



HAL
open science

Segmentation and Holocene Behavior of the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault (NW Turkey)

Yacine Benjelloun, J. De sigoyer, S. Garambois, J. Carcaillet, Y. Klinger

► **To cite this version:**

Yacine Benjelloun, J. De sigoyer, S. Garambois, J. Carcaillet, Y. Klinger. Segmentation and Holocene Behavior of the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault (NW Turkey). *Tectonics*, 2021, 40 (11), pp.e2021TC006870. 10.1029/2021TC006870 . hal-03442532

HAL Id: hal-03442532

<https://hal.science/hal-03442532v1>

Submitted on 23 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Segmentation and Holocene Behavior of the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault (NW Turkey)

Y. Benjelloun¹, J. de Sigoyer², S. Garambois², J. Carcaillet², and Y. Klinger¹

¹Université de Paris, Institut de physique du globe de Paris, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France.

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR, ISTERre, 38000 Grenoble, France.

Corresponding author: Yacine Benjelloun (benjelloun@ipgp.fr)

Key Points:

- Systematic measurements of horizontal cumulative offsets along the middle strand of the North Anatolian fault
- Statistical analysis of the dataset allows to identify the last large ruptures along the fault and the associated slip per-segment
- Comparison with independent constraints on past earthquakes leads to discuss past rupture scenarios

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1029/2021TC006870](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021TC006870).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract

The North Anatolian fault in the Marmara region is composed of three parallel strands all separated by ~50 km. The activity of the middle strand, which borders the southern edge of the Marmara Sea, is much debated because of its present-day very low seismicity. This contrasts with historical, archaeological and paleoseismological evidence, which suggest several destructive earthquakes have occurred during the last 2000 years. Our study aims to better constrain seismic hazard on the middle strand by exploring its Holocene paleoseismicity. For this, we mapped 148 km of the eastern part of the middle strand, using high-resolution satellite imagery. A series of landforms offset by the middle strand activity have been systematically measured to recover the past ruptures. Three Late Pleistocene-Holocene terraces have been dated with the terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide method, constraining a horizontal slip rate of $4.4_{-2.8}^{+10.6}$ mm/yr. The statistical analysis of the offsets evidences several major ruptures preserved in the landscape, with coseismic lateral displacements ranging between 3 and 6.5 m. This corresponds to $M_w \sim 7.3$ earthquakes able to propagate along several fault segments. Historical seismicity and paleoseismology data suggest that the last large earthquakes along the MNAF happened in 1065 CE and between the 14th and 18th centuries CE. Since then, the MNAF may have accumulated enough stress to generate a destructive rupture.

1 Introduction

Seismic hazard in the highly inhabited areas of northwestern Turkey is mainly related to the activity of the North Anatolian fault (NAF), a ~1500-km long, dextral strike-slip structure that accommodates the relative motion between the Eurasian and Anatolian blocks (Ambraseys, 1970; Barka, 1992; Ketin, 1969; Sengör & Zabcı, 2019; Sengör et al., 2005), with a total

geodetic slip estimated around 3 cm/yr (Fig. 1; McClusky et al., 2000; Meade et al., 2002). During the 20th century, the NAF ruptured almost entirely during a westward sequence of major earthquakes from Erzincan, in 1939, to Düzce and Izmit, in 1999 (Akyüz et al., 2002; Ambraseys, 2001a; Barka, 1996; Barka et al., 2002; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2000; Stein et al., 1997). A seismic gap remains in the Sea of Marmara, in front of Istanbul, where a major earthquake is expected to occur in the next decades (Parsons et al., 2000). In addition to the main northern strand of the NAF, one should also consider the seismic hazard due to the presence of two secondary strands known as the middle and southern strands (MNAF and SNAF, Fig. 1). Although there are a large number of geodetic and geological slip rate estimates for the NNAF in the Marmara region (e.g. Dikbas et al., 2018; Ergintav et al., 2014; Grall et al., 2013; Kurt et al., 2013; Motagh et al., 2007; Reilinger et al., 2006), there are few studies for the southern strands (Zabci, 2019). These strands could together accommodate as much as 25% of the total slip of the NAF (Armijo et al., 2002; Flerit et al., 2003), with slip rates between 7 and less than 1 mm/yr on the MNAF estimated with geodetical (Ergintav et al., 2014; Özbey et al., 2021; Reilinger et al., 2006) and geological data (Gasperini et al., 2011; Özalp et al., 2013; Vardar et al., 2014). While the SNAF experienced a Mw 7.2 earthquake in 1953 (Ketin & Rösli, 1953; Kürçer et al., 2008, 2019), no major earthquake ruptured the MNAF during the instrumental period, and the seismicity of the MNAF zone during the last eight decades does not include more than a couple of earthquakes larger than Mw 5. The microseismicity recently recorded has been mostly located west of Iznik Lake and around the Gulf of Gemlik, while the other segments in the east have been characterized by much fewer events and appear quiescent compared with the neighboring NAF strands (Baris et al., 2002; Gürbüz et al., 2000; Öztürk et al., 2009; Tsukuda et al., 1988). These observations explain that the middle strand has often been overlooked in the assessment of deformation of the Marmara region (Le Pichon et al., 2014). This current quiescence contrasts

with a significant historical seismic activity documented by several chronicles mentioning the destruction of Iznik and other surrounding cities located close to the MNAF during large earthquakes occurring in the last 2000 years (Ambraseys, 2002, 2009; Ambraseys & Finkel, 1991; Ambraseys & Jackson, 1998; Guidoboni & Comastri, 2005; Fig. 2).




Figure 1. General tectonic context. (a) Schematic map of the main active fault zones of the Eastern Mediterranean (Armijo et al., 1999). NAF = North Anatolian fault, EAF = East Anatolian fault, DSF = Dead Sea fault. The red box surrounds the Marmara region. (b) Main active faults located within the Marmara region in black. The fault map was modified from Emre et al. (2011). NNAF, MNAF and SNAF refers to the northern, middle and southern strand of the NAF respectively. The bathymetry comes from the EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2018). The color stars locate fault sections where slip rates were estimated by geology or geomorphology studies (see Section 3 for details).




Figure 2. Historical seismicity of the eastern Marmara region before 1900 CE (Common Era).

(a) Active faults and main geographic features of the Marmara region. Trench sites are located with yellow boxes. GPB=Geyve-Pamukova Basin. (b, c, d) Historical earthquakes (horizontal red lines) and seismic events documented in palaeoseismological studies (vertical boxes) along the three strands of the NAF. The horizontal lines are positioned according to the epicentral areas interpreted from the sources. These epicentral areas are determined from the

Accepted Article

spatial distribution of the seismic intensities interpreted from historical descriptions, and their accuracy depends on the availability and quality of the written archives (Ambraseys et al., 2002). Dashed lines indicate less documented events. The length of each horizontal line corresponds to the approximate rupture length of the earthquake. To estimate this length, we rely on the magnitude estimated by historical seismology studies (see Table S1 in Supporting Information), from which a surface rupture length was derived using the relationship of Wells and Coppersmith (1984). The length of the vertical boxes corresponds to the dating of the events within 2σ uncertainty (Civico et al., 2021; Dikbas et al., 2018; Dogan, 2010; Gastineau et al., 2021; Honkura & Isikara, 1991; Ikeda, Suzuki et al., 1991; Klinger et al., 2003; Özalp et al., 2013; Rockwell et al., 2009; see Table S2 in Supporting Information for detailed data).

In the last decades, the development of high-resolution satellite imagery has made it possible to measure the deformation and characterize in great detail the geomorphology of tectonically active regions on a large scale (Elliott et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2004; Klinger et al., 2011; Ren et al., 2018). These images enable us to accurately map active faults along several tens of kilometers, to describe their segmentation and therefore provide useful information on the possible extension of a given rupture (e.g. Ansberque et al., 2016; Choi et al., 2018; Klinger et al., 2005). Such data also make it possible to document the long-term, cumulative slip distribution along the fault through inventories of offset geomorphological markers. This approach has been successfully employed since the 1960s on various faults to extract coseismic slip values from cumulative offset measurements, and to discuss the impact of segmentation in modulating the cumulative along-strike displacement (Ansberque et al., 2016; Barka, 1996; Manighetti et al., 2015, 2020; McGill and Rubin, 1999; Sieh and Jahns, 1984; Zielke et al., 2015; Kurtz et al., 2018).

In this study, we use a set of high-resolution images and topography measurements obtained

Accepted Article

from Pleiades data to map the eastern part of the MNAF between Gemlik and Akyazi district (Fig. 2a), and to measure a series of lateral displacements recorded by geomorphological markers, mainly stream talwegs and risers. To gather additional age control on the observed cumulative deformation, we sample and date three levels of wave-cut terraces formed around Iznik Lake. This enables us to constrain a Holocene horizontal slip rate for the central section of the MNAF. A statistical analysis of the offset measurements allows us to extract coseismic displacements for several of the largest past earthquakes and to discuss the slip distribution generated along the fault during these earthquakes. Comparison of these data with the historical seismicity record and previous paleoseismological data shows that this approach is not sensitive enough to detect the smaller events (Mw 6.8-7) that are also known to occur along the MNAF. We finally explore the implications of several plausible scenarios to explain past slip history at the scale of the NAF system east of the Marmara Sea.

2 Geological context: the NAF in the Marmara region

The formation of the NAF initiated some 11-13 Ma ago within a wider pre-existing shear zone which progressively localized through time (Sengör et al., 2005, 2014). Starting possibly from eastern Anatolia, the fault zone grew by westward propagation through the lithosphere over ~10 Ma and reached the northern Aegean between 5 and 1 Ma ago (Armijo et al., 1996, 1999; Barka, 1992). From the Messinian and during the Pliocene, the NAF has functioned as a narrow zone, cutting through and deforming several basins inherited from the previous tectonic stages (Barka, 1992; Yılmaz et al., 1995). While the deformation is localized in a narrow zone in the eastern and central part of the NAF, it is structured on a wider area west of Düzce with a distribution of the deformation across several strands (Armijo et al., 1999; Fig. 1). The NAF strands show oblique kinematics, with local complex partitioning of the

Accepted Article
deformation between dextral strike-slip and extensional regimes (Koçyigit & Özacar, 2003).

The main northern strand (NNAF) continues along the strike of the main fault zone and crosses the northern part of the Marmara Sea from the Gulf of Izmit to Gelibolu Peninsula (Fig. 1). West of Akyazi district, another fault zone branches off from the NNAF, bounds to the south two successive basins known as the Geyve-Pamukova Basin and Iznik Lake, and reaches the south of the Marmara Sea through the Gulf of Gemlik as a single strand, which we term the middle strand of the NAF (MNAF) (Fig. 3a). West of Gemlik, this fault zone diffuses to a wider region (Sengör & Zabcı, 2019; Sengör et al., 2005). Between the Gemlik Gulf and the Kapıdağ peninsula, the active deformation seems to distribute across two parallel fault strands. While the MNAF continues along the southern shore of Marmara, a southern fault strand (SNAF) continues west-southwestwards to Ulubat and Manyas Lakes (Fig. 1b). Further west, the deformation becomes more diffuse with highly distributed faulting, so that it is no longer possible to distinguish independent MNAF and SNAF strands among this southern NAF zone.

The MNAF has been traditionally divided into three major sections over a total length of 135 km (Barka and Kadinsky-Cade, 1988; Fig. 3). (1) Between the Gulf of Gemlik and Iznik Lake, the fault shows a roughly E-W direction (segment A), until a 12 km long releasing bend around Sölöz delta, southwest of the Lake. (2) The fault follows the southern coast of Iznik Lake with an E-W orientation (segment C), and continues in the narrow valley of the Kirandere with a constant azimuth of $\sim 80^\circ$. This section in the west of Iznik Lake is marked by greater structural complexity and distribution of the deformation across several overlapping faults (segments D and E). Öztürk et al. (2009) suggested the existence of a continuous strike-slip fault extending along the deepest area of Iznik Lake, while Gastineau et al. (2021) evidenced an active fault strand following the northeastern edge of the lake's southern sub-basin, in a pull-apart configuration (Fig. 3b). East of Iznik Lake, Sipahioglu and

Matsuda (1986) reported the presence of two lineaments in this section: a geological boundary fault with no marker of Quaternary activation, and an active fault strand crosscutting and deforming recent alluvium. The eastern end of this section around the town of Mekece consists in a narrow, 1 km wide releasing step-over. (3) East of the step-over, the MNAF borders the south of the Geyve-Pamukova basin with a 70-80°N strike (segments F and G). The fault is associated to another fluvial system with the Sakarya River, collecting waters from the Anatolian plateau to the Black Sea. The river itself presents a large-scale right-lateral offset across the fault, estimated around 15-20 km (Fig. 3; Koçyigit, 1988; Özalp et al., 2013). The eastern edge of the basin is marked by a short restraining bend, after which the fault continues with a similar strike in the mountainous area of Akyazi where it connects to the main NAF (segment H).

3 Previous slip rates and paleoseismological studies on the MNAF

Geodetical horizontal slip rates along the MNAF of $\sim 5 \pm 2$ mm/yr were derived from strain accumulation modeling of fault-perpendicular GPS measurement profiles, despite a poor spatial distribution of the GPS sites (Ergintav et al., 2014; Straub et al., 1997). Meade et al. (2002) reported a similar estimate of 4.9 ± 2.0 mm/yr for the segments east of Iznik using a GPS-based block modeling study. A more recent block modeling study estimated slip rates decreasing westward, and ranging between 4.2 ± 1.3 mm/yr close to the MNAF-NAF junction and 3.1 ± 1.5 mm/yr north of Manyas Lake (Fig. 2; Özbey et al., 2021). By comparison, the geodetic slip rate estimates along the NNAF in the Marmara region range at 20-25 mm/yr, with a lower horizontal rate of ~ 15 mm/yr in the Cinarcik basin south of Istanbul (Zabci, 2019).

The geological slip rates measured along the NNAF show lower values ranging at 15-20

mm/yr for the last 20 ka (Zabci, 2019). On the MNAF, a long-term horizontal slip rate was estimated by Gasperini et al. (2011) who used the displaced edge of a 11,250 years old submerged delta in Gemlik Bay to derive a 3.7 ± 0.7 mm/yr minimal rate (Fig. 1b). This value was disputed by Vardar et al. (2014) who relied on a different offset value and an age of 30,000 years and derived a slip rate on the order of 2 mm/yr. Another value of 4.9 ± 0.4 mm/yr was derived from the 16 ± 1 km long offset of the Sakarya river in the Pamukova plain since the Late Pliocene (Özalp et al., 2013). The vertical motion was documented by Ikeda et al. (1991) who estimated a 0.7-1.4 mm/yr minimal rate from tilted post-glacial beachridges located on the western shore of Iznik Lake.




Figure 3. (a) General morphology of the eastern MNAF region. The footprint of the orthorectified Pleiades images (yellow) is superimposed on the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) model. The main rivers are drawn in blue. The MNAF is represented in red and the other active faults in black. Dotted lines indicate low confidence fault mapping (see section 4.2). The contours on the Iznik lake bottom are at a 5-meter interval (see Data Availability section). The fault traces within Iznik Lake are taken from Gastineau et al. (2021). (b) Segmentation of the main fault section analyzed in this study. Determined intersegments are located with black lines and alternative intersegments C/D et D/E with green lines (see section 4.3). Solöz and Mekece step-overs' extent is shown with dashed lines.

