

Separation of poroelastic and elastic processes of an aquifer from tectonic phenomena using geodetic, seismic, and meteorological data in the Pollino region, Italy

Andrés Barajas, Piero Poli, Nicola d'Agostino, Ludovic Margerin, Michel

Campillo

▶ To cite this version:

Andrés Barajas, Piero Poli, Nicola d'Agostino, Ludovic Margerin, Michel Campillo. Separation of poroelastic and elastic processes of an aquifer from tectonic phenomena using geodetic, seismic, and meteorological data in the Pollino region, Italy. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 2021, 10.1029/2021GC009742. hal-03429180

HAL Id: hal-03429180 https://hal.science/hal-03429180

Submitted on 15 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Separation of poroelastic and elastic processes of an aquifer from tectonic phenomena using geodetic, seismic, and meteorological data in the Pollino region, Italy

Andrés Barajas ¹ , Piero Poli ¹ , Nicola D'Agostino ² , Ludovic Margerin ³ , Michel
$\mathbf{Campillo}^1$

¹ISTerre ²INGV ³IRAP

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

14

11	•	Noise-based velocity variation measurements are explained by modeled water lev-
12		els inside an aquifer
13	•	Geodetic evidence of elastic and poroelastic response of the zone to the rainfall

• Identification of the effect of seismic active period in the velocity variations

Corresponding author: Andrés Barajas, custore@gmail.com

15 Abstract

Velocity variations obtained from ambient seismic noise are sensitive to many factors. 16 We aimed to disentangle these processes in a 10-year-long recording of seismic noise from 17 a single station in the Pollino region, in southern Italy. This region is characterized by 18 aquifers and by a relatively short period of high seismic activity that included slow slip 19 events and a $M_W 5.0$ earthquake that occurred on October 25, 2012. We apply two mod-20 els that estimate the water level inside an aquifer, which show a good correlation with 21 the measured $\delta v/v$, showing that the velocity variations are inversely proportional to the 22 pore pressure inside the aquifer. Our interpretation is further confirmed by geodetic mea-23 surements that show that in a direction parallel to the strike angle of the fault rupture. 24 the expansion-contraction displacement of the zone follows the same patterns observed 25 in the models and in the velocity variations, as a result of the pressure generated by the 26 water on its interior. Going one step further, we analyze the nature of the small discrep-27 ancies between the measured and modeled velocity variations. These correlate well with 28 the rainfall and with the vertical geodetic measures, which indicates an elastic response 29 of the zone to the loading generated by the rainwater. Comparisons between these vari-30 ables allow us to clearly identify the period of the seismic activity in the zone, which is 31 represented by the characteristic drop in the seismic velocity in the period from the be-32 ginning of 2012 to mid-2013. 33

³⁴ 1 Introduction

Analysis of ambient seismic noise (Campillo & Paul, 2003; Shapiro & Campillo, 2004; 35 Campillo, 2006) has allowed estimation of changes in the velocities in the crust related 36 to a variety of phenomena, such as earthquakes (Brenguier, Campillo, et al., 2008), vol-37 canic activity (Rivet et al., 2015; Brenguier, Shapiro, et al., 2008), and the thermoelas-38 tic responses of the soil (Meier et al., 2010), among others. Many studies have focused 39 on the hydrological effects on the $\delta v/v$. Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler (2006) estimated 40 the underground water levels using a model developed by Akasaka and Nakanishi (2000), 41 to define the direct relations to the measured velocity variations in a volcano. Meier et 42 al. (2010) analyzed velocity variations within the Los Angeles basin (USA), and concluded 43 that the seasonal variations are strongly influenced by groundwater level changes and 44 thermo-elastic strain variations. Clements and Denolle (2018) found a direct correlation 45 between velocity variations measured through seismic noise and measurements of the ground-46 water level. Tsai (2011) proposed periodic models to recreate displacement and veloc-47 ity changes from thermo-elastic stress and hydrological loading. Wang et al. (2017) re-48 ported a direct relation between velocity variations and several hydrological and mete-49 orological processes across Japan, which were mainly based on the pore pressure gen-50 erated by rainwater through a diffusion process. Hillers et al. (2014) showed a correla-51 tion between velocity changes and periodicity of precipitation events in Taiwan. 52

Hydrological deformation processes have also been studied through geodetic data (Bawden et al., 2001; Watson et al., 2002; Borsa et al., 2014; Chanard et al., 2014). In general, the effects of rainfall can be seen in two ways: as an elastic response where the water exerts a loading pressure that subsides the surface (Amos et al., 2014; Argus et al., 2014; Nof et al., 2012); or as a poroelastic response that generates a rise in the surface as a consequence of the recharging of the porous inner structure of the soil (Galloway & Burbey, 2011; King et al., 2007).

From the aforementioned studies, it emerges that the thermal and hydrological effects on $\delta v/v$ are significant, and these can thus mask velocity changes induced by tectonic processes. It is therefore fundamental to quantify such environmental effects, to resolve the velocity variations induced by tectonic processes in studies of the physics of faults. Examples of this approach include the study of Hillers et al. (2019), where seasonal variations were filtered out, to highlight deformation patterns of tectonic origin around the San Jacinto fault (USA), and the study of Poli et al. (2020), where tectonic and hydrological processes were separated from a single station analysis of $\delta v/v$. In the present study, we pursue this same objective: we disentangle the influence of the water content inside the crust from the tectonic events.

One of the main characteristics of this zone is the presence of karst aquifers, which 70 are likely to be the driving factor behind the non-steady, transient behavior found in seis-71 mic velocity measurements and geodetic displacements (Poli et al., 2020; D'Agostino et 72 al., 2018). The highest precipitation of the zone usually happens between November and 73 74 February, with low to moderate rainfall in the summer. In this region is present the massif Pollino where temperatures can greatly vary depending on the altitude that can be 75 up to 2267 m. This area was relatively inactive seismically until the beginning of 2012, 76 when a seismic swarm began that lasted until the middle of 2013, a period that included 77 several earthquakes, such as a $M_W 5.0$ event on October 25, 2012 (Passarelli et al., 2015). 78 It has been estimated that 75 percent of 6000 events detected during the swarms were 79 not aftershocks, which means that the driving mechanism behind the swarm might be 80 a transient forcing. The physical nature of this transient forcing might be fluid filtration, 81 pore pressure diffusion, or aseismic slow slip (Parotidis et al., 2003; Peng & Gomberg, 82 2010). This last scenario can also be associated with fluid-related phenomena that can 83 reduce the normal stress in the fault. It has also been suggested that a large part of the 84 crustal deformation in the zone arises through transient slow slip events (Cheloni et al., 85 2017). 86

