

## Insights into soft-part preservation from the Early Ordovician Fezouata Biota

Farid Saleh<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Romain Vaucher<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan B. Antcliffe<sup>5</sup>, Allison C. Daley<sup>5</sup>, Khadija El Hariri<sup>6</sup>, Khaoula Kouraiss<sup>6</sup>, Bertrand Lefebvre<sup>3</sup>, Emmanuel L.O. Martin<sup>3</sup>, Jean Philippe Perrillat<sup>7</sup>, Pierre Sansjofre<sup>7</sup>, Muriel Vidal<sup>8</sup> & Bernard Pittet<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, Institute of Palaeontology, Yunnan University, Kunming, China*

<sup>2</sup> *MEC International Joint Laboratory for Palaeobiology and Palaeoenvironment, Institute of Palaeontology, Yunnan University, Kunming, China*

<sup>3</sup> *Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS, UMR5276, LGL-TPE, Villeurbanne, France*

<sup>4</sup> *Applied Research in Ichnology and Sedimentology (ARISE) Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada*

<sup>5</sup> *Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Lausanne, Géopolis, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

<sup>6</sup> *Laboratoire de Géosciences et Environnement, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cadi-Ayyad, BP 549, 40000 Marrakesh, Morocco*

<sup>7</sup> *MNHN, Sorbonne Université, CNRS UMR 7590, IRD, Institut de Minéralogie, Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, Paris, France*

<sup>8</sup> *Univ. Brest, CNRS, IUEM Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, UMR 6538 Laboratoire Géosciences Océan, Place Nicolas Copernic, 29280, Plouzané, France*

Corresponding author: Farid Saleh ([farid.nassim.saleh@gmail.com](mailto:farid.nassim.saleh@gmail.com))

### Abstract

The Fezouata Biota in Morocco is the only Lower Ordovician Lagerstätte yielding a biologically diverse assemblage in a fully marine environment, whilst also containing organisms typical of Cambrian Burgess Shale-type (BST) ecosystems. Fossils from the Fezouata Shale share the same mode of preservation as Cambrian BST biotas defined by carbonaceous compressions and accessory authigenic mineralization. Most organisms of the Fezouata Biota were already dead and decaying on the seafloor when they were buried *in-situ* by occasional storm-induced deposits in an environment just below the storm-weather wave base. Pre-burial decay in the Fezouata Shale was responsible for the non-preservation of completely cellular organisms such as jellyfish. These conditions contrast with the processes described for soft-tissue preservation in the Burgess Shale (Canada) and the Chengjiang Biota (China). In these two Cambrian Lagerstätten, animals were transported alive or shortly after death by obrution events to an environment that was favorable for preservation. Despite preservational biases, the autochthonous assemblages of the Fezouata Shale offer a unique opportunity to decipher the structure of in-situ communities and ecological dynamics in Early Palaeozoic seas, when compared to the allochthonous communities of most Cambrian BST biotas.

Keywords: exceptional preservation, Cambrian, Fezouata Shale, Burgess Shale, Chengjiang Biota, taphonomy

## 1. Introduction

Fossils are key elements in deciphering ancient life on Earth. Much of our knowledge on biodiversification and extinction events comes from mineralized parts such as bones and shells because these are relatively abundant and are commonly found around the globe (Fan et al., 2020). However, organisms having mineralized parts constituting at least part of their bodies are not the sole components in modern ecosystems. A large number of animals are completely soft, having either cuticularized body walls (i.e. formed of polysaccharides), such as annelids and priapulids, or even entirely cellular bodies, such as jellyfish (e.g. Liu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; Lamsdell et al., 2013; Duan et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2014; Gutiérrez-Marco and García-Bellido, 2015; Martin et al., 2016a; Lerosey-Aubril et al., 2017). Thus, studies based on mineralized parts in the fossil record provide incomplete samples of past animal life on Earth. For this reason, incorporating information from localities with exceptional fossil preservation yielding labile anatomies is crucial to reconstruct ancient ecosystems more accurately (Daley et al., 2018). Although generally rare over the geological time scale, exceptionally preserved biotas discovered in deposits called “Lagerstätten” are common in the Cambrian (Gaines, 2014). The most famous Cambrian site with exceptional preservation is the Burgess Shale (Miaolingian, Canada; Butterfield 1990, 1995; Conway Morris, 1992; Gaines, 2014). The discovery of soft animal taxa in this locality transformed palaeontological knowledge on the earliest eumetazoan-dominated communities during the Cambrian Explosion (e.g. Daley et al., 2009, 2018; Smith and Caron, 2010; Moysiuk et al., 2017; Moysiuk and Caron, 2019; Nanglu et al., 2020). In the last 40 years, over 50 Burgess Shale-type (BST) assemblages have been discovered, most of them from the early to middle Cambrian (Gaines, 2014). Fossils from the Chengjiang Biota (Cambrian Series 2, China) preserved tissues that decay fast in laboratory conditions, and shed light on the early evolution of numerous metazoan phyla (Hou et al., 2004). For instance, nervous tissues were discovered in different arthropod groups ending long-standing debates on the systematic affinities of these taxa (Ma et al., 2012, 2015; Tanaka et al., 2013; Cong et al., 2014). The Chengjiang Biota yielded also the best fossilized cardiovascular system ever discovered (Ma et al., 2014). All these animals from Cambrian BST assemblages were preserved under similar environmental conditions and share the same mode of preservation (Gaines et al., 2008, 2012a). They were transported from their living environment, alive or shortly after their death by obrution events, to another setting, where they were buried and eventually preserved (Gaines, 2014). The rapid transport and burial of these animals provided a very limited time for oxic decay to occur, and increased the chances of tissues to escape oxygen in the water column of their original environment (Gaines, 2014). In the deeper facies where they were buried, anoxia was permissive at least at the sea bottom, and carbonate cement precipitated on top of burial event deposits blocking exchange between the water column and sediments and inhibiting oxidants from attaining decaying carcasses (Gaines et al., 2012a). It was also recently suggested that specific clay minerals may have helped BST preservation by slowing down bacterial decay (McMahon et al., 2016). Thus, carcasses were isolated in a fine-grained lithology allowing their preservation in minute details as carbonaceous compressions (Gaines et al., 2008). In some cases, authigenic mineralization (i.e. pyritization, phosphatization) may have occurred, but this remained accessory to the primary carbonaceous mode of preservation (Gaines et al., 2008). Then, for some sites (i.e. the Burgess Shale, and Sirius Passet), the compressed organic matter was kerogenized and matured under metamorphic conditions at temperatures between 300 and 400 degrees (Topper et al., 2018). Although the general conditions for exceptional fossil preservation are relatively well-known for Cambrian Lagerstätten, the mechanisms at play for soft-tissue preservation in younger BST deposits remain largely unexplored.

In the early 2000s, a new Lagerstätte was discovered in the Zagora area, Central Anti-Atlas of Morocco. The Fezouata Shale is, so far, the only unit to yield a highly diverse, fully marine exceptionally preserved Ordovician biota (Van Roy et al., 2010, 2015a; Lefebvre et al., 2016a; Martin et al., 2016a). With more than 185 taxa of marine invertebrates recovered from numerous sites in the Zagora area, this formation offers new insights into the diversification of metazoans, at a key interval between the Cambrian Explosion and the Ordovician Radiation (Van Roy et al., 2010, 2015a; Lefebvre et al., 2016a). Most of these taxa are shelly organisms typical of the Ordovician Radiation including asterozoans, bivalves, rhynchonelliformean brachiopods, cephalopods, crinoids, gastropods, graptolites, ostracods,

and trilobites (Fig. 1). The Fezouata Biota also comprises a high number of soft-bodied to lightly sclerotized taxa (Fig. 1). Some of these exceptionally preserved organisms (e.g. cirriped crustaceans, eurypterid and xiphosuran chelicerates) represent the oldest occurrences of important marine invertebrate groups, previously only recorded from younger Lagerstätten (Van Roy et al., 2015a). The Fezouata Biota also includes abundant representatives of taxa typical of Cambrian age BST Lagerstätten (e.g. radiodonts, protomonaxonids, armored lobopodians, marrellomorphs, naraoiids) (Botting, 2007, 2016; Vinther et al., 2008, 2017; Van Roy et al., 2010, 2015b; Pérez-Peris et al., in press).