1 A significant number of paleoseismological trenches have been dug along the MNAF (see e.g.
2 the syntheses of Akyuz & Zabcı, 2012; Fraser et al., 2010) that led to the identification of several
3 ruptures during the last 4000 years (Fig. 2c). Yoshioka and Kusçu (1994) studied a site near
4 Geyve and identified a 3rd century BCE rupture and a later undated one. In the same area, an
5 unpublished trenching work by Akyüz et al. (2014) led to the identification of three presumed
6 earthquakes. In the east of Iznik, the last rupture was dated between the 13-14th and 18th centuries
7 CE (Honkura & Isikara, 1991; Ikeda, 1988). On the same segment, Barka (1993) opened nine
8 trenches and found three ruptures since ~2000 BCE, but none of them were dated precisely. In
9 the eastern part of Iznik Lake, Gastineau et al. (2021) evidenced a historical event in sediment
10 cores, that they correlated to the 1065 CE earthquake. South of the lake, Erginal et al. (2021)
11 reported a 50 cm high coseismic scarplet in beachrock deposits that they attributed to an 8th
12 century rupture. On the same segment, Civico et al. (2021) opened two trenches and evidenced
13 one mid-19th century event, one penultimate event after the late 7th century and two older events
14 before the mid-5th century CE. West of Iznik Lake, Ikeda et al. (1989) proposed a mid-19th
15 century event from archaeological remains found in a natural fault exposure. In Gemlik, Özalp et
16 al. (2013) found a similar age for the last event and dated the penultimate between the 12th and
17 18th centuries. So far, the largest trenching work has been conducted by Dogan (2010) who
18 opened 11 trenches on various MNAF segments. In many cases, very few or no age data could
19 be retrieved, which makes it difficult to discuss whether the identified ruptures are independent
20 from each other. Thus, in terms of earthquake recurrence, the paleoseismological data along the
21 MNAF remain largely inconclusive due to partial results or occasionally contradictory findings
22 (Fig. 2c).


24 **4 Fault geometry and segmentation analysis**

25 **4.1 Imagery datasets used**

26 Four stereo-pairs of Pleiades images covering the area between the Gulf of Gemlik, Iznik Lake,
27 and Karatekin (Fig. 3a) were processed to obtain a high-resolution DEM using the NASA Ames
28 Stereo Pipeline (ASP) software (Broxton and Edwards, 2008; Moratto et al., 2010; Shean et al.,
29 2016) without using ground control points (Fig. 4c,d). The original Pleiades images have a
30 resolution of about 50 cm, while the derived DEM has a horizontal resolution of 2 m and an
31 absolute vertical precision better than 10 m. The DEM was then used to orthorectify the original
32 optical images with the same ASP software. For the area east of 29.88E, which was not covered
33 by our Pleiades images, we relied on Google Earth images (Pleiades images from CNES/Airbus,
34 and QuickBird and WorldView images from Maxar Technologies), the SRTM elevation model
35 and the active fault map of Emre et al. (2011).

36 **4.2 Fault mapping and along-strike geomorphology**

37 The trace of the MNAF between Karsak pass and Akyazi was mapped using the satellite imagery
38 described in the previous section and complementary observations gathered in the field (Fig. 2a,
39 4). In the area benefiting from Pleiades coverage, secondary faults north and east of Iznik Lake
40 were also mapped (Fig. 3). To locate the fault trace, we especially relied on high confidence
41 geomorphological features, such as series of horizontally offset gullies and ridges, shutter ridges,
42 well-defined scarps and facets. Along some other sections, particularly those lacking multiple
43 consistent offsets, the mapping relied on lower confidence features, including large scale
44 lineaments and slope breaks (Fig. 4).



46 **Figure 4.** Surface trace of the eastern MNAF, superimposed on the Pleiades orthorectified
 47 images around Iznik Lake and Sentinel 2 images (Copernicus Sentinel Data [2018]) in other
 48 areas. Solid thick, dotted, and thin red lines refer to high confidence, low confidence, and
 49 secondary fault mapping, respectively. Other active faults are drawn in green. The subaqueous
 50 fault trace is taken from Gastineau et al. (2021). The yellow dots locate the markers associated
 51 with the offsets measured. The areas (a to d) are presented from east to west. Our proposed

52 segmentation (A to H) is also displayed.

53 Evidence for late Quaternary deformation can be observed in the landscape along the MNAF

54 segments between the Gulf of Gemlik and Akyazi district (Fig. 2a, 3, 4). East of the Gulf of

55 Gemlik, a small, E-W trending fault scarp borders the south of Gemlik alluvial plain and runs

56 along the narrow Karsak pass (Fig. 4d). East of Karsak pass, several parallel faults stretch

57 between the Eocene sedimentary rocks of the mountain range and the derived wide alluvial fan

58 south of the Gölyatagi stream (Fig. 3a, 4d). Southwest of Iznik Lake, a set of overlapping and en

59 echelon fault scarps are visible between the basement rocks and the Holocene alluvium of the

60 large Sölöz delta, striking WNW-ESE. However, the horizontally offset markers are found along

61 an E-W fault strand crossing the delta and forming a releasing bend with the fault section

62 running south of the lake.

63 East of the delta, the fault shows again an E-W orientation with a succession of short, en echelon,

64 recent scarps in the narrow coastal plain south of the lake (Fig. 4c, d). The association of several

65 laterally offset gullies and vertical, south-facing scarplets (Fig. S1 and S2c) evidences the recent

66 dextral motion across the fault with a normal component. A series of significant triangular facets

67 have developed in the same section along the north-facing side of the Katirli range (Fig. 3a),

68 which suggests long-term cumulative normal deformation in addition to the strike-slip tectonics.

69 Southeast of the lake, the fault becomes more oblique to the main NAF direction, striking 80-

70 85°N (Fig. 4c). East of Iznik Lake, the fault follows the southern edge of a 15 km long narrow,

71 linear valley with a more continuous, simpler and straight trace (Fig. 4c). Between Iznik and

72 Kaynarca, large-scale lineaments mark an oblique branch extending south of the main fault zone

73 and striking 70°N. On the main fault line, markers of strike-slip deformation, such as shutter

74 ridges, offset drainages and alluvial fan surfaces, are well developed (Fig. S2b). Occasionally,

75 parallel or en echelon successive faults can be traced in the landscape. This is the case between
76 Kaynarca and Karatekin where secondary branching can be traced through a series of fan
77 surfaces showing several offsets (Fig. 4c). This geometrical complexity is associated with an
78 orientation change to 80°N. Close to Mekece, a series of laterally displaced streams running
79 along a north facing scarp show cumulative dextral offsets ranging between 10 m and 25 m.
80 Further east, the fault crosses the Sakarya river and forms a ~1 km wide releasing step-over (Fig.
81 4b). The eastern section of the stepover is composed of shorter, en echelon faults. The fault then
82 follows the southern edge of the Geyve-Pamukova basin as a single, simple straight trace with a
83 70-80°N, but is associated with fewer markers of Holocene horizontal deformation. East of the
84 Geyve plain, the fault runs in the middle of a mountainous area and the precise mapping of the
85 junction with the main NAF strand is less certain (Fig. 4a).

86

87 **4.3 Fault segmentation**

88 To properly interpret the set of deformed markers observed along the fault, it is needed to first
89 determine the fault segmentation. Such segmentation can also provide first-order constraints on
90 the maximum length and magnitude of earthquake that can be expected. The kind of boundary
91 between the successive segments (azimuth difference, width and length of the step-overs. . .) is
92 also thought to control whether a given rupture is likely to propagate through several segments
93 (Aki, 1984; Barka & Kadinsky-Cade, 1988; Wesnousky, 2006). We decomposed the eastern
94 MNAF zone into several successive segments using the automatic procedure developed by
95 Klinger (2010). The digitized MNAF trace was first simplified and resampled each 50 m. The
96 numerical method models the fault as a continuous set of linear segments and seeks, for a given

97 number of segments, the distributions of segment boundaries which best approximate the actual
98 fault trace, using a l_1 trend filtering method (Kim et al., 2009). The brutal increase in the curve of
99 the RMS-misfit as a function of the number of segments indicates that a minimal range between
100 8 and 19 segments is needed to describe the fault trace with a good trade-off between data-model
101 misfit and limited number of segments (Fig. S3). We especially explored this space of solutions
102 and found that the locations of most frequently selected inter-segments for this range cluster on
103 12 points of the fault. Keeping the solutions that included the most visible geometrical
104 discontinuities along strike (e.g. Sölöz and Mekece stepovers, kinks at 29°35'E and south of
105 Iznik) led us to favor a decomposition of the MNAF into 8 segments (denoted A to H on Fig.
106 3b).

107 Overall, the boundaries most frequently selected correspond to the major strike change occurring
108 south of Iznik Lake (C/D inter-segment, Fig. 3b) and the releasing bend at the eastern edge of the
109 Geyve-Pamukova basin (G-H inter-segment). The significant discontinuities of Sölöz bend (B/C
110 inter-segment) and Mekece stepover (E/F inter-segment) also correspond to recurrently selected
111 boundaries. Some other chosen boundaries are less recurrent. The D/E inter-segment is
112 associated with a minor variation in azimuth but also with a more visible change from multiple
113 parallel branches to a single, simpler fault trace eastward. The F/G inter-segment also reflects a
114 transition from small en echelon faults to a single linear fault line eastward.

115

116 **5 Cumulative and coseismic offsets**

117 **5.1 Method of measurement and uncertainties**

118 Major ground rupturing earthquakes generate meter-scale deformations that can be preserved in
119 the morphology through several seismic cycles (e. g. Ansberque et al., 2016; Klinger et al., 2011;
120 Kurtz et al., 2018). In the case of strike-slip ruptures, it is generally possible to measure in the
121 days following the earthquake a series of laterally displaced markers such as roads, fences, walls,
122 field or vegetation lines, terrace risers or gullies (Rockwell et al., 2002). Older morphological
123 markers can also show larger, cumulative values of offset representing the summation of several
124 coseismic displacements. If enough markers of various ages are preserved along the fault, the
125 compilation of a large number of offset measurements is expected to give several clusters of
126 values, corresponding to the cumulative displacement for various numbers of earthquakes
127 (Beauprêtre et al., 2012, 2013). The offset measurements carried out after recent earthquakes
128 have evidenced that the coseismic slip located on the fault often shows significant variations
129 along-strike, as high as 30-40% around the mean displacement (Choi et al., 2018; Lin et al.,
130 2020; Reitman et al., 2019; Rockwell et al., 2002; Zielke, 2018). The off-fault deformation,
131 which is difficult to measure during post-seismic surveys, can also be significant (Antoine et al.,
132 2021; Choi et al., 2018; Rockwell et al., 2002). Despite the erosive and sedimentary processes
133 which tend to erase the smallest coseismic offsets, the measurement of cumulative offsets can
134 also give access to this slip variability on longer time scales (Rizza et al., 2011).

135

	Total	Segment A	Segment B	Segment C	Segment D	Segment E	Segment F	Segment G	Segment H
Length (full mapping, km)	148	14.4	12.5	13.9	11.5	31.2	16.4	36.6	11.5
Length (confident mapping, km)	107	4.1	12.5	13.6	11.1	24.1	14.9	17.3	9.2
Number of measurements	114	2	10	14	15	50	7	12	4
Measured on Pleiades topography	45	1	6	8	8	22	0	0	0
% Pleiades topography	39	50	60	57	53	44	0	0	0
Measured on Pleiades image	32	1	4	6	7	14	0	0	0
% Pleiades image	28	50	40	43	47	28	0	0	0
Measured on Google Earth image	37	0	0	0	0	14	7	12	4
% Google Earth image	32	0	0	0	0	28	100	100	100
Mean quality /20	12	14	12	11.1	12.3	12.3	10.7	11.8	12.3
Density of data per km	0.77	0.14	0.80	1.01	1.30	1.60	0.43	0.33	0.35
Density of data (confident mapping)	1.07	0.49	0.80	1.03	1.35	2.07	0.47	0.69	0.43
Number of COPD peaks (2σ model)			5	7	11	8	4	6	
Number of COPD peaks (1σ model)			4	4	5	8	4	4	2

136

137 **Table 1.** Summary of the main characteristics of the fault mapping and offset measurements datasets used in this study.

138


139

140 Along a 148-km long portion of the eastern MNAF, we identified and systematically
141 measured 114 offsets (Tables 1 and S3, see Data Availability section). 40% of the
142 measurements were performed using Pleiades topographic data, while Pleiades ortho-rectified
143 images and Google Earth images each represent 30% of the measurements. The displaced
144 markers considered are river channels, gullies, terrace risers and more occasionally vegetation
145 lines and ridges. We favored markers making a high angle with the fault in order to minimize
146 the apparent offset linked to unaccounted-for vertical slip. For each marker, we define
147 piercing lines, i.e. points that were aligned before the displacement, and that we project on the
148 fault trace. The piercing points across the fault are then realigned by retro-deformation, which
149 gives the offset value (Fig. 5). When one marker is displaced by several parallel fault strands,
150 the offset value to be considered is the sum of the measurements on each fault line (Zielke et
151 al., 2015). Five offsets were also measured in the field with tape (Fig. 6). One field
152 measurement (marker 153) ranges significantly lower than the Pleiades measurement due to
153 different reconstruction hypothesis. The other field measurements are in general in fair
154 agreement with the values obtained from satellite imagery, though ~10% lower on average.
155 The relative underestimation of field offset measurements compared to satellite imagery has
156 been noticed in previous studies (see another example in Klinger et al., 2005) suggesting that
157 the distributed deformation is better assessed in the latter case (Antoine et al., 2021).

158

159

160




161

162 **Figure 5.** Examples of horizontal offset measurements. Marker ID code is indicated on the
 163 left. North direction is upward. From top to bottom, measurements were respectively done
 164 using the Pleiades image, topography, and the Google Earth image. Columns 1 and 2 show
 165 the original morphologies. The fault is drawn in red. Black lines and circles refer to the
 166 piercing lines and points. Columns 3, 4 and 5 show the retro-deformed morphologies giving
 167 the minimum, preferred and maximum offset values respectively. For the full dataset, see
 168 Data Availability section.

169

170

171



172

173 **Figure 6.** Comparison between field and Pleiades offset measurements. Two linear
 174 regressions are shown, including all data points (red) and excluding marker 153 (blue). For
 175 each regression we present the equation, Pearson's correlation coefficient r and the p-value.

176

177 The uncertainty of the offset measurement mainly originates from the various possibilities for
 178 interpreting and restoring the original, non-deformed geometry of the marker (Ansberque et
 179 al., 2016; Manighetti et al., 2015). Therefore, each measurement consists in our preferred
 180 value for the best reconstruction, and a minimum and a maximum value, each representing an
 181 extreme plausible reconstruction. We use conservative min/max reconstructions, larger than
 182 $\pm 15\%$ of the preferred value on average, so that there is only a small probability that the real
 183 offset falls out of this range (Gold et al., 2013). Each measurement is given a 20-points
 184 quality score, which reflects the intrinsic quality of each marker in providing a relevant
 185 measure (Beauprêtre et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2018; Kurtz et al., 2018; Zielke et al., 2012).


186 This score includes the following criteria: (a) confidence that the offset marker is of tectonic
187 nature and weakly man-modified, (b) degree of preservation (marker visibility and sharpness),
188 (c) marker shape (width and sinuosity), (d) fault zone complexity (plausible fault zone width
189 and number of fault splays), (e) angle of marker with fault, (f) resolution of used dataset (a
190 detailed explanation of how the quality score is determined can be found in Supporting
191 Information).

192 **5.2 Statistical analysis**

193 The 114 offsets measured range between 2.5 and 64 m, with a majority of values smaller than
194 30 m (Fig. 7b, Table S3). In order to identify the most significant offset clusters along each
195 fault segment, which could represent the cumulative signature of past ruptures, we represent
196 the offset values as probability density functions (e.g. Beauprêtre et al., 2012; Kurtz et al.,
197 2018; McGill and Sieh, 1991; Zielke et al., 2010). Each offset measurement is represented
198 with an asymmetric Gaussian distribution, the preferred measured value being the peak of the
199 Gaussian probability density function (PDF). Since the minimum and maximum offsets
200 reflect geologically plausible bounds for the reconstruction values and do not directly
201 correspond to real standard variations (Scharer et al., 2014), we compare two models where
202 these maximum and minimum ranges are taken as $\pm 1\sigma$ and $\pm 2\sigma$ half-widths of the PDF
203 (referred hereafter as “ 1σ model” and “ 2σ model”).

