

How many shades of grey? On the proximity of density functional approximation to ab initio method via calculations of electric multipole moments

Demetrios Xenides, Panaghiotis Karamanis

▶ To cite this version:

Demetrios Xenides, Panaghiotis Karamanis. How many shades of grey? On the proximity of density functional approximation to ab initio method via calculations of electric multipole moments. International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series, 2021, 9th International Conference on Mathematical Modeling in Physical Sciences (IC-MSQUARE) 2020 7-10 September 2020, Tinos island, Greece, 1730, pp.012126. 10.1088/1742-6596/1730/1/012126 . hal-03451227

HAL Id: hal-03451227 https://hal.science/hal-03451227

Submitted on 17 Jan2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

How many shades of grey? On the proximity of density functional approximation to *ab initio* method via calculations of electric multipole moments

To cite this article: Demetrios Xenides and Panaghiotis Karamanis 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1730 012126

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>A Bayesian Logit-Normal Model in Small</u> <u>Area Estimation</u> E Sunandi, A Kurnia, K Sadik et al.
- Probability distributions unimodality of finite sample extremes of independent Erlang random variables
 Yu P Virchenko and A D Novoseltsev
- <u>Towards prediction of the rates of</u> antihydrogen positive ion production in collision of antihydrogen with excited positronium

T Yamashita, Y Kino, E Hiyama et al.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

How many shades of grey? On the proximity of density functional approximation to *ab initio* method via calculations of electric multipole moments

Demetrios Xenides

Department of Chemistry, University of Patras, Campus at Rion, GR-26500 E-mail: demxen@upatras.gr

Panaghiotis Karamanis

Equipe de Chimie Théorique, ECP Institut des Sciences Analytiques et de Physico-chimie pour l'Environnement et les Matériaux (IPREM) UMR 5254, Hélioparc Pau Pyrénées 2 avenue du Président Angot, 64053 Pau Cedex 09, France

Abstract. The wide spreading of the application of Density Functional Approximation via its representatives, i.e. the Density Functionals (DFs), in almost all the fields of computational chemistry is globally witnessed. Therefore, it is believed that an evaluation of their performance in tedious calculations will offer useful insights to both users and developers. As such calculations we chose the prediction of electric multipole moments of first to fourth order, namely dipole (μ_{α}/ea_0) , quadrupole $(\Theta_{\alpha\beta}/ea_0^2)$, octupole $(\Omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}/ea_0^3)$ and hexadecapole $(\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}/ea_0^4)$. Values at both *ab initio* up to the highly accurate couple cluster with singles and doubles (reference values) as well as different flavors of DFs: hybrid, GGA, double hybrid , long range corrected, including dispersion correction, both hybrid and long range corrected and some from the Minessota family. The target set is a group of 10 molecules of C_{2v} symmetry. The results were further analyzed with the root mean square deviation (RMSD) method as to find the method that is the most proximal to reference CCSD. The functionals PBE1PBE, CAM-B3LYP and B2PLYP seem to survive the proximity test, whereas B97D, M05, M06 and M08 provide results that are the least proximal to CCSD ones.

1. Introduction

In processes that involve or not gaseous molecules dipole, quadrupole and hexadecapole moments are of certain importance at that fundamental level as its their occurrence. Such chemical and physical phenomena take place in liquids [1, 2] and fluids [3], inbetween surfaces [4, 5] and crystalline insulators [6], to name a few.

For most of the molecules dipole or at the most quadrupole moments can be estimated experimentally. Therefore a quantum-mechanical calculation is a demand as to deliver the values of the desired properties. These calculations can be performed at *ab initio*, as well as at the Density Functional Theory (DFT) levels of theory.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

Since the pioneering work of Becke [7] started the flourish of the (DFT) as it expressed in all recent quantum chemistry codes, lots of attempts have been made as to both concrete the grounds of it, as well as to improve its reliability. Though the vast number of researchers working on the field there are still more to be done as both Yang et al [8] and Jones [9] have pointed out.

