



HAL
open science

Binding of DNA to Natural Sepiolite: Applications in Biotechnology and Perspectives

Sandrine Ragu, Olivier Piétrement, Bernard Lopez

► **To cite this version:**

Sandrine Ragu, Olivier Piétrement, Bernard Lopez. Binding of DNA to Natural Sepiolite: Applications in Biotechnology and Perspectives. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 2021, 69, pp.633-640. 10.1007/s42860-021-00165-2 . hal-03432858

HAL Id: hal-03432858

<https://hal.science/hal-03432858>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Clays and Clay Minerals

Binding of DNA to Natural Sepiolite: Applications in Biotechnology and Perspectives

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CLAY-D-21-00060R2	
Full Title:	Binding of DNA to Natural Sepiolite: Applications in Biotechnology and Perspectives	
Article Type:	Review	
Funding Information:	Agence Nationale de la Recherche (16-CE12-0011-02)	Dr Bernard Lopez
	Agence Nationale de la Recherche (16-CE18-0012-02)	Dr Bernard Lopez
	Institut National Du Cancer (PLBIO18-232)	Dr Bernard Lopez
	Ligue Contre le Cancer (Equipe labelisée 2020)	Dr Bernard Lopez
	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (MI-DynAFM-DNARep 2018_273085)	Dr Olivier Piétrement
	Conseil régional de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté (Graduate School EUR-EIPHI (17-EURE-0002)	Dr Olivier Piétrement
Abstract:	<p>DNA manipulation is crucial for many biotechnological prospects and for medical applications such as gene therapy. This requires the amplification and extraction of DNA from bacteria and the transfer of these DNA molecules into cells, including bacterial and mammalian cells. The capacity of the natural magnesium silicate clay mineral sepiolite to bind to DNA makes it a potential useful tool for biotechnological/medical strategies. In addition, sepiolite is inexpensive and classified as non-toxic and non-carcinogenic. This review will first describe the physico-chemical interactions between sepiolite and DNA. Then, the leverage of sepiolite/DNA interactions for DNA extraction from bacteria, to optimize DNA transfer into bacteria and DNA transfection into mammalian cells are presented. Finally, the putative toxicity of sepiolite and its advantages and perspectives for future prospects, such as the improvement of immunotherapy are also discussed.</p>	
Corresponding Author:	Bernard Lopez Institut Cochin FRANCE	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Institut Cochin	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Sandrine Ragu	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Sandrine Ragu	
	Olivier Piétrement	
	Bernard Lopez	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Author Comments:		
Response to Reviewers:	We have addressed the editor's comments and answer to the questions	
Suggested Reviewers:		



[Click here to view linked References](#)
Clays & Clay Minerals

1 Binding of DNA to Natural Sepiolite: Applications in Biotechnology and Perspectives

2 Sandrine Ragu^{#,1}, Olivier Piétrement^{#,2}, and ¹Bernard S. Lopez^{*,1}

3 ¹ Université de Paris, INSERM U1016, UMR 8104 CNRS, Institut Cochin, Equipe Labellisée

4 Ligue Contre le Cancer, Paris, France

5 ² Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire Carnot de Bourgogne, CNRS UMR 6303, Université de

6 Bourgogne, 9 Avenue Alain Savary, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France

7 #These authors contributed equally to this work

8 *Corresponding author: bernard.lopez@inserm.fr, Tel: +33 1 5373 2740, Institut Cochin, 24

9 rue du Faubourg St Jacques, 75014, Paris, France.

10

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ABSTRACT

DNA manipulation is crucial for many biotechnological prospects and for medical applications such as gene therapy. This requires the amplification and extraction of DNA from bacteria and the transfer of these DNA molecules into cells, including bacterial and mammalian cells. The capacity of the natural magnesium silicate clay mineral sepiolite to bind to DNA makes it a potentially useful tool for biotechnological/medical strategies. In addition, sepiolite is inexpensive and classified as non-toxic and non-carcinogenic. This review will first describe the physico-chemical interactions between sepiolite and DNA. Then, the leverage of sepiolite/DNA interactions for DNA extraction from bacteria, to optimize DNA transfer into bacteria and DNA transfection into mammalian cells, are presented. Finally, the putative toxicity of sepiolite and its advantages and perspectives for future prospects, such as the improvement of immunotherapy, are also discussed.

Keywords: Sepiolite; dna interaction; bacterial transformation ; DNA plasmid extraction; DNA transfection in mammalian cells

27 INTRODUCTION

28 Genome engineering is a major strategy for the development of new biological models of
29 interest in academia and the applied sciences, such as biotechnological, biomedical, and
30 agronomic research. Remarkably, this constitutes the basis of gene therapy aiming to correct
31 an endogenous, mutated, defective gene and to restore normal physiological functions. DNA
32 is the central biomolecule bearing the genetic information that is transmitted from one
33 generation to another. Hence, methods aimed at extracting and purifying DNA and/or
34 transferring DNA into living cells represent central issues in biotechnology and biomedical
35 applications.

36 Notably, the development of vectors based on biohybrid materials for DNA transfer, which
37 allows the avoidance of virus-based vectors, represents an appealing approach for the
38 treatment of different genetic disorders (Choy et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2011;
39 Wu et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2014). Among the different micro/nanoparticles, sepiolite
40 represents an alluring solution.

