

Assessment of a regional physical–biogeochemical stochastic ocean model. Part 2: Empirical consistency

Vassilios D Vervatis, Pierre de Mey-Frémaux, Nadia Ayoub, John

Karagiorgos, Stefano Ciavatta, Robert J W Brewin, Sarantis Sofianos

▶ To cite this version:

Vassilios D Vervatis, Pierre de Mey-Frémaux, Nadia Ayoub, John Karagiorgos, Stefano Ciavatta, et al.. Assessment of a regional physical-biogeochemical stochastic ocean model. Part 2: Empirical consistency. Ocean Modelling, 2021, 160, pp.101770. 10.1016/j.ocemod.2021.101770. hal-03441458

HAL Id: hal-03441458 https://hal.science/hal-03441458

Submitted on 22 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Assessment of a regional physical-biogeochemical stochastic ocean model. Part 2: Empirical consistency

Vassilios D. Vervatis, Pierre De Mey-Frémaux, Nadia Ayoub, John Karagiorgos, Stefano Ciavatta, Robert J.W. Brewin, Sarantis Sofianos



PII:	S1463-5003(21)00020-2
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2021.101770
Reference:	OCEMOD 101770
To appear in:	Ocean Modelling
Received date :	18 May 2020
Revised date :	19 January 2021

Please cite this article as: V.D. Vervatis, P. De Mey-Frémaux, N. Ayoub et al., Assessment of a regional physical-biogeochemical stochastic ocean model. Part 2: Empirical consistency. *Ocean Modelling* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2021.101770.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Accepted date: 7 February 2021

1 Assessment of a regional physical-biogeochemical stochastic ocean model. Part 2: 2 empirical consistency

Vassilios D. Vervatis (1), Pierre De Mey-Frémaux (2), Nadia Ayoub (2), John Karagiorgos (1),
Stefano Ciavatta (3, 4), Robert J. W. Brewin (3, 5), and Sarantis Sofianos (1)

5 (1) University of Athens, Department of Physics, Athens, Greece. (2) LEGOS/CNRS,

6 Toulouse, France. (3) Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, UK. (4) National Centre for

7 Earth Observation, Plymouth, UK. (5) University of Exeter, College of Life and Environmental

8 Sciences, Penryn, Cornwall, UK.

9 Correspondence to: Vassilios D. Vervatis (vervatis@oc.phys.uoa.gr)

10 Abstract. In this Part 2 article of a two-part series, observations based on satellite missions 11 were used to evaluate the empirical consistency of model ensembles generated via stochastic 12 modelling of ocean physics and biogeochemistry. A high-resolution Bay of Biscay 13 configuration was used as a case study to explore the model error subspace in both the open 14 and coastal ocean. In Part 1 of this work, three experiments were carried out to generate model 15 ensembles by perturbing only physics, only biogeochemistry, and both of them simultaneously. In Part 2 of this work, empirical consistency was checked, first by means of rank histograms 16 17 projecting the data onto the model ensemble classes, and second, by pattern-selective 18 consistency criteria in the space of "array modes" (eigenvectors of the representer matrix). 19 Rank histograms showed large dependency on geographical region and on season for sea 20 surface temperature (SST), sea-level anomaly (SLA), and phytoplankton functional types 21 (PFT), shifting from consistent model-data configurations to large biases because of model ensemble underspread. Consistency for SST array modes was found to be verified at large, 22 23 small and coastal scales soon after the ensemble spin-up. Array modes for the along-track sea-24 level showed useful consistent information at large scales and at the mesoscale; for the gridded 25 SLA was verified only at large scale. Array modes showed that biogeochemical model 26 uncertainties generated by stochastic physics, were effectively detected by PFT measurements 27 at large scales, as well as at mesoscale and small-scale. By contrast, perturbing only biogeochemistry, with an identical physical forcing across the ensemble, limits the potential of 28 29 PFT measurements at detecting and possibly correcting small-scale biogeochemical model 30 errors. When an ensemble was found to be inconsistent with observations along a particular 31 direction (here, an array mode), a plausible reason is that other error processes must have been 32 active in the model, in addition to the ones at work across the ensemble.

Keywords: stochastic modelling, ensembles, phytoplankton functional types, prior error
 covariances, array modes, Bay of Biscay

35 1 Introduction

In the coastal parts of regional ocean models, many factors can complicate the assimilation of 36 37 data. One of them is the characterization and specification of model errors, which are critical 38 in any assimilation scheme, but extremely challenging in the coastal zone. Ocean model errors 39 strongly depend on spatiotemporal scales, though any attempt at separation is confounded by 40 strong nonlinearity in the dynamics that can couple variability at different frequencies and 41 wavenumbers (Auclair et al., 2003). Another factor complicating data assimilation is the 42 specification of observational errors, which are made up of measurement (usually small) and 43 representativity errors (usually large or unknown), and cross-correlations between observations 44 (usually unknown) (Oke and Sakov, 2008).

45 Most studies point at the benefit of advanced assimilation methods with built-in error 46 propagation (*Kourafalou et al.*, 2015a; 2015b), such as the Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF; 47 Evensen, 2003) and variants. As a first step, one must characterize the forecast uncertainties 48 under various error regimes (situations where the model is in error), either in response to time-49 varying forcing errors (e.g. uncertainties in boundary conditions; Ghantous et al., 2020) or due 50 to internal sources (e.g. model parameterizations; Brankart et al., 2015), and include realistic 51 error dynamics through stochastic modelling. For instance, depending on the wind regime, or 52 seasonal baroclinic instabilities of the slope current, shelf errors can be different in terms of spatiotemporal scales (Auclair et al., 2003). Biogeochemical model errors can also be different 53 stemming from unresolved scales and biodiversity (Garnier et al., 2015). It should be noted 54 55 that stochastic modelling, in itself, may not yield realistic error dynamics, for example, it could 56 inflate the ensemble (Anderson, 2009).

In the companion article Part 1 (Vervatis et al., 2021), we configured a high-resolution (1/36°) 57 stochastic ocean model for the Bay of Biscay performing physical-biogeochemical ensemble 58 59 simulations. We carried out quantitative assessment of model-data misfits and qualitative 60 evaluation of multivariate incremental analysis. We found that the skill of the perturbation 61 method to generate model errors was improved (in general) for physics compared with 62 biogeochemical perturbations in source and sink terms (i.e. larger ensemble spread when 63 physics is perturbed) and that the data assimilation performance to correct those model errors, 64 was largely dependent on the chosen multivariate analysis. On the other hand, the biogeochemical model spread was found under-dispersive, leading to disjoint supports¹ of the 65 model and data probability density functions (pdf), and performance was mainly defined by 66 the assimilation of ocean colour data, possibly because of weak cross-covariances between 67 ocean physics and biogeochemistry. 68

69 In light of these findings, in this companion article Part 2, we present two methods to evaluate 70 the consistency with respect to observations (hereafter empirical consistency) of a stochastic coupled ocean model, which comes in the form of an ensemble of multivariate ocean states. 71 72 We focus on observational products including physics and biogeochemistry, derived from 73 satellite missions monitoring upper-ocean properties, such as the sea surface temperature 74 (SST), the sea-level anomaly (SLA) and an ocean colour product of phytoplankton functional 75 types (PFT; Brewin et al., 2010; 2015; 2017). Several biogeochemical studies have focused on improving the model's predictive skill using remote sensing and in-situ observations, 76 77 incorporating data assimilation and probabilistic attribution (*Candille et al.*, 2015; Song et al., 2016; Gharamti et al., 2017; Mattern et al., 2018; Ford, 2019). Here, we focus our analysis on 78 79 the use of ocean colour PFT observations following recent advances in data assimilation to 80 improve marine ecosystem simulations (Ciavatta et al., 2018; 2019).

81 In a probabilistic framework, the support of the joint *pdf* of observed and forecast values 82 should be non-null in order to enable data assimilation. In other words, the prior model state and the data must be compatible with each other given their respective uncertainties in order 83 for assimilation to be meaningful. Therefore, one important question we address in this study 84 85 is the following: is the distribution of the forecast errors estimated from the ensemble (the prior distribution) compatible with the distribution of the data to be assimilated? Another important 86 87 question is about how ensembles (e.g. the state of a stochastic model) can be validated - in 88 effect, ensembles are not used solely for assimilation - other uses include: array design, 89 probabilistic forecasting, a learning base for artificial intelligence applications, etc.

As a first step, we assess the empirical consistency of ensembles by means of rank histograms (also referred to as Talagrand diagrams; *Candille and Talagrand*, 2005) projecting the data

¹ The support of a probability density function (pdf) is the smallest closed set outside of which the *pdf* vanishes. For a *pdf* defined in \mathbb{R} , the *pdf* envelope (i.e. here the ensemble envelope) is the range between the minimum and maximum values of the support.

92 onto the model ensemble classes. Rank histograms are useful for diagnosing reliability and

- 93 inferring systematic biases in an ensemble prediction system (*Hamill*, 2001). As a second step,
- 94 we apply a *consistency diagnostic on innovations* inspired by the similarly-named diagnostic 95 developed in *Andersson* (2003) and *Desroziers et al.* (2005) in the framework of the assessment
- 95 of the well-posedness of data assimilation schemes. Our implementation is however specific
- 97 in two ways: (1) we use that metric here outside of a data assimilation scheme, to check how
- 98 consistent our ensembles are with respect to innovations; (2) we project the innovation
- 99 consistency diagnostic on Array Modes, with the objective of permitting pattern-dependent
- 100 consistency analysis. Our definition of array modes follows previous publications (Le Hénaff
- 101 et al., 2009; Lamouroux et al., 2016; and Charria et al., 2016). This is detailed below.

This study is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the stochastic approach to generate model ensembles, following the companion article Part 1. The specifications of the observational networks are presented in Section 3, including the description of the ocean colour PFT. A summary of the consistency analysis framework based on rank histograms and array modes is given in Section 4, which presents also a new criterion in "array space". The results and conclusions are discussed in Sections 5 and 6.

108 2 Stochastic modelling

We used the NEMO platform (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean; <u>http://www.nemo-ocean.eu/;</u> *Madec,* 2012) and its biogeochemical component PISCESv2 (Pelagic Interactions Scheme for Carbon and Ecosystem Studies volume 2; *Aumont et al.,* 2015). The ocean model domain encompasses the Bay of Biscay and the western part of the English Channel (*Quattrocchi et al.,* 2014; *Vervatis et al.,* 2016). The physical model is coupled online (one-way with high coupling frequency for the conservation of tracers) with a biogeochemical model at 1/36° horizontal resolution.