In this study, we measure the seismic velocity variation $\delta v/v$ in the Pollino region, 87 Italy (Figure 1), from ambient seismic noise recorded by a single station over nine years. 88 We determine the role of the water in the $\delta v/v$ measurements by comparing them with 89 two different models that calculate the water levels inside an aquifer based on record-90 ings of the rainfall. Based on these models, the contribution of the water inside the aquifer 91 to the $\delta v/v$ is estimated. The interpretation of the mechanism through which the wa-92 ter in the aquifer controls the velocity variations is consistent with independent horizon-93 tal geodetic measurements that show an expansion-contraction behavior of the region 94 with the same characteristics of the seismic measurements and the modeled water con-95 tents in the aquifer. Afterward, the modeled velocity variation produced by the stored 96 water in the aquifer is removed from the seismic measurements, allowing the analysis of 97 other phenomena that are also present in seismic records. A weaker pattern is identi-98 fied, mainly controlled by the immediate elastic response of the zone to the rainfall, which 99 is also the main driving factor behind the variations in the vertical geodetic measure-100 ments. Finally, this procedure reveals a velocity drop that is most probably related to 101 the stress release of the zone through seismic activity. 102

¹⁰³ 2 Data processing

The overall layout of the data-collection stations is shown in Figure 1. The seis-104 mic ambient noise was recorded at station MMNO in the Pollino area (Italy) (INGV Seis-105 mological Data Centre, 2006). The three-component continuous signals are band-passed 106 between 0.5Hz and 1.0Hz. For each day, the whole signal is divided into overlapping 107 windows of 30 min each (50% overlap). We then calculate the cross-correlation between 108 the 30-min windows for all the possible combinations of the three available channels. This 109 means that for each 30-min segment, we obtain six cross-correlations. In practice, we cal-110 culate these simultaneously using the Covnet package (Seydoux et al., 2017). The 30-111 min cross-correlations are averaged for each day, which results in six cross-correlations 112 per day. Afterward, to stabilize the signals obtained and to reduce possible transient noise 113 sources, the correlations are replaced by the moving average of correlations within a win-114 dow of 30 days around each day. Finally, six global reference cross-correlations are ob-115 tained by averaging all the available correlations. Variations in the velocity can be es-116 timated if we consider that a perturbation in the medium will generate a change in the 117



Figure 1. General disposition of the seismic, rain and GPS stations, and location of the aquifers and the $M_W 5.0$ earthquake, in the study area in Calabria, Italy. The CPTS, MF and PF lines represent the Castello Seluci-Timpa della Manca, Mercure, and Pollino faults, respectively (Michetti et al., 2000; Papanikolaou & Roberts, 2007). The rain data was obtained from the Multi-risk Functional Centre (ARPACAL, n.d.).

shape of the daily cross-correlation with respect to the global average, in the same way 118 that a pulse emitted in the position of the seismic station would be registered differently 119 if the velocity of the medium change. We calculate this possible change with the Mov-120 ing Window Cross Spectral analysis (Poupinet et al., 1984) using the segment of the coda 121 of the cross-correlations between 10s and 50s. We assume that the phenomena we want 122 to observe do not depend on the direction of the seismic field and therefore, the six dif-123 ferent combinations are averaged between them daily to decrease the level of noise in the 124 measurements. Finally, a moving average of 30 days is applied over the resulting $\delta v/v$ 125 series. 126

The GPS displacements were obtained from rinex data of GNSS stations belonging to the Rete Integrata Nazionale GPS network (Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia INGV, 2016). These data were processed using the Jet Propulsion Laboratory GIPSY-OASIS II software following the procedure used in D'Agostino et al. (2018).

The rain data were collected from the three closest stations available, as shown in Figure 1. Data were not available for all the study period from all the three stations, so an averaging process was carried out for each day using the available information for that day. This provides an estimation of the regional daily rainfall, which is shown in Figure 2a.

Figure 2b shows the accumulated seismic moment per day in a radius of 15km around 136 the station MMNO, and the slip-rate reported by Cheloni et al. (2017). The aseismic 137 slip rate is estimated from a time dependent inversion over GPS observations and line-138 of-sight displacements derived from short repeat-time Synthetic Aperture Radar images, 139 assuming a uniform slip on the rupture plane. The data is inverted to obtain the dimen-140 sions, positions and strike, dip and rake of the fault plane. The results of the inversion 141 showed that the main area of transient aseismic slip took place between 2km and 7km142 along a source model that is consistent with the coseismic fault plane of the $M_W 5.0$ event 143 on October 25, 2012 mainshock. 144

¹⁴⁵ **3** Procedure and results

146

3.1 Measured and modeled velocity variations

The noise-based velocity variations shown in Figure 2a reveal several patterns. There is a periodic (1 year) oscillation that appears to be related to the amount of water in the crust (*i.e.*, the regional daily rainfall). Indeed, the daily rain observed on the region (Fig. 2a) increases during the winter, which appears to be associated with velocity reductions (Sens-Schönfelder & Wegler, 2006). Beyond the periodic signal, a long-term trend of increasing velocity is observed over the full study after 2014 (Fig. 2a).

To separate the hydrological signals from the effects of possible changes in tectonic stress in the seismic $\delta v/v$ series, the induced velocity variations generated by the water in the crust are modeled. For this, we developed and applied two different models that estimate the accumulated water inside the aquifer as a function of time.

The aquifer is recharged by the rainfall through a rapid process that is due to the characteristic permeable material of the karst. We assume that this happens at a higher velocity than for normal diffusion processes: the rainfall is added each day directly to the water level of the aquifer. The discharge process can be described by two different models, both of which are related to the stored water inside the aquifer.