In the present study we are testing its predictive capability on the electric moments (namely dipole (μ), quadrupole ($\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$), octupole ($\Omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$) and hexadecapole ($\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$)) of molecules of the type of XY₂ where X=H, F, Cl, Br, Y=O,S and O₃, SO₂ and S₃. These moieties have been chosen not only because of their crucial role in a plethora of chemical processes but also their characteristic bonding profile .

The employed methods span the fields of *ab initio* such as Hartree-Fock (HF) [10], second order Møller-Plesset (MP2) [11] and MP3 [12] and MP4(SDQ) [13], and coupled cluster with double (CCD) as well as with singles and doubles (CCSD) [14] (insights on these one could find in the book of Rode et al [15]), as well as that of the infinite DFT pool, such as B-[16] and B3-{LYP,P86,PW91} [17, 18, 19, 20], PBE1PBE [21], CAM-B3LYP [22], LC- ω PBE [23], M05 [24], M06 [25], M08 [26], B97D [27] and B2PLYP [28] functionals in the form they have been formulated in Gaussian 16 [29]. The applied functionals have been chosen since each one of them is characterizing a certain era of the Density Functional Theory (DFT) evolution as it is explained in an elucidative review by Burke et al [30] and a more recent article by Su et al [31].

2. Computational Protocol

The caculated properties are dipole (μ_z/ea_0) , quadrupole $(\Theta_{\alpha\beta}/ea_0^2)$, octupole $(\Omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}/ea_0^3)$ and hexadecapole $(\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}/ea_0^4)$ moments. For molecules belonging to the C_{2v} symmetry group the number of independent components that should be used as to proper describe the last three tensors are two, two and three for $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ (when α , β and γ run over x, y and z) [32].

The density values have been used as to obtain electric moments estimates via the formulation provided by Buckingham [32]. Electron densities and charges have been computed with Gaussian 16 by requesting a full natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis [33]. In the cases of MPn, CCD, CCSD and B2PLYP all electrons were correlated.

The geometries of the molecules have been obtained from the NIST Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark Database [34]. The 6-311G(p,d) basis set has been used in all calculation.

3. Statitical Analysis

The obtained results have been further analyzed with the aid of a powerful statistical tool that is root mean square distance (RMSD). We will use a slightly modified version of the common formula of RMSD (see Eq. 1), since we are targeting in gaining information on the proximity of methods towards the reference CCSD, furthermore we have been using properties of both different units and order of magnitude, as well. The modified RMSD has been given the name distance or $D_{method, CCSD}$ and is defined as: Let $\alpha \in (Methods)$, $i \in (components of properties)$ and the property $C \in (Properties)$, then the distance of a given method α towards the reference method could be defined as:

$$D_{(\alpha, reference)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{(C_{i,\alpha} - C_{i, reference})^2}{max_{\alpha} \{ (C_{i,\alpha} - C_{i, reference})^2 \}}}$$
(1)

The denominator ensures that the produced differences are both plain numbers (or dimensionless) and that the values of $D_{(\alpha, reference)} \in [0, 1]$. The obtained values could also be explained as: The lower the value the higher the proximity.



Figure 1. Distance $(D_{(\alpha, CCSD)})$ values of X₂O (X=H, F, Cl, Br).

4. Results and Discussion

After studying the obtained values which have been statistically analyzed (see Eq. 1) we came up to the conclusion that the performance of DFs heavily fluctuates. This is happening to that extend that someone can hardly find a DF that is steadily on the top or the bottom of the distance the ranking.

We have grouped the molecules is such a way as rational of possible substitutions leads to one from each other. In the first set (X₂O, X=H, F, Cl, Br), the inadequacy of HF method is more than clear (see Fig. 1). The MP4(SDQ) and CCD, with the latter to be still not feasible for larger systems, are always ranked at the top. The DFs that seem to survive are B2PLYP, PBE1PBE, CAM-B3LYP and LC- ω PBE that they manage to be in the near vicinity of the top ones. The electron correlation that introduces the first surpass the almost equivalent MP2. The characteristics of the other three, in particular the gradient approximation on the description of the density, the more sophisticated treatment of the primitive B3LYP and the corrections that brings into the game the latter DF seem to anticipate the overall unstable performance of the rest DFs.

