



**HAL**  
open science

# A Systematic Review on Selected Complications of Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy from Clinical and Biomechanical Perspectives

Elaheh Elyasi, Guillaume Cavalié, Antoine Perrier, Wilfrid Graff, Yohan Payan

► **To cite this version:**

Elaheh Elyasi, Guillaume Cavalié, Antoine Perrier, Wilfrid Graff, Yohan Payan. A Systematic Review on Selected Complications of Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy from Clinical and Biomechanical Perspectives. *Applied Bionics and Biomechanics*, 2021, 2021, pp.1-14. 10.1155/2021/9974666 . hal-03412786

**HAL Id: hal-03412786**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03412786v1>**

Submitted on 3 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **A systematic review on selected complications of open-wedge high tibial osteotomy from**  
2 **clinical and biomechanical perspectives**

3  
4 **Elaheh Elyasi<sup>a\*</sup>, Guillaume Cavalié<sup>b,c</sup>, Antoine Perrier<sup>a,d</sup>, Wilfrid Graff<sup>d</sup>, Yohan Payan<sup>a</sup>**

5 *<sup>a</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, TIMC-IMAG, 38000 Grenoble, France;*

6 *<sup>b</sup> Service de chirurgie orthopédique et Traumatologie, site nord., CHU Grenoble-Alpes, La*  
7 *Tronche, France*

8 *<sup>c</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire d'Anatomie des Alpes Françaises, Domaine de la Merci,*  
9 *38700 La Tronche, France*

10 *<sup>d</sup> Service de chirurgie osseuse et traumatologique, centre de référence des infections ostéo-*  
11 *articulaires complexes, groupe hospitalier Diaconesses–Croix Saint-Simon, 125, rue d'Avron,*  
12 *75020 Paris, France*

13  
14 **\*Corresponding author. Email: [elyasi.elaheh@gmail.com](mailto:elyasi.elaheh@gmail.com)**

15  
16 **Authors' email addresses:**

17 Guillaume Cavalié: [gcavalie@chu-grenoble.fr](mailto:gcavalie@chu-grenoble.fr)

18 Antoine Perrier: [perrier.antoine@gmail.com](mailto:perrier.antoine@gmail.com)

19 Wilfrid Graff: [wilfrid.graff@wanadoo.fr](mailto:wilfrid.graff@wanadoo.fr)

20 Yohan Payan: [yohan.payan@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](mailto:yohan.payan@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

21  
22  
23 Word count main text: 4823

24 Word count abstract: 279

31        **Abstract**

32

33        **Background**

34        The wedge opened during high tibial osteotomy defines the alignment correction in different body  
35        planes and alters soft tissue insertions. Although multiple complications of the surgery can be  
36        correlated to this, there is still a lack of consensus on the occurrence of those complications and  
37        their cause. The current study aims to clarify this problem using a combined medical and  
38        biomechanical perspective.

39        **Methods**

40        We conducted a systematic review of the literature on selective complications of the surgery  
41        correlated with the angles of the opened wedge. Search topics covered tibial slope alteration,  
42        patellar height alteration, medial collateral ligament release, and model-based biomechanical  
43        simulations related to surgical planning or complications.

44        **Findings**

45        The selection process with the defined inclusion/exclusion criteria led to the collection of  
46        qualitative and quantitative data from 38 articles. Medial collateral ligament tightness can be a  
47        valid complication of this surgery; however, further information about its pre-operative condition  
48        seems required for better interpreting the results. The posterior tibial slope significantly increases  
49        and the patellar height (using the Blackburne-Peel ratio) significantly decreases in the majority of  
50        the selected studies. Model-based biomechanical studies targeting surgical planning are mostly  
51        focused on the lower-limb alignment principles and tibiofemoral contact balancing rather than  
52        surgical complications.

53        **Interpretation**

54        Increased posterior tibial slope, patellar height decrease, and medial collateral ligament tightness  
55        can occur due to alterations in different body planes and in soft tissue insertions after wedge  
56        opening. This study clarified that information about pre-operative alignment in all body planes and  
57        soft-tissue conditions should be considered in order to avoid and anticipate these complications  
58        and to improve per surgery wedge adaptation. The findings and perspective of this review can  
59        contribute to improving the design of future clinical and biomechanical studies.

60

61        **Key-words** Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy • Medial Collateral Ligament tightness •  
62        Posterior tibial slope • Patellar position • Biomechanical simulation

63

64 **1. Introduction**

65 Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy (OWHTO) is a joint preserving treatment for medial knee  
66 osteoarthritis used especially for young and active patients with a varus deformity [1–3]. The  
67 purpose of this surgery is to transfer the excessive axial loads applied on the medial compartment  
68 of the knee to the lateral compartment. This can be achieved by performing a wedge osteotomy on  
69 the medial side of the proximal tibia that results in moving the mechanical axis of the lower limb  
70 to pass through the lateral tibiofemoral compartment rather than the medial compartment [4,5].

71 The main difficulty of this surgery is to find the correct modification of limb alignment that  
72 significantly reduces the pressure and contact area of the medial compartment without increasing  
73 these values in the lateral compartment or creating laxity in valgus. The amount of the required  
74 correction is traditionally defined based on the frontal projected X-ray. In these cases, the patient's  
75 X-ray is used to define the weight-bearing axis (WBA) or the standing hip–knee–ankle (HKA)  
76 angle, and the surgeon decides on the correction amount based on a recommended zone that has  
77 been suggested by the literature for either of these parameters [6–8]. However, these protocols do  
78 not seem to be the optimum solution for all the patients because the follow-up studies show a high  
79 rate of under or over-corrected cases [5] with deterioration of the outcomes in longer follow-ups  
80 [9,10]. The unsolved question of re-alignment has resulted in multiple studies taking various  
81 approaches including clinical studies [11,12] and in-vivo or cadaveric biomechanical studies  
82 [13,14]. However, there is still a high lack of consensus on the ideal alignment after HTO and some  
83 study results even seem to be contradictory.