Two modes of exceptional preservation have been documented in the Fezouata Shale. The first one occurs in concretions (Gaines et al., 2012b). The second type of exceptional preservation is associated with shales in a generally shallower environment in comparison to the classical Burgess Shale (Martin et al., 2016a; Vaucher et al., 2016). Most Fezouata BST fossils collected in shales are preserved as molds or imprints on the sediments (Martin et al., 2016a), and it is unclear whether these organisms were originally preserved as carbonaceous compressions. In some cases, some non-biomineralized tissues in flattened fossils, such as trilobite digestive tracts and echinoderm water-vascular systems, are preserved in 3D iron oxides (Van Roy et al., 2010; Gutiérrez-Marco et al., 2017; Lefebvre et al., 2019).

Considering that numerous mechanisms may favor or alter the preservation of original anatomies in fossils (Fig. 2), deciphering the taphonomic processes is essential for palaeontological and ecological studies, especially for taxa without extant representatives. Consequently, the aim of this study is to review soft tissue taphonomy in the Fezouata Shale based on a multidisciplinary approach combining data across palaeontology, sedimentology, geochemistry and mineralogy. This in-depth reconstruction starts at the life of an organism in its environment and ends at its discovery in surface sediments passing through biostratinomy, diagenesis, metamorphism, and modern weathering (Fig. 2) (Sansom et al., 2010; Bath Enright, 2018; Parry et al., 2018; Purnell et al., 2018). To do so, we will answer the five following questions:

- Question 1: What is the stratigraphic distribution of exceptional preservation in the Fezouata Shale?
- Question 2: What were the sedimentary surface processes affecting organisms?
- Question 3: Under what conditions did decay and diagenetic mineralization take place?
- Question 4: What were the post-diagenetic processes?
- Question 5: What is the fidelity of preservation in the Fezouata Shale?

Answering these questions allows for comparison of the Fezouata Shale communities and their preservation with both the Burgess Shale and the Chengjiang Biota. This comparison is essential to constrain preservation biases within exceptionally preserved biotas and thus to reconstruct early animal ecosystem evolution. This work has implications in understanding the earliest radiations of complex metazoans on Earth from a fresh perspective that accounts for individual preservation biases that were likely operational at each site.

## **2. Question 1: stratigraphic context – discontinuous occurrences of exceptional preservation**

The Fezouata Shale is largely exposed in the Anti-Atlas of Morocco. During the Early Ordovician, this area was located at high latitudes close to the palaeo-South pole (Torsvik and Cocks, 2011, 2013). In the Anti-Atlas, the Ordovician succession (maximum ~2500m thick to the West) was originally divided into four lithostratigraphic groups, which are in stratigraphic order: the Outer Feijas, the First Bani, the Ktaoua, and the Second Bani (Fig. 3) (Choubert, 1942). Following the stratigraphic work of Destombes in the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, these stratigraphic groups were subdivided into several formations (Fig. 3) (Destombes, 1970, 1971; Destombes et al., 1985). The Fezouata Shale (Tremadocian–Floian) is unconformably deposited over the middle Cambrian Tabanite Group and is conformably overlain by the Zini Formation (late Floian) that is itself overlain by the Tachilla Formation (Darriwilian) (Fig. 3) (Destombes, 1970, 1971; Destombes et al., 1985). The Fezouata Shale is a siltstone-dominated formation outcropping in an area of 900 km<sup>2</sup> in the Zagora region and with a total

thickness of ~ 900 m (Martin et al., 2016b, Vaucher et al., 2016) with sandstone layers becoming more common in the upper part of the formation (Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017). Although mineralized fossils were discovered since the early excavations in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, exceptional fossil preservation in the Fezouata Shale was not documented until the early years of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Van Roy et al., 2010; Lefebvre et al., 2016a). In the Fezouata Shale, the distribution of exceptional preservation is not random. Exceptionally preserved fossils are found in two distinct stratigraphic intervals (Lefebvre et al., 2016a, 2018). Based on acritarchs, conodonts, and graptolites (Gutiérrez-Marco and Martin, 2016; Lehnert et al., 2016; Nowak et al., 2016; Lefebvre et al., 2018), a late Tremadocian age (Tr3) was proposed for the lower, about 70-m thick interval (*A. murrayi* graptolite biozone; Fig. 3). The upper interval is thinner (~50-m thick), and it occurs about 240 m higher in the succession (Lefebvre et al., 2018). Graptolites suggest a mid-Floian age (Fl2) for this upper interval (Fig. 3) (Gutiérrez-Marco and Martin, 2016; Lefebvre et al., 2018). This review focuses on exceptionally preserved material from the lower interval, because it is stratigraphically well constrained (Van Roy et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2016a; Lefebvre et al., 2018). Within this interval, soft-parts are not found everywhere (Saleh et al., 2019). They occur in discontinuous levels with a periodicity of 100,000 years pointing to a possible eccentricity control through seasonality on this type of preservation (Fig. 3) (Saleh et al., 2019). The control of eccentricity on seasonality (Fig. 3) suggests that the conditions favoring this type of preservation were more likely to be ephemeral than permanent (Saleh et al., 2019).

### 3. Question 2: surface processes – *in-situ* burial by distal tempestites

The lithology of the Fezouata Shale varies from claystone to fine-grained sandstone (Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017; Saleh et al., 2020a), while most of the sedimentary succession of this formation is constituted of siltstones (Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017; Saleh et al., 2020a). The sedimentary structures found in the Fezouata Shale are typical of a storm-wave dominated environment (Fig. 4A) (Martin et al., 2016; Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017; Saleh et al., 2020a). These storms were erosive, and their deposits are characterized by the presence of normally graded beds (Fig. 4A, C) (Saleh et al., 2020a), and by oscillatory ripples that were increasing in wavelength from distal (null to millimetric wavelength) to proximal settings (metric to plurimetric wavelength) (Fig. 4B, D) (Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017). Storm-wave deposits are discontinuous in the Fezouata Shale. In proximal settings, a tidal modulation of storm waves occurred and is recorded as a repeated stack of larger (low tide) to smaller (high tide) oscillatory structures within fine-grained sandstones (Fig. 4E) (Vaucher et al., 2017). A statistical correlation between sedimentological data from cores and palaeontological data from outcrops showed that exceptional preservation is associated with one of the most distal facies of the Fezouata Shale (Fig. 5) (Saleh et al., 2020a). This facies is characterized with an abundance of mudstones (i.e. an average of 60% illite  $\{(K,H_2O)(Al,Mg,Fe)_2(Si,Al)_4O_{10}[(OH)_2,(H_2O)]\}$ ), 10% of various chlorite minerals, and 30% siltstones (quartz  $SiO_2$ ) (Saleh et al., 2019). In this facies, event deposits are not stacked and are separated by background sediments (Saleh et al., 2020a). Furthermore, oscillatory structures are absent indicating that these sediments represent storm-induced deposits in an environment just below the storm wave base (SWB; Fig. 5). Furthermore, exceptionally preserved fossils in this facies are interpreted as autochthonous, because they are occurring right under and not within burial deposits (Fig. 4F, G) (Vaucher et al., 2016; Saleh et al., 2018; 2020a,b). When fossils are disarticulated, this results from *in-situ* decay rather than transportation, because they do not show any preferential orientation (Fig. 4F) with little evidence of physical abrasion (Martin et al., 2015; Lefebvre et al., 2016a; Saleh et al., 2018; Vannier et al., 2019). In fact, only strong storms are accountable for the accumulation of very-coarse siltstones to very-fine sandstones in this setting causing an entombment delay and the decay of dead organisms on the seafloor (Saleh et al., 2020a). Due to this pre-burial decay, many fossiliferous intervals yielded hundreds of fossils from which only tens preserved soft-structures (Lefebvre et al., 2019; Saleh et al., 2020a). The rarity of storm-induced deposits in distal settings and its impact on living communities in the Fezouata Shale is validated through observations on body-size fluctuations between sites of this formation (Saleh et al., 2018; 2020b). In proximal sites, sessile epibenthic organisms were recurrently buried by storm deposits and could not attain large sizes (Fig. 5). On the contrary nearshore endobenthic taxa

were not affected by these events and, if sufficiently motile, they could survive and reach larger sizes (Fig. 5) (Saleh et al., 2018). It was also evidenced that vagile benthic trilobite taxa, distributed in all fossiliferous environments of the Fezouata Shale, reached larger size in distal settings of this formation due to minimal physical stress in distal environments (Fig. 5) (Saleh et al., 2020b). Some of them possibly migrated during storm seasons from proximal to distal settings (Vannier et al., 2019). However, an increase in body-size for epibenthic taxa from proximal to distal sites of the Fezouata Shale should not be generalized. Specific levels in intermediate settings of this formation are characterized by low diversity assemblages with an abundance of small-sized individuals (Fig. 5) (Martin, 2016; Martin et al., 2016b). This possibly indicates that oxygenation was not stable and periods with lower oxygen concentration existed in these settings, suggesting a temporary or seasonal oxygen minimum zone (OMZ)-like conditions (Fig. 5). This hypothesis needs further testing using a geochemical approach.

