



HAL
open science

Twentieth century temperature and snow cover changes in the French Alps

Julien Beaumet, Martin Ménégoz, Samuel Morin, Hubert Gallée, Xavier Fettweis, Delphine Six, Christian Vincent, Bruno Wilhelm, Sandrine Anquetin

► **To cite this version:**

Julien Beaumet, Martin Ménégoz, Samuel Morin, Hubert Gallée, Xavier Fettweis, et al.. Twentieth century temperature and snow cover changes in the French Alps. *Regional Environmental Change*, 2021, 21 (4), pp.114. 10.1007/s10113-021-01830-x . hal-03440965

HAL Id: hal-03440965

<https://hal.science/hal-03440965>

Submitted on 22 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Twentieth century temperature and snow cover changes in the French Alps

Julien Beaumet¹ · Martin Ménégot¹ · Samuel Morin² · Hubert Gallée¹ · Xavier Fettweis³ · Delphine Six¹ · Christian Vincent¹ · Bruno Wilhelm¹ · Sandrine Anquetin¹

Received: 3 August 2020 / Accepted: 29 August 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Changes in snow cover associated with the warming of the French Alps greatly influence social-ecological systems through their impact on water resources, mountain ecosystems, economic activities, and glacier mass balance. In this study, we investigated trends in snow cover and temperature over the twentieth century using climate model and reanalysis data. The evolution of temperature, precipitation and snow cover in the European Alps has been simulated with the Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR) applied with a 7-km horizontal resolution and driven by ERA-20C (1902–2010) and ERA5 (1981–2018) reanalyses data. Snow cover duration and snow water equivalent (SWE) simulated with MAR are compared to the SAFRAN - SURFEX-ISBA-Crocus - MEPRA meteorological and snow cover reanalysis (S2M) data across the French Alps (1958–2018) and in situ glacier mass balance measurements. MAR outputs provide a realistic distribution of SWE and snow cover duration as a function of elevation in the French Alps. Large disagreements are found between the datasets in terms of absolute warming trends over the second part of the twentieth century. MAR and S2M trends are in relatively good agreement for the decrease in snow cover duration, with higher decreases at low elevation ($\sim 5\text{--}10\%$ /decade). Consistent with other studies, the highest warming rates in MAR occur at low elevations (< 1000 m a.s.l) in winter, whereas they are found at high elevations (> 2000 m a.s.l) in summer. In spring, warming trends show a maximum at intermediate elevations (1500 to 1800 m). Our results suggest that higher warming at these elevations is mostly linked to the snow-albedo feedback in spring and summer caused by the disappearance of snow cover at higher elevation during these seasons. This work has evidenced that depending on the season and the period considered, enhanced warming at higher elevations may or may not be found. Additional analysis in a physically comprehensive way and more high-quality dataset, especially at high elevations, are still required to better constrain and quantify climate change impacts in the Alps and its relation to elevation.

Keywords Regional climate change · Climatic trends · Regional climate modeling · European Alps · High mountain

Communicated by Sandra Lavorel and accepted by Topical Collection Chief Editor Christopher Reyer

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Trajectories of socio-ecological change in mountains*

✉ Julien Beaumet
Julien.Beaumet@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, F-38000, Grenoble, France

² Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, CNRM, Centre d'Études de la Neige, F-38000, Grenoble, France

³ F.R.S.-FNRS, Laboratory of Climatology, Department of Geography, University of Liège, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

Introduction

Since the late nineteenth century, the Greater Alpine Region (GAR, 4–19°E to 43–49 °N) as a whole has seen a temperature increase of 1.2 °C, which is twice the Northern Hemispheric average (Auer et al. 2007; Brunetti et al. 2009). It is often claimed that the rate of warming is amplified with elevation (elevation-dependent warming) and that most high-mountain regions of the world are experiencing faster changes than surrounding lowlands (Pepin et al. 2015). Pepin et al. (2015) identified physical mechanisms contributing to modified warming rates with elevation, and stated that all of them should lead to enhanced warming with height or at a critical elevation. The most commonly cited mechanism is the snow-albedo feedback (e.g., Pepin and Lundquist (2008) and Scherrer et al. (2012)). However,

these results have been contradicted by several other studies (Auer et al. 2007; Isotta et al. 2019; Hock et al. 2019) indicating that enhanced warming may or may not be found at higher elevations depending on the period, the season or the region considered. In the Swiss Alps, winter (Begert and Frei 2018; Scherrer 2020) and yearly (Philipona 2013) temperature have been increasing more rapidly at lower elevations (< 1000 m a.s.l.). In the Mont Blanc massif, reconstructed temperatures over the last century from Dôme du Goûter (4300 m a.s.l., Gilbert et al. (2012)) were found to increase at similar rates than nearby low elevation areas (Vincent et al. 2020).

Over the last few decades, one of the most iconic and noticeable consequence of anthropogenic climate change at high elevations has been the decrease of snow cover and the mass loss of glaciers. Meanwhile, snow water equivalent (Marty et al. 2017) and snow cover duration were consistently found to decrease in each part of the Alps (Durand et al. 2009; Valt and Cianfarra 2010), with sharper decreases at low elevations. This decrease is caused more by an earlier melt in spring than by a later autumn onset (Klein et al. 2016; Matiu et al. 2021). The retreat of glaciers has been reported in numerous studies (e.g., Beniston et al. (2018) and Hock et al. (2019)). During the twentieth century, the loss of glaciers ice volume was estimated to reach about 50%, with accelerated mass loss since the late 1980s (e.g., Huss (2012) and Bolibar et al. (2020)). The ongoing shrinking of glaciers is expected to continue in the coming decades with the disappearance of about 90% of alpine glaciers by 2100 (Huss 2012; Zekollari et al. 2019; Vincent et al. 2019). These decreases, associated with changes in temperature and precipitation, have been and will increasingly impact many components of the alpine social-ecological system:

- Agriculture (Fuhrer et al. 2014), ecology and local water availability (Smith et al. 2014), plant phenology, and wildlife (Mignatti et al. 2012).
- Water availability downstream and hydropower production (Hanzer et al. 2018).
- Winter tourism and the ski industry (Spandre et al. 2019; Berard-Chenu et al. 2020).
- Natural hazards such as rock falls and debris flows (Ravanel et al. 2013; Phillips et al. 2017), avalanches (Castebrunet et al. 2014; Eckert et al. 2013), and extreme precipitation and rain-on-snow events (Beniston and Stoffel 2016; Scherrer et al. 2016).

In this context, it is crucial to identify and quantify temperature and snow cover trends as a function of elevation and to understand associated feedbacks. More than elsewhere, assessing climatic trends using in situ measurements in high-mountain regions is hindered by the lack of high-quality long-term records at high elevations.

