

Thermal self-synchronization of nano-objects

Zhongwei Zhang, Yangyu Guo, Marc Bescond, Jie Chen, Masahiro Nomura,

Sebastian Volz

To cite this version:

Zhongwei Zhang, Yangyu Guo, Marc Bescond, Jie Chen, Masahiro Nomura, et al.. Thermal self-synchronization of nano-objects. Journal of Applied Physics, 2021, 130 (8), pp.084301. $10.1063/5.0058252$. hal-03420118

HAL Id: hal-03420118 <https://hal.science/hal-03420118v1>

Submitted on 9 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354075860](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354075860_Thermal_self-synchronization_of_nano-objects?enrichId=rgreq-e20673a0092966d853cdcd206c4416df-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzM1NDA3NTg2MDtBUzoxMDYxOTUxOTEyMzA0NjQxQDE2MzAyMDA0NzQ2NDk%3D&el=1_x_2&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

[Thermal self-synchronization of nano-objects](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354075860_Thermal_self-synchronization_of_nano-objects?enrichId=rgreq-e20673a0092966d853cdcd206c4416df-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzM1NDA3NTg2MDtBUzoxMDYxOTUxOTEyMzA0NjQxQDE2MzAyMDA0NzQ2NDk%3D&el=1_x_3&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

Article in Journal of Applied Physics · August 2021 DOI: 10.1063/5.0058252

thermoelectric thermal transport [View project](https://www.researchgate.net/project/thermoelectric-thermal-transport?enrichId=rgreq-e20673a0092966d853cdcd206c4416df-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzM1NDA3NTg2MDtBUzoxMDYxOTUxOTEyMzA0NjQxQDE2MzAyMDA0NzQ2NDk%3D&el=1_x_9&_esc=publicationCoverPdf) Project

Nanowire Transistors [View project](https://www.researchgate.net/project/Nanowire-Transistors?enrichId=rgreq-e20673a0092966d853cdcd206c4416df-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzM1NDA3NTg2MDtBUzoxMDYxOTUxOTEyMzA0NjQxQDE2MzAyMDA0NzQ2NDk%3D&el=1_x_9&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

Project

Thermal self-synchronization of nano-objects

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. 130, 084301 (2021); [doi: 10.1063/5.0058252](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0058252) Submitted: 28 May 2021 · Accepted: 2 August 2021 · Published Online: 23 August 2021

Zhongwei Zhang,^{1,2,3,a)} (D Yangyu Guo,³ (D Marc Bescond,⁴ Jie Chen,^{1,2,b)} (D Masahiro Nomura,^{3,c)} (D and Sebastian Volz^{2,4,d)}

AFFILIATIONS

1 Center for Phononics and Thermal Energy Science, School of Physics Science and Engineering, Tongji University, 200092 Shanghai, People's Republic of China

2 China-EU Joint Lab for Nanophononics, Tongji University, 200092 Shanghai, People's Republic of China

3 Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8505, Japan

4 Laboratory for Integrated Micro and Mechatronic Systems, CNRS-IIS UMI 2820, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8505, Japan

a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: zhongwei@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

b)Electronic mail: jie@tongji.edu.cn

c)Electronic mail: nomura@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

d)Electronic mail: volz@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT ABSTRACT

Self-synchronization is a ubiquitous phenomenon in nature, in which oscillators are collectively locked in frequency and phase through mutual interactions. While self-synchronization requires the forced excitation of at least one of the oscillators, we demonstrate that this mechanism spontaneously appears due to activation from thermal fluctuations. By performing molecular dynamics simulations, we demonstrate self-synchronization in a platform supporting doped silicon resonator nanopillars having different eigenfrequencies. We find that pillar's vibrations are spontaneously converging to the same frequency and phase. In addition, the dependencies on the intrinsic frequency difference and the coupling strength agree well with the Kuramoto model predictions. More interestingly, we find that a balance between energy dissipation resulting from phonon–phonon scattering and potential energy between oscillators is reached to maintain synchronization. The balance could be suppressed by increasing the membrane size. While microscopic stochastic motions are known to follow random probability distributions, we finally prove that they can also yield coherent collective motions via self-synchronization.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0058252>

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-synchronization of a population of coupled oscillators is a common phenomenon in nature, as observed in a wide range of physical and biological systems.^{1–8} Through mutual interactions, oscillators are self-organized into a collective motion, in which all synchronized units are locked to a single frequency and phase.^{1,4,9,10} This mechanism was pioneered by Kuramoto, and his model has been serving as a reference in numerous situations.^{1,9,11} Self-synchronization in many fields has attracted continuous attention, for example, to achieve coherent operation of micromechanical oscillators in optomechanics^{4,6,12-14} and to understand the transient cellular differentiation in biological systems.^{2,15}

Usually, synchronization is understood as a stationary state sustained by external forces.^{1,8,16} Studies¹⁶⁻¹⁸ demonstrated that the rate of change of the entropy S for the system can be properly decomposed as

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = \Pi - \Phi,\tag{1}
$$

where Π is the entropy production and Φ refers to the entropy flux. Specifically, Π is related to the irreversible thermal dissipation inside the system, while Φ is always arising from external drivings. The stationary state of synchronization corresponds to the condition of $\Pi = Φ$, indicating that the entropy rate $dS/dt = 0^{7,8,16,19}$ For instance, Zhang et al ⁸ demonstrated that the stationary state of synchronization breaks the detailed balance by dissipation [i.e., related to Π in Eq. (1)], and thus, a continuous energy input [i.e., contributed by Φ in Eq. (1)] is needed to maintain it (i.e., $\Pi = \Phi$). Moreover, in a coupled optomechanical system, Lipson's group⁶

found that self-synchronization of micromechanical oscillators can be controlled by external optical driving action. Sheng *et al.*⁵ also observed the phase locking between self-organized synchronous optomechanical oscillators in phonon lasers when the input driving power reaches the required level. On the other hand, the works from Bonilla et al.,³ Zhang et al.,⁸ and Blekhman et al.¹⁹ showed that the driving action for self-synchronization can also originate from within the system. As demonstrated by Zhang et al , $\frac{8}{3}$ the energy cost for the synchronization of coupled molecular oscillators in an isolated system can be provided by the hydrolysis of the molecule. In other words, the stationary state of self-synchronization can be spontaneously achieved without external driving action. This selfsynchronization is believed to be key to spontaneous formation of rhythms in nature as well as in statistical physics.^{1,20,21}

Using direct simulation, we will consider an isolated system of coupled nano-objects where the sole source of vibrations can only be thermal fluctuations. These random fluctuations are expected to excite the eigenmodes of the nano-objects. However, under certain coupling conditions, self-synchronization should drive the random individual motions toward a collective uniform one. We finally aim at proving the existence of this particular state of synchronization where acoustic (coherent) and thermal (incoherent) vibrations (or phonons) cooperate. While microscopic stochastic motions are known to follow random probability distributions, we will prove that they can also spontaneously yield coherent collective behaviors. As demonstrated before, the coherence of thermal phonons plays a crucial impact on the thermal transport in bulk materials and phononic crystals.²² The self-synchronization is also expected to yield understanding and guidelines for the engineering of the coherence for thermal phonons.

