

Reversible or Irreversible Catalysis of H+/H2 Conversion by FeFe Hydrogenases

Andrea Fasano, Henrik Land, Vincent Fourmond, Gustav Berggren,

Christophe Léger

► To cite this version:

Andrea Fasano, Henrik Land, Vincent Fourmond, Gustav Berggren, Christophe Léger. Reversible or Irreversible Catalysis of H+/H2 Conversion by FeFe Hydrogenases. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2021, 10.1021/jacs.1c09554. hal-03445761

HAL Id: hal-03445761 https://hal.science/hal-03445761

Submitted on 24 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reversible or irreversible catalysis of H⁺/H₂ conversion by FeFe hydrogenases

Andrea Fasano^a, Henrik Land^b, Vincent Fourmond^a, Gustav Berggren^b, Christophe Léger^{a*}

a. Laboratoire de Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines, CNRS, Aix Marseille Université, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, Institut Microbiologie, Bioénergies et Biotechnologie, 31 ch. Joseph Aiguier, 13009 Marseille, France.
b. Molecular Biomimetics, Department of Chemistry-Ångström, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Box-523, 751 20, Sweden.
* christophe.leger@imm.cnrs.fr

ABSTRACT: Studies of molecular catalysts traditionally aim at understanding how a certain mechanism allows the reaction to be fast. A distinct question, which has only recently received attention in the case of bidirectional molecular catalysts, is how much thermodynamic driving force is required to achieve fast catalysis in either direction of the reaction. 'Reversible' catalysts are bidirectional catalysts that work either way in response to even a small departure from equilibrium and thus do not waste input free energy as heat; conversely, 'irreversible' catalysts require a large driving force to proceed at an appreciable rate [Fourmond *et al.*, Nat. Rev. Chem. 2021, 5, 348–360]. Numerous mechanistic rationales for these contrasting behaviours have been proposed. To understand the determinants of catalytic (ir)reversibility, we examined the steady-state, direct-electron transfer voltammetry of a particular FeFe hydrogenase, from *Thermoanaerobacter mathranii*, which is very unusual in that it *irreversibly* catalyses H₂ oxidation and production: a large overpotential is required for the reaction to proceed in either direction [Land *et al.*, Chem. Sci. 2020, 11, 12789–12801]. In contrast with previous hypotheses, we demonstrate that in this particular enzyme, catalytic irreversibility can be explained without invoking slow interfacial electron transfer or variations in the mechanism: the observed kinetics is fully consistent with the same catalytic pathway being used in both directions of the reaction.

Introduction

Hydrogenases are metalloenzymes that occur in most microorganisms, where they catalyse the oxidation or evolution of molecular hydrogen, a very important energy vector in the bacterial world. A major motivation for studying these enzymes is certainly that the knowledge we acquire will prove useful for designing the catalysts we need to produce hydrogen in a clean process and to oxidize it in affordable fuel cells. Their organometallic cofactors have inspired inorganic chemists,¹ and their functional diversity also defines the realm of the possible in terms of molecular catalysis of H⁺/H₂ conversion. Indeed, the hydrogenases purified from distinct microorganisms (which have the same active sites but distinct amino acid sequences, quaternary structures and cofactor contents) exhibit distinct catalytic properties, which appear to be modulated by structural elements that are remote from the active site. This diversity of catalytic properties is observed in relation to catalytic rates, but also "catalytic bias" (the ratio of the maximal rates of H₂ oxidation and evolution measured under very oxidizing or very reducing conditions, respectively),²⁻¹⁰ and "catalytic reversibility". The latter is defined in relation to how much thermodynamic driving force (or overpotential, in an electrochemical experiment) is required to obtain a significant turnover frequency in either direction of the reaction.^{11–16} The distinction between bidirectional-reversible and bidirectional-irreversible catalysis is illustrated in Fig. 1A by the blue and red traces, respectively. In addition to fast turnover, reversibility is a desirable property of any practical device that one may design and use for catalysis, because it minimizes the amount of energy that the system wastes as heat; for example, catalytic reversibility allows the voltage of fuels cells to approach the thermodynamic limit.¹⁷ The molecular determinants of reversibility in molecular catalysis have been discussed only rather recently.

