

A novel laser-based method to measure the adsorption energy on carbonaceous surfaces

D. Duca, C. Pirim, M. Vojkovic, Y. Carpentier, A. Faccinetto, M. Ziskind, C.

Preda, C. Focsa

► To cite this version:

D. Duca, C. Pirim, M. Vojkovic, Y. Carpentier, A. Faccinetto, et al.. A novel laser-based method to measure the adsorption energy on carbonaceous surfaces. Carbon, 2020, 173, pp.540-556. 10.1016/j.carbon.2020.10.064 . hal-03418708

HAL Id: hal-03418708 https://hal.science/hal-03418708v1

Submitted on 8 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A novel laser-based method to measure the adsorption energy on carbonaceous surfaces

D. Duca^a, C. Pirim^a, M. Vojkovic^a, Y. Carpentier^a, A. Faccinetto^b, M. Ziskind^a, C. Preda^c, C. Focsa^{a,*}

^aUniv. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8523 – PhLAM – Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers Atomes et Molécules, F-59000 Lille, France ^bUniv. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8522 – PC2A – Physicochimie des Processus de Combustion et de l'Atmosphère, F-59000 Lille, France

^cUniv. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8524 – Laboratoire de Mathématiques Paul Painlevé, F-59000 Lille, France

Abstract

The reactivity of carbonaceous surfaces bears a fundamental role in various fields, from atmospheric chemistry and catalysis to graphene and nanoparticles. This reactivity is mainly driven by the surface chemical composition and by the strength of the interaction between the adsorbates and the surface (physi/chemisorption). While the surface composition of complex natural samples can be well characterized, adsorption energies (ergo, adsorption processes) of the corresponding adsorbate/adsorbent systems are often overlooked. We propose a novel laser-based method for measuring the adsorption energy of chemical species on various carbonaceous surfaces. The proof of concept of this original method has first been demonstrated by deriving adsorption energies of various systems consisting of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and activated carbon. The great potential of this fast, spatially resolved, and surface-sensitive method, which can also act as a defect density probe at the mesoscale, has been further demonstrated through the study of systems of increasing complexity.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Keywords: Carbonaceous surfaces, Adsorption energy, Laser-induced thermal desorption, Mass spectrometry, Bayesian statistics

1 1. Introduction

Carbonaceous materials are used in a wide range 2 of applications including aerospace and defense, 3 automotive, energy, construction, electronics, and sports. Their superior properties including excel-5 lent stiffness, high tensile strength, low thermal 6 expansion, and good temperature tolerance [1, 2], 7 make them versatile materials that can be shaped 8 into various forms depending upon the use intended 9 (e.q. carbon fibers, carbon nanotubes, graphene). 10 Carbon-based materials can also be turned into 11 metal-free catalysts and serve as a promising al-12 ternative to transform sustainable biomass into re-13 newable energy systems [3]. 14

¹⁵ Due to their excellent adsorption capacities, car¹⁶ bonaceous materials are widely employed as filtra¹⁷ tion media. Carbon nanotubes (CNT) show great

potential at revolutionizing water and gas treatment technologies [4] as they provide much higher surface areas, adsorption capacities, and faster kinetics than activated carbon, the currently prevailing filtration agent. The utility of the adsorptive fixation of organics in the gas and liquid phases for treating wastewaters and emission gasses is already showcased for a number of harmful compounds [5–8]. Another considerable advantage of carbon nanostructures is that their properties can be tailored to target certain chemical species (e.g toxins, heavy metal ions) [4, 9, 10].

For carbonaceous materials, the downside of exhibiting high adsorptive capacities is that they will act as surface carriers. This can lead to adsorbed toxic species undergoing long-range transport in the atmosphere. This effect is commonly observed with combustion generated aerosols (soot), which consist of a carbonaceous matrix often coated with a plethora of organic species [11–13], many of them exhibiting a proven carcinogenic potential [14–16].

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 675 482 049 Email address: cristian.focsa@univ-lille.fr (C. Focsa)

Preprint submitted to Carbon

This makes the impact of combustion generated 39 particles on human health considerably greater, es-40 pecially since their inhalation can result in health 41 problems beyond the lungs [17]. In fact, the pres-42 ence of combustion derived nanoparticles has been 43 detected in the frontal cortex of autopsy brain sam-44 ples [18], urine of healthy children [19], and even in 45 the fetal side of the placenta [20]. If transported 46 to the fetus, these particles – as surface carriers for 47 potentially toxic species – could significantly affect 100 48 fetal health and development [20]. 49

In this context, the benefit of identifying the ad- 102 50 sorption mechanism on carbonaceous surfaces and 103 51 its associated adsorption energy is threefold. It can 104 52 provide necessary information to better compre-53 hend, and potentially minimize, the health impact 54 of carbonaceous aerosols. It can help design bet-55 ter carbon-based materials for industrial use. And 108 56 finally, it can offer some insights into the complex 109 57 and still only partially understood soot formation 110 58 mechanism since adsorption energies define whether 59 111 the surface species (adsorbates) are chemisorbed or 60 physisorbed, and therefore if the surface molecu-61 lar compounds result from surface chemistry (rem-114 62 nants of the soot formation) or physical condensa-63 115 tion [21]. 64

Adsorption performances are governed by phys- 117 65 ical and chemical parameters that greatly vary 118 66 across adsorbate/adsorbent systems. Thus, a sys-67 tematic study needs to be undertaken in order to 68 determine i) to what extent the adsorption energy 69 depends on the nature and the size of the adsorbate. 70 and ii) the type of interactions established between 71 123 adsorbate and adsorbent. Depending upon the na-72 ture of the adsorbate (gas or liquid) and adsor-73 bent (polycrystalline film, single-crystal, oxides, or 74 126 nanoparticle surfaces, powders suspended in a liq-75 127 uid or loose powders) [22], various microcalorime-76 try techniques, such as single-crystal adsorption 77 calorimetry (SCAC), isothermal titration calorime-78 try (ITC), and differential scanning calorimetry 129 79 (DSC) [23, 24], or temperature-programmed des-80 orption (TPD) [25, 26] are commonly used to ei- 130 81 ther measure directly the heat of adsorption (mi-82 crocalorimetry) [27-29], or infer the activation en-83 ergy for desorption (TPD). However, for systems 84 exhibiting meso or macro scale (micrometer- or sub-85 millimeter sized) inhomogeneities or field-collected 86 samples exhibiting small quantities of matter and 87 complex compositions (*i.e.* with a multitude of 135 88 co-adsorbed species), the use of these conventional 89 techniques becomes at best arduous and at worst 90

impossible.

91

92

94

95

96

97

98

99

101

105

106

107

112

113

116

119

120

121

122

124

125

128

132

133

134

We propose here an original solution to overcome the restrictions encountered with more conventional techniques when determining adsorption energies. Our method relies on laser-induced thermal desorption (LITD) coupled with ultra-sensitive mass spectrometry detection. The principle of the method relies on the following stages: the carbonaceous sample surface is very rapidly heated by a (visible) nanosecond laser pulse. The heating is local (scale of the laser beam diameter) and transient (initial temperature regained on a microsecond time scale). A fraction of the molecules adsorbed on the sample surface is therefore desorbed and subsequently ionized by a second (UV) laser pulse before being mass-selectively detected by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Low desorption and ionization laser fluences are used to prevent fragmentation of the analytes or alteration of the adsorbent. The fraction of molecules desorbed from an irradiated spot depends on the laser fluence and the number of pulses it is exposed to. These relationships are specific to each adsorbate/adsorbent system and indicative of the interaction between the two components. The resulting experimental data can then be mathematically treated to derive the corresponding adsorption energy.

We present in this paper two distinct experimental approaches, derived from the same LITD principle, along with two mathematical models (and associated statistical procedures) we developed to retrieve the adsorption energy from the recorded experimental data. The method is validated on well-controlled laboratory-synthesized samples, associating several carbonaceous adsorbents and organic/inorganic adsorbates at sub-monolayer concentration, in a broad range of adsorption energies $(0.2 - 1.5 \,\mathrm{eV}).$

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental approaches

Two distinct approaches based on the LITD principle were followed to collect experimental data for adsorption energy determination: the "signal decay" and the "fluence curve".

2.1.1. Signal decay

The principle of this first experimental approach is presented in Fig. 1a-c: a region of the sample

(delimited by the laser spot on the surface) is irra-138 diated with successive nanosecond laser pulses (10 189 139 Hz repetition rate) of constant (low) fluence. For 190 140 each pulse, a fraction of desorbed molecules is ion-191 141 ized by a second nanosecond laser and further de-192 142 tected by a mass spectrometer. Mass spectra are so 143 193 recorded for successive laser pulses and the signal 144 194 corresponding to the adsorbate of interest is plotted 195 145 as a function of the number of applied laser pulses 196 146 (Fig. 1c). The pseudo-exponential decay observed 197 147 simply illustrates a gradual "cleaning" of the irra- 198 148 diated spot by the successive desorption pulses. If 199 149 this decay is "slow" (*i.e.* a high number of laser $_{200}$ 150 pulses is needed to "clean" the surface), then one 201 151 can infer a strong interaction between the adsor-202 152 bate and the surface. Conversely, a faster decay (in 153 203 the same irradiation conditions) will be indicative $_{204}$ 154 of looser bonding of the adsorbate to the surface. 155 205

2.1.2. Fluence curve 156

The second approach relies on the variation of 157 208 the desorption yield with the laser fluence, as illus-158 trated in Fig. 1d-e. In this approach, multiple spots 159 on the sample surface are irradiated with only one 211 160 pulse each, but the pulses exhibit different fluences. 212 161 Each measurement is performed on different spots 213 162 of the sample, *i.e.* on a pristine surface that was $_{214}$ 163 not previously irradiated, to ensure that the initial 215 164 concentration is always the same. The different flu- 216 165 ences will lead to different temperature increase for 217 166 each spot and, consequently to different desorption 218 167 vields. Fitting the fluence variation of the desorp-168 210 tion yield with the adequate equation (see below) 169 220 allows retrieving the adsorption energy. 170

2.2. L2MS set-up 171

The experimental setup used in this work is based 172 224 on the L2MS technique [11, 30, 31], which combines 173 225 three key stages: laser desorption (LD), laser ion-226 174 ization (LI), and time-of-flight mass spectrometry 227 175 (ToF-MS). The sample is mounted onto a copper 228 176 holder maintained at constant temperature (170 – 229 177 190K in this study) by a regulating device consist-178 ing of a heating resistor and a liquid nitrogen closed 179 loop. Initially developed for studies on ice samples 180 [32, 33], this system has proved its efficiency in pre- ²³¹ 181 venting volatile analyte evaporation at the working 232 182 pressure ($\sim 10^{-8}$ mbar) of the mass spectrometer on 233 183 many combustion-related [11, 30, 34, 35] or PAH-184 234 containing [31, 36] samples. 185 235

The sample surface (placed vertically, Fig. 2) is 236 186 irradiated at normal incidence by the 4 ns pulsed 237 187

beam of an Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Minilite, second harmonic, $\lambda = 532$ nm). The desorption laser beam hits the surface with a quasi-top-hat profile of ~ 0.7 mm diameter (measured with a Gentec Beamage-4M beam profiler). The quasi tophat transverse intensity profile is obtained from the laser output beam by first enlarging it with a beam expander built around a set of convergent and divergent lenses, and then selecting the quasi-flat central region ($\sim 5\%$) of the beam with a diaphragm, which is further image relayed onto the sample surface using a convergent lens.

The desorbed neutral molecules form a forwardpeaked plume (*i.e.* narrow angular distribution around the revolution symmetry axis) which propagates at high speed [31, 37] from the sample surface toward the ionization zone. Neutral molecules from the desorbed plume are ionized following a twophoton ionization process [30, 38] using another 4 ns pulsed laser beam (Continuum Powerlite, $\lambda = 266$ nm, 10 Hz repetition rate, ~ 1 mm diameter, tophat) propagating orthogonally (y direction, Fig. 2) to the desorption plume axis. The ionization laser beam intercepts the desorption plume in between the extraction electrodes of an 1 m long, reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer (RM Jordan, mass resolution m/ Δ m ~ 1000) at d ~3 cm from the sample surface. The delay between the desorption and ionization pulses is set to 100 μ s by a digital delay/pulse generator (SRS DG535).

The ions thus generated are extracted and accelerated (x direction) toward the free-field flight tube of the mass spectrometer. Mass spectra are recorded with a digital oscilloscope (LeCroy Waverunner 6200A) at a time resolution of 2 ns/point. Data acquisition is controlled by a dedicated routine developed in LabView (National Instruments). Experimental data points considered in this work and amenable to mathematical treatment represent integrated peak areas corresponding to a given analyte, which includes all the peaks associated with its isotopic distribution.

