



HAL
open science

Nebulised liposomal-amphotericin-B as maintenance therapy in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: a randomised, multicentre trial

Cendrine Godet, Francis Couturaud, Sylvain Marchand-Adam, Christophe Pison, Frédéric Gagnadoux, Elodie Blanchard, Camille Taillé, Bruno Philippe, Sandrine Hirschi, Claire Andréjak, et al.

► To cite this version:

Cendrine Godet, Francis Couturaud, Sylvain Marchand-Adam, Christophe Pison, Frédéric Gagnadoux, et al.. Nebulised liposomal-amphotericin-B as maintenance therapy in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: a randomised, multicentre trial. *European Respiratory Journal*, 2022, 59 (6), pp.2102218. 10.1183/13993003.02218-2021 . hal-03427245

HAL Id: hal-03427245

<https://hal.science/hal-03427245>

Submitted on 7 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Nebulised liposomal amphotericin-B as maintenance therapy in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: a randomised, multicentre trial

Cendrine Godet¹, Francis Couturaud², Sylvain Marchand-Adam^{3,4}, Christophe Pison⁵, Frédéric Gagnadoux⁶, Elodie Blanchard⁷, Camille Taillé⁸, Bruno Philippe⁹, Sandrine Hirschi¹⁰, Claire Andréjak¹¹, Arnaud Bourdin¹², Cécile Chenivresse¹³, Stéphane Dominique¹⁴, Laurence Bassinet¹⁵, Marlène Murriss-Espin¹⁶, Frédéric Rivière¹⁷, Gilles Garcia^{18,19,20}, Denis Caillaud²¹, François-Xavier Blanc²², François Goupil²³, Anne Bergeron²⁴, Anne Gondouin²⁵, Jean-Pierre Frat²⁶, Thomas Flament⁴, Boubou Camara⁵, Pascaline Priou⁶, Anne-Laure Brun²⁷, François Laurent^{28,29,30}, Stéphanie Ragot³¹ and Jacques Cadranet³², for the NebuLamB study group and GREPI network

¹Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Bichat, Service de Pneumologie, Paris, France. ²Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire de Brest, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Département de Médecine Interne et Pneumologie, EA 3878, CIC INSERM 1412, FCRIN INNOVTE, Brest, France. ³Université François Rabelais, Inserm 1100, Tours, France. ⁴CHRU de Tours, Service de Pneumologie et Explorations Fonctionnelles Respiratoires, Tours, France. ⁵CHU Grenoble Alpes, Service Hospitalier Universitaire Pneumologie Physiologie, Pôle Thorax et Vaisseaux, Inserm1055, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France. ⁶Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Angers, Département de Pneumologie, Angers, France. ⁷CHU Bordeaux site Haut L'évêque, Service des Maladies Respiratoires, Pessac, France. ⁸Groupe Hospitalier Universitaire AP-HP Nord-Université de Paris, Hôpital Bichat, Service de Pneumologie et Centre de Référence Constitutif des Maladies Pulmonaires Rares, Inserm UMR 1152, Paris, France. ⁹Hôpital René Dubos, Service de Pneumologie, Pontoise, France. ¹⁰Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Centre de Compétence des Maladies Pulmonaires Rares, Service de Pneumologie, Strasbourg, France. ¹¹CHU Amiens Picardie, Service de Pneumologie, UR 4294, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France. ¹²Université de Montpellier, CHU Montpellier, PhyMedExp, INSERM, CNRS, Montpellier, France. ¹³Service de Pneumologie et Immuno-allergologie, CHU Lille, Centre de Référence Constitutif pour les Maladies Pulmonaires Rares, University Lille, Inserm UMR9017, Institut Pasteur de Lille, CIIL – Centre d'Infection et d'Immunité de Lille, Lille, France, CRISALIS/F-CRIN INSERM network. ¹⁴Rouen University Hospital, Département de Pneumologie, Rouen, France. ¹⁵CHI de Créteil, Service de Pneumologie, Créteil, France. ¹⁶CHU de Toulouse, Service de Pneumologie, CRCM Adulte et Transplantation Pulmonaire, Clinique des Voies Respiratoires, Hôpital Larrey, Toulouse, France. ¹⁷Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Percy, Service de Pneumologie, Clamart, France. ¹⁸Université Paris-Saclay, School of Medicine, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. ¹⁹“Pulmonary Hypertension: Pathophysiology and Novel Therapies”, INSERM UMR-S 999 Hôpital Marie Lannelongue, Le Plessis-Robinson, France. ²⁰Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Dept of Respiratory and Intensive Care Medicine, Pulmonary Hypertension National Referral Center, Hôpital Bicêtre, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France. ²¹CHU Gabriel Montpied, Service de Pneumologie-Allergologie, Clermont Auvergne University, Clermont-Ferrand, France. ²²CHU de Nantes, Service de Pneumologie et Centre d'Investigations Cliniques Thorax, L'institut du Thorax, Nantes, France. ²³CH Le Mans, Service de Pneumologie, Le Mans, France. ²⁴Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Service de Pneumologie, Université de Paris, Paris, France. ²⁵CHU Besançon, Service de Pneumologie, Besançon, France. ²⁶CHU Poitiers, Médecine Intensive Réanimation, Inserm, CIC 1402, ALIVE, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France. ²⁷Hôpital Foch, Service de Radiologie, Suresnes, France. ²⁸Université de Bordeaux, Centre de Recherche Cardio-Thoracique de Bordeaux, U1045, CIC 1401, Bordeaux, France. ²⁹Inserm, Centre de Recherche Cardio-Thoracique de Bordeaux, U1045, CIC 1401, Bordeaux, France. ³⁰CHU de Bordeaux, Service d'Imagerie Diagnostique et Thérapeutique-Groupe Hospitalier Sud, Pessac, France. ³¹INSERM, CIC-1402, Biostatistics, Université de Poitiers, Faculté de Médecine et de Pharmacie de Poitiers, Poitiers, France. ³²Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Tenon, Service de Pneumologie et Oncologie Thoracique, Centre Constitutif Maladies Pulmonaires Rares and Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

Corresponding author: Cendrine Godet (cendrine.godet@aphp.fr)

Abstract

Background In allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), prolonged nebulised antifungal treatment may be a strategy for maintaining remission.

Methods We performed a randomised, single-blind, clinical trial in 30 centres. Patients with controlled ABPA after 4-month attack treatment (corticosteroids and itraconazole) were randomly assigned to nebulised liposomal amphotericin-B or placebo for 6 months. The primary outcome was occurrence of a first severe clinical exacerbation within 24 months following randomisation. Secondary outcomes included the median time to first severe clinical exacerbation, number of severe clinical exacerbations per patient, ABPA-related biological parameters.