In the following, the terms synchronization and selfsynchronization will be used indifferently to denominate the onset of a coherent motion of nano-objects without any external driving action. In contrast to usual self-synchronized systems, we highlight the absence of external driving action here. Synchronizations of frequency and phase are proven and investigated. The relevance of previous theoretical models is confirmed in the present frame of thermal phonon activated resonators. The effects of frequency difference, coupling strength, and temperature on synchronization are discussed. In addition, the generation of coherent vibrations after synchronization is also investigated through a wavelet transform approach. Proving self-synchronization establishes another framework for the understanding of the dynamics of coupled resonators and provides a new route for the generation of coherent thermal vibrations (phonons).

II. METHODOLOGY

To directly investigate the dynamics of coupled oscillators, we consider a silicon pillared membrane as shown in Fig. $1(a)$. In a unit, the dimensions of the membrane element are fixed to $2.18 \times 2.18 \times 1.09$ nm³, while the dimensions of the pillars are 1.09×1.09 nm² in x-y directions. Thus, the distance between neighbor pillars (center to center) is set to 2.18 nm. The dimensions of pillars and the membrane are shown in Fig. $1(a)$. Previous studies^{29,3} found that pillars on the surface of a membrane act as local resonators, and their predominant resonance frequency is expectedly decreasing with the pillar height.^{31,32} To achieve the frequency difference between the resonators, we thus consider two types of pillars with different heights, i.e., h_1 and h_2 , with corresponding frequencies $Ω₁$ and $Ω₂$. That is, we model two types of units with different pillar heights on the membrane. By setting a 16×16 supercell of intercalated units, a resonator system containing two intrinsic frequencies is obtained, while phases φ of pillars are initially randomized via MD simulations [see Fig. 1(b)]. Here, the intercalated layout corresponds to a distribution of two types of pillars along the x direction as 12121212 ..., where 1 refers to pillar-1 and 2 to pillar-2. Moreover, two frequency differences, $\delta \Omega = |\Omega_1 - \Omega_2|$, are studied by varying the pillar height, $\delta\Omega$ _I = 0.02 (with h_1 = 2.4 and h_2 = 3.3 nm) and $\delta\Omega_{II} = 0.04$ THz (with $h_1 = 2.4$ and $h_2 = 4.2$ nm). The resonance frequencies of the pillars are obtained from the vibrational density of states calculations as discussed in Sec. IV.

However, the relatively long distance between pillars yields negligible covalent bondings. To introduce a mean-field coupling between resonators, a long-range electrostatic force is added by doping the top of the pillars, as shown in Fig. $1(a)$. In simulations, the doping is modeled by adding electrons to atoms, and the doping ratio is defined as $n_e/n_{atom} \times 100\%$. Here, n_e is the number of electrons induced per pillar, and n_{atom} is the number of atoms per pillar. By varying the doping ratio, four systems are investigated, i.e., $\delta\Omega_I$ with doping ratio 0.2% (system I1) and 0.4% (system I2), and $\delta\Omega_{II}$ with doping ratio 0.2% (system II1) and 0.4% (system II2). Li et al.³³ found that the dopants in a confined nanostructure can be treated as localized charges, which corresponds to our doping model.

We use classical MD simulations to study the collective dynamics of nano-resonators. The covalent Si–Si interaction is modeled by the Tersoff potential. 34 The electrostatic interaction between dopants is modeled by the standard Coulombic formula with a cutoff distance of 25.0 Å, and longer-range interactions are simulated by the pppm k-space method. 35 Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the x and y directions. Compared to the strong covalent bonding, the long-range electrostatic interaction is several orders of magnitude smaller, which means that the intrinsic frequencies of the resonators are not altered by the electrostatic forces (see Appendix A). All MD simulations are performed by using the LAMMPS package³⁶ with a time step of 0.35 fs. First, the system is relaxed in the isothermal– isobaric (NPT) ensemble with $10⁵$ steps. Then, the simulation runs over 2×10^5 steps with constant temperature in the canonical (NVT) ensemble. During these two processes, the dynamics of thermal phonons is controlled by the Nosé-Hoover thermostat.³⁷ Then, the simulation is carried out within the microcanonical (NVE) ensemble. Note that the choice of the thermostat has a neglectable effect on the synchronization process (see Appendix B).

During the MD simulations, the pillared membranes show high stability with limited surface reconstruction as previously studied. $\frac{31,32,38}{2}$ Considering that coherence²⁴ and synchronization (see Sec. V) can be suppressed by the enhanced phonon–phonon scattering, we chose a relatively low temperature of 100 K in the study of the synchronization process. The temperature effect on synchronization is also discussed in Sec. V.

III. THEORY

Previously, synchronization dynamics of oscillators in optomechanics and other classical systems have been well understood by using theoretical models, in particular, the Kuramoto

FIG. 1. Schematic figure of the doped silicon resonator system. (a) Pillared silicon membrane with electron doping (pink atoms) on the top of pillars. Pillars behave as res-
onators activated by thermal phonons. The zoom of the pillars. (b) Schematic figure of the frequencies (Ω) and phase (φ) dynamics of resonators under mean-field coupling. The system consists of two types of resonators with frequencies Ω_1 and Ω_2 and random phases $\varphi_{i,i+1,...}$. The long arrows indicate the collective motion of all resonators in a synchronized system. The zoom-in figure shows the vibration of a pillar as a unit of the resonator.

model.1,8,9,12,13 The Kuramoto model provides a fundamental description of self-synchronization of coupled resonators. It describes a non-linearly coupled system of N oscillators with phases $φ_i$ and intrinsic frequency $Ω_i$. For a two resonator system, the dynamics of the phase difference $\delta\varphi$ is described according to⁹

$$
\delta \dot{\varphi} = \delta \Omega - 2K \sin(\delta \varphi), \tag{2}
$$

where $\delta\Omega = \Delta\Omega$ is the difference between two eigenfrequencies and K is the reduced coupling constant between resonators. Here, K is normalized by the mass M, and the resonance frequency Ω of each pillar 12 is as follows:

$$
K = \frac{\phi}{M\Omega},\tag{3}
$$

where ϕ refers to the harmonic force constant between oscillators. In this work, ϕ is obtained by fitting the potential energy surface between oscillators, 39 which is essentially generated by the electrostatic force. At frequency synchronization, one should find $\delta \dot{\varphi} = 0$ and $K = \frac{\delta\Omega}{2\sin(\delta\varphi)}$. Because $1/\sin(\delta\varphi) \ge 1$, a threshold of the coupling constant (K_c) for frequency synchronization can be defined by $1/\sin(\delta \varphi) = 1$. Therefore,

$$
K_c = \frac{\delta \Omega}{2}.
$$
 (4)

When the coupling constant K exceeds the threshold K_c , frequency synchronization appears.^{1,9} Moreover, the phase synchronization happens when the phase lag $\delta\varphi \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $K > K_c$, indicating that the coupling constant K for phase synchronization should be larger than the threshold. Accordingly, the condition for phase

J. Appl. Phys. 130, 084301 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0058252 130, 084301-3 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing

synchronization appears to be stricter than the one for frequency synchronization, which has been justified before for optomechanical systems.^{1,4,12} Some revisions to the Kuramoto model are further proposed^{12,12,40} as the dynamics of the frequency and phase become more complex. The Kuramoto model is widely verified in mechanical^{1,12,13} and biological^{7,8} systems, and its applicability to systems solely activated by thermal fluctuations remains to be discussed.