204

205



206


207 **Figure 7.** (a) Map of the fault section studied with our proposed segmentation. (b) Horizontal
 208 offsets measured along the fault in the range 0-30 m. The color boxes represent the best
 209 constrained peak values, within 1σ uncertainties, obtained on the cumulative offset probability
 210 distribution (COPD) curves for each segment. The segments are separated by the vertical
 211 orange broken lines. (c) COPD curves (black lines) and modeled average slips (red lines) for
 212 the 1σ model. The number of supporting individual measurements is indicated for the best
 213 constrained COPD peaks.

214 The individual PDFs for each segment are then summed into a cumulative offset probability
215 distribution (COPD) curve, using the quality scores as weights for each individual offset
216 measurement (Fig. 7c and 8a). The COPD generally presents local peak values, which indicate
217 the most frequent offsets measured along the fault section considered. The contribution of each
218 measurement in the shape of the COPD depends both on its uncertainty and on its quality score.
219 A larger uncertainty corresponds to a wider and flatter individual PDF, which consequently
220 contributes less to define a clear COPD peak. A lower quality score decreases the effect of the
221 measurement in changing the shape of the COPD. For each COPD curve, it is assumed that the
222 value associated to the first peak value corresponds to the offset related to the most recent large
223 earthquake preserved along that specific segment. The average slip associated with this last
224 rupture is empirically extracted from the COPD through several steps (Kurtz et al., 2018). (1)
225 The rising part of the COPD curve is used to build a symmetric Gaussian function, which
226 represents the modeled average slip associated to the most recent rupture along the segment
227 considered. (2) This modeled function is subtracted from the COPD to derive a residual COPD
228 (Fig. 8b). This residual curve is assumed to represent the cumulative tectonic deformation
229 recorded in the landscape before the most recent earthquake occurred. (3) In general, the rising
230 part of the COPD does not strictly follow a Gaussian function, which produces a residual
231 negative artefact located ahead of the following peak values. This residual is discarded by
232 resetting all negative values to zero before the next extraction. As these steps are iterated (Fig.
233 8c), the residual COPD curve flattens and the Gaussian fit becomes less constrained. To avoid
234 extracting artifacts which are not supported by measurements, the peaks with an amplitude below
235 10% of the maximum amplitude of the original COPD are judged unreliable and are discarded
236 (following Kurtz et al., 2018). The iterations are stopped when no additional peak can be

237 constrained.

238 The validity of this method for extracting peaks and interpreting them as slip signals relies on
239 several assumptions. The resolution of satellite imagery and the uncertainties of offset
240 measurements limit the detection of small slip signals. In our case, this minimum detectable slip
241 ranges at ~2.5 m, which implies we cannot detect events of M_w below 7. We assume that the
242 variability of coseismic slip over short distances along strike is limited enough to produce
243 relevant clusters of offset measurements. It is also assumed that these measurements result from
244 predominantly intermittent and seismogenic slip with negligible contributions of creep, slow slip
245 or afterslip. The production of geomorphic markers must happen more frequently than the large
246 earthquakes for the geomorphic slip record to be complete. The production of these markers is
247 also assumed to be stable over the time window considered. These assumptions will be addressed
248 together with the discussion of the results.

249 Interpreting COPD peaks as individual slip signals must be done with caution, especially when
250 relative measurement uncertainties are high and when only few data points are available along a
251 segment. Because of the natural variability in coseismic slip along strike, offset measurements
252 from a single event can produce bimodal distributions (Lin et al., 2020; McGill & Rubin, 1999).
253 Conversely, one large increment might hide two separate, smaller events, but undistinguished
254 due to too few data and/or too large individual uncertainties (e. g. Liu-Zeng et al., 2006; Zielke et
255 al., 2010). Once the COPD peaks are extracted for a given segment, we assess the statistical
256 significance of each peak by looking at the following criteria (Tables 2 and 3): number of
257 supporting individual measurements, spreading of the data supporting the first two peaks, degree
258 of overlapping with preceding peak, sensitivity to alternative segmentation (for segments C, D,
259 E).



260

261 **Figure 8.** Illustration of the statistical analysis methodology used for the offset measurements of segment G. (a) Probability density functions of
 262 the individual measurements (in red) and corresponding Cumulative Offset Probability Density (COPD, in black). (b) Modeling of the last major
 263 surface rupture signal by fitting the increasing part of the COPD with a Gaussian curve (in blue). The red line is the residue of the COPD after
 264 subtracting this last rupture slip model. (c) Same process as (b) for the presumed penultimate event.

265 **5.3 Results**

266 Tables 2 and 3 present the offset values extracted from the COPD peaks for each fault segment
267 in the range 0-30 m. We extracted between 4 and 11 peaks per segment for the 2σ model and
268 between 4 and 8 peaks for the 1σ model (Table 1). Smaller modeled uncertainties tend to
269 increase the number of extracted peaks per segment. This effect is most significant for segment
270 D where the number of peaks for the 2σ model is two times larger. However, most of the
271 extracted peaks for the 2σ model show poor statistical robustness. Half of them are not supported
272 by more than one individual measurement (Table 3). For some of them, the increment with the
273 preceding peak is not significantly different from zero. Several of them do not pass the
274 segmentation test. This likely results from a high degree of data scattering compared to
275 uncertainties, especially for segments with small numbers of measurements. Segment E does not
276 show this feature because of its high spatial density of measurements, which is more than twice
277 as high as the average density of the other segments (Table 1).

278 To check for potential biases resulting from this higher density of measurements, we performed a
279 supplementary test on segment E. We produced and analyzed five random subsets of 18
280 measurements obtained on segment E, so that the measurement density of the subsets equals the
281 average density of the other segments, i.e. 0.75 measurement per km. We then identified which
282 extracted peaks remained consistent between all subsets. The sensitivity tests for that segment
283 suggest that only the first two peaks are statistically robust. These peaks still present a high
284 degree of overlapping.

285 Most peaks obtained with the 1σ model are statistically stronger than those obtained with the 2σ
286 model (Table 2). When only the more robust peaks are considered, both models show similar

287 results (Table 4, Fig. 7b). Segments A and H did not provide statistically significant peaks due to
288 the very small number of measurements.

289 We identified two to five statistically robust cumulative slip increments for segments B to G,
290 ranging between 4 and 25 m. Offset values show consistency between all segments for the first
291 two extracted peaks at ~5 and ~10 m. However, the central segments C, D and E show lower
292 mean values of increments, from 3.2 m to 4.7 m, while they range between 5 and 6.2 m on
293 segments B, F and G. On segment E, despite the higher density of measurements, the events are
294 harder to separate and their respective displacement is less constrained due to the larger
295 scattering of data points. Peak values differ more for higher peaks (Table 4).

Segment	Mean value	($\pm 1\sigma$)	Increment with preceding peak	($\pm 1\sigma$)	Number of supporting measurements	Mean quality	Spreading with previous peak	Overlapping coefficient with previous peak	Sensitivity to segmentation	Sensitivity to downsampling
B	6.2	2			3	12.7				
B	11.2	1	5	3	3	12	<30%	0.09		
B	14.1	0.9	2.9	1.9	0			0.13		
B	18.1	2.1	4	3	3	12		0.16		
C	4.1	1.4			4	14.3				
C	8.8	1.7	4.7	3.1	2	11	<30%	0.13		
C	14.4	2.3	5.6	4	3	9		0.16	x	
C	20.6	2.5	6.2	4.8	1	11		0.2		
D	4.5	1.7			4	12.3				
D	8.6	1.9	4.1	3.6	2	10	<30%	0.25		
D	14.2	1.5	5.6	3.4	2	14.5		0.1		
D	18.2	2.1	4	3.6	3	14		0.26		
D	24.6	3.3	6.4	5.4	3	11.3		0.23		
E	4.2	1.7			14	12.8				
E	7.4	1	3.2	2.7	7	12.1	<30%	0.23		
E	9.7	0.8	2.3	1.8	4	10.8		0.2		x
E	11.7	0.9	2	1.7	6	13.3		0.24		
E	14.4	1.1	2.7	2	2	11		0.18		x
E	17.3	1.2	2.9	2.3	2	13		0.21	x	x
E	21.3	2.1	4	3.3	8	11.6		0.21		
E	25.6	2.1	4.3	4.2	3	13.7		0.31	x	x
F	5.9	1.8			3	10.7				
F	11.6	2.2	5.7	4	3	10	<30%	0.15		
F	17.2	2.3	5.6	4.5	0			0.21		
F	22.3	2.3	5.1	4.6	1	13		0.27		
G	5.9	1.5			4	11.3				

G	11.3	1.6	5.4	3.1	5	13.2	<30%	0.08
G	15.8	1.6	4.5	3.2	1	16		0.16
G	20.1	1.8	4.3	3.4	1	7		0.21
H	9.4	2			2	13		
H	18.7	2.7	9.3	4.7	2	11.5	30-40%	0.05

296 **Table 2.** COPD peaks extracted for the 1σ model. Mean quality is computed on the set of individual measurements supporting each
 297 peak. Spreading value is expressed in % of the mean of measurements supporting the first two peaks per segment. The overlapping
 298 coefficient is computed using normalized distributions.

Segment	Mean value	Increment with ($\pm 1\sigma$) preceding peak	Number of supporting measurements	Mean quality	Spreading with previous peak	Overlapping coefficient with previous peak	Sensitivity to segmentation	Sensitivity to downsampling	
B	6.1	1.3	3	12.7					
B	9.9	0.6	3.8	1.9	1	13	<30%	0.04	
B	11.1	0.4	1.2	1	1	15		0.22	
B	12.3	0.9	1.2	1.3	1	8		0.32	
B	18.2	1.4	5.9	2.3	2	13.5		0.01	
C	3	0.5			1	17			
C	4.2	0.5	1.2	1	3	13.3	>40%	0.23	
C	5.4	0.5	1.2	1	0			0.23	
C	9	0.8	3.6	1.3	3	10	<30%	0.05	
C	13.9	1.6	4.9	2.4	1	11		0.04	x
C	17.2	0.7	3.3	2.3	1	8		0.14	x
C	21.2	1.6	4	2.3	1	11		0.07	
D	4.2	0.8			3	14			
D	6.8	1	2.6	1.8	2	6.5	30-40%	0.15	

D	9	1	2.2	2	1	14	<30%	0.27		
D	13.2	1.9	4.2	2.9	0			0.14	x	
D	13.7	0.5	0.5	2.4	2	14.5		0.42		
D	14.9	0.5	1.2	1	0			0.23		
D	16.6	0.7	1.7	1.2	2	15		0.15		
D	18.2	0.9	1.6	1.6	1	12		0.31	x	
D	19.8	0.6	1.6	1.5	1	12		0.26		
D	22.5	1.2	2.7	1.8	1	14		0.16	x	
D	26.5	1.7	4	2.9	2	10		0.16		
E	3.8	1			10	13				
E	6.4	0.9	2.6	1.9	7	11.3	<30%	0.17		
E	8.3	0.6	1.9	1.5	4	13.8		0.2		x
E	9.6	0.5	1.3	1.1	4	10.8		0.24		x
E	11.4	0.7	1.8	1.2	6	13.3		0.13	x	x
E	14.4	1.5	3	2.2	3	11.7		0.16	x	x
E	19.7	1.7	5.3	3.2	6	12.8		0.1		x
E	24	2.6	4.3	4.3	5	11.4		0.31	x	
F	4	0.5			1	9				
F	6.2	0.8	2.2	1.3	2	11.5	>40%	0.09		
F	11.1	1.5	4.9	2.3	3	10	<30%	0.03		
F	19.6	3	8.5	4.5	1	13		0.06		
G	4.1	0.6			2	9				
G	6	0.7	1.9	1.3	2	13.5	>40%	0.14		
G	8	0.5	2	1.2	0			0.09		
G	11.3	1.2	3.3	1.7	5	13.2	<30%	0.05		
G	15.2	1.2	3.9	2.4	1	16		0.1		
G	22	1.1	6.8	2.3	1	7		0.003		

300 **Table 3.** COPD peaks extracted for the 2σ model. Mean quality is computed on the set of individual measurements supporting each
301 peak. Spreading value is expressed in % of the mean of measurements supporting the first two peaks per segment. The overlapping
302 coefficient is computed using normalized distributions.

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

Accepted Article

	COPD peak values (m)					Increments between peaks (m)				
	Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3	Peak 4	Peak 5	Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3	Peak 4	Peak 5
B	6.2±2 (6.1±1.3)	11.2±1.0	18.1±2.1 (18.2±1.4)			6.2±2 (6.1±1.3)	5±3.0	6.9±3.1 (12.1±2.6)		
C	4.1±1.4 (4.2±0.5)	8.8±1.7 (9.0±0.8)				4.1±1.4 (4.2±0.5)	4.7±3.1 (4.8±1.3)			
D	4.5±1.7 (5.5±1.8)	8.6±1.9	14.2±1.5 (13.7±0.5)	18.2±2.1 (16.6±0.7)	24.6±3.3 (26.5±1.7)	4.5±1.7 (5.5±1.8)	4.1±3.6	5.6±3.4 (8.2±2.3)	4.0±3.6 (2.9±1.2)	6.4±5.4 (9.9±2.4)
E	4.2±1.7 (3.8±1.0)	7.4±1.0 (6.4±0.9)	11.7±0.9	22.3±2.1		4.2±1.7 (3.8±1.0)	3.2±2.7 (2.6±1.9)	4.3±1.9	10.6±3.0	
F	5.9±1.8 (5.1±1.3)	11.6±2.2 (11.1±1.5)				5.9±1.8 (5.1±1.3)	5.7±4.0 (6.0±2.8)			
G	5.9±1.5 (5.1±1.3)	11.3±1.6 (11.3±1.2)				5.9±1.5 (5.1±1.3)	5.4±3.1 (6.2±2.5)			

313

314 **Table 4.** Best constrained cumulative offsets and slip increments computed for each fault segment in the offset range 0-30 m. The
315 retained peaks respect the following conditions: significant increment with preceding peak, more than one supporting individual
316 measurement, less than 30% of spreading with previous peak, consistency through varying segmentation and downsampling.
317 Uncertainties are 1σ . Bold values are from the 1σ model. Values in brackets are those deduced from the 2σ model. We underline
318 values showing consistency between the two models.

319 **6 Terrace dating**

Terrace	Sample code	Depth (cm)	Dissolved quartz mass (g)	⁹ Be carrier solution (g)	¹⁰ Be/ ⁹ Be ratio ± 1σ AMS uncertainty (10 ⁻¹⁵ blank corrected)	¹⁰ Be (10 ⁴ at/g)	¹⁰ Be concentration uncertainty (%)	Sampling site location
T3	15TUR5-0a	0	17.179	0.2988	9.913 ± 1.208	1.1499	23.05	40.3974°N - 29.6966°E
T3	15TUR5-0b	0	18.480	0.2990	23.17 ± 6.328	2.5003	34.99	94±4 m asl
T3	15TUR5-20	20-35	2.864	0.3010	3.428 ± 0.744	2.4060	69.82	TS = 0.997
T3	15TUR5-60	60-70	10.739	0.2995	8.012 ± 0.956	1.4902	25.69	
T3	15TUR5-80	80-90	16.347	0.2980	12.25 ± 1.234	1.4896	17.91	
T3	15TUR5-130	130-140	6.789	0.2976	3.808 ± 0.556	1.1132	49.12	
T2	15TUR3-0a	0	20.549	0.3043	178.5 ± 6.176	17.6296	3.65	40.4963°N - 29.6813°E
T2	15TUR3-0b	0	18.510	0.3078	89.09 ± 5.310	9.8809	6.52	127±3 m asl
T2	15TUR3-60	60-85	20.824	0.2989	53.09 ± 4.953	5.0837	10.65	TS ~ 1
T2	15TUR3-110	110-130	19.941	0.2905	245.1 ± 8.848	23.8183	3.75	
T2	15TUR3-150	150-175	17.038	0.2993	200.4 ± 32.61	23.4781	16.79	
T1	15TUR4-0a	0	20.838	0.2979	226.9 ± 75.69	21.6293	34.27	40.5031°N - 29.6860°E
T1	15TUR4-0b	0	20.514	0.2973	313.7 ± 11.86	30.3225	3.89	164±3 m asl
T1	15TUR4-30	30-40	20.550	0.2958	255.4 ± 7.943	24.5165	3.24	TS ~ 1
T1	15TUR4-80	80-90	23.547	0.2981	292.6 ± 11.47	24.7008	4.03	
T1	15TUR4-130	130-140	20.510	0.2984	326.3 ± 10.80	31.6621	3.41	
T1	15TUR4-260	260-270	22.204	0.2966	184.8 ± 9.776	16.4640	5.52	
T1	15TUR4-370	370-380	20.771	0.2980	243.5 ± 12.71	23.2995	5.38	


320

321 **Table 5.** Characteristics of the terrace samples and results of the nuclide measurements. The ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratio of the blank is 6.200.10⁻¹⁵


322 with an analytical uncertainty of 19%. TS is topographic shielding value. The total measurement error includes the uncertainties

323 associated with the AMS measurement, the blank value, the dissolved quartz mass and the spike mass weighing and concentration.