41 Sepiolite is a natural magnesium silicate clay mineral with a micro-fibrous morphology. The
42 size of sepiolite fibres varies according to geographical origin. For example, the length of
43 sepiolite fibres from Taxus Basin deposits in Spain ranges from 0.2 to 0.8 μm (Castro-
44 Smirnov et al., 2016; Piétrement et al., 2018). Interestingly, sepiolite is able to bind different
45 kinds of biological molecules, including polysaccharides (Alcântara et al., 2014), lipids
46 (Wicklein et al., 2010), proteins (Alcântara et al., 2012), and virus particles (Ruiz-Hitzky et al.,
47 2012). These capacities make sepiolite a promising micro/nanovector for the non-viral
48 transfer of biomolecules (Piétrement et al., 2018). Particularly, combining analyses from
49 physics, chemistry, and biology, sepiolite has been shown to bind to DNA (Castro-Smirnov et

50 al., 2016). These capabilities can be leveraged for biotechnology applications for future
51 promising biomedical strategies.
52 This aim of this review was to discuss the potential biotechnological and biomedical uses of
53 sepiolite, based on the physico-chemical characterisation of sepiolite/DNA interactions. Such
54 interactions allow consideration of sepiolite as a promising substrate for DNA extraction
55 from bacteria, for improving sepiolite-mediated bacterial transformation, and for
56 mammalian cell DNA transfection. A further objective was to envision the putative toxicity of
57 sepiolite *versus* its advantages and future perspectives.

58 SEPIOLITE AND ITS INTERACTION WITH DNA

59 *Sepiolite Structure.*

60 DNA/sepiolite interactions depend mainly on the sepiolite nanostructure and surface
61 properties. Sepiolite belongs to a family of clays called pseudo-layered hydrated fibrous
62 magnesium phyllosilicates. Its chemical formula is $\text{Si}_{12}\text{Mg}_8\text{O}_{30}(\text{OH})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8$ and it has a
63 trioctahedral structure: two layers of tetrahedral silica sandwiching a central octahedral
64 magnesium oxide-hydroxide layer (TOT family). Unlike other phyllosilicates, sepiolite, like
65 palygorskite, exhibits a three-dimensional crystalline organization with surface channels and
66 internal tunnels. The crystal structure of sepiolite was studied by Brauner and Preisinger
67 (Brauner & Preisinger, 1956) and is shown in Fig. 1. The internal channels have dimensions of
68 close to $0.37 \times 1.06\text{-nm}^2$.

69 Many active sites on the sepiolite surface consist of both silanol groups (SiOH) located at the
70 periphery of the fibers and magnesium hydroxyl type ($\text{Mg}-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$) groups located on either
71 side of the channels (see Fig. 1). These sites play an essential role in DNA adsorption (Castro-
72 Smirnov et al., 2016) and allow the grafting of numerous chemical groups (García et al.,
73 2011; Moreira et al., 2017; Undabeytia et al., 2019) and are responsible for the remarkable

74 physico-chemical properties of sepiolite. Sepiolite also has a sorption capacity mainly due to
75 the edge surfaces: the tunnels can accept molecules and retain them in a three-dimensional
76 space, whereas the surface channels interact with the outside. Both participate in very
77 different sorption mechanisms.

78 Like all clays, sepiolite has the property of retaining and exchanging cations with its
79 environment, which is called the cation exchange capacity (CEC). However, the structure of
80 sepiolite makes the internal faces of the channels difficult to access, and its three-
81 dimensional structure prevents swelling, unlike many of the two-dimensional phyllosilicate
82 clays. Sorption is, therefore, limited to the capacity of binding to the faces of the edges of
83 the sheets that emerge at the periphery of the fibres. For sepiolite, the CEC is quite low (20
84 to 30 meq/100 g of mineral) compared to other clays, but nevertheless plays an essential
85 role in the ability of sepiolite to adsorb various biomolecules, such as polysaccharides
86 (Darder et al., 2006), lipids (Wicklein et al., 2012), proteins (Alcântara et al., 2012), and
87 viruses (Ruiz-Hitzky et al., 2009), giving rise to a great diversity of bio-nanocomposites.
88 Finally, as a consequence of its structure, sepiolite has a large interaction surface that can be
89 split into two contributions; the internal surface is close to 300 m²/g, and the external
90 surface ranges from 200 to 300 m²/g (mainly depending on the fineness of the fibres). This
91 large surface of interaction (both internal and external) partly gives an understanding of how
92 sepiolite can interact strongly with biomolecules.

93 This ability to adsorb cations has helped to develop the theory that clays could be the cradle
94 of life on Earth, or, more specifically, of complex biochemical compounds that made life
95 possible (Yang et al., 2013). The hypothesis is that the clay hydrogel, thanks in part to its
96 structure organized in microcavities, plays a containment role for basic chemical elements
97 that could have carried out the complex reactions at the origin of the formation of proteins,

98 DNA, and ultimately all of the machinery involved in the functions of a living cell. The clay
99 hydrogels could also then have confined and protected these chemical processes until the
100 formation of the membrane surrounding the living cells.

101 In addition, the adsorption of DNA on mineral surfaces might favor horizontal gene transfer
102 into living organisms, which may play a significant role in the evolution of living species. All
103 these studies suggest a specific interaction between clays and nucleic acids.

104 *Sepiolite and DNA*

105 With the aim of using sepiolite as a new DNA vector, a study have thus shown that DNA
106 could efficiently bind to sepiolite, notably highlighting the role of multivalent cations as
107 enhancers of the DNA binding process (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016). However, while
108 monovalent cations reduce the adsorption of DNA molecules to mica (Pastre et al., 2003),
109 surprisingly, these cations promote the adsorption to sepiolite fibres. While monovalent
110 cations are less effective than multivalent cations, they unequivocally contribute to the
111 adsorption process (see Fig. 2). Interestingly, the presence of polyvalent cations (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} ,
112 spermidine, or spermine) strongly stimulates the adsorption of DNA depending on the
113 valence of the cation (see Fig. 2). These results suggest an adsorption mechanism mediated
114 by an electrostatic bridge through hydrogen bonds between the DNA phosphate groups and
115 the silanol groups located on the outer surface of the sepiolite, which is unlike the mica
116 surface, where counterion correlations occur (Pastre et al., 2003; Pastré et al., 2006).
117 Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy analysis thus showed that, indeed, the
118 interaction of DNA with sepiolite was mediated by only the external silanol groups, whereas
119 the hydroxyl groups of the octahedral sheets of sepiolite play no role (Castro-Smirnov et al.,
120 2016).

