

### Transcriptional plasticity through differential assembly of a multiprotein activation complex

Laëtitia Cormier, Régine Barbey, Laurent Kuras

### ▶ To cite this version:

Laëtitia Cormier, Régine Barbey, Laurent Kuras. Transcriptional plasticity through differential assembly of a multiprotein activation complex. Nucleic Acids Research, 2010, 38 (15), pp.4998 - 5014. 10.1093/nar/gkq257 . hal-03448821

### HAL Id: hal-03448821 https://hal.science/hal-03448821

Submitted on 25 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Transcriptional plasticity through differential assembly of a multiprotein activation complex

Laëtitia Cormier<sup>1,2</sup>, Régine Barbey<sup>1,2</sup> and Laurent Kuras<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRS, Centre de Génétique Moléculaire, Avenue de la Terrasse, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette and <sup>2</sup>Université Paris-Sud 11, 91400 Orsay, France

Received December 2, 2009; Revised March 6, 2010; Accepted March 26, 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Cell adaptation to the environment often involves induction of complex gene expression programs under the control of specific transcriptional activators. For instance, in response to cadmium, budding yeast induces transcription of the sulfur amino acid biosynthetic genes through the basic-leucine zipper activator Met4, and also launches a program of substitution of abundant glycolytic enzymes by isozymes with a lower content in sulfur. We demonstrate here that transcriptional induction of PDC6. which encodes a pyruvate decarboxylase isoform with low sulfur content, is directly controlled by Met4 and its DNA-binding cofactors the basichelix-loop-helix protein Cbf1 and the two homologous zinc finger proteins Met31 and Met32. Study of Cbf1 and Met31/32 association with PDC6 allowed us to find a new mechanism of recruitment of Met4. which allows PDC6 being differentially regulated compared to sulfur amino acid biosynthetic genes. Our findings provide a new example of mechanism allowing transcriptional plasticity within a regulatory network thanks to a definite toolbox comprising a unique master activator and several dedicated DNA-binding cofactors. We also show evidence suggesting that integration of PDC6 to the Met4 regulon may have occurred recently in the evolution of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae lineage.

#### INTRODUCTION

The transcription activator Met4 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is the master regulator of the *MET* gene network that coordinates synthesis of sulfur containing molecules, including the amino acids methionine and cysteine, the primary methyl donor *S*-adenosylmethionine,

and the widespread thiol-containing antioxidant glutathione (GSH) (1-3). Met4 activity is tightly regulated according to the sulfur status of the cell. Under conditions of excess organosulfur compounds, Met4 is subjected to ubiquitylation by the SCF<sup>Met30</sup> ubiquitin ligase (4,5), and ubiquitylation can, depending on the medium, either target Met4 for immediate degradation by the 26S proteasome, or prevent MET promoter binding (6,7). In contrast, under sulfur limiting conditions, Met4 is not modified by ubiquitin and it can activate transcription recruitment of the SAGA through histone acetyltransferase and the Mediator coactivator complex (6,8).

Met4 contains at its C-terminus a dimerization/DNA binding domain of the basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) family (2). Previous studies have shown that Met4 association with its target promoters depends not only on the bZIP domain, but also involves four DNA-binding cofactors, namely the bZIP protein Met28, the basic helix-loophelix (bHLH) protein Cbf1 and the two related zinc finger proteins Met31 and Met32 (9,10). Contrary to Met4, these cofactors possess no intrinsic capacity to activate transcription and appear mainly dedicated to the recruitment of Met4 to its target genes (2,10,11). The reason for this complexity is not well understood, but is believed to reflect a requirement for the cell to fine-tune synthesis of the various sulfur containing molecules (9). Interestingly, Cbf1 is a multifunctional factor also involved in the maintenance of centromere. Its loss causes defects in both sulfur amino acid biosynthesis and chromosome segregation (12-14). Accordingly, Cbf1 DNA-binding site, the sequence TCACRTG (R = A/G), is present in MET promoters and in centromeres where it constitutes the highly conserved centromere DNA element 1 (CDEI) (1,15). The DNA-binding site for Met31 and Met32 is the sequence AAACTGTGG which is conserved in MET promoters (1,11). In vitro experiments have shown that Met4 can associate with DNA only in complex with its cofactors, and it is believed that Cbf1

© The Author(s) 2010. Published by Oxford University Press.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33 169823831; Fax: +33 169823877; Email: laurent.kuras@cgm.cnrs-gif.fr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/2.5), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and Met31/32 association with their respective DNA elements in *MET* promoters forms anchoring platforms for Met4 (9,16). As expected, Met4 has been shown to possess protein interaction domains for both Cbf1 and Met31/32 (9,10). Met28 has no sequence specific DNA-binding capability either; however, it has been shown, first, to enhance the DNA-binding activity of Cbf1 by a mechanism which is still unknown (16), and second, to heterodimerize with Met4 (10).

The gene network controlled by Met4 is induced upon exposure to cadmium (17,18), a non-essential toxic heavy metal detoxified in S. cerevisiae through conjugation with GSH (19). Cadmium interferes with Met4 regulation at two levels to enable rapid and maximal induction of the MET gene network needed for GSH production: first, cadmium induces dissociation of Met30 from SCF<sup>Met30</sup> thereby preventing Met4 ubiquitylation; and second, cadmium activates an unknown deubiquitylating enzyme which removes inhibitory ubiquitin moieties from Met4, thereby restoring its activity (20,21). Saccharomyces cerevisiae response to cadmium is not limited to transcriptional reprogramming of the sulfur metabolism, but also involves a so-called 'sulfur sparing' program in which abundant enzymes involved in the carbohydrate metabolism are replaced by isozymes with a lower content in sulfur-containing amino acids, supposedly to maximize the cellular pool of cysteine available for glutathione synthesis (17). A striking illustration of this isozyme switching is provided by pyruvate decarboxylase: upon exposure to cadmium, the gene encoding the main isoform Pdc1 which contains 16 sulfur atoms is repressed, whereas the gene encoding the minor isoform Pdc6 which contains five sulfur atoms is strongly induced (17). Most interestingly, it was also observed that PDC6 transcription is no longer induced by cadmium in cells containing a null allele of MET4, which was unexpected considering that PDC6 promoter does not contain the DNA-binding sites for Cbf1 and Met31/32 found in MET genes.

The aim of this study was to examine *PDC6* regulation in more details. We report here that (i) PDC6 is also activated in other conditions in which Met4 is active, such as in sulfur limitation or in the absence of its negative regulator Met30; (ii) PDC6 activation involve recruitment of Met4 and its DNA-binding partners Cbf1, Met28, Met31 and Met32; and (iii) recruitment of theses factors occurs through non-canonical DNA binding sites and involves an original mechanism. Moreover, we found that PDC6 is differentially regulated compared to MET genes, most likely because of the non-canonical DNA binding sites. Altogether, these findings shed new lights on the DNA-binding properties of zinc finger and bHLH factors, as well on the mechanism of assembly of the Met4/Cbf1/Met28/Met31/32 activation complex. They also provide a striking example of mechanism allowing transcriptional plasticity within a regulatory network thanks to a definite toolbox comprising a unique master activator and several dedicated DNA-binding cofactors. Finally, based on sequence comparison and transcriptional analysis in S. cerevisiae relatives, we suggest that addition of PDC6 to the Met4 regulon is a recent event in the evolution of the S. cerevisiae lineage.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Yeast strains, plasmids and media

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains used in this study (Table 1) were all derived from W303. Y444, Y645, Y646 and Y647 were generated using PCR-based, one-step integration strategies (22). The PDC6[ $\Delta$ -518/-512]-KanMX6 and PDC6[ $\Delta$ -458/-453]-KanMX6 fragments used to generate Y646 and Y647 were obtained in two steps. First, two fragments containing PDC6 open reading frame (ORF) preceded by the upstream region lacking sequences -518/-512 or sequences -458/-453were generated by PCR. Then, the two fragments were independently mixed with a PCR fragment containing the kanamycine gene flanked by two short sequences corresponding to PDC6 3'-end, and the mixture was subjected to PCR to generate hybrid fragments. Y648, Y650, Y657, Y675 and Y677 were obtained by integrating at the *ura3* locus of Y444 integrative URA3 plasmids containing wild-type (WT) or mutated PDC6 fragments spanning positions -550 to +1692 followed by ADH1 terminator. Mutations in PDC6 promoter were generated by in vitro site-directed mutagenesis. Y663 and Y664 were generated by disrupting MET32 in Y648 and Y650, respectively. Saccharomyces paradoxus (CLIB228), S. bayanus (CLIB181) and Candida glabrata (CLIB298) from the CIRM-Levures strains were obtained (AgroParisTech-Grignon).