116 Three seasonal-range ensembles were carried out using stochastic modelling of ocean physics and biogeochemistry as part of the project SCRUM (Stochastic Coastal/Regional Uncertainty 117 118 Modelling; cf. companion article Part 1 by Vervatis et al. 2021). Perturbations were modelled using first-order auto-regressive processes - AR(1) - in the context of stochastic perturbed 119 120 parameterized tendencies (SPPT; Buizza et al., 1999) and stochastic perturbed parameters (SPP; Ollinaho et al., 2017). The AR(1) processes were different for each perturbed tendency 121 122 or parameter, and varied under the assumption of spatiotemporal correlated scales. A 123 deterministic free run was performed from July 2011 to November 2011, to serve as a fivemonth model spin-up starting from ocean analyses for the whole state vector, and then extended 124 125 from December 2011 to June 2012, to serve as reference for the main physical and biogeochemical processes in the region and deduce the statistical properties for the AR(1) 126 127 stochastic parameterizations. The ensemble simulations were initialized from the five-month 128 spin-up and carried out from December 2011 to June 2012. The 40-member ensembles were 129 used to estimate the forecast error covariance matrix perturbing different sources of model 130 errors.

In Table 1, we summarize the stochastic protocol for the three ensembles, hereafter referring 131 132 to them as: EnsP - perturbing physics under the assumption of atmospheric forcing 133 uncertainties and model uncertainties in physical parameterizations; EnsB - perturbing 134 biogeochemistry under the assumption of model uncertainties in biogeochemical sources and 135 sinks; and EnsPB - perturbing both physics and biogeochemistry. The biogeochemical model parameters were not perturbed. The initial conditions were also not perturbed. The EnsPB is 136 137 statistically identical to EnsP for model errors in ocean physics, because there is no feedback 138 to the physics from the biogeochemical model. By contrast, EnsPB is statistically different to 139 EnsB and EnsP because uncertainties in the physical forcing are found to have a large impact

- 140 on biogeochemical model properties. Also, for the EnsB the physics and hence the circulation
- are the same for all members. For details of the physical-biogeochemical model and for model data ensemble based misfits, the reader is referred to the companion article Part 1
- 142 data ensemble-based misfits, the reader is referred to the companion article Part 1.

143 The model uncertainties in physical and biogeochemical properties in the upper ocean are 144 described in Part 1. Here we illustrate the typical patterns that we obtained for the spread in 145 SST, sea surface height (SSH) and chlorophyll abundance at specific dates that will also serve 146 as a reference for the analyses in Section 5. Figure 1a shows, the Bay of Biscay SST from the 147 deterministic simulation on May 31, 2012. Fig. 1b shows the EnsP model ensemble spread for 148 SST on the same date. Figs. 1a-b show that the ocean model solution and its associated error 149 structures for SST are statistically consistent. In more detail, error regimes are linked to 150 physical processes controlling SST, for example, the extension of the Ushant thermal tidal front west of Brittany in the English Channel. At that time of the year, the stratification is strong and 151 152 the freshwater front (due to the Loire and Gironde rivers runoff in the Armorican shelf) leads 153 to a large spread locally exceeding 0.8 °C. Figs. 1c-d give an insight of the model circulation 154 patterns on February 25, 2012, depicted by SSH and their error structures. After a two-month 155 spin-up period, the maxima of SSH model spread are collocated with mesoscale eddies 156 observed in the abyssal plain, and with (most likely) inertial barotropic waves observed over 157 the shelves. The perturbation mechanism works throughout the whole period. Therefore, the 158 SSH spread continues to grow in the abyssal plain due to mesoscale decorrelation of eddies 159 across members (not shown).

160 Figure 2a shows the total chlorophyll abundance of the deterministic simulation at the onset of the spring bloom on March 28, 2012. In Figs. 2b-d, and for the same date, we show model 161 uncertainties in total chlorophyll for the three ensembles EnsP, EnsB and EnsPB. We 162 163 emphasize two important findings: first, the model errors in physics have a larger impact on chlorophyll model uncertainties compared with those generated by perturbing the 164 165 biogeochemical model source and sink terms, second, the chlorophyll spread is increased when 166 model physics and biogeochemistry are perturbed simultaneously. However, there are also exceptions of decreasing spread (e.g. occurring locally in the presence of coherent eddies). 167 168 Interestingly, an uneven ensemble spread is also observed between nanophytoplankton and 169 diatoms chlorophyll (Figs. 2e-f). Model errors for each phytoplankton type follow the different 170 patterns of their chlorophyll concentrations, with large uncertainties observed in the abyssal 171 plain for nanophytoplankton, and on the shelves and the English Channel for diatoms.

172 **3 Observational networks**

173 In this study, although we examine the same broad categories of observations as in the companion article Part 1, we have included more satellite products observing the upper-ocean 174 175 physical and biogeochemical properties. Therefore, for the sake of clarity, we have included a 176 full description of observations. We consider global and regional satellite products monitoring the Bay of Biscay, using high-level L3 and L4 merged data from multi-missions for both real-177 178 time and delayed modes. All datasets are provided at daily frequency. This level of data quality 179 is often required in ocean forecasting systems for model validation and data assimilation. Table 180 2 summarizes the datasets used for the empirical consistency analysis and their specifications 181 are given briefly in the paragraphs below.

182 3.1 SST gridded observations

183 We chose two high-resolution SST L4 products, namely the OSTIA SST global dataset and the 184 regional Atlantic European north west shelf (NWS) SST. High-resolution SST data are 185 necessary when it comes to validate eddy-resolving model ensembles. Both networks are able

- 186 to resolve mesoscale eddy variability and frontal activity near the coasts, ranging from a few 187 kilometres over the shelves to scales of tens of kilometres in the open ocean.
- 188 The OSTIA SST is provided on a global regular grid at 0.05° resolution (Donlon et al., 2012)
- and is by construction free of diurnal variability with a reference depth of 10 meters, referred
- also as foundation SST. The reconstructed fields consist of L4 daily gap-free maps. The product
- 191 includes multi-sensor measurements from both infra-red and microwave instruments, as well
- as in-situ observations retrieved from ships of opportunity, drifters and buoys. The data were
- reprocessed using optimal interpolation and include a bias correction.
- The NWS SST product is delivered at 0.04° high horizontal resolution and consists of L4 daily gap-free regional maps. Calculations are derived from infra-red measurements using only night-time observations, and thus the NWS SST data are not affected by diurnal warming.

197 **3.2** SLA gridded and along-track observations

198 We selected two products based on different levels of processing. The L3 along-track sea-level

- product is based on several altimetric missions, specifically on Envisat and Cryosat-2 satellites.
 The L4 gridded product was generated by reprocessing multi-mission altimetry data over many
 warra
- 201 years.

For the along-track data, we used the filtered sub-sampled L3 product with 14 km distance between successive points along the altimetry track. The effective resolution of the data is coarser than the aforementioned distance (*Pujol et al.*, 2016). The inverse barometer response and the tides have been removed from the data (and the model, cf. companion article Part 1).

The L4 product is a merge of multi-mission satellites spanning the last two decades. The result is a homogenous and consistent gridded dataset at a resolution of 0.25° . The scales resolved by the L4 gridded product depend on various factors, such as the quality of the L3 input data, the sampling of the altimeter constellation and the optimal interpolation, which limits the capability of the data to resolve part of the mesoscale (*Chelton et al.*, 2011).

211 **3.3** Phytoplankton functional types ocean colour observations

212 We selected the regionally-tuned PFT satellite model of Brewin et al. (2017). The approach is 213 a modification of the three-component model of Brewin et al. (2010; 2015) and produces 214 estimates of the chlorophyll concentration of four phytoplankton groups (picophytoplankton, 215 nanophytoplankton, diatoms and dinoflagellates), as a function of the total chlorophyll 216 concentration, accounting for the influence of SST on model parameters. The model was tuned 217 and validated using a large dataset collected in the North Atlantic, inclusive of our region of 218 interest. The approach was run using ocean colour data from the ESA ocean colour climate change initiative (OC-CCI) and OSTIA SST data. Products were produced on a regular grid at 219 220 0.05° resolution. The data has been used successfully in PFT data assimilation exercises in the North Atlantic (Ciavatta et al., 2018; Skakala et al., 2018). The products were developed in 221 222 the framework of the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) "Towards Operational Size-class Chlorophyll Assimilation (TOSCA)" Service Evolution 223 224 project.

The mismatch between biogeochemical model variables and ocean colour products has been highlighted recently in an IOCCG Report No. 19 (*IOCCG*, 2020). The biogeochemical model PISCES distinguishes two classes of chlorophyll, namely the nanophytoplankton and diatoms. These two chlorophyll classes were given this name, as the traits of these modelled functional types are closest to these two abundant groups in the natural world. However, these two classes are designed to be representative of the entire phytoplankton community, and therefore are far broader than the names imply. Each of the two model compartments encompasses a large range 232 of cell sizes, approximately representing smaller and larger phytoplankton types in the 233 biogeochemical model. In order to compare the observations with the model ensembles, we decided to follow a size class-based categorization (Sieburth et al., 1978) and group the four 234 235 ocean colour PFT into two phytoplankton types and keep the total chlorophyll concentration 236 in the data unchanged (i.e. the sum of all four types). For this, we combined the concentrations 237 of ocean colour pico- and nano- PFT into one small class comparable with the PISCES 238 nanophytoplankton, and the diatoms and dinoflagellates ocean colour PFT into one large class comparable with the PISCES diatoms. This categorization took into consideration many 239 240 processes and biogeochemical cycles in the model, for example, not just the silicate cycle but 241 also the carbon and nitrogen cycles, and the size-selective feeding by microzooplankton and 242 mesozooplankton. However, it was unavoidable to combine one PFT with characteristic 243 silicate limitation with one without (i.e. diatoms and dinoflagellates; in supplementary material we provide also an analysis without this combination). The choice to include all four PFT was 244 245 supported by the fact that their sum ensures the total biomass (chlorophyll) and therefore, 246 model and satellite total chlorophyll data can be compared like-for-like. In addition, the total 247 chlorophyll concentration (sum of the four PFT) in the model is of the same magnitude as the 248 total chlorophyll of other ocean colour products used for the validation of our ensembles, as 249 shown in the companion article Part 1 and in the supplementary material.