2.3. Surface evolution upon irradiation

The desorption fluence is carefully adjusted to be in the low, pre-ablation regime [11, 30], which ensures the desorption of neutral species from the surface of the sample without affecting the underlying carbonaceous matrix. Upon irradiation, the sample surface undergoes temperature variations of large magnitude (1500-2000 K) over a

206

207

209

210

221

222



Figure 1: The top and bottom rows describe the "signal decay" and the "fluence curve" experimental approaches, respectively, used to obtain the two distinct datasets from which adsorption energies were subsequently derived. (a) Schematics of the adsorbate coverage evolution upon successive laser pulses of constant fluence applied on the same spot on the sample surface, (b) recorded mass spectra corresponding to two distinct desorption laser pulses (top -1^{st} pulse, bottom -13^{th} pulse), and (c) recorded signal decay. (d) Schematics of the "fluence curve" approach – different spots of the sample irradiated with single laser pulses of various fluences, (e) recorded "fluence curve" signal.

very short period of time (the surface typically re- 246 238 gains its initial temperature in about $1 \,\mu s$). De- 247 239 spite the high temperature reached by the surface 248 240 (for only nanoseconds), it was demonstrated that 249 241 such a fast-transient process, when generated with $_{\ 250}$ 242 243 low fluence pulses as performed here, does not ab- 251 late the adsorbent [11]. Moreover, our fluence op- 252 244 timization procedure shows that mass spectra are 253 245

devoid of any fragments originating from the adsorbate throughout the whole fluence regime used in this work. Therefore, our measurements demonstrate that such fluence regime prevents the fragmentation of the desorbed molecules and precludes ablation of the adsorbent.

In regards to possible adsorbent nanostructure rearrangement upon fast-transient heating, previ-



Figure 2: Schematic representation of the laser desorption / laser ionization / ToF-MS experimental arrangement. The desorption laser beam (green) with a top-hat transverse beam profile forms a 700 μ m spot on the sample surface. The desorbed neutral molecules form a "plume" (dark yellow) which propagates from the sample surface (z axis) toward the ionization region situated in between the extraction electrodes of the ToF-MS. A pulsed UV ionization laser beam (purple, y axis) intersects the desorption plume 100 μ s after the desorption beam hits the surface. The obtained ions are then extracted and accelerated (x axis) toward the field-free flight tube of the ToF-MS.

ous works on amorphous carbon films [39] or black 274 254 carbon nanoparticles [40] irradiated by nanosecond 275 255 Nd:YAG laser pulses showed that no significant 276 256 change in the sample structure was induced in the $_{\rm 277}$ 257 low fluence regime (typically $< 50 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$). In the 278 258 work of Abrahamson et al. [40], multi-wavelength 279 259 pyrometry was used to measure transient surface 280 260 temperatures of more than 2000°C, while no no- 281 261 table difference in carbon nanostructure was ob- 282 262 served by transmission electron microscopy (*i.e.* no $_{283}$ 263 rearrangement). 264 284

In the first ("signal decay") experimental ap-265 proach followed in our work, when a single region 266 287 of the surface (adsorbent) is irradiated with succes-267 288 sive nanosecond laser pulses resulting in the gradual 268 desorption of the adsorbate, it is worth noting that 269 the changing adsorbate surface concentration upon 270 subsequent irradiations does not affect the thermal 290 271 and optical properties of the system as long as the 291 272 chemical species are transparent to the desorption 292 273

pulse ($\lambda_d = 532 \text{ nm}$) and as the system exhibits a very low surface coverage (both conditions met in this work). As the L2MS technique involves the fast removal of species from the vicinity of the sample [31, 37], re-adsorption of desorbed species is negligible. In addition, any lateral diffusion of molecules across the surface that could potentially replenish the laser spot in between two consecutive desorption laser pulses (100 ms) can be neglected. For instance, it would take minutes for a pyrene molecule to travel a distance of 1 μ m on a carbon surface [41]. Therefore, the physical characteristics of the sample will not change with the increasing number of desorption pulses and thus not influence the desorption process.

2.4. Sample preparation

The development and validation of a method for adsorption energy determination requires well characterized samples. Moreover, the reproducibility of

the method could be assessed only if the character- 345 293 istics of the sample are held constant. As this work 346 294 focuses on desorption from carbonaceous surfaces, 347 295 combustion-generated particles (soot) would have 348 296 been an appealing candidate as object of study, 349 297 however, they usually exhibit a very high variabil- 350 298 ity, as their characteristics (e.g. specific surface, $_{351}$ 299 porosity) depend highly on the combustion condi-352 300 tions. In this context, laboratory-prepared "syn- 353 301 thetic soot" [11] represents an interesting alterna- 354 302 tive as it exhibits a structure akin to that of real 355 303 soot but has a known and controllable surface chem- 356 304 ical composition. 305

Synthetic soot is prepared here following the 358 30 protocol described in our previous work [11]. 359 307 Briefly, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (pyrene 360 308 and coronene, Sigma Aldrich, 98% purity) are dis-309 solved into dichloromethane (Sigma Aldrich, 99% 310 purity) to make mother (stock) solutions of known 311 concentrations. To these stock solutions are added 312 activated carbon particles (Pureblack 100 Carbon, 313 average particle diameter 80 nm, specific surface 314 area $80-150 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$) and the resulting mixtures are 315 magnetically stirred for 2 hours. The mixtures 316 are then filtered using a vacuum filtration system 317 employing a quartz fiber filter (Pall Tissuquartz 318 QAT- UP 2500). This filtration step yields, on the 319 one hand, a quartz fiber filter covered by a sub-320 millimeter thick soot layer infused with the stock 361 321 solution, and, on the other hand, a filtered solu-362 322 tion whose remaining concentration in PAHs can 323 be determined by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy 324 and subsequent comparison with the stock solution 365 325 (see Figure S1a). Specifically, as the measured ab-366 326 sorbance and the molar concentration are directly 367 327 proportional in the concentration range studied 368 328 here, molar concentrations can be estimated know- 369 329 ing the molar extinction coefficient of the solution 370 330 at a given wavelength and path length. From ab-371 331 sorbance measurements of stock solutions of known 372 332 concentrations can thus be deduced the molar con- $_{\rm 373}$ 333 centrations of the filtered solutions. Therefore, by 374 334 comparing the absorbance of the stock solution and 375 335 that of the filtered solution (Figure S1b), it is pos-336 sible by difference to retrieve the concentration of 377 337 PAHs remaining on the filter, assuming volatility 378 338 losses during the preparation steps are negligible 379 339 [11]. Finally, the surface concentration of PAHs ad-340 sorbed on activated carbon particles is calculated 381 341 knowing the PAH concentration and the specific 382 342 surface of the particles deposited on the filter. 343

Three different samples containing either organic 384 344

or inorganic species were prepared using the described procedure (Table 1). This included systems containing either a single or two co-adsorbed compounds. Pyrene and coronene were chosen here as adsorbates because of the well-known presence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) on the surface of soot particles sampled from real combustors [11, 12]. Additionally, Pb – a heavy metal commonly found in industrial wastewater and usually removed using various carbonaceous materials [9, 10], was used to validate the method for inorganic species. A similar protocol (but a different solvent - ultra-pure deionized water, Purelab Option-Q) was used for the preparation of the lead samples from $PbCl_2$ salt (Sigma Aldrich, 98%) and Pureblack activated carbon.

Table 1: List of the samples synthesized for adsorption energy measurements. The surface coverage θ (in monolayers, ML) was determined from UV-Vis extinction measurements.

Sample	θ , ML
Pyrene / activated carbon	$2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
(Pyrene + coronene) /	$8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (pyrene)
activated carbon	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (coronene)
Pyrene / graphite sheet	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$
Pyrene / HOPG	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$
Pb / activated carbon	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$

In order to study the influence of the surface defect density on the retrieved adsorption energy, two more samples based on (mm-thick) graphite sheet and highly oriented pyrolitic graphite (HOPG) adsorbents were prepared in a similar way as the synthetic soot, with the exclusion of the vacuum filtration step. Graphite (Alfa Aesar, graphite sheet) and HOPG (Sigma Aldrich) exhibit significantly lower specific surface areas compared to activated carbon. The resulting adsorbate surface concentration is therefore at the limit of detection of the spectrophotometric method [11]. For this reason, only upper coverage limits ($\sim 10^{-3}$ ML) are provided in Table 1.

Considering the low coverages and the preparation protocol used in this work, we can assume first that no lateral interaction between adsorbate molecules is present, and second that the coverage is homogeneous across the surface. A low coverage also reduces the possibility of adsorbates forming islands of stacked molecules [42] and thus ensures that the only interaction probed is between adsorbates and the adsorbent surface. Moreover, under these experimental conditions any lateral diffusion

380

383

357

363

of molecules across the surface that could poten- 432 385 tially replenish the irradiated spot between desorp-386 433 tion laser pulses can be neglected [41]. 387

2.5. Repeatability 388

To account for possible experimental fluctuations 389 (mainly due to desorption and ionization lasers), 390 439 several equivalent measurements are performed in 391 The anadifferent zones of the same sample. 392 lyzed regions are chosen not to overlap and there-393 fore all measurements are considered self-contained. 394 Specifically, for the first experimental approach 395 (signal decay), four signal decays are recorded for 396 445 the same laser set-point, each decay in a pristine 397 446 sample zone. For the second experimental approach 398 447 (*i.e.* fluence curve), four experimental data points 399 per desorption fluence value are acquired on four 400 distinct (pristine) zones of the sample (e.g.for 401 pyrene, seven desorption fluence values are utilized 402 which results in 28 experimental datapoints in to-403 tal). As all measurements are performed in dif-404 ferent spots on the sample, each datapoint is fully 405 independent. Therefore, different combinations of 406 these points can be made to build distinct "fluence 407 curves". Among all possible combinations, a to-408 tal of 10 distinct fluence curves are constructed by 409 randomly combining experimental datapoints. 410

3. Theory 411

3.1. Background 412

When a pulsed laser beam hits a sample surface, 465 413 a part of its energy (determined by the physical 466 414 properties of the irradiated material) is passed on 467 415 to the sample and induces a temperature jump that 416 468 may i) trigger the desorption of adsorbates possibly 417 present on the surface, ii) alter the surface (nanos-470 418 tructure changes), or even iii) ablate micro-volumes 471 419 of sample. This study is performed in the frame- 472 420 work of the first effect, known as laser-induced ther- 473 421 mal desorption (LITD). The pulsed laser-induced 474 422 heating increases the temperature of surfaces at 475 423 high rates (up to $\sim 10^{11} \text{ K s}^{-1}$ with a nanosecond 476 424 laser [43]), otherwise unattainable with traditional 477 425 methods (e.g. resistive or electron bombardment $_{478}$ 426 heating), and is therefore appealing for application 479 427 to desorption studies. Early studies showed efficient 480 428 desorption of H, CO, and CO₂ molecules from a 481 429 variety of surfaces irradiated by pulsed laser beams 482 430 [44], phenomenon interpreted as a purely thermal 483 431

effect. This effect was later used to study the adsorption and desorption kinetics of these species by means of relaxation methods [45]. LITD was also used to examine the desorption of Na and Cs atoms with a sub-monolayer surface concentration from Ge (100) substrates [46], of H₂ from Ni (100), (110) and (111) [45], of CO from Fe [47] andof benzene from Pt (111) surfaces [48]. However, none of these studies addressed the determination of the adsorption energies from LITD data, as they typically used a single high-fluence laser pulse to desorb all the adsorbed species from the surface, thereby completely "cleaning" the irradiated spot of the sample with only one laser pulse. On the other hand, when a lower desorption laser fluence is used, not all the molecules are desorbed by a single laser pulse and multiple, consecutive pulses can be applied to the same surface spot [49]. Since after each desorption pulse the surface concentration of molecules reduces, the amount of desorbed compounds decreases after each subsequent laser pulse, thus resulting in a pseudo-exponential variation of both surface coverage and desorbed amount. This variation was first linked to the energetics of the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction by Specht and Blades [21], who suggested that the decay obtained for pyrene desorbing from charcoal corresponds to molecules that are weakly bound to the surface, while the relatively constant signal that followed was associated with molecules having a stronger interaction with the carbonaceous surface. The observed decay, however, was not used by the authors to derive the strength of the pyrene-surface interaction.

A similar decay was reported by our laboratory in a previous work [11] which focused on the calculation of the detection limit of the two-step laser mass spectrometry (L2MS) technique. Although the energetics of the analyte-surface bond was not studied, we demonstrated at that time that a single form of interaction between the analyte and the carbonaceous surface (in this case physisorption) can be achieved on surrogate soot samples. A different approach was proposed by Dreisewerd et al. [50] to derive the activation energy of desorption from sinapic acid and bovine insulin thick layers deposited on stainless steel, based on the evolution of the desorbed amount of molecules with the irradiation laser fluence.

