



HAL
open science

Construction d'un bipotentiel représentant une loi linéaire coaxiale bidimensionnelle

El Hanafi Arjdal, Jamal Chaoufi, Claude Vallee, Arnaud Germaneau,
Abdelaaziz Ghafiri, Hassan Fatmaoui, Naima Boulfaf

► **To cite this version:**

El Hanafi Arjdal, Jamal Chaoufi, Claude Vallee, Arnaud Germaneau, Abdelaaziz Ghafiri, et al..
Construction d'un bipotentiel représentant une loi linéaire coaxiale bidimensionnelle. CFM 2013 -
21ème Congrès Français de Mécanique, Aug 2013, Bordeaux, France. hal-03439053

HAL Id: hal-03439053

<https://hal.science/hal-03439053v1>

Submitted on 22 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Construction of a bipotential representing a linear non-associated law

E. ARJDAL^a, J. CHAOUFI^a, C. VALLEE^b, A. GERMANEAU^b,
K. ATCHONOUGLO^c, H. FATMAOUI^a, A. GHAFIRI^a

a. *Laboratory of Electronics, Signal Processing and Modelling Physics, Department of Physics, University Ibn Zohr, 80000 Agadir, Morocco*
b. *University of Poitiers, Institut P', UPR CNRS 3346, SP2MI, téléport 2, 11 bd M. et P. Curie, BP 30179, 86962 Futuroscope-Chasseneuil, France*
c. *University of Lomé, Department of Physics, BP 1515, Lomé, Togo.*

Résumé:

Nous considérons un matériau dont la relation contraintes-déformations est linéaire mais pas symétrique. La recherche d'un potentiel étant vaine, nous tentons de représenter la loi de comportement par un bipotentiel. La méthode de Fitzpatrick conduit à construire une suite croissante appropriée de bipotentiels. La technique est illustrée sur l'exemple des lois coaxiales.

Abstract:

We consider a material whose stress-strain relation is linear but not symmetrical. As the research of a potential is futile, we attempt to represent the constitutive law by a bipotential. Fitzpatrick method leads to construct a suitable increasing sequence of bipotentials. The technique is exemplified on coaxial constitutive laws.

Keywords: Non associated constitutive law, Non standard materials, Implicit Standard Materials, n-monotonicity, Bipotential.

1. Introduction

Let us consider a material whose constitutive law connecting the stress tensor y to the strain tensor x is linear: $y = Ax$. If the tensor A (of order 4) is symmetric and positive definite, we say that the material is elastic, and that A is its stiffness tensor. Therefore the material belongs to the class of Generalized Standard Materials (GSM) [5, 6, 7]. It can be characterized [3, 8] by the convex potential $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[x(Ax)]$, where tr denotes the trace. The inverse constitutive law is characterized by the convex conjugate potential $\phi^*(y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[y(A^{-1}y)]$. We can remark that the behaviour of this material is described either by one of the three following laws [7, 8]:

$$(i) y \in \partial\phi(x) \quad (ii) x \in \partial\phi(y) \quad (iii) \phi(x) + \phi^*(y) = \text{tr}(xy).$$

wherein the symbol ∂ denotes the subdifferential. In a configuration where the tensor A is not symmetric, we can not determine a potential neither a conjugate. To overcome such a handicap, Géry de Saxcé [2, 10, 11] proposed to replace the sum $\phi(x) + \phi^*(y)$ of the two potentials by a biconvex function $b(x, y)$ called bipotential. The behaviour is then described indifferently by one of three equivalent implicit laws:

$$(i) y \in \partial_x b(x, y) \quad (ii) x \in \partial_y b(x, y) \quad (iii) b(x, y) = \text{tr}(xy)$$

wherein the symbol ∂_x (respectively ∂_y) denotes the partial subdifferential at x (respectively y). Materials whose constitutive law can be represented by a bipotential are called Implicit Standard Materials (ISM).

The aim of this work is to build a bipotential capable of representing non-symmetric linear laws. In cases where the monotonicity of a law is ensured, convex analysis proposes the construction of a sequence called Fitzpatrick functions [1]. Each of these functions reveals to be a bipotential within the meaning of Géry de

Saxcé. We plan to apply this result in the special case of linear coaxial constitutive laws for which the stress and strain tensors have the same eigenvectors.