Moreover, many stations at intermediate to high elevations are located on the slopes of deep valleys where temperature trends might be largely influenced by local topographic effects such as temperature inversions (Beniston et al. 1997), while stations on isolated peaks that are more representative of free atmospheric conditions are generally underrepresented. Similar issues arise for reanalysis data: trends are strongly influenced by the quality, number and distribution of observational data assimilated. As an example, Scherrer (2020) showed that winter-time warming trends at high elevation in the Swiss Alps are regularly overestimated in commonly used global or regional reanalyses data. The resolution of global reanalysis are also too coarse to assess climate trends in high-mountain regions. With their finer resolution, regional climate models (RCMs) allow a better representation of the interactions between the atmosphere and the surface, particularly in mountainous areas where the complex topography and the snow cover strongly affect local-scale climate. RCMs are therefore valuable tools to investigate climatic trends in mountainous areas even though simulated trends are strongly influenced by the large scale model used as boundary condition.

In this study, we take advantage of a relatively high-resolution (7 km) experiment of the RCM “Modèle atmosphérique régional (MAR)” driven by different climate reanalysis datasets to investigate changes in air temperature and snow cover in the French Alps. The model set-up and the other datasets used in this study are described in “Data and method”. In “Evaluation and comparison”, we evaluate and compare MAR simulations, high-resolution snow and climate reanalyses data, in situ stake measurements for late-spring snow water equivalent and homogenized weather station data. Trends over the twentieth century, with emphasis on the 1959–2010 period common to most datasets are presented in “Climatic trends”. The physical processes that likely affect the relation of the trends with elevation are discussed in “Discussion” along with a comparison with previous studies.

Data and method

The Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR) model and set-up

The Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR, Gallée and Schayes (1994), Gallée (1995), and Gallée et al. (1996)) RCM is a hydrostatic, primitive equation, limited-area model with constant sigma coordinates on the vertical axis. MAR has been developed for polar regions (e.g., Gallée et al. (2013) and Fettweis et al. (2017)) and has also been used over mountainous areas: e.g., the Himalayas (Ménégoz et al. 2013) and Patagonia (Collao 2018). One asset of using MAR

in high mountain regions is its surface scheme SISVAT (Ridder and Schayes 1997; Gallée et al. 2001) which includes a multi-layer snow cover model (Brun et al. 1992; Gallée and Duynkerke 1997) with prognostic equations for snow density, temperature, water content, and albedo.


In this study, MAR has been laterally driven at the border of the integration domain with the ERA-20C reanalysis (Poli et al. 2016), this simulation is referred to as MAR-ERA-20C hereafter. ERA-20C is one of the first reanalysis to cover the whole twentieth century (1902–2010), and has been used to drive MAR over western Europe (Wyard et al. 2018). Although this reanalysis is less accurate than more recent ones as it only assimilates surface pressure and surface marine winds observations, this dataset is expected to be consistent through time and the risk to introduce spurious trends is limited (Fettweis et al. 2017). Nevertheless, in order to assess the dependency of the trends simulated with MAR to the atmospheric reanalysis used as boundary conditions,

we drove MAR with the more recent 35-km horizontal resolution ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al. 2020) to produce a second experiment over the 1981–2018 period (MAR-ERA5 hereafter). A first MAR-ERA-20C simulation has been recently used to investigate precipitation trends over the Alps (Ménégoz et al. 2020). Here, we performed this experiment with identical horizontal (7 km) and vertical (24 levels) resolution using a more recent version of the model (MARv3.9.10, <http://mar.cnrs.fr/index.php>) while saving additional variables needed to study the snow cover such as snow water equivalent (SWE) and surface albedo. Moreover, the integration domain (1.5–18.5°E to 41.5–49.5 °N) has been extended to the South in order to better simulate low-pressure systems arriving from the Mediterranean Sea, as well as to the East, to include the easternmost parts of the Alps (e.g., Julian Alps). The domain considered in this study is shown in Fig. 1.

In both model and reanalysis data, the snow cover duration is computed using a 20 kg m⁻² (or mm w.e.) snow

Fig. 1 French Alps study area : the 23 massifs of the French Alps covered by the SAFRAN - SURFEX-ISBA-Crocus - MEPRA (S2M) meteorological and snow cover reanalysis (cyan contour). Background: Snow cover duration (days per year) over 1981–2010 in the RCM “Modèle Atmosphérique Régional” (MAR) simulation forced by ERA-20C reanalysis data. Lower left corner : the MAR integration domain (1.5–18.5°E to 41.5–49.5 °N with model topography

Study Area and Modèle atmosphérique régional (MAR) integration domain



water equivalent minimum threshold for snow covered days for hydrologic years starting on the 1st August and ending on the 31st July. In the MAR experiments, the snow cover ranges from values close to zero in the plains to almost 365 days per year at highest elevation areas (Fig. 1).

The S2M snow and climate reanalysis

The S2M (Vernay et al. 2019; Durand et al. 2009; Durand et al. 2009) snow and climate reanalysis (SAFRAN - SURFEX/ISBA-Crocus - MEPRA) dataset is available on the period 1958–2018. The SAFRAN reanalysis (Durand et al. 2009) assimilates in situ meteorological observations to downscale large-scale reanalysis or numerical weather prediction models first-guess. Meteorological variables (wind, temperature, precipitation, radiation, etc.) are then aggregated by 300-m elevation bands at the scale of mountain massifs and used to drive the land surface scheme SURFEX/ISBA-Crocus. This scheme simulates snow cover with a multi-layer snow cover model based on prognostic equations for the evolution of physical snow properties (Brun et al. 1992; Vionnet et al. 2012). Each sub-massif, which has an area of about 500–1000 km², is considered to have horizontally homogeneous meteorological conditions as the emphasis is put on the relation of meteorological conditions and snow cover properties with elevation. Here, we used S2M data representative of horizontal surfaces.

The SPATialisation en Zone de Montagne (SPAZM) climate reanalysis

The SPAZM (SPATialisation en Zone de Montagne, Gottardi (2009) and Gottardi et al. (2012)) dataset is a 1-km resolution gridded product for daily minimum and maximum temperature and precipitation. It covers the French Alps over the period 1948–2012. SPAZM is built on the interpolation of temperature and rain gauge measurements from a dense network and combines observations from Météo-France and the French electricity company (EDF). The mean temperature from SPAZM is estimated as the mean between minimum (TN) and maximum (TX) temperature (TN+TX/2).

Glacier mass balance measurements

The French National Observation Service GLACIOCLIM (<https://glacioclim.osug.fr/>) has monitored the evolution of surface mass balance (SMB) of six glaciers located in the French Alps since 1993 (e.g., Six and Vincent (2014)). The observational dataset includes winter and summer mass balances. The winter-time snow accumulation is measured in spring (late April/early May) by drilling snow cores and measuring snow density along the glaciers, while summer

mass balance is estimated in late summer (early September) by measuring the emergence of stakes set in the ice.

