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Abstract

**Purpose:** We aimed to compare spatial extent of high-grade subregions detected with combined $[^{18}\text{F}]$-dihydroxyphenylalanine ($[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA) PET and MRI to the one provided by advanced multimodal MRI alone including contrast-enhanced (CE) and perfusion weighted imaging (PWI). Then, we compared the accuracy between imaging modalities, in a per biopsy analysis.

**Methods:** Participants with suspected diffuse glioma were prospectively included between June 2018 and September 2019. Volumes of high-grade subregions were delineated respectively on $[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA PET and MRI (CE and PWI). Up to three per-surgical neuronavigation-guided biopsies were performed per patient.

**Results:** Thirty-eight biopsy samples from sixteen participants were analyzed. Six participants (38%) had grade IV IDH wild-type glioblastoma, six (38%) had grade III IDH-mutated astrocytoma and four (24%) had grade II IDH-mutated gliomas. Three patients had intratumoral heterogeneity with coexisting high- and low-grade tumor subregions. High-grade volumes determined with combined $[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA PET/MRI (median of 1.7 [interquartile range (IQR) 0.0,19.1] mL) were larger than with multimodal MRI alone (median 1.3 [IQR 0.0,12.8] mL) with low overlap (median Dice’s coefficient 0.24 [IQR 0.08,0.59]). Delineation volumes were substantially increased in five (31%) patients. In a per biopsy analysis, combined $[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA PET/MRI detected high-grade subregions with an accuracy of 58% compared to 42% (p=0.03) with CE MRI alone and 50% (p=0.25) using multimodal MRI (CE+PWI).

**Conclusions:** The addition of $[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI (CE and PWI) enlarged the delineation volumes and enhanced overall accuracy for detection of high-grade subregions. Thus, combining $[^{18}\text{F}]$-DOPA with advanced MRI may improve treatment planning in newly diagnosed gliomas.

**Key Words:** Positron-Emission Tomography; Glioma; Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Perfusion Weighted Imaging
Text

Introduction

Gliomas are the most common primary malignant brain tumors in adults. Extent of tumor resection is one of the most important prognostic factors [1]. Beyond the purposes of histological diagnosis, surgical resection serves to remove as much of the tumor as is safely achievable, while preventing new permanent neurological deficits [2]. Nevertheless, in these highly heterogeneous tumors with possible coexistence of high- and low-grade subregions, neoplastic cells diffusely infiltrate the brain far beyond the tumor core, and gliomas cannot be cured surgically [1–4]. Presurgical identification of high-grade subregions extent is of major importance, as it may influence the risk-benefit assessment determining the extent of tumor resection and ensure accurate pathological diagnosis.

MRI with and without contrast agent injection is currently the imaging method of reference for revealing high-grade glioma (HGG) subregions [2]. However, approximately one third of nonenhancing gliomas are malignant [5], and one quarter of low-grade gliomas show contrast enhancement (CE) [6]. Furthermore, in these heterogeneous tumors, CE extent does not always reflect all the HGG extent. Several neuroimaging tools have been developed to detect nonenhancing HGG burden, such as perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) and amino-acid PET [7, 8]. PWI is extensively used to characterize gliomas at diagnosis [9]. Based on dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging, regional cerebral blood volume (rCBV) maps are calculated, highlighting neoangiogenesis suggestive of HGG transformation [10]. Amino-acid PET, including [18F]-fluoro-ethyl-tyrosine (18F-FET), [11C]-methionine and [18F]-dihydroxyphenylalanine (18F-DOPA) PET, has been increasingly investigated in the past decade and is the subject of recent guidelines [8, 11, 12]. We hypothesized that 18F-DOPA PET and multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) complement each other to detect and define spatial extend of HGG prior treatment, and that combined interpretation of multimodal MRI and 18F-DOPA PET improves accuracy for detection of brain areas with HGG infiltration.

In the present study, we aimed, in patients with newly suspected gliomas, to evaluate whether adding 18F-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) substantially modified delineation volumes of high-grade subregions. Then, we compared accuracy between imaging modalities, in a per biopsy analysis.