2. Fitzpatrick's sequence of a linear law

The construction of the Fitzpatrick's sequence for the law $y = Ax$ is possible if the single valued operator A is monotone. In this linear case, the monotonicity condition reduces [1, 12, 13] to the positive definiteness of the symmetric part S of A . Agreeing that $y_i = Ax_i$ for $i = 1$ to $n-1$, $x_n = x$, $y_n = y$, $x_{n+1} = x_1$, and $y_{n+1} = y_1$; the n^{th} Fitzpatrick function is defined [1] as:

$$F_{A,n}(x, y) = tr(xy) + \sup_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})} \sum_{i=1}^n tr[(x_{i+1} - x_i)y_i]$$

Estimation [13] of the above supremum leads to:

$$F_{A,n}(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{4} tr[(y - Ax)H_n^{-1}(y - Ax)] \quad (1)$$

where the positive definite symmetric tensor H_n is obtained from the tensor $H_2 = S$ by iterating the recursive formula:

$$H_{k+1} = S - \frac{1}{4} A^T H_k^{-1} A \quad (2)$$

from $k = 2$ to $k = n-1$. Actually, the monotonicity of A allows solely the construction of the function $F_{A,2}(x, y)$ originally proposed by Fitzpatrick [4]. The construction of the n^{th} Fitzpatrick function is only ensured if the operator A satisfies [1] the inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n tr[(x_{i+1} - x_i)y_i] \leq 0$$

once $y_i = Ax_i$ for $i = 1$ to n , $x_{n+1} = x_1$, and $y_{n+1} = y_1$. Under this condition, we say that the constitutive law $y = Ax$ is n -monotone [1] and also that the material is n -monotone [13]. The 2-monotonicity coincides with the classical monotonicity. The n -monotonicity involves all k -monotonicity for k lower than n . The Fitzpatrick sequence is increasing and bounded from below by the pairing $tr(xy)$. The minimum value $tr(xy)$ is achieved when the constitutive law $y = Ax$ is satisfied. Each function of the Fitzpatrick sequence is therefore a bipotential. When the tensor A is symmetric and positive definite (as in linear elasticity), all the n -monotonicity conditions are satisfied (A is referred to as cyclically monotone [1, 8]) and the Fitzpatrick sequence admits [1] a pointwise limit:

$$F_{A,\infty}(x, y) = \phi(x) + \phi^*(y)$$

which is the sum of potential and conjugate.

3. Bidimensional coaxial linear laws

The coaxial linear laws for which the stress tensor and the strain tensor have the same principal directions reads:

$$y = tr(qx)e + 2\mu x$$

where q is a symmetrical tensor (of order 2) and μ is a scalar (e denotes the identity tensor as in what follows). We split the tensor q in spherical and deviatoric parts:

$$q = \lambda e + h$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} tr q$ and h is a deviatoric tensor ($tr h = 0$). With this decomposition, the coaxial law becomes:

$$y = \lambda(tr x)e + 2\mu x + tr(hx)e.$$

If the tensor q is spherical ($h = 0$), this law reduces to the classical isotropic Hooke's law. Note that $tr(hx)$

involves only the deviatoric part x_d of x :

$$y = \lambda + \mu (tr x)e + 2\mu x_d + tr(h x_d)e \quad (3)$$

As well-known, the strict monotonicity of the 2D Hooke law is ensured by the two inequality conditions:

$$\mu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + \mu > 0.$$

The monotonicity of the coaxial law demands (see section 4.2 below) the additional condition:

$$tr(h^2) \leq 8(\lambda + \mu)\mu.$$

The isotropic Hooke law is described by the classical potential:

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda (tr x)^2 + \mu tr(x^2).$$

The coaxial law does not admit a potential. The obstruction is the term $tr(h x_d)e$ in (3) which introduces a lack of symmetry of the tensor A .