The first model, as a linear reservoir (Fiorillo, 2011), assumes that the aquifer loses water through flux with its surroundings at a discharge rate dQ/dt (where Q is the stored volume of water) that is proportional to the difference in the amount of water between the interior and the exterior of the karst $\Delta \phi$, and the contact area between the two A_L

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = UA_L \Delta \phi + R \tag{1}$$

where R is any external source supplying the aquifer, and U has the role of a con-166 ductance over the surface, *i.e.*, the proportionality constant between the flux of water 167 leaving the aquifer (per unit area) and the difference in the amounts of water; indeed, 168 U is the equivalent of the heat transfer coefficient in the heat transfer Newton's law of 169 cooling. From this point of view, this parallels the obtaining of Newton's law of cooling 170 from the heat equation, which is also defined as a diffusive process. The total amount 171 of water inside the aquifer can be defined in terms of its density and the volume it oc-172 cupies. The water in the aquifer accumulates at its bottom, and therefore, this volume 173 can be defined in terms of the area of the bottom A_B and the height of the column of 174 water h. On the other hand, we assume that the area that transmits water is just the 175 lateral one (with no difference in the amount of water flux at the top or the bottom). 176 Then, the contact area can be defined as approximately the product of the perimeter P177 and the height of the column of water $A_L = P * h$. Introducing these changes into the 178 discharge equation turns it into 179



Figure 2. (a) Seismic measurements of $\delta v/v$ and the moving average of the daily rainfall in the region calculated over a window of 30 days. The gray area represents the measurements error calculated by the Moving Window Cross Spectral analysis. (b) Daily accumulated seismic moment in the region, and slip-rate as reported by Cheloni et al. (2017). The dashed line indicates the date of the $M_W 5.0$ seismic event.

$$\frac{d\left(A_{B}h\right)}{dt} = UPh\Delta\phi + R\tag{2}$$

which means that the rate at which the aquifer loses water is proportional to the water level itself

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = -kh(t) + r \tag{3}$$

where h is the water level inside the aquifer, r is the source term defined in terms of the change it generates in the water level inside the aquifer, and $k = UP\Delta\phi/A_B$, which depends on the geometry of the aquifer and the conductance of the medium.

The second model, as the Torricelli reservoir (Fiorillo, 2011), assumes that the aquifer works as a container that loses water through spring-loading at its bottom. The velocity at which the water leaves the aquifer is proportional to the square root of the height of the water it contains, as stated by Torricelli's law

$$v = \sqrt{2gh} \tag{4}$$

where g is gravity. In this case, the discharge can be defined in terms of this velocity and the area through which the water escapes (A_s)

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = A_s v + R = A_s \sqrt{2gh} + R \tag{5}$$

If we define the volume of water inside the aquifer again as A_Bh , the change in the water level will follow the same mathematical structure

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = -k'\sqrt{h(t)} + r \tag{6}$$

where $k' = A_s \sqrt{2g}/A_B$, and r is the source that supplies the aquifer in terms of the water level. The constants in both of these equations are related to the physical characteristics of the aquifer, and modifying them changes the strength and the delay of the discharge for a given amount of water inside the aquifer.

¹⁹⁷ Therefore, the water level each day will be the level of the day before, plus the level ¹⁹⁸ gained by the rainfall on that day r, minus the losses that are calculated according to ¹⁹⁹ the model:

$$h_{i+1} = h_i - kf(h_i) + r_i \tag{7}$$

Here, $f(h_i)$ is the particular functional dependence of the model on the water level, as defined by Equations 3 or 6. It must be noted that none of the two models take into account other possible factors that may increase or decrease the total amount of water in the aquifer, like evapotranspiration.

The units of the water level obtained by the models are the same as the units of the rainfall, which is measured as mm water recollected per square meter. This means that recollecting the totality of the indicated rainfall (which is most probably not the case), will produce the water levels estimated by the models only if we have an aquifer of exactly $1m^2$. Furthermore, if the area that collects the water (*i.e.*, the area of the aquifer) is different from the area that supplies the rainwater, the proportionality between these two units will not be 1-to-1. This implies that both models allow us to estimate relative
changes in the water level, but not its absolute value. However, this is not a problem,
as will become clear below.

If the water level controls the velocity variation, the resulting series for h should show the same behavior as $\delta v/v$; or in other words, they will have a linear relationship. The value of the constant k in each model that optimizes the linear relation between these can be defined through the following grid search:

- 1. A value of k is chosen, and using the rain data as the input, the water level time series is calculated following the recursive formula of Equation 7
 - 2. The water level is shifted towards zero, which removes its time average (represented by $\langle \cdot \rangle$):

$$h(t) \to h(t) - \langle h(t) \rangle$$
 (8)

- 3. The proportionality constant between $(\delta v/v)(t)$ and the water level time series is calculated as the ratio between the covariance and the variance: $a = \operatorname{cov}((\delta v/v)(t), h(t))/(\operatorname{var}(h(t)))$ (Rivet et al., 2015).
- 4. The shift or intercept between the two series is estimated as the average of the seismic velocity variation: $b = \langle (\delta v/v)(t) \rangle$
- 5. A synthetic velocity variation $\delta v/v_{syn}$ is obtained from the water level model using both constants a and b:

$$\frac{\delta v}{v}_{syn}(t) = \left\langle \frac{\delta v}{v}(t) \right\rangle + \left(\frac{\operatorname{cov}\left(\frac{\delta v}{v}(t), h(t)\right)}{\operatorname{var}(h(t))} \right) \cdot h(t) \tag{9}$$

228 229

217

218

219

220

6. For a given constant k, the fit to the data of the model to describe the seismic velocity variation is measured as

$$\sigma^2(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\delta v}{v}(i) - \frac{\delta v}{v}_{syn}(i,k) \right)^2 \tag{10}$$

230

7. The process is repeated for a whole set of values of k, and the $\frac{\delta v}{v}_{syn}$ with the lowest σ^2 (the most similar to the measured $\frac{\delta v}{v}$) is chosen.