#### **4. Question 3: early diagenesis – controlled decay and authigenic mineralization**

In the Fezouata Shale there must be some conditions controlling pre-burial decay and compensating for the delay in fossil entombment for exceptional preservation to occur. Pre-burial decay in the Fezouata Shale was controlled and slowed down by a favorable clay mineralogy (Saleh et al., 2019). Chamosite (i.e. originally berthierine) (Fig. 6C) appears to be present in all analyzed levels recording exceptional preservation (i.e. 6 in total), and absent from intervals with skeletal preservation (i.e. 7 levels) (Saleh et al., 2019). Both berthierine and its primary precursor (Anderson et al., 2018) have been shown to slow down decay under experimental conditions with open oxygenic atmospheric diffusion by damaging bacterial cells (McMahon et al., 2016). This may be the main factor that helped some labile anatomies survive delays in entombment by storm-induced deposits in distal facies (Saleh et al., 2019). When burial occurs, and if it leads to the establishment of anoxic conditions, another type of decay takes place (Fig. 2). Anoxic decay transforms organic matter from decaying carcasses with sulfates  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  from seawater into sulfides  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ .  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  is not a limiting parameter for this reaction in marine environments. Thus, the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  output is mainly controlled by the decay products of biological tissues. High quantities of available organic material such as in the cases of giant decaying arthropods (e.g. 2 meters long *Aegirocassis* radiodonts) leads to the establishment of prominent chemical gradients around carcasses and to the early precipitation and mineral overgrowth around tissues, resulting in their preservation in concretions (Gaines et al., 2012b). However, normal-sized individuals produce less  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  leading to a less prominent chemical gradient that is not capable of initializing concretion growth (Gaines et al., 2012b). Nevertheless,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  can still react with iron from the sediments to form pyrite crystals under anoxic conditions (Raiswell et al., 1993; Schiffbauer et al., 2014). The establishment of anoxic conditions at the time of burial, and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  production in the Fezouata Shale lead to the precipitation of framboid and small euhedral crystals in fossils as well as fresh pyrite in non-altered sediments (Fig. 6) (Saleh et al., 2020a). In these sediments, C is also associated with pyrite, possibly suggesting that the original mode of preservation in the Fezouata Shale was comparable to that of the Burgess Shale and the Chengjiang Biota comprising both organic material and authigenic minerals (Gaines et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2020a,c). As no fossil shows complete pyritization and pyrite precipitation remains rare and tissue-selective, other parameters were also likely controlling pyrite precipitation in the Fezouata Shale (Saleh et al., 2020c). For instance, cuticles of many arthropod taxa are preserved without any pyrite crystals. This can result from  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  limitation considering that this structure is formed of polysaccharides that are not easily degradable (i.e. quantity of decayed organic matter is not enough to reduce  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) (Gabbott et al., 2004). However, when comparing internal labile tissues to each other, the model based on  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  limitation cannot explain why some tissues are pyritized, while others decayed and disappeared (meaning they reduced  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) without pyritizing (Saleh et al., 2020c). The clue probably lies in Fe availability. Maghemite is found associated with pyrite in some analyzed samples under Raman Spectroscopy (Fig. 6) (Saleh et al., 2020c). Maghemite results from the burial of an original mineral called ferrihydrite  $[\text{FeO}(\text{OH})]_x[\text{FeO}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)]_y$  (Mazzetti and Thistlethwaite, 2002). Ferrihydrite is a widely distributed biological mineral (Aldred et al., 2009; Hoda et al., 2013; Dunaief et al., 2014). Under anoxic and sulfated conditions, ferrihydrites release high

quantities (~ 87%) of reactive Fe (Li et al., 2006) very fast (i.e. half-life of only 2.8 hours) (Canfield et al., 1992). This is 100 times faster than goethite, 270 times faster than hematite and 2000 times faster than reactive silicates (Canfield et al., 1992). For this reason, in order to understand the patterns of exceptional preservation in the Fezouata Shale, but also in Lagerstätten such as Chengjiang and the Beecher's Trilobite Bed in which pyrite played a role in preserving decay-prone anatomies, three parameters should be taken into account: Fe in sediments, Fe in labile tissues, and H<sub>2</sub>S production (Saleh et al., 2020c). Accounting for both pre-burial and anoxic decay, different scenarios emerge and are summarized in Fig. 6.

- In the first scenario, pre-burial decay is not restricted by any mineralogical phase and burial allowing the establishment of anoxic conditions is delayed. Pyritization does not occur rapidly enough, leaving only body walls (e.g. trilobite carapaces) preserved (Fig. 6A).
- In the second scenario, burial occurs establishing anoxic conditions for pyritization. Fe in burial material is highly reactive leading to the complete pyritization of the organism, if it is buried alive (Fig. 6B). If the animal decayed on the seafloor but the activity of its degradation was controlled by clay/chlorite minerals, the reactivity of Fe from sediments ensures the pyritization of all tissues that survived pre-burial decay (Fig. 6C). Due to extreme iron reactivity, even carapaces of numerous arthropod taxa that provide small quantities of H<sub>2</sub>S are found pyritized in sites such as the Beecher's Trilobite Bed (Briggs et al., 1991; Raiswell et al., 1993, 2008).
- In the third scenario, pre-burial decay is controlled by clay/chlorite minerals. However, after burial, a delay in iron availability in the sediment allows the disappearance of tissues owing to anaerobic decay. The least labile internal tissues will potentially survive anoxic decay and get pyritized once iron from sediments becomes available (Fig. 6D). This scenario explains the selective preservation of guts, while more labile tissues (e.g. nervous systems) are absent (Gutiérrez-Marco et al., 2017).
- In the fourth scenario, pre-burial decay does not occur at all as if animals were buried alive. However, Fe in this scenario is not reactive (even if it is abundant). Thus, only tissues that are rich originally in iron will get preserved and pyritized, even if they are the most labile ones (Fig. 6E). This scenario can explain the preservation of extremely decay-prone structures such as nervous tissues as pyrite replicates in fossils from the Chengjiang Biota (Ma et al., 2012, 2015; Tanaka et al., 2013; Cong et al., 2014).

Considering the decay stages of animals from the Fezouata Shale, the lower availability of iron in sediments in this formation in comparison to sites such as Beecher's Trilobite Bed, and the absence of preserved nervous systems comparable to those occurring in Chengjiang, it is most probable that the taphonomic scenario of most exceptionally preserved fossils found in the Fezouata Shale followed the third scenario (Fig. 6D). However, this pathway is not exclusive, and other scenarios may have accounted for the discovery of only biomineralized parts in some levels from this formation (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, there might have been other parameters facilitating exceptional preservation in the Fezouata Shale. The dominance of storm-induced deposits, the presence of a favorable clay mineralogy and the selective authigenic mineralization surely helped exceptional preservation to occur in this formation. However, these conditions can be acquired in a wide range of marine settings while Ordovician exceptionally preserved biotas remain scarce. The position of the Fezouata Shale near the South pole in a generally cold water environment might have favored the occurrence of exceptional fossil preservation by slowing down decay rates.