In this study, we compare the observed winter mass balance (in meter of water equivalent, m w.e.) with the accumulation of snow simulated with MAR experiment and S2M reanalysis data for three glaciers : Mer de Glace and Argentière located in the Mont Blanc massif, and Gebroulaz located in the Vanoise massif. For each glacier and each year, we select the MAR grid points and the S2M values from the corresponding Mont Blanc and Vanoise massifs for the days corresponding to the dates of the field campaign on each glacier (weighted mean as a function of the number of observation per day). In terms of elevation resolution, there are, as an example, 9 corresponding MAR grid points covering the Mont Blanc massif with surface elevation ranging from 1800 to 3000 m a.s.l.

Homogenized in situ temperature measurements

Minimum (TN) and maximum (TX) homogenized temperature series from Météo-France data cover the 1959–2012 period and are available at a monthly time step. The data was homogenized using the HOMER method (Mestre et al. 2013). In this study, we use a set of 19 stations located in the French Alps or within the nearby vicinity, with only one station above 1500 m a.s.l, for which both TN and TX are available. For these data, mean temperature is also approximated as the average between TN and TX. More information about these data and the complete list of stations used with their location and elevation are given in the supplementary materials.

Results

Evaluation and comparison

The MAR-ERA-20C and MAR-ERA5 simulations have been evaluated and compared with S2M and SPAZM for winter and summer mean temperature and with S2M for snow cover duration over 1981–2010. Results and figures are presented in details in the supplementary material (Section B1).

Overall, a larger altitudinal temperature gradient is found in MAR experiments (6 to 7 °C/km) with respect to S2M and SPAZM (4 to 6 °C/km). In winter, MAR-ERA-20C is warmer (~2.5 °C) at lowest elevations and colder at highest elevations (around 3000 m a.s.l.), with fairly good agreement at intermediate elevations. In summer, MAR-ERA-20C agrees better with the other datasets, especially S2M, with no major biases at low elevations. The MAR-ERA5 simulation is generally 0.5 to 0.8 °C warmer than MAR-ERA-20C. Overestimation of temperature and

precipitation altitudinal gradient is a typical RCM bias (Kotlarski et al. 2015).

As a result of warmer winter temperature and a higher rain to total precipitation ratio (even at elevations without significant temperature bias), MAR simulations systematically underestimate (~ 10 to 60%) snow cover duration (compared to S2M) at low elevation (< 1500 m a.s.l). MAR-ERA-20C and S2M are in fairly good agreement for snow cover duration at intermediate elevations (1800 to 2700 m a.s.l) while MAR-ERA-20C shows longer snow cover duration at its highest elevations. MAR-ERA-20C and S2M agree on total precipitation rates at low elevation, while the annual total precipitation increases with elevation is twice as fast for MAR-ERA-20C compared to S2M (not shown). Due to larger warm biases at low elevations, MAR-ERA5 has a reduced agreement with S2M snow cover duration.

Comparisons with late-spring mass balance (in m w.e.) from glaciers in situ measurements (GLACIOCLIM) are shown in Fig. 2. The method used to generate this comparison is described in “Glacier mass balance measurements”. Stake estimation has measurement uncertainty of ~0.2 m w.e. and show large spatial variability (typically ~30%) due to local processes such as blowing snow and avalanches (Dadic et al. 2010). As a result, snow accumulation is generally higher on glacier’s flat surfaces than on nearby slopes. Therefore, these comparisons should be considered with caution, since these processes are not explicitly accounted for in MAR and S2M.

In the Mont Blanc massif (Mer de Glace and Argentière glaciers), MAR-ERA-20C and S2M show a fairly good agreement with the observations in the ablation area (< 2700 m). Higher up, S2M seems to underestimate the mass balance, while MAR-ERA-20C slightly overestimates it,

although the lack of MAR grid points around 3000 m a.s.l. and beyond hampers a proper evaluation. This pattern (underestimation in S2M and overestimation in MAR-ERA-20C) is more visible in the comparison at Gebroulaz glacier (Vanoise massif). Perpetual snow (365 days of snow cover) is almost reached at the highest MAR grid point at 3000 m a.s.l (see supplementary material), a result in accordance with the actual equilibrium line observed around 2900 m a.s.l for glaciers of the Mont Blanc massif (Fig. 2).

Climatic trends

Annual mean temperature trends over periods ranging from 20 years to the longest time series available are shown in Fig. 3a for all the French Alps. Trends are computed using Theil-Sen slopes and significance is assessed using Mann-Kendall test (95% confidence interval).

Warming trends

The warming trend found in MAR-ERA-20C simulation over the whole twentieth century (0.8 °C/century) is somewhat lower than for previous studies (e.g., Auer et al. (2007) and Vincent et al. (2020)). For more recent period (1959–2010), large discrepancies in terms of mean temperature trends are found between the datasets. Nevertheless, MAR-ERA-20C, SPAZM and S2M agree on accelerating warming trends during the second half of the twentieth century. MAR-ERA-20C trends are consistent with those from the SPAZM reanalysis, while MAR-ERA5 is in better agreement with S2M, except for low elevation areas (below 1000 m). S2M trends below 1000 m are spurious : many negative and non significant trends are

Fig. 2 Late spring mean snow accumulation (in m SWE) over 1993–2010 in GLACIOCLIM measurements for accumulation (cyan) and ablation zone (magenta), MAR-ERA-20C (green), MAR-ERA5 (brown), and S2M (blue) for Argentière (left), Mer de Glace (centre) and Gebroulaz (right) glaciers as function of the elevation. Errors bars represent +/- one standard deviation of the annual mean





Fig. 3 (a) Theil-Sen trends for yearly mean temperature at all elevation ($^{\circ}\text{C dec}^{-1}$) ending in 2010 for MAR-ERA-20C (green), MAR-ERA5 (brown), S2M (blue) and SPAZM reanalysis (grey). The figure shows trends computed using increasingly long time series, from shorter times series of 20 years (1991–2010) to the right to larger time series to the left of the graph (1902–2010, MAR-ERA-20C). Significant trends ($p < 0.05$) appear with small markers (i.e., lines without markers represent insignificant trends). When available, trends for series ending in 2018 have been computed (S2M and MAR-ERA5, dashed lines). (b) Same as (a) but for snow cover trends (in $\% \text{dec}^{-1}$, normalized in % of the mean for the whole period) at intermediate elevation (1000 to 2000 m a.s.l.). Snow data are not available for SPAZM. (c) Same as (b) but for high elevation (> 2000 m a.s.l.)



found for annual or winter (see Fig. 4a) mean temperature, even over long periods (1959–2010).