4. Fitzpatrick's sequence of a coaxial law.

4.1. Choice of a basis in the deformations area.

The 2D strain tensors can be regarded as elements of the 3D linear space E of 2×2 symmetrical matrices. In this space, we choose an orthonormal basis $(d, \frac{h}{\|h\|}, \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}})$ constituted of a unitary deviatoric tensor d orthogonal to h ($tr(h d) = 0$ and $tr(d^2) = 1$), the deviator h normalized to 1 (dividing by $\|h\| = [tr(h^2)]^{1/2}$), and the identity tensor e also normalized to 1 (dividing by $\sqrt{2}$). By duality, we also identify the space of stress tensors to E . In the above chosen basis, the tensor A is identified to the 3x3 matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\|h\| & 2(\lambda + \mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the lack of symmetry, due to the non nullity of h , appears clearly. In the appropriate basis, the matrix A takes the shape of a block matrix (two null blocks, a scalar block, and a lower triangular block):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

The lower triangular block:

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\|h\| & 2(\lambda + \mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

is not symmetrical. Its symmetric part is:

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|h\| \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|h\| & 2(\lambda + \mu) \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.2. Monotonicity of a coaxial law

According to the previous notations, the symmetrical part S of A is the block matrix:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}_3 \quad (5)$$

This 3×3 matrix is positive if the scalar μ and the 2×2 matrix S are positive. The latter condition requires both positivity of the scalar $\lambda + \mu$ (as for Hooke Law) and of the determinant of s :

$$\det s = 4\mu(\lambda + \mu) - \frac{1}{2}\|h\|^2$$

as announced before (paragraph 3).

4.3. Strict n-monotonicity of a coaxial law

The skew symmetric part of a 2×2 matrix is necessarily proportional to the matrix:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the matrix a splits up into $a = s + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|h\|J$ and its transpose into $a^T = s - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|h\|J$. Note that the symmetrical matrix $a^T s^{-1} a$ is proportional to s :

$$a^T s^{-1} a = \left(1 + \frac{\|h\|^2}{2 \det s}\right) s \quad (6)$$

The strict 2-monotonicity being prior to all others, necessarily the diagonal of A has to be non-negative:

$$\lambda + \mu > 0 \text{ and } \mu > 0.$$

The block structure already noticed (4) of the matrix A reduces the study of its n-monotonicity to that of the matrix a . In the case of a 2×2 matrix as a , the n-monotony is governed by the ratio between the multiplicative factor of J in the skew symmetric part and the square root of the determinant (necessarily non negative) of the symmetric part s (necessarily positive definite). More precisely, we define an angle θ included between 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ by the equality:

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|h\| = \sqrt{\det s} \tan \theta \quad (7)$$

and then the n-monotonicity condition is [13]:

$$n\theta \leq \pi.$$

With the notation (7), property (6) can be rewritten:

$$a^T s^{-1} a = (1 + \tan^2 \theta) s = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} s \quad (8)$$

4.4. Determination of the matrix sequence H_k

The matrix $S = H_2$ having the block structure (5), the relation (8) being satisfied; we solve the recurrence relation (2) by conjecturing the following block structure for the matrices H_k :

$$H_k = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu\alpha_k & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\beta_k s \end{bmatrix}$$

The two introduced numerical sequences α_k and β_k have initial value $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_2 = 2$. Relation (2) splits into 2 homographic recurrences:

$$\alpha_{k+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\alpha_k} \text{ and } \beta_{k+1} = 2 - \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} \frac{1}{\beta_k}$$

The solutions are [13]: $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k-1}$ and $\beta_k = \frac{\sin(k\theta)}{\sin((k-1)\theta)} \frac{1}{\cos \theta}$. Introducing the variable $X = \cos \theta$ and the

Chebyshev polynomials of second kind $U_k(X) = \frac{\sin(k+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}$, we can express β_k as a function of X :

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{X} \frac{U_{k-1}(X)}{U_{k-2}(X)}.$$

4.5. n^{th} Fitzpatrick function of a coaxial law.

The general expression (1) of the n^{th} Fitzpatrick function of a linear law specializes in:

$$H_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{n-1}\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2\cos\theta\sin((n-1)\theta)}s \end{bmatrix}$$

whose inverse is:

$$H_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\sin((n-1)\theta)}{2\cos\theta\sin(n\theta)} \frac{1}{\mu(\lambda+\mu)} JsJ \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Bipotential of monotonic coaxial law

From $k=2$ to $k=n$, any functions $F_{A,k}(x,y)$ of the finite Fitzpatrick sequence represent the n -monotone coaxial law. Guided by the cyclically monotone case (end of paragraph 2), we propose to select as best bipotential the largest one: $b(x,y) = F_{A,n}(x,y)$.