The present study builds on this previous works and proposes for the first time a complete experimental, theoretical, and data treatment methodol-

434

435

436

437

438

440

441

442

443

444

118

110

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

ogy to derive adsorption energies from LITD/L2MS 532 484 experiments. Moreover, by developing a theoretical 533 485 model describing LITD, an extra step was taken to-486

ward better understanding fast processes occurring 534 487 at the sample surface. 488 535

3.2. Desorption of physisorbed species 489

In the kinetic approach, the desorption is de-490 scribed in terms of the desorption rate - the number 491 of molecules that desorb from a unit of surface per 492 unit of time. Assuming that all adsorbed atoms 493 or molecules occupy identical sites, the desorption 494 rate can be expressed by the Polanyi-Wigner equa-495 tion [51]: 496

$$-\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \nu \theta^n \exp\left(-\frac{E_{des}}{k_B T}\right) \tag{1}$$

where n is the order of the desorption kinetics (usu-497 545 ally n = 1 for physisorption), E_{des} is the activa-498 tion energy for desorption, T is the surface tem-546 499 547 perature, and k_B the Boltzmann constant. As 500 said above, at the low adsorbate coverages used 548 501 here $(10^{-3}-10^{-2} \text{ monolayers})$ any interaction be-549 502 tween neighbouring adsorbed molecules can be ne-550 503 glected [52], and thus the E_{des} will be characteristic 551 504 of the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction only. The 552 505 553 first-order pre-exponential factor ν (measured in 506 s^{-1}), related with the vibration of the adsorbate-554 507 adsorbent bond along the reaction coordinate asso-555 508 ciated with desorption, is also called attempt fre-509 quency and is on the order of the atomic frequency 557 510 of the crystal lattice $(\sim 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1})$ [53]. For the atom 558 511 or molecule to leave the surface it needs to over-559 512 come the activation barrier for desorption. Since 513 physisorption is a reversible process, the activation 514 energy of desorption is equal to the adsorption en-515 ergy: $E_{ads} = E_{des}$, and therefore these two terms 516 will be used interchangeably hereafter. 561 517

As seen in Eq. (1), the driving force of desorp-562 518 tion is the surface temperature. Therefore, to cal-563 519 culate the rate of desorption and subsequently es- 564 520 timate the adsorption energy, one should first de- 565 521 termine the temperature variation. A precise cal-566 522 culation of the temperature temporal and spatial 567 523 variation involves quite a heavy mathematical ap-568 524 paratus. Therefore, some authors [50, 54, 55] have 569 525 used a simplified LITD description, a steady-state 570 526 process involving an "effective" temperature that 527 571 would be reached upon laser irradiation and at 528 572 which the desorption occurs. 529

Although a more elaborated, space- and time-574 530 resolved model was developed in this study, we also 531 575 present here this simplified approach for the sake of comparison with previous works.

3.3. "Effective temperature" model

536

537

539

540

541

543

544

The advantage of this simplified, steady-state approach is that all optical and thermal properties of the sample are expressed by a single factor, thus making it easier to retrieve when fitting experimental data. Moreover, the temperature profile of the sample is not required which significantly reduces the computational time needed by the model. Within this approximation, the number of molecules N desorbed after a laser pulse can be expressed as [50, 54, 55]:

$$N \approx A \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-E_{ads}}{k_B \left(T_0 + BF\right)}\right) \tag{2}$$

where A is proportional to the surface coverage, B is a factor that describes the conversion of the deposited energy into the surface temperature increase and is associated (for the low coverage used here) solely with the adsorbant properties, T_0 is the initial temperature, F the desorption laser fluence at the surface. In this model, $(T_0 + BF)$ is seen as an "effective" sample temperature which would be reached upon laser irradiation and at which the desorption occurs.

The energy deposited into the sample unit volume is determined by the incident laser fluence, and accounts for the reflectivity R and the optical absorption coefficient $\alpha(\lambda_0)$ of the sample at the desorption laser wavelength λ_0 . This is expressed through the B parameter, as follows [50]:

$$B = (1 - R)\frac{\alpha(\lambda_0)}{\rho c} \tag{3}$$

where c is the specific heat capacity of the sample and ρ is the sample density. The value of the B parameter can considerably change depending on the actual sample characteristics; however, a good initial estimation can be obtained with values reported in the literature. Alternatively, B can be determined by measuring the required physical parameters of the sample prior to the adsorption energy determination. However, this is not always possible in the case of field-collected samples since characterizing micrograms of material can be challenging and adds extra preparation steps that can potentially damage and/or contaminate the sample (often being very expensive to obtain and/or unique). Therefore, the developed model should be

able to determine the adsorption energy based on 576 only an 'initial guess' for the B parameter. The B577 parameter values calculated for two different adsor-578 bent materials used in this work (nano-porous soot 579 and graphite), along with the physical constants 580 used in their calculation, are presented in Table 2. 581 Note that the B values presented in this table can 582 be only seen as estimates, sufficiently close to the 583 real values to be used by the fitting algorithm as 584 'initial guess'. 585

Table 2: *B* parameters calculated from Eq. (3) for activated carbon particles and graphite, along with physical parameters sourced from the literature and used in the calculation.

Dependent	Carbonaceous surface		
rarameter	Activated carbon particles	Graphite	60
R	0.2^{a}	0.1^{b}	00
$\alpha, 10^7 m^{-1}$	$1.0951^{c,d}$	3.4385^{b}	
$ ho, 10^3 kg m^{-3}$	0.4^{c}	1.9^{d}	
c, $J K^{-1} kg^{-1}$	840^{c}	$970^{d,e}$	
B, $J^{-1} K m^2$	26.0	16.2	
a Ref. [56], b F	Ref. [57], ^{<i>c</i>} Ref. [11], ^{<i>d</i>} Ref.	$[58], {}^{e}\text{Ref.} [59]$	9] ₆₁₀

610

The surface concentration of adsorbed species decreases after each desorption pulse, changing the Afactor in Eq. (2). The first laser pulse will give N_1 desorbed molecules:

$$N_1 = p \cdot s \cdot Q_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_B(T_0 + BF)}\right) \quad (4) \quad {}^{617}_{618}$$

620 where Q_0 is the initial adsorbate surface concentra-590 621 tion, s is the surface area of the irradiated spot, 591 622 and p a proportionality constant. The number of 592 623 molecules left on the irradiated surface spot will 593 624 progressively decrease after each subsequent laser 594 625 pulse. 595 626

⁵⁹⁶ Considering that for the low coverages used here ⁵⁹⁷ the adsorption energy does not change with the sur-⁵⁹⁸ face concentration (see Section 2.4) and the physi-⁵⁹⁹ cal properties of the sample surface do not change ⁶⁰⁰ upon irradiation with successive laser pulses (see ⁶⁰¹ Section 2.3), N_j molecules will be desorbed on the ⁶⁰² j^{th} desorption pulse:

$$N_{j} = p \cdot s \cdot Q_{0} \left(1 - p \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}(T_{0} + BF)}\right) \right)^{j-1} \cdot \frac{635}{636}$$
$$\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}(T_{0} + BF)}\right) \quad (5) \quad \frac{637}{638}$$

The S_j signal recorded by the detector will there- 640

fore be:

603

604

605

606

607

612

613

614

615

616

627

628

630

631

632

633

634

$$S_{j} = m \cdot N_{j} =$$

$$m \cdot p \cdot s \cdot Q_{0} \left(1 - p \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}(T_{0} + BF)}\right) \right)^{j-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}(T_{0} + BF)}\right)$$

$$(6)$$

where m is a proportionality factor accounting for the ionization efficiency, transfer function of the mass spectrometer, and detector efficiency.

The variation of the recorded signal can also be expressed as a function of the desorption fluence – a "fluence curve" that can be obtained with the second experimental approach (see Section 2.1.2):

$$S(F) = m \cdot p \cdot s \cdot Q_0 \cdot exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_B(T_0 + BF)}\right) \quad (7)$$

The proposed model can be used to derive the adsorption energy of a given analyte from experimental data recorded by the mass spectrometer. The adsorption energy of an analyte can be retrieved by fitting experimental data either with Eq. (6) (signal decay induced by successive laser pulses applied on the same surface spot) or Eq. (7) (signal variation with fluence). The fitting algorithm requires an "initial guess" – a starting point relatively close to the actual values. The initial guess for the B parameter was calculated using literature data (Table 2). The initial value for the adsorption energy was also sourced from the literature (e.q. 0.98 eV for)pyrene [60, 61]). We note however that fitting the signal decay and fluence curves with Eq. (6) and (7), respectively, can be challenging as they contain two highly correlated parameters. Since the "effective" temperature reached by the surface upon laser irradiation is significantly higher than its initial temperature (*i.e.* $BF \gg T_0$), the adsorption energy E_{ads} and the *B* parameter are highly correlated. Therefore, fitting the proposed model to experimental data requires a specific algorithm which is detailed below in Section 3.5 and Appendices A and B.

3.4. Transient temperature model

3.4.1. Pulsed laser surface heating

The effective temperature desorption model is only a crude approximation of the laser-induced thermal desorption and to fully model this process the fast variation of the sample temperature

should be taken into account. A variety of stud-641 ies addressed the fast heating of solid samples ex-642 posed to lasers, describing the processes occurring 643 at different wavelengths, laser irradiances, pulse 644 lengths and on various target materials [11, 49, 62– 645 66]. The approach commonly followed is to de-646 scribe laser-solid interactions at a macroscopic 647 scale using the heat conduction equation (although 648 some studies treated this phenomenon at an atom-649 istic/molecular level, but on a limited timescale 650 [54]). For low-fluence nanosecond laser pulses, the 651 energy passed on to the sample does not lead to 652 its melting nor its evaporation, therefore no phase 653 transition terms are needed in the equations [64]. Most of the studies focus on the temperature vari-655 ation in the sample volume (*i.e.* along the z depth 656 axis) and not radially on the surface. Moreover, 657 the laser pulse is often considered as a surface heat 658 source (z=0), which does not take into account its 659 propagation into the sample volume as described by 660 the Beer-Lambert law [11]. 661

To calculate sample temperature variations upon 662 laser irradiation, the sample was considered as a 663 693 medium characterized by continuous specific heat 664 capacity c_p , thermal conductivity k and density ρ . 665 Considering a continuum is the approach adopted 695 666 696 in all previous studies and is justified by the di-667 mension of the probed zone (0.7 mm laser diame-)697 668 ter in our case), which is much larger than possi-669 ble nanoscale heterogeneities, even for nanoporous 670 media as the synthetic soot samples studied here. 671 Space- and time-resolved temperature profiles were 672 calculated with the 3D heat conduction equation: 673

$$\rho(T) \cdot c_p(T) \frac{\partial T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} = k(T) \left(\frac{\partial^2 T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{705}{706} + \frac{\partial^2 T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z^2} \right) + q_H(x, y, z, t)$$
(8)

where T is the local sample temperature as a func-674 tion of the time t and position (x, y, z). The term 675 $q_H(x, y, z, t)$ describes the heat source which, in this 708 676 case, is the desorption laser pulse. To match the 677 characteristics of the laser pulse used in the ex-678 periments, the heat source is represented as a flat 679 space profile (equivalent to a top-hat laser profile) 680 681 in the calculations. In the temporal domain, the laser beam is represented as a Gaussian pulse. The 682 energy of the laser pulse is absorbed by the sample 683 according to the Beer-Lambert law, therefore: 684

 $q_H(x, y, z, t) = \alpha I_0 (1 - R) \cdot f(x, y) \cdot g(t) \cdot e^{-\alpha z}$

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \le r_{des} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(9)

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\sigma}\right)^2\right], \quad (10)$$

with $\sigma = \frac{\tau_{des}}{2\sqrt{2\ln 2}}$

where R is the sample surface reflectivity, α is the adsorption coefficient at the desorption wavelength (532 nm), I_0 is the pulse peak irradiance. The f(x, y) and g(t) functions define the space and time profiles of the pulsed laser beam of radius r_{des} (tophat) and duration τ_{des} (full width at half maximum, Gaussian). Previous studies conducted by multiwavelength pyrometry [40] showed no change in the structural or optical properties of similar carbonaceous surfaces for a laser-induced transient temperature increase of more than 2000°C, which is above the maximum temperatures reached here. Accordingly, the R and α parameters were kept constant in the calculations. The same approach is sometimes adopted for simulating the laser-induced incandescence (LII) of soot nanoparticles, where even higher temperatures (4000 K) are reached [67]. Moreover, Eqs. (8) and (9) do not include any terms accounting for phase transitions or surface alteration (e.g.photo/thermal-bleaching) [68] as these do not occur at the low desorption fluence values studied here. The boundary conditions required to solve Eq. (8)are:

$$\begin{cases} T(x \to \infty, y \to \infty, z \to \infty, t) = T_i \\ T(x, y, x, t = 0) = T_i \end{cases}$$
(11)

where T_i is the initial temperature of the sample.

The thermal conductivity of nonporous samples (e.g. graphite) can be found in the literature [58]. For porous materials, such as nano-porous soot (nps), the thermal conductivity k_{nps} strongly depends on the porosity as the efficiency of phonon propagation is related to the number of contact points between the nanoparticles forming the sample. In this case, the thermal conductivity k_{nps} of

685

686

689

690

691

692

694

698

700

701

702

703

the nps layer can be expressed as [69]:

$$k_{nps}(T) = k_{graph}(T) \left[(1-\xi)^{3/2} + \xi^{1/4} \frac{k_{air}(T)}{k_{graph}(T)} \right]$$
(12)

where k_{graph} is the thermal conductivity of bulk 709 graphite [58] and k_{air} is the conductivity of the air 710 filling the pores. The layer porosity ξ is given by: 711

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{\rho_{nps}}{\rho_{graph}} \tag{13}$$

where ρ_{nps} and ρ_{qraph} are the densities of nano-712 porous soot and graphite, respectively. 713

The parameters required to calculate the tem-714 perature profile of the studied sample were either 715 taken from the literature [11, 26, 58, 63, 69, 70] or 716 calculated from the values for graphite while ac-717 counting for the porosity of the sample (Eqs. (12), 718 (13)). The temperature variations of all parameters 719 required for solving Eq. (8) are presented in Fig. S2 720 of the Supplementary Material. Physical parame-751 721 ters for the graphite sheet and HOPG samples used 752 722 in this work are nearly identical which allows us to 723 use the same physical characteristics for these two 724 sets of samples. 725

Ea. (8) was solved with the COMSOL Mul-726 tiphysics simulation platform using finite element 727 methods. The temporal and spatial tempera-728 ture evolutions calculated for nano-porous soot and 729 graphite (Fig. 3) show a fast increase with a max-730 imum value reached at t = 5.5 ns in the center of 731 the irradiated surface, followed by a slower cooling 732 toward the initial sample temperature (on microsec-733 752 ond timescale). The heat-affected sample depth is 734 754 in the range of 100 μ m (Fig. 3b), which is signifi-735 cantly lower than the thickness of the samples used 736 in this study. We note that the obtained depth and 737 temporal profiles follow the same trend as the ones 738 reported by previous similar studies [49, 63, 64], al-739 though a direct, quantitative comparison is not pos-740 sible, as the temperature profiles reported in the lit-741 erature were computed for different materials and 742 desorption laser characteristics (e.g. wavelength, 743 pulse duration, fluence). 744

3.4.2. Time- and space-resolved desorption 745

Once the temperature profile T(x, y, z, t) is calcu-746 759 lated, a space- and time-resolved desorption math-760 747 ematical model, including the time-dependence of 761 748 the desorption rate, the number of molecules des-762 749 orbed per unit of surface, and the total number of 763 750



Figure 3: Temporal evolution of the temperature in the center of the irradiated spot T(x = 0, y = 0, z = 0, t) calculated for nano-porous soot (blue lines) and graphite (green lines) obtained for $F_{des} = 32 \text{ mJ} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The temporal profile of the desorption laser is plotted for comparison (red dashed line). Snapshots of the radial distribution of the temperature at various delays after the laser pulse are presented in the inset.

molecules desorbed after each desorption pulse can be formulated.