The models produce almost indistinguishable results because the daily input will 232 only highlight any linear dependence in the long term. The misfit of each model and a 233 comparison between them are given in the Supplementary Material. The synthetic ve-234 locity variation obtained with the linear reservoir model for the best-fit constant can be 235 seen in Figure 3a; this will be the model used in the rest of this paper. In both cases, 236 the covariance between the seismic measurements and the water level model is negative. 237 which means that they are anti-correlated: an increase in the amount of water in the aquifer 238 results in a decrease in the seismic velocity in the medium. This happens because the 239 presence of water increases the pore pressure, which in turn reduces the overall effective 240 pressure in the zone, and therefore reduces the seismic velocity. We can see that the mod-241 els accurately reproduce the seismic-based series, not only for its seasonal patterns, but 242 also for the overall multi-year trend, which means that the water content in the aquifer 243 is effectively the main driving factor behind the recorded velocity variations and that the 244 water is being accumulated within the sensitivity range of the analyzed frequency of the 245 seismic waves. The positive trend observed from 2014 to 2019 is a regional multi-annual 246 hydrological trend that is also observed in the spring discharge and in the modulation 247 of the seismicity along the Irpinia Fault (D'Agostino et al., 2018). The change in rela-248 tive amplitude and phase between the rain and the water level model is illustrated in the 249 supplementary Figure S3. 250

The difference between the measured velocity changes and the modeled velocity changes can be seen in Figure 3b. There is a periodic misfit between these, which means



Figure 3. Seismic noise measurements and water level model. (a) Seismic and synthetic $\delta v/v$ obtained from the water level model. The gray area represents the measurements error calculated by the Moving Window Cross Spectral analysis. (b) Difference between the model and the measured $\delta v/v$ smoothed with a 30-day window and the reported slip-rate from Cheloni et al. (2017). The shaded zone highlights the systematic excess of velocity reduction between the seismic $\delta v/v$ and the rain-based model. The dashed line marks the date of the $M_W 5.0$ seismic event

that a small part of the seismic velocity variation is not explained by our models. This 253 might be due to a defect in the model or to the presence of a second phenomenon that 254 acts in parallel with the water accumulation. Furthermore, the most remarkable feature 255 of this difference is a systematic excess of velocity reduction, which starts at the begin-256 ning of 2012, when there was high seismic activity, and lasts approximately until the end 257 of 2014. Here, the velocity changes measured in the seismic field are not completely ac-258 counted for by the water level model, *i.e.*, by the accumulated water that can increase 259 the hydraulic head and the aquifer pore pressure. Although the 30-day moving average 260 applied over the time series makes it difficult to define specific dates, this systematic dif-261 ference appears to be generated by tectonic stress release, as it happens simultaneously 262 with the seismic activity. Furthermore, through 2013, this difference appears to increase, 263 having its maximum peak around the same time as the last pulse of the reported slow 264 slip in the zone. It was shown that this late slip happened simultaneously with an en-265 largement of the crustal area affected by the seismicity (Cheloni et al., 2017). However, 266 the systematic difference with our model extends for several months beyond the slow slip 267 event. This extended behavior might be related to the stress change produced by the con-268 tinuous low intensity seismicity which may drop the velocity variation in the same way 269 as registered by Brenguier, Campillo, et al. (2008) for big seismic events. It is also pos-270 sible that the earthquake or the slow slip changed the internal structure of the aquifer, 271 272 which would produce a migration of water that might temporarily change the water level. Whatever the cause here, the changes in the velocity are completely recovered by the end 273 of 2013. 274

275

3.2 Analysis of the geodetic data

Geodetic measurements are useful to measure displacements related to earthquakes
and to slow slip events, and also to analyze hydrological processes inside aquifers (Cheloni et al., 2017; D'Agostino et al., 2018; Silverii et al., 2016).

We turned to an analysis of GPS traces as an independent way to assess the modeled variation of the velocity and its possible mechanisms. For this, we used GPS traces obtained from four stations in the study area, as shown in Figure 1.

The GPS displacements show trends produced by the movement of the underlying tectonic plate movement. One possibility to overcome this problem would be to detrend each of the GPS displacements with the mean displacement calculated from a group of stations. However, different stations would result in different mean trends, which means that the final result would depend on the choice of stations to include in the analysis. We use the relative displacements between stations, as they are independent of the reference frame and reflect only the deformation between the two stations.

We begin by analyzing the relative displacement between the two stations closest 289 to the earthquake, VIGG and MMNO, with smoothing with the same 30-day window 290 as for the velocity variation. Both of the relative horizontal components are shown in 291 Figure 4a. To simplify the visualization of the GPS traces, they have all been shifted ver-292 tically towards zero without modifying their behavior or their relative values. This does 293 not affect our analysis, as we are interested in the patterns described by the traces and 294 not in their absolute values. The relative displacement shows seasonal patterns in all the 295 directions and a clear change in the baseline due to the $M_W 5.0$ event. 296

Perhaps the most interesting feature of the traces in Figure 4a is the behavior of the NS component from 2014 until the end of the series, as it shows a similar pattern to that observed in the $\delta v/v$: a yearly seasonal variation over a multiyear increasing behavior, with approximately the same shape. However, this behavior is not seen in the EW direction. There are two reasons why this behavior is not seen for both components. One of these is a possible anisotropic response of the aquifer to the hydrostatic pressure in the horizontal direction (Silverii et al., 2016). Commonly, a porous medium like an



Figure 4. Modeled velocity variations and GPS relative displacements. (a) Relative horizontal GPS displacements between stations MMNO and VIGG. (b) GPS horizontal relative displacements rotated by an angle of 36° in a counterclockwise direction. (c) Velocity variations measured through seismic noise analysis, as estimated from the water level model, and fitted with the rotated N36W horizontal displacement. The dashed line indicates the date of the $M_W 5.0$ seismic event.



Figure 5. Comparisons between the measured velocity variations (black) and all the synthetic velocity variations obtained with the water level model (blue line) and with rotation of the GPS relative displacements for all the possible combinations between the four stations. A shift of 0.15% was introduced between these for clarity of presentation. The angle of the rotation that maximizes the fit for each couple is indicated in the key (bottom left), except for VIGG-CAVI, where no rotation was needed. The dashed line indicates the date of the $M_W 5.0$ seismic event.

aquifer has fractures that can open and close temporarily according to the pressure generated by the water (Amoruso et al., 2014; Daniele et al., 2012). If these fractures lie predominately in a specific direction, the macroscopic expansion-contraction dynamics will be more (or only) visible in this direction, which constitutes an anisotropic response. Another possible reason might simply be the relative position of the GPS station to the aquifer: *e.g.*, if we had a GPS station at the north of a perfectly circular aquifer, we would expect to see the expansion-contraction recorded only in the NS component and not in the EW component, even in an isotropic fracture system.

³¹² Most likely, the main expansion-contraction direction is not exactly NS. With this ³¹³ in mind, a rotation is performed for the horizontal GPS traces, to find the angle that max-³¹⁴ imizes the presumed linear relation between the GPS displacement and the modeled wa-³¹⁵ ter level inside the aquifer. This is done through a similar grid search between one ro-³¹⁶ tated component of the GPS and the measured seismic $\delta v/v$, as described at the end of ³¹⁷ section 3.1.