6. Discussion

Coaxial laws are non associated constitutive laws [9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. They respect all the principles originally enacted by Robert Hooke to model the behaviour of materials called “elastic”: linearity, monotonicity and coaxiality (neither isotropy, nor existence of a potential are required). We propose to revisit the Hooke modelling by taking into account the four characteristic parameters: λ , μ and 2 independent coefficients of the deviator h . Displacement and stress fields will be obtained as extrema of a bifunctional [10] generated by the bipotential defined in paragraph 5. The numerical implementation will be based on Uzawa type algorithms.

7. Conclusion

In 2-dimension, after developing the matrix of a linear n -monotone coaxial law in a suitable orthonormal basis (involving a deviator entering in the constitutive law), we were able to exhibit a bipotential by applying the Fitzpatrick method issued from convex analysis. The analysis of the relationship between the bipotential of Géry de Saxcé representing the non-associated constitutive laws and the Fitzpatrick functions representing maximal monotone operators, proved to be relevant. Therefore, we recommend the application of this method to find the best bipotential representing the behaviour of a given Implicit Standard Material.

References

[1] Bartz S., Bauschke H.H., Borwein J.M., Reich S., Wang X. Fitzpatrick functions, cyclic monotonicity and Rockafellar antiderivative. *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 66, 1198–1223, 2007.

- [2] Buliga M., de Saxcé G., Vallée C. Non maximal cyclically monotone graphs and construction of a bipotential for the Coulomb's dry friction law. *J. Convex Anal.*, vol. 17, n°1, 81–94, 2010.
- [3] Ciarlet P. G., Geymonat G., Krasucki F. Legendre–Fenchel duality in elasticity. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, vol. 349, n° 9–10, 597–602, 2011.
- [4] Fitzpatrick S. P. Representing monotone operators by convex functions. Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, August 8–24, 1988). In: Fitzpatrick S.P., Giles J.R. (eds.), *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, Canberra, vol. 20, 59–65, 1988.
- [5] Hackl K. Generalized standard media and variational principles in classical and finite strain elastoplasticity. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, vol. 45, n°5, 667–688, 1997.
- [6] Halphen B., Son N. Q. Sur les matériaux standard généralisés (French). *J. Mécanique*, vol. 14, 39–63, 1975.
- [7] Moreau J.J. Application of convex analysis to the treatment of elasto-plastic systems. In: Germain P., Nayroles B. (eds.), *Applications of Methods of Functional Analysis to Problems in Mechanics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 503, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [8] Moreau J.J. *Fonctionnelles convexes* (French). Séminaire Jean Leray sur les équations aux dérivées partielles, Collège de France, Paris, n°2, 1-108, 1966-1967. <http://archive.numdam.org>. Reprint: Istituto poligrafico e zecca dello stato S.p.A., Roma, 2003.
- [9] Point N., Erlicher S. Pseudo-potentials and bipotentials: A constructive procedure for non-associated plasticity and unilateral contact. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series S*, vol. 6, n°2, 567-590, 2013.
- [10] de Saxcé G., Bousshine L. Implicit Standard Materials. In: Weichert D., Maier G. (eds.), *Inelastic Behaviour of Structures Under Variable Repeated Loads–Direct Analysis Methods*, Int. Centre Mech. Sci., CISM Courses and Lectures IV, vol. 432, Springer, Wien, New York, 2002.
- [11] de Saxcé G., Tritsch J.B. Shakedown with Non Associated Flow Rule. In: Weichert D., Maier G. (eds.), *Inelastic Behaviour of Structures Under Variable Repeated Loads–Direct Analysis Methods*, CISM Courses and Lectures IV, vol. 432, Springer, Wien, New York, 2002.
- [12] Vallée C., Lerintiu C., Fortuné D., Atchonouglo K., Ban, M. Representing a non-associated constitutive law by a bipotential issued from a Fitzpatrick sequence. *Arch. Mech. Stos.*, vol. 61, n° 3-4, 325–340, 2009.
- [13] Vallée C., Lerintiu C., Chaoufi J., Fortuné D., Ban M., Atchonouglo K. A Class of Non-associated Materials: n-Monotone Materials-Hooke's Law of Elasticity Revisited. *Journal of Elasticity*, DOI: 10.1007/s10659-012-9403-4, Online, 2012.
- [14] Visintin A. Structural Stability of rate-independent nonpotential flows. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series S*, vol. 6, n°1, 257–275, 2013.
- [15] Visintin A. Variational formulation and structural stability of monotone equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, DOI: 10.1007/s00526-012-0519-y, Online, 2012.