84 From a biomechanical perspective, the exact size and shape of the wedge opened on the tibia during  
85 the osteotomy process defines the amount of alteration made on the lower limb alignment not only  
86 in the frontal plane but also in the sagittal plane. In addition to that, the angles of the opened wedge  
87 can be directly related to the change of tension inside the soft tissues whose insertion site has been  
88 altered during HTO. D'Entremont et al. performed a study using a Magnetic Resonance Imaging  
89 (MRI) based method on OWHTO and found that this surgery changes both tibiofemoral and  
90 patellofemoral kinematics in a manner that cannot be assessed using conventional radiology [15].  
91 As a result, it seems necessary to take a different perspective while analyzing the complications of  
92 OWHTO and trace the complications that could have been caused by having a simplistic view of  
93 wedge opening during the planning step.

94 Non-consideration of changes in the sagittal plane during the planning step can lead to alteration  
95 of the tibial slope [16]. Some observations show that increased posterior tibial slope can induce an  
96 anterior translation of the tibia with respect to the femur which opens a concern about whether it  
97 can increase the strain of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) and result in a higher risk of ACL  
98 rupture [17]. On the other hand, change of tension inside the soft tissues whose insertion site has  
99 been altered during OWHTO can be traced to complications such as increased pressure in the  
100 medial tibiofemoral compartment due to Medial Collateral Ligament (MCL) tightness [18]. This  
101 effect of alteration in a soft tissue insertion can also be correlated to the alteration in the position  
102 of the patella as it is attached to the patellar tendon. The exact clinical effect of alteration in patellar

103 position is still unclear on patellofemoral pain, but symptoms of association with patellofemoral  
104 pain have been observed with patellar lateral shift [19] and also observation of patellofemoral  
105 arthritis in second-look arthroscopy [20]. While the aforementioned complications can be foreseen  
106 from a biomechanical point of view, their occurrence may be still a place of debate among different  
107 clinical and cadaveric studies with contradictory results.

108 As a result, the objective of this systematic literature review is to investigate the occurrence of  
109 tibial slope alteration, patellar position modification and excessive pressure due to MCL tightness  
110 after OWHTO and to discuss their sources and correlation with the opened wedge angles. In this  
111 context, the medical literature is considered as a starting point while at the discussion level, a  
112 biomechanical perspective is also added to assist the interpretation of the results. Finally, model-  
113 based biomechanical studies targeting the planning of HTO are also addressed to find out their role  
114 in better distinguishing the parameters involved in the surgery.

## 115 **2. Methods**

### 116 **2.1. Search strategy**

117 We performed a systematic literature review through PubMed, Science Direct, and Cochrane in  
118 accordance with the PRISMA protocol. The last bibliographic search was done on November 20,  
119 2019. The electronic search strategy developed by the authors was critically reviewed by a health  
120 science librarian, using the guideline statement of Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies  
121 (PRESS) 2015 [21]. The search concepts included: (1) studies addressing sub-optimal outcomes  
122 and complications of OWHTO, (2) morphological and anatomical changes induced by OWHTO,  
123 (3) biomechanical studies using multibody or Finite Element (FE) modeling techniques in  
124 relevance to assisting HTO. During the search process, standardized medical subject headings  
125 (MeSH terms) including “high tibial osteotomy”, “analysis, finite element”, “medial collateral  
126 ligament, knee”, “articulation, patellofemoral” and Text Words including “tibial slope”,  
127 “pressure”, “patellar height”, “patellar tracking” were used. Meaningful combinations of these  
128 terms and words were used to form possible search strings, for example, (high tibial osteotomy  
129 [MeSH terms]) AND ((pressure [MeSH terms]) OR (medial collateral ligament, knee [MeSH  
130 terms])).


131 Following the initial search steps, the titles of all the search outcomes were assessed and only peer-  
132 reviewed studies were collected. The two review authors (E.E., G.C.) analyzed independently the  
133 titles and abstracts of the screened articles. At this step, the articles without any abstract were  
134 excluded. A second search for relevant articles was performed within the references cited in the  
135 selected articles. References were imported into bibliographic management software and all  
136 duplicates were removed. Then, the review authors read the full texts of the selected articles  
137 independently.

### 138 **2.2. Eligibility criteria**

139 All the articles reporting the biomechanical changes induced by OWHTO were included in the  
 140 review. Besides, articles on the biomechanical modeling of OWHTO using multibody dynamics  
 141 and FE methods were included. We excluded all articles on lateral closing high tibial osteotomies,  
 142 double osteotomies, osteotomies performed to treat knee laxities, computer-assisted surgical  
 143 methods, plate design, and positioning, technical notes as well as the articles related to the other  
 144 complications of OWHTO that were not in the interest of the current study. A language filter has  
 145 also been used to only include articles written in English. The exclusion reasons of the full-text  
 146 articles that were assessed at the eligibility step are presented in Figure 1.

### 147 2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

148 The qualitative and quantitative data of the articles were extracted independently by two review  
 149 authors of the study (E.E., G.C.). In case of disagreement, a discussion was made between the two  
 150 authors. The data extracted from the articles were the title of the study, the authors, the year of  
 151 publication, and the design of the study. Then, each review author compiled in independent tables  
 152 the main results concerning tibiofemoral pressures according to the MCL status, changes in tibial  
 153 slope, changes in patellar height and/or patellar tracking, and biomechanical simulations. To  
 154 evaluate the methodological quality of the studies included in our data analysis, we used the  
 155 validated QUACS scale (13 items) for cadaver studies and the STROBE scale (22 items) for clinical  
 156 studies.