IV. RESULTS

A. Self-synchronization in the frequency A. Self-synchronization in the frequency

The frequency information of the resonators is obtained from the vibrational density of states $(vDOS)$,

$$
vDOS(\omega) = \frac{1}{n_a} \sum_{i,\alpha} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v_{i,\alpha}(t) e^{i\omega t} dt \right|^2.
$$
 (5)

 $v_{i\alpha}(t)$ refers to the atomic velocity of the *i*th atom along the α direction at time t, and n_a is the number of atoms in the summation. The integration corresponds to the time interval from t_1 to t_2 over which the spectral information is averaged. According to our further calculations in Appendix C, the vDOS from atomic displacements can also provide the same information on resonance for pillars. Furthermore, as averaging over the atoms inside each pillar, which is implemented in the phase analysis in Subsection IV B, the same resonant frequency can still be identified due to the predominance of resonant vibrations in pillars.

All the system atoms are involved in the sum, and the obtained *vDOS* will be associated with the time $t_0=(t_1+t_2)/2$. t_1 and t_2 are defined as the limits of the time interval 50 ps in duration and centered on the time variable t_0 . In our simulations, $t_0 = 0$ refers to the time switching from NVT to NVE ensembles. The calculated *vDOS* is shown in Figs. $2(b)-2(e)$, in which the peaks correspond to the resonance frequencies of different types of pillars. The intrinsic frequency difference (δΩ) in Sec. II is also obtained from the vDOS spectrum but in the undoped systems. Then, the synchronization degree in frequency can be defined as

$$
r_{\omega} = 1 - \frac{\Delta\Omega}{\bar{\Omega}},\tag{6}
$$

where $\Delta\Omega$ is the frequency difference defined by subtracting the peak positions of the time-dependent vDOS in Figs. $2(b)-2(e)$ and Ω denotes the averaged frequency. $r_{\omega} = 1$ means that the system is fully synchronized in frequency, while in the asynchronous or partially synchronous state, r_{ω} remains always smaller than unity [see Fig. 2(a)].

The calculated $vDOS$ in the NVT ensemble in Fig. 2(b) reveals that the pillars have different resonance frequencies, indicating the asynchronous state when r_{ω} < 1 [see Fig. 2(a)]. Due to the weak long-range electrostatic force and the asynchronous dynamics between pillars, our calculations further indicate that the pillars in the NVT ensemble have the same resonance frequencies as the ones of the undoped systems. When switching from NVT to NVE ensembles $(t_0 \geq 0)$, the dynamics of the coupled resonators becomes unconstrained. The self-synchronization in frequency

FIG. 2. (a) Synchronization degree in frequency (r_{ω}) vs evolution time for four systems, i.e., 11, 12, 111, and 112. (b)-(e) Vibrational density of states (vDOS) of the membrane and resonator-I and resonator-II for the I1 system at different times. Times are also referenced as black circles in Fig. $2(a)$. The calculations are carried out at 100 K.

rapidly emerges. As shown in Fig. 2(a), synchronization degree r_{ω} is increasing with the evolution time. For the I1 system, the pillars are quickly synchronized to the same frequency (ω_s) , which is manifested by the degenerated peaks in the νDOS spectrum [see Figs. $2(c)-2(e)$]. Because of the negligible effect of electrostatic interactions on the intrinsic vibration properties (see Appendix A), we can conclude that the observed frequency change and the degeneration of vibrational properties for different resonators are originating from the effect of self-synchronization activated by thermal fluctuations. Note that the synchronization of pillars also introduces the vibration of the membrane at the synchronization frequency ω_s , as revealed by the increased amplitude of the membrane νDOS in Fig. 2(e).

Moreover, the effect of the intrinsic frequency difference and of the coupling strength between resonators on the frequency selfsynchronization reveals consistency with theoretical predictions. The Kuramoto model in Eq. (2) indicates that self-synchronization in frequency can be enhanced by decreasing frequency difference or increasing the coupling strength. Correspondingly, the simulation results in Fig. 2(a) show that the system with a small frequency difference ($\delta\Omega_I$) or with a high doping ratio (0.4%) are more easily synchronized.

We also quantitatively explain the comparison between Eq. (2) and results in Fig. $2(a)$. The threshold of the coupling constant (K_c) in Eq. (3) can correspond to a threshold criterion for the harmonic force constant (ϕ_c) in our pillar systems from Eq. (4). ϕ_c can be defined as

$$
\phi_c = \frac{M \delta \Omega \bar{\Omega}}{2},\tag{7}
$$

where $\bar{\Omega}$ is the averaged intrinsic frequency; i.e., $\bar{\Omega} = (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)/2$. For the $\delta\Omega_1$ and $\delta\Omega_2$ systems, we, respectively, obtain the threshold ϕ_c as 3.67 \times 10⁻³ and 7.34 \times 10⁻³ eV/Å². As the coupling constant exceeds the threshold value, the resonators begin to synchronize. The real coupling constant between pillars is calculated as 1:32 $\times 10^{-2}$ eV/ \AA^2 for the 0.2% doped systems and 8.79 \times 10⁻² eV/ \AA^2 for the 0.4% doped systems. Therefore, as we observed in Fig. $2(a)$, the systems with 0.4% doping are fully synchronized due to significantly larger coupling constants than the threshold one, while the $\delta\Omega_2$ systems with 0.2% doping, i.e., the II1 system, are partially synchronized because of the relatively larger threshold and the lower coupling constant between pillars. The quantitative discussion above indicates relevant predictions from the Kuramoto model.

In addition, we find that the I1, I2, and II2 systems are fully synchronized at the frequencies ω_s of 0.152, 0.167, and 0.195 THz. In other words, the synchronization frequency is correlated with the system parameters: a larger averaged frequency (from the I2 to the II2 system) or a higher coupling constant between resonators (from the I1 to the I2 system) has a higher synchronization frequency.