Results Among 174 enrolled patients with ABPA from March 2015 through July 2017, 139 were controlled after 4-month attack treatment and were randomised. The primary outcome occurred in 33 (50.8%) out of 65 patients in the nebulised liposomal amphotericin-B group and 38 (51.3%) out of 74 in the placebo group (absolute difference -0.6% , 95% CI $-16.8-+15.6\%$; OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.50–1.90; $p=0.95$). The median (interquartile range) time to first severe clinical exacerbation was longer in the liposomal amphotericin-B group: 337 days (168–476 days) versus 177 days (64–288 days). At the end of maintenance therapy, total immunoglobulin-E and *Aspergillus* precipitins were significantly decreased in the nebulised liposomal amphotericin-B group.

Conclusions In ABPA, maintenance therapy using nebulised liposomal amphotericin-B did not reduce the risk of severe clinical exacerbation. The presence of some positive secondary outcomes creates clinical equipoise for further research.

Introduction

Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) is a complex bronchopulmonary disease resulting from immunological reactions mediated against *Aspergillus fumigatus*. It occurs in approximately 0.7–13% of patients with asthma and 6–10% of those with cystic fibrosis [1–3]. ABPA is clinically characterised by the occurrence of recurrent acute episodes of exacerbations [4]. Untreated and recurrent severe exacerbations increase the risk of hospitalisation, development of bronchiectasis, permanent obstructive ventilation defect and fibrotic lung lesions [4, 5]. ABPA treatment should be started early to prevent and control exacerbations before irreversible sequelae set in [6].

The most recent expert consensus recommended a therapeutic strategy of ABPA exacerbation including systemic glucocorticoids to reduce the inflammatory component and antifungal azoles to prevent mycelium proliferation [4]. In patients with recurrent exacerbations, long-term preventive therapy may necessitate oral azoles, low-dose glucocorticoids for a nonestablished optimal duration [7]. However, multiple adverse events are common with glucocorticoids and azoles, ranging from mild to severe and persistent or life-threatening adverse events [8]. The other issue with azoles is the emergence of azole-resistant *Aspergillus* spp., which precludes long-term use [9]. As most patients require prolonged therapy, treatment goals should be met with minimal adverse reactions.

Nebulisation of amphotericin-B deoxycholate has been proposed as an alternative to itraconazole [4] with potential efficacy in maintaining remission of ABPA [10, 11]. However, the safety of this treatment is challenging, as bronchospasm may occur [11]. The lipid formulation of amphotericin-B, *i.e.* liposomal amphotericin-B (L-amphotericin-B), containing phospholipids and cholesterol with properties similar to those of the natural surfactant, are likely to be better tolerated than nonlipid formulations [12], with long-term efficacy and safety [13, 14]. A few cases of difficult-to-treat ABPA have shown durable improvement, *i.e.* reduction of exacerbations, following nebulisation of L-amphotericin-B [15, 16]. However, these observations have yet to be confirmed in a dedicated trial.

Consequently, we conducted a multicentre randomised controlled trial to determine, in patients with controlled ABPA, the impact of an additional 6-month nebulised L-amphotericin-B as maintenance therapy on the rate of severe clinical exacerbation during 24-month follow-up.

Materials and methods

Study design and oversight

The NebuLamB trial is a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Patients with ABPA from 30 French hospitals were randomly assigned to receive either 6 months of nebulised L-amphotericin-B or nebulised placebo as maintenance therapy after an initial 4-month attack treatment. The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02273661).

The study protocol (supplementary material) was approved by the ethics committee at Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, France (2014-000872-24). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The trial was overseen by a steering committee that presented information regarding the progression and monitoring of the study to the Groupe pour la Recherche et l'Enseignement en Pneumo-Infectiologie network every 4 months. An independent adjudication committee blinded to the allocated treatment was responsible for assessing all severe clinical exacerbations. An independent safety monitoring board was set up.

Research assistants regularly monitored all the centres on site to check adherence to the protocol and the accuracy of recorded data in accordance with good clinical practice guidelines. An investigator at each centre was responsible for patient screening and recruitment, adherence to the protocol, and completion of electronic case-report forms.

Participants

Adult with newly diagnosed ABPA or with previous uncontrolled ABPA during the past 2 years and presenting with exacerbation requiring attack treatment with glucocorticoids and itraconazole were eligible. ABPA was defined as follows: atopy or history of asthma; elevated serum total immunoglobulin (Ig)E level ($>417 \text{ IU}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$); elevated *A. fumigatus*-specific IgE level ($>0.35 \text{ kUA}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) or immediate cutaneous hypersensitivity to *Aspergillus* antigen; associated with two of the following criteria, documented precipitating antibodies or positive *A. fumigatus*-specific IgG, total eosinophil count $>500 \text{ cells}\cdot\mu\text{L}^{-1}$, documented radiological infiltrates consistent with ABPA.

The main exclusion criteria were cystic fibrosis, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, chronic pulmonary aspergillosis, exacerbation of asthma or ABPA related to ongoing pulmonary infection (supplementary material).

Inclusion and attack treatment

All included patients received an attack treatment including corticosteroids and itraconazole: oral prednisolone at $0.5 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ for 2 weeks, followed by a gradual decrease over 6 weeks, based on clinical evolution and until discontinuation; and itraconazole (200 mg twice daily) for 4 months with dose-adjustment based on plasma monitoring (range $1.5\text{--}4 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$). In case of intolerance, itraconazole was substituted with voriconazole or posaconazole, according to the decision of the investigator.

Randomisation and masking

After the 4-month period of attack treatment, clinically controlled patients were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to either 6 months of nebulised L-amphotericin-B or nebulised placebo. The randomisation sequence was computer-generated, using a centralised web-based management system in permuted blocks of four (unknown to investigators), with stratification according to the centre. Only the investigator was informed on the patient's allocated maintenance treatment. The placebo kits were packaged (exterior presentation) and distributed in the same way as the nebulised L-amphotericin-B kits with the aim of keeping patients blinded to the treatment allocated by randomisation. The adjudication committee was unaware of the study groups and reviewed all severe clinical exacerbations (primary outcome) and severe clinical exacerbation related to ABPA or to asthma (secondary outcomes).

Maintenance treatment

In the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group, patients received 25 mg of nebulised L-amphotericin-B (AmBisome; Gilead Sciences, Boulogne-Billancourt, France) reconstituted in a 6 mL solution of water for injection once a week for 6 months, using a Pari TurboBoy nebuliser (Pari-GmbH; Munich, Germany). In the placebo group, patients received a nonactive treatment consisting of nebulised isotonic saline once a week for 6 months using the same procedure.

Prior to drug administration, pre-medication with nebulised salbutamol was carried out. If the first dose was well tolerated, patients were instructed by a trained staff nurse and therapy was continued at patient's home (supplementary material).

Outcomes

The primary outcome was occurrence of a first episode of adjudicated severe clinical exacerbation (related or not to ABPA) within 24 months following randomisation. Severe clinical exacerbation was defined by dyspnoea worsening beyond 7 days associated with an increasing dose of inhaled treatments (bronchodilators or corticosteroids), or the initiation of systemic corticosteroids, or hospitalisation (supplementary material) [17].