157  
 158 *Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the search and selection process and the effect of application of inclusion/exclusion criteria.*

159        **3. Results**

160        A total of 38 studies met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review. The PRISMA statement  
161        flowchart depicted in Figure 1, shows the number of search results (a total of 3062 articles) and the  
162        number of articles that were included or excluded [22]. The 38 included articles were then divided  
163        into the defined categories based on their topics and provided results. The defined categories, as  
164        well as the number of articles included in each category, are as follows: MCL release (6 articles),  
165        posterior tibial slope (14 articles), patellar position (12 articles), and biomechanical simulations (6  
166        articles).

167        **3.1. MCL release**

168        Six articles studying the effect of MCL release on tibiofemoral contact and valgus laxity (Table 1)  
169        were included. As presented in Table 1, the alteration in the contact pressure and contact area in  
170        the medial and lateral compartments are reported after osteotomy. These results are categorized  
171        based on the level of release done on the superficial bundle of MCL (sMCL) and the amount of  
172        alignment correction during HTO. Besides, the change in valgus laxity has been reported by three  
173        studies. The quality of the studies (QUACS or STROBE scores) has also been assessed based on  
174        the nature of the study and reported.

175        **3.2. Tibial slope**

176        Fourteen articles were included in the tibial slope category and the corresponding results are  
177        provided in Table 2. The osteotomy techniques used in these studies vary between conventional  
178        (monoplanar) osteotomy (with and without navigation) and biplanar osteotomy. In addition to the  
179        posterior tibial slope before and after surgery, the difference of this variable is provided to simplify  
180        comparisons between studies. The statistically significant results are marked in red (Table2).

181        **3.3. Patellar position**

182        Twelve studies were selected for the category of patellar position (Table 3). The reported outputs  
183        of the selected studies are divided into patellar height, lateral patellar tilt, and patellar shift.  
184        Considering that various methods were used to assess the patellar height in the selected studies,  
185        five different indices were chosen to compare the alteration in the patellar height after HTO. These  
186        indices include Insall-Salvati, modified Insall-Salvati, Caton-Deschamps, Blackburne-Peel, and  
187        Modified Blumensaat as defined in the literature [23,24]. The results of the quality assessment of  
188        the selected papers (STROBE scores) are also presented in Table 3.

189

190

Table 1: Modifications of joint pressures, contact areas according to the state of the medial collateral ligament. sMCL: superficial MCL, MJO: Medial Joint Opening, \*In red: statistically significant data

Authors (year)	Study	No. knees	sMCL release state	Correction amount	Medial contact pressure change from intact state (MPa)	Medial contact area change from intact state (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Lateral contact pressure change from intact state (MPa)	Lateral contact area change from intact state (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Valgus laxity	Comments / highlights	QUACS Scale	STROBE
Pape et al. (2006) [25]	Cadaveric	20	complete release	0°	—	—	—	—	↑ 38% MJO	No HTO performed, the release of sMCL should be kept to a minimum to decrease the potential of late valgus instability especially in patients with small wedge sizes and preexisting medial compartment laxity	11/13	—
			anterior bundles release	—	—	—	—	↑ 39% MJO				
Seo et al. (2016) [26]	Clinical	54	complete release	Fujisawa point	—	—	—	—	↑ 0.2 mm MJO intra-operative	Medial laxity induced by complete sMCL release can be recovered by opening the osteotomy site.	—	18/22
			—	—	—	—	—	—				
Van Eegmond et al. (2017) [27]	Cadaveric	7	no release	10°	↑ 0.17 *	↑ 132.9 *	↓ 0.02 *	↓ 47.0	↓ 0.1°	release of sMCL helps reducing medial cartilage pressure but significantly increases valgus laxity. Considerable relaxation of MCL over time	9/13	—
			complete release	—	0.0 *	↑ 9.6 *	↑ 0.01 *	↑ 7.7	↑ 7.9°			
Seitz et al. (2018) [18]	Cadaveric	6	no release	5°	↑ 0.11	↑ 9	↓ 0.14	↓ 35	—	For the extended knee, biplanar osteotomy, medial contact pressure decreased most when with 10° correction and releasing MCL	9/13	—
			—	10°	↑ 0.14	↑ 43	↓ 0.25	↓ 85	—			
			complete release	0°	↓ 0.03	↓ 79	↓ 0.24	↓ 97	—			
			—	5°	↓ 0.05	↓ 63	↓ 0.11	↓ 35	—			
			—	10°	↑ 0.01	↓ 125	↓ 0.14	↓ 52	—			
Agneskirchner et al. (2007) [28]	Cadaveric	6	no release	9 mm	↑ 0.68 *	↑ 78.4	↓ 0.3 *	↓ 91.7	—	Under 1000N load passing from 62% valgus position (the effect of different loading axes has also been studied for the intact knee), biplanar osteotomy, high medial pressure maintains despite shifting the loading axis into valgus, decompression happens only after complete release of MCL distal fibers.	9/13	—
			50% release	—	↑ 0.24 *	↑ 29.7	↓ 0.24 *	↓ 35.2	—			
			complete release	—	↓ 0.04 *	↓ 15.0	↓ 0.08 *	↓ 47.7	—			
Suero et al. (2015) [29]	Cadaveric	7	complete release	5°	↑ 3% *	↑ 9%	↑ 33%	↑ 11%	—	Under 550 N axial load We have only reported the percentage of alterations in the contact pressure and contact area. The reason for this decision was that the reported values were not consistent with the other studies although the units were the	11/13	—
			—	10°	↓ 23%	↓ 21%	↑ 84%	0%	—			
			—	15°	↓ 64%	↓ 46%	↑ 141%	↓ 2%	—			



193  
194

Table 2: Modifications of the tibial slope. PTS: Posterior Tibial Slope, HTO: High Tibial Osteotomy, HKA: standing hip-knee-ankle, OW: Open-Wedge, CW: Closed-Wedge, \*In red: statistically significant data