#### **5. Question 4: post-diagenesis – minimal maturation and extensive modern weathering**

Raman spectroscopy-based models for thermal maturation on carbon indicate that the Fezouata Shale sediments were buried at maximum temperatures of 200°C (Rahl et al., 2005; Kouketsu et al., 2014; Saleh et al., 2019, 2020a). Thus, metamorphism *sensu stricto* and organic remain volatilization (Fig. 2) did not occur (Saleh et al., 2019, 2020a). In the absence of metamorphism, calcium leaching and the enrichment of manganese in fossils (e.g. Ca leaching from the skeletal elements of solutean echinoderms and the Mn enrichment around the appendages of marrellomorph arthropods (Fig. 7) in the Fezouata Shale are most probably due to modern weathering (Fig. 2). In the Draa Valley, this formation is exposed to abundant

water circulation (Warner et al., 2013). Outcrops are surrounded by abandoned terraces and by numerous water wells (Warner et al., 2013). Circulating waters in arid environments with occasional rain falls similar to the Draa Valley are rich in manganese oxides that can start the oxidation of the tissues that were selectively and three dimensionally preserved in pyrite during early diagenesis in addition to the rest of the fossil (Fig. 7A) (Potter and Rossman, 1979; Warner et al., 2013). The resulting products of this reaction are Fe-oxides in the shape of the original pyrite crystals (i.e. euhedral or framboidal) and Mn-sulfates (Fig. 7B) (Larsen and Postma, 1997). If the quantities of Mn are not sufficient to fully oxidize pyrite, pyrite oxidation can further continue through the usage of dissolved oxygen in pore waters (Fig. 7B) and unleash considerable amounts of sulfuric acid (Fig. 7C), thus reducing the pH of the environment and contributing to the dissolution of nearby carbonates (Larsen and Postma, 1997) such as the skeletal elements of echinoderms (Fig. 7D). When extensive weathering occurs by circulating waters that are Fe-rich, C can be completely leached from flattened fossils and star-shaped iron oxides can be deposited (Fig. 7E) (Saleh et al., 2020a). These star-shaped and modern iron oxides do not result from pyrite oxidation (Fig. 7) (Saleh et al., 2020a) and they account for the red/orange color of many flattened fossils found in the Fezouata Shale (Fig. 1). Although these minerals are weathering products, they lead to an easier differentiation of biological features from the surrounding sediments. This can be evidenced when comparing extensively weathered and less altered fossils belonging to the same animal group such as marrellomorphs (Fig. 7).

## **6. Question 5: preservation fidelity – underestimation of completely cellular organisms**

The Fezouata Shale is major step forward to complete our understanding of the taphonomic mechanisms behind BST preservation in addition to deciphering how these mechanisms influence the patterns of fossil preservation during the Palaeozoic. Burgess Shale-type animals in the Fezouata Biota are preserved just below the SWB. This contrasts with their preservation in much deeper settings during the Cambrian (e.g. the Burgess Shale, Qingjiang Biota) (Gaines, 2014; Fu et al., 2019), and indicates that BST preservation can occur under different bathymetries. Furthermore, the Fezouata Shale shows that BST preservation can occur even if carcasses were exposed on the seafloor prior to their burial as some clay minerals with antibacterial properties can reduce the impact of oxic decay (McMahon et al., 2016; Anderson et al., 2018, 2020a; Saleh et al., 2019). However, this facilitating condition is not enough on its own for soft-structures to preserve because these clay minerals do not stop aerobic bacterial decay; they simply slow it down (McMahon et al., 2016). Most importantly, even if a certain tissue survived oxic degradation it can still decay after burial when different redox conditions and new bacterial communities take over the degradation process (Fig. 6D, E) (Hancy and Antcliffe, 2020). This post-burial degradation can be mitigated by authigenic mineralization such as pyrite or phosphate precipitation (Saleh et al., 2020c; Gueriau et al., 2020) or even by the association of clay minerals such as kaolinite to certain labile anatomies (Anderson et al. 2020b). Authigenic pyritization happens when iron is available and anoxic conditions are established in sediments, but oxidants from the water column (i.e. sulfates) can still reach decaying carcasses (Raiswell et al., 1993, Saleh et al., 2020c). Carbonate cement, found in many Cambrian Lagerstätten, capping event deposits and blocking oxidants from attaining decaying carcasses, are absent from all sediments in the Fezouata Shale which can explain why pyritization is more abundant in this site than in the Walcott Quarry (Burgess Shale) for example (Saleh et al., 2020a). The last main difference between the Fezouata Shale and most Cambrian Lagerstätten is that fossil transport was not operational at the Ordovician site (Martin et al., 2016a; Vaucher et al., 2016, 2017; Saleh et al., 2018, 2020a, b). All these aforementioned preservational discrepancies indicate that there is no single condition that can be considered as a pre-requisite for BST preservation. BST preservation is a trade-off between decay and mineralization (Fig. 6). This trade-off is controlled by interacting parameters (e.g. transport, oxygen, carbonate cement, specific clay minerals, bacteria). This interaction dictates what and how tissues get preserved in a certain site and the impact of this interaction on preservation can be easily investigated by comparing the co-occurrence of biological structures (Fig. 8A) in these deposits, because all animals are originally made of the same types of structures (e.g. mineralized, cuticularized, cellular, sclerotized) (Saleh et al., 2020d). It has been recently evidenced that biological structure association in the Fezouata Shale is

significantly different from that at the Burgess Shale and the Chengjiang Biota (Saleh et al., 2020d). Most taxa in the Walcott Quarry and the Chengjiang Biota preserved two to three types of biological structures at the same time (e.g. cuticle and sclerites; or cuticle, sclerites, and cellular tissues, Fig. 8B) (Saleh et al., 2020d). Most taxa in the Fezouata Shale preserved one type of biological structures at a time (Fig. 8B) (Saleh et al., 2020d). Most importantly, the Fezouata Shale systematically failed to preserve soft cellular structures that are in direct contact with seawater in addition to completely cellular organisms. These results suggest that biodiversity is very likely underestimated in the Fezouata Biota (Fig. 8C) (Saleh et al., 2020d). The absence of these structures can be either linked to (1) the fact that cellular structures in direct contact with sea water (e.g. tentacles of hyoliths) are generally the most labile and the fastest to decay (even when clay minerals with antibacterial properties are present) and/or (2) the lack of required conditions for their mineralization (Saleh et al., 2020d). The magnitude of this underestimation can be quantitatively assessed by looking at the proportion of entirely cellular taxa in Cambrian Lagerstätten. In the Walcott Quarry and the Chengjiang Biota, entirely cellular organisms (e.g. chordate, medusoids) constitute 13 and 10% respectively of original animal communities in these sites (Saleh et al., 2020d). If the Fezouata Biota had a similar taxonomic composition to the Walcott Quarry and the Chengjiang Biota, the total diversity of this site is most probably underestimated by at least 10% (entirely cellular organisms being completely absent). Since this taphonomic bias can be identified and accounted for, the in-situ preservation in the Fezouata Shale becomes an asset for sophisticated ecological studies that examine the evolution of community structure during the Palaeozoic at the transition between the Cambrian Explosion and the Ordovician Radiation. Cambrian ecosystems contrast with Ordovician ones (niche vs dispersal assembled) (Na and Kiessling, 2015; Rasmussen et al., 2019; Stigall et al., 2019). The Fezouata Shale is the only exceptionally preserved biota with hundreds of fossiliferous levels within two stratigraphic intervals (Tremadocian and Floian in age), providing a database that can be used to describe the continuum of ecological change between major Palaeozoic evolutionary events and to decipher if the transition from niche to dispersal-built ecosystems was abrupt or gradual (Harper and Servais, 2018; Rasmussen et al., 2019; Servais et al., 2019; Stigall et al., 2019).