Secondary efficacy outcomes included occurrence of a first episode of adjudicated severe clinical exacerbation (related or not to ABPA) within 6 months following randomisation and of an ABPA-related or asthma-related severe clinical exacerbation within 6 and 24 months following randomisation, interval between randomisation and first severe clinical exacerbation and number of all adjudicated severe clinical exacerbations per patient during 24 months. An ABPA-related exacerbation was defined by a severe clinical exacerbation with 50% increase in total IgE from the baseline value with or without appearance of radiological infiltrates. An asthma-related clinical exacerbation was defined as being a severe clinical exacerbation without radiological changes consistent with ABPA exacerbation and absence of increase in baseline total IgE levels by >50%. Other pre-specified outcomes included number of visits or hospitalisations for respiratory symptoms as per the Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)-5 [18], rate of deterioration of clinical symptoms (a composite criterion of symptom score, ACQ-5 score, Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale [19] and Global Initiative for Asthma score [20]), cumulative dose of corticosteroids, biological parameters (total IgE, *A. fumigatus*-specific IgE, *Aspergillus* precipitins, eosinophil count) at 6 and 24 months following randomisation (supplementary material). The secondary safety outcome was tolerance and side-effects of treatment during the study period.

Statistical analysis

We estimated that randomisation of 124 patients would provide the study with 80% power to show an absolute difference of 25% in the percentage of patients with first severe clinical exacerbation during the 24-month follow-up between the placebo group (absolute rate estimated at 65%) [21] and the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group at a two-sided α -level of 0.05. The number of eligible patients selected to receive the attack treatment was set at 174, allowing a dropout rate before randomisation up to 25% and a loss to follow-up of 10% during the 24 months following randomisation.

The primary outcome analysis was performed on an intention-to-treat basis. A Chi-squared test was used to compare rates of severe clinical exacerbations between the two study arms.

Numbers of severe clinical exacerbations per patient, visits or hospitalisations for respiratory symptom worsening were compared between the two groups using Poisson regression models with treatment included as an independent variable in the model. Among patients with at least one episode of severe clinical exacerbation, percentages of patients having two or more episodes were compared between the two groups of treatment using a Chi-squared test.

Kaplan–Meier curves were plotted to assess time from randomisation to a first severe clinical exacerbation and were compared using a log-rank test. Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated using Cox modelling. Median interval between randomisation and a first severe clinical exacerbation was calculated and compared between the two groups using a Wilcoxon test. Lung function parameters, ACQ-5 scores and biological parameters were compared between the two groups at 6 months and 24 months, using t-test or Wilcoxon test. Percentage changes of total IgE from randomisation to 6 months, and from 6 months to 24 months were calculated, and log-transformed changes between the two groups after adjustment on the log of the baseline level were compared using an ANCOVA.

For both primary and secondary outcomes, a planned sensitivity analysis was performed to adjust on potential unbalanced variables and on centre (considered as random effect) using logistic regression, multivariate Poisson regression or linear mixed-effect models.

A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data analysis was performed using SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics

From March 2015 through July 2017, 174 patients were included and received the attack treatment. At 4 months, 35 did not satisfy criteria for randomisation (figure 1, supplementary figure S1). The remaining 139 patients were all asthmatic and after randomisation 65 patients were assigned to nebulised L-amphotericin-B and 74 to placebo treatment. The characteristics of the patients at inclusion and randomisation are shown in supplementary table S1 and table 1, respectively. Median time since the diagnosis of asthma was 28 years (interquartile range (IQR) 10–46 years); 106 (76.3%) out of 139 patients had a moderate airway obstruction (*i.e.* forced expiratory volume in 1 s \geq 60%). Among the 139 randomised patients, computed tomography scan showed bronchiectasis in 120 (86.0%), mucoid impactions in 38 (27.2%), high-attenuation mucoid impactions in 12 (8.6%) and infiltrates consistent with ABPA without bronchiectasis in six (4.2%).




FIGURE 1 Enrolment, randomisation and follow-up of the study participants. The liposomal (L)-amphotericin-B group received therapy with 25 mg of nebulised L-amphotericin-B nebulised once a week for 6 months. Patients who were assigned to receive placebo received a nonactive treatment consisting of 2 mL nebulised isotonic saline once a week for 6 months using the same system of nebulisation.

Median (IQR) study treatment duration and post-treatment periods were 161 days (160–164 days) and 511 days (501–545 days), respectively, in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group, and 162 days (160–168 days) and 507 days (500–531 days), respectively, in the placebo group. No loss to follow-up occurred during the 24-month study period.

Primary outcome

During the 24-month study period following randomisation, 33 (50.8%) out of 65 patients assigned to the nebulised L-amphotericin-B treatment and 38 (51.3%) out of 74 patients to placebo experienced a first severe clinical exacerbation (absolute difference -0.6% , 95% CI -16.8% – $+15.6\%$; OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.50–1.90; $p=0.95$) (table 2).

Secondary outcomes

During the 6-month study period following randomisation, nine (13.8%) out of 65 patients assigned to the nebulised L-amphotericin-B treatment group and 20 (27.0%) out of 74 patients assigned to placebo experienced a first severe clinical exacerbation (absolute difference -13.2% , 95% CI -26.0% – $+0.46\%$; OR 0.43, 95% CI 0.18–1.04; $p=0.06$) (table 2). Survival probability without severe clinical exacerbation did not differ between the two groups at 6 months (HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.22–1.05; $p=0.06$), at 12 months (HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.35–1.08; $p=0.09$) or at 24 months (HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.53–1.34; $p=0.47$) (table 2, figure 2, supplementary figure S2). Among patients who had a first severe clinical exacerbation, median (IQR) interval between randomisation and the first severe clinical exacerbation was delayed in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group by 337 days (168–476 days) as compared to 177 days (64–288 days) in the placebo group ($p=0.004$).

In all randomised patients, the total number of severe clinical exacerbations (including first and recurrent episodes) within 24 months following randomisation was 45 in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group and 64 in the placebo group (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.55–1.17; $p=0.25$).