250 **3.4 Observational errors**

An estimate of the observational error is given by the measurement and representativity errors 251 252 (usually unknown) (Desroziers et al., 2005; Oke and Sakov, 2008; Janiić et al., 2018). The 253 measurement errors are usually small and refer to the instrument's sensor accuracy and data 254 processing. The representativity errors are usually large and can account for different model 255 and observation sampling schemes, or for the physical-biogeochemical signal that is contained 256 in the observations but is not represented in the model. Some of the data used here were 257 retrieved from archives and included a spatial distribution of their errors. While this information is important for a dynamically heterogeneous system such as the Bay of Biscay, 258 259 we chose not to use it because it would be hard to interpret pattern-dependent consistency 260 results. Instead, we used a representative constant error for each observational network 261 provided by the CMEMS infrastructure and the data providers.

Assuming that errors are uncorrelated and that the innovation variance is therefore close to the 262 263 sum of model and data error variances, we considered an error standard deviation for SST equal to 0.5 °C, for SLA equal to 0.05 m, and for PFT equal to 0.3 mg/m^3 (Table 2). The satellite 264 chlorophyll a data are provided with a scaled (%) observational error in comparison to the 265 266 signal and therefore, the error has spatial distribution. We chose this constant PFT error over 267 an error that scales with the satellite chlorophyll a signal for the reason explained in the 268 paragraph above. The static PFT error is representative for the region, though moderately larger 269 for total chlorophyll and nanophytoplankton in the open ocean, and underestimated for diatoms 270 over the shelves, if compared with the PFT errors estimated by Brewin et al. (2017).

In the following Section 4, and for each of the consistency analysis methods, we discuss the perturbation of observations to generate data distributions and the anamorphosis functions applied to transform those distributions in the proper space (*Simon and Bertino*, 2009).

274 4 Consistency analysis framework

In this study, we implemented two empirical consistency analysis methods to evaluate model ensembles with respect to observations. The first approach was based on rank histograms and the second on array modes. The calculations were performed with a toolbox (nicknamed "scrumcat": SCRUM consistency analysis toolbox) built upon the Sequoia Data Assimilation

279 Platform (SDAP; De Mey-Frémaux, 2020: https://sourceforge.net/projects/sequoia-dap/). The 280 implementation details are given below.

281 4.1 **Rank histograms**

282 The rank histogram (Talagrand diagram; Candille and Talagrand, 2005) is a verification metric testing the empirical consistency between model samples and observations. An ensemble of m283 284 members defines m + 1 ranks including the rank of one observation sorted within the model 285 ensemble. The steps to calculate rank histograms are (1) project ensemble samples in data space 286 using an observation operator, (2) sorting the ensemble samples by value, (3) ranking the observed value within the sorted ensemble at each observation location, (4) tally over many 287 288 observations in space and time. We note that for the rank histograms the observations are not 289 perturbed and the model ensemble distributions are not checked for gaussianity.

290 The rank histogram is useful for determining the reliability of ensemble forecasts (Hamill, 291 2001) and is often used as a tool to infer systematic biases of an ensemble prediction system, as well as differing spreads between model and data (e.g. small spread translates into most data 292 293 falling into the outer classes of the rank histogram). The rank histogram flatness is a measure 294 of the reliability of the ensemble prediction system and is estimated according to the definition 295 of statistical consistency by Anderson (1996) and Candille and Talagrand (2005):

296
$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(S_i - \frac{p}{m+1} \right)^2, \ \Delta_o = \frac{p*m}{m+1}, \ \delta = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_o} \sim 1,$$
(1)

297 where p is the number of observations, m the number of ensemble members, S_i the outcomes in each rank (i.e. number of observations of rank i), Δ the deviation of the histogram from 298 flatness, Δ_0 the expectation for a reliable system and δ the ratio measuring the reliability for a 299 300 scalar variable of the ensemble prediction system.

301 4.2 Ensemble consistency in array space

Rank histograms provide a way to check ensemble empirical consistency in a global, 302 303 distribution-like manner. In a complementary manner, we wish to complete the global analysis 304 with pattern-selective consistency analysis, using patterns which would be (A) hierarchized, 305 (B) representative of error covariances (as estimated from the ensemble) and (C) observable 306 by the data array. To that end, we chose to assess consistency in the space of Array Modes, 307 hereafter nicknamed "array space".

308 We use whenever possible the unified notations of data assimilation as in *Ide et al.* (1997). We 309 define array modes μ as the eigenmodes of the scaled representer matrix χ defined as:

310
$$\chi \equiv R^{-\frac{1}{2}} H P^{f} H^{T} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mu \sigma \mu^{T}$$

(2)

where P^{f} is the forecast (prior) error covariance matrix, here approximated as an ensemble 311 covariance. R is the observational error covariance matrix, and H is the "classic" linear 312 313 observation operator. Because an observing array will not be concomitant, all operators are 314 four-dimensional in that they span time in addition to space.

315 These array modes have been discussed in several articles in the literature. First, Le Hénaff et 316 al. (2009) presented the theoretical background for the representer matrix spectra methodology, 317 aiming at assessing the performance of observational networks at detecting model errors. In 318 their study, prior model errors were generated via stochastic modelling of the wind forcing and 319 various altimetry and in-situ array deployment strategies were tested. Two other studies 320 followed, based on array modes, by Lamouroux et al. (2016) and Charria et al. (2016), where 321 authors designed optimal observation network experiments based on array modes, for the 322 future implementation of efficient integrated ocean observing systems monitoring the coastal 7

- 323 environment. Array modes are the eigenmodes of the representer matrix as approximated as an
- 324 ensemble covariance (e.g. from Section 2 ensembles). It follows that array modes meet the
- 325 pattern definition criteria (A-C) above.

326 The matrix χ in Eq. (2) expresses how the array "sees" the model uncertainties; the diagonal

- matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = diag\{\sigma_k\}$ offers the same information as $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ in array space, but in a diagonal form: it is the *array mode spectrum*. Intuitively, the higher the eigenvalues in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, the better the array
- 329 can detect (and help correct) errors of a prior estimate. Le Hénaff et al. (2009) show that a
- 330 useful choice of a discriminating spectral value is 1. In order to clarify this choice, let us
- 331 consider the *innovation vector* **d** and its second-order statistics:

$$332 \quad d \equiv y^o - Hx^f$$

333 $\langle dd^T \rangle = R + HP^f H^T$

(3)(4)

(5)

- where y^o is the observation vector and x^f is the forecast (prior) state vector. Equation (4) is the same as Eq. (1) in *Desroziers et al.* (2005). An intuitive criterion of array performance is as follows:
- 1) If observational errors R dominate in Eq. (4), then most of the model-data discrepancies in
- d are attributable to observational error, and observations are not being very useful at detectingmodel uncertainties.
- 340 2) If prior state errors $HP^{f}H^{T}$ (the *representer matrix*) dominate, then most of the 341 discrepancies are attributable to model uncertainties, and observations can be expected to be 342 useful at identifying and correcting them.
- Let us examine this criterion in array space. Using the orthogonality of array modes, it is easy to show that the innovation covariance in Eq. (4) projected into array space, becomes:

345
$$E^{i} \equiv \mu^{T} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} < dd^{T} > R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu = I + \sigma,$$

346 hence the choice of 1 as a discriminating spectral value. It follows:

347	Criterion ArM1: Array performance is measured by the number of ranks k for which:
348	$1\leq\sigma_k$

349 Note that (1) this first criterion is only based on the space-time sampling scheme and on the 350 ensemble covariance; it does not require the actual values of observations; (2) it is not yet 351 expressed as an empirical consistency criterion for our ensemble. Now, when we have the 352 values of observations, Eq. (4) can be used to derive an empirical ensemble consistency criterion. Innovation Eq. (3) can be formed, and $\langle dd^T \rangle$ can be calculated empirically and 353 354 compared to the right-hand side of Eq. (4). In effect, the innovation spread is the result of prior uncertainties of both the model and observations; therefore, that spread should be statistically 355 356 consistent with the sum of prior model uncertainty estimates and observational uncertainty 357 estimates.

- 358 As above, this criterion is more advantageously examined in array space, i.e. in the form of Eq.
- 359 (5). Since $I + \sigma$ is diagonal, we focus on the diagonal of $E^i = diag\{e_k^i\}$, i.e. the innovation 360 variance in array space. Our second criterion writes:

Criterion ArMCA1: Empirical consistency is measured by the number of ranks k for which:

361362

363

$$1 - \tau \le \frac{1 + \sigma_k}{e_k^i} \le 1 + \tau$$

with τ the user-selected tolerance (e.g. $\tau = 0.1$ for 10% tolerance).

In this study, we use the ArM1 and ArMCA1 criteria as implemented in the ArM tools library 364 (De Mey-Frémaux, pers. comm., 2020), distributed as open source within the SDAP 365 assimilation platform (De Mey-Frémaux, 2020: https://sourceforge.net/projects/sequoia-dap/). 366 We check consistency in array space, also qualifying consistency results with their associated 367 368 multivariate patterns in observation space. In short, criterion ArM1 is an "array performance" criterion based on how the observational array "observes" model uncertainties; criterion 369 370 ArMCA1 tests ensemble empirical consistency in terms of variances; other criteria are present 371 in the ArM tools but are not used here.

Our approach can be seen as an extension of the *Desroziers et al.* (2005) consistency diagnostic
 on innovations (their Eq. (1)), which we project on the space of array modes.

374 We remove ensemble averages prior to analysis. In contrast to rank histogram analysis, 375 observations are perturbed, using a Gaussian random number to generate data distributions with proper error estimates for each network (Table 2). Although the methodology allows for 376 377 nondiagonal observational error covariance matrix, we consider it to be diagonal in this study, 378 meaning that observational errors are considered statistically independent from each other. In 379 case of non-Gaussian distributions, an anamorphosis transformation is applied so as to 380 calculate array modes in the transformed space. For instance, in this study, model and data 381 chlorophyll samples are log-transformed prior to computing array modes.