Over 1981–2010, trends simulated with MAR-ERA-20C and MAR-ERA5 are very different, suggesting that MAR trends are largely driven by the large-scale model used as boundary conditions. For shorter periods (20 years), climatic trends are affected by the large inter-annual and decadal variability of the Alpine climate (and European climate in general), which has experienced a warming hiatus during the early 2000s (Meehl et al. 2014). However, many of the trends found for the time series extending up to 2018 (MAR-ERA5 and S2M) are significant as a result of the return of fast warming rates occurring over the last 8 to 10 years. All datasets agree on faster summer warming compared to winter warming.

Relationship with elevation

Focusing on a potential dependency between warming and the elevation, MAR-ERA-20C shows larger warming at low elevations over the twentieth century (1902–2010), especially in winter (see supplementary material, Table S1). Considering the 1959–2010 period, the relationship between warming trends and the elevation as well as its seasonality can be seen in Fig. 4a and b. Larger winter warming is systematically found at low elevations (below 1000 m a.s.l.) in MAR-ERA-20C while enhanced warming


at high elevations is found in summer. S2M shows generally enhanced warming at intermediate elevations (1200 to 1800 m a.s.l.) in winter, a result consistent with Durand et al. (2009). Winter temperature trends also show exceptionally large spatial variability in S2M. In summer, the elevation of the maximum warming is shifted upwards and is more pronounced.

SPAZM shows a similar and constant slight increase of warming with elevation independently from the period or season considered, which somehow questions the reliability of this product to assess the dependency between warming rates and the surface elevation.

Snow cover trends

Trends in snow cover duration for intermediate (1000 to 2000 m a.s.l.) and high (> 2000 m a.s.l.) elevations are shown in Fig. 3b and c. Regarding snow cover duration trends, there is surprisingly less disagreement between the data sets. When long periods are considered, all datasets agree on stronger negative trends at lower elevations. Negative trends also intensify over the second half of the twentieth century. Regarding shorter and more recent periods, trends at intermediate elevations are affected by the large inter-annual and decadal variability of the snow cover in the European Alps, and accordingly, positive but not significant trends may occur. At high elevation, trends are slightly

Fig. 4 Winter (a) and summer (b) temperature trends ($^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) over 1959–2010 in MAR-ERA-20C (green), SPAZM reanalysis (grey) and S2M (blue) for the French Alps. c) MAR-ERA-20C and S2M snow cover duration trends (% per decade, normalized in % of the mean over the whole period) over 1959–2010



negative, show less variability, but are generally significant. The decrease in snow cover duration is overall larger in MAR-ERA-20C than in S2M for intermediate and high elevation areas (Figs. 3b and 4c).

Seasonal trends and relationship to elevation

In this section, we investigate more in detail warming trends relationship with the elevation for the four seasons. We focus on the results with MAR-ERA-20C as this simulation allows to assess the interactions between the atmosphere and the snow-pack over a long period (1959–2010) for which local meteorological observations are available.

Warming trends in the French Alps over 1959–2010 simulated with MAR-ERA-20C and observed in Météo-France station are shown in Fig. 5 for the four seasons. trends as function of the elevation for model grid points located in the Northern and Southern French Alps are shown in Fig. 6a. In winter (DJF), the warming trend is maximal below 1000 m a.s.l and decreases with the elevation higher up (large negative correlation). The agreement between MAR-ERA-20C and station data is rather poor in winter. However, even at similar elevation station data trends shows high spatial heterogeneity (Fig. 6a). In spring (MAM), warming is maximum at elevation close to 1500 m in the Northern and around 1800 m in the Southern Alps. In summer (JJA), warming trends show few variations for

elevations up to 2000 m a.s.l, and then increase sharply with the elevation higher up (large positive correlation). In autumn, temperature trends show few variations with the elevation (slight decrease with height, no correlation found). There is a good agreement between MAR-ERA-20C and homogenized station trends for spring through autumn. Unfortunately, the lack of meteorological stations above 1500 m a.s.l hampers from discussing and confirming the relationship between warming trend and the elevation found in MAR-ERA-20C.

The seasonal trends for net absorbed shortwave radiation (SWnet) as a function of the elevation are shown in Fig. 6b. SWnet changes as a function of the elevation show high similarity to those found for temperature in spring and summer, with a positive correlation ($r \sim 0.73$ to 0.92). SWnet patterns during these two seasons are largely driven by decreases in surface albedo (*not shown*), themselves caused by an earlier onset of snow cover decrease at intermediate elevation in spring and high elevation in summer. Elevations below 2000 m a.s.l were already snow-free in summer at the beginning of the period. In winter, a maximum increase in SWnet occurs at intermediate elevation (1300 to 1500 m a.s.l) that does not exactly match with the maximum warming. No significant correlation is found between mean temperature and SWnet trends during this season (r from 0.24 to 0.54), as well as in autumn ($r \sim 0$).

Mean temperature trend MAR-ERA-20C (1959–2010)




Fig. 5 Seasonal 2m mean temperature trend ($^{\circ}\text{C} / \text{decade}$) over 1959–2010 in MAR-ERA-20C (shading) and Météo-France homogenized station (circles) in the French Alps and over surrounding areas. Blue (green) contour shows the limits of the Northern (Southern) Alps sub-region. The trend over land is significant ($p < 0.05$) almost everywhere in MAR-ERA-20C and significant trends in station data are shown with black contour

Discussion

Comparison with previous studies

Warming rates simulated with MAR-ERA-20C over the twentieth century (0.6 to 0.8 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{century}$) are lower than the warming observed at nearby Swiss stations, (around 1.0 to 1.3 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{century}$, Begert and Frei (2018) and Isotta et al. (2019)), or estimated by Vincent et al. (2020). ERA-20C reanalysis is based on the assimilation of surface pressure and maritime surface winds. The number of assimilated observations increased significantly between 1900 and 1950, which impacts the reliability of the atmospheric trends in the North-Atlantic region during the first half of the twentieth century (Bloomfield et al. 2018; Wohland et al. 2019).

Conversely, simulated trends (~ 0.35 to 0.45 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) in MAR-ERA-20C over the 1959–2010 period are in better

agreement with studies carried out over similar periods (e.g., Ceppi et al. (2012) and Lejeune et al. (2019)). Trends found in MAR-ERA5 experiment over 1981–2010 are lower than the one simulated with MAR-ERA-20C. However, simulated winter trends are consistent the observations in the Swiss Alps described in Scherrer (2020) with positive (~ 0.2 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) yet insignificant trends found over 1981–2017. Nevertheless, comparisons of trends simulated with MAR and trends found in other studies is complex due to the differences in periods or areas studied.