There was no significant difference in the proportion of patients experiencing a first clinical exacerbation related to ABPA or to asthma (table 2, supplementary figure S2). The rates of worsening of clinical

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients at randomisation after 4-month attack treatment, according to treatment group

	Nebulised L-amphotericin-B	Nebulised placebo
Patients	65	74
Age (years)	58±11	61±11
Male	30 (46.1)	37 (50.0)
Body mass index (kg·m⁻²)	23±3	24±4
Past or active smoker	24 (36.9)	31 (41.9)
Time since asthma diagnosis (years)	28±21	30±22
History of at least one ABPA exacerbation before attack treatment	37 (56.9)	41 (55.4)
Asthma control according to ACQ-5 score[#]		
Uncontrolled (>1.5)	23 (35.9)	32 (44.4)
Partly controlled (0.75–1.5)	18 (28.1)	12 (16.7)
Controlled (<0.75)	23 (35.9)	28 (38.9)
Asthma control according to GINA score[¶]		
Uncontrolled	17 (26.1)	17 (23.0)
Partly controlled	20 (30.8)	30 (40.5)
Controlled	28 (43.1)	27 (36.5)
Lung function		
FEV ₁ (L)	2.2±0.8	2.1±0.9
FVC (L)	3.4±1.0	3.3±1.0
FEV ₁ :FVC ratio (%)	65±14	63±13
Severity of airway obstruction		
Mild (FEV ₁ 60–80%)	52 (80.0)	54 (73.0)
Moderate (FEV ₁ 40–59%)	9 (13.8)	17 (23.0)
Severe (FEV ₁ <40%)	4 (6.2)	3 (4.0)
Biological parameters		
Total IgE levels (IU·mL ⁻¹)	366 (170–918)	618 (257–1874)
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> -specific IgE levels (kUA·L ⁻¹)	7.8 (2.0–24.5)	12.5 (1.8–39.1)
<i>Aspergillus</i> precipitins	9/27 (33.3)	18/42 (42.9)
Positive <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> -specific IgG	27/44 (61.4)	25/53 (47.2)
Total eosinophil count (cells·μL ⁻¹)	200 (60–360)	131 (70–360)
Chest HRCT scan findings		
Normal HRCT scan	0	0
Bronchiectasis [†]	57 (88.0)	63 (85.0)
Bronchiectasis with muroid impactions	17 (26.1)	21 (28.4)
High-attenuation muroid impactions	5 (7.7)	7 (9.5)
Infiltrates consisting with ABPA without bronchiectasis	2 (3.1)	4 (5.4)
Asthma treatment		
Inhaled glucocorticoid	56 (86.1)	66 (89.2)
Dose of inhaled glucocorticoid (μg·day ⁻¹) [§]	598 (316)	530 (313)
Long-acting bronchodilator	55 (84.6)	56 (75.7)
Systemic glucocorticoids	13 (20.0)	19 (25.7)
Dose of systemic glucocorticoids (mg·day ⁻¹) ^f	10 (6)	15 (13)

Data are presented as n, mean±SD, n (%) or median (interquartile range). ABPA: allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis; ACQ-5: Asthma Control Questionnaire-5; GINA: Global Initiative for Asthma; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; Ig: immunoglobulin; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography. [#]: scores after 4-month attack treatment. The ACQ-5 consists of five questions about asthma symptoms in the previous week, each of which is scored on a range from 0 (no impairment) to 6 (maximum impairment). Data were missing for one patient in the nebulised liposomal (L)-amphotericin-B group and for two patients in the nebulised placebo group. [¶]: six questions about asthma symptoms in the 7 previous days, defining three stages of asthma severity (controlled, partially controlled and uncontrolled). [†]: classified according to the ratio of the bronchial lumen and arterial diameters (mild: ratio <2; moderate: ratio 2–3; severe: ratio >3). [§]: cumulative dose expressed in beclomethasone dipropionate equivalents. ^f: cumulative dose expressed in prednisolone equivalents.

TABLE 2 Primary and secondary outcomes according to treatment group

	Nebulised L-amphotericin-B	Nebulised placebo	p-value	OR or rate ratio (95% CI)
Patients	65	74		
Primary outcome				
First severe clinical exacerbation at 24 months	33 (50.8)	38 (51.3)	0.95	0.98 (0.50–1.90)
Secondary outcomes				
First severe clinical exacerbation at 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	9 (13.8)	20 (27.0)	0.06	0.43 (0.18–1.04)
First severe clinical exacerbation at 12 months	20 (30.8)	32 (43)	0.13	0.58 (0.29–1.17)
Interval between randomisation and exacerbation at 24 months (days)	337 (168–476)	177 (64–288)	0.004	
Number of severe clinical exacerbations at 24 months	45	64	0.25	0.80 (0.55–1.17)
Number of severe clinical exacerbations per patient at 24 months	0.7	0.9	0.28	
Number of severe clinical exacerbations/number of patients with at least one severe clinical exacerbation at 24 months			0.03	
One episode	24/33 (72.7)	18/38 (47.4)		
Two or more episodes	9/33 (27.3)	20/38 (52.6)		
First severe exacerbation related to ABPA				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	8 (12.3)	12 (16.2)	0.51	0.73 (0.28–1.90)
At 24 months	24 (36.9)	25 (33.8)	0.70	1.15 (0.57–2.30)
First severe exacerbation related to asthma				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	2 (3.1)	5 (6.8)	0.45	0.44 (0.08–2.34)
At 24 months	9 (13.8)	14 (18.9)	0.42	0.69 (0.28–1.72)
Number of consultations	320	397	0.25	0.92 (0.79–1.06)
Number of hospitalisations	42	47	0.93	1.02 (0.67–1.54)
Impairment of clinical symptoms[#]				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	54 (83.1)	62 (84)	0.91	
At 24 months	63 (96.9)	68 (91.9)	0.28	
Lung function				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)				
FEV ₁ (L)	2.1±0.8	2.0±0.8	0.76	
FVC (L)	3.3±1.0	3.3±1.0	0.99	
At 24 months				
FEV ₁ (L)	2.1±0.8	2.1±0.8	0.45	
FVC (L)	3.4±1.0	3.2±0.9	0.39	
Biological parameters				
Total IgE levels (IU·mL⁻¹)[¶]				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	298 (184–1039)	679 (318–2339)	0.004	
At 24 months	579 (299–1181)	851 (253–1791)	0.44	
Change in total IgE (%)				
From randomisation to 6 months	-5.6 (-33.7–44.0)	21.9 (-16.7–87.6)	0.006	
From 6–24 months	50.0 (-11.6–125.3)	13.7 (-46.5–79.6)	0.31	
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>-specific IgE levels (kUA·L⁻¹)⁺				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	5 (2–16)	13 (2–31)	0.06	
At 24 months	10 (3–20)	12 (2–38)	0.46	
Positive <i>Aspergillus</i> serology[§]				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	21/48 (43.7)	35/54 (64.8)	0.03	
At 24 months	34/54 (63.0)	30/54 (55.5)	0.43	
<i>Aspergillus</i> precipitins				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	9/34 (26.5)	20/35 (57.1)	0.01	
At 24 months	17/41 (41.5)	15/34 (44.1)	0.81	
Positive <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>-specific IgG				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	21/47 (44.7)	34/53 (64.1)	0.05	
At 24 months	33/54 (61.1)	29/54 (53.7)	0.44	
Total eosinophil count (cells·μL⁻¹)^f				
At 6 months (end of maintenance therapy)	400 (180–700)	440 (200–800)	0.67	
At 24 months	340 (200–580)	260 (100–560)	0.15	
Asthma treatment cumulative dose at 6 months				
Inhaled glucocorticoids (μg·day ⁻¹)	500 (288–640)	454 (278–563)	0.73	
Systemic glucocorticoids (mg)	0 (0–340)	0 (0–765)	0.16	