Materials and methods
Study participants

Between June 2018 and September 2019, we prospectively included consecutive participants with newly suspected supratentorial diffuse glioma (World Health Organization (WHO) Grades II-IV) referred to our institution for surgical resection. Included participants had to be at least 18 years old and covered by national health insurance. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, emergency situations, and carbidopa, catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibitor, haloperidol or reserpine medication, as well as the absence of biopsy or surgical resection. All participants provided their written informed consent. This study was validated by an institutional review board and registered as a clinical trial (NCT03525080). Data generated or analyzed during the study are available from the corresponding author by request.

18F-DOPA PET/CT protocol

18F-DOPA was produced via nucleophilic route by Curium Pharma (France) with a mean specific activity of 500 GBq/µmol. After at least 4 hours’ fasting, participants were slowly injected with 2MBq/kg of 18F-DOPA intravenously, without carbidopa premedication. A 20-minute PET data acquisition session was conducted 10 minutes after injection with a Biograph mCT Flow (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) integrated PET/CT system, in accordance with current recommendations [11]. PET images were reconstructed with attenuation correction, without point-spread function correction, using a fully 3D ordered-subset expectation maximization algorithm (8 iterations and 21 subsets) with a 400 x 400, matrix, 1 x 1 x 2 mm³ voxel size. A Gaussian 3D kernel of 3 mm full width at half maximum was applied.

MRI protocol

Data acquisition was performed on a Magnetom Prisma 3T (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany), and included 3D-T1 MPRAGE-weighted imaging before and 6.5 minutes after gadolinium-based contrast agent (gadoteric acid) injection (repetition time (TR) = 1900 ms; inversion time (TI) = 900 ms; echo time (TE) = 2.26 ms), and dynamic susceptibility contrast PWI (TR = 1250 ms; TE = 30 ms). Corrected rCBV images were calculated with the DSCoMAN plugin (Duke University) in ImageJ v.1.52a (NIH). CE images were obtained by registering and subtracting T1-weighted images without contrast from those obtained after contrast agent injection. Fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) weighted images were also performed.

Surgical resections and biopsies
Surgeries were performed under general anesthesia by a senior neurosurgeon (PJ.LR.), with the aid of neuronavigation from StealthStation S7 (Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland) based on morphological MRI. Up to three per-surgical neuronavigation-guided biopsies were performed per participant, depending on the tumor size. To avoid multiple burr holes, biopsies were performed after craniotomy, but before durotomy, with Sedan needles, to limit brain-shift. Biopsy targets were previously selected according to a consensus agreement between the neurosurgeon and the nuclear medicine physician. All biopsies were located in areas of FLAIR hyperintensity. With the aim to identify imaging characteristics to differentiate high-grade and low-grade subregions, biopsies sites were targeted both in areas with and without imaging characteristics of HGG (\(^{18}\text{F-DOPA}\) increased uptake, contrast enhancement and neoangiogenesis). Imaging characteristics of each biopsy site are detailed in Supplementary Material 1.

**Pathology**

Each resection specimen and biopsy sample was collected, prepared and analyzed blind to imaging by two certified pathologists (A.M. and DC.C.). Samples were prepared using standard histopathological techniques. Diagnosis and grading were performed on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections stained by hematoxylin and eosin, using complementary techniques to detect isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutation and 1p19q codeletion, in accordance with the WHO 2016 Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System [13]. Each resection specimen and biopsy sample was graded independently. If grades were heterogeneous between samples from the same tumor, the WHO tumor grade was based on the highest-grade component.