382 5 Results

383 5.1 Rank histograms consistency analysis

384 In Figs. 3-5, we show Hovmöller plots of rank histograms as a means to analyse space-time 385 ensemble consistency. Under-dispersive rank histograms are characterized by a U-shape diagram, since observations fall mostly in the outer ranks of the ensemble. In the context of a 386 387 Hovmöller plot of rank histograms, under-dispersion is illustrated by contrasting colours 388 between outer and central ranks (Fig. 3). In the same line of thinking, bias is depicted by having 389 large values only on one side of the histogram and flatness (i.e. $\delta \sim 1$) is verified for evenly distributed pdf values at $\frac{1}{41} \sim 0.024$ across all ranks. Rank histograms for SST verify that EnsP 390 is generally under-dispersive and biased, though results vary depending on seasons and on the 391 use of different networks (Fig. 3). On the other hand, there are cases where observations are 392 393 evenly distributed within the ensemble spread despite persistent under-dispersion. The rank 394 histograms are in close agreement with the consistency analysis based on the OSTIA SST 395 innovation samples, presented in the companion article Part 1.

396 In order to illustrate dependency based on geographical region, we focus on three distinct areas 397 in the Bay of Biscay, namely the abyssal plain, the Armorican shelf and the English Channel 398 (Fig. 3). There is evidence of local error regimes where EnsP is either warm or cold biased 399 with respect to observations. From the latter we can link SST observational biases and 400 underestimated model errors potential to local dynamics. For instance, rank histogram flatness 401 is occasionally verified in the abyssal plain, whereas in the Armorican shelf and the English Channel the ensemble is shifting from being warm biased in winter to cold biased in spring 402 403 with respect to observations. The results are associated with the spring shoaling of the 404 thermocline in the open ocean, as well as to coastal processes controlled by frontal activity of

freshwater river discharges over the Armorican shelf, and to tidal mixing in the English Channel. There is also a marked difference between the two SST networks when used to validate consistency against EnsP. The OSTIA SST fits better within the ensemble classes during winter, whilst the consistency for the NWS SST is improved during spring. Overall, the EnsP is notably under-dispersive and on average warm biased with respect to observations, whilst cold biased in the English Channel.

Figure 4a shows a Hovmöller plot of rank histograms and Fig. 4b a map of ranks as a means to analyse space-time ensemble consistency for sea-level. The SLA model equivalent is calculated using a mean dynamic topography as in the companion article Part 1. The gridded SLA product appears to be under-dispersive having a bias against the ensemble shifted between seasons (Fig. 4a). This bias is most likely attributed to the seasonal steric cycle contributing to sea-level variability being weak in the observations. As a consequence, rank histogram flatness is not verified throughout the series.

418 Similar results are presented in the map of rank histograms for the along-track SLA product 419 (Fig. 4b). As in the companion article Part 1, we focus our analysis in late-winter for a few 420 consecutive days after having a model ensemble statistical spin-up for EnsP (Fig. 1d) and also 421 observing noticeable sea-level variability in the satellite observations. Strong biases are 422 apparent, both in the deeper areas of the domain and in the shelves. These biases also coincide 423 with the location of inconsistent tidal residual signals (both in the model and data) in the 424 English Channel. Contrasting colours in the Celtic Sea and near the shelf break hint at the 425 presence of high-frequency error processes currently unaccounted for in the model ensemble. 426 Under-dispersion and missing errors in the high-frequency band (e.g. open boundary conditions in the shelves, which do not deal properly with high-frequency processes), is also 427 428 confirmed by the fact that there are only a few central ranks depicted in the map.

In Fig. 5, we present Hovmöller plots of rank histograms as a means to analyse ensemble 429 430 consistency with respect to different classes of chlorophyll. For this, we use the PFT dataset 431 against the model ensemble EnsPB which has the largest spread among the three ensembles 432 (Figs. 2b-d). Rank histograms for EnsPB verify that all ensembles are under-dispersive, with 433 EnsB being the least dispersive and EnsPB the most dispersive. The chlorophyll rank 434 histograms for EnsP (not shown) are comparable to those of EnsPB (Fig. 5), but with lower 435 levels of consistency. Rank histograms indicate a rather long statistical biogeochemical spin-436 up period, on the order of 3 months (verified by rank histogram flatness for evenly distributed *pdf* values), and persistent model underestimation of chlorophyll abundance. The spin-up 437 438 period corresponds only to the winter season characterised by low primary production. After 439 the spin-up period, the consistency is in general improved for total chlorophyll, as a result of 440 the onset of the nanophytoplankton spring bloom. On the other hand, diatoms appear to degenerate the consistency of total chlorophyll. During successive spring blooms. 441 442 inconsistencies are seen in the peak, but better agreement in the onset and relaxation of the 443 blooms. This is explained by the fact that ocean colour peak values are of an order of magnitude 444 larger compared with model outputs, whilst PFT data fall within the ensemble spread during 445 the onset and relaxation phases of a bloom event. Overall, the most consistent configurations 446 are the nanophytoplankton in early-spring (i.e. primary bloom mainly in the abyssal plain) and 447 the diatoms in late-spring (i.e. secondary bloom mainly coastal and over the shelves).

448 5.2 Array-space empirical consistency analysis

In Fig. 6a, we show in a Hovmöller plot the variations in time of OSTIA SST array mode spectra vs. modal rank (i.e. the rank of the array mode), including an EnsP consistency check against OSTIA SST data. We used 39 array modes, corresponding to the 39 "degrees of freedom" characterizing a 40-member de-biased ensemble. The ensemble spin-up period

- 453 appears as a period of low eigenvalues near the beginning of the time series, lasting from a few
- 454 days (in the head of the spectra) to a few weeks (in the tails). The array performance at "detecting" model uncertainties, as per criterion ArM1, appears satisfactory from spin-up time 455 456 to the end of the series, with values above 1 across the spectra. Eigenvalues get larger in the 457 last two months, likely reflecting both the onset of a seasonal thermocline and upper-ocean 458 processes within the error subspace generated by our stochastic protocol (e.g. in response to wind uncertainties). The loss of empirical consistency of EnsP with respect to OSTIA SST as 459 460 per criterion ArMCA1 appears as white "pixels". Consistency appears to be almost always 461 verified along the dominant array modes, mostly associated with large-scale patterns (as illustrated in Fig.7). The number of ensemble inconsistency cases increases as one moves to 462 463 the tails of the spectra, mostly associated with smaller-scale and coastal patterns. Overall, 464 pattern consistency is fairly good with OSTIA SST.
- Figure 6b shows the impact of subsampling the OSTIA data, mimicking the impact of using a 465 466 lower-resolution SST product for ensemble validation. However, although the general pattern 467 is similar, the statistical significance of the consistency analysis degrades significantly; only 468 \sim 50% of the array modes pass the ArM1 criterion (many more than with the product without 469 subsampling) and appear inconsistent in the ArMCA1 criterion. It is important to note that this 470 degradation is also felt for the dominant array modes, mostly associated with large-scale 471 patterns, except possibly near the end of the time series. Such a (random and systematic) 472 subsampling leads to a significant loss of information that could be used to constrain the high-473 resolution model ensemble, if EnsP was to be used as an estimate of the model errors. Also, 474 high-resolution SST products appear necessary when it comes to validating eddy-resolving 475 ensembles, such as $1/36^{\circ}$ here.
- 476 Is this degradation dependent upon the data product? The NWS SST observations are of higher 477 resolution compared with the OSTIA SST and therefore are able to "detect" a broader range of 478 model errors, including in the tail modes (not shown). A subsampling rate of one-sixth brings 479 up a resolution similar to the one-fifth subsampling of OSTIA dataset (~25 km). The 480 consistency analysis results were found to be comparable for both networks (Figs. 6b, c).
- 481 Let us now turn to data-space patterns of array modes, i.e. the eigenvectors over which our 482 empirical ensemble consistency analysis of EnsP is carried out. Figure 7 shows examples of 483 such patterns for both one-fifth subsampled OSTIA (7a-c) and one-sixth NWS (7d), along with 484 the result of the ArMCA1 criterion for each mode. We focus on May 31, 2012 which is 485 characterized by a slightly higher energy in the spectra compared with neighbouring periods 486 (Figs. 6b-c). Unlike rank histograms depicted in Fig. 3, the OSTIA and NWS array modes are 487 very similar (as illustrated in Figs.7a, d for mode 1), because the subsampled observational 488 schemes are similar, but consistency analysis results do not have to be. Not surprisingly for a 489 regularly-spaced dataset, the first array mode patterns (Fig.7a, d) resembles the structure of the 490 SST spread (Fig. 1b).
- For the datasets at hand, the dominant patterns appear to be mostly typical of large-scale and mesoscale processes (Figs.7a, b); seeing zero amplitudes in low-order modes for the English Channel indicates that the array will not be able to correct the SST statistically dominant model errors. The spatial scales appear to decrease as one moves to the tail of the spectrum, and the coastal features become more pronounced (as illustrated in Fig.7c); simultaneously, the ensemble consistency is verified less frequently.
- When an ensemble is found to be inconsistent with observations along a particular direction (here, an array mode), a plausible reason is that other error processes must be active in the model in addition to the ones which are at work across the ensemble. This can be verified by
- 500 checking for model underdispersion. However, as is the case in the examples above,