Figure 4b shows the rotated GPS at the best fit angle. The reason why this an-318 gle minimizes the fit can be seen in Figure 4c: the trace in the direction N36W shows 319 the same behavior as the modeled velocity variations for all times, even in the period in 320 which the earthquake and the slow slip occurred. There are two reasons why this trace 321 follows the water level in the aquifer so well. The first is that the direction of this ro-322 tated GPS is the only direction in which the fault rupture of the earthquake is not vis-323 ible; *i.e.*, the direction of the strike angle of the earthquake. This is important, as any 324 other direction will show a discontinuity in the horizontal expansion of the aquifer. On 325 the other hand, it is possible that the localization of the GPS station, which is NW of 326 the aquifer, helps to accentuate the expansion-contraction process in that specific direc-327 tion. 328

Beyond the mechanism that accentuates one direction in particular, it is clear that 329 the behavior of the displacements is related to the variations seen in both the velocity 330 changes and in the water model, which is coherent with an expansion-contraction porce-331 lastic dynamic in the aquifer (Amoruso et al., 2014; Chaussard et al., 2014; Ojha et al., 332 2019). As the aquifer stretches between the four GPS stations, this process should be 333 visible using different combinations of the stations, and not only between VIGG and MMNO. 334 In effect, calculation of the relative displacement between all the other paired stations, 335 and finding of the best rotation for each case, produces a similar pattern, as can be seen 336 in Figure 5. Although different GPS combinations fit better around different angles, all 337 the combinations that involve station MMNO (the closest to the seismic event) are max-338 imized around 36 degrees, possibly as a consequence of finding the projection that does 339 not show the effect of the earthquake itself. Moreover, it can be seen that different sta-340 tion pairs produce different levels of intensity between the seismic event and the water-341 driven pattern. This indicates a possible new way to analyze the complexity of the sys-342 tem, and particularly the directions of the volumetric expansion of the area. 343

³⁴⁴ 4 Loading effect of the rainfall

A deeper inspection of the seismic velocity variations can be made if we analyze 345 the part of it that is not controlled by the water level in the aquifer. This can be done 346 by subtracting one from another, as is shown in Figure 6a. This is the same difference 347 to that shown in Figure 3, but processed with a longer moving average window of 180 348 days, calculated over each day, to stabilize the fluctuations and highlight the seasonal 349 patterns. The longer smoothing window and the representation of the difference between 350 the measured and modeled velocity variations explains why the amplitude of the pat-351 tern obtained is around 20 percent of the original amplitude of the velocity. As was seen 352 in Figure 3, this residual velocity is not in phase with the modeled water level in the aquifer. 353 However, a quick inspection of the rainfall smoothed over the same moving average win-354

dow of 180 days (Figure 6b) reveals that both are in phase, which means that the ob-355 served behavior probably comes from the loading that the rainfall generates over the sur-356 face. This conclusion is confirmed by the vertical component of the GPS stations in the 357 regions that show a negative correlation between the rainfall itself and the height of the 358 surface (Figure 6c) (Amos et al., 2014; Argus et al., 2014; Nof et al., 2012). Although 359 the three measurement series in Figures 3a 3b and 3c are in phase, their relative ampli-360 tudes are different, even between the two GPS vertical displacements. This is probably 361 due to particularities of the local structure around each of the stations and to differences 362 in the surface size to which seismic changes and the GPS respond when a loading is ap-363 plied. The long smoothing window helps to extract the common long-term regional be-364 havior of the vertical GPS components, filtering out the local response of each station. 365 As would be expected, when the rainfall increases, the loading in the area increases, which 366 generates a positive residual velocity variation, and at the same time, produces down-367 ward motion of the vertical position (Meier et al., 2010; Lecocq et al., 2017). This is con-368 sistent with regional observations made by Silverii et al. (2016), where they reported cor-369 relation between the vertical GPS data and the Gravity Recovery and Climate Exper-370 iment satellite observations. 371

This implies that the responses of the crust to the rain occur in two ways: in the 372 first, the water generated by the rainfall accumulates in the aquifer, and as a result, it 373 produces expansion of the area that is recorded by the horizontal GPS. This is a porce-374 lastic reaction. In the second, the rainfall generates a load over the area that is measured 375 by the vertical GPS motion. This is an elastic reaction. Although both of these mech-376 anisms act simultaneously, they have peaks that are not in phase (see Figures 4c and 6c), 377 and they are each measured with different intensities by the velocity variations of the 378 seismic noise. 379

Finally, Figure 6 shows a highlighted period in which the pattern measured in the velocity variation does not match the seasonal loading. The most probable cause of this mismatch is the simultaneous high seismic activity in the area, including the $M_W 5.0$ earthquake, which occurs almost in the middle of the recorded anomaly in the velocity variation. All of this is coherent with the temporary velocity drop that dominates this period, which suggests stress release within the medium (Brenguier, Campillo, et al., 2008).

5 Conclusions

To disentangle the influence of the water content inside the crust from tectonic re-387 lated events, we measured the variations in seismic velocity over 10 years in the Pollino 388 region, Italy, for a single seismic station. These show yearly oscillations that are char-389 acteristic of seasonal factors and are superimposed over a multi-year pattern. As the wa-390 ter content in the soil is usually one of the main factors in the control of such velocity 391 variations, we use here two models that estimate the water level inside an aquifer in the 392 area, with the assumption that it is recharged by rainfall and that it loses water through 393 two different mechanisms. Both of these models use constants where the values depend 394 on the geometric particularities of the aquifer, which are unknown. Thus, we perform 395 a grid search to compare the resulting water level models with the velocity variations, 396 for different constants. This comparison recreates a modeled velocity variation that es-397 sentially modifies the overall amplitude and mean of the water level, to match those of 398 the measured velocity variation, with the calculation of the root-mean-square error be-399 tween the two models. Both of the models provide a good correlation with the velocity 400 variations, showing that increase the levels of water in the aquifer decreases the veloc-401 ity of the seismic waves. The increase in pore pressure as a consequence of the aquifer 402 water leads to a reduction in the effective pressure in the medium, and therefore to a re-403 duction in the seismic velocity. The models recreate the yearly seasonal behavior and 404 the long multi-year trends. This shows that the total water inside the aquifer changes 405 slowly, and is influenced by long-lasting periods of heavy rain or drought. The compar-406



Figure 6. (a) Difference between the measured velocity variation in the seismic noise and the velocity variation of the water level model. (b) Rainfall. (c) Vertical GPS displacements of stations MMNO and CAVI (with the vertical axis inverted). The shading highlights the period for which the velocity variation is not explained by the water content of the soil, and is not in phase with the regional rainfall. The dashed line marks the date of the $M_W 5.0$ seismic event. All the plots are smoothed with a 180-day window

isons between the models and the measured $\delta v/v$ also show a systematic discrepancy between them that lasts for 1 to 2 years, during which the seismic measurements show that the velocity on the area is lower than estimated by the model. This occurs in the same period as a $M_W 5.0$ earthquake, where slow slip events occur (Cheloni et al., 2017). This suggests that the velocity drop discrepancy is produced by stress release in the tectonic system through the seismic activity and the transient aseismic deformation. This difference disappears by the end of 2013.