Plasmid p6hisCbf1 $\Delta$ N, p6hisMet31 and p6hisMet32, containing the C-terminal part of Cbf1 (codons 210–351), and full-length Met31 or Met32 inserted in pET28a were described in our previous studies (11,16).

YPD medium contains 1% yeast extract, 2% bacto-peptone and 2% glucose. YNB medium contains 0.7% yeast nitrogen base, 0.5% ammonium sulfate and 2% glucose. B medium is a synthetic medium lacking organic and inorganic sulfur sources (23).

#### Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as described previously (8). The antibodies used in this study include mouse monoclonal antibodies to the HA and Myc epitopes (F7 and 9E10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and rabbit polyclonal antibodies to Met28 and Met32 (kindly provided by Mike Tyers, Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute). DNA was quantified by real time PCR using the LightCycler 480 instrument, and reaction kits containing SYBR Green I (Roche). A typical run included duplicates of each IP and input DNA, and serial dilutions of one input DNA to create a standard curve and determine the efficiency of the amplification. Data was analyzed with the LightCycler 480 software using the 'second derivative maximum' method for quantification. Occupancy at a genomic location was calculated by dividing the immunoprecipitated (IP) over input DNA ratio for a fragment encompassing the location by the IP over input DNA ratio of a fragment of reference (typically, a sequence within the open reading frame of the meiotic gene IME2).

Table 1. Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant genotype	Source
CC718-1A	MATa cbf1::TRP1 cbf1::TRP1	(10)
CC767-6A	MATa met28::TRP1	(10)
CC849-8A	MATalpha met4::TRP1	(4)
CC932-8B	MATa met4::GAL1-MET4 met30::LEU2	(25)
CD158	MATa met31::HIS3	(9)
CD159	MATalpha met32::HIS3	(9)
CD163	MATa met31::TRP1 met32::HIS3	(9)
CY202-4B	MATalpha met4::HA3MET44[590-612]-CBF1[210-351] cbf1::URA3	(7,30)
W303-1A	MATa ade2 ura3 his3 leu2 trp1	R. Rothstein
Y444	MAT $a \ pdc6\Delta$ ::His3MX6	This study
Y461	MATa MET4::18myc-TRP1	(45)
Y464	MATa MET32::9myc-TRP1	(45)
Y465	MATa CBF1::9myc-TRP1	(45)
Y645	MATa PDC6::PDC6-KanMX6	This study
Y646	MATa PDC6::PDC6[4-518]-512]-KanMX6	This study
Y647	MATa PDC6::PDC6[A-458]-453]-KanMX6	This study
Y648	MATa pdc6::His3MX6 ura3::PDC6-tADH1	This study
Y650	MATa pdc6::His3MX6 ura3::PDC6[AAA]-tADH1	This study
Y657	MATa pdc6::His3MX6 ura3::PDC6[AAA,CACGTG]-tADH1	This study
Y663	MATa met32::KanMX6 pdc6::His3MX6 ura3::PDC6-tADH1	This study
Y664	MATa met32::KanMX6 pdc6::His3MX6 ura3::PDC6[AAA]-tADH1	This study
Y675	MATa ura3::PDC6[ACACGTT]-tADH1-URA3 pdc6::HisMX6	This study
Y677	MATa ura3::PDC6[CACGTG]-tADH1 pdc6::His3MX6	This study
CY302-3C	Mata his3 leu2 ade2 ura3 $trp1\Delta2$	Y. Surdin-Kerjan

#### **RNA** extraction and analyses

Total RNA was isolated by extraction with hot acidic phenol (24). Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was conducted using a two-step procedure. First, cDNA were synthesized with SuperScript II (Invitrogen) or RevertAid H Minus M-MuLV (Fermentas) reverse transcriptases according to manufacturers' protocol. A mixture of random hexamers (Roche), anchored  $oligo(dT)_{23}$  (Sigma) and 25S rDNA oligonucleotide was used for priming. cDNA levels were then quantified by real time PCR using the LightCycler 480 system, and reaction kits containing SYBR Green I (Roche). Data was analyzed with the LightCycler 480 software using the 'second derivative maximum' method for quantification. Amplification efficiency was determined for each experiment based on a standard curve established using serial dilutions of one of the cDNA samples.

#### Gel shift assays

His-tagged recombinant proteins were expressed in BL21(DE3). In the case of  $6hisCbf1\Delta N$ , cells were induced at  $OD_{650} = 0.6$  in the presence of 0.5 mM isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 3 h at 37°C, and his-tagged proteins were purified using Novagen BugBuster protein extraction reagent and Novagen His•bind purification kit. The protein preparation was dialyzed against 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 120 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 20% glycerol. In the case of 6hisMet31 and 6hisMet32, cells were induced at  $OD_{650} = 0.6$  in the presence of 1 mM IPTG for 2 h, collected by centrifugation, resuspended in three volumes of buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and 1 mM of the

protease inhibitor Pefabloc SC (Roche), and broken in an Eaton press. Extracts were subsequently sonicated to fragment DNA and reduce viscosity, and debris was eliminated by centrifugation.

Gel shift assays were performed in a final volume of  $20 \,\mu$ l containing 25 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 60 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mg/ml acetylated-bovine serum albumin, 7.5% glycerol and 0.8 µg poly(dIdC)–poly(dIdC). DNA probes were 5'-labeled by using T4 DNA kinase and [ $\gamma$ -32P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol). Samples were incubated for 40 min in ice and subjected to electrophoresis in a 5% polyacrylamide gel in 0.25× TBE for 3–4 h at 9 V/cm and at 7°C. The gel was dried, exposed to a storage phosphor screen for several hours, and imaged using Storm 820 (Molecular dynamics). Signals were quantified using ImageQuant software.

#### RESULTS

## Transcriptional activation of *PDC6* requires Met4 and its cofactors

To determine whether Met4 cofactors Cbf1, Met28, Met31 and Met32 are involved in *PDC6* transcriptional activation, we carried out reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT–QPCR) analysis on mutant strains exposed to  $Cd^{2+}$  (Figure 1A). The results showed that *PDC6* activation in response to  $Cd^{2+}$  was completely abolished in strains containing null alleles of Met4, Cbf1 or Met32, and partially diminished in strains containing null alleles of Met28 or Met31. Interestingly, inactivation of Met32 alone is sufficient to abolish *PDC6* activation, whereas both Met31 and Met32 must be inactivated to abolish *MET* gene activation (data not shown) (11). Thus, similar to *MET* genes, *PDC6* activation involves Cbf1,



**Figure 1.** *PDC6* activation in response to  $Cd^{2+}$  is controlled by Met4 and its cofactors. (A) mRNA levels for *PDC6* in mutant strains. A WT, and the indicated mutants were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to  $0.5 \text{ mM } Cd^{2+}$ . Total RNA was prepared from samples taken before and at different time points after addition of  $Cd^{2+}$ . RNA was quantified by RT followed by real-time PCR. Values were normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA and represent the average of two independent experiments. To facilitate comparison, the maximum value was set up to 100 and other values were expressed in proportion. Error bars indicate average deviations. (B) Association of Met4 and its cofactors with *PDC6* promoter. Isogenic strains expressing Myc-tagged Met4, Cbf1 or Met32 were grown and exposed to  $Cd^{2+}$  as in (A). Aliquots were consulted with formalde-hyde at the time points indicated following  $Cd^{2+}$  addition and subjected to ChIP using antibodies to Myc or to Met28. DNA fragments were quantified by real time PCR using primer pairs specific for *PDC6* upstream region (from -455 to -306 relative to ATG), and primer pairs specific for *IME2* ORF as a negative control. Occupancy levels correspond to the fraction of *PDC6* promoter immunoprecipitates. Values represent the average of two independent experiments, and error bars indicate average deviations.