- insufficient resolution of the observations can also lead to statistical inconsistency, impactingmostly small scales and coastal scales.
- 503 In Fig. 8a, we show a Hovmöller plot of variations in time of the gridded SLA array mode 504 spectra, including an EnsP consistency check against gridded SLA data. Again, we used 39 505 array modes. The dataset is relatively coarse at ~25 km resolution and therefore is not 506 subsampled. As before, spectral values smaller than one (light grey in Fig.8a) are associated 507 with poor array performance at "detecting" model uncertainties as per the ArM1 criterion, and 508 white areas depict inconsistent array modes as per ArMCA1 criterion. On average, on the order 509 of 20 array modes sit above the observational noise floor. However, only a fraction of those 510 modes, on the order of 10 on average, show pattern consistency as per ArMCA1 criterion. 511 Overall, consistency of EnsP with respect to the gridded SLA product is not as good as it was 512 with respect to the SST product.
- 513 Let us turn to the patterns of eigenvectors in an effort to explain the weak consistency. In Figs. 514 8b-e, we show examples of consistent and inconsistent patterns of gridded SLA array modes 515 on April 30, 2012. Consistency is verified for the first two array modes shown (ranks 1 and 5), 516 featuring large-scale sea-level gradients over the whole domain including the shallow Celtic 517 Sea and the Eastern Biscay shelf (Fig. 8b-c). Both higher array modes (ranks 10 and 20) shown 518 in Figs. 8d-e are inconsistent – they seem to be dominated by mesoscale and submesoscale sea-519 level signals (or at least, their 0.25° representation) as well as regional-scale sea-level signals 520 over the shelves and in the English Channel. Small-scale inconsistent higher array modes in 521 the abyssal plain can be explained by the mesoscale low-frequency decorrelation between 522 members, generating phase differences between mesoscale features. From these results we can infer that assimilating the 0.25° gridded product in EnsP would probably lead to unsatisfactory 523 524 results at the mesoscale and in coastal areas, since error vicinities in the model ensemble and 525 in observations seem at least partly inconsistent with each other in that scale range. Of course, 526 one can introduce representativity errors in the observational error covariance matrix to make 527 things consistent again, but small scales are expected to be inadequately controlled by 528 assimilation.
- 529 We now check the consistency of EnsP against the along-track sea-level data. We focus on the 530 same period and on the same tracks as in the rank histograms analysis with the same dataset, 531 starting on February 25, 2012, for 10 consecutive days. The analysis is performed considering together all tracks that would be assimilated in a 10-day assimilation cycle. Therefore, each 532 array mode, characteristic of the 10-day multi-track network, spans both space and time. Figure 533 534 9a shows the array mode spectrum over the 10-day period. As per criterion ArM1, almost all 535 eigenvalues are larger than the observational noise threshold (except a few tail modes) and as 536 per criterion ArMCA1 most of them are consistent. This is significantly improved compared 537 to the consistency of EnsP with respect to the gridded sea-level product.
- 538 In order to get a bit further into which patterns and underlying processes appear to be 539 (in)consistent, we examine the corresponding eigenvectors. Figures 9b-c show the first two 540 ranks of array modes for the multi-track network; Figs. 9d-e are the same for the higher array 541 modes with ranks 19 and 20 respectively. Both Figs. 9b and 9c show large-scale sea-level 542 gradients, encompassing open-ocean and shelf regions. The patterns in Fig. 9b are generally of 543 a bipolar nature with near zero amplitudes along the continental shelf break for most of the 544 tracks in the region, hinting at shelf/open-ocean exchange processes at work in the model's 545 error subspace. In Figs. 9d-e, we show two examples of higher array modes, with ranks 19 and 546 20 being consistent and inconsistent, respectively. Higher array modes show a wide range of 547 spatial scales, including large-scale over the shelves and tracks characteristic of mesoscale 548 signals in the open-ocean, with gradients more pronounced than in the gridded product. In most

- 549 cases, the higher array modes are consistent and because it is above observational noise (as
- shown in Fig. 9a) their consistency is meaningful. We therefore have evidence that along-track
- 551 SLA data could, if assimilated using EnsP for covariances, bring useful consistent information
- at large scales and at the mesoscale.

553 Central to this work is the consistency of biogeochemical model ensembles against our PFT 554 product as derived from satellite ocean colour measurements. In Fig. 10, we show Hovmöller 555 plots of variations in time of univariate PFT array mode spectra, including EnsP, EnsB and 556 EnsPB consistency analysis against PFT data, using again 39 array modes. Calculations were 557 performed in log array space (i.e. involving log transformation from data space prior to array 558 mode calculations), without subsampling data. The array mode spectra in Fig. 10 exhibit strong 559 variations in time, with several peaks corresponding to differential blooms across ensembles 560 for the various chlorophyll classes during the onset and relaxation of those events. We note 561 however that this is a gridded product with gaps, so part of those variations are also explained 562 by the spatial data coverage variations with time (Fig. 10a; superimposed black line). This does 563 not question our statistical approach, since poor data coverage will logically lead to poorer 564 array performance at detecting ensemble variance; but that dependency must be kept in mind 565 when interpreting the results in terms of processes.

With the ensemble strategy we adopted, one can examine the array performance and the 566 567 consistency individually for physical (EnsP) and biogeochemical (EnsB) uncertainties, and for both (EnsPB) together, all in the same PFT data space; in that manner, we can see the individual 568 569 and combined effects of both components (Fig. 10). As already noted in Part 1 article, it appears 570 that physical perturbations (EnsP) have greater impact on biogeochemical model errors than biogeochemical sources and sinks perturbations. The higher EnsP ensemble variance for PFT 571 572 variables explains also the shorter statistical spin-up period on the order of 1 month, whereas 573 EnsB shows a spin-up period on the order of 3 months, shown as overly inconsistent (i.e. the 574 first three months in Figs. 10a-c vs. 10d-f). It also appears that visually "adding" the 575 nanophytoplankton and diatoms spectra does not come close to describing the total chlorophyll spectra, in particular for EnsP and EnsPB (Figs. 10a-c and 10g-i), whilst being partially verified 576 577 for EnsB (Figs. 10d-f). The spectra derived from diatoms in EnsP and EnsPB appears not to 578 contribute to the total chlorophyll spectra, the latter having eigenvalues similar to those of 579 nanophytoplankton.

580 We see three possible classes of explanations to this result: (1) it is likely that the constant

- observational error of $0.3 mg/m^3$ over the whole domain and for all classes, is unrealistic, particularly for diatoms exhibiting higher local uncertainties in the shelves and coastal regions;
- 583 (2) it is possible that our decomposition of total chlorophyll into two size classes (or four binned
- into two as discussed in Section 3.3) is not entirely relevant process-wise (i.e. combining one
- 585 PFT with characteristic silicate limitation with one without), effectively leading to a statistical
- ill-posed issue; (3) total chlorophyll as resulting from a variety of processes (especially when
- 587 physical perturbations are applied) will have higher statistical complexity (higher number of 588 "degrees of freedom") than either nanophytoplankton or diatoms taken independently, leading
- to "purple" spectra with more tail modes not represented on Figs. 10a and 10g, while Figs. 10c and 10i show "redder" diatoms spectra.
- Figure 11 shows examples of low and high gridded PFT array modes and consistency status of EnsP and EnsB vs. the PFT dataset (EnsPB eigenvectors are similar to EnsP; not shown). Let us focus on March 28, 2012, where for this specific date the PFT are gap-free over the Bay of Biscay facilitating our analysis at all scales. As for rank histogram analyses, we choose to investigate the period during early spring, because consistency can be easily explained by the onset of the chlorophyll abundance primary bloom (Fig. 2). In effect, for a positive oriented
 - 13

- 597 variable such as chlorophyll, bloom-related model spread increases when abundance increases
- during a bloom event, therefore chances for pattern consistency are higher. We note that this is a very different result compared to rank histograms which show degraded consistency during blooms. This is because rank histograms are sensitive to model-data biases amplified during blooms (in contrast to array modes free from biases). The latter is also supported by the fact that the biogeochemical model ensembles are under-dispersive, due to model underestimation of chlorophyll abundance.

The PFT first array modes using EnsP and EnsB show consistent patterns and are compatible 604 in structure with the model's second-order statistical moments respectively (Figs. 11a, f vs. 605 606 Figs. 2b, c). Another remark is that the first array modes using EnsP and EnsB are different 607 (Figs. 11a-c vs. Figs. 11d-f). When physics is perturbed (i.e. EnsP) the total chlorophyll eigenvectors appear as large-scale open ocean patterns controlled by nanophytoplankton 608 609 primary production (Figs. 11a-b). When biogeochemical source and sink terms are perturbed 610 (i.e. EnsB) we observe mesoscale patterns for all classes, with nanophytoplankton again 611 defining the eigenvectors of total chlorophyll (Figs. 11d-e). For both ensembles, diatom 612 measurements appear to detect model errors mostly on the shelves, but their contribution for 613 the first array mode for total chlorophyll is limited (Figs. 11c, f).

614 For the higher modes we observe several combinations of consistent and inconsistent modes 615 across phytoplankton types and total chlorophyll. In general, when both phytoplankton types are found to be consistent (inconsistent), then total chlorophyll is also likely to be found 616 617 consistent (inconsistent). Mixed consistency results between chlorophyll classes are also 618 observed (less frequently), but the non-mixed examples are the most informative to investigate (Figs. 11g-1). When physics is perturbed the PFT array mode 25 is able to detect model errors 619 620 for both chlorophyll classes at small-scale (Figs. 11g-i). Surprisingly, for the higher array 621 modes using EnsP the diatoms appear to contribute to the spatial variability of total chlorophyll 622 at small-scale, especially in the shelves (Figs. 11g-i). By contrast, when biogeochemical source 623 and sink terms are perturbed the model-based PFT array mode 25 appears not to be consistent with PFT data at the mesoscale (Figs. 11j-l). The fact that EnsB generates chiefly eigenvectors 624 with mesoscale patterns, implies that having identical ocean physics across all members limits 625 626 the potential of the PFT measurements to detect biogeochemical model errors at small-scale 627 (as shown for example for EnsP in Figs. 11g-i).

628 6 Discussion and conclusions

This study is Part 2 of a two-part series following a companion article Part 1 aimed at generating ocean model ensembles. Part 2 article focuses on: (1) sophisticated ensemble model-data comparison methods, one of them published here for the first time based on a new criterion in the space of array modes, and (2) applying those methods for the first time with phytoplankton functional type data derived from ocean colour.

The empirical consistency analysis focused on satellite observations (SST, SLA and ocean 634 635 colour), in concert with model ensembles of ocean physics and biogeochemistry. We used a high-resolution configuration for the Bay of Biscay, as a means to investigate the model error 636 637 subspace generated by our stochastic protocol in a companion article Part 1, both in the open 638 and coastal ocean. In order to better understand the couplings between physics and biogeochemistry we examined three model ensemble experiments: perturbing only physics, 639 640 perturbing only biogeochemical source and sink terms, and perturbing both simultaneously. 641 Below, we synthesize results from the two consistency methods in an attempt to assess the 642 reliability of model ensembles with respect to observations.

643 Rank histograms for physical properties showed a large dependency based on geographical 644 region and on season for both SST and SLA networks. In most cases, rank histograms showed large biases between model and data, and were limited because of model ensemble underspread 645 646 and because of weak variability in the observations. In addition, there were high-frequency errors in the observations that were not present in the model ensemble. Rank histograms for 647 648 the ocean colour PFT showed persistent model underestimation and underspread for 649 chlorophyll abundance, with some improvement for nanophytoplankton after a spin-up period 650 on the order of 3 months during the winter low primary productivity. Rank histograms have 651 been used successfully as a reliability tool identifying on several occasions consistent model-652 data configurations and attributing this result to physical and biogeochemical processes, such 653 as the spring shoaling of the thermocline, the frontal activity in the shelves explained by river 654 plume migration, the tidal mixing in the English Channel (Karagiorgos et al., 2020), and to a 655 lesser extent the chlorophyll abundance during spring, mainly on the onset and relaxation of 656 bloom events.