The NS component of the relative displacement between the VIGG and MMNO 414 415 GPS stations across the fault suggests that the deformation in this area occurs synchronously with both the $\delta v/v$ and the water level of the aquifer for the period after the seismic ac-416 tivity that occurred between 2012 and 2013. The relative displacement in the N36W di-417 rection follows the same behavior as both the measured and modeled velocity variations 418 for the whole period that was recorded with GPS. This can be explained by the open-419 ing and closing of the fractures of the porous media under the pressure generated by the 420 water, which generates the overall displacements recorded by the GPS. This behavior 421 is also seen between all the other station pairs that are located in the study area. It also 422 confirms our assumption of a poroelastic recharge and discharge process of the aquifer, 423 upon which we base the water level models. The angle at which this occurs for the sta-424 tion pairs that include the MMNO station is always around 36° , which is close to the 425 angle of the strike fault of the $M_W 5.0$ event (24°), possibly because for this direction the 426 sharp displacement generated by the earthquake is minimized. Moreover, the angles that 427 maximize this expansion-contraction mechanism for the station pairs that are not close 428 to the earthquake (*i.e.*, VIGG-CAVI, VIGG-SALB, CAVI-SALB) show interesting dif-429 ferences that appear to be related to the shape of the aquifer or to local anisotropic be-430 havior. 431

Subtraction of the modeled velocity variations generated by the water level inside 432 the aquifer from the observed seismic velocity variations reveals a pattern of weaker am-433 plitude that is in phase with the regional rainfall. The vertical displacements of the GPS 434 in the study area are also closely negatively correlated with the rainfall. This indicates 435 an elastic behavior of the area that occurs in parallel with the described poroelastic dy-436 namics. Thus, the rainfall generates a loading over the surface that results in subsidence 437 of the elevation of the area (and therefore the negative correlation with the vertical GPS), 438 and in a small increase in the stress of the crust (and therefore an increase in the seis-439 mic velocity), which are reflected in the $\delta v/v$. 440

Finally, this procedure highlights a period in which an anomalous velocity drop breaks 441 the in-phase behavior between the residual seismic velocity and both the rainfall and the 442 vertical GPS. This occurs simultaneously with a period of high seismic activity of the 443 area, which includes a $M_W 5.0$ earthquake. Therefore, the velocity drop appears to be 444 related to the stress release associated with the seismic activity of the area. This means 445 that our analysis allows us to extract the seismic signature of the tectonic stress release 446 despite two environment processes, *i.e.*, the elastic and poroelastic responses to the pre-447 cipitation, that occur simultaneously and dominate the variations in the seismic veloc-448 ity. 449

450 Acknowledgments

ERC F-image - This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon H2020 Research and Innovation program (grant agreement No 742335). The authors wish to thank Qing-Yu Wang and Francesco

⁴⁵⁴ Fiorillo for the insightful discussions, and the constructive comments from the anony-

⁴⁵⁵ mous reviewers. The seismic data can be downloaded at Instituto Nazionale di Geofisica

e Vulcanologia (https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/X0FXNH7QFY). The RINEX daily files

457 from RING GPS stations can be accessed at http://ring.gm.ingv.it. The rain data were

⁴⁵⁸ provided by the Centro Funzionale Multirischi of the Calabria region (http://www.cfd.calabria.it/).