The number of molecules desorbed from the surface after the first laser pulse can be therefore expressed as follows:

$$N_{1} = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Q_{0}(x, y) \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\nu \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{f}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}T(x, y, z=0, t)}\right) dt\right)\right) dxdy$$
(14)

where Q_0 is the initial adsorbate surface concentration and f is the repetition rate of the desorption laser. The number of molecules desorbed on the j^{th} desorption pulse can thus be determined with the following relationship:

$$N_{j} = \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Q_{j-1}(x,y) \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\nu \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{f}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}T(x,y,z=0,t)}\right) dt\right)\right) dxdy$$
(15)

 $Q_{j-1}(x, y)$ represents the analyte surface concentration after the $(j-1)^{th}$ desorption pulse (*i.e.* before the j^{th} desorption pulse). Since the surface concentration of the analyte decreases after each desorption pulse, the number of desorbing molecules is progressively reduced.

758

755

756

Once a proportionality factor m is introduced to take into account the overall detection efficiency, the equation can be used for experimental data fitting and adsorption energy retrieval:

$$S_{j} = m \cdot N_{j} = m \cdot \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Q_{j-1}(x, y) \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\nu \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 809 \\ 810 \\ 811 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \cdot \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{f}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{ads}}{k_{B}T(x, y, z = 0, t)}\right) dt\right) dxdy \quad (16)$$

where S_i is the signal recorded by the detector for 815 764 the j^{th} desorption pulse. The only unknowns in this $_{816}$ 765 equation are the pre-exponential factor ν , the ad-766 sorption energy E_{ads} , and the proportionality factor $_{818}$ 767 m. Similarly to the "effective temperature" model, ₈₁₉ 768 the adsorption energy is determined by fitting the 820 769 experimental data, in this case with Eq. (16). Com-770 pared to the "effective temperature" model, the cor-771 822 relation between the unknown parameters in this 823 772 equation is much lower which facilitates the fitting 824 773 procedure. Initial adsorption energy values were 774 825 sourced from the literature and were the same as 775 826 in the effective temperature model fits (see above). 776 827 The initial value for the pre-exponential parameter 777 828 was taken in accordance with literature recommen-829 778 dations [42, 53, 71] as $\nu = 10^{12} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. 779 830

780 3.5. Data fitting

Fitting the experimental data can be challenging 833 781 as some of the unknown parameters (e.g. E_{ads} and 834 782 B in the "effective temperature" model) are highly 835 783 correlated. Least-square fitting with functions that 836 784 contain highly correlated parameters and/or multi-837 785 ple exponential functions can be very unstable, as 838 786 the outcome of the fit is highly dependent on the 839 787 initial guess. A search method [72] could be used in 840 788 this case – an algorithm relying on multiple least-789 841 square fits of the data performed with different ini-790 tial values (in user-defined ranges) of the unknown 791 parameters. However, this method is characterized 842 792 by a rather long convergence time and relatively 793 wide posterior distribution of the retrieved values, 794 843 and thus was not used here. Instead, we adopted 795 844 a Markov chains Monte Carlo (MCMC) fitting ap-796 proach (described in Appendix A) in a Bayesian 845 797 statistics framework. Starting with initial values 846 798 for fitted parameters sourced from literature (or cal-847 799 culated based on literature data), several hundred 800 848 801 thousands fits are generated to match the experi-849 mental data using both the steady-state and tran-850 802 sient models. This procedure will return posterior 851 803 distributions for the fitted parameters. The mode 804 852

of these distributions will represent the most probable fit for the given dataset and the confidence intervals will reflect the precision of determination of the unknown parameters from the fit. An illustration is given in Fig. 4 for the signal decay of pyrene adsorbed on activated carbon nanoparticles (experimental data averaged over four desorption spots on the same sample, 2σ error bars) fitted with the steady-state and the transient temperature models. We notice that both models fit equally well the experimental data, however it is important to emphasize that the red (steady-state model) and green (transient model) dashed lines in Fig. 4a reflect two distinct mathematical concepts. The former results from a severe approximation in that the desorption process occurs at a constant ("effective") surface temperature, whereas the latter more closely represents the physical reality in that the surface temperature evolves in time and space during the desorption process. The 95% credibility intervals highlighted on the E_{ads} posterior distributions displayed in Fig. 4b clearly reflect the much lower correlation of the fitted parameters in the transient model with respect to the steady-state one. However, these intervals cannot be used directly to derive "physically-significant" error bars for the adsorption energy, as the developed MCMC fitting algorithm could not converge in a reasonable number of steps $(500\,000)$ when large error bars are specified for the experimental data. To derive physically-significant error bars of the fitted parameters, we fitted multiple individual datasets generated from the same sample in the same experimental conditions (see Section 2.5) and further applied an "aggregation of estimators" procedure (see Appendix B) to the fitted parameters values and variances.

4. Results

4.1. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on activated carbon nanoparticles

The experimental approaches and the associated mathematical models are first tested using "synthetic soot" samples synthesized in the laboratory (Section 2.4) by (co)adsorbing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on activated carbon nanoparticles at well-controlled, sub-monolayer surface coverages. Pyrene ($C_{16}H_{10}$) and coronene ($C_{24}H_{12}$) were chosen as representative PAHs as they are both known

831



Figure 4: (a) Steady-state (red dashed line) and transient (green dashed line) models fitted to the signal decay curve (four-zone average experimental datapoints) of pyrene desorbing from activated carbon ($F_{des} = 32 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$). The plotted fits correspond to the maximum (mode) of the Bayesian posterior distributions shown in (b) – top: steady state, bottom: transient. Shaded areas represent the 95% credibility intervals of E_{ads} .

to be present on combustion generated soot parti- 876 853 cles [11–13, 30] and, at the same time, exhibit signif-854 icantly different adsorption energies, which makes 878 855 them perfect candidates to test the E_{ads} recovery 879 856 method. Two sets of samples were synthesized, the 880 857 first one with a single adsorbed PAH (pyrene), the 881 858 second one with both PAHs co-adsorbed on the 882 859 same surface. The proposed method allows mea-860 suring adsorption energies of co-adsorbed species, 884 861 as the signal of multiple mass peaks can be simulta-862 neously monitored by time-of-flight mass spectrom-863 etry. 864 887

865 4.1.1. Pyrene

Multiple signal decay and fluence curve datasets 890 866 are recorded from the pyrene / activated carbon⁸⁹¹ 867 surface following the approach described in Sec- 892 868 tion 2.5 and the MCMC fitting procedure is ap-869 plied to these individual datasets for both steady-⁸⁹⁴ 870 state and transient models. The E_{ads} initial value 895 871 was taken as 0.98 eV from the literature [60] and 896 872 this parameter was allowed to vary in the 0.6-897873 1.2 eV interval for both models. For the steady-874 state model, an initial B value of 26 J^{-1} K m² (Ta- ⁸⁹⁹ 875

888

889

ble 2) is used, with an allowed variation interval 15–30 $\rm J^{-1}\,K\,m^2$. In the transient model, a $10^{12}~\rm s^{-1}$ initial value is considered for the pre-exponential parameter ν in accordance with literature recommendations [53, 71], along with the allowed variation interval 10^{11} – 10^{13} s⁻¹. Starting with these values, a maximum of 100 000 fits are generated to match the individual datasets with the steady-state and transient models. Both models converge before reaching the maximum number of allowed fits, typically in $\sim 50\,000$ steps each. The posterior distributions of the E_{ads} , B, and ν parameters returned by individual fits are aggregated following the procedure described in Appendix B to calculate the average values and associated error bars (1σ) listed in Table 3. The obtained data is then used to reconstruct the signal decay and fluence curves, as illustrated in Fig. S3 of the Supplementary Material.

We notice an excellent agreement between the adsorption energies found in both experimental approaches (both signal decay and fluence curve) and by both models. These results are also well in line with the literature value measured for pyrene ad-

sorbed on soot particles using thermal desorption 951 900 kinetics $(0.986 \,\mathrm{eV})$ [60]. For the "effective temper- $_{952}$ 901 ature" model the B parameters returned by the 953 902 two approaches $(B_{decay} = 23.9 \pm 3.4 \text{ J}^{-1} \text{ Km}^2 \text{ and } {}_{954} B_{fluence} = 21.3 \pm 2.1 \text{ J}^{-1} \text{ Km}^2)$ are in good agree-903 904 ment with each other and slightly smaller than 956 905 the initial estimation $(26 \text{ J}^{-1} \text{ K} \text{ m}^2)$ calculated us-906 957 ing literature values. For the transient tempera-958 907 ture model, the retrieved pre-exponential factor ν 959 908 $(1.56 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1})$ is also close to the initial guess. 909 Beside validating the analytical method proposed 910 960 here, the good agreement with previous works also 911 confirms that the analyzed samples mimic well soot 961 912 samples described in the literature. 913 962

4.1.2. Pyrene and coronene co-adsorbed 914

Having demonstrated functionality with a single 965 915 PAH adsorbed onto activated carbon, this adsorp-966 916 tion energy calculation method is then put to test 967 917 using a system consisting of two different polycyclic 968 918 aromatic compounds co-adsorbed onto the same 969 919 adsorbant but in such low amount $(10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$ 920 monolayer) that any lateral interactions between 971 921 them can be neglected. In this configuration, the 972 922 same laser pulse applied to the surface will lead to 973 923 the desorption of both molecules, but each with its 974 924 own kinetics (determined by its adsorption energy 975 925 value). Signals associated with both molecules are 976 926 simultaneously recorded by the mass spectrometer 977 927 to generate individual datasets which are further 978 928 subjected to the fitting procedure described above, 979 929 leading to the results displayed in Table 3. 930

For the adsorption energy of pyrene, we observe 981 931 again a very good agreement between the values 982 932 retrieved by the various experimental / theoreti-983 933 cal approaches and also with the values determined 984 934 above, when pyrene was the sole analyte adsorbed 935 985 onto activated carbon. For coronene, the adsorp-986 936 tion energy values determined from various ap-987 937 proaches are in excellent agreement with each other 988 938 and in line with those experimentally determined 989 939 via temperature programmed desorption measure- 990 940 ments for coronene adsorbed on carbon nanofibers 991 941 $(1.31-1.50\,\mathrm{eV})$ [42]. The signal decay and fluence $_{992}$ 942 curves of both pyrene and coronene reconstructed 993 from parameters presented in Table 3 overlayed on 994 944 experimental data are illustrated in Fig. S4 of the 995 945 Supplementary Material. The B parameters (char-946 996 acteristic of the adsorbent) determined from the 997 947 two fits of the binary adsorbate / activated carbon 998 948 sample are in close agreement with each other and 999 949 also with the ones retrieved for the previous single 1000 950

adsorbate system (pyrene / activated carbon) sharing the same adsorbent. Similarly, the ν parameter for pyrene agrees well between the two systems. Coronene shows a higher ν value (with respect to pyrene), as expected from theoretical calculations [53, 71]. These results demonstrate the robustness of the adsorption energy calculation method from LITD experiments and allow us to conceive its extension to other adsorbate/adsorbent systems.

4.2. Pb on activated carbon nanoparticles

Heavy metal ions (e.g. Pb^{2+}) are common contaminants of industrial wastewater and are usually removed using various carbonaceous materials [9, 10]. Thus, the study of the interaction between heavy metals, proven to be carcinogenic even at low concentration, and materials used for their removal from water (e.g. activated carbon) is of great importance. Detection of lead on soot particles (presumably coming from combustion of leaded gasoline) was already shown to be possible with laserbased mass spectrometry (e.g. Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry, PALMS) employing UV ionization of desorbed species [73, 74]. PbCl₂ salt was dissolved in deionized water and the resulting solution was used to impregnate the activated carbon nanoparticles. Mass spectra recorded upon sample irradiation with the 532 nm laser and ejecta ionization with the 266 nm laser exhibited the characteristic isotopic distribution of Pb⁺ in the m/z 204–208 range, presented in Fig. S5 of the Supplementary Material. No Pb²⁺ signal was present in the mass spectra. Moreover, experiments performed with the ionization laser switched off gave no signal in the mass spectra, *i.e.* ionized lead is not directly sampled from the surface. This may seem surprising, as Pb^{2+} ions may be formed in the aqueous solution and be further transferred onto the adsorbent surface. Our explanation for this is twofold. First, the desorption fluence employed (limited by the carbonaceous surface ablation threshold) might be too low for desorbing these ions from the surface. Second, the formation of Pb^{2+} in the aqueous solution is only one of many other possibilities, as Pb–Cl is not a "pure" ionic bond ($\Delta \chi_{Pb-Cl} < 1.7$). Furthermore, in aqueous solution the formation of an array of (partially) solvated ions is to be expected. All these ions can then adsorb onto activated carbon via different mechanisms (adsorption, surface precipitation, ion exchange, sorption, etc.) [10, 75–79], and participate to further acid/base,

963

964

070

Table 3: Main parameters derived with the "effective" and transient temperature models. Mean values and error bars are computed using the procedure described in Appendix B. The small number of experimental points for the fluence curve of the pyrene/HOPG system prevented a reliable derivation of the adsorption energy from its fluence curve.