121 If the DNA is adsorbed onto the sepiolite fibres, the question of its desorption also arises in
122 the context of a project to create a nano-cargo for cell transfection. In cellulose, the
123 mechanisms that could induce this desorption remain unknown, but the possibility of DNA
124 desorption *in vitro* has been tested through the desorption of DNA from the
125 bionanocomposite by incubating it with EDTA, a well-known metal ion chelating agent. By
126 using different DNA plasmids, the quality of the DNA recovered after its attachment to
127 sepiolite was examined and the different DNA isoforms (super-coiled, open circle, or linear)
128 were unmodified after their binding to sepiolite. This shows that sepiolite does not alter the
129 quality of DNA and that this method can be considered a new method of DNA purification
130 (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016).

131 All these results confirmed the potential of sepiolite as an efficient cargo for DNA transfer
132 into cells. Moreover, the capacity of desorption suggests the possibility of using sepiolite for
133 DNA extraction.

134 EXTRACTION OF PLASMID DNA FROM BACTERIA

135 DNA extraction and purification, especially of plasmids, is the basis of molecular biology.
136 Plasmids are circular DNA molecules that autonomously replicate in bacteria and are
137 essential tools in molecular biology and biotechnology, from bacteria to mammalian cells.
138 Indeed, they are used as DNA vectors/backbones in gene transfer technology. Hence, fusion
139 of an exogenous DNA (for example, a piece of a human DNA) allows replication and
140 amplification into bacteria. After this replication and amplification process, their extraction is
141 necessary for subsequent experiments.
142 Several methods of plasmid extraction are available commercially. These methods are more
143 or less expensive and time-consuming. The DNA binding capability of sepiolite, associated
144 with the possibility of DNA release, makes sepiolite a simple and inexpensive alternative

145 method (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2020). Indeed, the binding of DNA onto sepiolite requires
146 cations, notably divalent cations such as Mg^{2+} or Ca^{2+} (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016). The
147 chelation of cations with EDTA, therefore, enables the release of DNA from sepiolite, which
148 is not covalently bound (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2020). The general protocol (Castro-Smirnov
149 et al., 2020) can be summarized as follows:

- 150 a- Bacteria bearing plasmids are grown in the appropriate medium with the suitable
151 selection of antibiotics.
- 152 b- At the mid-saturation state (as monitored by spectrophotometry), bacteria are
153 pelleted by centrifugation and then re-suspended in specific buffers. Bacteria are
154 lysed, and the DNA is denatured (the two complementary strands are separated) in
155 alkaline buffers.
- 156 c- The addition of neutralizing buffer allows plasmid DNA (which are small DNA
157 molecules) to renature (return to the bicatenary DNA structure), remaining soluble.
158 In contrast, bacterial genomic DNA (which are long DNA molecules) cannot properly
159 renature, and so they precipitate.
- 160 d- Centrifugation precipitates genomic DNA pellets and bacterial debris.
- 161 e- The supernatant (containing the plasmid DNA) is recovered. Sepiolite plus Mg^{2+} or
162 Ca^{2+} is added, allowing plasmid DNA to bind to sepiolite, allowing the subsequent
163 washing steps to eliminate contaminants.
- 164 f- Sepiolite-bearing DNA is centrifuged and washed with Tris buffer.
- 165 g- Then, EDTA is added to the sepiolite/DNA pellets, releasing the plasmid DNA from
166 the sepiolite.
- 167 h- Plasmid DNA and sepiolite are then separated by centrifugation (sepiolite in the
168 pellet and plasmid DNA in the supernatant).

169 Steps (a) to (d) are common to almost all methods of plasmid extraction.
170 Importantly, the structures of plasmid DNA molecules are not altered by this procedure, as
171 shown by electrophoresis and transmission electron microscopy analyses. Moreover,
172 plasmid DNA can be processed by enzymes such as restriction enzymes (Castro-Smirnov et
173 al., 2020). These facts attest to the good quality of the plasmid DNA extracted by the
174 sepiolite-based method.

175 IMPROVEMENT OF THE YOSHIDA EFFECT ON BACTERIAL TRANSFORMATION

176 In bacteria and non-animal eukaryotic cells, the non-viral transfer of DNA is called
177 transformation. In animal cells, it is called transfection.
178 Efficient transformation requires first rendering the bacteria to be competent for DNA
179 transfer. However, the protocols to prepare competent bacteria are generally laborious and
180 time consuming. One alternative is to purchase commercially competent bacteria, but this
181 method is expensive. One advantage of the Yoshida effect protocol for bacterial
182 transformation is that it does not require the use of competent bacteria.
183 In the Yoshida effect protocol, the solution containing the bacteria and the acicular material
184 forms a colloidal solution. Upon spreading on solid agar medium in Petri dishes using a solid
185 spreader, the sliding friction stimulates bacterial transformation (Yoshida et al., 2001;
186 Yoshida, 2007; Yoshida & Sato, 2009). However, the Yoshida effect was first described with
187 asbestos, thus raising health and toxicity concerns for its use. Sepiolite, which is considered
188 non-toxic and non-carcinogenic (see below), can circumvent this health worry. Indeed, the
189 fibrous nature of sepiolite favors bacterial transformation through a Yoshida-like effect
190 (Yoshida, 2007; Yoshida & Sato, 2009; Tan et al., 2010; Wilharm et al., 2010; Rodríguez-
191 Beltrán et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Beltrán et al., 2013).

192 The Yoshida effect does not absolutely require the pre-assembly of DNA with the fibers.
193 However, combining different capacities of sepiolite (i.e. the use of sonicated sepiolite, the
194 capacity to bind DNA and bacteria), a 100-fold improvement in transformation efficiency has
195 been observed (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2020). The general protocol can be summarized as
196 follows.

197 a- The use of sonicated sepiolite (sSep). Indeed, sepiolite spontaneously aggregates and
198 can be dissociated by sonication, increasing its spreading efficiency.

199 b- Pre-assembly of DNA and sSep, using the characteristics (incubation in the presence of
200 divalent cations) then centrifugation) described above, before adding the bacteria.