Authors (year)	Study type	Osteotomy technique		No. knees	Correction amount	PTS pre-HTO	PTS post-HTO	PTS difference	QUACS	STROBE
Martineau et al. (2010) [30]	Cadaveric	OW		6	5 mm	8°	12.1°	↑4.1° *	7/13	
					10 mm		16.3°	↑8.3° *		
Ozel et al. (2017) [31]	Clinical	OW		39	Mean HKA 183.7°	8°	15°	↑7° *		14/22
Sterett et al. (2009) [32]	Clinical	OW		82	—	12.5°	16.5°	↑4° *		18/22
Lee et al. (2014) [33]	Clinical	OW	With navigation	40	Fujisawa point	10.5°	11.5°	↑1°		17/22
			Without navigation	40		8.7°	8.2°	↓0.5°		
Nerhus et al. (2017) [34]	Clinical	OW (CW studied but not reported here)		70 total	HKA 186°	7°	8°	↑1° *		17/22
Noyes et al. (2006) [4]	Clinical	OW		55	—	9°	10°	↑1°		15/22
Elmali et al. (2013) [35]	Clinical	OW	Monoplanar osteotomy	56	HKA 186.4	10.1°	11.7°	↑1.6° *		15/22
			Biplanar osteotomy	32	HKA 185.4	9.9°	10.7°	↑0.8° *		
Birmingham al. (2009) [36]	Clinical	OW		126	HKA 180°	5.15°	6.37°	↑1.22° *		15/22
Chang et al. (2017) [37]	Clinical	OW	With navigation	41	WBA passing 64.3%	11.7°	12.2°	↑0.5°		19/22
			Without navigation	66	WBA passing 57.3%	12.1°	13.1°	↑1°		
Na et al. (2018) [38]	Clinical	OW		71	HKA: 182.6° (varus>4°)	10.6° (varus>4°)	10.9° (varus>4°)	↑0.3° (varus>4°)		18/22
					HKA: 184.2° (varus<4°)	10.0° (varus<4°)	10.7° (varus<4°)	↑0.7° (varus<4°)		
Van Egmond et al. (2016) [39]	Cadaveric	OW (CW studied but not reported here)		25 OW	HKA: 184.3° (open)	—	16.2° (open)	↑1.6°	9/13	
Nha et al. (2016) [40]	Clinical meta-analysis	OW (CW studied but not reported here)		—	—	—	—	↑2° *		
Yan et al. (2016) [41]	Literature review	OW	With navigation	1608	—	navigated HTO produces significantly less change in PTS compared to conventional methods.				
			Without navigation	608						
Wu et al. (2017) [42]	Comprehensive meta-analysis	OW & CW		663 OW 581 CW	—	open-wedge HTO showed greater PTS angle compared to closed-wedge, ↑1.31° *				

Table 3: Patellar position modifications during OWHTO. NS: Not Significant, \*In red: statistically significant data, mBP: modified Blackburne-Peel ratio, OW: Open-Wedge, Clin.: clinical study, Monopl.: monoplanar, Postop.: postoperative.

Authors (year)	Study type	Osteotomy technique		No. knees	Correction amount	Patellar height					Lateral tilt	Shift (mm)	STROBE
						Insall-Salvati	Modified Insall-Salvati	Caton-Deschamps	Blackburne-Peel	Modified Blumensaat			
Longino et al. (2013) [43]	Clin.	OW	Biplanar	29	HKA: 180.8°	—	—	↓0.09*	↓0.10*	—	—	—	19/22
			Monopl.	29	HKA: 179.9°	NS	NS	↓0.19*	↓0.23*	—	—	—	
Hanada et al. (2014) [24]	Clin.	OW (CW not reported here)		10	WBA passing 62.5%	↑0.308 * (Postop.) ↑0.238 (NS) (after 1 year)	—	—	—	↓0.094 * (Postop.) ↓0.153 * (after 1 year)	—	—	13/22
Tanaka et al. (2018) [44]	Clin.	Biplanar OW		52	HKA: 181.3°	—	—	↓0.16*	—	—	—	—	14/22
Park et al. (2017) [23]	Clin.	OW	Biplanar	33	HKA: 180.3°	↓0.01	↑0.01	↓0.04*	↓0.03*	—	↓1.40°*	↓0.01	16/22
			Monopl.	30	HKA: 180.8°	↓0.05*	↓0.08*	↓0.10*	↓0.09*	—	↓2.00°*	↓0.01	
Fan et al. (2012) [45]	Clin.	OW		9	HKA: 183.9°	↑0.07	—	—	↓0.19*	—	—	—	10/22
Bito et al. (2010) [46]	Clin.	OW		49	—	—	—	—	mBP ↓0.2*	—	↓2.2°*	NS	11/22
Song et al. (2012) [47]	Clin.	OW (CW not reported here)		50	—	—	—	—	↓0.10*	—	↑0.6°	↑0.4	9/22
Lee et al. (2016) [48]	Clin.	OW		46	HKA: 181.4°	—	—	—	↓0.1*	—	↓1.8°*	NS	11/22
D'Entremont et al. (2014) [15]	Clin.	OW		14	—	—	—	—	—	—	↓2.20°*	↑0.9*	17/22
Birmingham et al. (2009) [49]	Clin.	OW		126	HKA: 180°	NS	—	—	↓0.05*	—	—	—	15/22
Elmali et al. (2013) [35]	Clin.	OW	Biplanar	32	HKA: 185.4°	NS	—	—	NS	—	—	—	15/22
			Monopl.	56	HKA: 186.4°	↓0.07*	—	—	↓0.07*	—	—	—	
Noyes et al. (2006) [4]	Clin.	OW		55	—	—	—	—	↓0.09	—	—	—	15/22