Continued

TABLE 2 Continued

	Nebulised L-amphotericin-B	Nebulised placebo	p-value	OR or rate ratio (95% CI)
Asthma treatment cumulative dose at 24 months				
Inhaled glucocorticoids ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$) ^{##}	500 (320–772)	487 (316–630)	0.44	
Systemic glucocorticoids (mg) ^{¶¶}	420 (0–2180)	858 (70–3374)	0.22	
Immediate tolerance of nebulised maintenance therapy				
Dyspnoea	15 (23.1)	7 (9.5)	0.02	
Cough	20 (30.7)	11 (14.9)	0.02	
Nausea	9 (13.8)	13 (17.6)	0.57	
Vomiting	2 (3.1)	4 (5.4)	0.69	
Headache	12 (18.5)	18 (24.3)	0.42	
Reasons for maintenance therapy discontinuation				
Decision of patient (not related to an adverse event)	4 (6.1)	1 (1.3)		0.19
Hypersensitivity syndrome	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)		
Persistent cough	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)		
Severe clinical exacerbation	1 (1.5)	1 (1.3)		

Data are presented as n, n (%), median (interquartile range) or mean \pm sd, unless otherwise stated. Results adjusted on total immunoglobulin (IgE) levels (expressed as log IgE) at randomisation and centre as random effect were as follows. 1) For first severe clinical exacerbation: adjusted OR at 6 months 0.43 (0.17–1.03), p=0.07; adjusted OR at 24 months 1.05 (0.53–2.08), p=0.89. 2) For first severe exacerbation related to allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA): adjusted OR at 6 months 0.70 (0.24–1.94), p=0.50; adjusted OR at 24 months 1.18 (0.58–2.41), p=0.65. 3) For first severe exacerbation related to asthma: adjusted OR at 6 months 0.42 (0.06–2.12), p=0.32; adjusted OR at 24 months 0.64 (0.24–1.66), p=0.37. 4) For number of severe clinical exacerbations: adjusted rate ratio 0.80 (0.54–1.18), p=0.27. 5) For number of consultations: adjusted rate ratio 0.93 (0.80–1.08), p=0.37. 6) For number of hospitalisations: adjusted rate ratio 1.24 (0.66–1.57), p=0.93. FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity. [#]: composite criterion of symptoms score, i.e. cough, sputum, wheezing, chest pain according to a rating of 0–3, Asthma Control Questionnaire-5 score, Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale and Global Initiative for Asthma score; [¶]: at 6 months therapy data were available in 62 and 68 patients, and at 24 months in 58 and 61 patients in the nebulised liposomal (L)-amphotericin-B and nebulised placebo groups, respectively; [†]: at 6 months therapy data were available in 56 and 69 patients, and at 24 months in 52 and 54 patients in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B and nebulised placebo groups, respectively; [‡]: patient showing *Aspergillus precipitans* ≥ 2 and/or positive *A. fumigatus*-specific IgG; [§]: at 6 months therapy data were available in 61 and 71 patients, and at 24 months in 61 and 67 patients in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B and nebulised placebo groups, respectively; ^{##}: cumulative dose expressed in beclomethasone dipropionate equivalent; ^{¶¶}: cumulative dose expressed in prednisolone equivalent.

symptoms, mean cumulative dose of corticosteroids and lung function parameters did not differ between the two groups either at 6 or at 24 months following randomisation (table 2, table 3 and supplementary figure S3).


The plasma levels and the percentages of change of total IgE were significantly lower at 6 months in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group as compared to the placebo group, even after adjustment for IgE levels at the end of attack treatment and on centre (adjusted p=0.046), but levels at 24 months and percentages of change from 6 to 24 months were not different (table 2, figure 3). Additionally, the levels of *Aspergillus precipitans* were significantly lower at 6 months in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group as compared to the placebo group, but were not different at 24 months (table 2, figure 3). Levels of *A. fumigatus*-specific IgE and total eosinophil count did not differ between the two groups at either 6 or 24 months (table 2, supplementary figure S4).

In an exploratory analysis, among the 71 patients experiencing severe clinical exacerbations, nine (27.3%) out of 33 in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group and 20 (52.6%) out of 38 in the placebo group had two or more exacerbation episodes (absolute difference –25.4%, 95% CI –44.6– –2.5; p=0.03).

Safety outcomes

During the 4-month attack treatment period, 40 (23.0%) out of 174 patients received 100 mg itraconazole (in place of 200 mg) twice daily after monitoring and adaptation to residual concentrations.

For two patients, itraconazole was switched to voriconazole due to hepatotoxicity. A complete list of adverse events before randomisation is provided in supplementary table S2. Immediate tolerance of nebulised L-amphotericin-B treatment was followed by an increased number of episodes of dyspnoea and cough as compared to placebo treatment leading to withdrawal from the study treatment for one patient (table 2). During the 6 months of maintenance therapy, no serious adverse event was observed, while 21 mild and moderate adverse events occurred in 13 patients, including 12 mild and two moderate events



At risk (n)	0	6	12	18	24
Nebulised L-amphotericin-B	65	54	43	36	30
Nebulised placebo	74	52	39	34	33

FIGURE 2 Kaplan–Meier plot of the cumulative probability of survival without severe clinical exacerbation from randomisation to 24-month follow-up. L-amphotericin-B: liposomal amphotericin-B.

during the nebulised L-amphotericin-B treatment. Maintenance therapy was discontinued in 10 patients: seven in the L-amphotericin-B group (two hypersensitivity syndromes) and three in the placebo group (supplementary tables S3 and S4).

TABLE 3 Deterioration of clinical symptoms at 6 and 24 months after randomisation according to treatment group

	Nebulised L-amphotericin-B	Nebulised placebo	p-value
Patients	65	74	
Score evaluated by patient			
At 6 months	30 (46)	24 (32)	0.96
At 24 months	47 (72)	43 (58)	0.08
MRC			
At 6 months	24 (37)	27 (36)	0.96
At 24 months	47 (72)	44 (59)	0.11
GINA			
At 6 months	20 (31)	27 (36)	0.48
At 24 months	37 (57)	42 (57)	0.98
ACQ-5			
At 6 months	45 (69)	50 (68)	0.83
At 24 months	59 (91)	65 (88)	0.58
Overall impairment of clinical symptoms[#]			
At 6 months	54 (83)	62 (84)	0.91
At 24 months	63 (97)	68 (92)	0.28

Data are presented as n or n (%), unless otherwise stated. MRC: Medical Research Council; GINA: Global Initiative for Asthma; ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire; L-amphotericin-B: liposomal amphotericin-B. [#]: defined by at least one of the following criteria: increase of $\geq 50\%$ in the score evaluated by patients (score evaluating each of the following symptoms: cough, sputum, wheezing and chest pain, according to a rating of 0–3); and/or a deterioration of dyspnoea as assessed by the MRC score; and/or a deterioration of asthma control, as assessed by the investigator, according to control levels defined by GINA guidelines; and/or a deterioration of asthma control as assessed by the patient according to the ACQ-5 questionnaire.