The large difference in temperature trends over the common period 1981–2010 found with MAR-ERA5 (0.14 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) and MAR-ERA-20C (0.41 $^{\circ}\text{C} / \text{decade}$) is surprising. We investigated trends directly in the large-scale forcing fields of ERA5 and ERA-20C over their common period (see supplementary materials). We found smaller warming trends near the surface in spring and autumn in ERA5 compared to ERA-20C, and much smaller trends in lower and mid-troposphere in ERA5 in all seasons but winter. Near-surfaces trends in MAR-ERA5 are substantially different than those in its large-scale driving fields ERA5, reflecting more the reduced warming trends in the upper levels in ERA5, and are possibly influenced by the different resolution of the surface processes. Such differences in near-surface warming trends between regional simulations and large-scale driving fields are not found for MAR-ERA-20C. Finally, the common period (1981–2010) used to perform this comparison is short for computing climatic trends and this result should be considered with caution.

Large disagreements between the reanalysis datasets S2M and SPAZM are also worth questioning. Negative trends in winter (-0.40 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) and annual (-0.10 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) temperature found in S2M at low elevations (< 1000 m a.s.l.), even when considering a long period (1959–2010) are spurious and unreported in other studies. This is likely due to the low number of observations at low elevation assimilated in S2M. Therefore, S2M trends at low elevations are not further discussed in the remainder of the paper and should be considered with the greatest caution in future studies. Furthermore, the unreliable trends below 1000 m a.s.l. in S2M hinders us from properly discussing the evolution of warming with elevation using this dataset. Higher up, the large spatial variability of S2M trends at similar elevations (see Fig. 4a and b) suggests that trends in this product are very sensitive to the number and quality of observations assimilated. Temporal trends in S2M can suffer from the fact that the observation network changed over time (addition or discontinuation of observation stations). The same issues could be present in the trends derived from SPAZM or ERA5 reanalysis as the assimilation of temperature observations for the reconstruction of long-term trends is complex, especially




Fig. 6 Seasonal 2m mean temperature trend (left, °C/decade) and net shortwave radiation (right, SWnet in W/m²/decade) scatter plot as function of elevation over 1959–2010 in MAR-ERA-20C and Météo-France homogenized station for temperature only (green hexagons). Blue triangle (orange diamonds) represent Northern (Southern) Alps grid points. SWnet is estimated using shortwave downward radiation

(SWD) and surface albedo (SWnet = SWD*(1-albedo)). Significant trends in MAR-ERA-20C (Météo-France station) are shown with a black dot (black contour). Spearman correlation coefficient between trends and elevation in MAR-ERA-20C simulation are shown in the legend

in mountainous terrains. The quantification of climatic trends relation to elevation is best performed using spatio-temporally consistent (gridded) products that assimilate homogenized series of temperature observations such as done in Isotta et al. (2019). In this study, comparison with trends in MAR-ERA-20C simulation with those from homogenized station data from Météo-France (Figs. 6a and 5) suggest that trends in MAR-ERA-20C are quite realistic (except for a reduced agreement in winter). The facts that trends in MAR-ERA-20C are also in fairly good agreement with trends over the last 50 years found in the nearby Swiss Alps (Isotta et al. 2019) gives confidence in the trends simulated for this period.

Trends in the French Alps over the twentieth century found in this study with MAR-ERA-20C do not suggest a warming rate twice as fast as the Northern Hemisphere average. Auer et al. (2007) insisted on the fact that it is the Greater Alpine Region as whole that has been warming twice as fast as the hemispheric average over the twentieth century, while many studies have suggested that within the GAR itself temperatures are not rising faster at high elevations compared to nearby lowlands (Brunetti et al. 2009; Auer et al. 2007; Vincent et al. 2020). Moreover, the GAR average warming rates is influenced by the warming of peripheral regions, such as the Mediterranean regions

(e.g., the Po Plain), which are experiencing much faster warming and drying, especially in the summer as a result of changing lapse rates (Kröner et al. 2017).

The small differences in warming rates between low and high elevation is explained by contrasting seasonal patterns. In this regard, trends simulated with MAR over the second part of the twentieth century agree with other studies that also found higher warming at low elevations (< 1000 m a.s.l) in winter (Begert and Frei 2018; Scherrer 2020), while Begert and Frei (2018) also found larger warming at high elevation in summer at Swiss stations. The fact that such seasonal patterns are found for both MAR-ERA5 and MAR-ERA-20C (Fig. 6) for the second part of the century gives confidence in this model result. Unfortunately, the lack of homogenized station data above 1500 m a.s.l in the French Alps hampers from evaluating the dependency between warming trends and elevation found in MAR simulations. Moreover, the lack of MAR grid points above 3000 m a.s.l at the resolution used prevent us from drawing conclusions on warming trends at very high elevations.

Trends in snow cover duration estimated from MAR-ERA-20C or S2M (Fig. 3) agree with previous studies reporting higher decreases at low and intermediate elevations (Durand et al. 2009; Valt and Cianfarra 2010). The fact that we find more negative trends for shorter periods

centered around the mid-1980s, with slight (non significant) increases for periods afterwards is consistent with a step-like decrease in the late 1980s followed by less significant trends afterwards also reported in these studies.

Snow-albedo feedback and other processes

The analysis of MAR-ERA-20C outputs for temperature and net shortwave radiation trends and their relation to elevation suggest a large effect of the snow-albedo feedback in spring and summer, which is not found in winter and autumn. These results are consistent with Kotlarski et al. (2015) that found a strong impact of the snow-albedo feedback in spring and summer at similar elevations to those found in this study. However, a proper quantification of the snow-albedo feedback as well as other feedbacks (e.g., lapse-rate feedback, surface temperature feedback) requires more complex or run-time diagnostics (e.g., radiative kernels, Soden et al. (2008)) that are beyond the scope of this study. The capability of climate models to represent realistic snow-albedo feedback has been linked to their capacity to represent the albedo of snow and vegetation (Thackeray et al. 2018). In our MAR RCM simulations, there is likely room for improvement in the latter as no prognostic or seasonal evolution of the vegetation cover is accounted for.

The fact that MAR simulation matches observed higher warming at low elevations in winter is interesting. Philipona (2013) attributed this higher warming at low elevation in Switzerland to higher increase in incoming shortwave radiation as a result of the reduction of aerosol particle emissions in Europe since the 1980s (also known as solar brightening). MAR RCM does not have interactive and explicit representation of the evolution of the aerosol concentration (Wyard et al. 2018) and is therefore not able to represent changes in concentration in alpine valleys in winter time. This suggests that other physical processes are also possibly determinant in this low elevation winter warming and correctly reproduced by the MAR RCM.