**Image analysis**

Image processing and analysis were performed using MIPAV v7.4.0 (NIH) by a senior nuclear medicine physician (A.G.) and a senior radiologist (B.CN.). PET and MRI images were post hoc registered for each participant, and the perfect spatial correspondence was visually checked. A reference area was drawn on one slice including the whole normal contralateral hemisphere at the centrum semiovale level. \(^{18}\text{F-DOPA}\) PET images were normalized by the maximum standardized uptake value of the reference area. Based on these normalized uptake images, \(^{18}\text{F-DOPA}\) PET high-grade subregions volumes (\(^{18}\text{F-DOPA}_{\text{VOI}}\)) were defined semi-automatically as including all voxels with a tumor-to-brain ratio (TBR) higher than 1.7, in accordance with previously published data [14]. For MRI, \(\text{CE}_{\text{VOI}}\) included all the voxels with a signal higher than the mean signal of the reference area + two standard deviations, and \(\text{rCBV}_{\text{VOI}}\) were defined with a threshold of 1.6 times the mean signal of the reference area [15]. For each defined volume, signals related to noise or normal structures were manually...
removed (e.g., striatum in \(^{18}\text{F}-\text{DOPA}\) PET, and blood vessels in CE and rCBV images). Two additional volumes were defined respectively as \(\text{MRI}_{\text{VOI}}\) the union of \(\text{CE}_{\text{VOI}}\) and \(\text{rCBV}_{\text{VOI}}\), and \(\text{^{18}\text{F}-\text{DOPA/MRI}}_{\text{VOI}}\) the union of \(\text{^{18}\text{F}-\text{DOPA}}_{\text{VOI}}\) and \(\text{MRI}_{\text{VOI}}\). Biopsy sites were located on images based on neuronavigational data as 1mL cubic volumes. Defined tumor volumes of at least one voxel were required for tumors and/or biopsy sites to be considered positive (+) respectively for each image series.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with MedCalc® version 12.5.0.0 (Medcalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Volumes regarded as high-grade tumor infiltration were described as median and inter-quartile range (IQR). Tumor volumes were considered substantially different between imaging modalities if they varied of more than 2 mL, or switched from null to non-null. Volumes overlaps were described using the Dice similarity coefficient (DSC). Diagnostic performances are reported with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and were compared pairwise with the McNemar t-test. Uptake ratios and pathological features were compared with the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test. An alpha risk of 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance.

Results

Participants and tumors

Nineteen participants were prospectively included. Three were excluded because they didn’t undergo both targeted biopsy and surgical resection. For two of these participants surgeries were performed in other hospitals, and for one participant biopsies alone were preferred to surgical resection. Sixteen participants and 38 biopsy samples were therefore considered for the analysis (Fig. 1). Participants and tumors characteristics are presented in Table 1. Median age was 40 [IQR 27, 55] years, with an M/F sex ratio of 10/6 (63%). The median interval between PET and MRI was 3 [IQR 2, 7] days, and the median delay between PET and surgery was 15 [IQR 14, 36] days.

Tumor imaging characteristics and volumes

High-grade tumor volumes defined with each imaging modality are presented in Table 2. High-grade volumes determined with combined \(^{18}\text{F}-\text{DOPA}\) PET and multimodal (CE + PWI) MRI \(\text{^{18}\text{F}-\text{DOPA/MRI}}_{\text{VOI}}\) were larger than with multimodal (CE + PWI) MRI \(\text{MRI}_{\text{VOI}}\) alone, with medians of 1.7 [IQR 0.0, 19.1] mL and 1.3 mL respectively.
[IQR 0.0, 12.8] mL, respectively. When measurable (positive with both modalities involved), median overlap calculated with DSC was 0.24 [IQR 0.08, 0.59] between $^{18}$F-DOPA$_{VOI}$ and MRI$_{VOI}$, 0.28 [IQR 0.17, 0.58] between $^{18}$F-DOPA$_{VOI}$ and CE$_{VOI}$, 0.27 [IQR 0.03, 0.53] between $^{18}$F-DOPA$_{VOI}$ and rCBV$_{VOI}$ and 0.24 [IQR 0.00, 0.40] between CE$_{VOI}$ and rCBV$_{VOI}$.

Adding $^{18}$F-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) substantially impacted delineation of high-grade tumor volumes in 5 out of 16 (31%) patients. It raised (> 2 mL) volumes in 3 out of 7 (43%) participants with enhancing glioma (participants 01, 08 and 10), and in 1 out of 9 (11%) with non-enhancing tumor (participant 19). Moreover, $^{18}$F-DOPA PET revealed HGG infiltration in 1 out of 7 (14%) non-enhancing HGG without neoangiogenesis on PWI (participant 05). There was neither MRI(+)$^{18}$F-DOPA(-) HGG, nor $^{18}$F-DOPA(+) low-grade glioma. Main patterns of HGG with multimodal $^{18}$F-DOPA PET/MRI are shown in Fig. 2.

**Multimodal imaging diagnostic performance, in a per biopsy analysis**

All the 38 biopsy samples analyzed corresponded to cellular glioma tissue, 5 (13%) samples were WHO Grade IV glioma, 24 (63%) were Grade III, and 9 (24%) were Grade II. Five (31%) tumors were found with intratumoral heterogeneous grades with coexisting grades: II/III for two tumors, II/IV for one tumor, and III/IV for two tumors.