FeFe hydrogenases catalyse H^+/H_2 conversion at an active site which consists of a dinuclear cluster of Fe covalently attached to a 4Fe4S cluster.¹ These enzymes embed a variable number of accessory FeS clusters: none in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*Cr*) HydA1 and four in *Clostridium acetobutylicum* (*Ca*) HydA,¹⁸ where they mediate long range intramolecular electron transfer. The bidirectional catalytic cycle involves various states that have been

spectroscopically characterized,^{1,19,20} mostly under equilibrium conditions; kinetic studies of the catalytic cycle are much rarer.^{21,22}

Having the hydrogenase or any other redox enzyme adsorbed onto, and directly exchanging electrons with, a rotating disc electrode makes it possible to easily observe how the catalytic rate in either direction, measured as a current, depends on the thermodynamic driving force, which is set by the electrode potential (Fig. 1).²³ All FeFe hydrogenases that had been characterized using direct electrochemistry until recently demonstrated reversible H₂ oxidation and production. The FeFe hydrogenase HydS from *Thermoanaerobacter mathranii* (*Tam*) is unusual in that respect, since it is a rare example of an irreversible bidirectional biological catalyst.²⁴

Tam HydS is a putative sensory FeFe hydrogenase.²⁵ In this enzyme, like in the sensory hydrogenase HydS from *Thermotoga maritima* $(Tm)^{26}$, several residues that were found to be very crucial for the function of prototypical FeFe hydrogenases (those in the so-called group A²⁵) are not conserved. Of particular note is the apparent loss of the proton transfer pathway that is strictly conserved in prototypical FeFe hydrogenases and of two conserved methionine residues that flank the H-cluster (Figure 1 in ref. ²⁴), as well as the presence of a C-terminal 4Fe4S cluster.

Hydrogenase catalytic responses less reversible than those of standard hydrogenases have also been observed for *Clostridium pasteurianum* hydrogenase CpII,²⁷ and the E144D variant of *Cr* HydA1.¹⁶ Similarly, reversible or irreversible bidirectional electrochemical responses have been reported for some of the synthetic, biomimetic complexes that catalyse H⁺/H₂ bidirectional conversion.¹³

Regarding the origin of this (ir)reversibility, a hypothesis was that irreversibility may be a consequence of slow *interfacial* electron transfer (iET) between the electrode and the catalyst;¹⁵ slow iET does flatten the electrochemical response to the point that it may become irreversible (see SI section S3), but kinetic models have explained that irreversibility is also possible when iET is Nernstian (i.e. infinitely fast).^{12,28} In the inorganic chemistry community, catalytic reversibility is sometimes considered to result from the "energy landscape" of the reaction being ideally flat;^{13,29} however, we have shown that rate, directionality (or "catalytic bias"^{2–10}) and

reversibility do not obey the same design principles, and "flatness" is actually not required to achieve reversibility: on the contrary, there are examples of rough energy landscapes that allow reversible catalysis,¹¹ and under certain conditions, making the energy landscape "less flat" may actually increase reversibility.¹² Last, based on the observation that the substitution of a residue involved in long range proton transfer in Cr FeFe hydrogenase makes the catalytic response less reversible, it has been proposed that irreversibility could be the consequence of proton and electron transfers to the active site being uncoupled in the variant, with the consequence that the reaction proceeds through different mechanisms in the oxidative and reductive parts of the irreversible catalytic response.¹⁶ The use of different mechanisms for the forward and reverse reactions had previously been assumed to be a characteristic of bidirectional, irreversible catalysis,¹³ but in theory, irreversibility could also occur with an "ordered" mechanism¹². (according to the enzymology terminology, "ordered" means that the catalytic mechanism is defined by a unique sequence of events, which is the same, clockwise or anticlockwise, in the two directions of the reaction, whereas in the so-called "random"³⁰ or "branched"¹² mechanisms, distinct pathways are possible).

The vast majority of the electrochemical literature on molecular catalysis has focused on unidirectional reactions, but theoretical models of *bidirectional*, two-electron, multi-step redox catalysis have recently been developed.^{11,12,14,28,31} Unlike kinetic models of unidirectional catalysis, they include the thermodynamic constraints that result from all steps in the cycles being bidirectional. Here we contribute to identifying the reasons catalysts function irreversibly or reversibly by using these kinetic models to quantitatively interpret the irreversible catalytic response of *Tam* FeFe hydrogenase.