\mathbb{R} set \mathbb{S} sympathetic in the phase

On the other hand, resonators can also be synchronized in phase. The synchronization degree in phase (r_p) reads¹

$$
r_p e^{i\Theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i e^{i\varphi_i},\tag{8}
$$

where Θ denotes the phase average. The $e^{i\varphi_i}$ term is calculated as the normalized displacement of the ith resonator in the MD simulations. The displacement is the difference between the pillar position and the equilibrium one; i.e., $\mathbf{x}_i(t) = \langle \mathbf{x}_{ij}(t) \rangle_i - \langle \mathbf{x}_{ij}(t) \rangle_{j,t}$. Here, $\mathbf{x}_{ij}(t)$ is the position of the jth atom in the *i*th pillar at time t. That is, $\langle \mathbf{x}_{ij}(t) \rangle_i$ is the time-dependent position of the *i*th pillar as averaged over the atoms (j) in each pillar, and $\langle \mathbf{x}_{ij}(t) \rangle_{j,t}$ is the mean position of the *i*th pillar as averaged over the atoms (j) and the simulation time (t) . Then, the displacement is normalized by the time averaged amplitude of the displacement. Before synchronization, the resonators in the NVT ensemble exhibit uncorrelated dynamics [see Fig. 3(b)], as $r_p \approx 0.0$.

Under the free condition of the NVE ensemble, the transient process of phase synchronization is investigated in Fig. 3. Compared to the rapid and monotonous evolution of the frequency synchronization, the resonators are gradually phase synchronized and synchronization degree reaches its highest point around 300 ps with $r_p \approx 0.9$ in the case of the I2 system. The displacement dynamics of the two types of resonators agree well [see Fig. $3(c)$], exhibiting an excellent collective synchronized state. However, after 300 ps r_p is decreasing with time and in the last stage, r_p remains at a stationary state after 500 ps, indicating only partial phase synchronization [Fig. 3(d)].

We find that the phase synchronization of the pillar resonators also depends on the frequency difference between resonators and on the coupling strength due to doping in a similar way than for frequency synchronization. If we reduce the frequency difference and improve the coupling strength, the phase synchronization can be enhanced. Moreover, compared to the synchronization in frequency, the phase synchronization is more difficult to achieve, especially the fully synchronized state, which agrees well with the prediction of the Kuramoto model as discussed in Sec. III. Obviously, the phase synchronization process requires a deeper insight more specifically regarding its dynamics.

V. DISCUSSION
A. Entropy change

A. Entropy change

When phases synchronize, even partially, resonators vibrate in an ordered or coherent state. Thus, self-synchronization transition, including partial phase synchronization as we discussed in Sec. IV, can spontaneously lead resonators from a disordered state to an ordered one. Apparently, this transition to a coherent motion is related to the variation of the state number and also of the entropy. To provide an insight into the detailed mechanisms occurring during self-synchronization, the entropy is calculated from the MD simulations $41,42$ as

$$
S = \frac{k_B}{2} \ln det \left(\frac{k_B T e^2}{\hbar^2} M \sigma + 1 \right), \tag{9}
$$

where k_B refers to the Boltzmann constant, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, e is Euler's number, and T corresponds to the temperature. M and 1 are the mass matrix and the unity matrix, respectively. σ is the covariance matrix of the coordinate fluctuations, with $\sigma_{ij} = \langle (x_i - \langle x_i \rangle)(x_j - \langle x_j \rangle) \rangle$. The calculated entropy for the whole system and the resonator part for the I1 system are shown in

FIG. 3. (a) Synchronization degree in the phase (r_p) vs evolution time for four systems, i.e., 11, 12, 111, and II2. (b)–(d) Averaged displacement of two neighboring resonators vs evolution time for the I1 system. Times are also referred to as black circles in Fig. 3(a). The calculations are carried out at 100 K.

Fig. $4(a)$. Before 300 ps, the entropy is continuously increasing, which corresponds to the synchronization process in Fig. $3(a)$, and resonators' contribution predominates the entropy change. Therefore, the process of self-synchronization activated by thermal vibrations indeed follows the second law of thermodynamics.

After 300 ps, the entropy of the resonators and the whole system reaches a stationary-like state with small fluctuations but still in agreement with the second law of thermodynamics. Compared to the one of the resonator, the intensive fluctuation of the entropy change of the whole system should result from the larger number of atoms and also the fluctuation of energy at the synchronization state in the membrane. From Eq. (1) , we know that the entropy change due to the irreversible processes inside the system (Π) should be equal to a driving power (Φ) that can only emanate from within the system itself. It should be noted that for externally driven systems, Φ in Eq. (1) is the entropy flow from the exact external driving. It cannot, however, be explicitly defined in our system since the driving from thermal fluctuations Φ occurs inside the system. Therefore, the use of Φ is an analog to the preconditions for synchronization in the previous studies.^{16–16}

B. Energy conversion

To further investigate the transformation during selfsynchronization, we analyze the energy conversion in different regions of the system. Considering the possible strain in the neck region between pillars and the membrane, we divide the system into three regions, i.e., neck, resonator, and membrane, as shown in the inset figure of Fig. $4(b)$. The potential energy and the kinetic energy are calculated, respectively, by summing atomic energies.

Figure 4(b) shows that there is an obvious energy conversion between potential and kinetic energies, especially in the pillars. At the initial state ($t_0 = 0$), pillars vibrate in an asynchronous beat, in which the large relative displacement between them results in high amplitudes in the time-dependent potential energy. Before 300 ps, the relative displacement between pillars is spontaneously decreasing to minimize potential energy [see Fig. $4(b)$]. As the relative displacement reduces, pillars are vibrating in phase 43 (see the video in the [supple](https://www.scitation.org/doi/suppl/10.1063/5.0058252)[mentary material](https://www.scitation.org/doi/suppl/10.1063/5.0058252)), and potential energy inside resonators and neck is converted into kinetic energy. As highlighted in Eq. (9), entropy, in turn, is simultaneously increasing with kinetic energy and temperature. The variation of the relative displacement between pillars with synchronization is further analyzed in the subsequent discussion.

The energy transfer between pillars and the membrane slightly increases both potential and kinetic energies in the membrane [see Fig. 4(b)]. The longer timescale simulation in Appendix D shows that the energies in the membrane would be rapidly converged and have high stability, which is well consistent with the stable synchronization state in Figs. 2 and 3. Moreover, the membrane size effect on the above energy conversion is also discussed in Appendix D. The increase in the membrane size suppresses the conversion between potential and kinetic energies inside the pillars, which might result in the weakened synchronization degree. Remarkably, our additional simulation demonstrates that the membrane motion appears as a necessary mechanism to achieve synchronization, as the absence of synchronization was indeed observed when the in-plane degrees of freedom were removed in the membrane, which corresponds to the much larger or infinite membrane. However, because of the constrained connection between pillars and the membrane, i.e., the neck part, the energies of the membrane show weak dependence on the membrane thickness (see Appendix D),

FIG. 4. Entropy change and energy conversion during self-synchronization. (a) Entropy change of the whole system and of the resonators vs evolution time. (b) Kinetic energy and potential energy of neck, resonator, and membrane regions and total energy vs evolution time of self-synchronization. The inset figure shows the definition of the neck, resonator, and membrane regions. The I1 system is studied, and its temperature is set to 100 K in both (a) and (b).

indicating that the membrane does not directly involve the energy conversion.