201 c- Pre-incubation of the sSep/DNA biohybrid material with bacteria (at the mid-phase of
202 growth) before spreading. Indeed, sepiolite can spontaneously bind to bacteria (Castro-
203 Smirnov et al., 2016, 2020) and it can thus be used for liquid decontamination. In
204 addition, this procedure favors bacterial transformation (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2020).
205 However, the Yoshida effect is still necessary because sepiolite-bacteria assembly alone is
206 not sufficient for efficient internalization.

207 Adapting these 3 conditions to the Yoshida effect, transformation efficiencies reached close
208 to 10^6 transformants per microgram of DNA (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2020). Such
209 transformation efficiency is lower than that of the commercially available competent
210 bacteria but is largely sufficient for most molecular biology applications at a lower cost. This
211 method is also much less time-consuming than the classical protocols for preparing
212 competent bacteria.

213 TRANSFECTION OF MAMMALIAN CELLS

214 Transfer of DNA into mammalian cells is an essential issue in biotechnology and biomedical
215 applications. Indeed, this constitutes the basis of strategies aimed at designing new model

216 organisms for academic, biomedical, or agronomical research and gene therapy. However,
217 mammalian cells do not efficiently incorporate exogenous DNA.
218 The Yoshida effect cannot work with mammalian cells because frictional forces kill them.
219 However, sepiolite can be spontaneously internalized into mammalian cells without
220 requiring friction, mainly through endocytosis and macropinocytosis pathways that involve
221 the invagination of the cell membrane around the sepiolite fibers, resulting in its
222 incorporation in the endosomes (intracellular [organelles](#) embedded into membranes) of the
223 cells (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2017). Interestingly, this process has a low effect on viability
224 (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). Given that sepiolite can bind DNA,
225 it can serve as a vector for stable DNA transfection into mammalian cells (Castro-Smirnov et
226 al., 2016). This application relies on the binding of DNA to sSep prior to the addition of the
227 sSep/DNA bio-hybrid material to the cell media. The uptake of the sSep/DNA bio-hybrid also
228 acts through the endocytosis pathway, and the intracellular sSep/DNA bio-hybrid is then
229 embedded in endosomes. The addition of drugs that destabilize endosome membranes,
230 such as chloroquine, results in a 2-fold increase in the transfection efficiency (Castro-
231 Smirnov et al., 2016).

232 These methods of cell transfection are somewhat less efficient than the use of commercial
233 reagents but are much less expensive. Different strategies can be envisioned to increase the
234 efficiency of intake of the nanoparticles into the cell. These strategies might be based on the
235 structure of the cell membrane, which is constituted of a bi-layer of lipids. Moreover,
236 techniques that could favor the delivery from the endosomes, such as favoring endosome
237 collapse into the cells, are now address; this might favor DNA delivery into cells. Moreover,
238 the pH of the endosome is very acid, and thus might lead to hydrolysis of the DNA. Methods
239 controlling this issue are, therefore, under investigation. The injection of sepiolite in vivo

240 should be precisely analyzed. Indeed, it could be injected into the blood micro-vessel and/or
241 accumulated in the liver. Moreover, the production of ROS (reactive oxygen species) and of
242 inflammatory cytokines might generate unwanted inflammation and/or premature ageing.
243 Therefore, the behavior and the fate of sepiolite, as well as the consequences of sepiolite
244 injection (through different procedures such as in blood versus intra-peritoneal), should be
245 addressed and analyzed in depth and in vivo in a mouse model before attempting this
246 transfer in humans.

247 TOXICITY OF SEPIOLITE IN HUMAN CELLS

248 Because of the multiple potential uses of sepiolite, including its biomedical applications, the
249 question of its toxicity has become an important issue. Its fibrous nature has led to concerns
250 of asbestos-like effects. This can be supported by the fact that, like asbestos, sepiolite can
251 generate a Yoshida effect and, in addition, generate DNA damage in bacteria (González-
252 Tortuero et al., 2018). However, translating these conclusions to mammalian cells would
253 represent an over-interpretation. Indeed, bacteria are much smaller than mammalian cells
254 (approximately 1000-fold). Therefore, while the length of a sepiolite fibre is similar to that of
255 a bacterial cell, the sepiolite fiber is much smaller than that of a human cell (Castro-Smirnov
256 et al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). In addition, the genome of eukaryotes (including
257 human cells) is embedded into a nuclear compartment, while in prokaryotes (bacteria) no
258 nucleus exists, and DNA is contained directly in the cytoplasm. Therefore, a sepiolite fiber
259 that penetrates bacteria can directly interact with its genomic DNA to alter it. In contrast, in
260 mammalian cells, the sepiolite fibre that enters the cell will be in the cytoplasm and not in
261 the nucleus. Hence, the sepiolite is not in contact with the genomic DNA (Castro-Smirnov et
262 al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). For DNA interaction, the sepiolite fiber should be
263 transported into the nucleus, which appears to be an infrequent process (Castro-Smirnov et

264 al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). In agreement with this observation, the interaction of
265 human cells with sepiolite does not trigger the DNA damage response (Ragu, Dardillac, et al.,
266 2020), supporting the fact that sepiolite does not attack genomic DNA in mammalian cells.
267 Coherently, sepiolite appears to be weakly toxic in cultured mammalian cells (Castro-
268 Smirnov et al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020), especially at the doses used for DNA
269 transfection, which are much lower than other classical transfection methods.
270 Sepiolite also induces the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells (Ragu,
271 Dardillac, et al., 2020). Because ROS can potentially alter any biological component,
272 including DNA, and lead to mutagenesis, this has raised some concerns about the potential
273 toxicity of sepiolite. The respiratory chains that synthesize ATP and provide the energy
274 required for various metabolic pathways generate ROS as by-products. However, cells can
275 also control the production of ROS that act as secondary messengers in physiological
276 processes (Ameziane-El-Hassani et al., 2016). In this situation, ROS are beneficial to the
277 organism. Notably, while antioxidants have been proposed to protect against tumorigenesis,
278 in contrast, treatment with antioxidants favors the development of lung carcinomas and
279 metastasis (Breau et al., 2019; Le Gal et al., 2015; Wiel et al., 2019), thus underlying the
280 potential benefit of ROS production. In addition, ROS can also trigger the induction of
281 apoptosis, eliminating stressed cells, to the benefit of the whole organism. Hence, an
282 intracellular increase in ROS can result from the detection of sepiolite by cells and their
283 response but does not automatically imply that the fate of the cell or organism will be
284 jeopardized.