657 Array modes were performed using innovation samples in array space and therefore, model-658 data distributions were free from biases hindering empirical consistency of error patterns (as 659 in some cases for the rank histograms). A typical result using array modes in the context of 660 stochastic modelling is that insufficient resolution of the verifying observations can lead to 661 statistical inconsistency, impacting mostly small and coastal scales. High-resolution datasets 662 (and model ensembles) can improve array modes consistency at small-scale, as long as the 663 following assumptions are valid: (a) data errors are uncorrelated, (b) data errors are small in comparison to model-data misfit and (c) the model ensembles are not under-dispersive. When 664 ensembles are found to be inconsistent with observations along an array mode, a plausible 665 666 reason is that other error processes must be active in the model, in addition to the ones at work 667 across the ensemble. For instance, model errors in physical processes currently unaccounted for are attributable to residual tidal errors (due to local tidal fronts and occasional Kelvin waves 668 669 propagating along the coasts), to the non-isostatic response of atmospheric pressure, and to 670 high-frequency errors in open boundaries over the shelves (Vervatis et al., 2021). In addition, complex processes in the biogeochemical model are simplified by making use of only a few 671 672 model parameters. Biogeochemical parameterizations controlling the growth rate and grazing 673 of phytoplankton classes in the model are based on approximations and therefore, stochastic modelling of these parameters may improve model performance (Garnier et al., 2015). 674

Array modes for both SST networks (i.e. OSTIA and NWS) showed that despite their 675 676 differences in production and resolution, if subsampled, can provide comparable information 677 to detect model errors, from shortly after the ensemble spin-up to the end of the series (in 678 contrast to rank histograms). Their consistency was verified for the large-scale and open ocean, but also for the small-scale and coastal SST patterns. Array modes pattern consistency between 679 680 the model ensemble perturbing physics and the sea-level datasets was in general verified at 681 large-scale. The gridded SLA product showed unsatisfactory results at the mesoscale and in 682 coastal areas, whereas the along-track sea-level showed that, if assimilated, can bring 683 potentially useful information at the mesoscale.

The most important findings for the array modes using PFT against ocean biogeochemical 684 ensembles can be summarised as follows. A large ensemble variance can lead to an improved 685 686 PFT array performance, considering biogeochemical model uncertainties stemming mainly from stochastic physics. Consistency results can be further enhanced if we consider a two-way 687 coupling and a feedback from the bio-optical model (due to changes in chlorophyll, leading to 688 689 increased model spread) to the physical model for the solar radiation penetration in the water 690 column. We performed a few simulations (not shown) but we decided not to activate the two-691 way coupling, because the onset and relaxation of the spring bloom may occur earlier and more 15

692 rapidly in the model compared with observations in the region (Gutknecht et al., 2019). 693 Additive spectra of nanophytoplankton and diatoms cannot describe total chlorophyll spectra. 694 One plausible explanation is that EnsP and EnsB state vectors (i.e. their anomalies from the 695 ensemble mean) are not statistically independent (perhaps as an artefact of the limited ensemble 696 size). This is proven also by the fact that ensemble variance for EnsPB sometimes can be 697 unexpectedly small, as if physics and biogeochemistry processes compensated, cf. companion 698 article Part 1. Consequently, there is no reason for array mode eigenspectra to be additive on a 699 rank-by-rank basis nor hierarchised in the same way (in contrast to other spectra, e.g. Fourier 700 and Wavelet Transform). Another point is that there is no reason our ensembles should be 701 orthogonal, because they are not built this way. The array mode spectra give an idea of the 702 number of "degrees of freedom" of the ensembles in data space, and of the very high temporal 703 variability of that number. For instance, the bloom periods are characterized by larger numbers of "degrees of freedom", apparently peaking at 39 (40 minus mean). Other plausible 704 705 explanations may be the unrealistic observational error set globally at $0.3 mg/m^3$ for all PFT 706 and also, the total chlorophyll decomposition of four PFT binned into two not being entirely 707 relevant process-wise.

708 In general, the data PFT errors are not negligible and are related to the size-class (Brewin et 709 al., 2017; Laiolo et al., 2021). Errors are lower for the small size classes and higher for the 710 large size classes (with dinoflagellates having the higher errors of all PFT), and increased with 711 increasing chlorophyll. This is owing to the nature of how the satellite PFT error is computed. 712 Chlorophyll a uncertainties (e.g. root mean square error and bias) for each PFT are assigned 713 based on optical water type membership at a given satellite pixel (Brewin et al., 2017). It is 714 expected that observational errors are cross-correlated, both across functional types and 715 spatially for each PFT. In fact, each PFT is computed as a function of the same total chlorophyll 716 product, and the same procedure is adopted to compute the errors of the different PFT (Brewin 717 et al., 2017). The total chlorophyll product itself is expected to have spatially correlated errors. 718 This is due to both atmospheric effects (e.g. cloud cover) and in-water sources of errors, in 719 particular in the coastal zone, due to the coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) inputs that 720 degrade ocean colour near the coast (e.g. terrestrial CDOM in river plumes). This is also 721 confirmed by the PFT spatial error gradients and the gradients of the concentrations 722 themselves, being stronger for diatoms than nanophytoplankton in the coastal zone (Brewin et 723 al., 2017; Ciavatta et al., 2018). Cross-correlations are expected to be lower in the open ocean and deeper areas. The representation of such uncertainty and correlated errors has been so far 724 725 neglected in ocean colour data assimilation (IOCCG, 2020). In our application, if we used such 726 PFT uncertainties and data correlations, the array modes consistency would have been 727 degraded, pertaining to the fact that ocean colour datasets are provided in high-resolution (and 728 therefore, spatial data correlations may not be negligible).

729 Pattern-selective consistency analysis showed that low-rank eigenvectors appear as large-scale 730 and mesoscale, mainly controlled by nanophytoplankton in the open ocean; diatom 731 measurements appear to detect model errors mostly on the shelves. For the higher modes, 732 biogeochemical model errors appear to be detected at small-scale only when physics is 733 perturbed. By contrast, when only biogeochemical source and sink terms are perturbed, the 734 model-based high-rank modes appear not to be consistent with PFT data at the mesoscale, 735 limiting also the potential to detect model errors at small-scale due to identical physical 736 processes across all members.

737 We recommend using methods adapting and estimating the **R** and **Q** observational and model

ror covariance matrices, respectively. The ArM methodology can provide pattern- and scale-

dependent ensemble consistency checks, facilitating the qualification of ensembles to provide

vertical regional systems for ulterior coastal downscaling.

- The next step of this study will be dedicated to the investigation of ensemble consistency based
- on array modes: (1) performing multivariate analysis between physics and biogeochemistry
- (e.g. SST vs. total chlorophyll), and between phytoplankton size classes, using information for
 the spatial distribution of observational errors; and (2) taking into account correlated
 observational errors. To that end, an additional criterion will be introduced to check the
- 746 diagonality of the innovation covariance matrix in the space of array modes.

747 Acknowledgments. This work was carried out as part of the Copernicus Marine Environment 748 Monitoring Service (CMEMS) "Stochastic Coastal/Regional Uncertainty Modelling 749 (SCRUM)" Service Evolution project. CMEMS is implemented by Mercator Ocean 750 International in the framework of a delegation agreement with the European Union. Part of this 751 research was also made possible through the IKY Scholarships Programme and co-financed by 752 the European Union (European Social Fund-ESF) and Greek national funds through the action entitled "Reinforcement of Postdoctoral Researchers", in the framework of the Operational 753 754 Programme "Human Resources Development Programme, Education and Lifelong Learning" 755 of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) 2014-2020. The contribution of P. De 756 Mey-Frémaux and N. Ayoub is supported by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 757 (CNRS). We acknowledge the use of the ECMWF's computing and archive facilities in this research. This work was also supported by computational time granted from the Greek 758 759 Research & Technology Network (GRNET) in the National HPC facility – ARIS – under

760 project ID PA002007. We thank five anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

761 **References**

- Anderson, J., 1996. A method for producing and evaluating probabilistic forecasts from
 Ensemble model integrations, J. Climate, 9, 1518–1530.
- Andersson, E., 2003. Modelling of innovation statistics. Proceedings of the Workshop on
 recent developments in data assimilation for atmosphere and oceans. ECMWF, Reading,
 UK, 153-164.
- Anderson, J.L., 2009. Spatially and temporally varying adaptive covariance inflation for
 ensemble filters. Tellus A, 61: 72-83. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2008.00361.x.
- Auclair, F., P. Marsaleix, and P. de Mey-Frémaux, 2003. Space-time structure and dynamics
 of the forecast error in a coastal circulation model of the Gulf of Lions. Dynamics of
 Atmospheres and Oceans, Elsevier, 36 (4), pp.309-346. 10.1016/S0377-0265(02)00068-4.
- Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M., 2015. PISCES-v2: an ocean
 biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies. Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 2465-2513.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Ciavatta S., Sathyendranath S., Jackson T., Tilstone G., Curran K., Airs R.
 L., Cummings D., Brotas V., Organelli E., Dall' Olmo G., and Raitsos D. E., 2017.
 Uncertainty in Ocean-Colour Estimates of Chlorophyll for Phytoplankton Groups. Front.
 Mar. Sci. 4:104. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00104.
- Brewin, R. J. W., S. Sathyendranath, T. Hirata, S. J. Lavender, R. Barciela, and N. J. HardmanMountford, 2010. A three-component model of phytoplankton size class for the Atlantic
 Ocean, Ecol. Modell., 221, 1472–1483, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.02.014.
- Brewin, R. J. W., Sathyendranath, S., Jackson, T., Barlow, R., Brotas, V., Airs, R., et al., 2015. 781 782 Influence of light in the mixed layer on the parameters of a three-component model of 783 phytoplankton size structure. Remote Sens. Environ. 168. 437-450. doi: 784 10.1016/j.rse.2015.07.004.