Another group has been formed from X_2S (X=H, F, Cl) molecules and results have been collected in Tables 1, 2, 3. The outcomes from the observation of these tables can summarized to the following. The HF method performs much better than most of the DFs. The pure B{LYP,P86,PW91} DFs seem inadequate for calculations of this type.

1730 (2021) 012126 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1730/1/012126

Method	μ_z	Θ_{xx}	Θ_{yy}	Θ_{zz}	Ω_{xxz}	Ω_{yyz}	Ω_{zzz}	Φ_{xxxx}	Φ_{yyyy}	Φ_{zzzz}	$D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$
HF	-0.5305	-2.94	2.27	0.67	0.26	-1.36	1.10	6.67	-5.62	-6.51	0.63
MP2	-0.5115	-2.88	2.21	0.68	0.23	-1.35	1.13	6.25	-5.15	-6.08	0.35
MP3	-0.4893	-2.83	2.16	0.67	0.15	-1.21	1.06	5.97	-4.91	-5.77	0.09
MP4(SDQ)	-0.4835	-2.81	2.15	0.66	0.12	-1.15	1.03	5.88	-4.83	-5.67	0.01
CCD	-0.4836	-2.81	2.15	0.66	0.12	-1.15	1.03	5.88	-4.82	-5.66	0.02
CCSD	-0.4831	-2.81	2.15	0.66	0.11	-1.14	1.03	5.86	-4.81	-5.64	0.00
BLYP	-0.5144	-2.93	2.22	0.70	0.20	-1.38	1.17	6.18	-5.03	-5.99	0.48
BP86	-0.5394	-2.94	2.23	0.71	0.35	-1.64	1.28	6.50	-5.33	-6.39	0.71
BPW91	-0.5406	-2.92	2.21	0.71	0.38	-1.67	1.29	6.53	-5.36	-6.44	0.67
B3LYP	-0.5250	-2.93	2.23	0.70	0.27	-1.46	1.19	6.40	-5.26	-6.25	0.54
B3P86	-0.5443	-2.93	2.23	0.70	0.40	-1.69	1.29	6.67	-5.52	-6.59	0.73
B3PW91	-0.5462	-2.92	2.22	0.70	0.41	-1.70	1.29	6.68	-5.53	-6.60	0.72
CAM-B3LYP	-0.5410	-2.93	2.24	0.68	0.35	-1.54	1.19	6.58	-5.44	-6.44	0.62
PBE1PBE	-0.5550	-2.95	2.24	0.70	0.46	-1.77	1.31	6.83	-5.67	-6.77	0.84
$Lc-\omega PBE$	-0.5752	-2.89	2.22	0.67	0.57	-1.85	1.28	7.00	-5.86	-6.97	0.85
M05	-0.5494	-2.94	2.23	0.71	0.54	-1.88	1.34	6.84	-5.69	-6.84	0.89
M06	-0.4991	-2.85	2.16	0.70	0.29	-1.46	1.17	6.21	-5.11	-6.11	0.38
M08	-0.5515	-2.90	2.22	0.68	0.34	-1.59	1.26	6.60	-5.49	-6.46	0.62
B2PLYP	-0.5202	-2.91	2.22	0.69	0.26	-1.42	1.16	6.37	-5.24	-6.20	0.48
B97D	-0.5321	-2.91	2.21	0.70	0.34	-1.60	1.26	6.45	-5.30	-6.34	0.59

Table 1. Electric multipole moments of H₂S obtained at various levels of theory and the respective $D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$ values.

It is also of interest to notice that no matter the exotic bonding environment in F_2S and Cl_2S (see Tables 2 and 3) it is in the case of H_2S that most of the DFs are far from the reference method. Furthermore it seems that neither the long range correction nor the better approximation that introduces the CAM-B3LYP could lead to values closer to CCSD. At that side are lying the B3-{LYP, P86, PW91} functionals as well. This is also true for the B97D that includes dispersion and for the B2PLYP DF that is the analogue to MP2.