200 **3.4. Biomechanical simulations**

201 Among the selected articles in the current review, a total of six articles have chosen a biomechanical  
 202 simulation approach with generic or subject-specific modeling (Table 4). These articles were  
 203 mainly focused on testing the effect of the alignment principle on tibiofemoral contact balancing  
 204 or estimating the optimum alignment based on subject-specific models. One article had studied the  
 205 effect of MCL slackness and MCL release on the biomechanical outcomes. The objectives,  
 206 findings, and various validation methods of these studies are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: PTS: Biomechanical studies on the OWHTO alignment and related surgical complications. Posterior Tibial Slope, OW: Open-Wedge, CW: Closed-wedge

Authors (year)	Simulation technique	Osteotomy technique	Ligament modeling	Loading condition	Objective	Outcomes	Validation method
Zheng et al. (2017) [54]	FE	OW	3D FE	Subject-specific loading data at 5% of the gait cycle obtained from gait analysis	Introducing a subject-specific modeling procedure to see the biomechanical effects of HTO alignment on tibiofemoral cartilage stress distribution.	Providing a platform for non-invasive, patient-specific pre-operative planning of the osteotomy	Maximum contact pressure was compared to literature under similar axial loading [50,51]
Martay et al. (2017) [55]	FE	OW	Axial	Loading and alignment calculated from motion analysis data at the point of maximum load in the walking cycle	How different WBA re-alignments affected load distribution in the knee, to find the optimal post-surgical re-alignment.	Proposing a new target for WBA correction being 55% tibial width (1.7°-1.9° valgus).	Validated their model creation method using porcine specimens. Compared their HTO related results to a published human cadaveric study [52].
Purevsuren et al. (2019) [56]	Multi-body dynamics	OW	Axial	Axial load in standing position	Effects of MCL laxity, loading axis correction, and different types of MCL release, on the medial-lateral contact force distribution after OWHTO Determining the necessary MCL release strategy for balanced load distribution	MCL slackness affected load distribution of the knee after HTO. Anterior and middle bundle release shown to be the optimal surgical method to balance contact distribution in simulated standing position. Only anterior-bundle release is recommended for knees with a large amount of MCL slackness. No effect observed by changing the simulated axis correction.	Compared estimated medial and lateral contact distribution after HTO with previous cadaveric studies [28,53].
Mootanah et al. (2014) [52]	FE	none	3D FE (Tuned to minimize kinematic difference from cadaver)	Axial load + varus and valgus bending moments (0 to 15 Nm) applied about the knee joint center to simulate different malalignment degrees	Predicting knee joint contact forces and pressures for different degrees of malalignment.	Generated knee model could give an accurate prediction of normalized intra-articular pressure and forces for different loading conditions. The model could be further developed for subject-specific surgical planning.	Cadaveric study performed having matching boundary and loading conditions.
Kuriyama et al. (2019) [57]	Dynamic musculo-skeletal modeling	OW	Axial	gait and squat	Determining the ideal coronal alignment under dynamic conditions	Approved the validity of classical target alignment. Over-correction should be avoided. Increased PTS caused excessive ACL tightness due to femoral posterior positioning with respect to tibia. PTS increase resulted in LCL tightness and PCL and MCL laxity.	Comparing the kinematics of the native knee model with the in vivo kinematics obtained from a healthy volunteer.
Trad et al. (2018) [58]	FE	CW	Axial	Axial load in standing position	Effect of varying the high tibial osteotomy correction angle on the stress distribution in two tibiofemoral compartments Finding the optimal correction angle to achieve a balanced loading between compartments.	Achieving a balanced stress distribution in two compartments and desired alignment under a valgus hypercorrection of 4.5 degrees Study findings agree well with clinical data and recommendations found in the literature [8].	Compared against experimental and numerical results from the literature. CW Article included as it concerns alignment principle.

## 210 4. Discussion

### 211 4.1. MCL release and its correlation with tibiofemoral contact pressure

212 The MCL is composed of two bundles. The superficial bundle is distally attached to the medial  
213 aspect of the proximal part of the tibia and the deep bundle that is attached to the joint capsule. To  
214 perform OWHTO, the medial proximal part of the tibia needs to be exposed. As a result, to properly  
215 expose this region and based on the condition of MCL in each patient, various strategies might be  
216 adopted by the surgeons concerning the MCL: preservation, selective release of the superficial  
217 bundle, or a complete release of the superficial bundle. This of course raises concern about the  
218 effect of sMCL release on valgus stability and also leads to questioning the correct approach to  
219 take concerning sMCL and its effect on the tibiofemoral contact pressures.

220 As shown in Table 1, various studies showed that performing an OWHTO without any release of  
221 the sMCL results in an increase of the sMCL strain, an increase in the contact area and pressures  
222 on the medial tibiofemoral compartment, and a decrease in these parameters on the lateral  
223 compartment [18,27,28]. This condition, which is in contrast with the objective of performing  
224 OWHTO, can even be deteriorated by increasing the size of the opened wedge. The results of the  
225 cadaveric study performed by Seitz et al. showed that a 5° and 10° wedge opening in the tibia  
226 respectively increased the medial contact pressure up to 0.11 and 0.14 MPa with respect to the  
227 pressure before surgery [18].