Methods

Samples of Tam HydS were prepared as described in ref.²⁴.

The anaerobic electrochemical experiments were performed in a Jacomex glovebox filled with N₂, using a Metrohm Autolab potentiostat and a Pine electrode rotator. The electrochemical experiments were conducted in a mixed buffer containing MES, CHES, HEPES, TAPS, Na acetate (all 5mM) and Na₂SO₄ (0.1M). The buffers contained no chloride, to prevent any high potential inactivation of the enzyme.³²

Films of *Tam* HydS were made by polishing the pyrolytic graphite edge (PGE)³³ surface of a homemade rotating disc electrode (RDE) with alumina (1 μ m, Buehler) and P1200 sandpaper. The electrode was then sonicated and 1 μ L of HydS 5 μ M (in phosphate buffer 0.1 M pH 7) was painted on the electrode surface together with 1 μ L of polymyxin 20 mg/mL. The geometric electrode surface was approximately 0.1 cm²

To measure the H^+/H_2 equilibrium potential, we used a platinized PGE RDE prepared as described in ref.³⁴. All potentials were measured with respect to a saturated calomel electrode, and then corrected to the SHE using $E_{SHE} = E_{SCE} + 244$ mV.

The data were analysed with the open-source, in-house program Qsoas (gsoas.org).³⁵

Results and discussion

Figure 1B illustrates the peculiar catalytic response of *Tam* hydrogenase by comparing the shapes of the steady-state cyclic voltammograms (CVs) obtained with three homologous FeFe hydrogenases under identical conditions of T, pH and H₂ concentration. In all three cases, the current is zero at the Nernst potential of the H⁺/H₂ couple (E_{eq}) and catalysis is bidirectional. The CVs of *Cr* HydA1³¹ (green trace) and *Ca* HydA³⁶ (blue) illustrate the "standard", reversible catalytic response, with a sharp intersection with the E-axis. The well characterized FeFe

hydrogenases from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and *Megasphaera elsdenii* (including a truncated form) also give reversible responses under conditions of direct electron transfer (see e.g. refs ^{3,17}). In contrast, H₂ oxidation or production by *Tam* HydS (red trace) occurs only in response to a large overpotential. This is true irrespective of pH (Fig. 2 and SI Fig. 1) and temperature (from 10 to 70°C, Fig. 8A in ref. ²⁴).

This comparison shows that, at least in FeFe hydrogenases, (ir)reversibility is not simply dependent on the presence of accessory FeS clusters.



Figure 1. Reversible (blue) and irreversible (red) catalysis, as detected from the shape of the steady-state catalytic voltammograms (CVs) of redox catalysts. A: Nernstian CVs illustrating the difference between reversible and irreversible voltammetry. B: CVs obtained with various FeFe hydrogenases adsorbed onto a rotating disc electrode, under conditions of direct electron transfer and in the absence of mass transport limitation. Red: Tam HydS (this work); blue: C. acetobutylicum FeFe hydrogenase (Ca HydA, replotted from ref³⁶); green: C. reinhardtii FeFe hydrogenase (Cr HydA1, from ref³¹). pH 7, 30°C, scan rate v = 20 mV/s (Ca HydA and Cr HydA1), or 5 mV/s (Tam HydS), electrode rotation rate $\omega = 3$ krpm.

We recorded catalytic voltammograms with *Tam* HydS adsorbed at a rotating disc graphite electrode, under one atm. of H_2 and over a wide range of pH (the black traces in row A of Fig. 2 show the CVs from pH 6.6 to pH 8.1, see also SI Fig. 1 for pH 5 and pH 6). To prevent interference from mass transport of H_2 to or from the electrode, the electrode was spun at a high rotation rate, and to ensure steady-state, the data were collected at a slow scan rate.