324



326 **Figure 9.** (a) General location of the terrace sampled for cosmogenic dating (yellow dots).
 327 Active faults are mapped in red-orange and main streams are drawn in blue. The subaqueous
 328 fault trace is taken from Gastineau et al. (2021). The eastern limit of terrace T3 is not well
 329 defined in the geomorphology and was drawn assuming a constant elevation. The marker used to
 330 derive a minimum horizontal slip rate is indicated with a black arrow. (b) Interpreted Pleiades
 331 image of the present-day marker morphology. (c) Preferred retro-deformed morphology.



332

333 **Figure 10.** Field photographs (left) and interpreted stratigraphy (right) of the terrace profiles

334 sampled for dating, T3 (a), T2 (b), and T1 (c). The samples are represented with white circles.

335 The first meters of T1 profile were covered with bushes, and consisted of a homogeneous brown,

336 silty-sandy unit with a few centimetric pebbles.

337 Actually, the statistical analysis of cumulative offsets evidences the occurrence of past ruptures
338 along the MNAF and documents the associated slip-per-event, but does not inform the age of
339 these events. To narrow down the age range for the identified events and estimate the late
340 Quaternary slip rate of the MNAF, we need constraints on the age of the terranes that were offset
341 by the fault. The area surrounding Iznik Lake displays low gradient alluvial fans emplaced on
342 three preceding levels of abandoned lake plains, which were targeted in this study. These flat
343 levels, separated by wave-cut scarps, have been known and interpreted as lacustrine terraces
344 since the early 20th century (Chaput, 1936; Tanoglu and Erinc, 1956). They appear particularly
345 well-developed north and east of Iznik Lake (Ikeda et al., 1991; Fig. 9a). The terraces were
346 presumably deposited originally with a small slope, but the current slope tends to increase with
347 elevation and age, and also in the central part as the terraces get closer to the mountain range.

348 The lowest scarp associated with the youngest lake plain (T13) is the clearest and can be traced
349 almost over the entire length of the northern coast. The height of its inner edge above the present
350 lake level (a.l.l.) is about 20 meters northwest Boyalica village. The intermediate terrace T2 can
351 also be easily traced in the west but is hardly visible in the central part around Boyalica. The
352 associated wave-cut scarp appears again in the wider alluvial plain northeast of the lake, with an
353 inner edge 60 m high a.l.l. The highest and oldest terrace T1 is much more degraded but can still
354 be traced almost continuously in the west with a 110 m a.l.l. high inner edge. Remnants of this
355 old paleoshoreline and the associated sediments can be observed in the northeast, especially
356 along an irrigation canal constructed west of the Karadere stream. In the southern part, these
357 successive terrace levels cannot be unambiguously mapped and have been partly overlain by
358 younger alluvial sediments, especially in areas where the lake shore comes very near to the foot
359 of the mountain front.

360 6.1 Sampling and analytical method

361 To date the terraces, we used the *in-situ* produced terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (TCN) method.
362 The terraces were sampled along vertical profiles (Fig. 10, Table 5). For terraces T1 and T2,
363 samples were taken on pre-existing, man-made scarps. These scarps have been laterally refreshed
364 due the surrounding agricultural activity, preventing a significant nuclide accumulation from
365 posterior lateral exposure. For terrace T3, we benefited from a pit dug in the plain for
366 hydrological maintenance. The pit is located close to the western edge of the major fan of
367 Dirazali, so an alluvial contribution posterior to the emersion of the lake plain cannot be ruled
368 out. The outcrops displayed alternations of fine sand and coarser gravel-rich conglomerate with
369 graded bedding, with no evidence of buried paleosols. T1 and T3 mainly showed alluvial facies,
370 the former displaying evidence of paleochannels and cross-stratification. T2 showed lateral
371 facies variations, with finer, lacustrine deposits on the north-central shore of the lake, and coarser
372 fluvial deposits in the east at the sampling site. While T1 and T3 profiles can be assumed to
373 represent a continuous sedimentation sequence, T2 profile displays an irregular interface at 1 m
374 depth that suggests an interruption in the sedimentation process potentially associated with
375 emersion and erosion (red line on Fig. 10b). For each profile, we collected two samples on the
376 surface of the terrace, and when possible, three to four samples in the first 1.5 m below the
377 surface, and one to two deeper samples at the bottom of the profile to constrain the potential
378 inherited nuclide content. We sampled pebbles made of silicate material in order to have ~100 g
379 of quartz per sample.

380 The beryllium was extracted at the Geo-thermo-chronology platform (ISTerre, University
381 Grenoble Alpes, France). Quartz was obtained from the 250-500 μm fraction after isolation of
382 the non-magnetic grains by repeated leaching in a $\text{H}_2\text{SiF}_6\text{-HCl}$ mixture. The samples were then

383 processed following the chemical procedure of Brown et al. (1991) and Merchel and Herpers
384 (1999). The beryllium was finally measured as a $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio at the ASTER Accelerator Mass
385 Spectrometer in Aix-en-Provence, France (Arnold et al., 2010).


386 **6.2 Age determination**

387 The obtained concentration-depth-profiles (Table 5 and Fig. 11, 12, 13) were modelled with a
388 Monte Carlo approach using the code ^{10}Be profile simulator version 1.2 developed by Hidy et al.
389 (2010). This approach enables to find the combination of parameters that best fits the measured
390 profile, including the exposure age, the erosion rate and the inherited TCN concentration. The
391 validity of the method requires the following conditions: the TCN concentrations have not
392 reached equilibrium with the landscape, the sampled profile corresponds to a unique episode of
393 deposition and exposure with no vertical mixing, the inherited concentration is constant over the
394 sampled depth range.

395 The half-life of ^{10}Be (1.387 ± 0.012 Ma) was taken from Chmeleff et al. (2010). We used a global
396 mean SLHL (sea level and high latitude) reference production rate of 4.06 ± 0.23 atoms.g⁻¹.a⁻¹ for
397 the neutron induced spallogenic production using the CREp program (Martin et al., 2017), with
398 the Lifton-Sato-Dunai scaling scheme (Lifton et al., 2014), the ERA40 atmosphere model
399 (Uppala et al., 2005), and the Lifton VDM 2016 geomagnetic correction (Lifton, 2016). The
400 muonic production was calculated using the theoretical equations of Heisinger et al. (2002a,b)
401 and determined at the sampling site's elevation and for a given depth following the approach of
402 Balco et al. (2008). The depth of muonic production fit was set at 5 cm. The error in ^{10}Be half-
403 life was set at 5%. The sampling sites were not associated with significant topographic/geometric
404 shielding or additional cover. The cumulative bulk density of the material above each sample

405 was assumed constant over depth, with an average value of 2.2 g/cm^3 , previously measured in
 406 similar gravel-based terraces (Hidy et al., 2010). For the first iterations, the initial parameters for
 407 age, inheritance and erosion rate were allowed to vary largely (age between 5-40 ka, erosion rate
 408 below 3 cm/ka, and inheritance below 25.105 at/g). The total erosion threshold was set between
 409 0 and 30 cm.

410




411

412 **Figure 11.** Result of the Monte Carlo simulations for terrace T1. (a) ^{10}Be concentrations
 413 measured along depth and best fit obtained. (b) All the solutions found in the parameter solution
 414 space defined as inputs. The sample excluded from the inversion is shown as a green box. (c, d,
 415 e) Probability density functions (solid lines) and minimum χ^2 distributions (dashed line) for the
 416 exposure age, erosion rate and inheritance concentration respectively.

417

418 Terrace T1 profile presents one point (15TUR4-130) with a high concentration, larger than the
 419 surface samples, which is incompatible with the theoretical depth profile. After excluding this
 420 sample, the algorithm was not able to find solutions in the 2σ confidence windows, and we had
 421 to increase the χ^2 cut-off value to 11. Although doing so prevented us from properly quantifying
 422 the error on the parameters, the best fits among the 250,000 solutions obtained provided a modal
 423 age of $27.0^{+10.9}_{-13.0}$ ^{10}Be ka BP (Fig. 11), a low erosion rate ranging below 1 cm/ka, and a much
 424 higher inheritance around $\sim 190,000$ at/g.

425



426


427 **Figure 12.** Result of the Monte Carlo simulations for terrace T2. (a) ^{10}Be concentrations
 428 measured along depth and best fit obtained. (b) All the solutions found in the parameter solution
 429 space defined as inputs. The three samples excluded from the inversion show concentrations
 430 above 120,000 at/g. (c, d, e) Probability density functions (solid lines) and minimum χ^2

431 distributions (dashed line) for the exposure age, erosion rate and inheritance concentration
432 respectively.

433 Terrace T2 profile presents more complexity, especially because the two deepest samples
434 (15TUR3-110 and 15TUR3-150) have the highest nuclide concentrations of all the dataset. As
435 the outcrop stratigraphy suggests, they are likely to belong to a previous deposition sequence
436 which was eroded before the onset of a new sedimentation sequence over it. The algorithm could
437 only converge towards a solution after excluding these deep samples, and one surface sample
438 (15TUR3-0a) showing a low nuclide concentration. With only two samples, it is impossible to
439 determine statistically robust solutions for the age, erosion rate and inheritance at the same time.
440 To narrow down the solution space, we constrained the initial inheritance using the concentration
441 of sample 15TUR3-60 as upper bound, and the initial age below the age obtained for T1. We
442 finally obtained 250,000 solutions within the 2σ confidence window, giving a most probable age
443 of $19.6^{+7.7}_{-7.2}$ ^{10}Be ka BP (Fig. 12).

444 For terrace T3, the simulator was not able to produce solutions when all the data of the profile
445 were included, especially because one surface sample (15TUR5-0a) showed a very low
446 concentration comparable to the deepest sample (15TUR5-130). We assumed this sample to be
447 an outlier, likely to originate from recent excavation and deposition during agricultural work. We
448 observed that the profile data did not permit to constrain the erosion rate. We tested initial
449 maximum erosion rates of 1, 2 and 3 cm/kyr and obtained similar results between the three
450 simulations. Using a moderate erosion rate of 1 cm/kyr as initial upper bound, the 250,000
451 solutions found within the 2σ confidence window gave a most probable age of $3.8^{+6.3}_{-2.7}$ ^{10}Be ka
452 BP. This involves an inheritance of ~ 8000 at/g (i.e. 30% of the surface sample) (Fig. 13e).
453 Finally, we considered the possibility that additional exposure may happen under a shallow lake

454 water cover, which would lead to an overestimation of the age of subaerial exposure, as TCN
 455 started to accumulate before the total emersion and abandonment of the lake plain. A water depth
 456 of 4 m allows ~10% of the influx of cosmic rays to hit the sediments. To estimate the amplitude
 457 of this effect on the final age, we ran the simulation with the nuclide concentrations decreased by
 458 10%. This correction resulted in a 16% younger age, which suggests that this effect remains
 459 small relative to the 2σ uncertainty range.



461 **Figure 13.** Result of the Monte Carlo simulations for terrace T3. (a) ^{10}Be concentrations
 462 measured along depth and best fit obtained. (b) All the solutions found in the parameter solution
 463 space defined as inputs. The sample excluded from the inversion is shown as a green box. (c, d,
 464 e) Probability density functions (solid lines) and minimum χ^2 distributions (dashed line) for the
 465 exposure age, erosion rate and inheritance concentration respectively.

466

467 **7 Discussion**468 **7.1 Horizontal slip rate estimate**

469 Horizontal slip rates are usually constrained by dating sedimentary units laterally displaced by
470 the faults (Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2002; Kozaci et al., 2007, 2009; Le Béon et al., 2010; Rizza et
471 al., 2011; van der Woerd et al., 2006; Zabcí et al., 2015). This kind of estimation is preferentially
472 done with cumulative offsets of several hundreds of meters in order to average out the variability
473 of individual coseismic displacements (see e.g. Wechsler et al., 2018). This type of measurement
474 will yield a minimum slip rate as the offset is considered to be as old as the emplacement of the
475 sediments, although it could also be much younger without a way for us to know about it.

476 Southeast of the lake, the MNAF segments have displaced Holocene streams incising in the low-
477 gradient alluvial fans overlying terrace T3. Among the markers identified, the largest quality 1
478 offset measured is $16.5^{+2.5}_{-1.5}$ m on a river located ~3.5 km west of Dirazali village (Fig. 9). The
479 COPD results suggest that this corresponds to the cumulative slip of the last 3 to 4 large
480 earthquakes. Deriving a slip-rate from this offset and our age of $3.8^{+6.3}_{-2.7}$ ^{10}Be ka BP for T3 is
481 hampered by two limitations. (i) The displaced stream may be significantly younger than the
482 underlying lake plain, so the ratio between the mean offset and the age of T3 can only provide a
483 minimum horizontal slip rate. (ii) The possible alluvial contribution at the sampling pit from the
484 Dirazali fan must be assumed synchronous or older than the fan incised in by the offset stream.
485 To propagate the 2σ asymmetric uncertainties in the slip rate calculation, we used the NIST
486 Uncertainty Machine (<https://uncertainty.nist.gov/>; Lafarge and Possolo, 2016) with a Monte
487 Carlo approach. We obtained a horizontal slip rate of $4.4^{+10.6}_{-2.8}$ mm/yr. Although this value is in
488 the same range as the rate of 3.7 ± 0.7 mm/yr estimated by Gasperini et al. (2011) on Gemlik

489 segment, it is poorly constrained due to the young age and large relative uncertainty of T3.

490

491 **7.2 Past seismic events evidenced along-strike**

492 Our study of cumulative offsets along the MNAF provides valuable information on earthquake

493 propagation along this fault, in terms of rupture extent, slip amount and distribution. It also

494 allows us to discuss the behavior of the MNAF segments during the last major earthquakes.

495 If we assume the first two peaks to be the signature of the last two large earthquakes, with

496 respective maximum coseismic slips of 6.2 ± 2 m and 5.7 ± 4 m, empirical scaling laws suggest

497 that they correspond to events of moment magnitude of 7.2 ± 0.2 to 7.4 ± 0.2 , with rupture lengths

498 of 73 ± 28 to 97 ± 42 km (Wells & Coppersmith, 1994; using all-slip-type and strike-slip

499 regressions respectively). Conversely, a rupture extending along the entirety of the 148 km long

500 studies section would correspond to an earthquake of moment magnitude 7.6 ± 0.3 and maximum

501 coseismic displacements of 6.7-6.8 m, using the same scaling relationships. Our results are

502 therefore compatible with large earthquakes able to rupture large parts of the eastern MNAF.

503 Wesnousky (2006) has suggested that there exists a maximal step-over size (3-4 km fault-

504 perpendicular) which tends to stop rupture propagation. However, this threshold has been

505 crossed in recent examples (e.g. Fletcher et al., 2014; Hamling et al., 2017). In our case, Sölöz

506 bend and Mekece, which are the largest identified step-overs of the eastern MNAF, have widths

507 of 2.5 and 1.5 km respectively, and it can thus be assumed that past large ruptures may have

508 propagated through them.