285 Finally, ROS can oxidize lipid cell membranes, leading to lipid peroxidation (LP). However,
286 sepiolite represses LP from the supernatants of rat brain homogenates, suggesting a putative
287 antioxidant role for sepiolite (Cervini-Silva et al., 2015). One hypothesis is that cells respond

288 to sepiolite interaction through the production of controlled ROS but, in parallel, sepiolite
289 could also scavenge ROS, thus protecting against potential noxiousness.

290 One important component of the potential toxicity of fibres (such as asbestos) relies on the
291 fact that they remain stuck in tissues, generating chronic inflammation, which can become
292 pathogenic with time. These concerns connect two issues: the size of the fibres and the
293 exclusion capacity of the cells to the fibres. Indeed, small fibres should more easily be
294 excluded, thereby avoiding a potential asbestos-like effect. The large majority of the
295 sepiolite fibres from Vallecas-Vicalvaro deposits in Spain range in length from 200 to 800 nm
296 (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016), values that are lower than those reported in the literature
297 (Bellmann et al., 1997). Such sizes are much smaller than those of mammalian cells;
298 therefore, the capacity of the cells themselves to exclude such sepiolite fibres thus becomes
299 prominent for its harmlessness. Interestingly, the stable natural intrinsic fluorescence of the
300 sepiolite used in the present study(from Vallecas-Vicalvaro deposit) enabled examination of
301 its fate in cells (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2017; Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). In particular, time-
302 lapse fluorescent video microscopy analysis revealed the spontaneous exclusion of these
303 sepiolite fibers from mammalian cells, likely through an exocytosis process that involved the
304 membranes of endosomes (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2017). These data can account for the low
305 cellular toxicity of sepiolite (Denizeau et al., 1985; Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016; Ragu,
306 Dardillac, et al., 2020). More generally, and in agreement with the size effect hypothesis,
307 both epidemiological studies and in vitro and in vivo analyses have led to the conclusion that
308 sepiolite, especially with fiber lengths less than 5 μm , does not present a health risk
309 (Maisanaba et al., 2015). Moreover, previous biological and epidemiological analyses have
310 led the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) to classify sepiolite as non-

311 hazardous and non-carcinogenic (McConnochie et al., 1993; Santarén & Alvarez, 1994;
312 Wagner et al., 1987).

313 PERSPECTIVES FOR IMPROVEMENT OF IMMUNOTHERAPY

314 Additional bio-medical applications can be derived from the interaction of sepiolite with
315 cells, such as immunotherapy. This strategy takes advantage of the capacity of the immune
316 system to eliminate stressed cells. It has been applied to cancer therapy (Esfahani et al.,
317 2020) and was recognized by the Nobel Academy (Nobel prize of Physiology or Medicine to
318 James Allison and Tasuku Honjo, in 2018). This process is triggered by the production and
319 excretion of inflammatory cytokines that modify the microenvironment of the cell. This leads
320 to activation of the innate immune response, which recruits immune system cells (for
321 instance, natural killer cells, macrophages, and lymphocytes). Tumors are classified as hot or
322 cold, depending on whether they respond to immunotherapy. Unfortunately, the majority of
323 tumors are cold. Therefore, strategies that are able to change the status of cold tumors to
324 hot tumors should represent important progress in immunotherapy (Duan et al., 2020).
325 Sepiolite is internalized into cells and recognized, leading to the production of inflammatory
326 cytokines (Ragu, Dardillac, et al., 2020). Hence, this particularity opens a hopeful perspective
327 to turn cold tumors into hot tumors for immunotherapy.

328 Additionally, grafting DNA onto sepiolite can be expected to optimize the inflammatory
329 response. Indeed, cytoplasmic DNA is recognized by cells that induce inflammatory cytokines
330 (Ragu, Matos-Rodrigues, et al., 2020). Thus, one hope would be that the bio-hybrid
331 sepiolite/DNA material that delivers DNA into the cytoplasm of the cell might synergize the
332 efficiency of cytokine production and thus the immune response.

333 CONCLUSIONS

334 DNA is negatively charged, and the first obstacle is the cell membrane, which is made of lipid
335 bilayers that have charges incompatible with the spontaneous DNA uptake by cells. Non-
336 viral methods use physical and chemical strategies to bypass the membrane barrier but are
337 generally toxic to the cell. One limitation of both viral and physicochemical methods is the
338 fact that they can transfer DNA only. One ideal strategy would be based on transport
339 systems that can co-convey different kinds of molecules. The development of novel
340 nanocarriers using biohybrid materials for non-viral gene transfer constitutes thus a
341 promising approach. In this context, sepiolite is an enticing candidate as a nanocarrier for
342 the non-viral vectorization of DNA, because it is biocompatible and is spontaneously
343 internalized and excreted by mammalian cells. Moreover, thanks to its spontaneous
344 fluorescence, sepiolite can be detected in cells (and maybe hopefully in animals) without
345 requirement of fluorescent chemical grafting and can be followed in cells. Importantly,
346 sepiolite is weakly toxic to mammalian cells and is classified as non-hazardous and non-
347 carcinogenic by the IARC (WHO). Strategies based on natural internalization/externalization
348 capacities of the mammalian cells are preferable to limit cell toxicity. These strategies
349 implied that DNA should first bind to sepiolite to form a sepiolite/DNA (Sep/DNA) biohybrid.
350 Indeed, sepiolite can adsorb different molecules very efficiently, thus allowing the
351 development of many elaborate strategies, and, as a natural clay, sepiolite represents an
352 answer to societal concerns regarding challenging nanotechnologies.