Met28, Met31 and Met32 alongside with Met4; however, the relative importance of these factors seems to differ between *PDC6* and *MET* genes.

ChIP was performed to determine the presence of Met4 and its cofactors at PDC6 promoter (Figure 1B). All factors were immunoprecipitated except Met31 because no antibody was available and our attempts to construct epitope-tagged versions of Met31 led to derivatives unable to support growth using sulfate as unique sulfur source in a met321 background, indicating that protein activity was affected (data not shown). The ChIP results for Met4, Cbf1, Met28 and Met32 showed no significant association with PDC6 promoter in YPD medium and a several fold increase in association upon Cd<sup>2+</sup> exposure. This increase was particularly strong in the case of Met4 and Cbf1 (more than 20-fold compared to the control region), and more modest in the case of Met32 and Met28 (7- and 3-fold, respectively). These results demonstrate that Met4 and its cofactors are directly involved in PDC6 activation in response to  $Cd^{2+}$ .

### *PDC6* transcription is induced in all conditions that trigger Met4 activity

To further establish the role of Met4 in *PDC6* regulation, we analyzed PDC6 transcription in sulfur limitation (Figure 2). The results showed that *PDC6* transcription was strongly activated when cells were transferred to minimal medium depleted in sulfur (Figure 2A). We also monitored PDC6 transcription in a strain expressing Met4 from the inducible GAL1 promoter and lacking Met30, the negative regulator of Met4. As already reported, expression of Met4 in a met30*A* background allows induction of MET genes in rich medium (25). We found that Met4 expression in the absence of Met30 was also sufficient to induce PDC6 transcription in rich media (Figure 2B). Altogether, these results demonstrate that *PDC6* activation is not limited to cadmium exposure but occurs in other conditions that induce MET genes. By contrast, no activation was observed in the presence of metals that do not induce MET genes, such as copper, cobalt, manganese, mercury, silver or zinc (Figure 2C).



Figure 2. Analysis of *PDC6* transcription under various conditions. (A) *PDC6* transcription in response to sulfur limitation. WT cells were grown to early log phase into B-medium supplemented with 0.5 mM methionine, collected by filtration, and transferred into B-medium with no sulfur source at time zero. Samples were taken at the time points indicated and total RNA was extracted and quantified by RT-real time PCR. Values were normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA and represent the average of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate average deviations. (B) *PDC6* transcription in response to Met4 hyperactivation. *met4::GAL1-MET4*  $\Delta met30$  (CC932-8B) cells were grown in YP-raffinose medium to early log phase and supplemented with galactose at the zero time point. (C) *PDC6* and *MET3* transcription upon exposure to various heavy metals. Wild-type cells were grown to early-log phase in YPD medium and exposed to 0.5 mM CdCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CuCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM CoCl<sub>2</sub>, 50  $\mu$ M HgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> or 30  $\mu$ M AgNO<sub>3</sub> for 90 min.

### *PDC6* activation involves Met31 and Met32 recruitment to truncated, suboptimal DNA-binding sites

PDC6 upstream region does not contain the consensus binding sites for Cbf1 or Met31/32 usually found upstream the sulfur metabolic genes controlled by Met4 (TCACGTG and AAACTGTGGC, respectively; see Supplemental Figure S2). However, PDC6 contains two repetitions of the sequence CTGTGGC corresponding to a truncated version of the Met31/32 binding site (site #1 between positions -453 and -459, and site #2 between positions -513 and -519; Figure 3A). To determine the requirement of these two sites for PDC6 activation, mRNA analysis was performed on yeast strains lacking one or the other (Figure 3B). We found that PDC6 transcription was almost completely abolished by deletion of site #1 and diminished by 2-4-fold by deletion of site #2 (Figure 3B). ChIP analysis was carried out in parallel (Figure 3C). In good agreement with the transcriptional analysis, deletion of site #1 decreased Met32 association with PDC6 promoter to background level whereas deletion of site #2 caused a 60% decrease (Figure 3C). We concluded that the proximal CTGTGGC motif (site #1) served as binding site for Met32, whereas the distal CT

GTGGC motif (site #2) was not able to bind Met32 by its own but had a stimulatory effect on Met32 association with the first site.

To gain information on Met31 binding to PDC6 and better characterize Met32 binding, gel shift assays were carried out using Escherichia coli cell extracts containing or not polyhistidine-tagged Met31 and Met32 proteins (<sup>his</sup>Met31 and <sup>his</sup>Met32; Figure 4). The result clearly showed <sup>his</sup>Met31 and <sup>his</sup>Met32 binding to the *PDC6* fragment encompassing the two TGTGGC sites (Figure 4, second panel). However binding to PDC6 was much less efficient compared to MET3, even though MET3 contains only one CTGTGGC motif (Figure 4, first panel). Gel shift assays with shorter *PDC6* fragments containing either of the two CTGTGGC sites revealed that Met32 was not able to bind to site #2 (Figure 4, fourth panel), which was consistent with the ChIP results in Figure 3C. Moreover, the fraction of fragment with site #1 shifted in the presence of hisMet31 was significantly higher than the fraction shifted in the presence of hisMet32 (Figure 4, third panel). Since gels shift were carried out with similar amounts of his Met31 and hisMet32 (see western blot in Figure 4B), we concluded that Met31 and Met32 had differential affinities for PDC6.



Figure 3. Characterization of PDC6 upstream activating sequences (UAS). (A) Schematic of PDC6 promoter. (B) Effect of deletion of the CTGTGGC sequences on PDC6 transcription. Two mutants containing at the chromosome a PDC6-Kan derivative lacking either the first ( $\Delta 1$ ) or the second ( $\Delta 2$ ) CTGTGGC sequence, and the isogenic WT strain, were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to 0.5 mM Cd<sup>2+</sup>. Total RNA was extracted from samples taken at the time points indicated and RNA levels were quantified by RT-real time PCR. Values were normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA levels and represent the average of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate average deviations. (C) ChIP assay on Met32. The same strains as in (B) were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to 0.5 mM Cd<sup>2+</sup>. ChIPs were performed on aliquots crosslinked with formaldehyde before (-) or 180 min after  $Cd^{2+}$  addition (+) using antibodies to Met32. DNA fragments were quantified by real time PCR using primer pairs for the promoter region of PDC6 (-620/-461 relative to ATG) and MET3. Occupancy levels were calculated as in Figure 1B. Values represent the average of two independent experiments, and error bars indicate average deviations.