228 Generally, the release of the superficial bundle resulted in a decrease in the contact area and  
229 pressures on the medial compartment and an increase in these parameters on the lateral  
230 compartment [18,27,28]. For instance, for a 10° opening during osteotomy, there was a significant  
231 decrease in the medial tibiofemoral pressure after completely releasing sMCL in comparison to the  
232 unreleased state [18,27]. This decrease was reported to be 0.13 MPa by Seitz et al. [18] and 0.17  
233 MPa by Van Egmond et al. [27]. In the same category, Agneskirchner et al. reported an average  
234 pressure decrease of 0.44 MPa and 0.64 MPa respectively by 50% sMCL release and complete  
235 sMCL release, with respect to unreleased sMCL in osteotomies performed to move the mechanical  
236 axis of the knee to pass through the Fujisawa point [28]. Although it is important to bear in mind  
237 that the actual goal was to reduce the medial contact pressure and contact surface compared to the  
238 intact knee, which was not achieved by 50% MCL release as the respective 0.24 MPa and 29.7  
239 mm<sup>2</sup> increases in these values imply. As concerns the lateral compartment, the results seemed  
240 contradictory in many cases. The results provided by Seitz et al. [18] and Agneskirchner et al. [28]  
241 showed that the pressure and area of contact after OWHTO has decreased in the lateral  
242 compartment regardless of the sMCL release. These results were in contrast with the results of Van  
243 Egmond et al. and Suero et al. who reported an increase in these values [27,29].

244 As previously mentioned, MCL release could have a negative impact of increasing the valgus laxity  
245 that could clinically induce a feeling of instability for the patient. Concerning laxity of the knee  
246 during valgus stress, there was less consensus on the outcomes. The study performed by Pape et  
247 al. suggested that releasing the sMCL increases the valgus laxity and thus it should be kept to a

248 minimum to decrease the potential of late valgus instability [25]. However, the alignment of the  
249 cadaver knees has not been altered in this study and the conclusion has been made without  
250 performing any HTOs. This finding was supported by the study of Van Egmond et al. that showed  
251 a laxity increase of nearly  $8^\circ$  after a complete release of the sMCL [27]. On the contrary, the clinical  
252 study of Seo et al. [26] revealed that the increase of the medial joint opening (MJO) because of  
253 complete release of sMCL was totally recovered after opening the wedge during medial osteotomy.  
254 There was no significant difference between the pre-operative and post-operative MJO values at 3,  
255 6, and 12 months after surgery.

256 Most authors seemed to have a consensus that releasing the superficial bundle of the MCL during  
257 OWHTO helps with better achieving the goals of the surgery, although complete information about  
258 the releasing technique was missing in many cases. Indeed, when no release was performed, the  
259 opening of the gap of the osteotomy caused a significant increase in the MCL strain [18] and  
260 therefore medial tibiofemoral pressures, whereas, when the release was performed, the medial  
261 tibiofemoral pressures dropped significantly. However, from observation of the contradictory  
262 results reported in the literature, we can conclude that the different factors that are playing a role  
263 in the sMCL tension need to be fully decomposed. Therefore, adding a biomechanical perspective  
264 to the sMCL question also seems to be required to acquire a better understanding of this  
265 complication such as the study performed by Purevsuren et al. [56]. The sMCL of each patient has  
266 a particular pre-existing tension in it; this tension is of course reduced by partially releasing the  
267 sMCL bundles while performing OWHTO. In addition to this, if we consider that the osteotomy  
268 cut is performed superior to the insertion of sMCL, opening the wedge results in re-tensioning the  
269 sMCL relative to the size of the opened wedge [25]. Consequently, the final tension remaining in  
270 the sMCL after OWHTO is affected by the amount of release, the size of the opened wedge, and  
271 also the pre-existing tension in it before surgery. So from a biomechanical perspective, if the patient  
272 has a pre-operative lax MCL and if the surgeon partially detaches the sMCL to open a small wedge  
273 on the tibia, it is expected that the MCL could end up lax. It is therefore recommended in that case  
274 to avoid sMCL release as suggested by Pape et al. [25]. On the other end, if the MCL is not lax  
275 pre-operatively and if the size of the opened wedge on the tibia is large, the MCL would end up  
276 being tight and further sMCL release is required to reduce the medial pressure. In this regard, we  
277 can conclude that reporting further details about the preoperative conditions of the patient, such as  
278 ligament laxity, could help with interpreting from the cadaveric and clinical studies and thus needs  
279 to be considered in the design of future studies on this matter.

## 280 **4.2. Tibial slope modification**

281 The traditional planning methods for OWHTO rely on the coronal view imaging data to define the  
282 required correction angle [6,59]. In the planning based on the coronal view, the distal portion of  
283 the tibia is rotated around a hinge point. Meanwhile, in 3-dimensions, this hinge point is a hinge  
284 axis in the anterior-posterior direction and thus it is seen as a point in the coronal view. However,  
285 in practice, the hinge axis is not necessarily in the anterior-posterior direction which means that the  
286 tibial slope in the sagittal plane can be modified during OWHTO as described by Noyes et al. [60].

287 This can cause a change in the kinematics as well as in the stability of the knee. The normal range  
288 for the posterior tibial slope is  $7^{\circ}$  to  $10^{\circ}$  [61]. An increased posterior tibial slope induced an anterior  
289 translation of the tibia with respect to the femur as reported by Giffin et al. [17]. Based on our  
290 observation there was a lack of consensus on whether or not an increased posterior slope can  
291 increase the ACL strain and cause a higher risk of rupture and chronic anterior knee laxity. The *in*  
292 *situ* studies of Shelburne et al. have shown that for a  $5^{\circ}$  increase in the posterior tibial slope, the  
293 ACL strain is increased by 26% [62]. On the other hand, Giffin et al. [17] and Martineau et al. [30]  
294 did not observe a significant change in the cruciate ligament forces or strains under the loading  
295 conditions of their cadaveric studies.

296 There were recommendations in the literature that enable the surgeon to maintain the tibial slope  
297 that is in the normal range or to correct it during OWHTO. This included the use of the 3-triangle  
298 method proposed by Noyes et al. [60] or the tables provided in the study of Hernigou [5]. Besides,  
299 other authors have proposed mathematical formulas to achieve a targeted tibial posterior slope [63]  
300 or to avoid the changes in a posterior tibial slope while performing an osteotomy [64]. Other than  
301 the recommendations for the conventional OWHTO, the use of other surgical methods such as the  
302 Biplanar osteotomy has been shown to significantly help with the conservation of the tibial slope  
303 as reported by Elmali et al. [35]. Indeed, the use of patient-specific 3D printed guides could also  
304 help in this context to conserve or modify the tibial slope so that it lies in the normal range.