353 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

354 We thank Prof. Eduardo Ruiz-Hitzky and Dr. Pilar Aranda for sharing their expertise,
355 particularly on sepiolite, and for stimulating discussions. OP acknowledges Dr. Eric Le Cam
356 for access to the electron microscope and helpful discussions.

357 DECLARATIONS

358 *To be used for non-life science articles*

359 Funding: SR and BSL were supported by grants from the Ligue Nationale contre le cancer
360 “Equipe labellisée”, ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche, ANR-16-CE12-0011-02, and
361 ANR-16-CE18-0012-02), and INCa (Institut National du Cancer, PLBIO18-232).

362 OP was supported by support from the CNRS Mission pour l’Interdisciplinarité (MI-DynAFM-
363 DNARep 2018_273085) and the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Graduate School EUR-EIPHI (17-
364 EURE-0002).

365 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare having no conflict of interest.

366 Availability of data and material: not applicable

367 Code availability: not applicable

368 Authors' contributions: SR, OP, and BSL wrote and corrected the manuscript.

369 *To be used for articles with biological applications*

370 Funding: SR and BSL were supported by grants from the Ligue Nationale contre le cancer
371 “Equipe labellisée”, ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche, ANR-16-CE12-0011-02, and
372 ANR-16-CE18-0012-02), and INCa (Institut National du Cancer, PLBIO18-232).

373 OP was supported by the CNRS Mission pour l’Interdisciplinarité (MI-DynAFM-DNARep
374 2018_273085) and the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Graduate School EUR-EIPHI (17-EURE-
375 0002).

376 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare having no conflict of interest.

377 Ethics approval: Not applicable

378 Consent to participate: Not applicable

379 Consent for publication: Not applicable

380 Availability of data and material: Not applicable

Clays & Clay Minerals

381 Code availability: Not applicable

382 Authors' contributions: SR, OP and BSL wrote and corrected the manuscript.

383 REFERENCES

- 384 Alcântara, A. C. S., Darder, M., Aranda, P., & Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2012). Zein-Fibrous Clays
385 Biohybrid Materials. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2012(32), 5216–5224.
386 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201200582>
- 387 Alcântara, A. C. S., Darder, M., Aranda, P., & Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2014). Polysaccharide–fibrous
388 clay bionanocomposites. *Applied Clay Science*, 96, 2–8.
389 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016913171400060X>
- 390 Ameziane-El-Hassani, R., Schlumberger, M., & Dupuy, C. (2016). NADPH oxidases: new actors
391 in thyroid cancer? *Nature Reviews. Endocrinology*, 12(8), 485–494.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2016.64>
- 393 Bellmann, B., Muhle, H., & Ernst, H. (1997). Investigations on health-related properties of
394 two sepiolite samples. *Environ Health Perspect*, 105 Suppl, 1049–1052.
395 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Cita
396 tion&list_uids=9400699](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=9400699)
- 397 Brauner, K., & Preisinger, A. (1956). Struktur und Entstehung des Sepioliths. *Tschermaks
398 Mineralogische Und Petrographische Mitteilungen*, 6(1–2), 120–140.
399 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01128033>
- 400 Breau, M., Houssaini, A., Lipskaia, L., Abid, S., Born, E., Marcos, E., Czibik, G., Attwe, A.,
401 Beaulieu, D., Palazzo, A., Flaman, J.-M., Bourachot, B., Collin, G., Tran Van Nhieu, J.,
402 Bernard, D., Mechta-Grigoriou, F., & Adnot, S. (2019). The antioxidant N-acetylcysteine
403 protects from lung emphysema but induces lung adenocarcinoma in mice. *JCI Insight*,
404 4(19), e127647. <https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.127647>
- 405 Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Ayache, J., Bertrand, J.-R. J.-R., Dardillac, E., Le Cam, E., Piétrement, O.,
406 Aranda, P., Ruiz-Hitzky, E., & Lopez, B. S. B. S. (2017). Cellular uptake pathways of

- 407 sepiolite nanofibers and DNA transfection improvement. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 5586.
408 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05839-3>
- 409 Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Piétrement, O., Aranda, P., Bertrand, J.-R. J.-R., Ayache, J., Le Cam, E.,
410 Ruiz-Hitzky, E., & Lopez, B. S. (2016). Physical interactions between DNA and sepiolite
411 nanofibers, and potential application for DNA transfer into mammalian cells. *Scientific*
412 *Reports*, 6, 36341. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36341>
- 413 Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Piétrement, O., Aranda, P., Le Cam, E., Ruiz-Hitzky, E., & Lopez, B. S.
414 (2020). Biotechnological applications of the sepiolite interactions with bacteria:
415 Bacterial transformation and DNA extraction. *Applied Clay Science*, 191, 105613.
416 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2020.105613>
- 417 Cervini-Silva, J., Nieto-Camacho, A., & Gómez-Vidales, V. (2015). Oxidative stress inhibition
418 and oxidant activity by fibrous clays. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 133, 32–35.
419 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2015.05.042>
- 420 Choi, G., Kwon, O.-J., Oh, Y., Yun, C.-O., & Choy, J.-H. (2014). Inorganic nanovehicle targets
421 tumor in an orthotopic breast cancer model. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 4430.
422 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04430>
- 423 Choy, J.-H., Kwak, S.-Y., Jeong, Y.-J., & Park, J.-S. (2000). Inorganic Layered Double Hydroxides
424 as Nonviral Vectors. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 39, 4041–4045.
425 [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1521-3773\(20001117\)39:22%3C4041::AID-](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1521-3773(20001117)39:22%3C4041::AID-)
426 [ANIE4041%3E3.0.CO;2-C/abstract](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1521-3773(20001117)39:22%3C4041::AID-ANIE4041%3E3.0.CO;2-C/abstract)
- 427 Darder, M., López-Blanco, M., Aranda, P., Aznar, A. J., Bravo, J., & Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2006).
428 Microfibrous Chitosan–Sepiolite Nanocomposites. *Chemistry of Materials*, 18, 1602–
429 1610. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm0523642>
- 430 Denizeau, F., Marion, M., Chevalier, G., & Cote, M. G. (1985). Absence of genotoxic effects of