We fitted to all of these data the generic rate equation for two-electron catalysis by an adsorbed catalyst, which is valid for any two-electron multi-step ordered mechanism, irrespective of the exact number and the order of the steps in the catalytic cycle.¹² The ideal wave shape when interfacial electron transfer (iET) is very fast is a pair of Nernstian sigmoids, which is clearly not the case here; therefore, the model must take into account slow (non-Nernstian) iET and a distribution of iET rates, resulting from a distribution of orientations of the enzyme molecules on the electrode.^{28,31,37} This generic rate equation (SI section 4) defines two "catalytic potentials", $E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{ox}}$ and $E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{red}}$, that correspond to the mid-point potentials of the oxidative and reductive catalytic waves in the limit of fast iET (Fig. 1A). These catalytic potentials *are not* the thermodynamic reduction potentials of the active site.¹² The difference $E^{\text{vat}}_{\text{red}}$ - $E^{\text{vat}}_{\text{red}}$ measures the intrinsic irreversibility of the electrochemical response (the larger ΔE , the less reversible the response) in the Nernstian limit. The actual separation between the two waves may increase as a result of slow iET (see SI section S3).

The hypothesis that the mechanism is ordered has a direct consequence:^{11,12,28} the catalytic bias (defined as the ratio of the limiting currents in the two directions of the reaction) is simply related to the difference between the Nernst potential of the H^+/H_2 couple and the *average* catalytic potential.

$$i_{ox}^{lim}/i_{red}^{lim} = \exp(2F/RT([E_{ox}^{cat} + E_{red}^{cat}]/2-E_{eq}))$$
 (1)

Since the catalytic current of *Tam* HydS is zero in a large potential window around E_{eq} , the catalytic CV does not indicate the value of the Nernst potential (E_{eq}) of the H⁺/H₂ couple, contrary to what is seen with other, reversible hydrogenases. Thus, while recording each catalytic CV, we measured the value of E_{eq} using a platinized graphite electrode.³⁴ We then used eq. 1 as a constraint in the fitting procedure, to decrease the number of adjustable parameters (SI section 5). The actual magnitude of the current in either direction (i^{\lim}_{red}) has no relevance in this analysis, as it is dependent on the electroactive coverage of enzyme; what matters here is the *shape* of the CV, which depends on the two catalytic potentials, either E_{eq} or the ratio i^{\lim}_{red} (one or the other, since the two are related by eq. 1) and the broadening that result from iET kinetics.

The goal of our analysis was to determine (1) whether or not the catalytic waveshape of *Tam* HydS is consistent with an ordered mechanism, and (2) whether the observed irreversibility arises from intrinsic properties of the catalytic cycle or from slow iET. The answers are unambiguous.

(1) At all pH values, the best fit (shown in red in Fig. 2 and SI Fig. 1) is very satisfactory (considering the simplicity of the model, the strong constraint given by eq. 1, and the fact that we

simultaneously fitted the model to the data and their derivatives). This implies that an ordered mechanism accounts for the irreversible shape of the voltammetry of *Tam* HydS; there is no reason to invoke differing catalytic mechanisms for H₂ oxidation and production under oxidizing and reducing conditions, respectively. The same is true for *M. elsdenii* FeFe hydrogenase, which gives a *reversible* catalytic response at all pH (see ref. ³).

(2) The shape of the catalytic wave recorded with Tam HydS (e.g. red trace in Fig. 1B) clearly deviates from the ideal sigmoidal waveshape that would be observed if iET were infinitely fast (Fig. 1A). Slow iET is known to flatten the catalytic response, to the point that a reversible catalyst may appear irreversible if iET is sufficiently slow (as illustrated in SI section 3).²⁸ We therefore sought to discriminate between two hypotheses: either the catalytic wave is *intrinsically* irreversible (meaning that it would also be irreversible even if iET were infinitely fast), or it is intrinsically reversible but rendered irreversible by slow iET. The answer comes from fitting the generic current equation and examining the best values of the catalytic potentials, $E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{cat}}$ and $E_{\text{red}}^{\text{cat}}$ which would be the positions of the two waves if iET were Nernstian. A positive ΔE = E_{ox}^{cat} - E_{red}^{cat} indicates that the oxidative catalytic wave is at greater potential than the reductive wave, and the current is zero in between (the catalytic response is intrinsically irreversible even in the Nernstian limit). A negative ΔE occurs when the catalytic wave is a single two-electron sigmoid, which sharply cuts the X-axis at $E = E_{eq}$ (reversible catalytic response). We found that at every pH, the best values of $E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{ox}}$ and $E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{red}}$ were such that $\Delta E = E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{ox}} - E^{\text{cat}}_{\text{red}}$ is large and positive ($\Delta E \approx 300 \text{ mV}$, Fig. 3A). Forcing ΔE to take a smaller value returned bad fits, where the distinctive features of the signal (the inflections of the current around E_{cat}) are not reproduced (this is exemplified in green and blue in Fig. 2 and SI Fig. 1, see rows C and D). This proves that the irreversibility of Tam HydS comes from intrinsic details of the catalytic cycle, not from interfacial electron transfer being sluggish.