### Cbf1 association with *PDC6* promoter occurs through a non-canonical binding site

The absence of TCACGTG sequence upstream of *PDC6* raised the question whether Cbf1 could bind *PDC6* by itself or was recruited through interactions with Met4 and/or other factors involved in *PDC6* activation. To address this point, we first performed gel shift assays using a recombinant derivative of Cbf1 containing the bHLH domain (residues 210–351) fused to a polyhistidine tag (<sup>his</sup>Cbf1<sub>BD</sub>; Figure 5). This derivative was incubated with four overlapping DNA fragments covering *PDC6* from positions -96 to -620 (Figure 5A), and with a fragment of *MET16* containing the TCACGTG sequence as a control. The results in Figure 5B showed



His tag Western blot

Figure 4. In vitro associations of Met31 and Met32 with PDC6 promoter. (A) Gel shift assays. The indicated amounts of *E. coli* cell extracts expressing polyhistidine-tagged Met31 and Met32 (lanes 2–6 and 7–11, respectively) or not (lane 12) were incubated with equimolar amounts of the indicated <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA fragments. The *MET3* fragment contains AAACTGTGGC. The *PDC6* fragments contain both CTGTGG sites (second panel) and either of them (third and fourth panel). Fragments were resolved by 5% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualized by PhosphorImager analysis. (B) Western blot. Extracts used in (A) containing <sup>his</sup>Met31 or <sup>his</sup>Met32 were separated by 12% SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and analyzed by immunoblotting with a monoclonal antibody to the his-tag (Novagen). The calculated molecular weights of <sup>his</sup>Met31 and <sup>his</sup>Met32 are 23 226.5 and 25 441, respectively.

that  $^{his}Cbf1_{BD}$  did associate with *PDC6* by its own. The fact that fragments II and III of *PDC6* produced band shifts of similar intensities encouraged us to examine more carefully the overlapping region, which



**Figure 5.** In vitro association of Cbf1 with PDC6 promoter. (A) Schematic of PDC6 showing the DNA fragments used in the gel shift assay. 'M' boxes represent the CTGTGGC motifs. 'T' boxes represent the TATA elements. (B) Gel shift assays with large DNA fragments. The <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA fragments indicated were incubated with 0, 50 and 200 ng of a recombinant derivative of Cbf1 containing the bHLH domain fused to a polyhistidine tag (<sup>his</sup>Cbf1<sub>BD</sub>). Fragments were resolved by 5% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualized by PhosphorImager analysis. The *MET16* fragment covers positions from –126 to –270 and contains TCACGTG. (C) Gel shift assays with 40 bp DNA fragments. The <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA fragments indicated were incubated with 0, 200, 100, 50 and 25 ng of <sup>his</sup>Cbf1<sub>BD</sub> (only 0 and 200 ng in the case of mut *PDC6*). The *PDC6* fragment covers positions from –350 to –389 and contains the TCACGTT sequence. The *MET16* fragment covers positions from –155 to –194 and contains TCACGTT.

led us to notice the sequence TCACGTT between positions -368 and -374. To determine whether Cbf1 was able to bind this sequence, we performed additional gel shift assays with two short 40 base-pair DNA fragments containing either the TCACGTT sequence of *PDC6* or a mutated version. For comparison, a *MET16* fragment containing the *bona fide* TCACGTG site was included. The results did show <sup>his</sup>Cbf1<sub>BD</sub> association with the WT *PDC6*, but not with the mutant (Figure 5C). Moreover, <sup>his</sup>Cbf1<sub>BD</sub> affinity for *PDC6* was comparable to its affinity for *MET16* (Figure 5C).

To determine whether this TCACGTT sequence was required for *PDC6* activation *in vivo*, mRNA analysis was performed on a yeast strain containing a mutated derivative of *PDC6* lacking the TCACGTT sequence (Figure 6). We found that *PDC6* transcription was still strongly induced in the absence of TCACGTT, but at a level 2–3-fold lower compared to the WT. Therefore, since Cbf1 inactivation almost completely abolishes *PDC6* induction (Figure 1), we concluded that Cbf1 can be recruited to *PDC6 in vivo* without this TCACGTT sequence.

To gain more insight into the mechanism of association of Cbf1 with *PDC6 in vivo*, we used a strain expressing a chimera in which the carboxy-terminal bZIP of Met4 was replaced by the bHLH of Cbf1 (Figure 7A). A previous study showed that expression of this chimera in place of the full length Met4 in a  $cbf1\Delta$  strain allowed activation of the sulfur amino acid biosynthetic genes and, as a result, supported cell growth on a medium containing sulfate as unique sulfur source (7). We asked whether this chimera would also support activation of *PDC6* transcription in response to cadmium. The results showed that *PDC6* transcription was diminished by several fold in the  $cbf1\Delta$  strain expressing the chimera compared to the WT strain



**Figure 6.** *PDC6* transcription in the absence of the TCACGTT motif. *pdc6A* strains containing at the *URA3* locus a WT *PDC6* allele or a derivative lacking the TCACGTT sequence were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to  $0.5 \text{ mM Cd}^{2+}$ . Total RNA was extracted from samples taken at the time points indicated and RNA levels for *PDC6* and *MET3* were quantified by RT-real time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. Values represent the average of two independent experiments and error bars indicate average deviations.

expressing the full length Met4 (Figure 7B). For comparison, *MET3* was induced at similar levels in both strains, confirming that the chimera was able to activate *MET* genes in response to cadmium as efficiently as the WT Met4. Two conclusions could be drawn from these results: first, *in vivo* the TCACGTT sequence present in *PDC6* does not bind Cbf1 bHLH as efficiently as the TCA CGTG sequence present in *MET* genes; secondly, assembly of the Met4 activation complex at *PDC6* involves a mechanism that is different from the mechanism involved at *MET* genes.

#### PDC6 activation is delayed compared with MET genes

The unusual structure of *PDC6* promoter raises the question of whether *PDC6* and *MET* gene regulations are identical. To address this point, we compared the kinetics of induction of *PDC6* in response to cadmium with that of known *MET* genes involved in sulfate assimilation, transsulfuration, glutathione biosynthesis, *S*-adenosylmethionine cycle, or uptake of sulfur compounds (Figure 8A and Supplementary Table S1). This study revealed that the majority of *MET* genes showed a

similar profile of mRNA accumulation upon cadmium induction and, unquestionably, this profile was very different from the profile of PDC6. For most MET genes, the maximum level of transcription was reached within 30 min upon exposure to cadmium, whereas PDC6 was transcribed at only a few percents of its maximum level at this time point. Among MET genes, only AGP3, which encodes a methionine transporter, showed a notable delay in transcript accumulation, but this delay was still not as strong as in the case of PDC6. So, the whole sulfur metabolism gene network is induced in a quite synchronous manner and PDC6 clearly stands apart. We also analyzed the transcriptional kinetics of PDC6 and several representative MET genes in response to sulphur limitation as well as in the GAL1-MET4 met30A strain after addition of galactose (Figure 8B and C). We also observed a clear delay in accumulation of PDC6 transcripts compared to MET gene transcripts. Therefore the differential transcriptional kinetics of PDC6 and MET genes is not limited to the case where induction is triggered by cadmium.

# *PDC6* and *MET* genes have distinctive thresholds of induction

To better apprehend the logic of PDC6 regulation, we compared the dose response relations between the concentration of cadmium in the medium and the level of transcription of PDC6 and MET genes. WT cells were exposed to concentrations ranging from 10 to 500 µM and RNA levels of PDC6 and two representative MET genes were monitored for up to 3 h (Figure 9). For all three genes, we observed an overall positive correlation between the concentration of cadmium and the intensity of the transcriptional response. However, PDC6 transcription fell more abruptly than MET3 and MET17 transcription when cadmium concentration was diminished. For instance, the maximum of PDC6 transcripts at 50 µM was almost 10-fold lower than its maximum at 500 µM whereas, comparatively, the maxima of MET3 and MET17 transcripts were reduced by maximum 2-fold. Moreover, PDC6 transcription was undetectable at 10 µM cadmium whereas MET3 and MET17 were still transcribed at, respectively, 17 and 26% of their maximum levels. These results suggested that the threshold concentration of cadmium necessary to induce PDC6 was higher than that necessary to induce MET genes.

We analyzed *PDC6* transcription in response to additional metals that induce *MET* gene transcription: arsenic and chromium (26,27). As expected, exposition to arsenic and chromium led to *MET3* activation, even though activation levels were weaker compared to cadmium exposition, especially in the case of chromium (Figure 10, top panel). *PDC6* was also activated in response to arsenic, but transcripts levels were low compared to cadmium, and it was not in response to chromium (Figure 10, bottom panel). These results show similarities with the results obtained in the presence of low concentrations of cadmium (Figure 9), suggesting that *PDC6* has a threshold of induction distinct from *MET* genes.