FIGURE 3 Changes in immunological findings, total immunoglobulin (IgE) level and *Aspergillus* precipitins in the two groups from inclusion to 28 months. Randomisation took place at 4 months. Data are presented as mean and 95% confidence intervals. **a)** Total IgE levels at the end of maintenance therapy were significantly lower in the nebulised liposomal (L)-amphotericin-B group than in the placebo group, even after adjustment on IgE levels at the end of attack treatment and on centre (not-adjusted $p=0.004$, adjusted $p=0.046$); **b)** numbers of *Aspergillus* precipitins at the end of maintenance therapy were significantly lower in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group than in the placebo group, even after adjustment on IgE levels at the end of attack treatment and on centre (not-adjusted $p=0.01$, adjusted $p=0.034$).

Discussion

In this multicentre, randomised, clinical trial including patients with controlled ABPA after an initial 4-month attack treatment, an additional 6-month nebulised L-amphotericin-B treatment as compared to placebo did not improve the primary outcome on the rate of first severe clinical exacerbation during 24 months of follow-up. There were some differences favouring nebulised L-amphotericin-B in some secondary outcomes, including a longer median interval between randomisation and first severe clinical exacerbation and fewer recurrences of severe exacerbations per patient during 24 months of follow-up, and decreased levels of total immunoglobulin-E and *Aspergillus* precipitins at the end of the 6-month study treatment. Moreover, the study treatment was largely devoid of major side-effects and no bronchospasm occurred.

When planning the study, we assumed an exacerbation rate of 65% in the placebo group, based on a few retrospective cohorts [21]. Our results showed a rate of exacerbation lower than expected in the placebo group, possibly related to a strong effect of placebo treatment, and a rate in the nebulised L-amphotericin-B group in the upper level (51%) compared to those found in previous reports (22–67%) [10, 22, 23].

The observation of a decrease in ABPA-related biological parameters and a nonsignificant 50% reduction of severe exacerbation at the end of maintenance therapy is consistent with the results of two monocentre randomised trials evaluating the benefits at 1 year of prolonged therapy with nebulised amphotericin-B deoxycholate and itraconazole [10, 24]. The first study showed improvement of clinical and immunological

response during an additional 4-month period of itraconazole therapy *versus* placebo after an initial attack treatment [24] and the second, an open randomised trial, showed a reduction of clinical exacerbations during amphotericin-B deoxycholate maintenance therapy [10]. In patients with recurrent exacerbations or treatment-dependent ABPA, long-term therapy with oral azoles, low-dose glucocorticoids or nebulised amphotericin B has been proposed [7]. However, the available studies are lacking in power and highlight a sizable number of adverse effects that are well known for prolonged glucocorticoids (osteopenia, diabetes, Cushing disease, infection risks, *etc.*) [22], potentially persistent and severe for azoles (neurotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, cardiotoxicity, *etc.*) [8] and in some cases immediate bronchospasm limiting use of nebulised deoxycholate amphotericin-B [11].

Although during the 24-month follow-up period the experimental strategy did not result in a reduction of the overall cumulative incidence of a first severe exacerbation in ABPA patients, it was associated with delayed occurrence of a first severe exacerbation and a reduction of the number of exacerbation episodes per patient in the “frequent exacerbator” phenotype (exploratory outcomes). These are clinical elements of major importance regarding patient comfort and ABPA stability. Moreover, a significant reduction of major biomarkers in ABPA monitoring such as total IgE and *Aspergillus* precipitins was observed at the end of maintenance treatment, which represents supplementary favourable results underlining the achievement of stability. Biological improvements were gradually lost, suggesting that as long as it is pursued, maintenance therapy might be beneficial [25]. These raise the question of the first step of a maintenance therapy, which could be to maintain patient stability. Therefore, future studies evaluating the impact of longer duration of maintenance therapy on clinical outcomes and also on patient centred outcomes are needed.

Our study has several strengths. To date, it represents the first multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial comparing extended therapy with nebulised L-amphotericin-B to nebulised placebo, during a long follow-up of 24 months. Second, liposomal formulation of amphotericin-B treatment ensured better tolerance than amphotericin-B deoxycholate [11]. It bears mentioning that the treatment is easy to apply in a home setting, its feasibility having previously been demonstrated in low-resource countries [10]. No cases were observed to be lost to follow-up during the 24-month study period. Lastly, primary and secondary outcomes included adjudicated exacerbations, as well as quality-of-life questionnaires, with subjective scoring.

The L-amphotericin-B regimen in our study can be considered as a limitation and consequently the cause of the negative result on the primary outcome. Once weekly dosing for 6 months may not be sufficient to eradicate the fungi and may subsequently result in persistent local inflammation and disease progression [7]. However, this regimen was based in a previous study including lung transplant patients and reporting that one weekly administration of 25 mg nebulized L-amphotericin-B achieved sufficiently high concentrations in bronchioloalveolar liquid to inhibit *Aspergillus* growth (minimal inhibitory concentration $>2\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$) for a 2-week period [13].

Although this study did not meet its pre-specified primary outcome, our data provide some differences favouring nebulised L-amphotericin-B in secondary outcomes including a prolonged interval prior to exacerbation and fewer recurrences of severe exacerbations per patient during 24-month follow-up, and decreased levels of total IgE and *Aspergillus* precipitins at the end of 6 months of maintenance therapy. The clinical relevance of these findings raises the issue of benefit of a maintenance therapy as long as it is pursued and creates clinical equipoise for further research.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank Jeffrey Arsham (a translator employed by the CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France) for reviewing and editing the original English language protocol. The authors wish to thank the following collaborators who contributed materially to the trial, provided technical help or writing assistance: Service de Pneumologie, AP-HP, Hôpital Bichat, Paris, France: Hervé Mal, Pierre Le Guen, Clairelyne Dupin; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France: Jean Claude Meurice, Marion Verdaguer; INSERM, CIC-1402, CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France: Céline Delétage-Métréau, Joe de Keizer; Département de Médecine Interne et Pneumologie, CHU de Brest, Brest, France: Raphael Le Mao, Cécile Tromeur; Service de Pneumologie et explorations fonctionnelles respiratoires, CHU de Tours, Tours, France: Gaëlle Fajole, Mélanie Rayez; Service Hospitalier Universitaire Pneumologie Physiologie, Pôle Thorax et Vaisseaux, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France: Christel Saint Raymond, Hubert Gheerbrant; Département de Pneumologie, CHU d'Angers, Angers, France: Anne Badatcheff, Christine Person; Service des Maladies Respiratoires, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France: Julie Macey, Xavier Dermant; Service de Pneumologie, Centre Hospitalier René Dubos, Pontoise, France: Jean-François Boitiaux, Marine Gosset-Woimant; Service de Pneumologie, Centre de Compétence des Maladies Pulmonaires Rares,