			"Effective t	emp." model	Transient temp. model		
Absorbate	Sample	Signal decay		Fluence curve		Signal decay	
		$\mathbf{E}_{ads}, \mathbf{eV}$	${f B},{f J}^{-1}{f K}{f m}^2$	$\mathbf{E}_{ads}, \mathbf{eV}$	${f B},{f J}^{-1}{f K}{f m}^2$	$\mathbf{E}_{ads}, \mathbf{eV}$	$ u, 10^{12} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$
	Pyrene / activated carbon	$0.949{\pm}0.049$	23.9 ± 3.4	0.968 ± 0.041	21.3 ± 2.1	$0.965 {\pm} 0.014$	$1.56{\pm}0.21$
Pyrene	(Pyrene + coronene) / activated carbon	$0.961 {\pm} 0.054$	$20.4{\pm}2.8$	0.983 ± 0.038	22.4 ± 2.5	$0.958 {\pm} 0.018$	$1.31{\pm}0.34$
	Pyrene / graphite sheet	$0.681{\pm}0.019$	14.1 ± 1.9	0.662 ± 0.015	15.1 ± 1.4	$0.696 {\pm} 0.010$	$1.24{\pm}0.18$
	Pyrene / HOPG	$0.476 {\pm} 0.021$	13.5 ± 1.9	_	-	$0.508 {\pm} 0.011$	$1.38 {\pm} 0.13$
Coronene	(Pyrene + coronene) / activated carbon	$1.519 {\pm} 0.022$	$22.8{\pm}2.4$	1.508 ± 0.024	23.1 ± 1.1	$1.513 {\pm} 0.013$	$2.46 {\pm} 0.15$
Pb	Pb / activated carbon	$0.226{\pm}0.024$	20.6 ± 2.3	0.206 ± 0.015	21.1 ± 2.1	$0.243{\pm}0.011$	$7.46{\pm}0.26$

redox or coordination reactions on the particle sur- 1038
face. A thorough investigation of such a complex 1039
surface chemistry is well beyond the scope of the 1040
present work. 1041

Both experimental approaches (signal decay and 1042 1005 fluence curve) were applied to study the desorption 1043 1006 of lead from activated carbon particles. For the 1044 1007 signal decay approach, the recorded signal vanishes 1045 1008 in fewer desorption pulses compared to aromatic 1046 1009 species adsorbed on the same adsorbent (Fig. S6, 1047 1010 Supplementary Material). Fitting both models to 1048 1011 the experimental data resulted in values for the ad- 1049 1012 sorption energy ($E_{ads}^{steady} = 0.226 \text{ eV}$ and $E_{ads}^{transient}$ 1050 1013 $= 0.243 \,\mathrm{eV}$, Table 3) close to that found in the liter- 1051 1014 ature (0.21 eV for Pb(II) adsorbed on carbon parti- 1052 1015 cles obtained from bio waste) [10]. A similar value 1053 1016 $(\mathbf{E}_{ads}^{fluence} = 0.206 \,\mathrm{eV})$ was also determined from the $\frac{1033}{1054}$ 1017 fluence curve (Fig. S6, Table 3). The adsorption $_{1055}$ 1018 energy of lead to carbonaceous surfaces is therefore $_{1056}$ 1019 much lower compared to that of aromatic species 1057 1020 [10]. The *B* parameter (which is only related to the $_{1058}$ 1021 adsorbent material) is in good agreement (within 1059 1022 the limits of the error bars) with the values re- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1060}$ 1023 turned by the steady-state fits on the other samples 1061 1024 involving activated carbon (see Table 3). The pre-1025 exponential factor determined from the transient 1063 1026 model fit ($\nu = 7.5 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$) is in line with theoret-1027 ical values calculated for small adsorbates [53]. To $_{1065}$ 1028 the best of our knowledge, no ν experimental value 1029 1066 for this system is reported in the literature. 1030

1031 4.3. Toward the systematic exploration of adsorp 1068 1032 tion energies as a function of adsorbent prop 1071 1033 erties 1071

¹⁰³⁴ In order to expand the adsorption energy calcu-¹⁰⁷² ¹⁰³⁵ lation method to systems of much greater chem-¹⁰⁷³ ¹⁰³⁶ ical or structural complexity, it is first necessary ¹⁰⁷⁴ ¹⁰³⁷ to explore various adsorbate/adsorbent systems ex-¹⁰⁷⁵ hibiting significantly different adsorption energies. This can be realized by testing either distinct adsorbates on the same adsorbent or, conversely, the same adsorbate on distinct adsorbents, the latter being studied here. The adsorption energy retrieved corresponds to the average energy of all desorbed molecules. If the irradiated surface contains different types of adsorption sites, each one characterized by a different adsorption energy, then the measured E_{ads} can be expressed as a weighted average of all possible energy values (*i.e.* energies that correspond to all existing sites). The change in the proportion of adsorption sites of a given type will modify the average and hence the measured (desorption spot averaged) adsorption energy.

Various surface defects can act as adsorption sites (*e.g.* vacancies, impurities) and most of them are present and abundant on the surface of synthetic (and real) soot particles. The adsorption energy of aromatic compounds on adsorbents exhibiting defect-rich surfaces is higher than that expected when the same compounds are adsorbed on a defect-free carbon lattice [80–83]. Accordingly, as the surfaces of materials such as graphite and HOPG contain a much smaller density of defects compared to activated carbon, the adsorption energy of *e.g.* pyrene, on such adsorbents is expected to be substantially lower.

As predicted, the shape of the signal decay curve and the adsorption energy of pyrene desorbed from a graphite sheet and HOPG are noticeably different (Fig. 5). Since the adsorption energy is lower, a smaller number of laser pulses are required to desorb all molecules present on the irradiated spot, hence a shorter signal decay curve is observed. The adsorption energies obtained from the fits performed with the two models (steady-state and transient) are, once again, very similar. Adsorption en-

ergies obtained for pyrene adsorbed on HOPG (Ta- 1128 1076 ble 3) are in line with values resulting from ab initio 1129 1077 calculations $(0.42 - 0.5 \,\text{eV})$ [80, 81]. To the best of 1078 our knowledge, no experimental measurement for 1079 1130 either system (pyrene/HOPG or pyrene/graphite 1080 sheet) is reported in the literature. When the adsor- 1131 1081 bent is graphite sheet, the adsorption energy value 1132 1082 obtained for pyrene ranges between the ones re- 1133 1083 trieved for activated carbon and HOPG, which is 1134 1084 expected since the surface contains an intermedi- 1135 1085 ate number of defects compared to HOPG (fewer 1136 1086 defects) and activated carbon (more defects). It is 1137 1087 also possible to obtain "fluence curves" for pyrene 1138 1088 desorbing from graphite and retrieve the adsorption 1139 1089 energy and B parameter from this approach (Table 1140 1090 3). The values for E_{ads} and B (0.681 eV and 14.1 1141 1091 $J^{-1} K m^2$, respectively) are also in line with the val- 1142 1092 ues obtained from the signal decay. Moreover, the 1143 1093 obtained B values are close to that calculated with $_{1144}$ 1094 literature parameters (16.2 $J^{-1} K m^2$, Table 2). 1145 1095

Across the various adsorbate-adsorbent systems 1146 1096 investigated here (Table 3), the values obtained and 1147 1097 trends followed for B and the pre-exponential ν pa- 1148 1098 rameters are in accordance with what should be 1149 1099 expected in regards of their relationship with ei- 1150 1100 ther the adsorbate or the adsorbent. Specifically, 1151 1101 as the B parameter is associated with adsorbent 1152 1102 properties (for low adsorbate coverages as studied 1153 1103 here), its retrieved value should be comparable for 1154 1104 all systems featuring the same adsorbent. This is 1155 1105 indeed what is observed in Table 3, since a similar B_{1156} 1106 value was obtained with two different experimental 1157 1107 approaches for all cases where desorption from the 1158 1108 same adsorbent is studied (e.g. $20.4 - 23.9 \,\mathrm{J}^{-1}\mathrm{Km}^2$ 1159 1109 for activated carbon, Table 3). In contrast, as the 1160 1110 pre-exponential factor ν is related to the vibration 1161 1111 of the adsorbate-adsorbent bond along the reaction 1162 1112 coordinate associated with desorption [53], its value 1163 1113 should be largely affected by the adsorbate proper- 1164 1114 ties. In accordance, Table 3 shows that the value 1165 1115 of this parameter significantly varies for systems 1166 1116 based on the same adsorbent but different adsor- 1167 1117 bates (e.g. $1.56 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for pyrene adsorbed on ac- 1168 tivated carbon and $7.46 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for lead adsorbed 1169 1118 1119 on activated carbon). Such variation with distinct 1170 1120 adsorbates was previously reported [42, 53]. Table 1171 1121 3 also confirms that the pre-exponential factor ν_{1172} 1122 values obtained for desorption events involving the 1173 1123 same adsorbate are similar $(1.24 - 1.56 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1})_{1174}$ 1124 for pyrene). Finally, beside the consistent evolu- 1175 1125 tion of the retrieved ν values across the systems 1176 1126 studied here, they are also in good agreement with 1177 1127

pre-exponential factors previously reported in the literature.

5. Discussion

While it is possible using microcalorimetry to directly appraise the heat of adsorption of a sorbate on various surfaces with a limit of detection (femtomole) akin to ours, performing measurements on such distinct samples (loose powder to highly oriented surfaces) using a single calorimetric technique can be challenging. A direct comparison with microcalorimetry may be impeded by the fact that we do not study adsorption events taking place from a liquid solution nor directly measure the heat of adsorption of a dose of gaseous molecules onto a powder or a surface, but instead monitor the desorption of 'dry' adsorbates. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) measurements can provide reliable estimates for adsorption energies in experimental conditions close to ours (PAHs already adsorbed on the surface, high vacuum pressure conditions). This technique is thus better suited for comparison purposes. The adsorption energies retrieved using our method are in good agreement with the values reported in the literature from TPD measurements or ab initio calculations. To the best of our knowledge, no adsorption energy values determined via (micro/nano)calorimetry techniques are reported in the literature for the adsorbate/adsorbent systems investigated in this work.

The unique features of the new laser-based method proposed here offer some distinct advantages with respect to the more conventional techniques cited above. First, this method can be applied to systems exhibiting micrometer or submillimeter heterogeneities. Specifically, it can be utilized to recover the mean adsorption energy of analytes present in distinct areas of a sample as the lateral resolution is defined by the diameter of the laser spot. The smaller the beam diameter, the better the lateral resolution. Second, our method requires no sample preparation prior to analysis (e.q. extraction of material) and necessitates only micrograms of materials to operate. It is hence directly applicable to pristine field-collected and natural samples, for which collecting as much as micrograms of material can be challenging (e.g. sampling of aircraft engine [34] or on-road vehicle internal combustion engine emissions [12]). This is particularly important when the focus is on size-selected particles [84, 85] as small as 10 nm, for which the



Figure 5: Experimental datapoints (four-zone average) recorded with the "signal decay" approach for pyrene desorbing from (a) graphite sheet ($F_{des} = 50 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$) and (b) HOPG ($F_{des} = 61 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$). Steady-state (red dashed line) and transient (green dashed line) decay curves calculated with parameters from Table 3.

particle mass lies within the range of attograms. 1202 1178 Third, it allows the simultaneous study of one or 1203 1179 several analytes coadsorbed on the same surface, 1204 1180 which is particularly adapted to real-world complex 1205 1181 mixtures. Finally, these particular characteristics, 1206 1182 in conjunction with the very low limit of detection 1207 1183 (sub-femtomole) that our method provides, consti- 1208 1184 tute a valuable solution when more conventional 1209 1185 techniques cannot be utilized. 1186 1210

1211 The method we present is sensitive enough to 1187 show how the structure of the adsorbent (e.g. num- $_{1212}$ 1188 ber of defects) can significantly change the mean 1213 1189 adsorption energy. As expected, the adsorption en- 1214 1190 ergies derived from our experiments increase with 1215 1191 the number of surface defects. This method could 1216 1192 act as a surface defect probe and monitor defect 1217 1193 densities of various adsorbate/adsorbent systems by 1218 1194 following a dedicated and systematic experimen- 1219 1195 tal procedure. Different adsorption energies aver- 1220 1196 aged over defined zones of a sample surface or be- 1221 1197 tween distinct samples can further be related to the 1222 1198 disorder/heterogeneity characteristics using, for in- 1223 1199 stance, micro-Raman mapping, where deconvoluted 1224 1200 Raman maps can be superimposed on adsorption 1225 1201

energy maps of the sample surface probed with the laser. While currently limited to sub-millimeter scale heterogeneities, the lateral resolution could be improved by reducing the diameter of the desorption laser spot size. The high sensitivity of the technique (ensured by the mass spectrometric detection) can be used to finely map spatial variations of physi/chemisorption interactions across heterogeneous samples, possibly containing small surface inclusions (regions with a distinct composition).