Figure 7. Replacement of Met4 bZIP by Cbf1 bHLH. (A) Model scheme for association to *MET* promoters of Met4 and a Met4-Cbf1 chimera containing residues 1–590 of Met4 fused to residues 210–351 of Cbf1. 'Act' represents Met4 activation domain and 'Int' represents Met4 interaction domain with Met31/32. The three parallel bars represent known protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions. (B) Transcription analysis in WT and cbf1 $\Delta$  cells expressing the Met4-Cbf1 derivative described in (A) from *MET4* endogenous promoter. Cells were cultivated, exposed to cadmium, and RNA levels were quantified by RT real-time PCR as in Figure 1A. For comparison, the maximum level for each gene was set to 100. Error bars indicate average deviations from two independent experiments.

## The delay in *PDC6* induction is due to a delay in the recruitment of the activator

We speculated that the particular regulation of PDC6 was in direct relation with the presence of non-canonical sites for Cbf1 and Met31/32 within the promoter. To support this hypothesis, we first carried out ChIP experiments to examine association of Met4, Cbf1 and Met32 with PDC6 and MET promoters during the course of time following cadmium addition (Figure 11). The ChIP results showed a marked delay in association of all three factors with PDC6 promoter compared to MET promoters. In agreement with the transcription results, MET promoters became maximally occupied by Met4 within 30 to 60 minutes following the exposure to cadmium, whereas at the same time point, PDC6 remained less than half occupied. Therefore, the delay in accumulation of PDC6 mRNA was most likely due to a delay in the recruitment of the activator Met4.

We next mutated the promoter of PDC6 to transform the binding sites for Met31/32 and Cbf1 into canonical sites, either separately or altogether (Figure 12A). As shown in Figure 12B, these mutations resulted in acceleration of PDC6 transcription in response to cadmium, especially in the case of Met31/32 binding sites, so that mRNA levels at 45 min in the mutated strains were similar to those at 90 min in the WT (Figure 12B). However, mRNA levels at 15 min remained low, indicating that the mutations did accelerate *PDC6* transcription but were not sufficient to make the transcriptional kinetics of *PDC6* similar to that of *MET* genes. One likely explanation for this observation is that binding of Cbf1 and Met31/32 to their target promoters and recruitment of Met4 involve sequences adjacent to the AAACTGTGG C and TCACGTG sites. The existence of additional promoter elements recognized by some repressive factors cannot be completely ruled out either.

Since inactivation of Met32 is sufficient to stop *PDC6* transcription and not *MET* gene transcription, we wondered whether inserting AAA just before the two C TGTGGC motifs would have an effect on *PDC6* transcription in a *met32* $\Delta$  strain (Figure 12C). The results clearly showed that the AAA insertions in the *met32* $\Delta$  strain restore *PDC6* transcripts to WT levels in addition to accelerate the transcription kinetics. These results demonstrate that the presence of the minimal CTGTGG C sequence generates a strict requirement for Met32 in addition to slow down transcriptional induction.



**Figure 8.** Transcriptional kinetics of *PDC6* versus *MET* genes. (A) In response to cadmium wild-type cells were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to  $0.5 \text{ mM Cd}^{2+}$ . Total RNA was extracted from samples taken at the time points indicated. RNA levels were quantified by RT-real time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. For direct comparison among genes, the maximum value for each gene was set up to 1. Data represent the average of two independent experiments and average deviations were 25% at maximum (error bars were omitted for clarity). Numbers are given in Supplementary Table S1. (B) In response to sulfur limitation. Cells were cultivated and RNA was analyzed as in Figure 2A. (C) In the *GAL1-MET4 met30*/<sub>4</sub> strain. Cells were cultivated and RNA was analyzed as in Figure 2B.



**Figure 9.** Dose–response relations between cadmium concentrations and transcription levels. WT cells grown to early log phase in YPD medium were exposed to various concentrations of  $Cd^{2+}$ . Total RNA was extracted from samples taken at the time points indicated (no sample was taken at 30 min in the culture exposed to 500  $\mu$ M  $Cd^{2+}$ ). RNA levels for *PDC6*, *MET3* and *MET17* were quantified by RT-real time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. Maximum values for each gene were set to 100 to facilitate comparisons. Error bars indicate average deviations from two independent experiments.

## *PDC6* transcriptional regulation is not conserved in *S. cerevisiae* close relatives

Syntenic orthologs of *PDC6* are present in *S. paradoxus*, *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata* (28,29), three species that diverged from *S. cerevisiae* after the whole-genome duplication (WGD). Alignment of the promoter regions showed that Met31/32 and Cbf1 binding sites were conserved in *S. paradoxus*, the closest relative of *S. cerevisiae*, but not in *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata*, which are more distantly related (Figure 13A). Indeed, *S. paradoxus* PDC6 possesses the TCACGTT sequence and the first CTGTGGC site, whereas *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata* possess only divergent counterparts. Pattern search using the fuzznuc program (available at http://mobyle.pasteur.fr) confirmed that neither the TCA CGTT nor the CTGTGGC sequences were present upstream of *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata PDC6* orthologs



Figure 10. Response to cadmium versus arsenic and chromium. WT cells grown to early log phase in YPD medium were exposed to  $0.5 \,\mathrm{mM} \,\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{COO})_2, 2.5 \,\mathrm{mM} \,\mathrm{NaAsO}_2$  or  $5 \,\mathrm{mM} \,\mathrm{CrO}_3$ . Total RNA was extracted from samples taken before or 45 and 90 min after exposure. RNA levels for *MET3* and *PDC6* were quantified by RT-real time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. Maximum values for each gene were set to 100 to facilitate comparisons. Error bars indicate average deviations from two independent experiments.

(data not shown). Transcriptional analysis was carried out in *S. paradoxus*, *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata* strains exposed to cadmium (Figure 13B). The results showed that *PDC6* was significantly induced in response to cadmium exposure in *S. paradoxus*, but almost not in *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata*. Interestingly, the level of *PDC6* induction was 10-fold lower in *S. paradoxus* compared to *S. cerevisiae*, which is in good agreement with the fact that *S. paradoxus PDC6* does not contain the second CTGTGGC site.

#### DISCUSSION

The Met4 activator is known as a master regulator of the sulfur metabolism, coordinating transcription of the genes encoding the multiple enzymatic activities (more than 15) required for assimilation of sulfate and biosynthesis of essential organosulphur compounds such as methionine. AdoMet and GSH (see Supplementary cysteine, Figure S1) (1). Met4 also controls genes encoding transporters for sulfur-containing compounds (30,31), as well as genes encoding regulatory factors of the sulfur metabolism (4,6). As a whole, the Met4 regulon comprises more than 25 genes whose expression is regulated in a coordinated manner. We demonstrate here that PDC6. which encodes an isoform of pyruvate decarboxylase, is another direct target of Met4. Pyruvate decarboxylase is the key enzyme of alcoholic fermentation, catalyzing the conversion of the end product of glycolysis, pyruvate, to acetaldehyde and carbon dioxide. Pyruvate decarboxylase is also involved in amino acid catabolism and production of higher alcohol (32) but, to date, is not known for having a role in the sulfur metabolism. One striking characteristic of Pdc6 is its low content in sulfur atoms compared to the two other pyruvate decarboxylase isoforms, Pdc1 and Pdc5. It has been proposed that Pdc6 represents an isoform designed to spare sulfur



**Figure 11.** Association of Met4, Cbf1 and Met32 with promoters in response to  $Cd^{2+}$ . Isogenic strains expressing Myc-tagged versions of Met4, Cbf1 or Met32 were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to  $0.5 \text{ mM} Cd^{2+}$ . ChIPs were performed on samples crosslinked with formaldehyde at the time points indicated using Myc antibodies. DNA fragments were quantified by real-time PCR using primers for the indicated promoters and for *IME2* ORF as a control. Occupancy levels were calculated as in Figure 1B but are given for each gene as a percentage of the maximum value obtained in the time course. Error bars indicate average deviations from two independent experiments.