509 Our results show significant along-strike variability of the total number of recorded slip events


510 and the associated slip values. Mean slip values tend to be lower for segments C, D and E. We
511 also note that segments D and E document more individual slip events than the other segments. It
512 has been observed that the COPD curve shows an exponential decay in the peaks' amplitude
513 with increasing cumulative slip (Klinger et al., 2011), as older markers tend to be less preserved
514 in the landscape. We find this kind of pattern for some segments (e.g. segment C), but for
515 segments B and G we observe that peak 1 is smaller than peak 2. The central segments are
516 characterized by higher slopes. This implies more dynamic hydrologic conditions and
517 geomorphic marker formation, and thus a higher capacity to preserve the traces of successive
518 earthquakes (Zielke et al., 2015). By contrast, the lower slopes of the other segments may have
519 favored more intensive agricultural activities, thus partly erasing traces of past ruptures.

520 Therefore, a first possibility to explain the along-strike slip-per-event variability is to assume that
521 COPD peak 1 on segments B, F and G is cumulative, and that the last event was not preserved
522 enough on segments B, F and G to produce a strong COPD peak (Fig. 14b).

523 Alternatively, the slip decrease observed in the central segments may be related to their higher
524 geometrical complexity (fault bends and obliquity, overlapping segments and branching, see
525 sections 2 and 4.2). Distribution of the deformation across several structures implies that only
526 part of the slip can be measured on the investigated MNAF strand, leading to apparent local slip
527 decrease. A more oblique slip vector with a larger, unaccounted for vertical component,
528 especially along segments C and D, may have a similar effect. Lower horizontal coseismic slip
529 values along these segments may also suggest partial partitioning, with the subaqueous Iznik
530 fault accommodating a part of the horizontal displacement (see e.g. de Michele et al., 2010; King
531 et al., 2005 for recent examples of this). Finally, structural complexities may act as a barrier for
532 the propagation of ruptures, leading to smaller offsets through slip tapering (King and Nabelek,

533 1985; Ward, 1997; Zielke et al., 2015).

Accepted Article



535 **Figure 14.** (a) Geometry of the fault section studied. (b) First order scenarios of horizontal slip
536 distribution during past events. The last major event (light blue) is assumed to have ruptured all
537 the segments. The penultimate (magenta) replicates this slip distribution. Alternative scenario 1b
538 assumes a partly unpreserved, smaller slip (broken boxes) for the last major rupture. (c) Scenario
539 2 of horizontal slip distribution. The last rupture is assumed to have propagated in the east of the
540 section studied and along segments F to H. The penultimate event propagated along the
541 remaining segments and further west. The previous events (brown and orange) replicate these
542 slip distributions. (d) Scenario 3 of horizontal slip distribution. The last ruptures propagated
543 partially along the MNAF and neighboring systems. The antepenultimate event (brown) was a
544 full MNAF rupture with a partly unpreserved smaller slip on segment A. Alternative scenario 3b
545 assumes overlapping traces for the last ruptures on segment D.

546

547 The combination of the coseismic slip variation along strike, the epistemic uncertainty affecting
548 offset measurements and the evolution of markers' morphology since the last event can limit the
549 interpretation of COPD peaks as representing individual earthquakes (Lin et al., 2020; Reitman
550 et al., 2019). Given that the last large earthquake along the MNAF happened before the 20th
551 century and was not accurately documented at the time, we ignore the typical range of variation
552 for the coseismic slip. The surface slip during 1999 Düzce and Izmit earthquakes on the NNAF
553 show coefficients of variation (CoV) of 0.5-0.6 (Akyüz et al., 2002; Barka et al., 2002). Such
554 values of CoV hardly enable to confidently recover more than one or two events or sequence of
555 events from the COPD curve and estimate the associated slip values. Therefore, higher COPD
556 peak values and increments, which come with higher uncertainty, should be considered with
557 caution. A couple of slip increments on segments B and E exceed 6.5 m (Table 4) and seem less

558 realistic given the magnitudes typically observed for recent earthquakes and estimated for
559 historical earthquakes in the Marmara region. Thus, we interpret those as being cumulative
560 contributions of two or more smaller, distinct events.

561 The slip history per segment resulting from our offset analysis does not unambiguously unravel
562 the past earthquakes succession along the MNAF. Alternative scenarios, with different numbers
563 of earthquakes, locations, lengths of ruptures, can be proposed that account equally for these
564 observations. Given the resolution of our satellite images and the uncertainties in our offset
565 measurements, our analysis can hardly detect individual offsets below 2.5 m and thus slip events
566 of $M_w < 7$. We cannot rule out that some smaller slip events were not resolved by our analysis,
567 which would add some local variability of the slip distribution in the possible scenarios. The
568 amount of slip involved in these events would logically be smaller as well, and so the
569 uncertainties associated with them would not fundamentally change the proposed scenarios.

570 To assess the possible scenarios, we require independent constraints about the location, age and
571 characteristics of past earthquakes along the MNAF. Fortunately, the seismicity of the MNAF
572 region of the last two millennia is documented by significant written accounts (see e.g.
573 Ambraseys, 2009), archeological evidence (Benjelloun et al., 2018, 2020) and a few
574 paleoseismological investigations (see section 3). According to the slip rate estimates (sections 3
575 and 7.1), this time frame should correspond to a cumulative horizontal slip ranging between 6
576 and 10 meters. This includes between one and three COPD peaks, depending on segments.

577

578

579 **7.3 Independent constraints on historical earthquakes along the MNAF**

580 **7.3.1 Historical seismicity**

581 At least five destructive ruptures are documented in the historical seismicity catalogues for the
582 eastern part of the MNAF (Ambraseys, 2002, 2009; Ambraseys and Finkel, 1991, 1995;
583 Ambraseys and Jackson, 1998; Guidoboni et al., 1994; Fig. 2; Table S1). These events come
584 with high uncertainties on their location and magnitude, and it is generally difficult to locate the
585 ruptured fault from historical data only. Most of the information available relates to the cities of
586 Iznik and Gemlik. Excluding the earthquakes documented on the NNAF, Iznik was destroyed by
587 local earthquakes around 29-32 CE (M_s 7), in 121 CE (M_s 7.4), in 368 CE (M_s 6.8), and 1065 CE
588 (M_s 6.8). The region of Gemlik was affected by the $M_s \sim 7.2$ 1419 CE earthquake, which may
589 have originated from the SNAF system as no effect was reported in Iznik. A series of minor
590 ruptures, with estimated magnitudes about 5, are known between 1855 and 1863, also felt in
591 Gemlik and along the southern shore of Iznik Lake.

592 **7.3.2 Archeoseismology**

593 Through an archeoseismological study in Iznik, Benjelloun et al. (2020) evidenced three
594 episodes of seismic damage in the city since the Roman period: (1) between the early 6th and late
595 8th century CE, (2) between the mid-9th and late 11th century CE, and (3) after the late 14th
596 century CE. These episodes were associated with a minimal intensity of VIII (EMS-98) in Iznik,
597 suggesting earthquakes of at least M_w 6. The modeling of a standing Roman obelisk north of the
598 city ruled out the occurrence of $M_w > 7.2$ ruptures along the fault section south of Iznik since the
599 1st century AD.

600 7.3.3 Paleoseismology

601 Paleoseismological trenching works have documented mid-19th century events on segments A
602 and C. On segment A, a penultimate event was identified between the 12th and 18th centuries CE,
603 but was not seen in trenches located south of Iznik Lake. A possibly contemporaneous event was
604 also documented between the 14th and 18th centuries in a trench west of Mekece. On segment C,
605 one penultimate event was documented after the late 7th century CE, and two older events were
606 identified before the mid-5th century CE in two trenches (see section 3, Fig. 2c). South of Iznik
607 Lake, Erginal et al. (2021) reported a 50 cm high coseismic scarplet in beachrock deposits that
608 they attributed to an 8th century rupture.

609 Other important constraints can be derived from the lake core recently studied by Gastineau et al.
610 (2021). These sedimentary archives recorded the occurrence of several major earthquakes
611 documented both on the NNAF and MNAF, among which the 29-32 CE, 121 CE, 1065 CE, and
612 an 8th century CE event. The 1065 CE earthquake ruptured a sublacustrine fault trace identified
613 within Iznik Lake, north of the onshore segment D. No event was recorded in these cores in the
614 eight centuries following the 1065 CE earthquake, which strongly supports the absence of $M_w >$
615 6.5 events on the central segments of the MNAF after 1065 CE. However, the lack of earthquake
616 recording after 1065 may be due to too low sedimentation rates in the lake in the last millennium,
617 even for large events located farther than 20-30 km from the lake.

618 7.3.4 Synthesis

619 The events documented by at least two types of record, include the 29-32 (M_s 7), 121 (M_s 7.4),
620 1065 (M_s 6.8) and 1419 (M_s 7.2) CE historical earthquakes. The precise location of the 1419 CE
621 earthquake is not well constrained, but the fact that it was not recorded in the Iznik Lake cores

622 nor in trenches south of Iznik Lake, and that destructions were only reported in the region of
623 Bursa, suggest that it did not extend east of Sölöz. To these we can add an 8th century event
624 which affected the central MNAF segments south of Iznik Lake, and a 14th-18th century event
625 attested by some damaged buildings in Iznik and one trench on segment E.

626

627 **7.4 Analysis of COPD peak 1**

628 In a first assumption (Fig. 14b, scenario 1a), COPD peak 1 can be interpreted as a large Mw 7.2-
629 7.4 event rupturing the whole length of the studied fault. This first interpretation involves
630 significant slip variations along strike, with slip values on the central segments C, D and E ~33%
631 lower than on the other segments. The hypothesis of differential preservation across segments
632 suggests another scenario (Fig. 14b, scenario 1b), with a more homogeneous slip of ~4 m along
633 the studied section, only recovered on the central segments. Instead of decreasing slip on the
634 central segments as in the scenario 1a, this scenario involves a maximum slip in the central area,
635 which decrease towards both rupture tips. Given the higher structural complexity of this area,
636 lower horizontal slip values are expected along the central segments. Therefore, scenario 1a can
637 be considered more likely than scenario 1b. The favored historical candidate is the 1065 CE
638 earthquake, which is the best documented event. However, this means that the magnitude of the
639 1065 CE earthquake, estimated at 6.8 by historical seismology studies, should be significantly
640 raised, by at least 0.3 units. It also implies that the 1065 CE rupture identified in Iznik lake
641 propagated along the onshore fault segments as well. In addition, the deformation of the obelisk
642 north of Iznik does not support magnitudes over Mw 7.2 for events located south of Iznik, but
643 might be accounted for with a more distant epicenter, located on the western or eastern segments

644 (Benjelloun et al., 2020).

645 Another possibility is to propose earthquakes rupturing only a part of the studied MNAF section,
646 with the central segments acting as a slip barrier (Fig. 14c, d). Following this hypothesis, COPD
647 peak 1 would correspond to two different earthquakes. These earthquakes ruptured different
648 sections of the fault, either adjacent (scenarios 2 and 3a) or overlapping (scenario 3b). The lower
649 slip values on the central segments are associated with the termination of earthquakes. In this
650 case, no significant slip variation appears along strike. The high slip values imply that the
651 ruptured area during these earthquakes could be respectively extended west of Gemlik and east
652 of the junction with the main NAF strand. This interpretation best accounts for the multiple
653 events found in the different records of past seismicity. The 1065 CE earthquake is a favored
654 candidate for the western and central segments. The historical seismicity of the east of the
655 studied area is less documented, but the 14th-18th c. event found in the archeological and
656 paleoseismic record is a possible candidate for the eastern rupture of this scenario.

657

658 **7.5 Analysis of COPD peak 2 and implications for the long-term behavior of the MNAF**

659 The increments between COPD peaks 1 and 2 suggest that the slip values of the last and
660 penultimate events (or sequences of events) were comparable. Although the slip values estimated
661 for the penultimate event come with higher uncertainties, they also suggest magnitudes as high
662 as $M_w 7.4 \pm 0.2$. The lacustrine record documents at least two events in the first centuries CE
663 (Gastineau et al., 2021). The historical catalogues include two candidates, in 29-32 CE and in
664 121 CE, with poorly constrained locations along the MNAF. Both events can be accounted for
665 by interpreting peak 2 as two distinct ruptures (scenario 2, Fig. 14c). Given the uncertainties, a

666 full MNAF rupture may also be proposed (scenarios 1 and 3, Fig. 14b and d).

667 The reconstructions of along-fault slip accumulation derived from systematic offset
668 measurements have been associated to the development of earthquake recurrence models
669 (Schwartz & Coppersmith, 1984; Zielke et al., 2015). In our case, given the large uncertainties,
670 the successive increments on each segment cannot be unambiguously discriminated. Our dataset
671 remains compatible with a “characteristic slip” behavior, as in scenarios 1 and 2 (Fig. 14b, c),
672 where the earthquakes show recurring, similar slip distributions (see e. g. Klinger et al., 2011;
673 Kurtz et al., 2018; Zielke et al., 2010). In scenarios 1a and 2, the horizontal cumulative slip on
674 segments C, D and E is 25-35% lower than on the other segments for the last two events. This
675 cumulative slip deficit on the central segments produces a higher apparent slip rate variation
676 along-strike. The interpretations of scenario 3 depart from the characteristic slip model, as the
677 proposed distributions of the last events differ from the previous one, which resembles a variable
678 slip model.

679 The Late Quaternary slip accumulation along the MNAF seems dominated by large events with
680 comparable per-segment slip increments. This corresponds to the synoptic model of Zielke et al.
681 (2015), which also considers the occurrence of smaller ruptures contributing marginally to the
682 overall slip accumulation. The 8th century CE event reported south of Iznik Lake (Erginal et al.,
683 2021), and the 19th century CE earthquakes reported in Gemlik (Özalp et al., 2013) may illustrate
684 these features. Future trenching work is needed to document the timing of earthquakes and to
685 judge between both models.

686

687

688 **7.6 Possible interactions between the NAF strands for the historical period**

689 In all the scenarios discussed, we can propose that the eastern MNAF has experienced two major
690 sequences of ruptures in the last two millennia. These historical sequences correspond to large
691 magnitude events, with amounts of slip ranging between 3.2 and 6.2 m. Most of the deformation
692 was produced during intervals of high seismic activity, separated by longer quiescent periods
693 (1000-1500 years). This can be compared to the rupture history of other strike-slip fault systems
694 such as the San Andreas and Dead Sea faults (Lefevre et al., 2018; Rockwell et al., 2014). This
695 long recurrence time contrasts with the historical behavior of the NNAF (Fig. 2), and is
696 consistent with a slip rate ~5 times smaller on the MNAF. In the final part of this discussion, we
697 replace our propositions of past rupture history in the wider frame of the eastern Marmara region.

698 The last sequence of high seismicity along the MNAF, whatever the scenario considered, seems
699 to occur between the 11th and 18th centuries, while the northern strand of the NAF along the
700 Izmit Gulf (between 29.2° and 30.3°E) knew a period of lower seismic activity (Fig. 2b). The
701 1065 CE earthquake was preceded by a major event on the NNAF in 1063 CE. However, it
702 mainly affected the western part of the Marmara region, with no significant damage reported east
703 of Constantinople (Ambraseys, 2009). Between the 14th and 18th centuries, only one major
704 earthquake is known for the eastern Marmara region in 1509 CE (M~7.2). The Gulf of Izmit was
705 likely affected by this earthquake (Klinger et al., 2003), but the contemporary historical records
706 do not account for heavy damage farther east (Ambraseys, 2001b). After this earthquake, a
707 quiescence period is reported for the Marmara region between 1509 and 1719 CE (Ambraseys &
708 Jacskon, 2000; Pondard et al., 2007; Rockwell et al., 2009).