The capabilities of the proposed novel method open perspectives of application in various fields. First, it can serve as a benchmark for adsorbate/adsorbent systems against which other methods (*e.g.* ab initio calculations) can be compared. On a technological level, it can also encourage the development of advanced materials specially designed to selectively target and capture dangerous compounds such as toxins or heavy metal ions [86]. Beyond the obvious interest for catalytic or energy storage materials [87], this method can also be used to characterize thin films grown by physical (pulsed laser deposition, magnetron sputtering) [88] or chemical vapor deposition [89] pro-

cesses, which can (depending on the deposition ge- 1275 1226 ometry, substrate etc.) exhibit quite large hetero- 1276 1227 geneities [90, 91] in their adhesion/adsorption prop-1228 erties. The local character of our technique (defined 1278 1229 by the laser beam diameter) in conjunction with a 1230 fast mathematical treatment (as the simplified ef-1231 fective temperature model) can help in implement-1232 ing a real-time, spatially-resolved probe directly in $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1281}$ 1233 the technological process line. Finally, in the com-1234 bustion field, our method can help shed light on the 1235 formation process of soot particles [92, 93] by iden- ¹²⁸² 1236 tifying the origin of the aromatic species present on 1237 1283 the surface (i.e. remnants of the nucleation/growth 1238 1284 process or condensation from the gas phase). 1239

1240 6. Conclusions

1289 Employing laser-induced thermal desorption in 1241 1290 conjunction with an adequate mathematical frame-1242 work, we successfully determine the adsorption en- $^{\rm 1291}$ 1243 ergy of distinct adsorbates deposited onto various ¹²⁹² 124 carbonaceous surfaces. We first formulate and then 1293 1245 apply two different mathematical equations mod-¹²⁹⁴ 1246 eling the desorption phenomena observed experi- $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1295}$ 1247 mentally. The first model describes the desorption 1296 1248 process as a steady state phenomenon, using an 1297 1249 "effective" sample temperature. This model, while ¹²⁹⁸ 1250 greatly simplifying the calculations, also only con-¹²⁹⁹ 1251 stitutes a rough approximation of the desorption 1300 1252 process. Advantageous when computational speed ¹³⁰¹ 1253 is required, it can be used in conjunction with real- $^{\rm 1302}$ 1254 time chemical mapping to better characterize the $^{\rm 1303}$ 1255 zone of interest. The second model accounts for 1256 the fast variation of surface temperature triggered $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1304}$ 1257 by the absorption of the laser pulse energy. This $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1305}$ 1258 transient temperature model is more complex but 125 mathematically describes a scenario much closer to 1306 1260 physical reality, and also benefits from very lit-1307 1261 tle correlation between the variables. Both mod-1308 1262 els fit our experimental data well and provide re- 1309 1263 sults close to the values reported in the literature. 1310 1264 The adsorption energy is determined for two or- 1311 1265 ganic molecules (pyrene and coronene) as well as for 1312 1266 an inorganic adsorbate (lead). This approach is also 1313 1267 able to retrieve the energy of different compounds 1314 1268 co-adsorbed on the same sample (from the same 1315 1269 measurements). This serves as a good "proof of 1316 1270 concept" for the method, demonstrating that laser- 1317 1271 induced desorption combined with our mathemat- 1318 1272 ical formulations can be used to study adsorption 1319 1273 mechanisms. The novelty and the advantages of 1320 1274

the proposed technique over more traditional approaches in some specific cases along with several new perspectives opened by the developed method were discussed.

Supplementary figures Fig. S1 – S6 can be found in the Supplementary Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher].

Acknowledgments

1285

1286

1287

1288

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under contract ANR-18-CE22-0019 (UNREAL) and through the PIA (Programme d'Investissement d'Avenir) under contracts ANR-10-LABX-005 (LABEX CaPPA - Chemical and Physical Properties of the Atmosphere) and ANR-11-LABX-0007-01 (Labex CEMPI - Centre Européen pour les Mathématiques, la Physique et leurs Interactions). The authors acknowledge Prof. B Capoen for providing access to the UV-Vis spectrofotometer at Centre d' Etudes et de Recherches Lasers et Applications (CERLA) platform at the University of Lille.

Author contribution: DD, CF, AF, MZ conceptualized the experimental and theoretical method. DD, MV, YC executed the experiments, DD, CPirim, YC, CF discussed the results, DD, CPirim, CF wrote the initial version of the manuscript, DD, CPirim, CF, CPreda developed and validated the mathematical model and the statistical approach. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

Appendix A. Markov chains Monte Carlo data fitting

Fitting experimental data with models involving highly correlated parameters requires the use of Bayesian statistics [94–96], which provide powerful tools for estimating complex models where the maximum likelihood-based estimation methods fail. In this framework, several approaches can be followed. Initial tries with the "conventional" search method [72] resulted in rather long convergence times and wide posterior distributions of the retrieved parameters (e.g. E_{ads} , B). In this context, we adopted a Markov chains Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach which converges at a much faster pace.

The MCMC method is designed to estimate the joint posterior distribution of a parameter of interest by random sampling in the probability

space. Many problems described by models con- 1371 1321 taining a large number of free parameters, making 1372 1322 them expensive to compute with regular methods 1373 1323 (e.g. problems in cosmology and astrophysics that 1324 deal with low signal-to-noise measurements [94– 1325 96]), have benefited from MCMC. One of the most 1326 important benefits of Bayesian data analysis is the 1327 ability to minimize the impact of nuisance param-1328 eters [96] – parameters that are required to model 1329 the studied process but otherwise are not the main 1330 objective of the fit. Most uses of the MCMC are 1331 based on the Metropolis-Hastings (M-H) method 1332 [94–96] or its derivatives and consist of three main 1333 parts: 133

• Monte Carlo simulations generating random 1336 steps to model complex systems.

Markov chains - sequences of events that are probabilistically related to one another. Each event originates from a set of outcomes and each outcome determines the next one, in accordance with a fixed set of probabilities.

The acceptance-rejection sampling – an iterative algorithm that generates parameter values
from their unknown theoretical posterior distribution.

MCMC allows to generate a data subset covering 1346 many possible outcomes of a given system in order 1347 to obtain an estimate of the theoretical posterior 1348 distribution that can be used to extract statistical 1349 measures as mean, median or standard deviation. 1350 The simulation process is based on a Markov behav-1351 ior of the iterative algorithm [95, 96]. An example of 1352 a model that can benefit from the use of the MCMC 1353 algorithm is the laser-induced thermal desorption, 1374 1354 e.g. Eq. 6 of the "effective temperature" model 1375 1355 that contains a product of two exponential func-1376 1356 tions and two highly correlated parameters (E_{ads}) 1357 and B). The performance of the M-H sampler can ¹³⁷⁷ 1358 be very sensitive to the initial choice of parameters. 1378 1359 The result of the MCMC fit will be more reliable 1379 1360 for longer chains. For this reason, the first values 1380 1361 of the Markov chain are usually dropped. Thus, 1381 1362 to determine optimal parameters in a data-driven 1382 1363 way, a lengthy "burn-in" period must be used. The 1383 1364 burn-in allows to start the MCMC procedure with 1384 1365 parameters chosen for convenience that might be 1385 1366 located in low probability regions, Fig. A.1a. Once 1386 1367 the chain has entered the high-probability region, 1387 1368 its states become more representative of the cor- 1388 1369 rect distribution (the convergence is achieved). All 1389 1370

the values after the convergence are then used to retrieve the distributions and mode values of all parameters, Fig. A.1b.



Figure A.1: (a) Example of a Markov chain obtained during fitting of experimental data. The convergence of the fit is depicted with a red dashed line. The last portion of the chain (orange line) is used to determine the posterior distribution of the fitted parameter (b). The distribution is then used to identify the most probable value and the variance of the determined parameter.

Appendix B. Average value and error bar calculation by estimator aggregation

Individual fits performed on multiple independent datasets generated as described in Section 2.5 return estimated values and associated variances for all parameters of interest through the posterior distributions. As an example, Fig. B.1 illustrates the individual fits of four signal decays recorded in the same experimental conditions (the solid lines represent the "most probable fit" (mode) of the Bayesian posterior distribution for each dataset). In order to account for the measurement variability and determine "physically-significant" average values and error bars associated with the fitted parameters (called "estimators" henceforth), the "internal" (*i.e.* inside one individual dataset) and "exter- 1410
nal" (*i.e.* between different datasets, such as A, B, 1411
C, D zones in the signal decay approach, Fig. B.1) 1412
variances must be combined in an "estimator ag- 1413
gregation" methodology [97, 98].



Figure B.1: Symbols: pyrene molecule signal recorded in the ¹⁴²⁹ same experimental conditions ($F_{des} = 32 \ mJ \ cm^{-2}$) from ¹⁴³⁰ four different zones (A, B, C, D) of the same pyrene / ac- ¹⁴³¹ tivated carbon sample (homogeneous coverage). Solid lines: ¹⁴²² most probable (mode) fits returned by the Bayesian posterior ¹⁴³³ distribution for each individual dataset. Dashed line: effec- ¹⁴³⁴ tive temperature model decay curve generated with average ¹⁴³⁵ parameter values (Table 3). ¹⁴³⁰

For a given parameter $\hat{\beta}$, let $\hat{\beta}_i$ be the estima-1395 tors obtained from data collected in the zone i, 1440 1397 $i \in A, B, C, D$. Then, the best linear-combination 1441 1398 estimator for the $\hat{\beta}$ parameter with respect to the 1442 1499 mean squared error will be: 1444

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \hat{\beta}_i \tag{B.1}^{\frac{1445}{1446}}_{\frac{1447}{1448}}$$

where $\omega_i = \frac{Var^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_i)}{\sum_j Var^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$ is the weight associated $\frac{1449}{1450}$ with each estimator, retrieved from fitting individ- $\frac{1451}{1452}$ ual datasets (either signal decays or fluence curves). $\frac{1453}{1453}$ Considering the independence of data recorded in $\frac{1454}{1454}$ different zones of the sample, the standard devia- $\frac{1455}{1455}$ tion of the $\hat{\beta}$ parameter is given by: $\frac{1457}{1455}$

$$\sigma(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta})} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \omega_{i}^{2} (Var(\hat{\beta}_{i}) + (\hat{\beta}_{i} - \hat{\beta})^{2})}^{[1450]}_{[1461]}$$
(B.2)
(B.2)

¹⁴⁰⁶ The first term in Eq. (B.2) represents the "inter- ¹⁴⁶⁴ ¹⁴⁰⁷ nal" variance of each dataset, while the second term ¹⁴⁶⁵ ¹⁴⁰⁸ represents the "external" variance (*i.e.* between ¹⁴⁶⁹ ¹⁴⁰⁹ datasets). ¹⁴⁶⁸

The average values and error bars were calculated for the parameters of interest with the described method and reported in Table 3 in the form $\hat{\beta} \pm \sigma(\hat{\beta})$. The validity of the method can be verified with the "average" fitting curve (*i.e.* average for all datasets) calculated with the average values for the required parameters. An example of such a curve obtained with the "effective temperature" model is presented in Fig, B.1 (dashed line).