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France: Carine Metz-Favre, Tristan Degot; Service de Pneumologie, CHU Amiens Picardie, France: Claire Poulet, Elisabeth Popin; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Montpellier, France: Anne Sophie Gamez, Clément Boissin; Service de Pneumologie et Immuno-allergologie, Centre de référence constitutif pour les maladies pulmonaires rares, CHU Lille, France: Anne Prevotat; Service de pneumologie, CHIC de Créteil, France: Gilles Mangiapan; Service de Pneumologie, CRCM adulte et Transplantation pulmonaire, Clinique des Voies Respiratoires, CHU de Toulouse, France: Danielle Brouquières; Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Percy, Clamart, France: Hervé Le Floch; Department of Pneumology, Rouen University Hospital, Rouen, France: Hélène Morisse-Pradier; Department of Respiratory and Intensive Care Medicine, Pulmonary Hypertension National Referral Center, AP-HP Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, Paris, France: Caroline Sattler; Service de Pneumologie-Allergologie, CHU Gabriel Montpied, Clermont Auvergne University, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand, France: Daniela Muti; Service de Pneumologie et centre d'investigations cliniques Thorax, L'institut du Thorax, CHU de Nantes, France: Patrick Germaud; Service de pneumologie CH Le Mans, France: Audrey Paris; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Limoges, Limoges, France: Boris Melloni, Julia Ballouhey; Service de Pneumologie, Université de Paris, APHP-Hôpital Saint Louis, Paris, France: Louise Bondeelle; Service de pneumologie, CHU Besançon, France: Lucie Laurent; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France: Chantal Belleguic, Mallorie Kerjouan; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Reims, Reims, France: Gaëtan Deslée, Sandra Dury; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Caen, Caen, France: Emmanuel Bergot, Romain Magnier; Service de Pneumologie, CHR d'Orléans, Orléans, France: Hugues Morel, Bertrand Lemaire; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Marseille, Marseille, France: Cécile Tumino; Service de Pneumologie, CHU de Lyon, Lyon, France: Agathe Sénéchal; Service de Pneumologie et Oncologie thoracique, Centre constitutif Maladies pulmonaires rares APHP-Hôpital Tenon, Paris, France: Pascaline Choinier, Antoine Parrot.

Members of the NebuLamB study group and GREPI Research Network include the following: Hervé Mal, Pierre Le Guen, Clairelyne Dupin (Hôpital Bichat, Paris); Jean Claude Meurice, Marion Verdagner, Joe de Keizer, Céline Delétage-Métreau (CHU de Poitiers); Raphael Le Mao, Cécile Tromer (CHU de Brest); Gaëlle Fajole, Mélanie Rayez (CHU de Tours); Christel Saint Raymond, Hubert Gheerbrant (CHU de Grenoble); Anne Badatcheff, Christine Person (CHU d'Angers); Julie Macey, Xavier Dermant (CHU de Bordeaux); Jean-François Boitiaux, Marine Gosset-Woimant (CH de Pontoise); Carine Metz-Favre, Tristan Degot (CHU de Strasbourg); Claire Poulet, Elisabeth Popin (CHU d'Amiens); Anne Sophie Gamez, Clément Boissin (CHU de Montpellier); Anne Prevotat (CHU de Lille); Gilles Mangiapan (CHI de Créteil, Paris); Danielle Brouquières (CHU de Toulouse); Hervé Le Floch (Hôpital Clamart, Paris); Hélène Morisse-Pradier (CHU de Rouen); Caroline Sattler (Hôpital Bicêtre, Paris); Daniela Muti (CHU de Clermont-Ferrand); Patrick Germaud (CHU de Nantes); Audrey Paris (CH Le Mans); Boris Melloni, Julia Ballouhey (CHU de Limoges); Louise Bondeelle (Hôpital Saint Louis, Paris); Lucie Laurent (CHU de Besançon); Chantal Belleguic, Mallorie Kerjouan (CHU de Rennes); Gaëtan Deslée, Sandra Dury (CHU de Reims); Emmanuel Bergot, Romain Magnier (CHU de Caen); Hugues Morel, Bertrand Lemaire (CHR d'Orléans); Cécile Tumino (CHU de Marseille); Agathe Sénéchal (CHU de Lyon); Pascaline Choinier, Antoine Parrot (Hôpital Tenon, Paris).

This study is registered at clinicaltrials.gov with identifier: NCT02273661. Data may not be shared due to ongoing analysis of radiological findings.

Author contributions: C. Godet: investigator of the study, study design, obtaining funding, member of the steering committee, data acquisition and collection, data analysis and interpretation, drafting the manuscript. F. Couturaud, J. Cadranet, J-P. Frat, A. Bergeron, A-L. Brun and F. Laurent: study design, data acquisition and collection, data analysis and interpretation, draft of the manuscript, and critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content. S. Marchand-Adam, C. Pison, F. Gagnadoux, E. Blanchard, C. Taillé, B. Philippe, S. Hirschi, C. Andréjak, A. Bourdin, C. Chenivresse, S. Dominique, L. Bassinet, M. Murriss-Espin, F. Rivière, G. Garcia, D. Caillaud, F-X. Blanc, F. Goupil, A. Gondouin, T. Flament, B. Camara and P. Priou: data acquisition and collection, critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content. S. Ragot: data analysis, data interpretation, and draft of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: C. Godet reports having received speaker fees, travel support from Pfizer, MSD; fees for board memberships from SOS Oxygène and Pulmatrix; grant support from Ohre Pharma, Pfizer, MSD, SOS Oxygène, ISIS Medical and AstraZeneca. F. Couturaud reports having received research grant support from Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer and fees for board memberships or symposia from Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Merck Sharp & Dohme and AstraZeneca, and having received travel support from Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Leo Pharma, Merck Sharp & Dohme and Actelion. S. Marchand-Adam reports having received consultancy for board membership, consultancy or speaker fees and travel support from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis and Roche. C. Pison reports having received, outside of the submitted work, consultancy for board membership, consultancy or speaker fees, travel support from AGIR à Dom, Chiesi, Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, SOS Oxygène, AstraZeneca and Novartis. F. Gagnadoux reports having received grants and personal fees from Resmed; personal fees and