The last group consists of O_3 , SO_2 and S_3 . The diradical [35] character of the first molecule make it a tough case for all mono-determinental wavefunction methods. That is most likely why there is an obvious divergence between the HF MP2 and CC methods for all three, molecules. On the other hand, the DF analogue seems to lie closer to the CC results. Nevertheless, to obtain a clear picture about the relative method performance a muticonfigurational approache should be applied, a task that is beyond the scope of this work.

1730 (2021) 012126 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1730/1/012126

Method	μ_z	Θ_{xx}	Θ_{yy}	Θ_{zz}	Ω_{xxz}	Ω_{yyz}	Ω_{zzz}	Φ_{xxxx}	Φ_{yyyy}	Φ_{zzzz}	$D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$
HF	0.7472	-0.40	-1.26	1.66	-7.62	9.27	-1.65	4.82	-21.36	1.57	0.69
MP2	0.6291	-0.66	-0.95	1.61	-6.99	7.65	-0.66	6.81	-19.04	1.12	0.24
MP3	0.6614	-0.54	-1.05	1.59	-7.04	8.10	-1.06	5.93	-19.68	0.91	0.07
MP4(SDQ)	0.6465	-0.57	-1.03	1.60	-6.97	7.89	-0.93	6.18	-19.28	1.07	0.06
CCD	0.6678	-0.51	-1.08	1.60	-7.01	8.11	-1.09	5.87	-19.68	0.91	0.09
CCSD	0.6540	-0.54	-1.05	1.59	-6.98	7.99	-1.01	6.05	-19.41	1.02	0.00
BLYP	0.5087	-0.91	-0.63	1.54	-6.76	6.75	0.01	7.86	-17.20	1.77	0.86
BP86	0.5006	-0.89	-0.61	1.50	-6.55	6.59	-0.04	7.94	-16.80	1.43	0.90
BPW91	0.4995	-0.86	-0.62	1.48	-6.48	6.58	-0.09	7.88	-16.73	1.34	0.91
B3LYP	0.5777	-0.76	-0.81	1.57	-6.90	7.32	-0.42	7.03	-18.24	1.51	0.49
B3P86	0.5757	-0.72	-0.81	1.54	-6.70	7.19	-0.49	7.05	-17.89	1.19	0.50
B3PW91	0.5679	-0.73	-0.79	1.52	-6.69	7.17	-0.48	7.08	-17.86	1.17	0.53
CAM-B3LYP	0.6049	-0.70	-0.88	1.58	-6.96	7.57	-0.61	6.70	-18.58	1.46	0.35
PBE1PBE	0.5676	-0.75	-0.78	1.53	-6.75	7.20	-0.45	7.12	-18.01	1.18	0.52
$Lc-\omega PBE$	0.5923	-0.61	-0.88	1.49	-6.64	7.48	-0.84	6.61	-18.06	0.98	0.44
M05	0.5988	-0.75	-0.85	1.60	-6.75	7.23	-0.48	7.00	-18.14	1.02	0.43
M06	0.6382	-0.66	-0.97	1.63	-6.79	7.44	-0.65	6.73	-18.48	0.99	0.29
M08	0.6134	-0.61	-0.93	1.54	-7.01	7.87	-0.85	6.00	-19.09	1.46	0.29
B2PLYP	0.5988	-0.72	-0.87	1.59	-6.95	7.46	-0.51	6.93	-18.55	1.41	0.39
B97D	0.5181	-0.84	-0.67	1.51	-6.56	6.71	-0.15	7.72	-16.99	1.37	0.81

Table 2. Electric multipole moments of F_2S obtained at various levels of theory and the respective $D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$ values.

Table 3. Electric multipole moments of Cl_2S obtained at various levels of theory and the respective $D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$ values.