## 7. Conclusions

The Fezouata Shale constitutes a unique record of marine life in the Lower Ordovician. It contains two stratigraphic intervals bearing exceptionally preserved animals (Tremadocian and Floian in age), and documenting an unexpected evolutionary melting pot of Cambrian BST-like holdovers co-occurring with typical members of the Ordovician Radiation. These animals lived in a shallow marine environment that is dominated by storms and were exceptionally preserved *in-situ* just below the SWB. Due to the rarity of storm-induced deposits affecting distal settings, most soft parts decayed prior to their burial. This decay activity was slowed down by a specific clay mineralogy. When favorable conditions for pyritization occurred after burial, pyrite precipitated in specific tissues. In the Fezouata Shale, fossils were originally preserved as carbonaceous compressions with accessory authigenic mineralization in a similar way to Cambrian BST deposits. However, modern water circulation within outcrops leached most of the organic carbon from fossils and oxidized pyrite. Even though the mode of preservation appears to be universal between both Cambrian and Ordovician BST deposits, our review highlights that there are some discrepancies in the mechanisms that lead to this type of preservation. The pre-burial decay in the Fezouata Shale largely contributed to the non-preservation of both soft cellular structures in direct contact with seawater and cellular organisms (e.g. chordates, jellyfish), thus leading to an underestimation of the original diversity of this biota. In spite of this underestimation, the Fezouata Shale is unique in providing an *in-situ*, fully marine community of Burgess Shale-type. The Fezouata Biota represents a series of highly diverse high-latitude communities, at the transition between the Cambrian Explosion and the Ordovician Radiation and as such sits at a critical crossroads in understanding the early evolution of complex animal communities.

## Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by a grant of the Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation awarded to FS. This paper is also a contribution to the TelluS-Syster project ‘Vers de nouvelles



découvertes de gisements à préservation exceptionnelle dans l'Ordovicien du Maroc' (2017) and the TelluS-INTERVIE projects 'Mécanismes de préservation exceptionnelle dans la Formation des Fezouata' (2018), and 'Géochimie d'un Lagerstätte de l'Ordovicien inférieur du Maroc' (2019) all funded by the INSU (Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, France), CNRS. This paper is as well a contribution to the International Geoscience Programme (IGCP) Project 653 – The onset of the Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event. This paper is supported by Grant no. 205321\_179084 from the Swiss National Science Foundation, awarded to ACD as Principal investigator. The authors are particularly grateful to Yves Candela, Lukáš Laibl, Pierre Gueriau, Martina Nohejlovà, Eric Monceret, Stephen Pates, Daniel Vizcaïno, Francesc Pérez-Peris, and Lorenzo Lustri who helped in the field. Orla Bath Enright is thanked for fruitful discussions. Associate Editor Christopher Fielding and two anonymous reviewers are also thanked for their positive comments and insightful reviews.

## References

- Aldred, E.M., Buck, C., Vall, K., Aldred, E.M., Buck, C., Vall, K., 2009. Scientific tests. *Pharmacology* 331–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-06898-0.00041-4>
- Anderson, R.P., Tosca, N.J., Gaines, R.R., Mongiardino Koch, N., Briggs, D.E.G., 2018. A mineralogical signature for Burgess Shale-type fossilization. *Geology* 46, 347–350. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39941.1>
- Anderson, R.P., Tosca, N.J., Cinque, G., Frogley, M.D., Lekkas, I., Akey, A., Hughes, G.M., Bergmann, K.D., Knoll, A.H. and Briggs, D.E.G., 2020a. Aluminosilicate haloes preserve complex life approximately 800 million years ago. *Interface Focus*, 10(4), 20200011.
- Anderson, R.P., Tosca, N.J., Saupe, E.E., Wade, J., Briggs, D.E.G., in press. Early formation and taphonomic significance of kaolinite associated with Burgess Shale fossils. *Geology*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1130/G48067.1>
- Bath Enright, O.G., 2018. Investigating the impacts of transport and decay on the polychaete *Alitta Virens*: Implications for the taphonomy of the Burgess Shale. Unpubl. PhD thesis, University of Portsmouth. p. 305.
- Botting, J.P., 2007. 'Cambrian' demosponges in the Ordovician of Morocco: insights into the early evolutionary history of sponges. *Geobios* 40, 737–748.
- Botting, J.P., 2016. Diversity and ecology of sponges in the Early Ordovician Fezouata Biota, Morocco. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 75–86.
- Briggs, D.E., Bottrell, S.H., and Raiswell, R., 1991. Pyritization of soft-bodied fossils: Beecher's trilobite bed, Upper Ordovician, New York State. *Geology* 19(12), 1221–1224.
- Butterfield, N.J., 1990. Organic preservation of non-mineralizing organisms and the taphonomy of the Burgess Shale. *Paleobiology* 16(3), 272–286.
- Butterfield, N.J., 1995. Secular distribution of Burgess-Shale-type preservation. *Lethaia*, 28(1), 1–13.
- Canfield, D.E., Raiswell, R., Bottrell, S., 1992. The reactivity of sedimentary iron minerals toward sulfide. *Am. J. Sci.* 292, 659–683. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.292.9.659>
- Choubert, G., 1942. Constitution et puissance de la série primaire de l'Anti-Atlas. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 215, 445–447.
- Cong, P., Ma, X., Hou, X., Edgecombe, G.D., Strausfeld, N.J., 2014. Brain structure resolves the segmental affinity of anomalocaridid appendages. *Nature* 513, 538–542. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13486>
- Conway Morris, S., 1992. Burgess Shale-type faunas in the context of the 'Cambrian explosion': a review. *Journal of the Geological Society* 149(4), 631–636.
- Daley, A.C., Budd, G.E., Caron, J.B., Edgecombe, G.D., Collins, D., 2009. The Burgess Shale anomalocaridid *Hurdia* and its significance for early euarthropod evolution. *Science* 323, 1597–1600.
- Daley, A.C., Antcliffe, J.B., Drage, H.B., Pates, S., 2018. Early fossil record of Euarthropoda and the Cambrian Explosion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115, 5323–5331. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1719962115>
- Destombes, J., 1970. Cambrien et Ordovicien. *Notes et Mém. Serv. géol. Maroc* 229, 161–170.
- Destombes, J., 1971. L'Ordovicien au Maroc. Essai de synthèse stratigraphique. *Mém. Bur. Rech. Géol. Min.* 73, 237–263.

