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## Synthesis of 1,1'-diamino-4,4'-bipyridinium iodide

The synthesis of 4-carboxy-1-methylpyridinium iodide was made according to the literature. ${ }^{1}$ 4,4'-bipyridine ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 15 ml of water, stirring at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid ( 5.67 g ; 0.05 mol in 10 ml H H ) , previously neutralized at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with KOH water solution ( $10 \mathrm{ml}, 5 \mathrm{M}$ ), was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. Then a concentrated $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $3.45 \mathrm{mg} ; 5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$ ) ) was added. The potassium sulfate was precipitated by addition 150 ml of methanol and filtered off. After, a concentrated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HI}(57 \%)$ was gradually added to the yellow filtrate, till the pH was reduced to 5 . The resulting golden-yellow precipitate of 1,1'-diamino-4,4'-bipyridinium iodide was filtered off and washed with acetone. The yield is $88.5 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49$, (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

[^0]Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for $\left(\mathrm{AmV}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}$.

| Empirical formula | C15 H18 Bi I6 N6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1252.73 |
| Temperature | 293(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54184 Å |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{lll} a=8.4259(4) \AA & \text { alpha }=89.524(4) \text { deg. } \\ b=12.6618(7) \AA & \text { beta }=85.807(4) \text { deg. } \\ c=12.7182(6) \AA & \text { gamma }=81.320(4) \text { deg. } \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 1337.73(12) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,3.110 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $67.412 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1102 |
| Crystal size | $0.030 \times 0.032 \times 0.067 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.484 to 73.010 deg. |
| Limiting indices | -8<=h<=10, -15<=k<=15, -15<=\|<= 15 |
| Reflections collected / unique | $7863 / 5031\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {(int) }}=0.0348\right]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=70.000$ | 96.8\% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5031/6/256 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.086 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2 \mathrm{sigma}(\mathrm{l})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0437, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1171$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0495, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1330$ |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.653 and -3.268 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table S2. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for $\left(\mathrm{AmV}_{2}\left(\left.\mathrm{Bi}_{4}\right|_{16}\right)\right.$.

| Empirical formula | C10 H12 Bi2 I8 N4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1621.40 |
| Temperature | 298(4) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54184 Å |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} a=10.3705(7) \AA & \text { alpha }=69.660(7) \text { deg. } \\ b=11.6684(9) \AA & \text { beta }=67.575(7) \text { deg. } \\ c=13.2140(10) \AA & \text { gamma }=85.168(6) \text { deg. } \end{array}$ |
| Volume | $1383.8(2) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,3.891 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $94.786 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1380 |
| Crystal size | $0.094 \times 0.176 \times 0.398 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.852 to 72.615 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-12<=h<=10,-14<=k<=12,-16<=\mid<=9$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $9191 / 5305\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {(int) }}=0.0448\right]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=70.000$ | 98.6\% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5305 / 0 / 217 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.050 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma $(1)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0478, w R 2=0.1258$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0532, \mathrm{wR2}=0.1373$ |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 3.350 and -2.521 e.A^-3 |



Figure S1. PXRD Patterns of $(\mathrm{AmV})_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}$ : simulated (black line); experimental diffractogram of powder (red line); experimental diffractogram of thin film (blue line) deposed on a layer of FTO (*).


Figure S2. PXRD Patterns of $(A m V)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{l}_{16}\right)$ : simulated (black line); experimental diffractogram of powder (red line); experimental diffractogram of thin film (blue line) deposed on a layer of FTO (*).


Figure S3. (AmV) $\mathrm{Bi}_{5}$ : PXRD pattern (black line); Profile fitting* (red line) and difference (gray line). Gray boxes correspond to FTO lines.

