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Summary
Processing audio signals recorded from a microphone array embedded in an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) has received increasing research interest in the recent years and has been referred to as drone audition. An important field of application is search and rescue, where humans in disaster areas need to be quickly found. UAVs equipped with high-resolution cameras have already been used in humanitarian responses, while audio-based UAV-embedded sound localisation remains an open research challenge. Microphones could provide a critical complementary modality to vision in situations where visual feedbacks are limited due to bad lighting conditions (night, fog) or obstacles limiting the field of view. This paper provides an overview of the technical and methodological challenges faced by drone audition in the context of search and rescue and presents two publicly available datasets that aim at fostering research in this area. Some localisation and noise-reduction results obtained using baseline methods are also presented. While static localisation of speech sources from a distance of four meters can be efficiently achieved, in-flight localisation from larger distances remains a challenge.

1. Introduction
Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), commonly referred to as drones, have been of increasing influence in recent years. Applications such as autonomous human transport machines or delivery devices for postal services are being envisioned [1]. Search and rescue scenarios where humans in emergency situations need to be quickly found in areas difficult to access also constitute a potentially large field of application. Drones have already been used by humanitarian organizations in places like Haiti and the Philippines to map areas after a natural disaster, using high-resolution embedded cameras, as documented in a recent United Nation report [2]. While a number of UAV-embedded tools to address such situations have been developed using video cameras [3], audio-based source localization from UAVs has received relatively less research attention. UAVs equipped with a microphone array could present several advantages in emergency situations, especially whenever there is a lack of visual feedback due to bad lighting.
conditions (night, fog, etc.) or obstacles limiting the field of view [4]. Sound signals primarily capture two types of information: (i) Geometrical information via the sound propagation path, e.g., the position of emitting sources with respect to the receiving microphone array and (ii) the semantic content of emitted sounds, e.g., speech or an emergency whistle. Methods for retrieving information of the first type include sound source localization or acoustic echo retrieval. Methods for retrieving information of the second type include speech recognition or sound event detection and classification.

![Microphones embedded in a drone](image)

**Figure 1**: Microphones embedded in a drone may help localizing people for search and rescue in disaster area.

In the specific context of drone audition, these traditional audio signal processing (ASP) tasks are made challenging by a variety of effects. One major issue is the noise produced by the UAV itself, generically referred to as *ego* noise in robotics [5]. Due to the quickly changing speed of motors to stabilize the vehicle in the air or to change its position, the noise profile is highly non-stationary. Additionally, since the microphones are mounted on the drone itself, they are very close to the noise sources leading to high noise levels. Because of this, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can easily reach -15 dB or less [6]. Another factor affecting ASP performance is wind noise. The wind is produced by the rotating propellers, the UAV movement in the air and may occur naturally in outdoor scenarios. This wind noise has high power and is of low-frequency. Hence, it easily overlaps with speech signals that typically occur in a similar frequency range [7]. Last, UAV often encounter very dynamical situations. This means that drone-audition methods must be designed to adapt to quickly changing spatial configurations (direction, distance, etc.) environment (presence of reflecting surfaces, wind, etc.) and noise (level, type, etc.). All these challenges need to be tackled at the same time and in near real-time for real world applications such as search and rescue.

On the bright side however, using microphones embedded in a UAV comes with interesting opportunities. Additionally to audio signals, other signals recorded by various embedded sensors (camera, lasers, gyroscope, motor controllers, inertial measurement unit, compass, etc.) may be available. Multimodal approaches fusing information from multiple sensors in order to enhance drone perception and navigation present a promising research avenue, as investigated in [8, 9, 10, 11].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a brief overview of the recent literature in drone audition, in particular on ego-noise reduction, sound source localization, echolocation and datasets. Section 3 reviews two recently created datasets, namely, the collaborative drone egonoise datasets from the IEEE Signal processing Cup 2019 (SPCup19, [26]) (Section 3.1), and the DREGON dataset [6] dedicated to UAV-based sound localization (Section 3.2). Finally, concluding remarks and outlooks are provided in Section 4.
2. Related Work