Diagnostic performance of each imaging modality is presented in Table 3. Adding $^{18}$F-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) led to correctly identify three more HGG subregions from three different participants, providing an accuracy of 58% compared to 50% with multimodal MRI alone (p=0.25). Sensitivity was 52% versus 41% (p=0.25), respectively, and specificity of 78% for both (p=1.00). $^{18}$F-DOPA/MRI provided significantly higher accuracy than CE MRI alone that was 42% (p=0.03), which had a sensitivity of 28% (p=0.02) and a specificity of 89% (p=1.00).

**Discussion**

In this study we investigated whether combining $^{18}$F-DOPA PET and multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) improves delineation of malignant subregions in treatment-naïve enhancing and non-enhancing suspected gliomas. First, adding $^{18}$F-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI significantly enlarged delineated high-grade tumor volumes, substantially impacting such regions in 5 out of 16 (31%) participants. Second, in a per biopsy analysis, combined $^{18}$F-DOPA PET and multimodal MRI provided a sensitivity of 52% to detect high-grade tumor
Intratumoral heterogeneity is a key issue in the management of patients with newly suspected gliomas. Detection and delineation of malignant subregions are of major importance to target biopsies and to plan treatments such as surgery and/or radiation therapy. Regarding surgical resection, obtaining complete resections is the objective [2, 7]. Since, for enhancing tumors, surgical resection aims to remove all the volume with contrast enhancement, non-enhancing high-grade subregions may be unintentionally left. 18F-DOPA PET and PWI can help to reveal such areas. Conversely, in non-enhancing tumors, resection extent is usually based on FLAIR hypersignal volume. If eloquent areas are included in such volume, tumor aggressiveness characterization in such subregions may help to deem acceptable or not to cause neurological damages regarding potential life-expectancy improvement [2]. Thus, to overcome limitations of conventional MRI sequences, the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group recently highlighted the potential interest of associating imaging modalities [16]. Amino-acid PET relies on the overexpression of large amino acid transport systems in glial tumors, and has been demonstrated to be of interest at several time-point during the course of glioma, from the tumor characterization to the detection of relapse [8]. When comparing tumor volumes delineated with PET and standard MRI prior radiation therapy, authors reported larger volumes with amino-acid PET than with CE MRI in high-grade gliomas [17, 18], with a limited overlap (mean DSC of 0.39 to 0.56) [19, 20]. Our results are in line with these data with medians 18F-DOPA_VOl larger than CE_VOl. The overlap between such modalities was slightly lower than previously reported data, with a median DSC of 0.28. Small volumes and limited overlaps might be explained by patient’s characteristics, since in the present study emergency situations preventing 18F-DOPA PET and MRI to be scheduled were exclusion criteria, the largest tumors may not have been included. Biopsy-controlled studies confirmed the improvement provided by amino-acid PET for tumor delineation in gliomas patients compared to CE MRI alone [19, 21]. Pauleit, et al. reported a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 94% of combined 18F-FET PET/MRI to identify cellular glioma tissue [21]. When focusing on high-grade subregions detection, Pafundi, et al. reported sensitivity of 73% for 18F-DOPA PET versus 27% for CE MRI alone, with 100% specificity for both [22]. These data are consistent with our results, with a sensitivity of 41% versus 28%, respectively, and a specificity of 89% for both. In retrospective survival studies, PET-guided complete resection increased the amount of anaplastic tissue removed and improved the survival of HGG patients [23], while non-resected amino-acid PET positive subregions predicted the sites of tumor progression [24]. Recently, Laack, et al. reported an increased survival in glioblastoma by taking into account intratumoral heterogeneity and
delivering dose-escalated radiotherapy with boost on high \(^{18}\)F-DOPA uptake areas suggestive of malignant subregions [25].