- Destombes, J., Hollard, H., Willefert, S., 1985. Lower Palaeozoic rocks of Morocco, in: Holland, C. (Ed.), Lower Palaeozoic Rocks of the World. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, pp. 91–336.
- Duan, Y., Han, J., Fu, D., Zhang, X., Yang, X., Komiya, T., Shu, D., 2014. Reproductive strategy of the bradoriid arthropod *Kunmingella douvillei* from the Lower Cambrian Chengjiang Lagerstätte, South China. *Gondwana Res.* 25, 983–990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2013.03.011>
- Dunaief, D., Cwanger, A., Dunaief, J.L., 2014. Iron-Induced Retinal Damage. *Handb. Nutr. Diet Eye* 619–626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-401717-7.00063-0>
- Fan, J.X., Shen, S.Z., Erwin, D.H., Sadler, P.M., MacLeod, N., Cheng, Q.M., Hou, X.D., Yang, J., Wang, X.D., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Li, G.X., Zhang, Y.C., Shi, Y.K., Yuan, D.X., Chen, Q., Zhang, L.N., Li, C., Zhao, Y.Y., 2020. A high-resolution summary of Cambrian to early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science* 367, 272–277. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4953>
- Farrell, U.C., Briggs, D.E.G., Gaines, R.R., 2011. Paleocology of the olenid trilobite *Triarthrus*: new evidence from Beecher’s Trilobite Bed and other sites of pyritization. *Palaios* 26, 730–742. <https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2011.p11-050>
- Fu, D., Tong, G., Dai, T., Liu, W., Yang, Y., Zhang, Y., Cui, L., Li, L., Yun, H., Wu, Y. and Sun, A., 2019. The Qingjiang biota: a Burgess Shale-type fossil Lagerstätte from the early Cambrian of South China. *Science* 363(6433), pp.1338-1342.
- Gabbott, S.E., Xian-guang, H., Norry, M.J., Siveter, D.J., 2004. Preservation of Early Cambrian animals of the Chengjiang Biota. *Geology* 32, 901. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G20640.1>
- Gaines, R.R., 2014. Burgess Shale-type preservation and its distribution in space and time. *Paleontol. Soc. Pap.* 20, 123–146. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1089332600002837>
- Gaines, R.R., Briggs, D.E.G., Yuanlong, Z., 2008. Cambrian Burgess Shale-type deposits share a common mode of fossilization. *Geology* 36, 755. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G24961A.1>
- Gaines, R.R., Hammarlund, E.U., Hou, X., Qi, C., Gabbott, S.E., Zhao, Y., Peng, J., Canfield, D.E., 2012a. Mechanism for Burgess Shale-type preservation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 5180–4. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111784109>
- Gaines, R. R., Briggs, D.E.G., Orr, P.J., Van Roy, P., 2012b. Preservation of giant anomalocaridids in silica-chlorite concretions from the Early Ordovician of Morocco. *Palaios* 27, 317–325. <https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2011.p11-093r>
- Gueriau, P., Bernard, S., Farges, F., Mocuta, C., Dutheil, D.B., Adatte, T., Bomou, B., Godet, M., Thiaudière, D., Charbonnier, S. and Bertrand, L., 2020. Oxidative conditions can lead to exceptional preservation through phosphatization. *Geology*.
- Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., García-Bellido, D.C., 2015. Micrometric detail in palaeoscolecoid worms from Late Ordovician sandstones of the Tafilalt Konservat-Lagerstätte, Morocco. *Gondwana Res.* 28, 875–881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2014.04.006>
- Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., García-bellido, D.C., Rábano, I., Sá, A.A., 2017. Digestive and appendicular soft-parts, with behavioural implications, in a large Ordovician trilobite from the Fezouata. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39728>
- Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., Martin, E.L.O., 2016. Biostratigraphy and palaeocology of Lower Ordovician graptolites from the Fezouata Shale (Moroccan Anti-Atlas). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeocol.* 460, 35–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.07.026>
- Hancy, A.D. and Antcliffe, J.B., 2020. Anoxia can increase the rate of decay for cnidarian tissue: Using *Actinia equina* to understand the early fossil record. *Geobiology*, 18(2), 167-184.
- Harper, D.A. and Servais, T., 2018. Contextualizing the onset of the Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event. *Lethaia* 51(2), 149-150.
- Hoda, K., Bowlus, C.L., Chu, T.W., Gruen, J.R., 2013. Iron metabolism and related disorders. *Emery Rimoin’s Princ. Pract. Med. Genet.* 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-383834-6.00106-3>
- Hou, X., Siveter, David J., Siveter, Derek J., Aldridge, R.J., Cong, P., Gabbott, S.E., Ma, X., Purnell, M.A., Williams, M., 2004. The Cambrian fossils of Chengjiang, China : the flowering of early animal life. Blackwell.
- Kouketsu, Y., Mizukami, T., Mori, H., Endo, S., Aoya, M., Hara, H., Nakamura, D., Wallis,

- S., 2014. A new approach to develop the Raman carbonaceous material geothermometer for low-grade metamorphism using peak width. *Isl. Arc* 23, 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iar.12057>
- Kouraiss, K., El Hariri, K., El Albani, A., Azizi, A., Mazurier, A., Lefebvre, B., 2019. Digitization of fossils from the Fezouata Biota (Lower Ordovician, Morocco): Evaluating computed tomography and photogrammetry in collection enhancement. *Geoheritage* 11, 1889–1901. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-019-00403-z>
- Lamsdell, J.C., Hoşgör, İ., Selden, P.A., 2013. A new Ordovician eurypterid (Arthropoda: Chelicerata) from southeast Turkey: Evidence for a cryptic Ordovician record of Eurypterida. *Gondwana Res.* 23, 354–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2012.04.006>
- Larsen, F., Postma, D., 1997. Nickel mobilization in a groundwater well field: release by pyrite oxidation and desorption from manganese oxides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 31, 2589–2595. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES9610794>
- Lefebvre, B., El Hariri, K., Lerosey-Aubril, R., Servais, T., Van Roy, P., 2016a. The Fezouata Shale (Lower Ordovician, Anti-Atlas, Morocco): a historical review. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 7–23.
- Lefebvre, B., Allaire, N., Guensburg, T.E., Hunter, A.W., Kouraiss, K., Martin, E.L.O., Nardin, E., Noailles, F., Pittet, B., Sumrall, C.D., Zamora, S., Lefebvre, B., Allaire, N., Guensburg, T.E., Hunter, A.W., Kouraiss, K., Martin, E.L.O., Nardin, E., Noailles, F., Pittet, B., Sumrall, C.D., Zamora, S., 2016b. Palaeoecological aspects of the diversification of echinoderms in the Lower Ordovician of central Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 97–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.02.039>
- Lefebvre, B., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., Lehnert, O., Martin, E.L.O., Nowak, H., Akodad, M., El Hariri, K., Servais, T., 2018. Age calibration of the Lower Ordovician Fezouata *Lagerstätte*, Morocco. *Lethaia* 51, 296–311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/let.12240>
- Lefebvre, B., Guensburg, T.E., Martin, E.L.O., Mooi, R., Nardin, E., Nohejlová, M., Saleh, F., Kouraiss, K., El Hariri, K., David, B., 2019. Exceptionally preserved soft parts in fossils from the Lower Ordovician of Morocco clarify stylophoran affinities within basal deuterostomes. *Geobios* 52, 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEOBIOS.2018.11.001>
- Lehnert, O., Nowak, H., Sarmiento, G.N., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., Akodad, M., Servais, T., 2016. Conodonts from the Lower Ordovician of Morocco—Contributions to age and faunal diversity of the Fezouata *Lagerstätte* and peri-Gondwana biogeography. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 50–61.
- Lei, Q.-P., Han, J., Ou, Q., Wan, X.-Q., 2014. Sedentary habits of Anthozoa-like animals in the Chengjiang *Lagerstätte*: Adaptive strategies for Phanerozoic-style soft substrates. *Gondwana Res.* 25, 966–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2013.01.007>
- Lerosey-Aubril, R., Paterson, J.R., Gibb, S., Chatterton, B.D.E., 2017. Exceptionally preserved late Cambrian fossils from the McKay Group (British Columbia, Canada) and the evolution of tagmosis in aglaspigid arthropods. *Gondwana Res.* 42, 264–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2016.10.013>
- Li, Y.-L., Vali, H., Yang, J., Phelps, T.J., Zhang, C.L., 2006. Reduction of iron oxides enhanced by a sulfate-reducing bacterium and biogenic H<sub>2</sub>S. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 23, 103–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450500533965>
- Liu, J., Shu, D., Han, J., Zhang, Z., Zhang, X., 2008. Origin, diversification, and relationships of Cambrian lobopods. *Gondwana Res.* 14, 277–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2007.10.001>
- Ma, X., Hou, X., Edgecombe, G.D., Strausfeld, N.J., 2012. Complex brain and optic lobes in an early Cambrian arthropod. *Nature* 490, 258–261. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11495>
- Ma, X., Cong, P., Hou, X., Edgecombe, G.D., Strausfeld, N.J., 2014. An exceptionally preserved arthropod cardiovascular system from the early Cambrian. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3560. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4560>
- Ma, X., Edgecombe, G.D., Hou, X., Goral, T., Strausfeld, N.J., 2015. Preservational pathways of corresponding brains of a Cambrian euarthropod. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 2969–2975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.09.063>
- Marante, A., 2008. Architecture et dynamique des systèmes sédimentaires silico-clastiques sur la plate-forme géante nord-gondwanienne. Université Michel Montaigne, Bordeaux 3. Unpublished.