305 Among the 14 selected studies related to the alteration of the posterior tibial slope, eight studies  
306 found statistically significant results and most of them ( $n=7$ ) show that performing OWHTO can  
307 lead to a significant increase in posterior tibial slope. Increases are variable among studies. The  
308 meta-analysis performed by Nha et al. found an average of  $2^{\circ}$  increase after pooling the data of 27  
309 studies with various measurement methods [40]. As this alteration is relatively small, they  
310 concluded it may have little effect on the biomechanics of the cruciate ligaments. Higher increase  
311 rates were reported by other studies such as the study performed by Ozel et al. [31], who reported  
312 a  $7^{\circ}$  increase in the posterior tibial slope after OWHTO. This  $7^{\circ}$  increase was a major modification  
313 because it increased the tibial slope up to twice its initial value but the authors have found no  
314 correlation between the postoperative Lysholm knee scores and the increase in the posterior tibial  
315 slope angle. This can imply that the clinical outcome scores might not be completely capable of  
316 representing the alterations in the radiographic outcomes such as the posterior slope. This idea was  
317 supported by the literature review conducted by Yan et al. [41] to compare the outcomes of  
318 navigated HTO and conventional HTO. Despite the significant improvement in the radiographic  
319 outcomes using navigation HTO, they have observed that these improvements have not yet been  
320 reflected in clinical outcome scores. In this sense, the abilities of simulation-based studies could be  
321 useful to further analyze such points in the future and to move towards making patient-specific  
322 sMCL release decisions.

323



### 4.3. Patellar position modifications

325 From the biomechanical perspective, it is expectable that mono-planar OWHTO causes a decrease  
326 in patellar height by distalization of the anterior tibial tuberosity which is the insertion of the  
327 patellar tendon. Among the studies that were selected in the current review, 11 studies had  
328 monitored the patellar height alteration after OWHTO (Table 3). Among the various indices used  
329 to assess patellar height, the Blackburne-Peel has been the most popular and has been used by 9  
330 out of 12 studies. The reported Blackburne-Peel ratio has decreased in all these studies with 8 of  
331 them reporting statistically significant data, thus proving the patellar height decrease after  
332 conventional OWHTO. However, this type of consensus is lacking about the Insall-Salvati and  
333 modified Insall-Salvati indices. Among the seven studies reporting the Insall-Salvati ratio, only  
334 three of them have monitored a statistically significant alteration with two of them reporting a  
335 decrease and one of them reporting an increase in this ratio for a conventional OWHTO. This lack  
336 of consensus as mentioned by Hanada et al. [24] seemed to be related to the fact that the Insall-  
337 Salvati ratio shows the length of the patellar tendon and does not necessarily represent the patellar  
338 height against the femur. The Blackburne-Peel ratio evaluates the patellar height, but in the context  
339 of HTO studies its eligibility can be questioned because it is dependent on the posterior tibial slope  
340 which is itself a variable in HTO. As a result, other indices such as Caton-Deschamps and Modified  
341 Blumensaat could be more appropriate. Caton-Deschamps ratio has been used by three studies and  
342 all have reported a significant decrease in patellar height after OWHTO. Modified Blumensaat has  
343 been proposed by Hanada et al. and they have shown a significant decrease in the patellar height  
344 after OWHTO [24].

345 Regarding the patellar tilt, four studies have shown significant alterations in the patellar tilt with  
346 three of them showing significant decreases in the lateral patellar tilt between  $1.8^{\circ}$  and  $2.2^{\circ}$   
347 [23,46,65] and one of them reported a significant increase of medial patellar tilt of  $2.2^{\circ}$  using an  
348 MRI based method [15]. Indeed, the exact clinical effect of alteration in patellar position is still  
349 unclear on patellofemoral pain even though symptoms of association with patellofemoral pain have  
350 been observed with patellar lateral shift [19]. Meanwhile, with regards to patellar shift, only the  
351 D'Entremont et al. found a significant increase of 0.94 mm ( $p < 0.001$ ) compared to the pre-  
352 operative situation using MRI based method [15]. Given the exact measurement method in that  
353 study, the matter of patellar shift shall be further investigated in studies with different methods. A  
354 correlation between the patella position and the short and mid-term clinical outcomes has not been  
355 found [66]; however, patellofemoral arthritis has been observed in second look-arthroscopy after  
356 OWHTO [20]. In this sense, the matter of patellar position alteration and its impacts on the  
357 patellofemoral joint shall be further investigated in future studies. In addition, the correlation  
358 between the wedge size and patellar position alteration was not found in the analyzed literature and  
359 can be targeted with a simulation-based biomechanical study.

360 Performing biplanar osteotomies could reduce patellar position alteration by keeping the tibial  
361 tuberosity connected to the proximal fragment. The comparison of OWHTO groups with or without  
362 biplanar osteotomy provided further insight. Longino et al. [43] showed a significant difference

363 between the two groups with a decrease of 0.09 of the patellar height in the bi-planar osteotomy  
364 group against 0.19 in the mono-planar group for the Caton-Deschamps index. Park et al. [23] also  
365 found a significantly smaller decrease in modified Blackburne-Peel and Caton-Deschamps for the  
366 bi-planar versus mono-planar group as the position of the patellar tendon insertion on the tibia is  
367 conserved in this surgical method.