A few studies highlighted the spatial complementarity of amino-acid PET and advanced MRI modalities. Among such innovative techniques, PWI is one of the most widely used [9]. When comparing tumor volumes delineated with \(^{18}\)F-FET PET and PWI (rCBV), PET volume has been reported to be larger than rCBV-based volume [26], with a limited overlap (median DSC of 0.37) [27]. Tietze et al. reported spatial incongruency in 29% of HGG patients between rCBV maps and \(^{11}\)C-methionine PET [28]. In a voxelwise analysis, Tatekawa, et al. described a significant but weak correlation (r of approximately 0.30) of intensities between \(^{18}\)F-DOPA PET and rCBV maps [29]. In line with these results, in our study combining \(^{18}\)F-DOPA PET with MRI including PWI substantially impacted high-grade tumor detection and/or delineation in 31% of patients. According to the per biopsy pathological validation, combining \(^{18}\)F-DOPA PET with multimodal MRI significantly improved accuracy to 58% compared to 42% with standard MRI alone. Interestingly, the high specificity provided by combined \(^{18}\)F-DOPA/MRI suggests that subregions highlighted by hybrid imaging should be regarded has highgrade glioma infiltration and be considered in resection planning. More broadly, such combined advanced imaging modalities may also be useful for radiation planning or biopsy targeting.

Some other advanced MRI modalities have not been investigated in this study, such as diffusion-weighted imaging, MR spectroscopy and amide proton transfer imaging. These latter are less widely used [9], but might also be of additional interest for glial tumor delineation [30–33]. Moreover, emerging PET protocols such as dynamic amino-acid PET acquisitions have neither been investigated in our study [34, 35].

A great variety of cut-off values are used in published studies to delineate high-grade areas in glioma, such as TBR > 1.6 [15], TBR > 2.0 [18, 22], tumor-to-striatum ratio [36] or manual contour drawing [17, 37]. They all have some limitations. First, post-therapeutic changes and potential pharmacological interferences prevent cut-off values applied in progressive and/or recurrent tumors to be used at time of diagnosis [38, 39]. To date, no optimum biopsy-validated cut-off value has been established yet in glioma patients naïve to prior treatment [11, 38, 39]. Regarding tumor-to-striatum ratio, this method has been reported as less reliable since tumors and striatum do not share the same time-activity curve profile. Thus, this ratio is highly influenced by the injection-acquisition delay [39–41]. Finally, many technical considerations may explain heterogeneity across studies, such as injection-acquisition delay, spatial resolution of the PET system, presence of time-of-flight technology, reconstruction algorithms (notably the use of point-spread-function correction) and variety of
methods to define the reference area. In the present study, we used a threshold value of TBR = 1.7. This value was previously reported by Patel, et al. to differentiate high-grade and low-grade glioma at the tumor scale [14].

The present study had several limitations. First, the small size of the cohort may have limited the statistical power of the analysis. Second, the absence of simultaneous PET/MRI acquisition might be regarded as a limitation, but owing to the short interval between the two examinations and the perfect post hoc registration, we considered the consequences to be negligible. Finally, based on the literature, we chose a threshold value of rCBV > 1.6 to define rCBV\_VOI [15], but there is no consensus about the best rCBV threshold, and published data are highly heterogeneous, varying from 1.29 to 5.66 [41–43].

As a conclusion, adding 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI (with CE and PWI) in patients with treatment-naïve enhancing or non-enhancing suspected gliomas substantially increased high-grade subregions delineation volume in 31% of participants. Combined 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-DOPA PET/MRI enhanced accuracy for high-grade subregions detection compared to MRI alone, in a per biopsy analysis. With a high specificity, tumor subregions highlighted by hybrid imaging should be considered in treatment planning.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1
Flowchart of study participants. Results in a per-biopsy analysis are presented between brackets. It should be noted that some patients diagnosed with high-grade glioma had several biopsies revealing both high-grade and low-grade subregions.

Figure 2
Four multimodal imaging patterns of gliomas at first presentation. High-grade tumor infiltration volumes of interest obtained with $^{18}$F-DOPA PET (red), CE (blue) and rCBV (green) are delineated on FLAIR images on the lower line. The second and the third columns relate to patients whose high-grade glioma subregions delineation were substantially impacted by adding $^{18}$F-DOPA PET to multimodal MRI.

CE, contrast enhancement, $^{18}$F-DOPA, $^{[18F]}$-dihydroxyphenylalanine; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery; mt, mutant; rCBV, regional cerebral blood volume; wt, wild-type

Table 1
Participants and tumor characteristics.

Table 2
Tumor imaging characteristics.

Table 3
Diagnostic performance of each imaging modality ($^{18}$F-DOPA, CE and PWI) and combination (MRI and $^{18}$F-DOPA/MRI) for the detection of high-grade subregions of gliomas, in a per biopsy analysis.