**Figure 12.** Mutagenesis of *PDC6* promoter. (A) Schematic diagram showing base changes introduced in *PDC6* promoter. (B)  $pdc6\Delta$  strains containing at the *URA3* locus a WT allele of *PDC6* or mutant derivatives containing either a A-triplet upstream the two CTGTGGC motifs, or TCACGTG instead of TCACGTT, or both changes, were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to 0.5 mM Cd<sup>2+</sup>. Total RNA was extracted from samples taken at the time points indicated. RNA levels for *PDC6* and *MET3* were quantified by RT-real time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. Values represent the average of two independent experiments and error bars indicate average deviations. (C) *MET32* and *met32A* strains containing the WT allele of *PDC6* or the derivative with the AAACTGTGGC motifs were manipulated as in (B).

when high amounts of glutathione need to be synthesized, such as in the presence of cadmium (17). However, our finding that PDC6 is also activated in response to sulfur amino acid limitation supports the possibility that the low sulfur content of Pdc6 may represent a form of molecular adaptation to environments where sulfur containing amino acids are scarce. Such hypothesis has already been proposed to explain why enzymes involved in sulfur amino acid biosynthesis in *S. cerevisiae* and

*E. coli* contain fewer sulfur atoms compared to total proteins (33).

### Recruitment of Met4 to its target promoter: same set of factors, but different mechanisms

Previous studies led to the model that Met4 was recruited to its target promoters through two distinct anchoring platforms consisting of Cbf1 bound to TCACGTG and



**Figure 13.** Transcriptional regulation of *PDC6* in *S. cerevisiae* relatives. (A) Sequence alignment. *PDC6* orthologs in *S. cerevisiae* (YGR087C), *S. paradoxus* (spar6-g11.1), *S. bayanus* (sbayc642-g17.1) and *C. glabrata* (CAGL02937) were aligned with Clustal W. Fully conserved positions are marked by an asterisk. Positions conserved among *S. cerevisiae* and at least one other species are marked in blue. Positions conserved among several species except *S. cerevisiae* are marked in green. Positions occupied by different nucleotides are marked in orange. Binding sites for Met31/32 and Cbf1 are marked by red boxes. (B) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (W303-1A), *S. paradoxus* (CLIB228), *S. bayanus* (CLIB181) and *C. glabrata* (CLIB298) strains were grown to early log phase in YPD medium and exposed to 0.5 mM Cd<sup>2+</sup>. Total RNA was extracted from samples taken just before (–) or 120 min (+) after the addition of cadmium. RNA levels for *PDC6* and *MET3* orthologs were quantified by RT real-time PCR and normalized to 25S ribosomal RNA. Values represent the average of three independent experiments and error bars indicate average deviations.

Met31/32 bound to AAACTGTGGC (9,11,16). The present study introduces a novel mechanism of recruitment of Met4 to promoters and shed new lights on the properties of bZIP, bHLH and zinc finger factors.

One remarkable characteristic of the mechanism of Met4 association with *PDC6* is that it involves non-canonical sites for both Cbf1 and the Met31/32 pair. Using the motif research software MEME (34,35), we were able to identify sequences matching the Met31/32 motif characterized by Blaiseau *et al.* (11) upstream of all Met4-regulated genes involved in the sulfur metabolism (see Supplemental Figure S2A). The logo derived from these sequences shows a bipartite motif consisting of a highly conserved CTGTGGC box preceded by a short A-rich stretch (see Supplemental Figure S2C). Therefore,

*PDC6* stands clearly apart from *MET* genes in that it contains two CTGTGGC boxes with no adjacent A-residues (sites #1 and #2; Figure 3A).

Our *in vitro* DNA-binding studies show that both Met31 and Met32 are able to bind *PDC6* by their own (see Figure 4), which means that the A-rich stretch flanking the CTGTGGC box is not an essential determinant for Met31/32 binding. In line with this conclusion, the Met31/32 binding site identified by Kellis *et al.* (28) in their comparative analysis of *Saccharomyces* species is the sequence SKGTGGS (where S = C/G and K = G/T). Several lines of evidence indicate that the nucleotides surrounding the CTGTGGC sites have nevertheless decisive importance. First, sites #1 and #2 are not functionally equivalent *in vivo* since deletion of site #1 is

sufficient to completely stop PDC6 transcription, whereas deletion of site #2 leads to a decrease in transcription (Figure 3). Secondly, Met31 can bind both sites in vitro whereas Met32 can bind only site #1 (Figure 4). Thirdly, Met31 and Met32 have less affinity for PDC6 than for MET3 which contains the canonical AAACTGTGGC sequence (Figure 4), strongly suggesting that the AAA stretch in front of CTGTGGC stabilizes Met31 and Met32 DNA binding. Our finding that insertion of AAA in front of the CTGTGGC sites of PDC6 is sufficient to restore PDC6 transcription in the met32*A* strain highlights the functional importance of the flanking sequences (Figure 12). Therefore, the absence of A-stretch may serve to delimit among Met4-regulated genes a subset of genes strictly dependent on Met32, thereby offering the possibility of differential regulation. Interestingly, these results remind the earlier observation that deletion of MET32 can suppress the Met4-dependent cell cycle arrest caused by MET30 inactivation (25), whereas deletion of MET31 cannot, leading to the suggestion that Met32 had a distinctive role in the regulation of genes involved in the cell cycle control [see ref. (36)]. In light of our results with PDC6, it is tempting to speculate that the unidentified genes that are deregulated in the met $30\Delta$  strain contain CTGTGGC boxes in their promoter.

It is striking that Met31 cannot support PDC6 transcription since it binds both CTGTGGC sites in vitro (Figure 4). The possibility that Met31 would not bind PDC6 in vivo seems unlikely if one considers that Met31 has a stimulating role on *PDC6* transcription (Figure 1A). Moreover, the fact that inactivation of Met31 has a similar effect as deletion of the distal CTGTGGC box (compare Figures 1A and 3A) strongly suggests a functional relationship. A more likely explanation is that Met31 bound to CTGTGGC does not form an adequate platform to recruit Met4, whereas Met31 bound to AAAC TGTGGC does, possibly because the presence of the A-stretch stabilizes the DNA-Met31-Met4 complex (see model Figure 14B). Therefore, the only role of Met31 at PDC6 would be to stimulate or facilitate Met32 binding to DNA. These results offer a novel example of interplay between two homologous zinc finger proteins. They also show for the first time that Met31 and Met32 have distinct binding specificities despite the high homology of their DNA-binding domains (40 identical residues plus three conservative changes in the 51-amino acid long domain containing the two C2H2 motifs).