Further analysis should be implemented to understand the partial desynchronization after 300 ps. Considering the resonant nature of synchronization, a frequency decomposition is proposed. We hence define the mode temperature⁴⁴ of the resonators for different frequency intervals,

$$
\tilde{T}(t) = \frac{1}{k_B m n_a n_\omega} \sum_i \left| \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} v_{i,\alpha}(t) e^{i\omega t} d\omega \right|^2, \tag{10}
$$

where *m* corresponds to the mass of a silicon atom and n_{ω} is the number of terms in the discrete summation. To study the mode dependent information, three integration intervals limited by ω_1 and ω_2 are taken into account: (I) $\omega_1 = 0$; $\omega_2 = \omega_s - \Delta \omega$, (II) $\omega_1 = \omega_s - \Delta \omega$; $\omega_2 = \omega_s + \Delta \omega$, and (III) $\omega_1 = \omega_s + \Delta \omega$; $\omega_2 = \infty$.

FIG. 5. Mode temperature evolution during self-synchronization of resonators of frequency regions (I) $\omega < \omega_s - \Delta \omega$, (II) $\omega_s - \Delta \omega < \omega < \omega_s + \Delta \omega$, and (III) $\omega_s + \Delta \omega < \omega$ in the resonators vs evolution time for the I1 system. The inset figure shows the mode temperature evolution in the membrane. The calculations are carried out at 100 K.

 $\Delta\omega$ is the frequency broadening of the peak at ω_s . For the I1 system, ω_s and $\Delta\omega$ are, respectively, fixed at 0.152 and 0.014 THz.

The mode temperature values are the sums over phonon modes with frequencies included in each interval. The mode temperatures are reported in Fig. 5. During self-synchronization before 300 ps, the potential energy is mainly converted into thermal energy around the frequency ω_s . In other words, the increased kinetic energy between resonators is mostly reflected in the increase of the mode energy at ω_s , which should originate from the amplification of phonon population for this mode. This indicates that synchronization results from the activation of thermal vibrations with frequencies near the pillar resonance. Strikingly, the amplitude of the thermal energy at frequency ω_s appears to be proportional to the phase synchronization degree.

Furthermore, Fig. 5 shows that in the vicinity of 300 ps, the thermal energy at frequency ω_s transfers to other modes inside the pillars. We presume that the energy transfer from the resonance frequency to other modes is mediated via phonon–phonon scattering, for instance, via annihilation processes such as $\omega_s \rightarrow \omega' + \omega''$.^{45,46} As scattering events accumulate, pillars follow a de-synchronization process in the 300-500 ps interval as reported in Fig. 5. Accordingly, phonon–phonon scattering resistively contributes to synchronization and is at the source of the entropy production Π in Eq. (1). This dissipation is analogous to the energy cost of synchronization in biological systems.8 It should be noted that the resonant vibrations in pillars are highly localized. In consequence, the energy transfer to the membrane occurs at a low rate as shown in the inset of Fig. 5.

Equation (1) implies that self-synchronization demands continuous external driving to offset the dissipative role of phonon– phonon scattering. Figure 5 corroborates that the corresponding dissipated energy is converted into the thermal energy of other modes. The consequent de-synchronization process is expected to

increase again the distance and the potential energy between pillars, which leads to another potential energy minimization phase similar to the initial one. The previously described sequence is forming a cycle, and finally, an energy balance is established between the phonon–phonon scattering resulted dissipative energy and the potential energy, respectively, corresponding to the contributions of Π and Φ in Eq. (1). A macroscopic equilibrium state can be found after \sim 500 ps, in which the phase synchronization degree (Fig. 3) and the mode energy ($\sim \tilde{T}$) at ω_s are converged. The schematic of Fig. 6 illustrates the above-mentioned process involving the interplay between kinetic (E_k) and potential (E_p) energies.

We can further understand the above balance from the Kuramoto model. Henrich et al.^{12,40} proposed a Kuramoto-type model to consider the damping/energy dissipation effect. The simplified form reads

$$
\delta\dot{\varphi} = -\delta\Omega - [C\cos(\delta\varphi) + K\sin(2\delta\varphi)].
$$
 (11)

The coupling constants between two resonators can be given by $C = (\xi_{12} - \xi_{21})/2$, $K = (\xi_{12} + \xi_{21})^2/8\gamma$. $\xi_{ij} = \phi_{ij} \bar{A}_j / M_i \Omega_i \bar{A}_i$, where \bar{A}_i is the averaged amplitude of the *i*th resonator. The term $\phi_{ij} \bar{A}_j$ refers to the external coupling force between the mechanical resonators as discussed in Refs. 12 and 40. Alternatively, the coupling force between pillars in our system should be defined as $\phi \Delta x$, where Δx is the relative displacement between pillars and $\phi \equiv \phi_{ii} \equiv \phi_{ii}$ under the mean-field coupling. Δx can be calculated from molecular dynamics simulations as a result of thermal fluctuations by averaging the atomic relative displacement between nearest neighbor pillars. γ refers to the dissipation rate that is

FIG. 6. Schematic of the equilibrium (constant entropy) state of selfsynchronization in the resonators' kinetic energy (E_k) –potential energy (E_p) plane. The process between state 1 and state 2 corresponds to the minimization of the potential energy correlated to the reduction of the distance between pillars and to synchronization. The process between state 2 and state 3 is generated by the scattering of the resonant mode with other phonons. This dissipative phase increases kinetic energy but also potential energy via de-synchronization and the augmentation of inter-pillar distances. The amplification of potential energy feeds the synchronization process via its minimization (process between state 3 and state 2).

introduced by the phonon–phonon scattering in our system. By fitting the spectral energy density of the synchronization mode of the pillars with the Lorentzian function $\Psi / [(\omega - \omega_s)^2 + \gamma^2]$, we can obtain γ ; here, Ψ is the energy amplitude. As we introduced in Sec. II, the resonance mode, referring to the synchronization mode in a synchronized system, dominates the vibrations in pillars; thus, the fitting is only applied to the synchronization mode to manifest the damping in pillar resonators. The theory and calculation details about this fitting are well demonstrated in Ref. 47. As discussed by Henrich et al., $12,40$ the constant C in Eq. (11) can be neglected due to the weak coupling strength $(C \approx \phi \delta\Omega/2M\bar{\Omega}^2 \ll \delta\Omega)$.

Now, if we consider the frequency synchronization for which $\delta\dot{\varphi} = 0$, we find that the critical coupling constant (ϕ_c) is yielded as

$$
\phi_c = \frac{M\bar{\Omega}\bar{A}\sqrt{2\delta\Omega\gamma}}{\Delta x}.
$$
\n(12)

Here, to simplify the formula, we consider the averaged mass M, the averaged frequency $\bar{\Omega}$, and the averaged amplitude \bar{A} over different pillars. Compared to the ϕ_c appearing in the deterministic Kuramoto model described in Sec. III, Eq. (12) can simultaneously involve the effects of thermal fluctuation (Δx) and energy dissipation (γ). If $\phi_c \ll \phi_{\text{real}}$, where ϕ_{real} is the real harmonic coupling constant from the electrostatic field, the system can be easily synchronized. While, when $\phi_c \approx \phi_{\text{real}}$, the system can be fully synchronized in frequency, but this condition might not be valid for phase synchronization. As we discussed in Sec. III, the phase synchronization is more difficult to achieve as compared to the frequency one.