- 431 nonasbestos mineral fibers. *Cell Biology and Toxicology*, 1(2), 23–32.
- 432 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00717788>
- 433 Duan, Q., Zhang, H., Zheng, J., & Zhang, L. (2020). Turning Cold into Hot: Firing up the Tumor
434 Microenvironment. In *Trends in Cancer* (Vol. 6, pp. 605–618).
- 435 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2020.02.022>
- 436 Dupaigne, P., Tavares, E. M., Piétrement, O., & Le Cam, E. (2018). Recombinases and related
437 proteins in the context of homologous recombination analyzed by molecular
438 microscopy. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1805, 251–270.
- 439 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8556-2_13
- 440 Esfahani, K., Roudaia, L., Buhlaiga, N., Del Rincon, S. V., Papneja, N., & Miller, W. H. (2020). A
441 review of cancer immunotherapy: From the past, to the present, to the future. *Current*
442 *Oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.3747/co.27.5223>
- 443 García, N., Guzmán, J., Benito, E., Esteban-Cubillo, A., Aguilar, E., Santarén, J., & Tiemblo, P.
444 (2011). Surface Modification of Sepiolite in Aqueous Gels by Using Methoxysilanes and
445 Its Impact on the Nanofiber Dispersion Ability. *Langmuir*, 27(7), 3952–3959.
- 446 <https://doi.org/10.1021/la104410r>
- 447 González-Tortuero, E., Rodríguez-Beltrán, J., Radek, R., Blázquez, J., & Rodríguez-Rojas, A.
448 (2018). Clay-induced DNA breaks as a path for genetic diversity, antibiotic resistance,
449 and asbestos carcinogenesis. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 8504.
- 450 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26958-5>
- 451 Le Gal, K., Ibrahim, M. X., Wiel, C., Sayin, V. I., Akula, M. K., Karlsson, C., Dalin, M. G.,
452 Akyürek, L. M., Lindahl, P., Nilsson, J., & Bergo, M. O. (2015). Antioxidants can increase
453 melanoma metastasis in mice. *Science Translational Medicine*, 7(308), 308re8.
- 454 <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aad3740>

- 455 Lin, F.-H., Chen, C.-H., Cheng, W. T. K., & Kuo, T.-F. (2006). Modified montmorillonite as
456 vector for gene delivery. *Biomaterials*, *27*(17), 3333–3338.
457 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.12.029>
- 458 Maisanaba, S., Pichardo, S., Puerto, M., Gutiérrez-Praena, D., Cameán, A. M., & Jos, A.
459 (2015). Toxicological evaluation of clay minerals and derived nanocomposites: A review.
460 *Environmental Research*, *138*, 233–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.12.024>
- 461 McConnochie, K., Bevan, C., Newcombe, R. G., Lyons, J. P., Skidmore, J. W., & Wagner, J. C.
462 (1993). A study of Spanish sepiolite workers. *Thorax*, *48*(4), 370–374.
463 <https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.48.4.370>
- 464 Moreira, M. A., Ciuffi, K. J., Rives, V., Vicente, M. A., Trujillano, R., Gil, A., Korili, S. A., & de
465 Faria, E. H. (2017). Effect of chemical modification of palygorskite and sepiolite by 3-
466 aminopropyltriethoxysilane on adsorption of cationic and anionic dyes. *Applied Clay
467 Science*, *135*, 394–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2016.10.022>
- 468 Pastré, D., Hamon, L., Landousy, F., Sorel, I., David, M.-O. O., Zozime, A., Le Cam, E., &
469 Piétrement, O. (2006). Anionic polyelectrolyte adsorption on mica mediated by
470 multivalent cations: a solution to DNA imaging by atomic force microscopy under high
471 ionic strengths. *Langmuir*, *22*(15), 6651–6660. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la053387y>
- 472 Pastre, D., Pietrement, O., Fusil, S., Landousy, F., Jeusset, J., David, M.-O., Hamon, L., Le Cam,
473 E., & Zozime, A. (2003). Adsorption of DNA to Mica Mediated by Divalent Counterions:
474 A Theoretical and Experimental Study. *Biophysical Journal*, *85*, 2507–2518. [http:// DOI:
475 10.1016/S0006-3495\(03\)74673-6](http://DOI:10.1016/S0006-3495(03)74673-6)
- 476 Piétrement, O., Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Le Cam, E., Aranda, P., Ruiz-Hitzky, E., & Lopez, B. S.
477 (2018). Sepiolite as a New Nanocarrier for DNA Transfer into Mammalian Cells: Proof of
478 Concept, Issues and Perspectives. *Chemical Record*, *18*(7), 849–857.

- 479 <https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.201700078>
- 480 Ragu, S., Dardillac, E., Adame Brooks, D., Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Aranda, P., Ruiz-Hitzky, E., &
481 Lopez, B. S. (2020). Responses of human cells to Sepiolite interaction. *Applied Clay*
482 *Science*, *194*, 105655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2020.105655>
- 483 Ragu, S., Matos-Rodrigues, G., & Lopez, B. S. (2020). Replication stress, DNA damage,
484 inflammatory cytokines and innate immune response. *Genes*, *11*(4), 409.
485 <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11040409>
- 486 Rodríguez-Beltrán, J., Elabed, H., Gaddour, K., Blázquez, J., & Rodríguez-Rojas, A. (2012).
487 Simple DNA transformation in *Pseudomonas* based on the Yoshida effect. *Journal of*
488 *Microbiological Methods*, *89*(2), 95–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.02.013>
- 489 Rodríguez-Beltrán, J., Rodríguez-Rojas, A., Yubero, E., & Blázquez, J. (2013). The Animal Food
490 Supplement Sepiolite Promotes a Direct Horizontal Transfer of Antibiotic Resistance
491 Plasmids between Bacterial Species. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *57*(6),
492 2651–2653. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02363-12>
- 493 Ruiz-Hitzky, E., Darder, M., Aranda, P., del Burgo, M. Á. M., & del Real, G. (2009).
494 Bionanocomposites as New Carriers for Influenza Vaccines. *Advanced Materials*, *21*,
495 4167–4171. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/adma.200900181/abstract>
- 496 Ruiz-Hitzky, E., Darder, M., Wicklein, B., Fernandes, F. M., Castro-Smirnov, F. A., Martín del
497 Burgo, M. A., del Real, G., & Aranda, P. (2012). Advanced biohybrid materials based on
498 nanoclays for biomedical applications. *Proceedings SPIE*, *8548*, 85480D-85480D – 8.
499 <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.999995>
- 500 Santarén, J., & Alvarez, A. (1994). Assessment of the health effects of mineral dusts. *Ind.*
501 *Miner*, *April*, 1–12.
- 502 Shi, Y.-F., Tian, Z., Zhang, Y., Shen, H.-B., & Jia, N.-Q. (2011). Functionalized halloysite