- Candille, G., and Talagrand, O., 2005. Evaluation of probabilistic prediction systems for a scalar variable. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 2131-2150.
- Candille, G., Brankart, J.-M., and Brasseur, P., 2015. Assessment of an ensemble system that
 assimilates Jason-1/Envisat altimeter data in a probabilistic model of the North Atlantic
 ocean circulation. Ocean Science 11, 425–438.
- Charria, G., Lamouroux, J., and De Mey, P., 2016. Optimizing observational networks
 combining gliders, moored buoys and FerryBox in the Bay of Biscay and English Channel.
 Journal of Marine Systems, 162, 112-125, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys. 2016.04.003.
- Chelton, D. B., Schlax, M. G., Samelson, R. M., 2011. Global observations of nonlinear
 mesoscale eddies, Prog. Oceanogr., 91, 167–216, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002.
- Ciavatta, S., Brewin, R. J. W., Skákala, J., Polimene, L., de Mora, L., Artioli, Y., and Allen, J.
 I., 2018. Assimilation of Ocean-Colour Plankton Functional Types to Improve Marine
 Ecosystem Simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123(2), 834–854,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013490.
- Ciavatta, S., Kay, S., Brewin, R. J. W., Cox, R., Di Cicco, A., Nencioli, F., and Tsapakis, M.,
 2019. Ecoregions in the Mediterranean Sea Through the Reanalysis of Phytoplankton
 Functional Types and Carbon Fluxes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124(10),
 6737–6759, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015128.
- Bostoziers, G., Berre, L., Chapnik, B., Poli, P., 2005. Diagnosis of observation, background
 and analysis-error statistics in observation space. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 131, 3385–3396.
 https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.05.108.
- Bonlon, C.J., Martin, M., Stark, J., Roberts-Jones, J., Fiedler, E., and Wimmer, W., 2012. The
 Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) system. Remote
 Sensing of the Environment, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2010.10.017 2011.
- Evensen, G., 2003. The Ensemble Kalman Filter: theoretical formulation and practical
 implementation. Ocean Dyn. 53, 343-367.
- Ford, D., 2019. Assessing the role and consistency of satellite observation products in global
 physical-biogeochemical ocean reanalysis. Ocean Sci. Discuss. 1–25.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2019-118.
- Garnier, F., Brankart, J.M., Brasseur, P., and Cosme, E., 2016. Stochastic parameterizations of
 biogeochemical uncertainties in a 1/4° NEMO/PISCES model for probabilistic comparisons
 with ocean color data. Journal of Marine Systems, 155, 59–72.
- Ghantous, M., Ayoub, N., De Mey-Frémaux, P., Vervatis, V., and Marsaleix, P., 2020.
 Ensemble downscaling of a regional ocean model. Ocean Modell., 145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101511.
- Gharamti, M. E., J. Tjiputra, I. Bethke, A. Samuelsen, I. Skjelvan, M. Bentsen, and L. Bertino,
 2017. Ensemble data assimilation for ocean biogeochemical state and parameter estimation
 at different sites, Ocean Modelling, 112, 65-89,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.02.006.
- Gutknecht, E., Reffray, G., Mignot, A., Dabrowski, T., and Sotillo, M. G., 2019. Modelling the
 marine ecosystem of Iberia–Biscay–Ireland (IBI) European waters for CMEMS operational
 applications, Ocean Sci., 15, 1489–1516, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-15-1489-2019.

- Hamill, T.M., 2001. Interpretation of Rank Histograms for Verifying Ensemble Forecasts.
 Mon. Wea. Rev., 129, 550-560, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0550:
 IORHFV>2.0.CO;2.
- Ide, K., Courtier P., Ghil M., and Lorenc A., 1997. Unified notations for data assimilation:
 operational, sequential and variational. J. Met. Soc. Japan, 75(1B):181-189.
- IOCCG, 2020. Synergy between Ocean Colour and Biogeochemical/Ecosystem Models.
 Dutkiewicz, S. (ed.), IOCCG Report Series, No. 19, International Ocean Colour
 Coordinating Group, Dartmouth, Canada.
- Janjić, T., Bormann N., Bocquet M., Carton J.A., Cohn S.E., Dance S.L., Losa S.N., Nichols
 N.K., Potthast R., Waller J.A., Weston P, 2018. On the representation error in data
 assimilation, Q J R Meteorol Soc.,144,1257–1278.
- Karagiorgos, J., V. Vervatis, and S. Sofianos, 2020. The Impact of Tides on the Bay of Biscay
 Dynamics. J. Mar. Sci. Eng., 8, 617.
- Kourafalou V.H., P. De Mey, M. Le Hénaff, G. Charria, C.A. Edwards, R. He, M. Herzfeld,
 A. Pasqual, E.V. Stanev, J. Tintoré, N. Usui, A.J. Van Der Westhuysen, J. Wilkin and Zhu,
 X., 2015a. Coastal Ocean Forecasting: system integration and validation. Journal of
 Operational Oceanography, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2015.1022336.
- Kourafalou, V.H., P. De Mey, J. Staneva, N. Ayoub, A. Barth, Y. Chao, M. Cirano, J. Fiechter,
 M. Herzfeld, A. Kurapov, A.M. Moore, P. Oddo, J. Pullen, A.J. van der Westhuysen and
 Weisberg, R.H., 2015b. Coastal Ocean Forecasting: science foundation and user benefits.
 Journal of Operational Oceanography, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2015.1022348.
- Lamouroux, J., G. Charria, P. De Mey, S. Raynaud, C. Heyraud, P. Craneguy, F. Dumas and Le Hénaff, M., 2016. Objective assessment of the contribution of the RECOPESCA network to the monitoring of 3D coastal ocean variables in the Bay of Biscay and the English Channel. Ocean Dynamics, 66(4), 567-588, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10236-016-0938-y.
- Le Hénaff, M., P. De Mey and Marsaleix, P., 2009. Assessment of observational networks with the Representer Matrix Spectra method – Application to a 3-D coastal model of the Bay of Biscay. Ocean Dynamics, 59, 3-20, DOI 10.1007/s10236-008-0144-7.
- Leonardo, L., R. Matear, M. Soja-Woźniak, D. J. Suggett, D. J. Hughes, M. E. Baird, M. A.
 Doblin, 2021. Modelling the impact of phytoplankton cell size and abundance on inherent
 optical properties (IOPs) and a remotely sensed chlorophyll-a product, Journal of Marine
 Systems, 213, 103460, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2020.103460.
- 859 Madec, G., 2012. Nemo ocean engine, Tech. rep., NEMO team.
- Mattern, J.P., Edwards, C.A., and Moore, A.M., 2018. Improving Variational Data
 Assimilation through Background and Observation Error Adjustments. Mon. Wea. Rev.,
 146, 485–501, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0263.1.
- 863 Oke, P. R., and P. Sakov, 2008. Representation Error of Oceanic Observations for Data
 864 Assimilation. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 25, 1004–1017,
 865 https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JTECHO558.1.
- Pujol, M.-I., Faugère, Y., Taburet, G., Dupuy, S., Pelloquin, C., Ablain, M., and Picot, N.,
 2016. DUACS DT2014: the new multi-mission altimeter data set reprocessed over 20 years,
 Ocean Sci., 12, 1067-1090, doi:10.5194/os-12-1067-2016.
- Quattrocchi, G., P. De Mey, N. Ayoub, V. Vervatis, C.-E. Testut, G. Reffray, J. Chanut and Y.
 Drillet, 2014. Characterisation of errors of a regional model of the Bay of Biscay in response

- to wind uncertainties: a first step toward a data assimilation system suitable for coastal sea
 domains. Journal of Operational Oceanography, Volume 7, Number 2, August 2014, pp. 2534(10).
- Sakov, P., G. Evensen and L. Bertino, 2010. Asynchronous data assimilation with the EnKF.
 Tellus A. 62(1): 24-29, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2009.00417.x.
- Sieburth, John McN. Smetacek, Victor Lenz, Jürgen, 1978. Pelagic ecosystem structure:
 Heterotrophic compartments of the plankton and their relationship to plankton size
 fractions, Limnology and Oceanography, 23, doi: 10.4319/lo.1978.23.6.1256.
- Simon, E., and Bertino, L., 2009. Application of the Gaussian anamorphosis to assimilation in
 a 3-D coupled physical-ecosystem model of the North Atlantic with the EnKF: a twin
 experiment. Ocean Sci. 5, 495-510.
- Skakala, J., Ford, D., Brewin, R. J. W., Mc Ewan, R., Kay, S., Taylor, B., de Mora, L., and
 Ciavatta, S., 2018. The assimilation of phytoplankton functional types for operational
 forecasting in the North-West European Shelf. Journal of Geophysical research Oceans,
 123 (8), 5230-5247, doi: 10.1029/2018JC014153.
- 886 Song, H., Edwards, C.A., Moore, A.M., Fiechter, J., 2016. Data assimilation in a coupled 887 physical-biogeochemical model of the California current system using an incremental 888 lognormal 4-dimensional variational approach: Part 3—Assimilation in a realistic context 889 and observations. using satellite in situ Ocean Model. 106. 159-172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.06.005. 890
- Vervatis, V. D., C.E. Testut, P. De Mey, N. Ayoub, J. Chanut, and G. Quattrocchi, 2016. Data assimilative twin-experiment in a high-resolution Bay of Biscay configuration: 4D EnOI based on stochastic modelling of the wind forcing. Ocean Modelling, 100, 1-19, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.01.003.
- Vervatis, V. D., De Mey-Frémaux, P., Ayoub, N., Karagiorgos, J., Ghantous, M., Kailas, M.,
 Testut, C.-E., and Sofianos, S., 2021. Assessment of a regional physical-biogeochemical
 stochastic ocean model. Part 1: ensemble generation, Ocean modell., under review.

	SPPT/SPP-AR(1)			
EnsP P	Dhusios	Atm. forcing	U _{air} , T _{air} , SLP	
	Fliysics	Parameters	C_d, C_e, C_h, C_b	
EnsB	Biogeochemistry	sources-minus-sinks of 24 prognostic variables SMS(C)		
EnsPB	Physics & Biogeochemistry	EnsP & EnsB		
 - air temperature - bottom drag; S. Table 2. Observ 	<i>c; SLP</i> - sea level pressu <i>MS(C)</i> - sources minus ational networks.	tre; c_d , c_e , c_h - wind drag ar sinks of biogeochemical tr	ad turbulent coe acers C.	efficients; c_b
CME	EMS Product Identifiers	(http://marine.copernicus.e	<u>eu/</u>)*	Error
OSTIA SST L4 gridded 0.05 ^o	SST_GLO_SST	_L4_NRT_OBS_010_001		
NWS SST L4 gridded 0.04°				
NWS SST L4 gridded 0.04 ^o	SST_ATL_SST_	_L4_REP_OBS_010_026		0.5 ° <i>C</i>
NWS SST L4 gridded 0.04° SLA L3 along-track 14	SST_ATL_SST_ km SEALEVEL_GI	_L4_REP_OBS_010_026)08_062	0.5 <i>°C</i>
NWS SST L4 gridded 0.04° SLA L3 along-track 14 SLA L4 gridded 0.25°	SST_ATL_SST_ km SEALEVEL_GI SEALEVEL_GI	_L4_REP_OBS_010_026 LO_PHY_L3_REP_OBS_0 LO_PHY_L4_REP_OBS_0)08_062)08_047	0.5 ° <i>C</i> 0.05 m

904 *All datasets are provided at daily frequency.