- Martin, E., 2016. Communautés animales du début de l'Ordovicien (env. 480 Ma): études qualitatives et quantitatives à partir des sites à préservation exceptionnelle des Fezouata, Maroc. Unpubl. PhD thesis, Université Lyon 1. p.483.
- Martin, E., Lefebvre, B., Vaucher, R., 2015. Taphonomy of a stylophoran-dominated assemblage in the Lower Ordovician of Zagora area (centrak Anti-Atlas, Morocco). In: Zamora, S., Rabano, I. (Eds.), *Progress in Echinoderm Palaeobiology*. Cuadernos Mus. Geomin. 19, pp. 95-100.
- Martin, E.L.O., Pittet, B., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.-C., Vannier, J., El Hariri, K., Lerosey-Aubril, R., Masrour, M., Nowak, H., Servais, T., Vandenbroucke, T.R.A., Van Roy, P., Vaucher, R., Lefebvre, B., 2016a. The Lower Ordovician Fezouata Konservat-Lagerstätte from Morocco: Age, environment and evolutionary perspectives. *Gondwana Res.* 34, 274–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GR.2015.03.009>
- Martin, E.L.O., Vidal, M., Vizcaïno, D., Vaucher, R., Sansjofre, P., Destombes, J., 2016b. Biostratigraphic and palaeoenvironmental controls on the trilobite associations from the Lower Ordovician Fezouata Shale of the central Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 142–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.06.003>
- Mazzetti, L., Thistlethwaite, P.J., 2002. Raman spectra and thermal transformations of ferrihydrite and schwertmannite. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* 33, 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.830>
- McMahon, S., Anderson, R.P., Saupe, E.E., Briggs, D.E.G., 2016. Experimental evidence that clay inhibits bacterial decomposers: Implications for preservation of organic fossils. *Geology* 44, 867–870. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G38454.1>
- Moysiuk, J., Smith, M.R., Caron, J.-B., 2017. Hyoliths are Palaeozoic lophophorates. *Nature* 541, 394–397. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20804>
- Moysiuk, J., Caron, J.-B., 2019. A new hurdiid radiodont from the Burgess Shale evinces the exploitation of Cambrian infaunal food sources. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 286, 20191079. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1079>
- Na, L. and Kiessling, W., 2015. Diversity partitioning during the Cambrian radiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(15), 4702-4706.
- Nanglu, K., Caron, J.B., Gaines, R., 2020. The Burgess Shale paleocommunity with new insights from Marble Canyon, British Columbia. *Paleobiology* 46, 58–81.
- Nowak, H., Pittet, B., Vaucher, R., Akodad, M., Gaines, R.R., Vandenbroucke, T.R.A., 2016. Palynomorphs of the Fezouata Shale (Lower Ordovician, Morocco): Age and environmental constraints of the Fezouata Biota. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 62–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.03.007>
- Parry, L.A., Smithwick, F., Nordén, K.K., Saitta, E.T., Lozano-Fernandez, J., Tanner, A.R., Caron, J.-B., Edgecombe, G.D., Briggs, D.E.G., Vinther, J., 2018. Soft-bodied fossils are not simply rotten carcasses - Toward a holistic understanding of exceptional fossil preservation. *BioEssays* 40, 1700167. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201700167>
- Pérez-Peris, F., Laibl, L., Lustri, L., Gueriau, P., Antcliff, J.B., Bath Enright O.G., Daley, A.C., in press. A new nektaspidid euarthropod from the Lower Ordovician of Morocco. *Geological Magazine*.
- Potter, R.M., Rossman, G.R., 1979. The manganese- and iron-oxide mineralogy of desert varnish. *Chem. Geol.* 25, 79–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541\(79\)90085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(79)90085-8)
- Purnell, M.A., Donoghue, P.J.C., Gabbott, S.E., McNamara, M.E., Murdock, D.J.E., Sansom, R.S., 2018. Experimental analysis of soft-tissue fossilization: opening the black box. *Palaeontology* 61, 317–323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12360>
- Rahl, J.M., Anderson, K.M., Brandon, M.T., Fassoulas, C., 2005. Raman spectroscopic carbonaceous material thermometry of low-grade metamorphic rocks: Calibration and application to tectonic exhumation in Crete, Greece. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 240, 339–354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EPSL.2005.09.055>
- Raiswell, R., Whaler, K., Dean, S., Coleman, M., Briggs, D.E., 1993. A simple three-dimensional model of diffusion-with-precipitation applied to localised pyrite formation in framboids, fossils and detrital iron minerals. *Mar. Geol.* 113, 89–100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227\(93\)90151-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(93)90151-K)
- Raiswell, R., Newton, R., Bottrell, S.H., Coburn, P.M., Briggs, D.E., Bond, D.P., Poulton, S.W., 2008. Turbidite depositional influences on the diagenesis of Beecher's Trilobite

- Bed and the Hunsrück Slate; sites of soft tissue pyritization. *Am. J. Sci.* 308, 105–129.
- Rasmussen, C.M., Kröger, B., Nielsen, M.L. and Colmenar, J., 2019. Cascading trend of Early Paleozoic marine radiations paused by Late Ordovician extinctions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(15), 7207–7213.
- Saleh, F., Candela, Y., Harper, D.A.T., Polechová, M., Pittet, B., Lefebvre, B., 2018. Storm-induced community dynamics in the Fezouata Biota ( Lower Ordovician, Morocco). *Palaios* 33, 535–541.
- Saleh, F., Pittet, B., Perrillat, J., Lefebvre, B., 2019. Orbital control on exceptional fossil preservation. *Geology* 47, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G45598.1>
- Saleh, F., Pittet, B., Sansjofre, P., Guériau, P., Lalonde, S., Perrillat, J.-P., Vidal, M., Lucas, V., EL Hariri, K., Kouraïss, K., Lefebvre, B., 2020a. Taphonomic pathway of exceptionally preserved fossils in the Lower Ordovician of Morocco. *Geobios* 60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geobios.2020.04.001>
- Saleh, F., Vidal, M., Laibl, L., Sansjofre, P., Guériau, P., Perez Peris, F., Lustri, L., Lucas, V., Lefebvre, B., Pittet, B., El Hariri, K., Daley, A.C., 2020b. Large trilobites in a stress-free Early Ordovician environment. *Geol. Mag.* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756820000448>
- Saleh, F., Daley, A.C., Lefebvre, B., Pittet, B., Perrillat, J.P., 2020c. Biogenic iron preserves structures during fossilization: A hypothesis. *BioEssays* 42. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201900243>
- Saleh, F., Antcliffe, J.B., Lefebvre, B., Pittet, B., Laibl, L., Perez Peris, F., Lustri, L., Guériau, P., Daley, A.C., 2020d. Taphonomic bias in exceptionally preserved biotas. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115873>
- Sansom, R.S., Gabbott, S.E., Purnell, M.A., 2010. Non-random decay of chordate characters causes bias in fossil interpretation. *Nature* 463, 797–800. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08745>
- Schiffbauer, J.D., Xiao, S., Cai, Y., Wallace, A.F., Hua, H., Hunter, J., Xu, H., Peng, Y., Kaufman, A.J., 2014. A unifying model for Neoproterozoic–Palaeozoic exceptional fossil preservation through pyritization and carbonaceous compression. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6754>
- Servais, T., Cascales-Miñana, B., Cleal, C.J., Gerrienne, P., Harper, D.A. and Neumann, M., 2019. Revisiting the Great Ordovician Diversification of land plants: Recent data and perspectives. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.* 534, 109280.
- Smith, M.R., Caron, J.-B., 2010. Primitive soft-bodied cephalopods from the Cambrian. *Nature* 465, 469–472.
- Stigall, A.L., Edwards, C.T., Freeman, R.L. and Rasmussen, C.M., 2019. Coordinated biotic and abiotic change during the Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event: Darriwilian assembly of early Paleozoic building blocks. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.* 530, 249–270.
- Tanaka, G., Hou, X., Ma, X., Edgecombe, G.D., Strausfeld, N.J., 2013. Chelicerate neural ground pattern in a Cambrian great appendage arthropod. *Nature* 502, 364–367. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12520>
- Topper, T.P., Greco, F., Hofmann, A., Beeby, A., Harper, D.A.T., 2018. Characterization of kerogenous films and taphonomic modes of the Sirius Passet *Lagerstätte*, Greenland. *Geology* 46, 359–362. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39930.1>
- Torsvik, T., Cocks, L., 2011. The Palaeozoic palaeogeography of central Gondwana. *Geol. Soc. London, Spec.* 357, 137–166.
- Torsvik, T., Cocks, L., 2013. New global palaeogeographical reconstructions for the Early Palaeozoic and their generation. *Geol. Soc. London, Mem.* 38, 5–24.
- Van Roy, P., Orr, P.J., Botting, J.P., Muir, L.A., Vinther, J., Lefebvre, B., El Hariri, K., Briggs, D.E.G., 2010. Ordovician faunas of Burgess Shale type. *Nature* 465, 215–218. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09038>
- Van Roy, P., Briggs, D.E. and Gaines, R.R., 2015a. The Fezouata fossils of Morocco; an extraordinary record of marine life in the Early Ordovician. *Journal of the Geological Society* 172(5), 541–549.
- Van Roy, P., Daley, A.C., Briggs, D.E.G., 2015b. Anomalocaridid trunk limb homology revealed by a giant filter-feeder with paired flaps. *Nature* 522, 77–80. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14256>
- Vannier, J., Vidal, M., Marchant, R., El Hariri, K., Kouraïss, K., Pittet, B., El Albani, A.,