Supplementary Material 1
Imaging characteristics of each individual biopsy site

CE, contrast enhancement, DOPA, $^{[18F]}$-dihydroxyphenylalanine; rCBV, regional cerebral blood volume; TBR, tumor-to-normal-brain ratio
Participants included
n=19

18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA PET
+ MRI with PWI

Targeted biopsies + surgical resection
n=16
[38 biopsy samples]

- Surgery in other centers (n=2)
- No surgical resection (n=1)

Low-grade glioma
n=4
[6 biopsy samples]

High-grade glioma : n=12
[32 biopsy samples]

- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA(+):
  1 biopsy sample
- CE(+):
  1 biopsy sample
- rCBV(+):
  2 biopsy samples
- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA or MRI(+):
  2 b. samples
- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA and MRI(-):
  7 b. samples

- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA(+):
  12 biopsy samples
- CE(+):
  7 biopsy samples
- rCBV(+):
  9 biopsy samples
- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA or MRI(+):
  15 b. samples
- 18\textsuperscript{F}-DOPA and MRI(-):
  14 b. samples
Table 1 Participants and tumour characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median [interquartile range]</td>
<td>40 [27, 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: men</td>
<td>10 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast-enhancement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing tumours</td>
<td>7 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-enhancing tumours</td>
<td>9 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHO tumour grades and types</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II: astrocytoma IDH-mutated</td>
<td>2 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oligodendroglioma IDH-mutated 1p/19q codelated</td>
<td>2 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III: astrocytoma IDH-mutated</td>
<td>6 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV: glioblastoma IDH-wild type</td>
<td>6 (38%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Tumour imaging characteristics (bolded results highlight participants for whom adding \textsuperscript{18}F-DOPA PET to MRI had a substantial impact on high-grade tumour detection and/or delineation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>WHO type</th>
<th>IDH mutated</th>
<th>1p/19q codelated</th>
<th>WHO grade</th>
<th>Number of biopsies</th>
<th>\textsuperscript{18}F-DOPA \textsubscript{VOI} (mL)</th>
<th>CEVOI (mL)</th>
<th>rCBV \textsubscript{VOI} (mL)</th>
<th>MRI \textsubscript{VOI} (mL)</th>
<th>\textsuperscript{18}F-DOPA/MRI \textsubscript{VOI} (mL)</th>
<th>\textsuperscript{18}F-DOPA/MRI \textsubscript{VOI} - MRI \textsubscript{VOI} (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oligodendrogioma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oligodendrogioma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median [inter-quartile range] 0.07 0.0 0.9 1.3 1.7

Note: "-" represents null volumes
Table 3 Diagnostic performance of each imaging modality (\(^{18}\text{F}\)-DOPA, CE and PWI) and combination (MRI and \(^{18}\text{F}\)DOPA/MRI) for the detection of high-grade subregions of gliomas, in a per biopsy analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CE(+)</th>
<th>PWI(+)</th>
<th>MRI(+) (CE(+) and/or PWI(+))</th>
<th>(^{18}\text{F})-DOPA(+)</th>
<th>(^{18}\text{F})-DOPA/MRI(+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>42% (16/38)</td>
<td>42% (16/38)</td>
<td>50% (19/38)</td>
<td>53% (20/38)</td>
<td>58% (22/38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95% confidence interval]</td>
<td>[26%, 58%]</td>
<td>[26%, 58%]</td>
<td>[34%, 66%]</td>
<td>[37%, 69%]</td>
<td>[41%, 74%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>28% (8/29)</td>
<td>31% (9/29)</td>
<td>41% (12/29)</td>
<td>41% (12/29)</td>
<td>52% (15/29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95% confidence interval]</td>
<td>[13%, 47%]</td>
<td>[15%, 51%]</td>
<td>[24%, 61%]</td>
<td>[24%, 61%]</td>
<td>[33%, 71%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>89% (8/9)</td>
<td>78% (7/9)</td>
<td>78% (7/9)</td>
<td>89% (8/9)</td>
<td>78% (7/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95% confidence interval]</td>
<td>[52%, 100%]</td>
<td>[40%, 97%]</td>
<td>[40%, 97%]</td>
<td>[52%, 100%]</td>
<td>[40%, 97%]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: CE, contrast enhancement; \(^{18}\text{F}\)-DOPA, \(^{18}\text{F}\)-dihydroxyphenylalanine; PWI, perfusion-weighted imaging