- 503 nanotube-based carrier for intracellular delivery of antisense oligonucleotides.
504 *Nanoscale Research Letters*, 6(1), 608. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1556-276X-6-608>
- 505 Tan, H., Fu, L., & Seno, M. (2010). Optimization of bacterial plasmid transformation using
506 nanomaterials based on the Yoshida effect. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,
507 11(12), 4961–4972. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms11124962>
- 508 Undabeytia, T., Madrid, F., Vázquez, J., & Pérez-Martínez, J. I. (2019). Grafted Sepiolites for
509 the Removal of Pharmaceuticals in Water Treatment. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 67(2),
510 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42860-019-00013-4>
- 511 Wagner, J. C., Griffiths, D. M., & Munday, D. E. (1987). Experimental studies with
512 palygorskite dusts. *British Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 44(11), 749–763.
- 513 Wicklein, B., Darder, M., Aranda, P., & Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2010). Bio-organoclays Based on
514 Phospholipids as Immobilization Hosts for Biological Species. *Langmuir*, 26, 5217–5225.
515 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la9036925>
- 516 Wicklein, B., Martín del Burgo, M. Á., Yuste, M., Darder, M., Llavata, C. E., Aranda, P., Ortin,
517 J., del Real, G., & Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2012). Lipid-Based Bio-Nanohybrids for Functional
518 Stabilisation of Influenza Vaccines. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2012,
519 5186–5191. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ejic.201200579/abstract>
- 520 Wiel, C., Le Gal, K., Ibrahim, M. X., Jahangir, C. A., Kashif, M., Yao, H., Ziegler, D. V., Xu, X.,
521 Ghosh, T., Mondal, T., Kanduri, C., Lindahl, P., Sayin, V. I., & Bergo, M. O. (2019). BACH1
522 Stabilization by Antioxidants Stimulates Lung Cancer Metastasis. *Cell*, 178(2), 330-
523 345.e22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.005>
- 524 Wilharm, G., Lepka, D., Faber, F., Hofmann, J., Kerrinnes, T., & Skiebe, E. (2010). A simple and
525 rapid method of bacterial transformation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 80(2),
526 215–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2009.12.002>

- 527 Wu, H., Shi, Y., Huang, C., Zhang, Y., Wu, J., Shen, H., & Jia, N. (2014). Multifunctional
528 nanocarrier based on clay nanotubes for efficient intracellular siRNA delivery and gene
529 silencing. *Journal of Biomaterials Applications*, 28(8), 1180–1189.
530 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885328213501215>
- 531 Yang, D., Peng, S., Hartman, M. R., Gupton-Campolongo, T., Rice, E. J., Chang, A. K., Gu, Z.,
532 Lu, G. Q., & Luo, D. (2013). Enhanced transcription and translation in clay hydrogel and
533 implications for early life evolution. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 3165.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03165>
- 535 Yoshida, N., Ikeda, T., Yoshida, T., Sengoku, T., & Ogawa, K. (2001). Chrysotile asbestos fibers
536 mediate transformation of Escherichia coli by exogenous plasmid DNA. *FEMS*
537 *Microbiology Letters*, 195(2), 133–137.
- 538 Yoshida, N. (2007). Discovery and Application of the Yoshida Effect: Nano-Sized Acicular
539 Materials Enable Penetration of Bacterial Cells by Sliding Friction Force. *Recent Patents*
540 *on Biotechnology*, 1(3), 194–201. <https://doi.org/10.2174/187220807782330147>
- 541 Yoshida, N, & Sato, M. (2009). Plasmid uptake by bacteria: A comparison of methods and
542 efficiencies. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 83(5), 791–798.
543 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2042-4>
544

545

546 Fig. 1. Sepiolite structure (adapted from (Brauner & Preisinger, 1956)).

547

548 Fig. 2. (A) The effects of the presence of cations with various valences on DNA adsorption.

549 Reaction conditions: 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, salmon sperm DNA and sepiolite concentrations

550 of 615 ng/ μ l and 1 mg/mL, respectively (from (Castro-Smirnov et al., 2016)). TEM images of

551 DNA condensed with (B) spermidine and (C) the interaction with sepiolite fibers.

552 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging: DNA molecules (5 nM) were condensed in

553 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM spermidine. 5 μ L of reaction mixture was

554 deposited onto a 600 mesh copper grid coated with a thin carbon film previously activated

555 by glow discharge in the presence of 1-aminopentane (Merck, Saint Quentin Fallavier,

556 France) (Dupaigne et al., 2018). After 1 min, the grids were washed with aqueous 2 wt.%


557 uranyl acetate (Merck, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) and then dried with ashless filter


558 paper (VWR, Rosny sous bois, France). TEM observations were carried out on a Zeiss 912AB

559 transmission electron microscope in bright field mode. Electron micrographs were obtained

560 using a ProScan 1024 HSC digital camera and iTEM software (Olympus, Soft Imaging

561 Solutions, Munster, Germany).







[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplementary Material

[21-00060 Lopez -finalwith Mark change.docx](#)