709 By contrast, the southern strands of the NAF were marked by a significant seismic activity in the

710 east of the Marmara Sea. After the 1065 CE earthquake on the MNAF, this activity seems to
711 restart in the early 15th century CE. It includes the 1419 CE earthquake which affected the Bursa
712 region, and the event proposed between the 14th and 18th centuries CE along the eastern segments
713 of the MNAF (scenarios 2 and 3). This earthquake may have propagated across the junction with
714 the main NAF strand. The junction zone does not present any significant step-over, but only an
715 azimuth change of $\sim 20^\circ$. The 1967 CE earthquake propagated across this junction between the
716 main NAF and the NNAF, with a similar azimuth difference. Therefore, a symmetrical rupture
717 between the main NAF and the MNAF is not unrealistic. A trenching study carried out by
718 Palyvos et al. (2007) in the Mudurnu valley, located a few kilometers east of the junction with
719 the main NAF strand, identified at least one and most probably two paleoevents younger than
720 1693 CE. Some of these ruptures may have propagated across the junction along the MNAF.
721 Analogous alternations of active and quiescent phases between adjacent fault strands have been
722 previously identified on the San Andreas fault system in relation to the San Jacinto fault (Lozos,
723 2016; Onderdonk et al., 2018). In the case of the NAF in the Marmara region, the investigation
724 of older sedimentary records of past earthquakes on the different strands might better document
725 this behavior and check for earlier occurrences of it.

726

727 **8 Conclusion**

728 By combining field observations and the analysis of high-resolution satellite images, we have
729 demonstrated that the segments constituting the MNAF in the southeast of the Marmara region
730 have been significantly active during the Holocene. The fault displays morphological features
731 typical of strike-slip deformation, associated locally with a smaller vertical component. We

732 collected 114 measurements of horizontally offset markers, providing evidence for large,
733 repeated earthquakes along the MNAF. Through a statistical analysis of the offsets, we
734 particularly documented at least two major surface-rupturing earthquakes whose deformation
735 was preserved in the landscape. They are characterized by coseismic horizontal displacement
736 ranging from 3.2 to 6.2 m, which indicates moment magnitudes ranging between 7.2 ± 0.2 and
737 7.4 ± 0.2 according to empirical scaling laws. Some of these events may have ruptured the whole
738 eastern MNAF section along more than 100 km. Although smaller earthquakes also happened in
739 the past, our analysis may not resolve accurately their frequency due to the data uncertainties and
740 preservation issues. According to the historical catalogues of seismicity and paleoseismological
741 studies, the last large events documented along this fault strand possibly happened in 1065 CE,
742 or between the 14th and 18th centuries CE along the eastern segments. These intervals correspond
743 to a period of relative quiescence along the NNAF segments located east of the Marmara Sea.
744 We estimated a late Holocene horizontal slip rate of $4.4_{-2.8}^{+10.6}$ mm/yr on the central MNAF
745 segments. Since the last large events, the eastern MNAF segments may have accumulated stress
746 equivalent to 1-4 m of horizontal deformation. Therefore, the current level of stress may be
747 enough to generate a significant earthquake, comparable or larger than a 1065 CE type rupture,
748 which caused important damage in Iznik. The activity of the southern strands of the NAF should
749 not be neglected in terms of slip history and should be considered into any seismic hazard
750 assessment.

751

752 **Data Availability Statement**

753 The bathymetric map of Marmara was made available by the EMODnet Bathymetry project

754 (<https://www.emodnet.eu/bathymetry>), using the EMODnet Bathymetry portal (EMODnet
755 Bathymetry Consortium, 2016, EMODnet Digital Bathymetry [DTM], DOI:
756 10.12770/c7b53704-999d-4721-b1a3-04ec60c87238) funded by the European Commission
757 Directorate General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries. The large-scale topography of the study
758 area was obtained from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 1 Arc-Second Global
759 (DOI:10.5066/F7PR7TFT). The bathymetry of İznik Lake was provided by and can be obtained
760 from the Turkish General Directorate of Hydraulic Works (DSI). Pleiades imagery is available
761 for purchase from Airbus industry (<https://www.intelligence-airbusds.com/en/8692-pleiades>).
762 The full offset retro-deformation dataset and a vector file of the fault map are available on the
763 Zenodo repository (Benjelloun et al., 2021) [open access]. The maps of Figures 5 are from
764 Google Earth satellite imagery. The diagrams of Figures 6, 7, 8 and 14 were prepared using the
765 Matplotlib 3.3.0 package for Python (<https://matplotlib.org/>; Hunter, 2007). All websites were
766 last accessed in January 2021. Supporting Information for this article includes a detailed
767 explanation of the marker quality score determination, a list of historical earthquakes in the area
768 of interest, a summary of paleoseismic trenching works done in the area, the offset measurement
769 data, and supplementary figures on the vertical slip markers south of Iznik Lake, some field
770 examples of Quaternary faulting, and the automatic fault discretization procedure.

771

772 **Acknowledgments**

773 This work has been supported by the Mediterranean Integrated STudies at Regional And Local
774 Scales – ENVIronment of the MEDiterranean (MISTRALS-ENVIMED) program (The North
775 Anatolian Fault in the region Iznik: Geomorphological Evolution and Archaeoseismicity

776 [NAFIGEA] project), the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU) Aleas program
777 (France), and the ANR CE03-2019 Basiliznik-secrets. The Pleiades images were bought with
778 support from LabEx OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir – ANR10 LABX56, France). This
779 study contributes to the IdEx Université de Paris ANR-18-IDEX-0001. The authors warmly
780 thank the ASTER team (D. Bourlès, G. Aumaitre, K. Keddadouche, and R. Braucher) for the
781 measurements performed at the ASTER AMS facility (CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence). We thank
782 the Turkish Ministry of Culture and Tourism for allowing the field work. We thank Pr. Mustafa
783 Sahin and his research group, and the Kandilli observatory in Iznik for their help with logistics
784 and housing. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

785

786 **References**

787 Aki, K. (1984). Asperities, barriers, characteristic earthquakes and strong motion prediction.
788 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 89(B7), 5867–5872.

789 Akyüz, S., Dogan, B., Aksoy, M. E., Tigli, O., & Zabcı, C. (2014). *Preliminary*
790 *Palaeoseismological results of the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) in the*
791 *Marmara Region, NW Turkey*. Paper presented at European Geosciences Union General
792 Assembly, Vienna, Austria.

793 Akyüz, H. S., Hartleb, R., Barka, A., Altunel, E., Sunal, G., Meyer, B., & Armijo, R. (2002).
794 Surface rupture and slip distribution of the 12 November 1999 Düzce earthquake (M 7.1), North
795 Anatolian fault, Bolu, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 61-66.

796 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000840>

797 Akyuz, H. S. & Zabcı, C. (2012). *A review of paleoseismic investigations along the North*

- 798 *Anatolian Fault, Turkey*. Paper presented at PANAF: Paleoseismology Along the North
799 Anatolian Fault Meeting, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 800 Ambraseys, N. N. (1970). Some Characteristic Features of the Anatolian Fault Zone.
801 *Tectonophysics*, 9, 143-165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(70\)90014-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(70)90014-4)
- 802 Ambraseys, N. (2000). The Seismicity of the Marmara Sea Area 1800-1899. *Journal of*
803 *Earthquake Engineering*, 4(3), 377–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632460009350376>
- 804 Ambraseys, N. N. (2001a). Reassessment of earthquakes, 1900–1999, in the eastern
805 Mediterranean and the Middle East. *Geophysical Journal International*, 145(2), 471–485.
806 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0956-540x.2001.01396.x>
- 807 Ambraseys, N. N. (2001b). The Earthquake of 1509 in the Sea of Marmara, Turkey, Revisited.
808 *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 91(6), 1397-1416.
809 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000305>
- 810 Ambraseys, N. (2002). The seismic activity of the Marmara Sea region over the last 2000 years.
811 *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 1–18.
812 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000843>
- 813 Ambraseys, N. (2009). *Earthquakes in the Mediterranean and Middle East. A multidisciplinary*
814 *study of seismicity up to 1900*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
815 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139195430>
- 816 Ambraseys, N. & Finkel, C. (1991). Long-term seismicity of Istanbul and of the Marmara Sea
817 region. *Terra Nova*, 3(5), 527–539. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1991.tb00188.x>
- 818 Ambraseys, N. N., & Finkel, C. F. (1995). The Seismicity of Turkey and Adjacent Areas: A

- 819 Historical Review, 1500–1800. Istanbul: Muhittin Salih Eren.
- 820 Ambraseys, N. N., & Jackson, J. (1998). Faulting associated with historical and recent
821 earthquakes in the eastern Mediterranean region. *Geophysical Journal International*, 133(2),
822 390–406. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00508.x>
- 823 Ambraseys, N.N., & Jackson, J.A. (2000). Seismicity of the Sea of Marmara (Turkey) since
824 1500. *Geophysical Journal International*, 141(3), F1-F6. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.2000.00137.x)
825 [246x.2000.00137.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.2000.00137.x)
- 826 Ambraseys, N. N., Jackson, J. A., & Melville, C. P. (2002). Historical Seismicity and Tectonics:
827 The Case of the Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East. In W. H. K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P.
828 C. Jennings, C. Kisslinger (Eds.). *International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering*
829 *Seismology* (Part A, pp. 747-763). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press.
830 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-6142\(02\)80248-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-6142(02)80248-0)
- 831 Ansberque, C., Bellier, O., Godard, V., Lasserre, C., Wang, M., Braucher, R., et al. (2016). The
832 Longriqu fault zone, eastern Tibetan Plateau: Segmentation and Holocene behavior. *Tectonics*,
833 35(3), 565–585.
- 834 Antoine, S. L., Klinger, Y., Delorme, A., Wang, K., Bürgmann, R., & Gold, R. (2021). Diffuse
835 Deformation and Surface Faulting Distribution from Submetric Image Correlation along the
836 2019 Ridgecrest, California, Ruptures. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*,
837 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120210036>
- 838 Armijo, R., Meyer, B., Hubert, A., & Barka, A. A. (1999). Westward propagation of the North
839 Anatolian fault into the northern Aegean: Timing and kinematics. *Geology*, 27, 267–270.
840 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(2000\)28<188:WPONAF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<188:WPONAF>2.0.CO;2)

- 841 Armijo, R., Meyer, B., King, G. C. P., Rigo, A., & Papanastassiou, D. (1996). Quaternary
842 evolution of the Corinth Rift and its implications for the Late Cenozoic evolution of the Aegean.
843 *Geophysical Journal International*, 126(1), 11-53. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb05264.x)
844 [246X.1996.tb05264.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb05264.x)
- 845 Armijo, R., Meyer, B., Navarro, S., King, G., & Barka, A. (2002). Asymmetric slip partitioning
846 in the Sea of Marmara pull-apart: a clue to propagation processes of the North Anatolian Fault?
847 *Terra Nova*, 14(2), 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3121.2002.00397.x>
- 848 Arnold, M., Merchel, S., Bourlès, D. L., Braucher, R., Benedetti, L., Finkel, R. C., et al. (2010).
849 The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility ASTER: Improved performance and
850 developments. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam*
851 *Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 268, 1954-1959.
852 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2010.02.107>
- 853 Balco, G., Stone, J. O., Lifton, N. A., & Dunai, T. J. (2008). A complete and easily accessible
854 means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al measurements.
855 *Quaternary Geochronology*, 3(3), 174 – 195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001>
- 856 Baris, S., Ito, A., Üçer, S. B., Honkura, Y., Kafadar, N., Pektaş, R., et al. (2002).
857 Microearthquake Activity before the Izmit Earthquake in the Eastern Marmara region, Turkey (1
858 January 1993-17 August 1999). *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 394–
859 405. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000826>
- 860 Barka, A. (1992). The North Anatolian fault zone. *Annales Tectonicae*, 6, 164–195.
- 861 Barka, A. (1993). *Kuzey Anadolu Fayı'nın Sapanca-Izmit ve Geyve-Iznik Kolları üzerinde*
862 *Palaeosismik Arastirmalar* (Technical Report YBAG-4/7551). Istanbul: TÜBİTAK.

- 863 Barka, A. (1996). Slip distribution along the North Anatolian fault associated with the large
864 earthquakes of the period 1939 to 1967. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 86(5),
865 1238–1254.
- 866 Barka, A., Akyüz, H. S., Altunel, E., Sunal, G., Cakir, Z., Dikbas, A., et al. (2002). The Surface
867 Rupture and Slip Distribution of the 17 August 1999 Izmit Earthquake (M 7.4), North Anatolian
868 Fault. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 43-60.
869 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000841>
- 870 Barka, A. & Kadinsky-Cade, K. (1988). Strike-slip fault geometry in Turkey and its influence on
871 earthquake activity. *Tectonics*, 7(3), 663–684.
- 872 Beauprêtre, S., Garambois, S., Manighetti, I., Malavieille, J., Sénéchal, G., Chatton, M., et al.
873 (2012). Finding the buried record of past earthquakes with GPR-based palaeoseismology: a case
874 study on the Hope fault, New Zealand. *Geophysical Journal International*, 189(1), 73-100.
875 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05366.x>
- 876 Beauprêtre, S., Manighetti, I., Garambois, S., Malavieille, J., & Dominguez, S. (2013).
877 Stratigraphic architecture and fault offsets of alluvial terraces at Te Marua, Wellington fault,
878 New Zealand, revealed by pseudo-3D GPR investigation. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
879 *Solid Earth*, 118, 4564-4585.
- 880 Benjelloun, Y., de Sigoyer, Dessales, H., Baillet, L., Guéguen, P., & Sahin, M. (2020). Historical
881 Earthquake Scenarios for the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault Deduced from Archo-
882 Damage Inventory and Building Deformation Modeling. *Seismological Research Letters*, 92,
883 583-598. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220200278>
- 884 Benjelloun, Y., de Sigoyer, J., Dessales, H., Garambois, S., & Sahin, M. (2018). Construction

885 history of the aqueduct of Nicaea (Iznik, NW Turkey) and its on-fault deformation viewed from
886 archaeological and geophysical investigations. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 21,
887 389-400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.08.010>

888 Benjelloun, Y., de Sigoyer, J., Garambois, S., Carcaillet, J., & Klinger, Y. (2021). Data for:
889 Segmentation and Holocene Behavior of the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault (NW
890 Turkey) (Version 1.2.0) [Data set]. Zenodo. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5566874>

891 Broxton, M. J. & Edwards, L. J. (2008). *The Ames Stereo Pipeline: Automated 3D Surface*
892 *Reconstruction from Orbital Imagery*. Paper presented at the 39th Lunar and Planetary Science
893 Conference, League City, TX.

894 Brown, E. T., Edmond, J. M., Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F., Kurz, M. D., & Brook, E. J. (1991).
895 Examination of surface exposure ages of Antarctic moraines using in situ produced ¹⁰Be and
896 ²⁶Al. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 55, 2269-2283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(91)90103-C)
897 [7037\(91\)90103-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(91)90103-C)

898 Chaput, E. (1936). *Voyages d'études géologique et géomorphogéniques en Turquie*. Paris : De
899 Boccard.

900 Chmeleff, J., von Blanckenburg, F., Kossert, K., & Jakob, D. (2010). Determination of the ¹⁰Be
901 half-life by multicollector ICP-MS and liquid scintillation counting. *Nuclear Instruments and*
902 *Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 268(2),
903 192–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.09.012>

904 Choi, J. H., Klinger, Y., Ferry, M., Ritz, J. F., Kurtz, R., Rizza, M. et al. (2018). Geologic
905 Inheritance and Earthquake Rupture Processes: The 1905 $M \geq 8$ Tsetserleg-Bulnay Strike-Slip
906 Earthquake Sequence, Mongolia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123.

907 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB013962>.

908 Civico, R., Smedile, A., Pantosti, D., Cinti, F. R., De Martini, P. M., Pucci, S., et al. (2021). New
909 trenching results along the Iznik segment of the central strand of the North Anatolian Fault
910 (Turkey): an integration with preexisting data. *Mediterranean Geoscience Reviews*, 3, 115-128.
911 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42990-021-00054-9>

912 de Michele, M., Raucoules, D., de Sigoyer, J., Pubellier, M., & Chamot-Rooke, N. (2010).
913 Three-dimensional surface displacement of the 2008 May 12 Sichuan earthquake (China)
914 derived from Synthetic Aperture Radar: evidence for rupture on a blind thrust. *Geophysical*
915 *Journal International*, 183(3), 1097-1103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04807.x>

916 Dikbas, A., Akyüz, H. S., Meghraoui, M., Ferry, M., Altunel, E., Zabcı, C., et al. (2018).
917 Paleoseismic history and slip rate along the Sapanca-Akyazi segment of the 1999 Izmit
918 earthquake rupture (MW=7.4) of the North Anatolian Fault (Turkey). *Tectonophysics*, 738-739,
919 92-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.04.019>

920 Dogan, B. (2010). *Kuzey Anadolu Fay Sistemi Güney Kolunun Geyve-Gemlik Arasındaki*
921 *Kesiminin Morfotektonik, Tektonostratigrafik ve Palaeosismolojik Evrimi*. (Doctoral
922 dissertation). Istanbul: Istanbul Teknik Üniversitesi.