Figure 2. Steady-state voltammetry of Tam HydS from pH 6.6 to 8.1 (left to right), under one atm of H_2 . The black traces in rows A and C show the data (current and di/dE, respectively). The signals shown are the average of the forward and backward, blank-subtracted voltammetric traces. The averaged forward/backward blank traces (recorded with no enzyme) are also shown (black dotted lines). See SI

sections S1,S 2 and S5 for the raw voltammograms, additional data, and details about data analysis. The colored lines are the simultaneous fits of the two-electron, multistep, ordered model to the i and di/dE traces, either letting free the difference between the two catalytic potentials $\Delta E = E^{cat}_{red}$ (red) or forcing ΔE to take values lower than optimal (blue and green). The residues of the fits are shown in rows B and D. Experimental conditions T = 40 °C, v = 2 mV/s (pH 6.6 and 7.4); v = 5 mV/s (pH 8.1), $\omega = 3000$ rpm.

the pH range 5 to 7.5, whereas E_{red}^{cat} is less pH dependent (-18 mV/pH between pH 5 and pH 7, -25 mV/pH over the entire pH range). This makes the difference between the average catalytic potential and E_{eq} increase about 24 mV/pH, and the catalytic bias in the direction of proton reduction increases by a factor of 6 per pH unit (Fig. 3B, calculated using eq. 1). Except for a much larger difference E_{ox}^{cat} - E_{red}^{cat} , all observations are similar to those made with the FeFe hydrogenase from *M. elsdenii*,³ which functions reversibly. This suggests that the difference between the catalytic properties of the two enzymes comes from small differences in the rates of some of the steps in the catalytic cycle, not from the sequence of redox and protonation events being qualitatively different.



Figure 3. Dependence on pH of the two catalytic potentials of Tam HydS (panel A, E^{cal}_{ox} in green, E^{cal}_{red} in blue, obtained by from the fit of the wave shapes as described in Fig. 2; the average potential in cyan; the line shows the Nernst potential of the H^+/H_2 couple, -62 mV/pH) and the catalytic bias (panel B). T = 40 °C, 1 atm. of H_2 . The 21 series of data points shown here were obtained from CVs recorded with 16 different protein films (some of which used at two different pH values), and the entire data set was acquired using three different electrodes; the dispersion of the data points indicates the level of reproducibility of the results.

In previous spectroscopic investigations of both Tm^{26} and Tam^{24} HydS hydrogenases, it has been observed that the reduction of the most oxidized form of the active site (H_{ox}) , occurs at higher potential than in standard hydrogenases, resulting in an increased thermodynamic stability of the one-electron reduced state. This stabilization may contribute to the larger separation between the two catalytic potentials, compared to standard hydrogenases. However, one should refrain from thinking that the two catalytic potentials in Fig. 3A are the thermodynamic redox potentials E^0 of the active site.¹² The catalytic potentials that define the catalytic waveshape take apparent values which depend on the two E^0 of the active site but potentially also on the kinetics of all steps in the catalytic cycle

As shown in Fig. 3A, E^{eat}_{ox} decreases about 58 mV per pH unit in (see e.g. Table 1 in ref.¹²). The proton transfer pathway in *Tam* HydS is completely different from that of prototypical (and reversible) FeFe hydrogenases.²⁴ These structural differences should affect the kinetics of long range proton transfer, which is probably enough for shifting the catalytic potentials and making the response irreversible. In support of this assumption is the previous observation that modifying the proton transfer chain in Cr HydA1 hydrogenase impairs reversibility,¹⁶ and the extensive work on the Dubois bio-inspired catalysts, which also demonstrated the importance of the proton relays for achieving reversible catalysis.¹³ Alternatively, the two catalytic potentials may be affected by the kinetics of long range electron transfer along the chain of accessory FeS clusters, on condition that intramolecular ET is rate determining in at least one direction of the reaction,³¹ but the pH-dependencies of the catalytic potentials of Tam HydS and the truncated form of M. elsdenii hydrogenase being the same argues against slow intramolecular ET causing irreversibility in HydS.