459 **References**

460	Akasaka, C., & Nakanishi, S. (2000). Correction of background gravity changes due
461	to precipitation: Oguni geothermal field, japan. In <i>Proceedings world geother-</i> mal congress (pp. 2471–2475)
463	Amoruso A Crescentini L Martino S Petitta M & Tallini M (2014) Cor-
464	relation between groundwater flow and deformation in the fractured car-
465	bonate Gran Sasso aquifer (INFN underground laboratories central Italy)
405	Water Resources Research $50(6)$ 4858–4876 Retrieved from https://
400	agunubs onlinelibrary wiley com/doi/abs/10 1002/2013WB014491 doi:
407	https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WB014491
400	Amos C B Audet P Hammond W C Bürgmann B Johanson I A &
470	Blewitt G (2014) Unlift and seismicity driven by groundwater deple-
471	tion in central California Nature 509(7501) 483–486 Betrieved from
472	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13275_doi: 10.1038/nature13275
473	Argus, D. F., Fu, Y., & Landerer, F. W. (2014). Seasonal variation in total water
474	storage in California inferred from GPS observations of vertical land motion.
475	Geophysical Research Letters, 41(6), 1971–1980. Retrieved from https://
476	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wilev.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014GL059570 doi:
477	10.1002/2014GL059570
478	ARPACAL. (n.d.). Centro funzionale multirischi della Calabria.
479	http://www.cfd.calabria.it/. Retrieved from http://www.cfd.calabria.it/
480	Bawden, G. W., Thatcher, W., Stein, R. S., Hudnut, K. W., & Peltzer, G. (2001).
481	Tectonic contraction across Los Angeles after removal of groundwater pump-
482	ing effects. Nature, 412(6849), 812-815. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
483	10.1038/35090558 doi: 10.1038/35090558
484	Borsa, A. A., Agnew, D. C., & Cayan, D. R. (2014). Ongoing drought-induced uplift
485	in the western United States. Science, 345(6204), 1587–1590. Retrieved from
486	https://science.sciencemag.org/content/345/6204/1587 doi: $10.1126/$
487	science.1260279
488	Brenguier, F., Campillo, M., Hadziioannou, C., Shapiro, N. M., Nadeau, R. M., &
489	Larose, E. (2008). Postseismic Relaxation Along the San Andreas Fault at
490	Parkfield from Continuous Seismological Observations. Science, 321(5895),
491	1478 LP - 1481. Retrieved from http://science.sciencemag.org/content/
492	321/5895/1478.abstract doi: 10.1126/science.1160943
493	Brenguier, F., Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., Ferrazzini, V., Duputel, Z., Coutant,
494	$V_{\rm exp}$ 0., & Nercessian, A. (2008). Towards forecasting volcanic eruptions us-
495	ing seismic noise. Nature Geoscience, 1(2), 126–130. Retrieved from
496	Commille M (2006) Dece and Commiltion in (Dandern' Sciencia Fields and the De
497	construction of the Green Function – nume and amplied acentusiae 162(2) 475
498	construction of the Green Function. pure and applied geophysics, $105(2)$, 415 - 502. Betrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/a00024-005-0022-8. doi: 10
499	$1007 /_{s}00024 005 0032 8$
500	Campillo M l_z Paul A (2003) Long-Bange Correlations in the Diffuse Seismic
501	Coda Science (New York NY) 299 547-549 doi: 10.1126/science 1078551
502	Chanard K Avouac I P Ramillien G & Genrich I (2014) Modeling de-
504	formation induced by seasonal variations of continental water in the Hi-
505	malaya region: Sensitivity to Earth elastic structure. Journal of Geophys-
506	ical Research: Solid Earth, 119(6), 5097–5113. Retrieved from https://
507	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JB010451 doi:
508	10.1002/2013JB010451
508 509	10.1002/2013JB010451 Chaussard, E., Bürgmann, R., Shirzaei, M., Fielding, E. J., & Baker, B. (2014).
508 509 510	10.1002/2013JB010451 Chaussard, E., Bürgmann, R., Shirzaei, M., Fielding, E. J., & Baker, B. (2014). Predictability of hydraulic head changes and characterization of aquifer-system
508 509 510 511	 10.1002/2013JB010451 Chaussard, E., Bürgmann, R., Shirzaei, M., Fielding, E. J., & Baker, B. (2014). Predictability of hydraulic head changes and characterization of aquifer-system and fault properties from insar-derived ground deformation. Journal of Geo-
508 509 510 511 512	 10.1002/2013JB010451 Chaussard, E., Bürgmann, R., Shirzaei, M., Fielding, E. J., & Baker, B. (2014). Predictability of hydraulic head changes and characterization of aquifer-system and fault properties from insar-derived ground deformation. Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 119(8), 6572–6590.

514	R., Tizzani, P. (2017). Aseismic transient during the 2010-2014 seis-
515	mic swarm: evidence for longer recurrence of M $\xi/=6.5$ earthquakes in
516	the Pollino gap (Southern Italy)? Scientific reports, $7(1)$, 576. doi:
517	10.1038/s41598-017-00649-z
518	Clements, T., & Denolle, M. A. (2018). Tracking groundwater levels using the
519	ambient seismic field. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 45(13), 6459-6465.
520	Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
521	10.1029/2018GL077706 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077706
522	D'Agostino, N., Silverii, F., Amoroso, O., Convertito, V., Fiorillo, F., Ventafridda,
523	G., & Zollo, A. (2018). Crustal Deformation and Seismicity Modulated by
524	Groundwater Recharge of Karst Aquifers. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> ,
525	45(22), 12.212-253.262. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
526	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL079794 doi: 10.1029/2018GL079794
527	Daniele, T., Braitenberg, C., & Nagy, I. (2012). Karst deformations due to envi-
528	ronmental factors: Evidences from the horizontal pendulums of Grotta Gi-
529	gante. Italy. Bollettino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata, 53, 331–345. doi:
530	10.4430/bgta0049
521	Figure F (2011) Tank-reservoir drainage as a simulation of the recession limb of
531	karst spring hydrographs Hudrogeology Journal 19 1009–1019 doi: 10.1007/
532	s10040-011-0737-v
555	Calloway D & Burbey T (2011) Review: Regional land subsidence accompanying
534	groundwater extraction Hudrogeology Journal - HVDROGEOL I 10 doi: 10
535	1007/s10040_011_0775_5
530	Hillors C. Campillo M. Bronguior F. Moroau I. Agnow D. C. & Bon Zion
537	V (2010) Soismic Velocity Change Patterns Along the San Jacinto Fault
538	Zono Following the 2010 M7 2 El Mayor Cucanab and M5.4 Colling Valley
539	Earthquakes Lowrnal of Coophysical Research: Solid Farth $19/(7)$ 7171–
540	7102 Botriovad from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.uilov.com/doi/abs/
541	10 1020/2018 IB017143 doi: 10 1020/2018 IB017143
542	Hillorg C Compile M & Ma K E (2014) Solution relative variations at TCDD
543	are controlled by MIO driven precipitation pattern and high fluid discharge
544	properties Forth and Planetary Science Latters 201, 121, 127, Betrieved from
545	http://unu sciencedirect.com/science/article/nii/S0012821¥1/000569
546	doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.org/2014.01.040
547	INCV Saismological Data Control (2006) Italian National Saismia National Lati
548	tyte Nerienale di Coofisice e Vulcenelorie (INCV) Italy, dei https://dei.org/
549	10 12127/SD /YOEX, $H7OfV$
550	Intitute Nacionale di Casficia e Vulcenologie INCV (2016) Dete integrate
551	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia INGV. (2010). Rete integrata
552	Retrieved from http://wing.com/ingu.it/_doi:_10.12127/DINC
553	King N F Argue D Langhoin I Agrow D C Dawder C Dallar D C
554	Ring, N. E., Argus, D., Langbein, J., Agnew, D. C., Dawden, G., Donar, R. S.,
555	Cabriel Valley, California, aquifan gysterra, during beauw rainfall in minter 2004
556	Gabrier valley, California, aquifer system, during neavy rainfall in winter 2004
557	from https://agupube.onlinelibrory.uilov.com/doi/obs/10.1020/
558	2006 IB004448 doi: 10.1020/2006 IB004448
559	Z_{000} Σ_{000} Σ_{0
560	(2017) Monitoring ground water storage at maggable using ground water
561	(2017). Monitoring ground water storage at mesoscale using seismic noise:
562	by years of continuous observation and thermo-elastic and hydrological mod- $C_{int} = C_{int} + C_{int}$
563	ening. Scientific reports, (1), 14241. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
564	10.1030/S41330-017-14408-3 (001: 10.1036/S41398-017-14408-9) Maion II Charing N M f_{2} Dreaming E (2010) D f_{2} (1)
565	in gainnia valacitica mithin Log Appeler havin from completions of
566	in seismic velocities within Los Angeles basin from correlations of ambient
567	seismic noise. Geophysical Journal International, 181(2), 985–996. Re-
568	thered from fittps://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246A.2010.04550.X doi:

