

Evolution of the Volcano-Tectonic seismicity associated with Mayotte's active magmatic system

Aude Lavayssière, Lise Retailleau

► To cite this version:

Aude Lavayssière, Lise Retailleau. Evolution of the Volcano-Tectonic seismicity associated with Mayotte's active magmatic system. 5èmes Rencontres Scientifiques et Techniques Résif, Nov 2021, Obernai (67210), France. hal-03442572

HAL Id: hal-03442572 https://hal.science/hal-03442572v1

Submitted on 23 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Evolution of the Volcano-Tectonic seismicity associated with Mayotte's active magmatic system Aude Lavayssière¹ and Lise Retailleau^{1,2}

¹ Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris Diderot, Paris ² Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, La Réunion

INTRODUCTION

Mayotte

- Oldest island of the Comoros Archipelago (Fig. 1)
 Historically seismically quiet
- Onset of volcanic activity : onshore 11
 Ma submarine 20 Ma

Mayotte's recent submarine eruption

- Beginning of seismic activity in May 2018
 Large surface displacements (170 mm/yr subsidence & 240 mm/yr eastward)
- New volcanic edifice (820 m) discovered in May 2019 (MAYOBS1 cruise) (Fig. 1)
- At least 6.55 km³ of erupted lava in May 2020 (Fig. 1)
- Seismic and volcanic activity still ongoing and monitored by the REVOSIMA

Need to understand the evolution of the seismicity to understand the structure's

We re-analyze the **VT seismicity** from March 2019 to December 2021.

- Automatic detection: PhaseNet neural network (Retailleau et al., in prep)
 → increase considerably the number of detected earthquakes compared to manual detections (Fig. 2):
 - 4,235 manual \rightarrow 57,282 automatic
- \div Land-stations only: before the eruption, only 1 → 3 in February 2019 → now 9 deployed
- Re-location: catalog relocated with new 1D local velocity model (Lavayssière et al., in review)
- \rightarrow lowering of the bias linked to the geometry of the network

We use the 39,154 best-constrained events

- Proximal cluster: RMS < 0.13 s + longitude error < 8 km + latitude error < 7 km + depth error < 7 km
- Distal cluster: RMS < 0.1 s + longitude error < 11 km +
 latitude error < 14 km + depth error < 18 km



Figure 1: Map of Mayotte's seismicity. Each colored node represents the mean depth of the events located in the node, with a minimum of 2 events per node. Green inverted triangles are the land stations. The red volcano symbol is the location of the New Volcanic Edifice (NVE) and the red contour is the extent of new material from the eruption.



Figure 2: Earthquakes detected manually compared to events detected with PhaseNet neural network

EVOLUTION OF THE PROXIMAL CLUSTER

- * Cluster in place since 2018
- * Seismicity is decreasing with time (Fig. 3 and 4)
- * Activity started in the center and moved to the edges of the cluster (Fig. 3 and 4)
- Events highlight different structures (Fig. 3)
- * At depths, events are shallower in the center than at the edges (Fig. 3)
- * Events further from center generally deeper (Fig. 5)
- * Aseismic circular region in the top center (Fig. 3)
- * Aseismic vertical zone along the center (Fig. 3)
- On the cross-section at 45°, we can distinguish two linear aseismic zones
 The linear aseismic zones all converge to the aseismic top center (Fig. 3)

Recent (end of 2020) seismicity is deep (> 30km) (Fig. 3 and 4)
Mainly to the West-South West and to the South East (Fig. 3 and 4)

Zones of interest:

- Sub-cluster 1 (black) = linear almost-vertical structure that slowly dissipates through time
- Sub-cluster 2 (red) = linear structure that slowly dissipates through time
- Sub-cluster 3 (green) = continuous activity slight increase with time in the West
- Sub-cluster 4 et 4' (brown & purple) = deep activity; slightly dissipates but still active
- Sub-cluster 5 (cyan) = very small cluster but shallower than the rest; appears slowly over time



EVOLUTION OF THE DISTAL CLUSTER

- * Seismicity relatively stable with time but has moved (Fig. 6 and 7)
- 2 main sub-clusters: sub-cluster 1 disappears sub-cluster 2 increases in activity (Fig. 6 and 7)

Sub-cluster 2

- Appears mainly in September 2019 and events are getting shallower with time (Fig. 7)
- Peak of activity in April 2020 coincides with observation of lava flow on the seafloor NW of NVE in May (MAYOBS13-2) (Fig. 8)

Sub-cluster 1

Activity slowly decreases (Fig. 7)
A slight shift to the East can be noticed (Fig. 8)

 Lava flow still observed in October 2020 (MAYOBS15) = sub-cluster still active (Fig. 8)
 Activity decreases significantly around December 2020 = lava activity in January/February (SISMAORE) - no lava activity in April 2021 (MAYOBS18/GEOFLAMME)