References

- T. D. Burchell, Carbon Materials for Advanced Technologies, Elsevier Science, Oxford, 1999. doi:10.1016/ B978-008042683-9/50002-9.
- [2] A. Jorio, G. Dresselhaus, M. S. Dresselhaus, Carbon Nanotubes. Advanced Topics in the Synthesis, Structure, Properties and Applications, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. doi:10.1071/ 978-3-540-72865-8.
- [3] Z. Zhang, S. Yang, H. Li, Y. Zan, X. Li, Y. Zhu, M. Dou, F. Wang, Sustainable Carbonaceous Materials Derived from Biomass as Metal-Free Electrocatalysts, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) 1805718. doi:10.1002/adma. 201805718.
- [4] M. Inagaki, F. Kang, M. Toyoda, H. Konno, Carbon Materials for Adsorption of Molecules and Ions, Adv. Mate. 5 (2014) 335–361. doi:10.1016/ b978-0-12-407789-8.00015-6.
- [5] M. R. Elamin, B. Y. Abdulkhair, A. O. Elzupir, Insight to aspirin sorption behavior on carbon nanotubes from aqueous solution: Thermodynamics, kinetics, influence of functionalization and solution parameters, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 12795. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49331-6.
- [6] M. Ahmaruzzaman, D. Mohanta, A. Nath, Environmentally benign fabrication of SnO₂-CNT nanohybrids and their multifunctional efficiency as an adsorbent, catalyst and antimicrobial agent for water decontamination, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 12795. doi:10.1038/ s41598-019-49181-2.
- [7] S. T. Lim, J. H. Kim, C. Y. Lee, S. Koo, D. Jerng, S. Wongwises, H. S. Ahn, Mesoporous graphene adsorbents for the removal of toluene and xylene at various concentrations and its reusability, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 10922. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47100-z.
- [8] M. Darvish Ganji, H. Kiyani, Molecular simulation of efficient removal of H2S pollutant by cyclodextrine functionalized CNTs, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 10605. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-46816-2.
- [9] A. A. Abia, E. D. Asuquo, Lead (II) and nickel (II) adsorption kinetics from aqueous metal solutions using chemically modified and unmodified agricultural adsorbents, Afr. J. Biotechnol. 5 (2006) 1475–1482.
- [10] R. Ahmad, S. Haseeb, Adsorption of Pb(II) on Mentha piperita carbon (MTC) in single and quaternary systems, Arab. J. Chem. 10 (2017) S412-S421. doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2012.09.013.
- [11] A. Faccinetto, C. Focsa, P. Desgroux, M. Ziskind, Progress toward the Quantitative Analysis of PAHs Adsorbed on Soot by Laser Desorption/Laser Ionization/Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry,

- 1469
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 49 (2015) 10510-10520.
 1534

 1470
 doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02703.
 1535
- O. B. Popovicheva, C. Irimiea, Y. Carpentier, I. K. 1536 1471 [12]1472 Ortega, E. D. Kireeva, N. K. Shonija, J. Schwarz, 1537 1473 M. Vojtíšek-Lom, C. Focsa, Chemical composition 1538 of diesel/biodiesel particulate exhaust by FTIR spec- 1539 1474 troscopy and mass spectrometry: Impact of fuel and 1540 1475 driving cycle, Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 17 (2017) 1717-1541 1476 1734. doi:10.4209/aagr.2017.04.0127. 1477 1542
- P. Parent, C. Laffon, I. Marhaba, D. Ferry, T. Z. Regier, 1543
 I. K. Ortega, B. Chazallon, Y. Carpentier, C. Focsa, 1544
 Nanoscale characterization of aircraft soot: A high-1545
 resolution transmission electron microscopy, Raman 1546
 spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron and near-edge X-ray 1547
 absorption spectroscopy study, Carbon 101 (2016) 86-1548
 100. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2016.01.040.
- [14] D. L. Diggs, K. L. Harris, P. V. Rekhadevi, A. Ramesh, 1550
 Tumor microsomal metabolism of the food toxicant, 1551
 benzo(a)pyrene, in Apc^{Min} mouse model of colon can-1552
 cer, Tumor. Biol. 33 (2012) 1255–1260. doi:10.1007/1553
 s13277-012-0375-6.
- [15] K. H. Kim, S. A. Jahan, E. Kabir, R. J. C. Brown, 1555
 A review of airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 1556
 (PAHs) and their human health effects, Environ. Int. 1557
 60 (2013) 71–80. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.07.019. 1558
- Id94 [16] G. Grimmer, Environmental carcinogens: Polycyclic 1559
 aromatic hydrocarbons: Chemistry, occurrence, bio- 1560
 chemistry, carcinogenicity, CRC Press, New York, 2018. 1561
 doi:10.1201/9781351071758. 1562
- 1498
 [17] C. A. Pope, D. W. Dockery, Health effects of fine 1563

 1499
 particulate air pollution: Lines that connect, J. Air 1564

 1500
 Waste Manage. Assoc. 56 (2006) 709–742. doi:10. 1565

 1501
 1080/10473289.2006.10464485.
- [18] B. A. Maher, I. A. Ahmed, V. Karloukovski, D. A. MacLaren, P. G. Foulds, D. Allsop, D. M. Mann, R. Torres-Jardón, L. Calderon-Garciduenas, Magnetite pollution 1569
 nanoparticles in the human brain, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1570
 U.S.A. 113 (2016) 10797–10801. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1571
 1605941113. 1572
- [19] N. D. Saenen, H. Bové, C. Steuwe, M. B. Roeffaers, 1573
 E. B. Provost, W. Lefebvre, C. Vanpoucke, M. Ameloot, 1574
 T. S. Nawrot, Children's urinary environmental carbon 1575
 load: A novel marker reflecting residential ambient air 1576
 pollution exposure?, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 196
 (2017) 873–881. doi:10.1164/rccm.201704-07970C. 1578
- [20] H. Bové, E. Bongaerts, E. Slenders, E. M. Bijnens, 1579
 N. D. Saenen, W. Gyselaers, P. V. Eyken, M. Plusquin, 1580
 M. B. J. Roeffaers, M. Ameloot, T. S. Nawrot, Am- 1581
 bient black carbon particles reach the fetal side of hu- 1582
 man placenta, Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 3866. doi: 1583
 10.1038/s41467-019-11654-3. 1584
- 1520[21]A. A. Specht, M. W. Blades, Direct determination of15851521polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in solid matrices us-15861522ing laser desorption/laser photoionization ion trap mass15871523spectrometry, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 14 (2003)15881524562-570. doi:10.1016/S1044-0305(03)00143-0.1589
- L. Basta, S. Veronesi, Y. Murata, Z. Dubois, N. Mishra, 1590
 F. Fabbri, C. Coletti, S. Heun, A sensitive calorimetric 1591
 technique to study energy (heat) exchange at the nano-1592
 scale, Nanoscale 10 (2018) 10079–10086. doi:10.1039/1593
 C8NR00747K. 1594
- 1530
 [23] C. T. Campbell, J. R. Sellers, Enthalpies and entropies 1595

 1531
 of adsorption on well-defined oxide surfaces: Experi- 1596

 1532
 mental measurements, Chem. Rev. 113 (2013) 4106– 1597

 1533
 4135. doi:10.1021/cr300329s.

- [24] A. Saha, A simultaneous volumetric adsorptionisothermal titration calorimetry study of small molecules on supported metallic nanoparticles, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 124 (2016) 1623–1634. doi: 10.1007/s10973-016-5262-8.
- [25] J. L. Falconer, J. A. Schwarz, Temperatureprogrammed desorption and reaction: applications to supported catalysts, Cat. Rev. 25 (1983) 141–227. doi:10.1080/01614948308079666.
- [26] R. A. Ketola, J. T. Kiuru, V. Tarkiainen, J. T. Kokkonen, J. Räsänen, T. Kotiaho, Detection of volatile organic compounds by temperature-programmed desorption combined with mass spectrometry and fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, Anal. Chim. Acta. 562 (2006) 245–251. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2006.01.069.
- [27] J. T. Stuckless, D. E. Starr, D. J. Bald, C. T. Campbell, J. T. Stuckless, D. E. Starr, D. J. Bald, C. T. Campbell, Metal adsorption calorimetry and adhesion energies on clean single-crystal surfaces Metal adsorption calorimetry and adhesion energies on clean singlecrystal surfaces, J. Chem. Phys. 107 (1997) 5547–5553. doi:10.1063/1.474230.
- [28] W. A. Brown, R. Kose, D. A. King, Femtomole Adsorption Calorimetry on Single-Crystal Surfaces, Chem. Rev. 98 (1998) 797–831. doi:10.1021/cr9700890.
- [29] J. H. Fischer-Wolfarth, J. A. Farmer, J. M. Flores-Camacho, A. Genest, I. V. Yudanov, N. Rösch, C. T. Campbell, S. Schauermann, H.-J. Freund, Particle-size dependent heats of adsorption of CO on supported Pd nanoparticles as measured with a single-crystal microcalorimeter, Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010) 241416. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.241416.
- [30] A. Faccinetto, P. Desgroux, M. Ziskind, E. Therssen, C. Focsa, High-sensitivity detection of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons adsorbed onto soot particles using laser desorption/laser ionization/time-of-flight mass spectrometry: An approach to studying the soot inception process in low-pressure flames, Combust. Flame 158 (2011) 227-239. doi:10.1016/j.combustflame. 2010.08.012.
- [31] C. Mihesan, M. Ziskind, E. Therssen, P. Desgroux, C. Focsa, IR laser resonant desorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 423 (2006) 407– 412. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2006.04.032.
- [32] C. Focsa, J. Destombes, Na/K (H2O) n clusters produced by laser desorption of Na/K salt doped ice, Chemical Physics Letters 347 (2001) 390–396. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2614(01)01068-5.
- [33] C. Mihesan, N. Lebrun, M. Ziskind, B. Chazallon, C. Focsa, J. Destombes, Ir laser resonant desorption of formaldehyde-H₂O ices: hydrated cluster formation and velocity distribution, Surf. Sci. 566 (2004) 650-658. doi:10.1016/j.susc.2004.06.128.
- [34] D. Delhaye, F. X. Ouf, D. Ferry, I. K. Ortega, O. Penanhoat, S. Peillon, F. Salm, X. Vancassel, C. Focsa, C. Irimiea, N. Harivel, B. Perez, E. Quinton, J. Yon, D. Gaffie, The MERMOSE project: Characterization of particulate matter emissions of a commercial aircraft engine, J. Aerosol Sci. 105 (2017) 48-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jaerosci.2016.11.018.
- [35] L. D. Ngo, D. Duca, J. A. Noble, A. R. Ikhenazene, M. Vojkovic, Y. Carpentier, C. Irimiea, Chemical discrimination of the particulate and gas phases of mini-CAST exhausts using a two-filter collection method, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 13 (2020) 951–967.

- 1599 doi:10.5194/amt-13-951-2020.
- [36] C. Mihesan, M. Ziskind, E. Therssen, P. Desgroux, 1665
 C. Focsa, Parametric study of polycyclic aromatic hy- 1666
 drocarbon laser desorption, Journal of Physics: Con- 1667
 densed Matter 20 (2007) 025221. doi:10.1088/ 1668
 0953-8984/20/02/025221. 1669
- [37] A. Puretzky, D. Geohegan, G. Hurst, M. Buchanan, 1670
 B. Luk'yanchuk, Imaging of vapor plumes produced by 1671
 matrix assisted laser desorption: a plume sharpening 1672
 effect, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 444. doi:10.1103/ 1673
 PhysRevLett.83.444. 1674
- R. Zimmermann, M. Blumenstock, H. J. Heger, K. W. 1675 [38]1610 Schramm, A. Kettrup, Emission of nonchlorinated and 1676 1611 chlorinated aromatics in the flue gas of incineration 1677 1612 plants during and after transient disturbances of com- 1678 1613 bustion conditions: Delayed emission effects, Envi- 1679 1614 ron. Sci. Technol. 35 (2001) 1019-1030. doi:10.1021/ 1680 1615 1616 es0001431. 1681
- 1617
 [39] E. Cappelli, C. Scilletta, S. Orlando, V. Valentini, 1682

 1618
 M. Servidori, Laser annealing of amorphous carbon 1683

 1619
 films, Appl. Surf. Sci. 255 (2009) 5620–5625. doi: 1684

 1620
 10.1016/j.apsusc.2008.10.062.
- 1621
 [40] J. P. Abrahamson, M. Singh, J. P. Mathews, R. L. Van

 1622
 der Wal, Pulsed laser annealing of carbon black, Carbon 1687

 1623
 124 (2017) 380–390. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2017.08. 1688

 1624
 080.
- [41] S. Ahn, D. Werner, H. K. Karapanagioti, D. R. Mc- 1690
 Glothlin, R. N. Zare, R. G. Luthy, Phenanthrene and 1691
 pyrene sorption and intraparticle diffusion in poly- 1692
 oxymethylene, coke, and activated carbon, Environ. Sci. 1693
 Technol. 39 (2005) 6516-6526. doi:10.1021/es0501130. 1694
- [42] R. Zacharia, H. Ulbricht, T. Hertel, Interlayer cohesive 1695
 energy of graphite from thermal desorption of polyaro- 1696
 matic hydrocarbons, Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 155406. 1697
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.69.155406. 1698
- [43] R. J. Beuhler, E. Flanigan, L. J. Greene, L. Friedman, 1699
 Proton transfer mass spectrometry of peptides. rapid 1700
 heating technique for underivatized peptides containing 1701
 arginine, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96 (1974) 3990–3999. doi: 1702
 10.1021/ja00819a043. 1703
- 1639
 [44]
 L. P. Levine, J. F. Ready, E. Bernal, Gas desorption
 1704

 1640
 produced by a giant pulse laser, J. Appl. Phys. 38 (1967)
 1705

 1641
 331–336. doi:10.1063/1.1708977.
 1706
- [45] K. Christmann, O. Schober, G. Ertl, M. Neumann, Ad- 1707
 sorption of hydrogen on nickel single crystal surfaces, 1708
 The Journal of Chemical Physics 4528 (1974) 4528- 1709
 4540. doi:10.1063/1.1680935. 1710
- 1646
 [46]
 J. M. Chen, C. C. Chang, Laser desorption of submono 1711

 1647
 layers of Na and Cs from Ge substrates, J. Appl. Phys. 1712
 1648
 43 (1972) 3884–3886. doi:10.1063/1.1661832.
 1713
- 1649
 [47] G. Wedler, H. Ruhmann, Laser induced thermal des 1714

 1650
 orption of carbon monoxide from Fe(110) surfaces, Surf.
 1715

 1651
 Sci. 121 (1982) 464-486. doi:10.1016/0039-6028(82) 1716
 1652

 1652
 90255-2.
 1717
- 1653
 [48] H. Arnolds, C. Rehbein, G. Roberts, R. J. Levis, D. A. 1718

 1654
 King, Femtosecond Near-Infrared Laser Desorption of 1719

 1655
 Multilayer Benzene on Pt(111): A Molecular Newton's 1720

 1656
 Cradle?, J. Phys. Chem. B 104 (2002) 3375–3382. doi: 1721

 1657
 10.1021/jp993688e.
- 1658
 [49]
 J. L. Brand, S. M. George, Effects of laser pulse char 1723

 1659
 acteristics and thermal desorption parameters on laser
 1724

 1660
 induced thermal desorption, Surf. Sci. 167 (1986) 341 1725

 1661
 362. doi:10.1016/0039-6028(86)90709-0.
 1726
- [50] K. Dreisewerd, M. Schürenberg, M. Karas, F. Hil- 1727
 lenkamp, Influence of the laser intensity and spot size on 1728

the desorption of molecules and ions in matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization with a uniform beam profile, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process. 141 (1995) 127–148. doi:10.1016/0168-1176(94)04108-J.