The calculated relative displacement Δx and the dissipation rate γ for the I1 system are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). Those two quantities are time-dependent during the synchronization process, which further leads to a dynamical critical coupling constant in Fig. $7(c)$ according to Eq. (12) . At the beginning, the random vibrations of the pillars result in a large Δx and correspondingly in a small ϕ_c . Thus, the system can be rapidly synchronized due to the fulfilled condition $\phi_c \ll \phi_{real}$ [see Fig. 7(c)]. For the I1 system, $\phi_{real} = 1.32 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV/A}^2$ that is larger than ϕ_c . This result agrees well with the rapid synchronization of frequency and phase before 300 ps in Figs. $2(a)$ and $3(a)$.

On the other hand, during this synchronizing process, Δx is decreasing and the potential energy is converted into kinetic energy, which eventually enhances the dissipation (γ) [see Fig. 7(b)]. As a result, those two effects make ϕ_c increase and converge to the real coupling constant ϕ_{real} . Finally, this convergence is observed after 500 ps in Fig. $7(c)$. In some degree, this trend results in the phase synchronization process in Fig. 3(a). In addition, the convergence trend indicates that the two independent effects are related to Δx and γ in Eq. (12), accordingly thermal fluctuation and thermal dissipation, and lead to a balance. In the end, the condition $\phi_c \approx \phi_{real}$ means that the system can still be fully synchronized in frequency [see Fig. $2(a)$], while the phase synchronization can only be partial in the equilibrium state [see Fig. $3(a)$]. Note that although the coupling and damping are correlated in realistic systems, the validation of their separate description in the Kuramoto model has been verified in the above discussion and also other phonon systems.¹

Moreover, the doping ratio also allows us to control the potential energy between pillars by tuning the coupling constant k

FIG. 7. The dynamics of the critical coupling constant. (a) The relative displacement Δx between pillars and (b) the dissipation rate γ at synchronization frequency vs evolution time. (c) The time-dependent critical coupling constant ϕ_c based on Eq. (12) with $\bar{A}=0.042$ Å. The calculations are done for the I1 system at 100 K. The dashed line in (c) is the real harmonic coupling constant ϕ_{real} between pillars.

appearing in the potential energy expression $\frac{1}{2}k\Delta x^2$, where Δx is the relative displacement between pillars. Obviously, doping can improve the balance between phonon–phonon scattering and potential energy by elevating the interaction and potential energy between pillars. As shown in Fig. $3(a)$, the final self-synchronization degree in phase is significantly enhanced as the doping ratio increases from 0.2% to 0.4%.

C. Temperature effect on self-synchronization

The temperature should have a significant effect on selfsynchronization by affecting the stationary state. As the temperature increases, a competition is raised, where the temperature enhances the phonon–phonon scattering for de-synchronization but also elevates potential energy by increasing the amplitude of the displacements Δx . Accordingly, at low temperatures with weak phonon– phonon scattering, the increase of the temperature should promote the synchronization by increasing the potential energy via thermal fluctuations (see Fig. 8), as manifested by the enhanced r_{ω} and r_{p} in Fig. 6. However, as the temperature continuously increases above 50 K, phonon–phonon scattering becomes significant and suppresses phase synchronization degree r_p . In addition, the $k_B T$ reduced *vDOS* at synchronization frequency ω_s is used to study the dynamics of synchronization, which exhibits the same trend than phase synchronization r_p . Figure 8 also evidences that a high synchronization degree in frequency is clearly much easier to achieve and more stable with temperature than a high r_p .

D. The generation of coherent thermal phonons

Even in the partial synchronization state, resonators should be collectively locked in frequency and phase, in some degree, exhibiting

a coherent state. As thermal excitations become coherent in phase in a monochromatic mode, they behave as coherent (i.e., wavelike) thermal phonons. Recent works found that coherent thermal phonons play an important role in the thermal transport of phononic crystals and complex crystals.22–²⁸ The effect of self-synchronization

FIG. 8. Temperature effect on the synchronization of resonators. The $k_B T$ reduced vDOS at synchronization frequency ω_s (left-axis) and synchronization degree in frequency (r_{ω}) and phase (r_p) (right-axis) as a function of temperature for the I1 system. The temperature effect is simulated in a stationary state in the NVE ensemble.

on the generation of coherent thermal phonons is studied in this section. Considering that phonons with different wavevectors and frequencies are thermally activated in MD simulations, the normal mode decomposition is applied to extract the dynamic modal information $[F(t)]$ for a single mode. Here, the resonance mode at the Gamma point is studied (see the details of normal mode decomposition calculation in Appendix E). Previous studies $48-50$ demonstrated that the temporal coherence of thermal phonons can be analyzed by a wavelet transform approach as follows:

$$
\Lambda(\omega_s, t_0, \tau_s^c) = \int \psi_{\omega_s, t_0, \tau_s^c}(t) F(t) dt,
$$
\n(13)

where $\psi_{\omega_s,t_0,\tau_s}(t)$ is the wavelet basis. The temporal coherence of thermal phonons can be defined on the basis

$$
\psi_{\omega_s, t_0, \Delta_s}(t) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_s^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{[i\omega_s(t-t_0)]} e^{\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\Delta_s}\right)^2\right]}, \tag{14}
$$

where ω_s is the angular frequency of the resonance/synchronization mode and Δ_s defines the wavepacket duration. t corresponds to the

FIG. 9. Generation of coherent thermal phonons. Evolution time and coherence time-dependent phonon number density for the thermal phonons in (a) the asynchronous state and (b) the synchronous state. The calculation for the asynchronous state is performed in the $δΩ_l$ system without the long-range electrostatic coupling (intrinsically asynchronous). In contrast, the calculation for the synchronous state is performed in the I1 system, as the system has reached a stable synchronous state after 600 ps. We have set this time point as the beginning of the evolution time in (b). The circles highlighting clouds indicate the zones where coherent thermal phonons emerge. The calculations are carried out at 100 K.

time variable and t_0 to the position of the highest amplitude in the wavepacket and also corresponds to the time evolution in the wavelet space. Inside the wavepacket, plane waves are in phase, and the Δ_s term in Eq. (14) is thus a measure of the temporal coherence of thermal phonons. Here, we define the wavepacket full-width at halfmaximum (FWHM) as the coherence time $\tau_s^2 = 2\sqrt{2\ln 2}\Delta_s$. At the frequency ω_s , the time-dependent phonon number of a given coherence time, here called the phonon number density, $N(t_0, \tau_s^c)$ can be calculated as $N(t_0, \tau_s^c) = \frac{1}{2} m \left| \Lambda(\omega_s, t_0, \tau_s^c) \right|^2 / \hbar \omega_s$.

