waveguide where the edge mode is localized [top of Fig. 9 (b)] with a sweep-sine signal. The spectrum as measured at 4 cm from the source (corresponding to the 19th lattice site) is shown in Fig. 10(a). The edge mode is clearly observed as indicated by the large resonance peak around $k\ell = \pi/2$. The dashed vertical line at this frequency denotes the corresponding numerical result. For completeness, in Fig. 10(b) we show the spectrum after averaging over the different positions, where the peaks of the 21 modes are exposed, and the appearance band gap centered around $k\ell = \pi/2$ is evident. Note that, as expected the edge mode lies in the center of this gap (indicated by the arrow).

To further confirm the appearance of the SSH edge mode we also plot the experimentally obtained profile at the frequency of the peak. The result is shown in Fig. 11(a) by the red circles and it is compared both with the numerical solution (solid line) and the discrete exact analytical solution of equation (23) (black squares). The characteristic profile of the edge mode with vanishing pressure at one sublattice (A in our case) is recovered. It is quite remarkable that the profile of the acoustic mode from experiments fits perfectly with the analytical SSH discrete solution *without* any fitting parameter.

Next, we experimentally study the effect of disorder by randomly changing the cross sections S_B . In order to compare with the corresponding periodic case of Figs. 10(a) and 10(b), we choose the cross sections to be $S_{2n} = S_B(1 + \delta S)$ where $\delta S \in [-0.35, 0.35]$ is a random number. A typical example of




FIG. 10. (a) Pressure amplitude as a function of frequency for a periodic SSH configuration with $t = 0.63$ measured at 4 cm from the source. (b) Same as panel (a) but the pressure amplitude is obtained using the mean value of the measured pressure at all the junctions. The dashed vertical lines indicate the frequency of the modes as obtained numerically. [(c),(d)] Same as panel [(a),(b)] for a disordered configuration with a mean value $\langle t \rangle = 0.63$.

the spectrum of a disorder realization is shown in Fig. 10(c). It is directly seen that the peak at the center of the gap is robust to the disorder. We have measured a frequency shift between the two edge modes of the ordered and disordered lattice to be less than 0.2%. On the other hand, the rest of the modes as indicated by the vertical dashed lines are shifted due to disorder. Furthermore, to complete the experimental analysis of the edge mode in the presence of disorder we plot the corresponding profile in Fig. 11(b) confirming the localization of this mode. Even in the presence of disorder




FIG. 11. (a) The edge mode profile corresponding to the experimental setup as obtained by the analytical solution (squares), numerical simulations (solid line) and experiments (circles). (b) The same as (a) but for the case of a disorder realization.

the exact analytical profile of the mode as given by Eq. (29) captures perfectly the experimental results.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The approach followed here is to begin by finding a discrete modeling of the continuous two-dimensional acoustic wave equation. It appears that our waveguide setup can be mapped to a dimer discrete model with chiral symmetry (the SSH model), the band gap of which is closed at the edge of the Brillouin zone for the trivial case of a uniform waveguide [Fig. 2(b)]. This is different from the cases of previous acoustic waveguide analogues to SSH model where either the TBA with fitted coupling was chosen or fine tuning of the length of the waveguide segments led to band inversion through an accidental degeneracy. Our acoustic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger lattice has been validated by comparison with direct numerical computations and experimental measurements. As a consequence of the underlying chiral symmetry in our case, a topological

edge mode is obtained in every band gap of the continuum model, without the need to calculate the corresponding Zak phases of the bands, since the topological characterization is directly inherited from the SSH model. We believe that the simplicity and the versatility of our acoustic SSH platform offers opportunities to inspect topological effects for acoustic waves.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 843152. G.T. acknowledges financial support from the CS.MICRO project funded under the program Etoiles Montantes of the Region Pays de la Loire. V.A. acknowledges financial support from the NoHENA project funded under the program Etoiles Montantes of the Region Pays de la Loire.

-
- [1] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 405 (1982).
 - [2] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015006 (2019).
 - [3] S. D. Huber, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 621 (2016).
 - [4] X. Zhang, M. Xiao, Y. Cheng, M.-H. Lu, and J. Christensen, *Commun. Phys.* **1**, 1 (2018).
 - [5] G. Ma, M. Xiao, and C. T. Chan, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 281 (2019).
 - [6] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698 (1979).
 - [7] J. K. Asbóth, L. Oroszlány, and A. Pályi, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **919**, 87 (2016).
 - [8] Z. Yang and B. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 224301 (2016).
 - [9] X. Li, Y. Meng, X. Wu, S. Yan, Y. Huang, S. Wang, and W. Wen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **113**, 203501 (2018).
 - [10] M. Esmann, F. R. Lamberti, A. Lemaître, and N. D. Lanzillotti-Kimura, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 161109(R) (2018).
 - [11] Y.-X. Shen, L.-S. Zeng, Z.-G. Geng, D.-G. Zhao, Y.-G. Peng, and X.-F. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **14**, 014043 (2020).
 - [12] M. Yan, X. Huang, L. Luo, J. Lu, W. Deng, and Z. Liu, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 180102(R) (2020).
 - [13] Z.-G. Chen, L. Wang, G. Zhang, and G. Ma, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **14**, 024023 (2020).
 - [14] M. Xiao, G. Ma, Z. Yang, P. Sheng, Z. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 240 (2015).
 - [15] Y. Meng, X. Wu, R.-Y. Zhang, X. Li, P. Hu, L. Ge, Y. Huang, H. Xiang, D. Han, S. Wang *et al.*, *New J. Phys.* **20**, 073032 (2018).
 - [16] J. Yin, M. Ruzzene, J. Wen, D. Yu, L. Cai, and L. Yue, *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1 (2018).
 - [17] F. Zangeneh-Nejad and R. Fleury, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 014301 (2019).
 - [18] F. Zangeneh-Nejad and R. Fleury, *Adv. Mater.* **32**, 2001034 (2020).
 - [19] J.-P. Dalmont and J. Kergomard, *Acta Acust.* **2**, 421 (1994).
 - [20] J.-P. Dalmont and G. L. Vey, *Acta Acust. united Ac.* **103**, 94 (2017).
 - [21] L.-Y. Zheng, V. Achilleos, O. Richoux, G. Theocharis, and V. Pagneux, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **12**, 034014 (2019).
 - [22] L.-Y. Zheng, V. Achilleos, Z.-G. Chen, O. Richoux, G. Theocharis, Y. Wu, J. Mei, S. Felix, V. Tourmat, and V. Pagneux, *New J. Phys.* **22**, 013029 (2020).
 - [23] A. Coutant, V. Achilleos, O. Richoux, G. Theocharis, and V. Pagneux, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 214204 (2020).
 - [24] A. Coutant, V. Achilleos, O. Richoux, G. Theocharis, and V. Pagneux, *J. Appl. Phys.* **129**, 125108 (2021).
 - [25] P. Delplace, D. Ullmo, and G. Montambaux, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 195452 (2011).
 - [26] E. Prodan and H. Schulz-Baldes, *Bulk and Boundary Invariants for Complex Topological Insulators*, (Springer, Zurich, 2016), p. 40.
 - [27] T. Kariyado and X. Hu, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 16515 (2017).
 - [28] M. Inui, S. A. Trugman, and E. Abrahams, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 3190 (1994).
 - [29] I. Mondragon-Shem, T. L. Hughes, J. Song, and E. Prodan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 046802 (2014).