923 Elliott, J. R., Walters, R. J., & Wright, T. J. (2016). The role of space-based observation in
924 understanding and responding to active tectonics and earthquakes. *Nature Communications*, 7,
925 13844. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13844>

926 Emre, Ö., Duman, T. Y., & Özalp, S. (2011). *1:250.000 Ölçekli Türkiye Diri Fay Haritası Serisi*.
927 (Technical report). Ankara: Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel Müdürlüğü.

928 Erginal, A. E., Erenoglu, R. C., Yildirim, C., Selim, H., H., Kiyak, N. G, Erenoglu, O, et al.

- 929 (2021). Co-seismic beachrock deformation of 8th century CE Earthquake in Middle Strand of
930 North Anatolian Fault, Lake Iznik, NW Turkey. *Tectonophysics*, 799, 2286990.
931 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228690>
- 932 Ergintav, S., Reilinger, R. E., Çakmak, R., Floyd, M., Cakir, Z., Dogan, U., et al. (2014).
933 Istanbul's earthquake hot spots: Geodetic constraints on strain accumulation along faults in the
934 Marmara seismic gap. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(16), 5783–5788.
935 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060985>
- 936 Flerit, F., Armijo, R., King, G. C. P., Meyer, B., & Barka, A. (2003). Slip partitioning in the Sea
937 of Marmara pull-apart determined from GPS velocity vectors. *Geophysical Journal*
938 *International*, 154(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01899.x>
- 939 Fletcher, J. M., Teran, O. J., Rockwell, T. K., Oskin, M. E., Hudnut, K., W., Mueller, K. J., et al.
940 (2014). Assembly of a large earthquake from a complex fault system: Surface rupture kinematics
941 of the 4 April 2010 El Mayor – Cucapah (Mexico) M_w 7.2 earthquake. *Geosphere*, 10(4), 797-
942 827. <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00933.1>
- 943 Fraser, J., Vanneste, K., & Hubert-Ferrari, A. (2010). Recent behavior of the North Anatolian
944 Fault: Insights from an integrated palaeoseismological data set. *Journal of Geophysical*
945 *Research: Solid Earth*, 115(B9): B09316.
- 946 Fu, B., Ninomiya, Y., Lei, X., Toda, S., & Awata, Y. (2004). Mapping active fault associated
947 with the 2003 M_w 6.6 Bam (SE Iran) earthquake with ASTER 3D images. *Remote Sensing of*
948 *Environment*, 92(2), 153–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2004.05.019>
- 949 Gastineau, R., de Sigoyer, J., Sabatier, P., Fabbri, S. C., Anselmetti, F. S., Develle, A. L., et al.
950 (2021). Active subaquatic fault segments in Lake Iznik along the middle strand of the North

- 951 Anatolian Fault, NW Turkey. *Tectonics*, 40, e2020TC006404.
- 952 Gasperini, L., Polonia, A., Çagatay, M. N., Bortoluzzi, G., & Ferrante, V. (2011). Geological
953 slip rates along the North Anatolian Fault in the Marmara region. *Tectonics*, 30(6), TC6001.
- 954 Gold, P. O., Oskin, M. E., Elliott, A. J., Hinojosa-Corona, A., Taylor, M. H., Kreylos, O., &
955 Cowgill, E. (2013). Coseismic slip variation assessed from terrestrial lidar scans of the El
956 Mayor-Cucapah surface rupture. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 366, 151-162.
957 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.01.040>
- 958 Grall, C., Henry, P., Thomas, Y., Westbrook, G.K., Çagatay, M.N., Marsset, B., et al. (2013).
959 Slip rate estimation along the western segment of the Main Marmara Fault over the last 405–490
960 ka by correlating mass transport deposits. *Tectonics*, 32, 2012TC003255.
961 [doi:10.1002/2012TC003255](https://doi.org/10.1002/2012TC003255).
- 962 Guidoboni, E., and Comastri, A. (Eds.) (2005). *Catalogue of Earthquakes and Tsunamis in the*
963 *Mediterranean Area From the 11th to the 15th Century*. Roma: Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e
964 Vulcanologia.
- 965 Guidoboni, E., Comastri, A., & Traina, G. (1994). Catalogue of ancient earthquakes in the
966 Mediterranean area up to the 10th century. Roma: Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica.
- 967 Gürbüz, C., Aktar, M., Eyidogan, H., Cisternas, A., Haessler, H., Barka, A., et al. (2000). The
968 seismotectonics of the Marmara region (Turkey): results from a microseismic experiment.
969 *Tectonophysics*, 316(1), 1–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951\(99\)00253-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00253-X)
- 970 Hamling, I. J., Hreinsdottir, S., Clark, K., Elliott, J., Liang, C., Fielding, E., et al. (2017).
971 Complex multifault rupture during the 2016 7.8 Kaikoura earthquake, New Zealand. *Science*,
972 356(6334), eaam7194. DOI: 10.1126/science.aam7194

- 973 Heisinger, B., Lal, D., Jull, A., Kubik, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Knie, K., & Nolte, E. (2002a),
974 Production of selected cosmogenic radionuclides by muons: 2. capture of negative muons. *Earth*
975 *and Planetary Science Letters*, 200(3), 357 – 369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012->
976 821X(02)00641-6
- 977 Heisinger, B., Lal, D., Jull, A., Kubik, P., Ivy-Ochs, S., Neumaier, S., Knie, K., Lazarev, V., &
978 Nolte, E. (2002b). Production of selected cosmogenic radionuclides by muons: 1. fast muons.
979 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 200(3), 345 – 355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012->
980 821X(02)00640-4
- 981 Hidy, A. J., Gosse, J. C., Pederson, J. L., Mattern, J. P., & Finkel, R. C. (2010). A geologically
982 constrained Monte Carlo approach to modeling exposure ages from profiles of cosmogenic
983 nuclides: An example from Lees Ferry, Arizona. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 11(9),
984 Q0AA10. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003084>
- 985 Honkura, Y. & Isikara, A. M. (1991). Multidisciplinary research on fault activity in the western
986 part of the North Anatolian Fault Zone. *Tectonophysics*, 193(4), 347–357.
987 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(91\)90343-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90343-Q)
- 988 Hubert-Ferrari, A., Barka, A., Jacques, E., Nalbant, S. S., Meyer, B., Armijo, R., et al. (2000).
989 Seismic hazard in the Marmara Sea region following the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake.
990 *Nature*, 404(6775), 269–273. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35005054>
- 991 Hubert-Ferrari, A., King, G., van der Woerd, J., Villa, I., Altunel, E., & Armijo, R. (2002).
992 Long-term evolution of the North Anatolian Fault: new constraints from its eastern termination.
993 In D. J. J. van Hinsbergen, M. A. Edwards, R. Govers (Eds.), *Collision and Collapse at the*
994 *Africa-Arabia-Eurasia Subduction Zone, Geological Society Special Publications* (Vol. 311, pp.

- 995 133-154). London: The Geological Society. doi: 10.1144/SP311.5
- 996 Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science &*
997 *Engineering*, 9, 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- 998 Ikeda, Y. (1988). Recent activity of the Iznik-Mekece fault at Corak Stream, east of Iznik. In Y.
999 Honkura, A. M. Isikara (Eds.), *Multidisciplinary research on fault activity in the western part of*
1000 *the North Anatolian Fault Zone* (pp. 15–27). Tokyo: Institute of Technology.
- 1001 Ikeda, Y. (1988). Recent activity of the Iznik-Mekece fault at Corak Stream, east of Iznik. In Y.
1002 Honkura, A. M. Isikara (Eds.), *Multidisciplinary research on fault activity in the western part of*
1003 *the North Anatolian Fault Zone* (pp. 15–27). Tokyo: Institute of Technology.
- 1004 Ikeda, Y., Herece, E., Sugai, T., & Isikara, A. M. (1991). Postglacial crustal deformation
1005 associated with slip on the western part of the North Anatolian Fault Zone in the Iznik Lake
1006 Basin, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Department of Geography University of Tokyo*, 23, 13–23.
- 1007 Ikeda, Y., Suzuki, Y., & Herece, E. (1989). Late Holocene activity of the North Anatolian fault
1008 zone in the Orhangazi plain, northwestern Turkey. In Y. Honkura, A. M. Isikara (Eds.),
1009 *Multidisciplinary research on fault activity in the western part of the North Anatolian fault zone*
1010 (Vol. 2, pp. 16–30). Tokyo: Institute of Technology.
- 1011 Ikeda, Y., Suzuki, Y., Herece, E., Saroglu, F., Isikara, A. M., & Honkura, Y. (1991). Geological
1012 evidence for the last two faulting events on the north Anatolian Fault zone in the Mudurnu
1013 Valley, western Turkey. *Tectonophysics*, 193(4), 335-345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/0040->
1014 [1951\(91\)90342-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(91)90342-P)
- 1015 Ketin, I. (1969). Kuzey Anadolu Fayi Hakkında. *Maden Tektik ve Arama Dergisi*, 72, 1-27.
- 1016 Ketin, I., & Rösli, F. (1953). Makroseismische Untersuchungen über das nordwest-anatolische

- 1017 Beben vom 18 März 1953. *Eclogae Geologicae Helveticae*, 46, 187-208.
- 1018 Kim, S. J., Koh, K., Boyd, S., & Gorinevsky, D. (2009). 11 trend filtering. *SIAM Review*, 51(2),
1019 339-360. <https://doi.org/10.1137/070690274>
- 1020 King, G., & Nabelek, J. (1985). Role of Fault Bends in the Initiation and Termination of
1021 Earthquake Rupture. *Science*, 228(4702), 984-987. DOI: 10.1126/science.228.4702.984
- 1022 King, G., Klinger, Y., Bowman, D., & Tapponnier, P. (2005). Slip-Partitioned Surface Breaks
1023 for the M_w 7.8 2001 Kokoxili Earthquake, China. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of*
1024 *America*, 95(2), 731-738. doi: 10.1785/0120040101
- 1025 Klinger, Y. (2010). Relation between continental strike-slip earthquake segmentation and
1026 thickness of the crust. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 115, B07306.
- 1027 Klinger, Y., Etchebes, M., Tapponnier, P., & Narteau, C. (2011). Characteristic slip for five great
1028 earthquakes along the Fuyun fault in China. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(6), 389–392.
1029 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1158>
- 1030 Klinger, Y., Sieh, K., Altunel, E., Akoglu, A., Barka, A., Dawson, T., et al. (2003).
1031 Palaeoseismic Evidence of Characteristic Slip on the Western Segment of the North Anatolian
1032 Fault, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 93(6), 2317-2332.
1033 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120010270>
- 1034 Klinger, Y., Xu, X., Tapponnier, P., Van der Woerd, J., Lasserre, C., & King, G. (2005). High-
1035 Resolution Satellite Imagery Mapping of the Surface Rupture and Slip Distribution of the M_w
1036 ~7.8, 14 November 2001 Kokoxili Earthquake, Kunlun Fault, Northern Tibet, China. *Bulletin of*
1037 *the Seismological Society of America*, 95(5), 1970-1987. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1785/0120040233>
- 1038 Koçyigit, A. (1988). Tectonic setting of the Geyve Basin: age and total displacement of the

- 1039 Geyve Fault Zone. *Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 21, 81–104.
- 1040 Koçyigit, A. & Özacar, A. A. (2003). Extensional neotectonic regime through the NE edge of the
1041 outer Isparta Angle, SW Turkey: new field and seismic data. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*,
1042 12(1), 67–90.
- 1043 Kozaci, Ö., Dolan, J. F., & Finkel, R. C. (2009). A late Holocene slip rate for the central North
1044 Anatolian fault, at Tahtaköprü, Turkey, from cosmogenic ¹⁰Be geochronology: Implications for
1045 fault loading and strain release rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 114(B1),
1046 B01405. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005760>
- 1047 Kozaci, Ö., Dolan, J. F., Finkel, R., & Hartleb, R. (2007). Late Holocene slip rate for the North
1048 Anatolian fault, Turkey, from cosmogenic ³⁶Cl geochronology: Implications for the constancy
1049 of fault loading and strain release rates. *Geology*, 35(10), 867-870.
1050 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G23187A.1>
- 1051 Kürçer, A., Chatzipetros, A., Tutkun, S. Z., Pavlides, S., Özkan Ates, & Valkaniotis, S. (2008).
1052 The Yenice–Gönen active fault (NW Turkey): Active tectonics and palaeoseismology.
1053 *Tectonophysics*, 453(1), 263 – 275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.07.010>
- 1054 Kürçer, A., Özalp, S., Özdemir, E., Uygun Güldogan, C., & Duman, T. Y. (2019). Active
1055 tectonics and paleoseismologic characteristics of the Yenice-Gönen fault, NW Turkey, in light of
1056 the 18 March 1953 Yenice-Gönen Earthquake (Ms=7.2). *Maden Tektik ve Arama Dergisi*, 159,
1057 29-62. <https://doi.org/10.19111/bulletinofmre.500553>
- 1058 Kurt, H., Sorlien, C.C., Seeber, L., Steckler, M.S., Shillington, D.J., Cifci, G., et al. (2013).
1059 Steady late quaternary slip rate on the Cinarcik section of the North Anatolian fault near Istanbul,
1060 Turkey. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 4555-4559. doi:10.1002/grl.50882.