Another interesting characteristic of *PDC6* regulation concerns the bHLH factor Cbf1. We have found that Cbf1 associates with *PDC6* promoter and plays an essential role in its transcription (Figures 1, 5 and 6). However, its association does not occur through the sequence TCAC GTG classically found upstream of *MET* genes (see Supplementary Figure S2) but, at least in part, through the related sequence TCACGTT. Noteworthily, this sequence does not include the palindromic motif CANNTG characteristic of bHLH transcription factors. A parallel can be made with the other yeast bHLH transcription factor Pho4, which recognizes both the sequences CACGTG and CACGTT found in *PHO* 



**Figure 14.** Models for Met4 recruitment to *MET* (**A**) versus *PDC6* (**B**) promoters. Met4 recruitment involves direct interactions with Cbf1 (through the bZIP domain) and Met31 or Met32 (through the 'Int' domain). Met28 associates with Met4 bZIP and participates in Met4 recruitment through stabilization of the Met4–Cbf1–DNA complex (see text for details and references). The question marks at the extremity of the arrows originating from Met4 bZIP indicate that, even though direct interactions with promoter DNA are likely [see ref. (16)], the precise points of contact remain to be established. At *PDC6* promoter, Met31 and Met32 bind to two distinct sites. Met4 recruitment to this promoter requires interaction with Met32 specifically, and Met31 participates by assisting Met32 association with its binding site. The width of the arrows pointing toward Cbf1 and Met31/32 DNA binding sites translate the strength of the interaction, which differs between *MET* and *PDC6* promoters.

promoters (37). It is important to note that Cbf1 and Pho4 have slightly different specificities of DNA binding, as illustrated by the fact that, in PHO promoters, CACGT (G/T) motifs are preferentially flanked by a 5'G residue (37) and, the presence of a 5'T residue dramatically affects Pho4 binding (38). The gel shift assays indicates that Cbf1 has comparable affinities for CACGTT and CACGTG in vitro (Figure 5). This is quite unexpected considering that, in the existing crystal structures, the outer C:G base pair makes critical contacts with amino acid residues conserved among bHLH factors, including Cbf1 (39-42). On the other hand, our experiment with the Met4-Cbf1 chimera suggests that the bHLH of Cbf1 does not associate strongly with the CACGTT motif found in PDC6 promoter in vivo, as evidenced by the important decrease in PDC6 transcription when Met4 bZIP is replaced by Cbf1 bHLH, whereas transcription of MET genes is not much affected (Figure 7). Therefore, the CAC GTT sequence does not seem to bind Cbf1 bHLH as efficiently as the CACGTG sequence in vivo. It is also interesting to note that deletion of the CACGTT

sequence has only a modest effect on *PDC6* activation compared to inactivation of Cbf1, which suggests an additional mechanism of recruitment that does not involve a classical DNA-binding site.

A last point addressed by our study concerns the role of Met4 bZIP in assembly of the Cbf1/Met4/Met31/32 complex. The experiment with the chimera shows that a direct connection between Met4 and the bHLH of Cbf1 can bypass the need for Met4 bZIP at MET genes but not at PDC6 (Figure 7). This result, in combination with our previous results (10,16), strongly suggests that Met4 makes direct contacts with DNA, most likely as a heterodimer with Met28, and these contacts are critical for the stability of the Cbf1/Met4/Met28/Met31/Met32 complex when binding sites for Met31/32 and Cbf1 are not optimal. Yet it cannot be fully excluded at this point that the N-terminal part of Cbf1, which is absent in the Met4-Cbf1 chimera, may have a role in the binding of Cbf1 to PDC6. All these show that Met4 recruitment to its target genes involves a sophisticated network of protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions (see model Figure 14).

# An original mechanism allowing transcriptional plasticity within a regulon

One of the major questions regarding the regulation of the sulfur metabolism in yeast concerns the number of cofactors required to recruit its transcriptional activator and the reason for such complexity has remained speculative. This study presents data providing possible answers to this question. Indeed, we show that Met4 can be differentially recruited to its target promoters (Figure 11), with as a result differences in both the timing and the threshold of transcription induction among the genes it regulates (Figures 8 and 9). Moreover, we also provide evidence that this differential recruitment most likely originates from the structure of the binding sites for Met4 cofactors (Figure 12B). Although PDC6 offers the most striking example of differential regulation among Met4-dependent genes, it is not the only one. The genes SUL1 and AGP3, encoding transporters for, respectively, sulfate and methionine, are also activated with a delay compared to other MET genes (Figure 8); and as for PDC6, SUL1 and AGP3 contain non-canonical sites for Cbf1 and Met31/32 (see Supplementary Figures S2 and S3). Interestingly, Met4 controls one other sulfate transporter (SUL2) and two other methionine transporters (MUP1 and MUP3), which are all activated following kinetics comparable to other MET genes (Figure 8), leading to the suggestion that the delay in SUL1 and AGP3 transcription reflects a cellular strategy of gradual expression of sulfate and methionine transporters. Altogether these results provide an original example of how transcriptional plasticity can be achieved within a network of coordinately expressed genes using a single activator recruited to promoters through multiple DNA-binding cofactors.

# Met4-dependent regulation of *PDC6* could result from a recent evolution event

It is most likely that the Met4 regulon has emerged before WGD that occurred in hemiascomycetes the 100-million-years-ago (43,44). Indeed, orthologs of Met4 and its DNA-binding cofactors Cbf1, Met28 and Met31/ 32 are also present in several species which diverged from S. cerevisiae prior SGD, for example Kluvveromyces lactis. Search of putative DNA-binding sites for Cbf1 and Met31/32 upstream of MET genes in K. lactis reveals that most contain the exact TCACGTG motif as well as sequences matching the CTGTGGC box (see Supplementary Figure S4). Interestingly, compared to S. cerevisiae, A-residues are less frequent in front of the CTG TGGC boxes found in MET promoters of K. lactis Supplementary Figures S2 (compare and S4). Considering that K. lactis contains only one ortholog of Met31/32 (KLLA0D11902g), one can hypothesize that after duplication of the ancestral MET31/32 gene, one copy has specialized in regulation of the sulfur metabolism, whereas the other has kept a broader range of action.

*PDC6* is a more recent addition to the Met4 regulon. Indeed, *PDC6* was not present in the yeast ancestor that existed prior to WGD and, therefore, one can infer that it was gained on the *S. cerevisiae* lineage since WGD (29). Moreover, our results show that *PDC6* orthologs in *S. cerevisiae* close relatives *S. paradoxus*, *S. bayanus* and *C. glabrata* are only weakly, or not at all, induced upon cadmium exposure (Figure 13), which suggests that *PDC6* was added to the Met4 regulon during the recent history of the *S. cerevisiae* lineage. These results provide a new example of evolution of a transcriptional regulatory network.

### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Jean Labarre for discussions and advices throughout this study; Rick Young for strains; Mike Tyers for antibodies; and Thierry Lacombe and Eileen Poh for critical reading of the manuscript.