2.1 Egonoise Reduction

Egonoise reduction is a topic of interest in robot audition for some years [5]. A first category of methods performs egonoise reduction solely based on audio signals. This includes the work in [12], which compares unsupervised methods such as beamforming, blind source separation (BSS) and time-frequency filtering algorithms on UAV-embedded recordings. More recently, the same authors proposed a framework combining time-frequency filtering and BSS for egonoise reduction [13]. It shows very promising performance in a realistic outdoor flight scenario involving a human speaker at a 4 meters distance. Alternatively, the supervised methods proposed in [14, 15, 16] rely on pre-recorded noise-only signals to learn a dictionary that represents the egonoise via techniques such as nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) or K-SVD. This dictionary is then used to model the noise characteristics in noisy recordings and improve its reduction. These methods have been successfully applied to ground robots but not yet to UAVs to the best of our knowledge.

A second category of methods makes use of additional sensors than audio to improve egonoise reduction performance, and in particular, motor data such as the rotors’ speed or inertial measurements. These include dictionary-based methods [17, 18, 19] as well as Gaussian process [8] or neural-network based approaches [9]. The idea is to predict the spectral characteristics of the egonoise at runtime by learning its relationship to the current motor and/or inertial status of the robot.

2.2 Sound Source Localization

Sound source localization (SSL) is a long standing and extensively studied topics in robotics [20], but is still relatively new in the specific context of drone audition. Many robotic SSL approaches developed in recent years are different variations of the MUltiple SIgnal Classification (MUSIC) algorithm, e.g., [8, 21, 22, 23, 28]. Generalized Cross Correlation (GCC) methods [24] and their variants were also successfully used in robot SSL [4, 25, 6] and are generally less computationally expensive than their MUSIC counterparts. They were notably applied to UAV-embedded SSL in [4, 6], and used as a baseline in the recent SPCup19 drone SSL challenge [26] (see Section 4). An open-source python implementation of this baseline is available at [27]. Reducing ego-noise using the multichannel Wiener filter as a pre-processing step to GCC-based SSL was shown to significantly improve performance in [6].

In [8], a UAV-embedded SSL method using both pre-recorded and on-flight propeller speed data is proposed. These data are used to estimate an adaptive noise correlation matrix in a Gaussian process regression model. This matrix is then used to improve robustness to noise of a MUSIC-like method. In the same spirit, [9] presents a Deep Neural Network (DNN) approach to UAV-embedded SSL. To overcome the large training data requirements of DNNs, a partially shared network learning multiple tasks at the same time is implemented. In [28], two different UAV microphone array designs are proposed and MUSIC variants are compared on an outdoor SSL scenario. Good SSL success rates are obtained in relatively mild SNR conditions (around 0 dB). The authors propose to adapt the algorithms depending on the considered scenario and emphasize their high computational costs as a drawback for real-time applicability.

2.3 Echolocation for Navigation

Another more recent and emerging research topic in drone audition is the use of acoustic echoes for navigation in closed environments, and in particular for auditory simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), which is relevant for search and rescue. When sound propagates from a source in an environment, early reflections of the sound on nearby surfaces result in delayed and attenuated copies of the emitted signals at receivers, commonly referred to as echoes. The
timings of these echoes contain rich information on the geometry on the environment and can be used to detect obstacles, a principle used in nature by echoing bats to orientate themselves. This principle is referred to as active echolocation when a perfectly known and controlled source signal is used and as passive echolocation using a partially known or unknown source signal. In the context of UAVs, using a sound emitter placed on the drone (active) or even the drone egonoise itself (passive) is an attractive avenue for navigation in closed-environments where vision cannot be fully relied on. This idea has recently received some research attention. In [29, 30] a perfectly known source placed at the center of a circular array mounted on a drone is used to detect nearby surfaces. The method is validated on simulated data only, but using real drone egonoise. In [31], the same approach is successfully used for a real-world ground-robot navigation demo, where the noise level is much lower than in typical UAV scenarios. Conversely, in [10], cameras, depth sensors and laser sensors are used to identify reflectors in an environment and build a corresponding acoustic model that can localize non-line-of-sight sound sources in a ground-robot navigation scenario.