- [51] K. Oure, V. G. Lifshits, A. A. Saranin, A. V. Zotov, M. Katayama, Surface Science: An Introduction, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [52] R. M. Bouglas, Principles of adsorption and adsorption processes, Wiley-Interscience publication, New York, 1984.
- [53] K. Christmann, Introduction to Surface Physical Chemistry, Springer, Heidelberg, 1991.
- [54] L. V. Zhigilei, B. J. Garrison, Molecular dynamics simulation study of the fluence dependence of particle yield and plume composition in laser desorption and ablation of organic solids, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74 (1999) 1341–1343. doi:10.1063/1.123544.
- [55] S. Kuper, J. Brannon, K. Brannon, Threshold behavior in polyimide photoablation: Single-shot rate measurements and surface-temperature modeling, Appl. Phys. A 56 (1993) 43–50. doi:10.1007/BF00351902.
- [56] W. H. Dalzell, a. F. Sarofim, Optical Constants of Soot and Their Application to Heat-Flux Calculations, J. Heat Transfer 91 (1969) 100. doi:10.1115/1.3580063.
- [57] A. B. Djurišić, E. H. Li, Optical properties of graphite, J. Appl. Phys. 85 (1999) 7404-7410. doi:10.1063/1. 369370.
- [58] R. J. M. Konings, Comprehensive Nuclear Materials, Material Properties - Oxide Fuels for Light Water Reactors and Fast Neutron Reactors, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012. doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-056033-5.90001-6.
- [59] A. Butland, R. Maddison, The specific heat of graphite: an evaluation of measurements, J. Nucl. Mater. 49 (1973) 45–56. doi:10.1016/0022-3115(73)90060-3.
- [60] A. Guilloteau, M. L. Nguyen, Y. Bedjanian, G. Le Bras, Desorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soot surface: Pyrene and fluoranthene, J. Phys. Chem. A 112 (2008) 10552–10559. doi:10.1021/jp803043s.
- [61] Y. Bedjanian, M. L. Nguyen, A. Guilloteau, Desorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soot surface: Five- and six-ring (C₂₂, C₂₄) PAHS, J. Phys. Chem. A 114 (2010) 3533–3539. doi:10.1021/jp912110b.
- [62] A. Bogaerts, Z. Chen, R. Gijbels, A. Vertes, Laser ablation for analytical sampling: what can we learn from modeling?, Spectrochim. Acta B 58 (2003) 1867–1893. doi:10.1016/j.sab.2003.08.004.
- [63] D. Burgess Jr., P. C. Stair, E. Weitz, Calculations of the surface temperature rise and desorption temperature in laser-induced thermal desorption, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 4 (1986) 1362 – 1366. doi:10.1116/1.573571.
- [64] P. C. Stair, E. Weitz, Pulsed-laser-induced desorption from metal surfaces, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 4 (1987) 255– 260. doi:10.1364/JOSAB.4.000255.
- [65] J. Ho, C. Grigoropoulos, J. Humphrey, Computational study of heat transfer and gas dynamics in the pulsed laser evaporation of metals, J. Appl. Phys. 78 (1995) 4696-4709. doi:10.1063/1.359817.
- [66] J. Bechtel, Heating of solid targets with laser pulses,
 J. Appl. Phys. 46 (1975) 1585–1593. doi:10.1063/1.
 321760.
- [67] E. V. Gurentsov, A review on determining the refractive index function, thermal accommodation coefficient and evaporation temperature of light-absorbing nanoparticles suspended in the gas phase using the laser-induced incandescence, Nanotechnol. Rev. 7 (2018) 583–604.

- 1729 doi:10.1515/ntrev-2018-0080.
- [68] J. P. Cummings, J. T. Walsh Jr, Erbium laser ablation: 1795
 the effect of dynamic optical properties, Appl. Phy. 1796
 Lett. 62 (1993) 1988–1990. doi:10.1063/1.109512. 1797

1794

- [69] W. D. Ciro, E. G. Eddings, A. F. Sarofim, Experimental 1798
 and numerical investigation of transient soot buildup 1799
 on a cylindrical container immersed in a jet fuel pool 1800
 fire, Combust. Sci. Technol. 178 (2006) 2199–2218. doi: 1801
 10. 1080/00102200600626108.
- 1738
 [70]
 C. Pradère, J.-C. Batsale, J.-M. Goyhénèche, R. Pailler, 1803

 1739
 S. Dilhaire, Thermal properties of carbon fibers at very 1804

 1740
 high temperature, Carbon 47 (2009) 737–743. doi:10. 1805

 1741
 1016/j.carbon.2008.11.015.
- [71] K. A. Fichthorn, R. A. Miron, Thermal desorption of 1807
 large molecules from solid surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 1808
 (2002) 196103. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.196103. 1809
- [72] X. L. Han, Monte Carlo Least-Squares Fitting of Ex- 1810
 perimental Signal Waveforms Random Walk Method 1811
 Testing the Fitting Algorithm, J. Comput. Sci. 4 1812
 (2006) 1548–1555, URL: https://digitalcommons. 1813
 butler.edu/facsch_papers/750. 1814
- [73] S. H. Lee, D. M. Murphy, D. S. Thomson, A. M. Mid-1815
 dlebrook, Chemical components of single particles mea-1816
 sured with particle analysis by laser mass spectrome-1817
 try (PALMS) during the Atlanta supersite project: Fo-1818
 cus on organic/sulfate, lead, soot, and mineral par-1819
 ticles, J. Geophys. Res. 107 (2002) AAC1-13. doi: 1820
 10.1029/2000JD000011. 1821
- Y. Zhang, X. Wang, H. Chen, X. Yang, J. Chen, 1822
 J. O. Allen, Source apportionment of lead-containing 1823
 aerosol particles in shanghai using single particle mass 1824
 spectrometry, Chemosphere 74 (2009) 501–507. doi: 1825
 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.10.004.
- [75] M. Sekar, V. Sakthi, S. Rengaraj, Kinetics and equilibrium adsorption study of lead (II) onto activated carbon prepared from coconut shell, J. Colloid Interface 1829
 Sci. 279 (2004) 307–313. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2004. 1830
 06.042. 1831
- T. A. Saleh, Nanocomposite of carbon nanotubes/silica 1832
 nanoparticles and their use for adsorption of Pb(II): 1833
 from surface properties to sorption mechanism, De- 1834
 salination Water Treat. 57 (2016) 10730–10744. doi: 1835
 10.1080/19443994.2015.1036784. 1836
- 1772
 [77]
 E. Bottani, J. M. Tascon, Adsorption of Inorganic 1837

 1773
 Species from Aqueous Solutions. Adsorption by Car- 1838

 1774
 bon, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008. doi:10.1016/ 1839

 1775
 B978-0-08-056033-5.90001-6.
 1840
- 1776
 [78] J. P. Chen, X. Wang, Removing copper, zinc, and lead 1841

 1777
 ion by granular activated carbon in pretreated fixed- 1842

 1778
 bed columns, Sep. Purif. Technol. 19 (2000) 157–167. 1843

 1779
 doi:10.1016/S1383-5866(99)00069-6.
 1844
- 1780
 [79]
 K. Kadirvelu, C. Faur-Brasquet, P. L. Cloirec, Removal 1845

 1781
 of Cu(II), Pb(II), and Ni(II) by adsorption onto acti- 1846

 1782
 vated carbon cloths, Langmuir 16 (2000) 8404–8409. 1847

 1783
 doi:10.1021/la0004810.
- 1784[80]Y. Zhang, C. Liu, W. Shi, Z. Wang, L. Dai, X. Zhang, 18491785Direct measurements of the interaction between pyrene 18501786and graphite in aqueous media by single molecule 18511787force spectroscopy: Understanding the π - π interac-1788tions, Langmuir 23 (2007) 7911–7915. doi:10.1021/185317891a700876d.
- [81] C. Ehli, N. Jux, D. Balbinot, G. M. A. Rah-1855
 man, D. M. Guldi, F. Paolucci, M. Marcaccio, 1856
 D. Paolucci, M. Melle-Franco, F. Zerbetto, S. Campi-1857
 delli, M. Prato, Interactions in Single Wall Carbon Nan-1858

otubes/Pyrene/Porphyrin Nanohybrids, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 (2006) 11222–11231. doi:10.1021/ja0624974.

- [82] T. L. Sordo, J. A. Sordo, R. Flórez, Theoretical study of adsorption of hydrocarbons on graphite, J. Comput. Chem. 11 (1990) 291-296. doi:10.1002/jcc. 540110303.
- [83] J. T. Dickinson, J. J. Shin, S. C. Langford, The role of defects in laser induced positive ion emission from ionic crystals, Appl. Surf. Sci. 96-98 (1996) 316–320. doi:10.1016/0169-4332(95)00436-X.
- [84] D. Duca, C. Irimiea, A. Faccinetto, J. A. Noble, M. Vojkovic, Y. Carpentier, I. K. Ortega, C. Pirim, C. Focsa, On the benefits of using multivariate analysis in mass spectrometric studies of combustion-generated aerosols, Faraday Discuss. 218 (2019) 115–137. doi:10.1039/ C8FD00238J.
- [85] C. Focsa, D. Duca, J. A. Noble, M. Vojkovic, Y. Carpentier, C. Pirim, C. Betrancourt, P. Desgroux, T. Trischer, J. Spielvogel, M. Rahman, A. Boies, K. F. Lee, A. N. Bhave, S. Legendre, O. Lancry, P. Kreutziger, M. Rieker, Multi-technique physicochemical characterization of particles generated by a gasoline engine: towards measuring tailpipe emissions below 23 nm, Atmos. Environ. 235 (2020) 117642. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117642.
- [86] P. A. Turhanen, J. J. Vepsäläinen, S. Peräniemi, Advanced material and approach for metal ions removal from aqueous solutions, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015) 8992. doi: 10.1038/srep08992.
- [87] S. Cao, B. Li, R. Zhu, H. Pang, Design and synthesis of covalent organic frameworks towards energy and environment fields, Chem. Eng. J. 355 (2019) 602–623. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2018.08.184.
- [88] D. Benetti, R. Nouar, R. Nechache, H. Pepin, A. Sarkissian, F. Rosei, J. MacLeod, Combined magnetron sputtering and pulsed laser deposition of *TiO*₂ and *BFCO* thin films, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 2503–2512. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02284-0.
- [89] H. Matsumura, H. Umemoto, K. K. Gleason, R. Schropp, Catalytic Chemical Vapor Deposition: Technology and Applications of Cat-CVD, Wiley-VCH, Germany, 2019.
- [90] J. Perrière, C. Hebert, N. Jedrecy, W. Seiler, O. Zanellato, X. Portier, R. Perez-Casero, E. Millon, M. Nistor, On the relevance of large scale pulsed-laser deposition: Evidence of structural heterogeneities in ZnO thin films, J. Appl. Phys. 116 (2014) 123502. doi: 10.1063/1.4896379.
- [91] F. Chiarella, C. A. Perroni, F. Chianese, M. Barra, G. M. De Luca, V. Cataudella, A. Cassinese, Post-Deposition Wetting and Instabilities in Organic Thin Films by Supersonic Molecular Beam Deposition, Sci. Rep. 8 (2018) 12015. doi:10.1038/ s41598-018-30567-7.
- [92] C. Irimiea, A. Faccinetto, X. Mercier, I. K. Ortega, N. Nuns, E. Therssen, P. Desgroux, C. Focsa, Unveiling trends in soot nucleation and growth: When secondary ion mass spectrometry meets statistical analysis, Carbon 144 (2019) 815-830. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2018. 12.015.
- [93] C. Irimiea, A. Faccinetto, Y. Carpentier, I. K. Ortega, N. Nuns, E. Therssen, P. Desgroux, C. Focsa, A comprehensive protocol for chemical analysis of flame combustion emissions by secondary ion mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 32 (2018) 1015–1025.

- 1859 doi:10.1002/rcm.8133.
- [94] J. Dunkley, M. Bucher, P. G. Ferreira, K. Moodley, C. Skordis, Fast and reliable Markov chain Monte Carlo technique for cosmological parameter estimation, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 356 (2005) 925–936. doi:10.1111/ j.1365-2966.2004.08464.x.
- [95] J. Akeret, S. Seehars, A. Amara, A. Refregier, A. Csillaghy, CosmoHammer: Cosmological parameter estimation with the MCMC Hammer, Astron. Comput. 2
 (2013) 27–39. doi:10.1016/j.ascom.2013.06.003.
- [96] D. Foreman-Mackey, D. W. Hogg, D. Lang, J. Goodman, EMCEE: The MCMC Hammer, Publ. Astron.
 Soc. Pac. 125 (2012) 306–312. doi:10.1086/670067.
- [97] S. T. Buckland, K. P. Burnham, N. H. Augustin, Model
 selection: an integral part of inference, Biometrics 53
 (1997) 603–618. doi:10.2307/2533961.
- 1875 [98] D. R. Anderson, "Chapter 5: Multimodel inference" in Model based inference in the life sciences: A primer on evidence, Springer Science & Business Media LLC, New York, 2007.