non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Nyxoah, Sefam; personal fees from Actelion, Cidelec, Novartis, and non-financial support from Asten, unrelated to the submitted work. E. Blanchard reports having received consultancy or speaker fees, travel support from Pfizer, MSD, Novartis, Gilead, Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim, SOS Oxygene and ISIS Medical. C. Taillé reports having received consulting or advisory fees from Sanofi, GSK, AstraZeneca, Novartis and Chiesi, and research grants from Sanofi and GSK, outside the submitted work. B. Philippe reports having received speaker fees, travel support from Chiesi, Novartis and Oxyvie. S. Hirschi reports having received research grant support from CSL Behring and Adiral, and fees for board membership from Boehringer Ingelheim and Roche. C. Andréjak reports having received fees for board memberships or symposia and travel support from GSK, AstraZeneca, Zambon and Insmmed. A. Bourdin reports having received grants from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cephalon/TEVA, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and Sanofi-Regeneron; has provided consultancy for Actelion, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, MedinCell, Merck, Novartis, Roche and Sanofi-Regeneron; and has acted as an investigator or co-investigator for trials sponsored by Actelion, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Galapagos, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Regeneron and Vertex. C. Chenivresse reports having received grants from AstraZeneca and Santelys outside the submitted work, personal fees from ALK-Abello, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Sanofi-Regeneron and TEVA outside the submitted work and congress support from ALK-Abello, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Pfizer, Roche and TEVA. S. Dominique reports having received consultancy for board membership, speaker fees, travel support from Roche, Chiesi, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Novartis and Actelion, outside the submitted work. L. Bassinet has nothing to disclose. M. Murrís-Espin reports having received consultancy for board membership or speaker fees, or travel support, from Insmmed, MSD, Pfizer, Asten, LVL, Vertex, Vivisol and Zambon. F. Rivière reports having received consultancy fees from Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim. G. Garcia reports having received grants from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Sanofi-Regeneron and Chiesi; has provided consultancy for AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and Sanofi-Regeneron; and has acted as an investigator or co-investigator for trials sponsored by AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline and Sanofi-Regeneron. D. Caillaud reports having received consultancy or speaker fees, travel support from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GSK, Menarini and Novartis. F-X. Blanc has nothing to disclose. F. Goupil reports having received travel support from Aliseo/Asten Santé, GSK, Chiesi, Novartis and Actelion. A. Bergeron reports having received consultancy or speaker fees, from Pfizer, Gilead, MSD, AstraZeneca and Takeda, and grants from SOS Oxygene. A. Gondouin reports having received consultancy or speaker fees, or travel support from Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim, Vitalaire, Actelion, LFB, GSK and Pfizer. J-P. Frat reports having received grants from the French Ministry of Health, outside the submitted work; grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Fisher & Paykel HealthCare, outside the submitted work; personal fees and non-financial support from SOS Oxygène, outside the submitted work. T. Flament has nothing to disclose. B. Camara reports having received outside of the submitted work, consultancy for speaker fees, travel supports from AGIR à Dom. P. Priou received travel support from Asten Santé. A-L. Brun has nothing to disclose. F. Laurent reports having received consultancy for board membership, consultancy or speaker fees, travel support from Bayer, Roche, Chiesi, Boehringer Ingelheim, SOS Oxygène, AstraZeneca, Basilea, Novartis and Actelion. S. Ragot has nothing to disclose. J. Cadranel reports having received speaker fees from MSD and Pfizer.

Support statement: The Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique Interrégional 2012 of the French Ministry of Health. The firms PARI GmbH France (material and logistics support), ISIS Médical France, SOS Oxygène France, and AstraZeneca France. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The University Hospital of Poitiers promoted the trial. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

References

- 1 Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN, Gupta D, *et al.* *Aspergillus* hypersensitivity and allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in patients with bronchial asthma: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2009; 13: 936–944.
- 2 Agarwal R. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Chest* 2009; 135: 805–826.
- 3 Denning DW, Pleuvry A, Cole DC. Global burden of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis with asthma and its complication chronic pulmonary aspergillosis in adults. *Med Mycol* 2013; 51: 361–370.
- 4 Agarwal R, Chakrabarti A, Shah A, *et al.* Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: review of literature and proposal of new diagnostic and classification criteria. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 850–873.
- 5 Moss RB. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2002; 23: 87–104.
- 6 Agarwal R, Chakrabarti A. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in asthma: epidemiological, clinical and therapeutic issues. *Future Microbiol* 2013; 8: 1463–1474.
- 7 Agarwal R, Sehgal IS, Dhooria S, *et al.* Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Indian J Med Res* 2020; 151: 529–549.
- 8 Olum R, Baluku JB, Kazibwe A, *et al.* Tolerability of oral itraconazole and voriconazole for the treatment of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2020; 15: e0240374.

- 9 Verweij PE, Ananda-Rajah M, Andes D, *et al.* International expert opinion on the management of infection caused by azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Drug Resist Updat* 2015; 21–22: 30–40.
- 10 Ram B, Aggarwal AN, Dhooria S, *et al.* A pilot randomized trial of nebulized amphotericin in patients with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *J Asthma* 2016; 53: 517–524.
- 11 Chishimba L, Langridge P, Powell G, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of nebulised amphotericin B (NAB) in severe asthma with fungal sensitisation (SAFS) and allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA). *J Asthma* 2015; 52: 289–295.
- 12 Proesmans M, Vermeulen F, Vreys M, *et al.* Use of nebulized amphotericin B in the treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in cystic fibrosis. *Int J Pediatr* 2010; 2010: 376287.
- 13 Monforte V, Ussetti P, López R, *et al.* Nebulized liposomal amphotericin B prophylaxis for *Aspergillus* infection in lung transplantation: pharmacokinetics and safety. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009; 28: 170–175.
- 14 Rijnders BJ, Cornelissen JJ, Slobbe L, *et al.* Aerosolised liposomal amphotericin B for the prevention of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis during prolonged neutropenia: a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 1401–1408.
- 15 Godet C, Meurice JC, Roblot F, *et al.* Efficacy of nebulised liposomal amphotericin B in the attack and maintenance treatment of ABPA. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39: 1261–1263.
- 16 Godet C, Goudet V, Laurent F, *et al.* Nebulised liposomal amphotericin B for *Aspergillus* lung diseases: case series and literature review. *Mycoses* 2015; 58: 173–180.
- 17 Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, *et al.* International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 343–373.
- 18 Juniper EF, O’Byrne PM, Guyatt GH, *et al.* Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur Respir J* 1999; 14: 902–907.
- 19 Fletcher CM. Standardised questionnaire on respiratory symptoms: a statement prepared and approved by the MRC Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis (MRC breathlessness score). *BMJ* 1960; 2: 1665.
- 20 Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA). Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. 2012. www.ginasthma.org
- 21 Wark PA, Gibson PG, Wilson AJ. Azoles for allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis associated with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; 3: CD001108.
- 22 Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN, Dhooria S, *et al.* A randomised trial of glucocorticoids in acute-stage allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis complicating asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 47: 490–498.
- 23 Agarwal R, Dhooria S, Singh Sehgal I, *et al.* A randomised trial of itraconazole vs prednisolone in acute-stage allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis complicating asthma. *Chest* 2018; 153: 656–664.
- 24 Stevens DA, Schwartz HJ, Lee JY, *et al.* A randomised trial of itraconazole in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 342: 756–762.
- 25 Agarwal R, Sehgal IS, Dhooria S, *et al.* Developments in the diagnosis and treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2016; 10: 1317–1334.