Figure 9 shows the calculated evolution time and the coherence time-dependent phonon number density $N(t_0, \tau_s^c)$ of the I1 system. Compared to the phonon number density of a synchronous state, we find that thermal phonons in the asynchronous system are mostly distributed in the short coherence time regions. In addition, the clouds of the phonon number density show rapid phonon creation and annihilation evolutions, i.e., a short lifetime. After synchronizing, thermal phonons exhibit a different coherence behavior in Fig. 9(b). The coherence time-dependent mode occupation number $N\big(t_0,\,\tau_{s}^{c}\big)$ is extended both along evolution time and coherence time. Obviously, the emergence of phonon "clouds" indicates the generation of new thermal phonons with long temporal coherence through the self-synchronization process. Moreover, thermal phonons with a long coherence time also exhibit a longer lifetime.

Note that in the synchronization state, i.e., in a strong nonlinear system, the application of the Green–Kubo formalism becomes problematic, for instance, in the calculation of the thermal conductivity based on the Green–Kubo approach. Nevertheless, the Green–Kubo approach is viable when the electrostatic force is much reduced or canceled, bringing the system back to a linear state. 38

VI. CONCLUSIONS

By performing MD simulations, we have demonstrated selfsynchronization of a collection of pillar resonators due to activation by thermal fluctuations. We find that pillars are spontaneously selfsynchronized in both frequency and phase (partially). Phonon dynamics is analyzed based on the evolution of frequency and phase synchronization. In addition, the results show, in comparison to synchronization in frequency, that the phase synchronization is harder to be achieved and to stabilize, which agrees well with the predictions of the Kuramoto model. The synchronization degree in phase is sensitive to the intrinsic frequency difference and the coupling strength between oscillators. Small frequency differences and strong coupling would enhance the synchronization. More interestingly, we find that there is a competing balance between energy dissipation resulting from phonon–phonon scattering and potential energy between resonators to maintain the stationary state of partial phase synchronization. Phonon–phonon scattering destroys the synchronized state but increases potential energy, while potential energy reversely feeds synchronization. This mechanism is further verified through the study of coupling strength and temperature effect.

In bulk materials, thermal phonons can also be coupled through covalent bonding or long-range interactions. We hence expect self-synchronization to also exist in phonon baths. In some extent, sufficient phonon–phonon scattering would suppress the synchronization degree and also its stability. On the other hand, coherent thermal phonons play an important role in thermal

transport. Therefore, self-synchronization could be a promising approach for tuning coherent thermal phonons and also thermal conductivity. Eventually, we claim that the self-synchronization of thermal phonons is a new viewpoint for the generation of thermal phonons with long coherence times and lifetimes. Our findings are likely to advance the understanding of phonons and nano-objects dynamics from an unexpected perspective.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the video in the [supplementary material](https://www.scitation.org/doi/suppl/10.1063/5.0058252) that records the time-dependent atomic vibrations from the MD simulation. The video shows that at the beginning, the vibrations of pillars are highly out-of phase, i.e., incoherent, with a large relative displacement between pillars. During the synchronization process, i.e., after switched to an NVE ensemble, the relative displacement is reduced and pillars are vibrating in phase, indicating the appearance of a synchronization state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was supported in part by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) (Grant Nos. 12075168 and 11890703) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 19ZR1478600). This work was partially supported by CREST Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST) (No. JPMJCR19Q3). Z.Z. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the China Scholarship Council.

APPENDIX A: PHONON DISPERSION

The phonon dispersion from harmonic approximation in Fig. 10 shows that the electrostatic interaction in the doped system has negligible effects on the intrinsic frequencies of the resonators.

FIG. 10. Phonon dispersion from the harmonic approximation (0 K) of the I1 and undoped systems.

Thus, the change of the resonance frequency in the synchronization state should be related to the thermal fluctuations.

APPENDIX B: THERMOSTAT EFFECT

Figure 11 shows that the thermostat has negligible effects on both the frequency and phase synchronization process and also the final synchronization degrees. This indicates that under the NVT

FIG. 11. (a) Frequency synchronization degree and (b) phase synchronization degree vs evolution time for the I1 system at 100 K under different initial thermostats.

FIG. 12. The calculations of vibrational density of states from different quantities. The simulations are performed for the system with a pillar height of 1.09 nm at 100 K.

ensemble, the thermal vibrations of the system are fully desynchronized/incoherent, and the synchronization is spontaneously starting in the NVE ensemble (after 0 ps in Fig. 11).

APPENDIX C: VIBRATIONAL DENSITY OF STATES

Figure 12 indicates that the calculated vDOS, respectively, from the atomic velocity or atomic displacement shows the same vibrational information at the resonance frequency. In addition, due to the dominance of resonant vibrations in pillars, as averaged over different atoms in each pillar, the vDOS can also provide the same resonance frequency from velocity and displacement.

APPENDIX D: TIMESCALE AND MEMBRANE SIZE FFFFCTS

Figure 13 shows that the energy conversion is rapidly converged. The high stability of the energy conversion is consistent

FIG. 13. Longer timescale simulation for the results in Fig. 4.

FIG. 14. Membrane size effect on energy conversion. The membrane thickness is fixed to 1.09, 2.18, and 3.27 nm.

with the stable state of synchronization in Figs. 2 and 3. Note that the energy change of the membrane is constrained by the limited size of connection, i.e., the neck part, between pillars and the membrane.

The membrane size effect on energy conversion is further studied in Fig. 14. Indeed, the energy conversion inside pillars is suppressed by increasing the membrane size, which could further reduce the synchronization between pillars. However, the energy exchange between pillars and the membrane is still limited, and weak size dependence is displayed due to the fixed size of the neck part and the intensively confined resonant vibration of pillars.

APPENDIX E: NORMAL MODE DECOMPOSITION

In the realistic system or our MD simulations, the phonons are thermally activated and statistically distributed by involving different wavevectors and frequencies. As demonstrated before, 47 the normal mode decomposition method can be adopted to extract the modal information for a single mode from the complex system. Here, the used phonon modal velocity yields

$$
F(t) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{b,l}^{a} \left[\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{bl}(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{b}^{*}(\mathbf{k}, s) \times exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0l}) \right],
$$
 (E1)