Conclusion

From the above analysis of the catalytic waveshape, we conclude that the irreversible voltammetry of Tam HydS is fully consistent with a single, ordered, clockwise/anticlockwise mechanism, and although slow iET does affect the shape of the voltammogram, it is not the reason the response is irreversible. The reversible catalytic waveshape of the FeFe hydrogenase from Megasphaera elsdenii is also well described by the ordered mechanism. However, in ref.¹², we observed that eq. 1 is not obeyed with certain reversible bidirectional synthetic catalysts of H₂ production and oxidation (which implies that their mechanisms involve multiple competing pathways). It is therefore clear that (ir)reversibility is not simply related to whether or not the catalytic cycle is ordered.

Understanding which details of the catalytic cycle of FeFe hydrogenases make their responses (ir)reversible will require that the values of the catalytic potentials be understood in relation to the thermodynamics of the catalytic intermediates, and the nature and rates of each of the bidirectional steps in the catalytic cycles.¹² Tackling this challenge will be critical to unravelling Nature's design principles for H₂ gas processing.

Associated content

Supplementary information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website. Section S1: Catalytic voltammetry of HydS at low pH. S2: CVs before and after blank subtraction. S3: Discussion of the effect of the rate of iET on the reversibility of the catalytic signal. S4: the generic current equation for two-electron catalysis by an adsorbed catalyst undergoing direct ET with the electrode. S5 and S6: data analysis.

Acknowledgements

The work in Marseille was supported by CNRS, Aix Marseille Université and the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University A*MIDEX, a French "Investissements d'Avenir" programme (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02). The French authors are part of the French bioinorganic chemistry network (frenchbic.org). They thank Frédérique Verger (AMU) for designing and blowing their electrochemical cells. The Swedish Energy Agency (G.B. 48574-1), Nordisk Foundation Novo (H.L contract the no. NNF19OC0055613) are acknowledged for funding.