2.4 Datasets

While the following sections focus on the SPCup-Egonoise [26] and DREGON [6] datasets, at least two other recently published datasets for drone audition are worth mentioning. The AIRA-UAS dataset was captured indoor with an 8-channel circular microphone array mounted on three types of drones [32]. The recording drone is flying either alone or in the presence of other drones. It aims at evaluating UAV-embedded sound source localization and separation methods, which could be applied to search-and-rescue but also to unauthorized drone operation detection. Also of interest is the AVQ dataset, consisting of outdoor, synchronized audio-visual recordings from a flying drone equipped with an 8-microphone-array as well as a camera [11]. The dataset includes scenarios for source localization and sound enhancement with up to two static sources, and a scenario for source localization and tracking with a moving sound source.

3. Drone Audition Datasets

3.1 The SPCup-Egonoise dataset

The IEEE Signal Processing Cup is a yearly international competition involving teams of undergraduate students. The 6th edition (SPCup19) took place from November 2018 to May 2019 and was focused on UAV-embedded sound source localization for search and rescue [26]. On top of the main required SSL tasks, a bonus task asked participants to gather their own sound recordings using microphones mounted on a UAV. Eleven teams participated to this task, which resulted in the SPCup-Egonoise dataset, now publically available online for research purpose at [33]. The dataset includes recordings using 1- to 16-channel microphone arrays and features a variety of drone model and array geometries. The diversity of this dataset is illustrated in Figure 2. As can be seen, noise levels vary widely depending on the setup. Team Ideal SSU placed their sensors the farthest away from the UAV propellers, resulting in relatively mild low frequency noise, while recordings from teams ChuMS and Maverick feature clipping (microphone saturation) due to extreme noise loudness. It can also be observed that different drone models feature different harmonic comb patterns, from very distinct and spectrally spread patterns (teams KU Leuven and NSS Chellamma) to less distinct ones (team Shout COOE), through sparser spectra (team AGH) or lower frequency ones (team Diagonal Unloading).

Common to all these recordings is the presence of recognizable patterns that characterize drone egonoise. These correspond to wind (low frequency, sporadic bursts), propeller rotations (harmonic combs) and other electronic and mechanical sources (random stationary background). These patterns are better seen in Figure 4, showing the spectrogram of a speech source recorded from a flying UAV [6]. As can be seen, wind noise is particularly detrimental to speech, as it lies within the same frequency range and is very loud. However, it rarely occurs in all
channels at the same time, suggesting the use of wind noise detection for adaptive channel selection. Wind noise reduction using NMF has also been explored in [7]. The random stationary background components are the easiest to remove using statistical methods such as the multichannel Wiener filter (MWF), as showed, e.g., in [6]. MWF can also be used to efficiently remove harmonic components, assuming that the harmonic pattern is known and constant over time. While this assumption is reasonable for stationary or constant-velocity flights, it is no longer valid in scenarios that are more dynamic, with numerous changes of velocities and directions. For such situations, a promising avenue is to use motor-speed data to predict harmonic patterns, as illustrated in Figure 5 and as explored in [42] on a ground robot.