## *Result of profile fitting refinements <br> R-Values

Rexp:0.24 Rwp : 1.45 Rp : 1.08 GOF : 6.10
Rexp`: 1.75 Rwp`: 10.67 Rp`: 12.38 DW : 0.25

## Background

Chebychev polynomial, Coefficient 0 137358; 1 -56718.74;2 2807.854

```
3 10401.17;4 -9248.687;5 920.5282;6 -4408.853;7 -1083.094
```

Instrument
Primary radius (mm) ..... 217.5
Secondary radius (mm) ..... 217.5
Corrections
Zero Error ..... 0.1
Miscellaneous
Start X ..... 9
Finish X ..... 60
Excluded Regions (FTO lines)
Start/Finish ..... $26.1 \quad 27.12$
Start/Finish ..... $37.45 \quad 38.27$
Start/Finish ..... $51.15 \quad 52.21$
Start/Finish ..... 54.3655
Pawley methodR-Bragg38.796
Spacegroup ..... P21
Cell Volume ( $\mathrm{Å}^{\wedge} 3$ ) ..... 1046.7
Lattice parameters *
a ( $\AA$ ) ..... 6.367
b (Å) ..... 15.106
C (A) ..... 102.071
Crystallite Size
Cry Size Lorentzian (nm) ..... 85.1

* The unit cell parameters, obtained from a single-crystal study of a very thin crystal ( 200 K ), are: $\mathrm{a}=$ $6.385(4) \AA$; $b=15.166(7) \AA$; $c=11.052(4) \AA$; beta $=102.57(5)^{\circ} ; V=1044.6(9) \AA^{3}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) of (AmV) $\mathrm{Bil}_{5}(\mathrm{a}): \delta 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; $(\mathrm{AmV}) \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~b}): \delta 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; and $(\mathrm{MV}) \mathrm{I}_{2}$ (c, MV= methylviologen): $\delta 9.29$ (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.77 (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S5. Tauc plot for compounds/thin films 1 (left) and 2 (right) (indirect band gap).


Figure S6. Absorbance spectra (left) and photo of crystallized powders of $\mathrm{AmVI}_{2}$ nd $\mathrm{MVI}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{MV}=$ methylviologen)).


Figure S7. Brillouin zones for $\mathrm{P}-1$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ crystal groups used for $\left(\mathrm{AmV}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathrm{AmV})_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{l}_{16}\right)$ (2), and (Amv)Bil (3), respectively.


Figure S8. (a) Project density of states (pDOS) computed at the HSEO6 level for (top) $\left(\mathrm{AmV}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1})$,
 top of the valence band. (b) Corresponding computed absorption coefficient

Table S3. Summary of computational results (GGA and HSEO6) for (AmV) $)_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}(1)$ and $(A m V)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{16}\right)$ (2), (Amv) $\mathrm{Bil}_{5}$ (3) and the cis-connected system (DMES)Bil ${ }_{5}$.

|  | $(\mathrm{AmV})_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bil}_{6}\right)_{2}$ | $(\mathrm{AmV})_{2} \mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{16}$ | (AmV) $\mathrm{Bi}_{5}$ |  | (DMES)Bil ${ }_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band gap (full system) | Direct @ V | Indirect $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ | Direct @ Y |  |  |
| Band gap (inorganic lattice) | Indirect $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ | Direct @ Z | Direct @ Y |  | Direct @ R |
| GGA E $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 0.12 | 0.71 | 0.50 |  |  |
| GGA Inorganic lattice $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 1.30 | 1.26 | 0.50 |  | 0.74 |
| HSEO6 E ${ }_{\text {G }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 0.96 | 1.65 | 1.00 |  |  |
| HSE06 Inorganic lattice $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 2.15 | 2.02 | 1.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{m}_{\perp}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\\|}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\\|}$ |
| GGA hole ( $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ ) | -0.280 | -0.400 | -0.150 | -2.800 | -0.270 |
| GGA electron ( $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ ) | 0.240 | 0.310 | 0.340 | 0.060 | 0.200 |



Figure S9. Electronic structure of zigzag cis-Bil ${ }_{5}$ networks. (a) Band structure of (DMES)Bil ${ }_{5}$ computed using the revPBE functional and a background charge to mimic the effect of organic cations. The origin of the energy scale is taken at the top of the valence band. (b) Wavefunction modulus taken at the valence band maximum (blue, bottom) and conduction band minimum (top, red). (c) Brillouin zone of the $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ group. Because of the zigzag cis-connected configuration of the Bil chain, a coupling is observed between central iodides leading to a ten times smaller hole effective mass in the direction of the wire than with the trans-connected configuration. By contrast, at the conduction band minimum, the coupling is lesser in the cis than in the trans-Bils network and the electron effective mass increases by a factor 3 .