Application and perspectives

In this section, we briefly discuss the relevance and associated perspectives of MAR RCM simulations (and S2M reanalysis) within the framework of interdisciplinary initiatives. Many impact assessment studies rely on high quality and/or high resolution climate data. Prior to the launch of MAR simulations, interviews have been carried out with researchers from largely different background in order to identify essential climate variables that should be saved and climate indices that should be calculated. Thanks to the relatively high-spatial resolution of MAR simulations and to the emphasis on the relation of meteorological

conditions with altitude, MAR and S2M are complementary tools for the study of climate-related processes occurring at much higher spatial resolution than the whole French Alps scale used in this study. An example of snow cover duration for the Mont Blanc, Haute-Maurienne and Oisans massifs is shown in the supplementary materials. These three mountain ranges respectively surround the Arve, Maurienne and Romanche valleys.

Furthermore, MAR data are also available over the whole European Alps, and could be used for studies at this scale once they are evaluated outside of the French Alps. Because it reproduces physically consistent interactions between the atmosphere and the snow-pack, MAR RCM is an adequate tool for downscaling climate projections produced with global climate models such as those participating to the ongoing CMIP6 exercise. Similarly, recent 20CRv3 reanalysis (1836–2015, Slivinski et al. (2019)) could be used to drive MAR RCM and extend the reconstruction of the Alpine climate back to the 1850s.

Summary and conclusion

In this study, we evaluated the MAR RCM driven by two different sets of climate reanalysis data for the representation of temperature and snow cover in the French Alps with focus on the representation of the elevation gradient. MAR's slight overestimation of the temperature decreases with the elevation is typical of what is found for many RCMs in high-mountain regions. The model is nevertheless able to reasonably represent the increase in snow cover duration and snow water equivalent with altitude, even though an underestimation of snow cover duration at low elevation (< 1500 m a.s.l) is found.

The warming trends found over the French Alps during the second part of the century show large disagreement between the reanalyses data and model simulations analyzed in this study. This highlights (i) the large remaining uncertainties on climatic trends in high-mountain regions, even in a region relatively well covered by observations such as the European Alps, and (ii) the need for better integration and coordination between modelling, reanalyses and observational studies.

Concerning the dependency of warming trends to elevation, MAR-ERA-20C and MAR-ERA5 agree with previous findings in the European Alps. When annual mean temperature is considered, little variation of warming rates is generally found. This finding hides large differences in seasonal patterns, with larger warming at low elevations (< 1000 m a.s.l) in winter and increasingly larger warming rates at high elevations (> 2000 m a.s.l) in summer. In spring, a maximum in warming is found at intermediate elevations (1500–1800 m a.s.l), where no relation with

altitude is found for autumn warming. An investigation of MAR model outputs suggests that the snow-albedo feedback is likely to explain the relation between warming trends and altitude in spring and summer, while this is not the case in autumn and winter. However, more investigations are needed to better quantify the snow-albedo feedback in MAR model as well as other feedback processes. In the light of these results, we propose that elevation-dependent warming should not be considered as increasing warming rates with elevation but rather as differences in warming rates depending on the season, the elevation and/or the period considered.

Because the MAR RCM was shown to reproduce a realistic evolution of the snow cover with elevation and provides physically consistent atmosphere and snow cover interactions, the model, at the resolution used in this study, is a relevant tool for downscaling climate projections over the European Alps. Assessing the evolution of the relation between warming trends and elevation in the context of decaying snow cover in a warmer climate will be crucial for impact assessment studies as well as adaptation and mitigation strategies.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-021-01830-x>.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the providers of the observational datasets: Frédéric Gottardi and the French Electric Company (EDF) for providing SPAZM reanalyses data, Matthieu Vernay (CNRM, CEN) and Béatrice Dubuisson (Météo-France, DCSC) for Météo-France homogenized station data. We also thank Matthieu Lafaysse and Marie Dumont for helpful discussions. The figures have been produced with the python package basemap (<https://matplotlib.org/basemap/>, last access: 20 December 2020). The authors sincerely thank Jai Chowdhry Beeman and Nathan Maier for editing the English of this manuscript. Finally, we thank the two referees for their useful and constructive comments and the editor for the efficient management of the review process.

Author contribution All authors contributed to the design to the study. XF provided ERA5 and ERA-20C files to drive MAR RCM. DS and CV provided the data and helpful recommendations for comparisons with GLACIOCLIM in situ data. JB ran the MAR experiments, produced the figures and wrote the article and other authors contributed with suggested changes and comment.

Funding As part of the project CDP TRAJECTORIES, this work is funded by the French National Research Agency in the framework of the “Investissements d’avenir” programme (ANR-15-IDEX-02). We sincerely thank CDP TRAJECTORIES for its support. The authors also thank the GRICAD project (<https://gricad.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/>, last access: 15 December 2020) for providing computer time for the simulations presented in this paper. The authors also thank the “Institut du Développement et des ressources en Informatique scientifique” (IDRIS, CNRS, project no. A0080101523).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Auer I, Böhm R, Jurkovic A, Lipa W, Orlik A et al. (2007) Histalp—historical instrumental climatological surface time series of the greater alpine region. *Int J Climatol* 27(1):17–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1377>
- Begert M, Frei C (2018) Long-term area-mean temperature series for Switzerland—combining homogenized station data and high resolution grid data. *Int J Climatol* 38(6):2792–2807. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5460>
- Beniston M, Stoffel M (2016) Rain-on-snow events, floods and climate change in the alps: events may increase with warming up to 4° C and decrease thereafter. *Science of The Total Environment* 571:228–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.146>
- Beniston M, Diaz H, Bradley R (1997) Climatic change at high elevation sites: an overview. *Clim Change* 36(3-4):233–251. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005380714349>
- Beniston M, Farinotti D, Stoffel M, Andreassen LM, Coppola E et al. (2018) The european mountain cryosphere: a review of its current state, trends, and future challenges. *Cryosphere* 12(2):759–794. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-759-2018>
- Berard-Chenu L, Cognard J, François H, Morin S, George E (2020) Do changes in snow conditions have an impact on snowmaking investments in french alps ski resorts? *Int J Biometeorol* 65:659–675. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01933-w>
- Bloomfield H, Shaffrey L, Hodges K, Vidale P (2018) A critical assessment of the long-term changes in the wintertime surface arctic oscillation and northern hemisphere storminess in the era20c reanalysis. *Environ Res Lett* 13(9):094004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad5c5>
- Bolibar J, Rabatel A, Gouttevin I, Galiez C (2020) A deep learning reconstruction of mass balance series for all glaciers in the french alps: 1967–2015. *Earth System Science Data Discussions* 12(3):1973–1983. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1973-2020>, <https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/12/1973/2020/>
- Brun E, David P, Sudul M, Brunot G (1992) A numerical model to simulate snow-cover stratigraphy for operational avalanche forecasting. *J Glaciol* 38(128):13–22. <https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300009552>
- Brunetti M, Lentini G, Maugeri M, Nanni T, Auer I et al. (2009) Climate variability and change in the greater alpine region over the last two centuries based on multi-variable analysis. *Int J Climatol: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 29(15):2197–2225. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1857>
- Castebrunet H, Eckert N, Giraud G, Durand Y, Morin S (2014) Projected changes of snow conditions and avalanche activity in a warming climate: the french alps over the 2020–2050 and 2070–2100 periods. *Cryosphere* 8(5):1673–1697. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1673-2014>