- Mazurier, A., Martin, E.L., 2019. Collective behaviour in 480-million-year-old trilobite arthropods from Morocco. *Sci. Rep.* 9.
- Vaucher, R., Martin, E.L.O., Hormière, H., Pittet, B., 2016. A genetic link between Konzentrat- and Konservat-*Lagerstätten* in the Fezouata Shale (Lower Ordovician, Morocco). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 460, 24–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.05.020>
- Vaucher, R., Pittet, B., Hormière, H., Martin, E.L.O., Lefebvre, B., 2017. A wave-dominated, tide-modulated model for the Lower Ordovician of the Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Sedimentology* 64, 777–807. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12327>
- Vinther, J., Van Roy, P., Briggs, D.E.G., 2008. Machaeridians are Palaeozoic armoured annelids. *Nature* 451, 185–188. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06474>
- Vinther, J., Parry, L., Briggs, D.E.G., Van Roy, P., 2017. Ancestral morphology of crown-group molluscs revealed by a new Ordovician stem aculiferan. *Nature* 542, 471–474. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21055>
- Warner, N., Lgourna, Z., Bouchaou, L., Boutaleb, S., Tagma, T., Hsaisoune, M., Vengosh, A., 2013. Integration of geochemical and isotopic tracers for elucidating water sources and salinization of shallow aquifers in the sub-Saharan Drâa Basin, Morocco. *Appl. Geochemistry* 34, 140–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2013.03.005>
- Zhang, X., Liu, W., Zhao, Y., 2008. Cambrian Burgess Shale-type *Lagerstätten* in South China: Distribution and significance. *Gondwana Res.* 14, 255–262.

### Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Fossils from the Fezouata Biota. (A) The distribution of classes among fossiliferous levels from the Fezouata Shale. (B) Xiphosuran chelicerate indet. AA.TER.OI.3, (C) hyolith with preserved internal parts YPM515750, (D) *Bavarilla* sp., trilobite with preserved antennae AA.BIZ15.OI.16, (E) *Furca*, marrellomorph arthropod AA.BIZ31.OI.39, (F) *Palaeoscolex? tenensis*, palaeoscolecoid worm, AA.BGF2.OI.1, (G) Aglaspidid arthropod, AA-TER-OI-5, (H) *Pirania auraeum*, demosponge AA.JBZ.OI.115, (I) *Thelxiopse*-like arthropod YPM 226544, (J) *Tariccoia tazagurtensis*, liwiid arthropod, MGL102155a, (K) *Bohemiaecystis* sp., stylophoran echinoderm with preserved soft tissues including the water vascular system AA.BIZ15.OI.259, (L) frontal appendages of *Aegirocassis benmoulae*, radiodont arthropod YPM 527123. AA: University Cadi-Ayyad, Marrakesh, YPM: Yale Peabody Museum, MGL: Lausanne University.

**Figure 2.** Processes and pathways involved during the transfer of organic matter from the biosphere into the lithosphere (i.e. fossilization). These processes determine which characters from the original morphology are lost or retained (Sansom et al., 2010; Bath Enright, 2018; Parry et al., 2018; Purnell et al., 2018).

**Figure 3.** Ordovician lithostratigraphic sequencing of the Zagora area (modified from Marante, 2008) completed with graptolite biostratigraphy for the Fezouata Shale (based on Gutiérrez-Marco and Martin, 2016). Exceptionally preserved fossils occur discontinuously (every 100,000 years) within eccentricity-controlled levels belonging to two stratigraphic intervals (Saleh et al., 2019).

**Figure 4.** Sedimentary structures in the Fezouata Shale, typical of a storm-wave dominated environment. (A) Storm bed surrounded by background sediments, (B) bed surface displaying 2D oscillatory ripples of centimetric wavelength, (C) storm beds displaying a normal grading, (D) hummocky cross-stratified sandstone showing a metric wavelength, (E) In shallower settings, a tidal modulation of storm waves occurs and is recorded as a repeated stack of larger (low tide) to smaller (high tide) oscillatory structures within fine-grained sandstone, (F) In distal settings, fossils were decaying on the seafloor prior to their burial by storm-induced deposits. Disarticulated skeletal elements of the rhombiferan echinoderm *Macrocystella bohémica* in green, trilobites in blue, bioturbations in pink, and undetermined elements in beige, (G) most exceptionally preserved BST fossils are preserved *in-situ* under storm-induced beds. Some shelly organisms can be preserved within background sediments because they are resistant to decay and they do not require an event deposition (Kouraiss et al., 2019; Vannier et al., 2019; Saleh et al., 2020a).

**Figure 5.** Body size variations of epibenthic, shallow endobenthic, and deep endobenthic taxa along the proximal-distal axis of the Fezouata Shale accordingly with differences in burial rates and oxygenation (OMZ= Oxygen Minimum Zone; SWB: Storm Wave Base).

**Figure 6.** Different scenarios of decay and mineralization according to a model based on Fe availability in biological tissues, Fe reactivity in the sediments and H<sub>2</sub>S production (Saleh et al., 2020c). (A) Absence of pyrite precipitation due to a delay in burial (*Platypeltoides magrebiensis* trilobite; AA.TGR0a.OI.132). (B, C) Most of the organism is pyritized including the body wall (*Triarthrus eatoni* trilobite; YPM.516160) (Farrell et al., 2011). (D) Pyritized parts of the digestive system (*Megistaspis hammondi* trilobite; MGM.6755X) (Gutiérrez-Marco et al., 2017). (E) Pyritized nervous system preserved as a dark brown/black imprint (*Fuxanhuia protensa* arthropod; YKLP.15006) (Ma et al., 2015). The purple spectrum is indicative of chamosite/berthierine identified using X-Ray Diffraction. The red arrows and boxes are examples of pyritized areas identified using Raman Spectroscopy and Backscattered Electronic Microscopy on fossils and fresh sediments. Orange boxes are examples of carbonaceous preservation identified under Raman Spectroscopy and Backscattered Electronic Microscopy as well. MGM: Museo Geominero, Madrid, YKLP: Yunnan Key Laboratory for Paleobiology.

**Figure 7.** Modern weathering effect on fossils from the Fezouata Shale. Manganese oxides start the oxidation process (A) followed by dissolved oxygen in pore waters (B). The resulting products of these reactions are respectively manganese sulfates (B) and sulfuric acid (C). Sulfuric acid leaches calcium from the skeletal elements of the fossils (D). If extensive weathering occurs, all C is leached from fossils and replaced by modern, star shaped iron oxides from circulating water (E). This process explains the absence of Ca in the skeleton of a solutan echinoderm (CASG72938) and the enrichment in Mn- deposits surrounding the extensively weathered marrellomorph (AA.BIZ31.OI.39). Modern star-shaped iron oxides that are deposited during this process gave the extensively weathered marrellomorph a red/orange color in comparison to the less weathered marrellomorph (AA.BIZ15.OI.364). CASG: California Academy of Sciences.

**Figure 8.** (A) *Branchiocaris pretiosa*, a crustacean arthropod from the Burgess Shale USNM189028nc preserving three types of biological structures. (B) Differences in the preservational pattern between the Fezouata Shale and the Burgess Shale and the Chengjiang Biota (Saleh et al., 2020d). (C) Exceptionally preserved biotas during the Cambrian and Ordovician plotted on the global diversity curve from Rasmussen et al. (2019). Note that the Fezouata Shale does not preserve completely cellular organisms such as cambroernids and chordates; Cambrian Explosion (CE), Ordovician Radiation (OR). USNM: United States National Museum of Natural history.





Figure 1







Figure 2



**Figure 3**





Figure 4



**Figure 5**





Figure 6



**Figure 7**




Figure 8