569	10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04550.x
570	Michetti, A. M., Ferreli, L., Esposito, E., Porfido, S., Blumetti, A. M., Vittori, E.,
571	Roberts, G. P. (2000, 01). Ground Effects during the 9 September 1998,
572	Mw = 5.6 Lauria Earthquake and the Seismic Potential of the "Aseismic"
573	Pollino Region in Southern Italy. Seismological Research Letters, 71(1),
574	31-46. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.71.1.31 doi:
575	10.1785/gssrl.71.1.31
576	Nof, R., Ziv, A., Doin, MP., Baer, G., Fialko, Y., Wdowinski, S., Bock, Y.
577	(2012). Rising of the lowest place on Earth due to Dead Sea water-level drop:
578	Evidence from SAR interferometry and GPS. Journal of Geophysical Research
579	(Solid Earth), 117, 5412–. doi: 10.1029/2011JB008961
580	Ojha, C., Werth, S., & Shirzaei, M. (2019). Groundwater loss and aquifer system
581	compaction in san joaquin valley during 2012–2015 drought. Journal of Geo-
582	physical Research: Solid Earth, 124(3), 3127–3143.
583	Papanikolaou, I. D., & Roberts, G. P. (2007). Geometry, kinematics and defor-
584	mation rates along the active normal fault system in the southern apennines:
585	Implications for fault growth. Journal of Structural Geology, 29(1), 166–188.
586	Parotidis, M., Rothert, E., & Shapiro, S. A. (2003). Pore-pressure diffusion: A pos-
587	sible triggering mechanism for the earthquake swarms 2000 in Vogtland/NW-
588	Bohemia, central Europe. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 30(20). Retrieved
589	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
590	2003GL018110 doi: 10.1029/2003GL018110
591	Passarelli, L., Hainzl, S., Cesca, S., Maccaferri, F., Mucciarelli, M., Roessler, D.,
592	Rivalta, E. (2015). Aseismic transient driving the swarm-like seismic se-
593	quence in the Pollino range, Southern Italy. <i>Geophysical Journal International</i> ,
594	201(3), 1553-1567. Retrieved from http://academic.oup.com/gji/article/
595	201/3/1553/776740/Aseismic-transient-driving-the-swarmlike-seismic
596	doi: 10.1093/gji/ggv111
	100 100
597	Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the contin-
597 598	Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the contin- uum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. <i>Nature Geoscience</i> ,
597 598 599	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi:
597 598 599 600	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940
597 598 599 600 601	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal
597 598 599 600 601 602	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Sta-
597 598 599 600 601 602 603	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophys-
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://
 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi:
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity varia-
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 606 606 608 609	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-
 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 doi: 10.1029/JB089iB07p05719
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 doi: 10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preerup-
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 doi: 10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geo-
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 doi: 10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332-6339. Retrieved from https://
 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332-6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 doi:
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 doi: 10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 611 612 613 614 615 616 617	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and sea
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 612 613 614 615 616 617 618	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 doi: 10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. Geophysical
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(21). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary./agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.//agupubs.onlinelibrary.///agupubs.onlinelibrary.///agupubs.onlinelibrary.////agupubs.onlinelibrary.///////////////////////////////////
 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599-607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 doi: 10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719-5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332-6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(21). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL027797
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(21). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL027797 Seydoux, L., de Rosny, J., & Shapiro, N. M. (2017). Pre-processing ambient noise
597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621	 Peng, Z., & Gomberg, J. (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena. Nature Geoscience, 3(9), 599–607. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo940 Poli, P., Marguin, V., Wang, Q., D'Agostino, N., & Johnson, P. (2020). Seasonal and Coseismic Velocity Variation in the Region of L'Aquila From Single Station Measurements and Implications for Crustal Rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(7), e2019JB019316. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB019316 Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., & Frechet, J. (1984). Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B7), 5719–5731. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB089iB07p05719 Rivet, D., Brenguier, F., & Cappa, F. (2015). Improved detection of preeruptive seismic velocity drops at the Piton de La Fournaise volcano. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(15), 6332–6339. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015GL064835 Sens-Schönfelder, C., & Wegler, U. (2006). Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(21). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL027797 Seydoux, L., de Rosny, J., & Shapiro, N. M. (2017). Pre-processing ambient noise cross-correlations with equalizing the covariance matrix eigenspectrum. Geo-

Shapiro, N. M., & Campillo, M. (2004). Emergence of broadband Rayleigh waves 624 from correlations of the ambient seismic noise. Geophysical Research Letters, 625 Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ 31(7).626 abs/10.1029/2004GL019491 doi: 10.1029/2004GL019491 627 Silverii, F., D'Agostino, N., Métois, M., Fiorillo, F., & Ventafridda, G. (2016). Tran-628 sient deformation of karst aquifers due to seasonal and multiyear groundwater 629 variations observed by GPS in southern Apennines (Italy). Journal of Geo-630 physical Research: Solid Earth, 121(11), 8315–8337. Retrieved from https:// 631 agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JB013361 doi: 632 10.1002/2016JB013361 633 Tsai, V. C. (2011).A model for seasonal changes in GPS positions and seis-634 mic wave speeds due to thermoelastic and hydrologic variations. Journal 635 of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B4). Retrieved from https:// 636 agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JB008156 doi: 637 10.1029/2010JB008156 638 Wang, Q. Y., Brenguier, F., Campillo, M., Lecointre, A., Takeda, T., & Aoki, Y. 639 (2017).Seasonal Crustal Seismic Velocity Changes Throughout Japan. 640 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122(10), 7987–8002. doi: 641 10.1002/2017JB014307 642 Watson, K. M., Bock, Y., & Sandwell, D. T. (2002). Satellite interferometric obser-643 vations of displacements associated with seasonal groundwater in the Los An-644 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 107(B4), ETG 8geles basin. 645 Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ 1–ETG 8–15. 646 doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB000470 doi: 10.1029/2001JB000470

647