Method	μ_z	Θ_{xx}	Θ_{yy}	Θ_{zz}	Ω_{xxz}	Ω_{yyz}	Ω_{zzz}	Φ_{xxxx}	Φ_{yyyy}	Φ_{zzzz}	$D_{(\alpha, CCSD)}$
HF	0.2860	-1.53	0.06	1.47	-2.49	-5.86	8.35	70.60	-14.25	-32.25	0.47
MP2	0.2308	-1.65	0.19	1.46	-2.59	-6.08	8.67	69.88	-10.61	-27.88	0.26
MP3	0.2405	-1.58	0.14	1.43	-2.48	-5.97	8.45	68.88	-11.62	-28.93	0.04
MP4(SDQ)	0.2362	-1.58	0.16	1.43	-2.48	-5.97	8.45	68.96	-11.53	-28.87	0.02
CCD	0.2425	-1.56	0.13	1.43	-2.47	-5.90	8.38	68.60	-12.17	-29.31	0.09
CCSD	0.2362	-1.58	0.16	1.43	-2.47	-5.98	8.44	68.93	-11.63	-28.98	0.00
BLYP	0.1911	-1.80	0.32	1.49	-2.68	-6.05	8.73	71.70	-6.21	-24.35	0.73
BP86	0.1834	-1.84	0.39	1.45	-2.49	-6.35	8.84	71.55	-4.98	-24.36	0.80
BPW91	0.1805	-1.83	0.40	1.43	-2.41	-6.30	8.71	70.84	-4.82	-24.42	0.76
B3LYP	0.2195	-1.73	0.24	1.49	-2.56	-6.19	8.75	71.01	-8.08	-26.37	0.48
B3P86	0.2149	-1.76	0.30	1.46	-2.38	-6.46	8.84	70.65	-6.95	-26.33	0.56
B3PW91	0.2097	-1.76	0.32	1.44	-2.36	-6.38	8.74	70.39	-6.95	-26.37	0.53
CAM-B3LYP	0.2383	-1.66	0.19	1.47	-2.49	-6.09	8.58	70.33	-9.75	-28.04	0.26
PBE1PBE	0.2081	-1.77	0.34	1.43	-2.36	-6.46	8.83	70.74	-6.98	-26.55	0.59
$Lc-\omega PBE$	0.2333	-1.59	0.23	1.36	-2.16	-6.01	8.17	68.10	-9.77	-29.19	0.41
M05	0.2140	-1.81	0.31	1.49	-2.46	-6.33	8.78	69.60	-5.26	-24.39	0.63
M06	0.2540	-1.77	0.20	1.57	-2.34	-6.71	9.05	70.64	-7.27	-26.76	0.70
M08	0.2031	-1.68	0.36	1.32	-2.23	-6.51	8.74	70.65	-9.44	-28.98	0.60
B2PLYP	0.2257	-1.71	0.23	1.48	-2.56	-6.21	8.77	70.75	-9.01	-27.09	0.41
B97D	0.1923	-1.80	0.34	1.46	-2.48	-6.20	8.68	70.45	-5.37	-24.37	0.66

5. Conclusion

After performing careful calculations of electric multipole moments in a series of relevant molecules we manage to gain deeper insights by performing a thorough analysis assisted by a modified tool (RMSD) of statistics. The CCSD has been set as the reference method and MP4(SDQ) and CCD were found to be the most proximal to it. The DFs used in this study, though they are representatives of a wide range of the flavours of Density Functional Approximation, does not seem to provide reliable results, since their behavior is changing from molecule to molecule even inside the same molecule. Thus, if the different distances from

1730 (2021) 012126 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1730/1/012126



Figure 2. Distance $(D_{(\alpha, CCSD)})$ values of O_3 , SO_2 and S_3 .

the CCSD matched to different shades of gray, in most of the cases they are more than ten. However, among the tested DFs, the PBE1PBE, CAM-B3LYP and B2PLYP could be used in such calculations since they offer the better reliability and accuracy matching.