- Ceppi P, Scherrer SC, Fischer AM, Appenzeller C (2012) Revisiting swiss temperature trends 1959–2008. *Int J Climatol* 32(2):203–213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2260>
- Collao BG (2018) Estimation du bilan masse de surface du glacier san rafael et du champ du glace nord de patagonie par diverses approches. PhD thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes. <http://www.theses.fr/2018GREAU044/document>
- Dadic R, Mott R, Lehning M, Burlando P (2010) Wind influence on snow depth distribution and accumulation over glaciers. *J Geophys Res: Earth Surface*. 115(F1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001261>
- Durand Y, Giraud G, Laternser M, Etchevers P, Mérindol L et al. (2009) Reanalysis of 47 years of climate in the french alps (1958–2005): climatology and trends for snow cover. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol* 48(12):2487–2512. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JAMC1810.1>
- Durand Y, Laternser M, Giraud G, Etchevers P, Lesaffre B et al. (2009) Reanalysis of 44 yr of climate in the french alps (1958–2002): methodology, model validation, climatology, and trends for air temperature and precipitation. *J Appl Meteorol Climatol* 48(3):429–449. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1808.1>
- Eckert N, Keylock CJ, Castebrunet H, Lavigne A, Naaim M (2013) Temporal trends in avalanche activity in the french alps and sub-regions: from occurrences and runout altitudes to unsteady return periods. *J Glaciol* 59(213):93–114. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JG12J091>
- Fettweis X, Box J, Agosta C, Amory C, Kittel C et al. (2017) Reconstructions of the 1900–2015 Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance using the regional climate mar model. *Cryosphere* 11:1015–1033. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1015-2017>
- Fuhrer J, Smith P, Gobiet A (2014) Implications of climate change scenarios for agriculture in alpine regions—a case study in the swiss rhone catchment. *Sci Total Environ* 493:1232–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.06.038>
- Gallée H (1995) Simulation of the mesocyclonic activity in the ross sea, antarctica. *Mon Weather Rev* 123(7):2051–2069. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1995\)123<2051:SOTMAI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1995)123<2051:SOTMAI>2.0.CO;2)
- Gallée H, Duynkerke PG (1997) Air-snow interactions and the surface energy and mass balance over the melting zone of west Greenland during the Greenland ice margin experiment. *J Geophys Res Atmospheres* 102(D12):13813–13824. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03358>
- Gallée H, Schayes G (1994) Development of a three-dimensional meso- γ primitive equation model: Katabatic winds simulation in the area of terra nova bay, antarctica. *Mon Weather Rev* 122(4):671–685. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1994\)122<0671:DOATDM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0671:DOATDM>2.0.CO;2)
- Gallée H, Pettré P, Schayes G (1996) Sudden cessation of katabatic winds in adélie land, antarctica. *J Appl Meteorol* 35(7):1142–1152. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1996\)035<1142:SCOKWI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035<1142:SCOKWI>2.0.CO;2)
- Gallée H, Guyomarc'h G, Brun E (2001) Impact of snow drift on the antarctic ice sheet surface mass balance: possible sensitivity to snow-surface properties. *Bound.-Lay Meteorol* 99(1):1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018776422809>
- Gallée H, Trouvilliez A, Agosta C, Genthon C, Favier V et al. (2013) Transport of snow by the wind: A comparison between observations in adélie land, antarctica, and simulations made with the regional climate model mar. *Bound.-Lay Meteorol* 146(1):133–147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9764-z>
- Gilbert A, Vincent C, Wagnon P, Thibert E, Rabatel A (2012) The influence of snow cover thickness on the thermal regime of tête rousse glacier (mont blanc range, 3200 m asl): Consequences for outburst flood hazards and glacier response to climate change. *J Geophys Res Earth Surface* 117(F4) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002258>
- Gottardi F (2009) Estimation statistique et réanalyse des précipitations en montagne: utilisation d'ébauches par types de temps et assimilation de données d'enneigement, application aux grands massifs montagneux français. PhD thesis, Grenoble INPG. <http://www.theses.fr/2009INPG0011>
- Gottardi F, Obled C, Gailhard J, Paquet E (2012) Statistical reanalysis of precipitation fields based on ground network data and weather patterns: Application over french mountains. *J Hydrol* 432:154–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.014>
- Hanzer F, Förster K, Nemeč J, Strasser U (2018) Projected cryospheric and hydrological impacts of 21st century climate change in the Ötztal alps (austria) simulated using a physically based approach. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 22(2):1593–1614. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-1593-2018>
- Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, Hirahara S, Horányi A et al. (2020) The era5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>
- Hock R, Rasul G, Adler C, Cáceres B, Gruber S et al. (2019) Chapter 2: High mountain areas. In: IPCC special report on ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)] In press.
- Huss M (2012) Extrapolating glacier mass balance to the mountain-range scale: the european alps 1900–2100. *Cryosphere* 6(4):713–727. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-713-2012>
- Isotta FA, Begert M, Frei C (2019) Long-term consistent monthly temperature and precipitation grid data sets for Switzerland over the past 150 years. *J Geophys Res Atmospheres* 124(7):3783–3799. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029910>
- Klein G, Vitasse Y, Rixen C, Marty C, Rebetez M (2016) Shorter snow cover duration since 1970 in the swiss alps due to earlier snowmelt more than to later snow onset. *Clim Change* 139(3-4):637–649. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1806-y>
- Kotlarski S, Lüthi D, Schär C (2015) The elevation dependency of 21st century european climate change: an rcm ensemble perspective. *Int J Climatol* 35(13):3902–3920. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4254>
- Kröner N, Kotlarski S, Fischer E, Lüthi D, Zubler E et al. (2017) Separating climate change signals into thermodynamic, lapse-rate and circulation effects: theory and application to the european summer climate. *Climate Dynam* 48(9-10):3425–3440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3276-3>
- Lejeune Y, Dumont M, Panel JM, Lafaysse M, Lapalus P, Le Gac E, Lesaffre B, Morin S (2019) 57 years (1960–2017) of snow and meteorological observations from a mid-altitude mountain site (Col de Porte, France, 1325 m of altitude). *Earth System Science Data* 11(1):71. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-71-2019>
- Marty C, Tilg AM, Jonas T (2017) Recent evidence of large-scale receding snow water equivalents in the european alps. *J Hydrometeorol* 18(4):1021–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-16-0188.1>
- Matiu M, Crespi A, Bertoldi G, Carnagnola CM, Marty C et al. (2021) Observed snow depth trends in the european alps: 1971 to 2019. *Cryosphere* 15(3):1343–1382. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1343-2021>
- Meehl GA, Teng H, Arblaster JM (2014) Climate model simulations of the observed early-2000s hiatus of global warming. *Nat Clim Change* 4(10):898–902. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2357>
- Ménégoz M, Gallée H, Jacobi H (2013) Precipitation and snow cover in the himalaya: from reanalysis to regional climate simulations. *Hydrology & Earth System Sciences* 17(10). <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3921-2013>
- Ménégoz M, Valla E, Jourdain NC, Blanchet J, Beaumet J et al. (2020) Contrasting seasonal changes in total and intense precipitation in