References

- Kleinhaus, J. T.; Wittkamp, F.; Yadav, S.; Siegmund, D.; Apfel, U.-P. [FeFe]-Hydrogenases: Maturation and Reactivity of Enzymatic Systems and Overview of Biomimetic Models. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, *50*, 1668–1784.
- (2) Abou Hamdan, A.; Dementin, S.; Liebgott, P.-P.; Gutierrez-Sanz, O.; Richaud, P.; De Lacey, A. L.; Rousset, M.; Bertrand, P.; Cournac, L.; Léger, C. Understanding and Tuning the Catalytic Bias of Hydrogenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134* (20), 8368–8371.
- (3) Caserta, G.; Papini, C.; Adamska-Venkatesh, A.; Pecqueur, L.; Sommer, C.; Reijerse, E.; Lubitz, W.; Gauquelin, C.; Meynial-Salles, I.; Pramanik, D.; et al. Engineering an [FeFe]-Hydrogenase: Do Accessory Clusters Influence O2 Resistance and Catalytic Bias? J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140 (16), 5516–5526.
- (4) McIntosh, C. L.; Germer, F.; Schulz, R.; Appel, J.; Jones, A. K. The [NiFe]-Hydrogenase of the Cyanobacterium Synechocystis Sp. PCC 6803 Works Bidirectionally with a Bias to H2 Production. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (29), 11308–11319.
- (5) Lampret, O.; Adamska-Venkatesh, A.; Konegger, H.; Wittkamp, F.; Apfel, U.-P.; Reijerse, E. J.; Lubitz, W.; Rüdiger, O.; Happe, T.; Winkler, M. Interplay between CN Ligands and the Secondary Coordination Sphere of the H-Cluster in [FeFe]-Hydrogenases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139 (50), 18222–18230.
- (6) Adamson, H.; Robinson, M.; Wright, J. J.; Flanagan, L. A.; Walton, J.; Elton, D.; Gavaghan, D. J.; Bond, A. M.; Roessler, M. M.; Parkin, A. Retuning the Catalytic Bias and Overpotential of a [NiFe]-Hydrogenase via a Single Amino Acid Exchange at the Electron Entry/Exit Site. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139* (31), 10677–10686.
- (7) Rodríguez-Maciá, P.; Kertess, L.; Burnik, J.; Birrell, J. A.; Hofmann, E.; Lubitz, W.; Happe, T.; Rüdiger, O. His-Ligation to the [4Fe-4S] Sub-Cluster Tunes the Catalytic Bias of [FeFe] Hydrogenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141* (1), 472–481.
- (8) Therien, J. B.; Artz, J. H.; Poudel, S.; Hamilton, T. L.; Liu, Z.; Noone, S. M.; Adams, M. W. W.; King, P. W.; Bryant, D. A.; Boyd, E. S.; et al. The Physiological Functions and Structural Determinants of Catalytic Bias in the [FeFe]-Hydrogenases CpI and CpII of Strain W5. Front. Microbiol. 2017, 8, 1305.
- (9) Kertess, L.; Adamska-Venkatesh, A.; Rodríguez-Maciá, P.; Rüdiger, O.; Lubitz, W.; Happe, T. Influence of the [4Fe-4S] Cluster Coordinating Cysteines on Active Site Maturation and Catalytic Properties of [FeFe]-Hydrogenase. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8 (12), 8127–8137.
- (10) Mulder, D. W.; Peters, J. W.; Raugei, S. Catalytic Bias in Oxidation-Reduction Catalysis. *Chem. Commun.* 2021, 57 (6), 713–720.
- (11) Fourmond, V., Plumeré, N., Léger, C. Reversible Catalysis. Nat. Rev. Chem. 2021, 5, 348–360.
- (12) Fourmond, V.; Wiedner, E. S.; Shaw, W. J.; Léger, C. Understanding and Design of Bidirectional and Reversible Catalysts of Multielectron, Multistep Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (28), 11269–11285.
- (13) Dutta, A.; Appel, A. M.; Shaw, W. J. Designing Electrochemically Reversible H2 Oxidation and Production Catalysts. *Nature Reviews Chemistry* 2018, 2 (9), 244–252.
- (14) Costentin, C. Molecular Catalysis of Electrochemical Reactions. Overpotential and Turnover Frequency: Unidirectional and Bidirectional Systems. ACS Catal. 2021, 11 (9), 5678–5687.
- (15) Armstrong, F. A.; Hirst, J. Reversibility and Efficiency in Electrocatalytic Energy Conversion and Lessons from Enzymes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2011, *108* (34), 14049–14054.
- (16) Lampret, O.; Duan, J.; Hofmann, E.; Winkler, M.; Armstrong, F. A.; Happe, T. The Roles of Long-Range Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer in the Directionality and Efficiency of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2020**, *117*, 20520–20529.
- (17) Hardt, S.; Stapf, S.; Filmon, D. T.; Birrell, J. A.; Rüdiger, O.; Fourmond, V.; Léger, C.; Plumeré, N. Reversible H2 Oxidation and Evolution by Hydrogenase Embedded in a Redox Polymer Film. *Nature Catalysis* 2021, 4 (3), 251–258.
- (18) Artz, J. H.; Mulder, D. W.; Ratzloff, M. W.; Lubner, C. E.; Zadvornyy, O. A.; LeVan, A. X.; Williams, S. G.; Adams, M. W. W.; Jones, A. K.; King, P. W.; et al. Reduction Potentials of [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Accessory Iron-Sulfur Clusters Provide Insights into the Energetics of Proton Reduction Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139 (28), 9544–9550.
- (19) Land, H.; Senger, M.; Berggren, G.; Stripp, S. T. Current State of [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Research: Biodiversity and Spectroscopic Investigations. ACS Catal. 2020, 10 (13), 7069–7086.