6. References

- Buckingham A D, Theory of long dispersion forces (1966), *Disc. Faraday Soc.* 40, 232–8. Buckingham A D, Intermolecular Forces (1967), in Adv. Chem. Phys. 12, Ed. Hirschfelder J O, John Wiley and Sons, Inc pp. 107–142.
- [2] Stone A J, The Theory of Intermolecular Forces, Clarendon Press (1997), pp. 13–7.
- [3] K. Lucas, Applied Statistical Thermodynamics (1991), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 156–238.
- Weitz S L and Potoff J, Effect of Quadrupole Moment on the Phase Behavior of Binary Mixtures Containing Ethene (2005), Fluid Ph. Equilibri. 234, 144–50.
- [5] Doyle C C, Shi Y, and Beck T L, The Importance of the Water Molecular Quadrupole for Estimating Interfacial Potential Shifts Acting on Ions Near the Liquid-Vapor Interface (2019), J. Phys. Chem. B 123, 3348–58.
- [6] Benalcazar W A, Bernevig B A and Hughes T L, Electric Multipole Moments, Topological Multipole Moment Pumping, and Chiral Hinge States in Crystalline Insulators (2017), Phys. Rev. B 96, 245115.
- [7] Becke A D, A new mixing of Hartree-Fock and local density-functional theories (1993), J. Chem. Phys. 98, 1372–77.
- [8] Cohen A J, Mori-Sánchez P and Yang W, Challenges for Density Functional Theory (2012), Chem. Rev. 112, 289–320.
- [9] Jones R O, Density functional theory: Its origins, rise to prominence, and future (2015), Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 897–923.
- [10] Roothaan C C J, New Developments in Molecular Orbital Theory (1951), Rev. Mod. Phys. 23, 69–89.
- [11] Møller C and Plesset M S, Note on an Approximation Treatment for Many-electron Systems (1934), Phys. Rev. 46, 618–22.
- [12] Pople J A, Binkley J S and Seeger R, Theoretical models incorporating electron correlation, Int. J. Quantum Chem. (1976), Suppl. Y-10 1–19; Pople J A, Seeger R and Krishnan R, Variational configuration interaction methods and Comparison with Perturbation Theory (1977), Int. J. Quantum Chem., Suppl. Y-11 149–63.
- [13] Trucks G W et al, Theory and Implementation of the MBPT Density Matrix: An Application to One-Electron Properties (1988), Chem. Phys. Lett. 147, 359–366; Trucks G W et al, Analytical MBPT(4) Gradients (1988), Chem. Phys. Lett. 153, 490–5.
- [14] Cížek J, in Advances in Chemical Physics, Ed. P. C. Hariharan, Vol. 14 (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1969) 35; Bartlett R J and Purvis III G D, Many-body perturbation-theory, coupled-pair many-electron theory, and importance of quadruple excitations for correlation problem (1978), Int. J. Quantum Chem. 14, 561–81; Purvis III G D and Bartlett E J, A full coupled-cluster singles and doubles model - the inclusion of disconnected triples (1982), J. Chem. Phys. 76, 1910–18; Scuseria G E, Janssen C L, and Schaefer III H F, An efficient reformulation of the closed-shell coupled cluster single and double excitation (CCSD)

equations (1988), J. Chem. Phys. 89, 7382–87; Scuseria G E and Schaefer III H F, Is coupled cluster singles and doubles (CCSD) more computationally intensive than quadratic configuration-interaction (QCISD)? (1989), J. Chem. Phys. 90, 3700–03.