- the european alps from 1903 to 2010. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 24(11):5355–5377. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-5355-2020>. <https://hess.copernicus.org/articles/24/5355/2020/>
- Mestre O, Domonkos P, Picard F, Auer I, Robin S et al. (2013) HOMER : a homogenization software - methods and applications. *IDOJARAS* 117(1):47–67. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11765/1494>
- Mignatti A, Casagrandi R, Provenzale A, von Hardenberg A, Gatto M (2012) Sex-and age-structured models for alpine ibex capra ibex population dynamics. *Wildl Biol* 18(3):318–332. <https://doi.org/10.2981/11-084>
- Pepin N, Bradley RS, Diaz H, Baraër M, Caceres E et al. (2015) Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. *Nature Climate Change* 5(5):424–430. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2563>
- Pepin NC, Lundquist JD (2008) Temperature trends at high elevations: patterns across the globe. *Geophys Res Lett* 35(14). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034026>
- Philipona R (2013) Greenhouse warming and solar brightening in and around the alps. *Int J Climatol* 33(6):1530–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3531>
- Phillips M, Wolter A, Lüthi R, Amann F, Kenner R et al. (2017) Rock slope failure in a recently deglaciated permafrost rock wall at piz kesch (eastern swiss alps), February 2014. *Earth Surf Process Landf* 42(3):426–438. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3992>
- Poli P, Hersbach H, Dee DP, Berrisford P, Simmons AJ et al. (2016) Era-20c: an atmospheric reanalysis of the twentieth century. *J Climate* 29(11):4083–4097. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0556.1>
- Ravanel L, Deline P, Lambiel C, Vincent C (2013) Instability of a high alpine rock ridge: the lower arête des cosmiques, mont blanc Massif, France. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A Phys Geogr* 95(1):51–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geoa.12000>
- Ridder KD, Schayes G (1997) The iagl land surface model. *J Appl Meteorol* 36(2):167–182. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1997\)036<0167:TILSM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0167:TILSM>2.0.CO;2)
- Scherrer S, Ceppi P, Croci-Maspoli M, Appenzeller C (2012) Snow-albedo feedback and swiss spring temperature trends. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 110(4):509–516. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0712-0>
- Scherrer SC (2020) Temperature monitoring in mountain regions using reanalyses: lessons from the alps. *Environ Res Lett* 15(4):044005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab702d>
- Scherrer SC, Fischer EM, Posselt R, Liniger MA, Croci-Maspoli M et al. (2016) Emerging trends in heavy precipitation and hot temperature extremes in switzerland. *J Geophys Res Atmospheres* 121(6):2626–2637. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024634>
- Six D, Vincent C (2014) Sensitivity of mass balance and equilibrium-line altitude to climate change in the french alps. *J Glaciol* 60(223):867–878. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG14J014>
- Slivinski LC, Compo GP, Whitaker JS, Sardeshmukh PD, Giese BS et al. (2019) Towards a more reliable historical reanalysis: improvements for version 3 of the twentieth century reanalysis system. *Q J Roy Meteorol Soc* 145(724):2876–2908. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3598>
- Smith PC, Heinrich G, Suklitsch M, Gobiet A, Stoffel M et al. (2014) Station-scale bias correction and uncertainty analysis for the estimation of irrigation water requirements in the swiss rhone catchment under climate change. *Climatic Change* 127(3-4):521–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1263-4>
- Soden BJ, Held IM, Colman R, Shell KM, Kiehl JT et al. (2008) Quantifying climate feedbacks using radiative kernels. *J Climate* 21(14):3504–3520. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI2110.1>
- Spandre P, François H, Verfaillie D, Pons M, Vernay M et al. (2019) Winter tourism under climate change in the pyrenees and the french alps: relevance of snowmaking as a technical adaptation. *Cryosphere* 13:1325–1347. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1325-2019>
- Thackeray CW, Qu X, Hall A (2018) Why do models produce spread in snow albedo feedback? *Geophys Res Lett* 45(12):6223–6231. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078493>
- Valt M, Cianfarra P (2010) Recent snow cover variability in the italian alps. *Cold Reg Sci Technol* 64(2):146–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.08.008>
- Vernay M, Lafayasse M, Hagenmuller P, Nheili R, Verfaillie D et al. (2019) The s2m meteorological and snow cover reanalysis in the french mountainous areas (1958 - present). <https://doi.org/10.25326/37>
- Vincent C, Peyaud V, Laarman O, Six D, Gilbert A et al. (2019) Déclin des deux plus grands glaciers des alpes françaises au cours du xxie siècle: Argentière et mer de glace. *La Météorologie* <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02414347>
- Vincent C, Gilbert A, Jourdain B, Piard L, Ginot P et al. (2020) Strong changes in englacial temperatures despite insignificant changes in ice thickness at dome du gouter glacier (mont blanc area). *Cryosphere* 14(3):925–934. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-925-2020>
- Vionnet V, Brun E, Morin S, Boone A, Faroux S et al. (2012) The detailed snowpack scheme crocus and its implementation in surfex, v7. 2. <https://doi.org/10.5194>
- Wohland J, Omrani NE, Witthaut D, Keenlyside NS (2019) Inconsistent wind speed trends in current twentieth century reanalyses. *J Geophys Res Atmosp* 124(4):1931–1940. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030083>
- Wyart C, Doutreloup S, Belleflamme A, Wild M, Fettweis X (2018) Global radiative flux and cloudiness variability for the period 1959–2010 in belgium: a comparison between reanalyses and the regional climate model mar. *Atmosphere* 9(7):262. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos9070262>
- Zekollari H, Huss M, Farinotti D (2019) Modelling the future evolution of glaciers in the european alps under the Euro-Cordex rcm ensemble. *Cryosphere* 13(4):1125–1146. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1125-2019>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.