- (20) Birrell, J. A.; Rodríguez-Maciá, P.; Reijerse, E. J.; Martini, M. A.; Lubitz, W. The Catalytic Cycle of [FeFe] Hydrogenase: A Tale of Two Sites. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2021, 449, 214191.
- (21) Greene, B. L.; Schut, G. J.; Adams, M. W. W.; Dyer, R. B. Pre-Steady-State Kinetics of Catalytic Intermediates of an [FeFe]-Hydrogenase. ACS Catal. 2017, 7 (3), 2145–2150.
- (22) Sanchez, M. L. K.; Sommer, C.; Reijerse, E.; Birrell, J. A.; Lubitz, W.; Dyer, R. B. Investigating the Kinetic Competency of CrHydA1 [FeFe] Hydrogenase Intermediate States via Time-Resolved Infrared Spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (40), 16064–16070.
- (23) Léger, C.; Bertrand, P. Direct Electrochemistry of Redox Enzymes as a Tool for Mechanistic Studies. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108* (7), 2379–2438.
- (24) Land, H.; Sekretareva, A.; Huang, P.; Redman, H. J.; Németh, B.; Polidori, N.; Mészáros, L. S.; Senger, M.; Stripp, S. T.; Berggren, G. Characterization of a Putative Sensory [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Provides New Insight into the Role of the Active Site Architecture. *Chem. Sci.* 2020, *11*, 12789–12801.
- (25) Greening, C.; Biswas, A.; Carere, C. R.; Jackson, C. J.; Taylor, M. C.; Stott, M. B.; Cook, G. M.; Morales, S. E. Genomic and Metagenomic Surveys of Hydrogenase Distribution Indicate H2 Is a Widely Utilised Energy Source for Microbial Growth and Survival. *ISME J.* 2015, *10* (3), 761–777.
- (26) Chongdar, N.; Birrell, J. A.; Pawlak, K.; Sommer, C.; Reijerse, E. J.; Rüdiger, O.; Lubitz, W.; Ogata, H. Unique Spectroscopic Properties of the H-Cluster in a Putative Sensory [FeFe] Hydrogenase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140 (3), 1057–1068.
- (27) Artz, J. H.; Zadvornyy, O. A.; Mulder, D. W.; Keable, S. M.; Cohen, A. E.; Ratzloff, M. W.; Williams, S. G.; Ginovska, B.; Kumar, N.; Song, J.; et al. Tuning Catalytic Bias of Hydrogen Gas Producing Hydrogenases. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (3), 1227–1235.
- (28) Fourmond, V.; Léger, C. Modelling the Voltammetry of Adsorbed Enzymes and Molecular Catalysts. *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry* 2017, 1 (1), 110–120.
- (29) Cunningham, D. W.; Barlow, J. M.; Velasquez, R. S.; Yang, J. Reversible and Selective CO2 to HCO2- Electrocatalysis near the Thermodynamic Potential. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* 2019, 59 (11), 4443–4447.
- (30) Fersht, A. Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science: A Guide to Enzyme Catalysis and Protein Folding; W. H. Freeman, 1999.
- (31) Fourmond, V.; Baffert, C.; Sybirna, K.; Lautier, T.; Abou Hamdan, A.; Dementin, S.; Soucaille, P.; Meynial-Salles, I.; Bottin, H.; Léger, C. Steady-State Catalytic Wave-Shapes for 2-Electron Reversible Electrocatalysts and Enzymes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135 (10), 3926–3938.
- (32) Del Barrio, M.; Sensi, M.; Fradale, L.; Bruschi, M.; Greco, C.; de Gioia, L.; Bertini, L.; Fourmond, V.; Léger, C. Interaction of the H-Cluster of FeFe Hydrogenase with Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140 (16), 5485–5492.
- (33) Blanford, C. F.; Armstrong, F. A. The Pyrolytic Graphite Surface as an Enzyme Substrate: Microscopic and Spectroscopic Studies. J. Solid State Electrochem. 2006, 10 (10), 826–832.
- (34) White, S. F.; Turner, A. P. F.; Schmid, R. D.; Bilitewski, U.; Bradley, J. Investigations of Platinized and Rhodinized Carbon Electrodes for Use in Glucose Sensors. *Electroanalysis* 1994, 6 (8), 625–632.
- (35) Fourmond, V. QSoas: A Versatile Software for Data Analysis. Anal. Chem. 2016, 88 (10), 5050–5052.
- (36) Baffert, C.; Demuez, M.; Cournac, L.; Burlat, B.; Guigliarelli, B.; Bertrand, P.; Girbal, L.; Léger, C. Hydrogen-Activating Enzymes: Activity Does Not Correlate with Oxygen Sensitivity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* 2008, 47 (11), 2052–2054.
- (37) Léger, C.; Jones, A. K.; Albracht, S. P. J.; Armstrong, F. A. Effect of a Dispersion of Interfacial Electron Transfer Rates on Steady State Catalytic Electron Transport in [NiFe]-Hydrogenase and Other Enzymes. J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106 (50), 13058–13063.