906Figure 1(a-b) Deterministic run SST and model ensemble EnsP spread (°C) on May 31,9072012. (c-d) Same for SSH (m) on February 25, 2012. Fig. 1a depicts the characteristic areas908in the Bay of Biscay discussed in the text: 1-abyssal plain, 2-Armorican shelf, 3-English909Channel, 4-Celtic shelf. Black line denotes the 200 m isobaths.



- 910
- 911 Figure 2 (a-d) Total chlorophyll deterministic run and model ensembles spreads EnsP,
- 912 EnsB, EnsPB (mg/m^3) on March 28, 2012. (e-f) Same with (d) model ensemble spread EnsPB
- 913 for nanophytoplankton and diatoms chlorophyll (mg/m^3) respectively.





Hovmöller plot of rank histograms (x-axis: rank, y-axis: time, colorbar: pdf) 915 Figure 3 between ensemble EnsP and SST L4 datasets: (a-c) OSTIA, (d-f) NWS. Regional consistency 916 is checked for the (left to right): abyssal plain, Armorican shelf and English Channel. Rank histogram flatness is verified for pdf values at $\frac{1}{41} \sim 0.024$ (green-yellow in colorbar). 917



920 **Figure 4** (a) Hovmöller plot of rank histograms (x-axis: rank, y-axis: time, colorbar: pdf) 921 between ensemble EnsP and SLA L4 gridded dataset for the Bay of Biscay, and (b) map of 922 ranks for along-track SLA L3 dataset with respect to the ensemble EnsP (colorbar: rank), 923 starting on February 25, 2012, and for 10 consecutive days, including ascending and

924 descending tracks in the domain.



926 **Figure 5** Hovmöller plot of rank histograms (x-axis: rank, y-axis: time, colorbar: pdf) 927 between ensemble EnsPB and PFT dataset: (a) total chlorophyll, (b) nanophytoplankton and

928 (c) diatoms chlorophyll.

925



930 Hovmöller plot of variations in time of SST array mode spectra vs. modal rank Figure 6 931 (i.e. the rank of the array mode), including an EnsP consistency check against (a-b) OSTIA and 932 (c) NWS SST data. Colorbar: array mode spectra as of criterion ArM1; eigenvalues smaller 933 than one (the observational noise floor in array space; grey pixels) denote error modes 934 marginally detectable by the array. White pixels depict inconsistent array modes as per criterion ArMCA1 (the higher modes are also mostly inconsistent as per criterion ArM1). (a) No data 935 936 subsampling, (b) one-fifth subsampling rate (i.e. one data point every fifth OSTIA point 937 retained), and (c) one-sixth subsampling rate.



Figure 7 (a-c) Array modes 1, 12 and 34 for EnsP as "seen" from OSTIA SST on May
31, 2012, using one-fifth subsampling rate, and (below as text) corresponding results of the
ArMCA1 consistency criterion using OSTIA SST observations; the 1st and 12th array modes
are consistent; array mode 34 is inconsistent. (d) Same as (a) for NWS SST and one-sixth
subsampling rate. Colorbar: array mode amplitude (no units) on (subsampled) data grid.



Figure 8 (a) Hovmöller plot of variations in time of gridded SLA array mode spectra vs.
modal rank, including an EnsP consistency check against gridded SLA data. (b-e) Examples of
consistent and inconsistent gridded SLA array modes on April 30, 2012 for EnsP. Colorbars
and units as in Figs. 6 and 7.



(a) Along-track SLA L3 array mode spectrum for the same period as in Fig. 4b, 950 Figure 9 951 considering all tracks that would be assimilated in a 10-day assimilation cycle. Black and red markers for eigenvalues above the observational noise floor (= 1 in array space) denote 952 consistent and inconsistent modes respectively. (b-c) Multi-track network consistent array 953 modes of ranks 1 and 2 respectively (no units; all times "flattened", i.e. 2D representation of 954 955 array modes including time space); (d-e) same as (b-c) for a higher consistent array mode of rank 19 and for an inconsistent array mode of rank 20 respectively. Colorbar and units as in 956 957 Figs. 6 and 7.





Figure 10 (a-c) Hovmöller plots of variations in time of PFT array mode spectra vs. modal rank, including EnsP consistency checks against PFT data for total chlorophyll, nanophytoplankton, and diatoms respectively as shown; (d-f) same as (a-c) for EnsB; (g-i) same as (a-c) for EnsPB. Chlorophyll distributions have been log transformed. Colorbars and units as in Fig. 6. Fig. 10a superimposed black line: number of data with largest values corresponding to full data coverage of the domain i.e. #obs ~ $(4 \cdot 10^4)$.



966 Figure 11 Examples of array modes for EnsP and EnsB on March 28, 2012, as "seen" from 967 PFT data points, and (below as text) corresponding results of the ArMCA1 consistency 968 criterion using PFT data: (a-c) array modes at rank 1 using EnsP (all consistent); (d-f) array modes at rank 1 using EnsB (all consistent, but different); (g-i) array modes at rank 25 using 969 970 EnsP (all consistent); (1-1) array modes at rank 25 using EnsB (all inconsistent). PFT gridded 971 data (gappy in general) have no gaps on that particular date in the Bay of Biscay. (left column) 972 Total chlorophyll; (center) nanophytoplankton; (right column) diatoms. No data subsampling. 973 Array modes calculated in log array space. Colorbar and units as in Fig. 7.

Highlights

- Model-data biases and model underspread revealed in rank histograms
- Consistent SST array modes at large scales and at small-scale
- Along-track array modes showed useful consistent information at the mesoscale
- Consistent PFT array modes at small-scale perturbing physics
- Additional error processes active in the model for inconsistent configurations

Authors' contribution statement using CRediT

- 1) Vassilios D. Vervatis: conceptualization, methodology, software, visualization, formal analysis, investigation, writing original draft, writing review and editing.
- 2) Pierre De Mey-Frémaux: conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, writing original draft, writing review and editing.
- 3) Nadia Ayoub: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing original draft, writing review and editing.
- 4) John Karagiorgos: software, visualization, formal analysis.
- 5) Stefano Ciavatta: data curation, writing review and editing.
- 6) Robert J. W. Brewin: data curation, writing review and editing.
- 7) Sarantis Sofianos: conceptualization, resources.

The corresponding author,

Vassilios Vervatis

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

974 Supplementary material

Fig. S1 presents the temporal variability of the PFT data in the Bay of Biscay, during the period December, 2011 to June, 2012. We also show the ocean colour L4 total chlorophyll product used in the Part 1 article (*Vervatis et al.*, 2021) and we verify that concentrations are of the same order to those of the PFT total chlorophyll. According to this, we categorised the four satellite PFT (pico, nano, diatoms and dino) into the two broad size groups in PISCES (nano or diatoms), in a manner most representative, ensuring that the total biomass (chlorophyll) from the model and satellite data can be compared like-for-like.

982 PFT diatoms and nanophytoplankton contribute together approximately more than 80% in total 983 chlorophyll, whereas picoplankton contributes at about 10% and dinoflagellates less than 10% 984 (Fig. S1). PFT diatom chlorophyll concentration is an order of magnitude larger compared with 985 dinoflagellates and nanophytoplankton is about three times larger than picoplankton chlorophyll concentration (Fig. S1). In Fig. S2, we show the spatial distribution of the four 986 987 satellite PFT and the total chlorophyll during the peak of the spring bloom on March 28, 2012. 988 We confirm that the satellite micro class (i.e. diatoms and dino) is driven primarily by diatoms, far more abundant in the satellite data, with the two functional types being highly correlated in 989 990 spatial. Fig. S3 presents scatter plots of combined vs. non-combined PFT chlorophyll, verifying 991 the close relationship between functional types in a size class-based approach.

992 We also present results from one-on-one comparisons between model and PFT data, as opposed 993 to the size class-based categorization merging different functional types. Figure S4 shows 994 Hovmöller plot of rank histograms between EnsPB and PFT, in the same way as Figs, 5b-c, 995 with one main difference: in Figs. S4a-b we do not combine the nano functional type with pico. 996 nor we combine diatoms with dino. Rank histogram results for the nano class are degraded 997 when pico and nano PFT data are not combined together in late-winter and early-spring when 998 a primary bloom occurs (Fig. 5b vs. Fig. S4a). The latter may suggest that PISCES nano can 999 be representative of a broader phytoplankton community, accounting also for smaller size 1000 classes. Rank histogram results are almost identical throughout the whole period for the micro 1001 class, regardless of whether dino and diatoms are combined together or not (Fig. 5c vs. Fig. 1002 S4b). Model-data one-on-one array mode consistency results (not shown) are in practice 1003 indistinguishable by visual inspection with the results presented in Figs. 10 and 11, confirming 1004 the validity of the size class-based approach.



1005

1006Figure S1Ocean colour L4 (8-day frequency) and PFT (daily) chlorophyll concentration1007 (mg/m^3) in the Bay of Biscay from December, 2011 to June, 2012.



1009Figure S2Spatial distribution of (a) PFT total chlorophyll and (b) pico, (c) nano, (d)1010diatoms, (e) dino concentrations (mg/m^3) on March 28, 2012.





1012 **Figure S3** Scatter plots of chlorophyll concentrations in mg/m^3 on March 28, 2012: (a) 1013 PFT (nano and pico) vs. only nano, (b) PFT (diatoms and dino) vs. only diatoms. r is the 1014 correlation coefficient, RMSE the root mean square error (mg/m^3) and with red the 1:1 line.





Figure S4 Hovmöller plot of rank histograms (same as in Figs. 5b-c) between EnsPB and
 PFT (a) nano not combined with pico, and (b) diatoms not combined with dino.