- 1061 Kurtz, R., Klinger, Y., Ferry, M., & Ritz, J. F. (2018). Horizontal surface-slip distribution
1062 through several seismic cycles: The Eastern Bogd fault, Gobi-Altai, Mongolia. *Tectonophysics*,
1063 734-735, 167-182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.03.011>
- 1064 Lafarge, T., & Possolo, A. (2016). The NIST Uncertainty Machine. *NCSLI Measure*, 10(3), 20-
1065 27. DOI: 10.1080/19315775.2015.11721732
- 1066 Le Béon, M., Klinger, Y., Al-Qaryouti, M., Mériaux, A. S., Finkel, R., C., Elia, A., et al. (2010).
1067 Early Holocene and Late Pleistocene slip rates of the southern Dead Sea Fault determined from
1068 ¹⁰Be cosmogenic dating of offset alluvial deposits. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*
1069 *Earth*, 115, B11414, doi:10.1029/2009JB007198.
- 1070 Lefevre, M., Klinger, Y., Al-Qaryouti, M., Le Béon, M., & Moumani, K. (2018). Slip deficit and
1071 temporal clustering along the Dead Sea fault from palaeoseismological investigations. *Scientific*
1072 *Reports*, 8, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22627-9.
- 1073 Le Pichon, X., Imren, C., Rangin, C., Sengör, A. M. C., & Siyako, M. (2014). The South
1074 Marmara Fault. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 103(1), 219–231.
1075 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-013-0950-0>
- 1076 Lifton, N. (2016). Implications of two Holocene time dependent geomagnetic models for
1077 cosmogenic nuclide production rate scaling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 433, 257-268.
1078 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.006>
- 1079 Lifton, N. A., Sato, T., & Dunai, T. J. (2014). Scaling in situ cosmogenic nuclide production
1080 rates using analytical approximations to atmospheric cosmic-ray fluxes. *Earth and Planetary*
1081 *Science Letters*, 386, 149-160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.052>
- 1082 Lin, Z., Liu-Zeng, J., Weldon II, R. J., Tian, J., Ding, C., & Du, Y. (2020). Modeling repeated

- 1083 coseismic slip to identify and characterize individual earthquakes from geomorphic offsets on
1084 strike-slip faults. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 545, 116313.
1085 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116313>
- 1086 Liu-Zeng, J., Klinger, Y., Sieh, K., Rubin, C., & Seitz, G. (2006). Serial ruptures of the San
1087 Andreas fault, Carrizo Plain, California, revealed by three-dimensional excavations. *Journal of*
1088 *Geophysical Research*, 111, B02306.
- 1089 Lozos, J. C. (2016). A case for historic joint rupture of the San Andreas and San Jacinto faults.
1090 *Science Advances*, 2(3), e1500621. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500621>
- 1091 Manighetti, I., Perrin, C., Dominguez, S., Garambois, S., Gaudemer, Y., Malavieille, J., et al.
1092 (2015). Recovering paleoearthquake slip record in a highly dynamic alluvial and tectonic region
1093 (Hope Fault, New Zealand) from airborne lidar. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
1094 120(6), 4484–4509. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011787>
- 1095 Manighetti, I., Perrin, C., Gaudemer, Y., Dominguez, S., Stewart, N., Malavieille, J., Garambois,
1096 S. (2020). Repeated giant earthquakes on the Wairarapa fault, New Zealand, revealed by Lidar-
1097 based paleoseismology. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 2124. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59229-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59229-3)
1098 3
- 1099 Martin, L. C. P., Blard, P.-H., Balco, G., Lavé, J., Delunel, R., Lifton, N., Laurent, V. (2017).
1100 The CREp program and the ICE-D production rate calibration database: A fully parameterizable
1101 and updated online tool to compute cosmic-ray exposure ages. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 38,
1102 25-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2016.11.006>
- 1103 McClusky, S., Balassanian, S., Barka, A., Demir, C., Ergintav, S., Georgiev, I., et al. (2000).
1104 Global Positioning System constraints on plate kinematics and dynamics in the eastern

- 1105 Mediterranean and Caucasus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 105(B3), 5695–
1106 5719.
- 1107 McGill, S. F., & Rubin, C. M. (1999). Surficial slip distribution on the central Emerson fault
1108 during the June 28, 1992, Landers earthquake, California. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
1109 *Solid Earth*, 104(B3), 4811–4833.
- 1110 McGill, S. F., & Sieh, K. (1991). Surficial offsets on the Central and Eastern Garlock Fault
1111 associated with prehistoric earthquakes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(B13), 21597-
1112 21621.
- 1113 Meade, B. J., Hager, B. H., McClusky, S. C., Reilinger, R. E., Ergintav, S., Lenk, O., et al.
1114 (2002). Estimates of seismic potential in the Marmara Sea region from block models of secular
1115 deformation constrained by Global Positioning System measurements. *Bulletin of the*
1116 *Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 208–215. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1785/0120000837>
- 1117 Merchel, S. & Herpers, U. (1999). An update on radiochemical separation techniques for the
1118 determination of long-lived radionuclides via accelerator mass spectrometry. *Radiochimica Acta*,
1119 84(4), 215-219. <https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.1999.84.4.215>
- 1120 Moratto, Z. M., Broxton, M. J., Beyer, R. A., Lundy, M., & Husmann, K. (2010). *Ames Stereo*
1121 *Pipeline, NASA's Open Source Automated Stereogrammetry Software*. Paper presented at the
1122 41th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, Woodlands, TX.
- 1123 Motagh, M., Hoffmann, J., Kampes, B., Baes, M., & Zschau, J. (2007). Strain accumulation
1124 across the Gazikoy–Saros segment of the North Anatolian Fault inferred from Persistent
1125 Scatterer Interferometry and GPS measurements. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 255, 432-
1126 444. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.003>.

- 1127 Onderdonk, N., McGill, S., & Rockwell, T. (2018). A 3700 yr paleoseismic record from the
1128 northern San Jacinto fault and implications for joint rupture of the San Jacinto and San Andreas
1129 faults. *Geosphere*, 14(6), 2447-2468. <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01687.1>
- 1130 Özalp, S., Emre, Ö., & Dogan, A. (2013). The segment structure of southern branch of the North
1131 Anatolian Fault and palaeoseismological behaviour of the Gemlik fault, NW Anatolia. *Bulletin of*
1132 *MTA*, 147, 1–17.
- 1133 Özbey, V., Özeren, M.S., Henry, P., Klein, E., Galgana, G., Karabulut, H., et al. (2021).
1134 Kinematics of the Marmara Region: a fusion of continuum and block models. *Mediterranean*
1135 *Geoscience Reviews*, 3, 57-78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42990-021-00051-y>
- 1136 Öztürk, K., Yaltirak, C., & Alpar, B. (2009). The relationship between the tectonic setting of the
1137 Lake Iznik Basin and the Middle Strand of the North Anatolian Fault. *Turkish Journal of Earth*
1138 *Sciences*, 18, 209–224.
- 1139 Palyvos, N., Pantosti, D., Zabci, C., & D'Addezio, G. (2007). Paleoseismological Evidence of
1140 Recent Earthquakes on the 1967 Mudurnu Valley Earthquake Segment of the North Anatolian
1141 Fault Zone. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 97(5), 1646-1661.
1142 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120060049>
- 1143 Parsons, T., Toda, S., Stein, R. S., Barka, A., & Dieterich, J. H. (2000). Heightened odds of large
1144 earthquakes near Istanbul: An interaction-based probability calculation. *Science*, 288(5466),
1145 661–665. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5466.661>
- 1146 Pondard, N., Armijo, R., King, G. C. P., Meyer, B., & Flérit, F. (2007). Fault interactions in the
1147 Sea of Marmara pull-apart (North Anatolian Fault): earthquake clustering and propagating
1148 earthquake sequences. *Geophysical Journal International*, 171, 1185-1197.

- 1149 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03580.x>
- 1150 Reilinger, R., McClusky, S., Vernant, P., Lawrence, S., Ergintav, S., Cakmak, R., et al. (2006).
1151 GPS constraints on continental deformation in the Africa-Arabia-Eurasia continental collision
1152 zone and implications for the dynamics of plate interactions. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
1153 *Solid Earth*, 111, B05411. doi:10.1029/2005JB004051.
- 1154 Reitman, N. G., Mueller, K. J., Tucker, G. E., Gold, R. D., Briggs, R. W., & Barnhart, K. R.
1155 (2019). Offset channels may not accurately record strike-slip fault displacements: Evidence from
1156 landscape evolution models. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124, 13,427-13,451.
- 1157 Ren, Z., Zielke, O., & Yu, J. (2018). Active tectonics in 4D high-resolution. *Journal of*
1158 *Structural Geology*, 117, 264-271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2018.09.015>
- 1159 Rizza, M., Ritz, J. F., Braucher, R., Vassallo, R., Prentice, C., Mahan, S., et al. (2011). Slip rate
1160 and slip magnitudes of past earthquakes along the Bogd left-lateral strike-slip fault (Mongolia).
1161 *Geophysical Journal International*, 186(3), 897-927. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365->
1162 [246X.2011.05075.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05075.x)
- 1163 Rockwell, T. K., Dawson, T. E., Young Ben-Horin, J., & Seitz, G. (2014). A 21-Event, 4,000
1164 Year History of Surface Ruptures in the Anza Seismic Gap, San Jacinto Fault, and Implications
1165 for Long-term Earthquake Production on a Major Plate Boundary Fault. *Pure and Applied*
1166 *Geophysics*, 172, 1143-1165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-014-0955-z>
- 1167 Rockwell, T. K., Lindvall, S., Dawson, T., Langridge, R., Lettis, W., & Klinger, Y. (2002).
1168 Lateral Offsets on Surveyed Cultural Features Resulting from the 1999 Izmit and Düzce
1169 Earthquakes, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 92(1), 79-94.
1170 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000809>

- 1171 Rockwell, T., Ragona, D., Seitz, G., Langridge, R., Ersen Aksoy, M., Ucar, G., et al. (2009).
1172 Palaeoseismology of the North Anatolian Fault near the Marmara Sea: Implications for fault
1173 segmentation and seismic hazard. In K. Reicherter, A. M. Michetti, P. G. Silva (Eds.),
1174 *Palaeoseismology: Historical and Prehistorical Records of Earthquake Ground Effects for*
1175 *Seismic Hazard Assessment, Geological Society Special Publications* (Vol. 316(1), pp. 31-54).
1176 London: The Geological Society. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP316.3>
- 1177 Scharer, K. M., Salisbury, J. B., Arrowsmith, J. R., & Rockwell, T. K. (2014). Southern San
1178 Andreas Fault Evaluation Field Activity: Approaches to Measuring Small Geomorphic Offsets –
1179 Challenges and Recommendations for Active Fault Studies. *Seismological Research Letters*, 85,
1180 68-76. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130108>
- 1181 Schwartz, D. P., & Coppersmith, K. J. (1984). Fault Behavior and Characteristic Earthquakes:
1182 Examples From the Wasatch and San Andreas Fault Zones. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
1183 89(B7), 5681-5698.
- 1184 Sengör, A. M. C., Grall, C., Imren, C., Le Pichon, X., Görür, N., Henry, P., et al. (2014). The
1185 geometry of the North Anatolian transform fault in the Sea of Marmara and its temporal
1186 evolution: implications for the development of intracontinental transform faults. *Canadian*
1187 *Journal of Earth Sciences*, 51(3), 222–242. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2013-0160>
- 1188 Sengör, A. M. C., Tüysüz, O., Imren, C., Sakiñç, M., Eyidogan, H., Görür, N., et al. (2005). The
1189 North Anatolian Fault: A New Look. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 33, 37-
1190 112. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.32.101802.120415>
- 1191 Sengör, A. M. C., & Zabcı, C. (2019). The North Anatolian Fault and the North Anatolian Shear
1192 Zone. In C. Kuzucuoglu, A. Ciner, N. Kazancı (Eds.), *Landscape and Landforms of Turkey* (pp.

- 1193 481-494). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03515-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03515-0_27)
1194 [0_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03515-0_27)
- 1195 Shean, D. E., Alexandrov, O., Moratto, Z., Smith, B. E., Joughin, I. R., Porter, C. C., & Morin, P.
1196 J. (2016). An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models
1197 (DEMs) from very high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. *ISPRS Journal of*
1198 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 116, 101–117.
1199 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012>
- 1200 Sieh, K. E. & Jahns, R. H. (1984). Holocene activity of the San Andreas fault at Wallace Creek,
1201 California. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 95(8), 883–896.
1202 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1984\)95<883:HAOTSA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1984)95<883:HAOTSA>2.0.CO;2)
- 1203 Sipahioglu, S. & Matsuda, T. (1986). Geology and Quaternary fault in the Iznik-Mekece area. In
1204 A. M. Isikara, Y. Honkura (Eds.), *Electric and Magnetic Research on Active Faults in the North*
1205 *Anatolian Fault Zone*. (pp. 25-41). Tokyo: Tokyo Inst. Technology.
- 1206 Stein, R. S., Barka, A. A., & Dieterich, J. H. (1997). Progressive failure on the North Anatolian
1207 fault since 1939 by earthquake stress triggering. *Geophysical Journal International*, 128(3), 594–
1208 604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb05321.x>
- 1209 Straub, C., Kahle, H.-G., & Schindler, C. (1997). GPS and geologic estimates of the tectonic
1210 activity in the Marmara Sea region, NW Anatolia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*
1211 *Earth*, 102(B12), 27587–27601.
- 1212 Stroeven, A. P., Heyman, J., Fabel, D., Björck, S., Caffee, M. W., Fredin, O., & Harbor, J. M.
1213 (2015). A new Scandinavian reference ^{10}Be production rate. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 29,
1214 104–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2015.06.011>

- 1215 Tanoglu, A., & Erinc, S. (1956). Garsak bogazı ve Eski Sakarya (The Garsak gorge and the
1216 Sakarya River diversion). *Istanbul Universitesi Coğrafya Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 4, 17–31.
- 1217 Tsukuda, T., Satake, K., Honkura, Y., Üçer, S. B., & Isikara, A. M. (1988). Low seismicity, low
1218 coda-Q and discontinuities of the upper crust in the vicinity of the Iznik-Mekece fault, the North
1219 Anatolian Fault Zone, Turkey. *Bulletin of Earthquake Research of the Institute University of*
1220 *Tokyo*, 63, 327–348.
- 1221 Uppala, S. M., Kållberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., Bechtold, V. D. C., Fiorino, M., et
1222 al. (2005). The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 131,
1223 2961-3012. <https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.04.176>
- 1224 van der Woerd, J., Klinger, Y., Sieh, K., Tapponnier, P., Ryerson, F. J., & Mériaux, A. S. (2006).
1225 Long-term slip rate of the southern San Andreas Fault from ^{10}Be - ^{26}Al surface exposure dating of
1226 an offset alluvial fan. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111, B04407.
- 1227 Vardar, D., Öztürk, K., Yaltırak, C., Alpar, B., & Tur, H. (2014). Late Pleistocene-Holocene
1228 evolution of the southern Marmara shelf and sub-basins: middle strand of the North Anatolian
1229 fault, southern Marmara Sea, Turkey. *Marine Geophysical Research*, 35(1), 69-85.
1230 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-013-9210-8>
- 1231 Ward, S. N. (1997). Dogtails versus rainbows: synthetic earthquake rupture models as an aid in
1232 interpreting geological data. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 87(1), 1422-1441.
- 1233 Wechsler, N., Rockwell, T. K., & Klinger, Y. (2018). Variable slip-rate and slip-per-event on a
1234 plate boundary fault: The Dead Sea fault in northern Israel. *Tectonophysics*, 722, 210-226.
1235 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.017>
- 1236 Wells, D. L., & Coppersmith, K. J. (1994). New Empirical Relationships among Magnitude,

- 1237 Rupture Length, Rupture Width, Rupture Area, and Surface Displacement. *Bulletin of the*
1238 *Seismological Society of America*, 84(4), 974-1002.
- 1239 Wesnousky, S. G. (2006). Predicting the endpoints of earthquake ruptures. *Nature*, 444, 358-360.
1240 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05275>
- 1241 Yılmaz, Y., Genç, C., Yigitbas, E., Bozcu, M., & Yılmaz, K. (1995). Geological evolution of the
1242 late Mesozoic continental margin of Northwestern Anatolia. *Tectonophysics*, 243(1), 155–171.
1243 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(94\)00196-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(94)00196-G)
- 1244 Yoshioka, T. & Kusçu, I. (1994). Late Holocene faulting events on the Iznik-Mekece fault in the
1245 western part of the North Anatolian fault zone, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Geological Survey of*
1246 *Japan*, 45(11), 677–685.
- 1247 Zabcı, C. (2019). Spatio-temporal behaviour of continental transform faults: Implications from
1248 the late Quaternary slip history of the North Anatolian Fault, Turkey. *Canadian Journal of Earth*
1249 *Sciences*, 56(11), 1218-1238. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2018-0308>
- 1250 Zabcı, C., Sançar, T., Akyüz, H. S., & Kiyak, N. G. (2015). Spatial slip behavior of large strike-
1251 slip fault belts: Implications for the Holocene slip rates of the eastern termination of the North
1252 Anatolian Fault, Turkey. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 120(12), 8591-8609.
- 1253 Zielke, O. (2018). Earthquake Recurrence and the Resolution Potential of Tectono-Geomorphic
1254 Records. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 108(3A), 1399-1413.
1255 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120170241>
- 1256 Zielke, O., Arrowsmith, J. R., Grant Ludwig, L., & Akçiz, S. O. (2010). Slip in the 1857 and
1257 earlier large earthquakes along the Carrizo Plain, San Andreas Fault. *Science*, 327, 1119-1122.
1258 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1182781>

- 1259 Zielke, O., Arrowsmith, J. R., Grant Ludwig, L., & Akciz, S. O. (2012). High-Resolution
1260 Topography-Derived Offsets along the 1857 Fort Tejon Earthquake Rupture Trace, San Andreas
1261 Fault. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 102(3), 1135-1154.
1262 <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120110230>
- 1263 Zielke, O., Klinger, Y., & Arrowsmith, J. R. (2015). Fault slip and earthquake recurrence along
1264 strike-slip faults - Contributions of high-resolution geomorphic data. *Tectonophysics*, 638, 43–
1265 62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.11.004>
1266