where $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{bl}(t)$ is the velocity of the *b*th atom in the *l*th unit cell at time *t*, *a* is the number of cells, $\mathbf{e}^*(\mathbf{k}, s)$ is the complex conjugate of the eigenvector of mode ks, and \mathbf{R}_{0l} is the equilibrium position of the lth unit cell. In this work, as we are focusing on the synchronization of resonators/pillars, the eigenvector $\mathbf{e}^*(\mathbf{k}, s)$ of the resonance frequency at Gamma is studied.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- ----- ------ ---
¹J. A. Acebrón, L. L. Bonilla, C. J. Pérez Vicente, F. Ritort, and R. Spigler, <mark>[Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.137)</mark> [Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.137) 77, 137 (2005).
- ²J. D. Touboul, C. Piette, L. Venance, and G. B. Ermentrout, *[Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.011073)* 10, 011073 (2020).
- $\frac{3}{2}$ L. L. Bonilla, J. M. Casado, and M. Morillo, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01019689) 48, 571 (1987).
- ⁴M. F. Colombano, G. Arregui, N. E. Capuj, A. Pitanti, J. Maire, A. Griol, B. Garrido, A. Martinez, C. M. Sotomayor-Torres, and D. Navarro-Urrios, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.017402) [Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.017402) 123, 017402 (2019).
- ⁵J. Sheng, X. Wei, C. Yang, and H. Wu, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.053604)* **124**, 053604 (2020).
- M. Zhang, G. S. Wiederhecker, S. Manipatruni, A. Barnard, P. McEuen, and M. Lipson, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.233906)* 109, 233906 (2012).
- ⁷G. Lan, P. Sartori, S. Neumann, V. Sourjik, and Y. Tu, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2276) 8, 422 (2012). B D. Zhang, Y. Cao, Q. Ouyang, and Y. Tu, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0701-7) 16, 95 (2019).
- ⁹Y. Kuramoto, in International Symposium on Mathematical Problems in
- Theoretical Physics, edited by H. Araki (Springer, Berlin), pp. 420–422.
¹⁰I. Hermoso de Mendoza, L. A. Pachon, J. Gomez-Gardenes, and D. Zueco,
-
-
- [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.052904) **90**, 052904 (2014). 11_{Y.} Kuramoto and I. Nishikawa, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01009349) 49, 569 (1987). 12_G. Heinrich, M. Ludwig, J. Qian, B. Kubala, and F. Marquardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.043603) 107, 043603 (2011).
¹³M. Zhang, S. Shah, J. Cardenas, and M. Lipson, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.163902)* 115, 163902
-
-
- (2015).
¹⁴M. Ludwig and F. Marquardt, <u>Phys. Rev. Lett</u>. 111, 073603 (2013).
¹⁵G. M. Süel, J. Garcia-Ojalvo, L. M. Liberman, and M. B. Elowitz, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04588) **440**, 545 (2006).
 ¹⁶T. Tome and M. J. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. E* 82, 021120 (2010).
-
-
-
- ¹⁷I. Prigogine and P. V. Rysselberghe, [J. Electrochem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2425756) 110, 97C (1963).
¹⁸T. Tome and M. J. de Oliveira, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.020601) 108, 020601 (2012).
¹⁹I. I. Blekhman, A. L. Fradkov, O. P. Tomchina, and D. E. Bogdanov,
-
- 20 Y. Yamaguchi, K. Kometani, and H. Shimizu, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01010935) 26, 719 (1981). 21 Y. Kuramoto, *Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence* (Courier Corporation, 2003).
- ²²S. Lee, K. Esfarjani, T. Luo, J. Zhou, Z. Tian, and G. Chen, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4525) ⁵, 3525 (2014).
-
- 23 Z. Zhang, S. Hu, T. Nakayama, J. Chen, and B. Li, [Carbon](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2018.06.057) 139, 289 (2018). 24 M. N. Luckyanova, J. Garg, K. Esfarjani, A. Jandl, M. T. Bulsara, A. J. Schmidt,
- A. J. Minnich, S. Chen, M. S. Dresselhaus, and Z. Ren, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225549) 338, 936 (2012).
- ²⁵S. Hu, Z. Zhang, P. Jiang, J. Chen, S. Volz, M. Nomura, and B. Li, [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b01653)
- [Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b01653) 9, 3959 (2018).
²⁶J. Maire, R. Anufriev, R. Yanagisawa, A. Ramiere, S. Volz, and M. Nomura, Sci. Adv. 3, e1700027 (2017).
-
- 27 Y. Wang, H. Huang, and X. Ruan, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165406) 90, 165406 (2014). 28 Z. Zhang, Y. Ouyang, Y. Cheng, J. Chen, N. Li, and G. Zhang, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.03.001) 860, 1 (2020).

 $\mathbf{^{29}H}.$ Honarvar and M. I. Hussein, $\overline{\mathrm{Phys.~Rev.~B}}$ 97, 1954
13 (2018).
-
- ³⁰M. I. Hussein, C. Tsai, and H. Honarvar, [Adv. Funct. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201906718) 30, 1906718 (2019).
- 31S. Xiong, K. Sääskilahti, Y. A. Kosevich, H. Han, D. Donadio, and S. Volz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 025503 (2016).
-
-
-
- ³²Z. Wei, J. Yang, K. Bi, and Y. Chen, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4933284) 118, 155103 (2015).
³³C. Li, M. Bescond, and M. Lannoo, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.5566) **80**, 195318 (2009).
³⁴J. Tersoff, Phys. Rev. B 39, 5566 (1989).
³⁵R. W. Hockney and J. W. (CRC Press, 1988).
36S. Plimpton, J. Comput. Phys. **117**, 1 (1995).
-
-
-
- **37** W. G. Hoover, *[Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695)* 31, 1695 (1985).
38 B. L. Davis and M. I. Hussein, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.055505)* **112**, 055505 (2014).
39 O. Hellman, I. A. Abrikosov, and S. I. Simak, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.180301)* 84, 180301
- (2011).
⁴⁰G. Heinrich, "Nanomechanics interacting with light: Dynamics of coupled multimode optomechanical systems" ["Nanomechanik im wechselspiel mit licht: Dynamik gekoppelter optomechanischer multimodensysteme"], Ph.D. thesis (Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2011).
⁴¹I. Andricioaei and M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 6289 (2001).
-
-
-
- ⁴²M. Karplus and J. N. Kushick, [Macromolecules](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma50003a019) 14, 325 (1981).
⁴³J. W. Ponder and F. M. Richards, [J. Comput. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.540080710) 8, 1016 (1987).
⁴⁴T. Feng, W. Yao, Z. Wang, J. Shi, C. Li, B. Cao, and X. Ruan, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.195202)* 95, 195202 (2017).
-
- ⁴⁵M. Omini and A. Sparavigna, [Phys. B: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(95)00016-3) 212, 101 (1995).
⁴⁶K. Sääskilahti, J. Oksanen, J. Tulkki, and S. Volz, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.134312) 90, 134312 (2014).
- 47 J. M. Larkin, J. E. Turney, A. D. Massicotte, C. H. Amon, and A. J. H. McGaughey, J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 11, 249 (2014).
- **48**C. H. Baker, D. A. Jordan, and P. M. Norris, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184307)* **86**, 104306 (2012).
49J. Shiomi and S. Maruyama, *Phys. Rev. B* 73, 205420 (2006).
50Z. Zhang, Y. Guo, M. Bescond, J. Chen, M. Nomura, and S. Volz, *Phy*
-
- 103, 184307 (2021).

[View publication stats](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354075860)