Figure S10. Electronic properties of trans-connected $\mathrm{Bil}_{5}$ model structures. (a) Band structure of the model Bils-chains with short $4 \AA$ inter-chain distance computed using the revPBE functional and a background charge to mimic the effect of organic cations. The origin of the energy scale is taken at the top of the valence band. (b) Same with the model Bils-chains with increased ( 12 Å) inter-chain distance. (c) Wavefunctions modulus taken at the conduction band maximum (red), valence band maximum (blue) and next lower lying valence bands (blue) computed for the $4 \AA$ model. The corresponding electron and hole effective masses are given below. (d) Same for the $12 \AA$ model. Considering the large distance between chains, only a single chain is depicted. The gray areas mark states with low effective masses. In both configurations, CBMs consist in overlapping $\operatorname{Bi}\left(6 p_{\chi}\right)$ orbitals leading to highly dispersive bands and small electron effective masses. In the short inter-chain distance model, the VBM consists in inter-chain couplings between dangling iodides. As a consequence, there is no contribution in the chain direction and, thus, a large hole effective mass along the chain. In the long-distance model, this valence state is lowered in energy and the VBM is formed by intra-chain electronic couplings. However, the coupling between $\mathrm{I}\left(5 p_{x}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Bi}(6 s)$ orbitals that leads to strong band dispersion and lower hole effective mass is not the top valence band. Thus, the hole transporting properties of the isolated trans-connected Bils chains remain limited.


Figure S11. Electronic properties of trans-connected $\mathrm{Pb}_{5}$ model structures. (a) Band structure of the model $\mathrm{Pbl}_{5}$-chains with short $4 \AA$ inter-chain distance computed using the revPBE functional and a background charge to mimic the effect of organic cations. The origin of the energy scale is taken at the top of the valence band. (b) Same with the model Pbls-chains with increased ( 12 A ) inter-chain distance. (c) Wavefunctions modulus taken at the conduction band maximum (red), valence band maximum (blue) and next lower lying valence bands (blue) computed for the $4 \AA$ model. The corresponding electron and hole effective masses are given below. (d) Same for the $12 \AA$ model. Considering the large distance between chains, only a single chain is depicted. The gray areas mark states with low effective masses. In both configurations, CBMs consist in overlapping $\operatorname{Pb}\left(6 p_{x}\right)$ orbitals leading to highly dispersive bands and small electron effective masses. In the short inter-chain distance model, the VBM consists in inter-chain couplings between dangling iodides and a large hole effective mass along the chain. In the long-distance model, this valence state is lowered in energy and the VBM is formed by intra-chain couplings. By contrast with the Bi-chain, the efficient coupling between $\mathrm{I}\left(5 p_{x}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(6 s)$ orbitals leading to low hole effective masses becomes the top valence band. As a consequence, the hole transporting properties of the isolated trans-connected $\mathrm{Pbl}_{5}$ chains are considerably improved.


Figure S12. Projected density of states (pDOS) of trans-connected $\mathrm{MI}_{5}$ model structures ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Bi}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ). Bil $_{5}$ (top) and $\mathrm{Pbl}_{5}$ (bottom) isolated wires computed using the revPBE functional and a background charge to keep charge neutrality, i.e. mimic the presence of organic cations. The origin of the energy scale is taken at the top of the valence band. $\mathrm{Bi}(6 s)$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(6 s)$ contributions have been multiplied by 2 to improve readability. The reduced $\mathrm{Pb}(6 s)-\mathrm{Pb}(6 p)$ splitting with respect to the $\mathrm{Bi}(6 s)-\mathrm{Bi}(6 p)$ one, increase the energy of the anti-bonding coupling between $6 s$ metal orbitals and $6 p_{x}$ bridging iodine orbitals leading to the highly efficient hole transporting state that then becomes the VBM in the case of $\mathrm{Pbl}_{5}$ chain.


Figure S13. Profilometry measurement of a thin film of (AmV)Bils.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Szafran, M.; Koput, J.; Dega-Szafran, Z.; Katrusiak, A. J. Mol. Struc. 2006, 797, 66-81.