3.2 The DREGON Sound Localization dataset

The DREGON dataset was published in 2018 [6] with the aim of fostering research in UAV-embedded SSL for search-and-rescue applications. It is publicly available online at [34]. The setup used is a MikroKopter quadrotor UAV equipped with a cube-shaped 8-microphone array mounted under the drone via a 3D-printed structure, as depicted in Figure 3. The dataset contains both noisy (motors on) and clean (motors off, hand-held) in-flight and static audio recordings. These are continuously annotated with the 6 degrees-of-freedom placements of both the target source and microphone array using a precise VICON motion capture system.
Different flight patterns featuring varying dynamics are available. The target source is a static loudspeaker on the ground, emits either speech or white (broadband) noise, and is placed 2 to 4 meters from the UAV. In addition to audio and position signals, the rotational speeds of each of the four individual rotors as well as inertial measurements data are available at all time, and all signals are time-stamped for synchronization. The dataset features in-flight recording sessions in two different rooms with respective volumes 10m x 10m x 2.5m and 12m x 12m x 3.5m and mild reverberation times (RT60 < 200ms).

Figure 6 shows some of the sound source localization results obtained on the dataset using GCC-PHAT [24] after pre-processing the signals with multichannel Wiener filtering (MWF). The noise statistics used for MWF were pre-computed from recordings of each individual rotors spinning at 80 rotations per second, and were fixed over time. As can be seen, very satisfactory SSL results can be obtained with this approach when the emitting sound source is broadband, even in harsh noise conditions (SNR < -10 dB). However, when the emitted signal is speech, much poorer results are obtained under similar SNR, in particular when speed and position change. This can be explained by the strong spectral overlap between speech, wind, and propeller noises, and suggest that a fixed MWF does not sufficiently reduces these noises for SSL in these conditions (See Section 3.1).

Figure 4: Spectrogram of the recording of a speech source by a microphone embedded in a drone [6]. First the drone is idle, then the motors are powered on and the drone takes off.

Figure 5: Mean power spectrum of the sound generated by an individual drone rotor spinning at 80 rotations per second [6]. Peaks at harmonics proportional to 80 Hz can be observed.

Figure 6: Estimated elevation angles of a static, ground sound source recorded from a flying drone using multichannel Wiener filtering (WF) followed by GCC-PHAT, versus ground truth [6]. Left: the source emits white noise. Right: the source emits speech.
Finally, some of the results obtained on the SSL tasks of the SPCup19, which were based on a subset of DREGON, are shown in Figure 7. Note that the baseline used for this challenge was also GCC-PHAT, but without Wiener pre-filtering. Here, it can be observed that while near-perfect results are obtained by several teams on the static speech localization task, the in-flight speech localization task proves much more challenging, despite similar SNR conditions. The best performing team nevertheless achieves an encouraging 65% localization accuracy on that realistic task. Crucially to this success, the team used Kalman filtering to smooth estimated trajectories, pre-filtered signals using an adaptive MWF, fused localization estimates of both MUSIC and GCC-PHAT methods via k-mean clustering, and processed the angular spectra obtained from these methods using handcrafted heuristics.

Amongst the top 12 performing teams, the most popular pre-filtering method was MWF, and the most popular SSL method was GCC-PHAT. About half of the team used motor speeds provided with audio signals to estimate noise characteristics and about half used some form of angular trajectory smoothing and post-processing. Other notable ideas included the use of a speech activity detector or channel selection to reduce the effect of wind noise. Interestingly, only two teams made use of machine learning techniques such as deep neural networks, probably owing to the limited amount of data available for training. The newly released SPCup19-egonoise dataset could constitute a first step towards employing such approaches in the future.

![Figure 7: Results obtained by the 20 student teams that participated to the SPCup19 [26]. Left: number of correctly localized static speech sources from a stationary drone (angular error <10°). Right: number of correctly localized speech source from a flying drone.](image)

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have explored some of the numerous challenges and research opportunities brought by the recent and emerging topic of drone audition. An important application for this new field is audio-based search and rescue, which involves a large number of audio signal processing tasks, from sound source localization to echolocation, from noise reduction to sound event and speech detection and recognition. While many of these tasks have long been studied, the specific setting of drone audition, involving compact arrays near loud noise sources and very dynamic soundscapes make them particularly challenging. Most of these problems remain open as of today, but emerging research efforts in noise reduction and source localization along the past few years have shown promising results, notably fostered by the recent development of publicly available datasets.
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