### FUNDING

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and grants from Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer. program ToxNuc-E and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (to L.C.). Funding for open access charge: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Thomas, D. and Surdin-Kerjan, Y. (1997) Metabolism of sulfur amino acids in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 61, 503–532.
- Thomas, D., Jacquemin, I. and Surdin-Kerjan, Y. (1992) MET4, a leucine zipper protein, and centromere-binding factor 1 are both required for transcriptional activation of sulfur metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, 12, 1719–1727.
- Dormer, U.H., Westwater, J., McLaren, N.F., Kent, N.A., Mellor, J. and Jamieson, D.J. (2000) Cadmium-inducible expression of the yeast GSH1 gene requires a functional sulfur-amino acid regulatory network. J. Biol. Chem., 275, 32611–32616.
- 4. Rouillon, A., Barbey, R., Patton, E.E., Tyers, M. and Thomas, D. (2000) Feedback-regulated degradation of the transcriptional activator Met4 is triggered by the SCF(Met30)complex. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 282–294.
- Kaiser, P., Flick, K., Wittenberg, C. and Reed, S.I. (2000) Regulation of transcription by ubiquitination without proteolysis: Cdc34/SCF(Met30)-mediated inactivation of the transcription factor Met4. *Cell*, **102**, 303–314.
- Kuras, L., Rouillon, A., Lee, T., Barbey, R., Tyers, M. and Thomas, D. (2002) Dual regulation of the met4 transcription factor by ubiquitin-dependent degradation and inhibition of promoter recruitment. *Mol. Cell*, **10**, 69–80.
- Menant,A., Baudouin-Cornu,P., Peyraud,C., Tyers,M. and Thomas,D. (2006) Determinants of the ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the Met4 transcription factor. J. Biol. Chem., 281, 11744–11754.
- Leroy, C., Cormier, L. and Kuras, L. (2006) Independent recruitment of mediator and SAGA by the activator Met4. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, 26, 3149–3163.
- Blaiseau, P.L. and Thomas, D. (1998) Multiple transcriptional activation complexes tether the yeast activator Met4 to DNA. *EMBO J.*, 17, 6327–6336.
- Kuras, L., Cherest, H., Surdin-Kerjan, Y. and Thomas, D. (1996) Independent recruitment of mediator and SAGA by the activator Met4. *EMBO J.*, 15, 2519–2529.
- Blaiseau, P.L., Isnard, A.D., Surdin-Kerjan, Y. and Thomas, D. (1997) Met31p and Met32p, two related zinc finger proteins, are involved in transcriptional regulation of yeast sulfur amino acid metabolism. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **17**, 3640–3648.
- Mellor, J., Jiang, W., Funk, M., Rathjen, J., Barnes, C.A., Hinz, T., Hegemann, J.H. and Philippsen, P. (1990) CPF1, a yeast protein which functions in centromeres and promoters. *EMBO J.*, 9, 4017–4026.
- Baker, R.E. and Masison, D.C. (1990) Isolation of the gene encoding the Saccharomyces cerevisiae centromere-binding protein CP1. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, 10, 2458–2467.
- Cai,M. and Davis,R.W. (1990) Yeast centromere binding protein CBF1, of the helix-loop-helix protein family, is required for chromosome stability and methionine prototrophy. *Cell*, 61, 437–446.
- Hieter, P., Pridmore, D., Hegemann, J.H., Thomas, M., Davis, R.W. and Philippsen, P. (1985) Functional selection and analysis of yeast centromeric DNA. *Cell*, 42, 913–921.
- 16. Kuras, L., Barbey, R. and Thomas, D. (1997) A heteromeric complex containing the centromere binding factor 1 and two basic leucine zipper factors, Met4 and Met28, mediates the transcription activation of yeast sulfur metabolism. *EMBO J.*, 16, 2441–2451.
- Fauchon, M., Lagniel, G., Aude, J.C., Lombardia, L., Soularue, P., Petat, C., Marguerie, G., Sentenac, A., Werner, M. and Labarre, J. (2002) Sulfur sparing in the yeast proteome in response to sulfur demand. *Mol. Cell*, 9, 713–723.
- Momose,Y. and Iwahashi,H. (2001) Bioassay of cadmium using a DNA microarray: genome-wide expression patterns of Saccharomyces cerevisiae response to cadmium. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 20, 2353–2360.
- Baudouin-Cornu, P. and Labarre, J. (2006) Molecular evolution of protein atomic composition. *Biochimie*, 88, 1673–1685.

- Barbey, R., Baudouin-Cornu, P., Lee, T.A., Rouillon, A., Zarzov, P., Tyers, M. and Thomas, D. (2005) Inducible dissociation of SCF(Met30) ubiquitin ligase mediates a rapid transcriptional response to cadmium. *EMBO J.*, 24, 521–532.
- Yen, J.L., Su, N.Y. and Kaiser, P. (2005) The yeast ubiquitin ligase SCFMet30 regulates heavy metal response. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 16, 1872–1882.
- Longtine, M.S., McKenzie, A. 3rd, Demarini, D.J., Shah, N.G., Wach, A., Brachat, A., Philippsen, P. and Pringle, J.R. (1998) Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast*, 14, 953–961.
- Kuras, L. and Thomas, D. (1995) Identification of the yeast methionine biosynthetic genes that require the centromere binding factor 1 for their transcriptional activation. *FEBS Lett.*, 367, 15–18.
- 24. Iyer,V. and Struhl,K. (1996) Absolute mRNA levels and transcriptional initiation rates in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **93**, 5208–5212.
- Patton,E.E., Peyraud,C., Rouillon,A., Surdin-Kerjan,Y., Tyers,M. and Thomas,D. (2000) SCF(Met30)-mediated control of the transcriptional activator Met4 is required for the G(1)-S transition. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 1613–1624.
- 26. Haugen,A.C., Kelley,R., Collins,J.B., Tucker,C.J., Deng,C., Afshari,C.A., Brown,J.M., Ideker,T. and Van Houten,B. (2004) Integrating phenotypic and expression profiles to map arsenic-response networks. *Genome Biol.*, 5, R95.
- Jin,Y.H., Dunlap,P.E., McBride,S.J., Al-Refai,H., Bushel,P.R. and Freedman,J.H. (2008) Global transcriptome and deletome profiles of yeast exposed to transition metals. *PLoS Genet.*, 4, e1000053.
- Kellis, M., Patterson, N., Endrizzi, M., Birren, B. and Lander, E.S. (2003) Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome duplication in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nature*, **423**, 241–254.
- Gordon, J.L., Byrne, K.P. and Wolfe, K.H. (2009) Additions, losses, and rearrangements on the evolutionary route from a reconstructed ancestor to the modern Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. *PLoS Genet.*, 5, e1000485.
- Menant, A., Barbey, R. and Thomas, D. (2006) Substrate-mediated remodeling of methionine transport by multiple ubiquitin-dependent mechanisms in yeast cells. *EMBO J.*, 25, 4436–4447.
- Kaur, J. and Bachhawat, A.K. (2007) Yct1p, a novel, high-affinity, cysteine-specific transporter from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics*, 176, 877–890.
- 32. Hazelwood,L.A., Daran,J.M., van Maris,A.J., Pronk,J.T. and Dickinson,J.R. (2008) The Ehrlich pathway for fusel alcohol production: a century of research on Saccharomyces cerevisiae metabolism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **74**, 2259–2266.
- Baudouin-Cornu, P., Surdin-Kerjan, Y., Marliere, P. and Thomas, D. (2001) Molecular evolution of protein atomic composition. *Science*, 293, 297–300.
- 34. Bailey, T.L. and Elkan, C. (1994) Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.*, 2, 28–36.
- Bailey, T.L., Williams, N., Misleh, C. and Li, W.W. (2006) MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34, W369–W373.
- Kaiser, P., Su, N.Y., Yen, J.L., Ouni, I. and Flick, K. (2006) The yeast ubiquitin ligase SCFMet30: connecting environmental and intracellular conditions to cell division. *Cell Div.*, 1, 16.
- Oshima, Y., Ogawa, N. and Harashima, S. (1996) Regulation of phosphatase synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae–a review. *Gene*, 179, 171–177.
- 38. Fisher, F. and Goding, C.R. (1992) Single amino acid substitutions alter helix-loop-helix protein specificity for bases flanking the core CANNTG motif. *EMBO J.*, **11**, 4103–4109.
- Ferre-D'Amare, A.R., Prendergast, G.C., Ziff, E.B. and Burley, S.K. (1993) Recognition by Max of its cognate DNA through a dimeric b/HLH/Z domain. *Nature*, 363, 38–45.

- Ellenberger, T., Fass, D., Arnaud, M. and Harrison, S.C. (1994) Crystal structure of transcription factor E47: E-box recognition by a basic region helix-loop-helix dimer. *Genes Dev.*, 8, 970–980.
- Shimizu, T., Toumoto, A., Ihara, K., Shimizu, M., Kyogoku, Y., Ogawa, N., Oshima, Y. and Hakoshima, T. (1997) Crystal structure of PHO4 bHLH domain-DNA complex: flanking base recognition. *EMBO J.*, **16**, 4689–4697.
- Ferre-D'Amare, A.R., Pognonec, P., Roeder, R.G. and Burley, S.K. (1994) Structure and function of the b/HLH/Z domain of USF. *EMBO J.*, 13, 180–189.
- 43. Kellis, M., Birren, B.W. and Lander, E.S. (2004) Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome duplication in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nature*, **428**, 617–624.
- 44. Wolfe,K.H. and Shields,D.C. (1997) Molecular evidence for an ancient duplication of the entire yeast genome. *Nature*, **387**, 708–713.
- 45. Harbison, C.T., Gordon, D.B., Lee, T.I., Rinaldi, N.J., Macisaac, K.D., Danford, T.W., Hannett, N.M., Tagne, J.B., Reynolds, D.B., Yoo, J. *et al.* (2004) Transcriptional regulatory code of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature*, **431**, 99–104.