- [15] Rode B M, Hoffer T S and Kugler M D, The Basics of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry (2007), Wiley Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
- [16] Becke A D, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic-behavior (1988), Phys. Rev. A 38, 3098-100.
- [17] Becke A D, Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange (1993), J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648–52;
- [18] Lee C, Yang W and Parr E G, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density (1988), *Phys. Rev.* B **37**, 785–9; Miehlich B et al, Results obtained with the correlation-energy density functionals of Becke and Lee, Yang and Parr (1989), *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **157**, 200–206.
- [19] Perdew J P, Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas (1986), Phys. Rev. B 33, 8822–4.
- [20] Perdew J P, in Electronic Structure of Solids (1991), Akademie Verlag, Eds Ziesche P and Eschrig H, Berlin; Perdew J P et al, Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation (1992)*Phys. Rev.* B 46, 6671–87; Perdew A J et al, Erratum: Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation (1993), *Phys. Rev.* B 48, 4978; Perdew J P, Burke K and Wang Y, Generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation hole of a many-electron system (1996), *Phys. Rev.* B 54, 16533–9; Burke K, Perdew J P and Wang Y, in Electronic Density Functional Theory: Recent Progress and New Directions (1998), Eds Dobson J F, Vignale G and Das M P, Akademie Verlag (Plenum).
- [21] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M, Generalized gradient approximation made simple (1996), Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865–8;Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M, Errata: Generalized gradient approximation made simple (1996), Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1396; Errata: Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1396.
- [22] Yanai T, Tew D, and Handy N, A new hybrid exchange-correlation functional using the Coulomb-attenuating method (CAM-B3LYP) (2004), Chem. Phys. Lett. 393, 51–57.
- [23] Vydrov O A, Scuseria G E, Assessment of a long range corrected hybrid functional (2006), J. Chem. Phys. 125, 234109; Vydrov O A et al, Importance of short-range versus long-range Hartree-Fock exchange for the performance of hybrid density functionals (2006), J. Chem. Phys. 125, 074106; Vydrov O A et al, Tests of functionals for systems with fractional electron number (2007), J. Chem. Phys. 126, 154109.
- [24] Zhao Y, Schultz N E and Truhlar D G, Exchange-correlation functional with broad accuracy for metallic and nonmetallic compounds, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions (2005), J. Chem. Phys. 123, 161103.
- [25] Zhao Y and Truhlar D G, The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals (2008), Theor. Chem. Acc. 120, 215–41.
- [26] Zhao Y and Truhlar D G, Exploring the limit of accuracy of the global hybrid meta density functional for main-group thermochemistry, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions (2008), J. Chem. Theory Comput. 4, 1849–68.
- [27] Grimme S, Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction (2006), J. Comp. Chem. 27, 1787–99.
- [28] Grimme S, Semiempirical hybrid density functional with perturbative second-order correlation (2006), J. Chem. Phys. 124, 034108; Schwabe T and Grimme S, Double-hybrid density functionals with long-range dispersion corrections: higher accuracy and extended applicability (2007), Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 9, 3397–3406; Grimme S, Ehrlich S and Goerigk L, Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory (2011), J. Comp. Chem. 32, 1456–65; Goerigk L and Grimme S, Efficient and Accurate Double-Hybrid-Meta-GGA Density Functionals—Evaluation with the Extended GMTKN30 Database for General Main Group Thermochemistry, Kinetics, and Noncovalent Interactions (2011), J. Chem. Theory Comput. 7, 291–309.
- [29] Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, M. J. Frisch et al, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- [30] Pribram-Jones A, Gross D A and Burke K, DFT: A Theory Full of Holes? (2015), Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 66, 283–304.
- [31] Su N Q, Zhu Z and Xu X, Doubly hybrid density functionals that correctly describe both density and energy for atoms (2018), PNAS 115, 2287–92.
- [32] Buckingham A D, Electric Moments of Molecules (1970), Physical Chemistry an Advanced Treatise vol. IV, Academic Press, 349–84.

1730 (2021) 012126 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1730/1/012126

- [33] Carpenter J E and Weinhold F, Analysis of the geometry of the hydroxymethyl radical by the different hybrids for different spins natural bond orbital procedure (1988), J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 169, 41–62; Foster J P and Weinhold F, Natural hybrid orbitals (1980), J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 7211–18; Reed A E and Weinhold F, Natural bond orbital analysis of near-Hartree-Fock water dimer (1983), J. Chem. Phys. 78, 4066–73; Reed A E, Weinstock R B and Weinhold F, Natural-population analysis (1985), J. Chem. Phys. 83, 735–46; Reed A E and Weinhold F, Natural Localized Molecular Orbitals (1985), J. Chem. Phys. 83, 1736–40; Carpenter J E, Extension of Lewis structure concepts to open-shell and excited-state molecular species, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 1987; Reed A E and Curtiss L A and Weinhold F, Intermolecular interactions from a natural bond orbital, donor-acceptor viewpoint (1988), Chem. Rev. 88, 899–926; Weinhold F and Carpenter J E, in The Structure of Small Molecules and Ions (1988), Ed. R. Naaman and Z. Vager, Plenum, 227–236.
- [34] NIST Standard Reference Database Number 101 Release 20, August 2019, Editor: Russell D. Johnson III http://cccbdb.nist.gov/.
- [35] Braïda B, Galembeck S E and Hiberty P C, Ozone and other 1,3-Dipoles: Toward a quantitative measure of diradical character (2017), J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13, 3228–3235.