#### 368 **4.4.Biomechanical studies**

369 The alignment correction amount is closely correlated to the pressure distribution in the two  
370 compartments of the knee. As a result, a series of studies have attempted to find the optimal  
371 correction angle through monitoring the stress distribution of the cartilage using FE and multibody  
372 modeling techniques. Zheng et al. have proposed a subject-specific modeling procedure to identify  
373 the alignment that balances the compressive and shear stresses of the cartilage [54]. A preliminary  
374 FE study performed by Martay et al. made a general conclusion and proposed that the safe zone  
375 for WBA of the lower limb can be between 50% and 60% of the mediolateral tibial width ( $0^\circ$  varus-  
376 valgus to  $2.6^\circ$ – $2.8^\circ$  valgus) [55]. However, to consider the findings of the aforementioned  
377 simulation-based studies to be valid and applicable in the medical field, various points require  
378 further investigation. Firstly, the high importance of the soft tissues in maintaining the correction  
379 angle in HTO seemed to be almost neglected by ignoring the alteration in ligament tensions after  
380 the realignment of the knee and by not considering the preexisting laxities that could be present in  
381 the ligaments. This is true both in models that are using simplified axial ligaments [55,58] or in  
382 more advanced studies where the 3D FE representative of the ligaments was present [54]. This was  
383 while the results of the multibody study performed by Purevsuren et al. showed that pre-existing  
384 laxity in MCL has an effect on the contact distribution after HTO [56].

385 Secondly, simulating the knee under axial loading conditions in the aforementioned studies was  
386 not sufficient for monitoring knee contact pressure and for concluding about the correction angle.  
387 This issue was true for other studies such as the one performed by Mootanah et al. [52] who have  
388 simulated HTO by applying varus/valgus bending moments. The contact pressure balance between  
389 the knee compartments can vary along with the knee flexion range and since the knee flexes up to  
390  $20^\circ$  during the lean phase of a gait cycle, modeling with a single axial load at the stance phase does  
391 not represent the full conditions of the problem. Besides, performing studies that take into account  
392 the dynamic aspect of knee function in flexion seems to be required to better investigate the  
393 unsatisfactory results of HTO. Thirdly, the validation of the model and, as part of it, the adjustment  
394 of the material properties, are considered as required steps in patient-specific studies designed to  
395 obtain the proper alignment to be used [52]. However, this issue has been undervalued in many of  
396 the performed studies with this motivation. As a result, although there is a high potential in using  
397 FE studies to assist in redefining the correction angles both in general and patient-specific cases,  
398 the existing models with that objective tend to oversimplify the problem. However, these simplified  
399 studies with their biomechanical point of view can also play an important role in better  
400 distinguishing the involving factors in HTO outcomes and thus better designing the clinical and  
401 cadaveric studies.



#### 402 **4.5. Limitations**

403 The current systematic literature review suffers from a number of limitations. The searching  
404 process was only performed on three data sources and no article of grey literature was included.  
405 Furthermore, a bias could have been present in the selection process due to the selection filters such  
406 as the language filter and exclusion of articles without abstract. As it is inherent to the systematic  
407 reviews, a publication bias might have appeared with an increased prevalence of articles presenting  
408 statistically significant results.

#### 409 **5. Conclusion**

410 In the current study, a systematic review of the literature was performed on particular complications  
411 of OWHTO with a translational approach that covers a diverse set of articles from clinical and  
412 cadaveric studies to model-based biomechanical studies. It was highlighted that a correlation exists  
413 between the opened wedge during HTO and surgical complications such as increased posterior  
414 tibial slope, patellar height decrease, and MCL tightness. Analyzing these complications from a  
415 biomechanical perspective clarified that such complications could be impacted by the exact shape  
416 and size of the opened wedge that also alters the insertion of sMCL and patellar tendon. Meanwhile,  
417 during the traditional planning and execution steps of OWHTO, the impact of the opened wedge  
418 on the sagittal view as well as the alteration of soft tissue insertions is normally neglected. Thus  
419 many of the clinical and cadaveric studies focused on the aforementioned complications tend not  
420 to collect and provide information about parameters such as pre-operative soft tissue condition,  
421 pre-operative tibial slope, and pre-operative limb alignment. However, further information about  
422 these parameters seemed to be necessary to be able to come to a better consensus on the alignment  
423 principles and how to avoid these complications. This point shall be considered in the design of  
424 future studies on the topic. The ability of biomechanical simulations in isolating the involved  
425 parameters can play an important role in this process.

#### 426 **Acknowledgments**

427 Funding: This work was funded by the “Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale” under the project  
428 FRM DIC20161236448.

#### 429 **References**

- 430 [1] Agarwala S, Sobti A, Naik S, Chaudhari S. Comparison of closing-wedge and opening-  
431 wedge high tibial osteotomies for medial compartment osteoarthritis of knee in Asian  
432 population: Mid-term follow-up. *J Clin Orthop Trauma* 2016;7:272–5.  
433 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2016.06.012>.
- 434 [2] Duivenvoorden T, Brouwer RW, Baan A, Bos PK, Reijman M, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et  
435 al. Comparison of closing-wedge and opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy for medial  
436 compartment osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized controlled trial with a six-year  
437 follow-up. *J Bone Jt Surg - Am Vol* 2014;96:1425–32.  
438 <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.M.00786>.

- 439 [3] Loia MC, Vanni S, Rosso F, Bonasia DE, Bruzzone M, Dettoni F, et al. High tibial  
440 osteotomy in varus knees: Indications and limits. *Joints* 2016;4:98–110.  
441 <https://doi.org/10.11138/jts/2016.4.2.098>.
- 442 [4] Noyes FR, Mayfield W, Barber-Westin SD, Albright JC, Heckmann TP. Opening wedge  
443 high tibial osteotomy: An operative technique and rehabilitation program to decrease  
444 complications and promote early union and function. *Am J Sports Med* 2006;34:1262–73.  
445 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546505286144>.
- 446 [5] Hernigou P, Medevielle D, Debeyre J, Goutallier D. Proximal tibial osteotomy for  
447 osteoarthritis with varus deformity. A ten to thirteen-year follow-up study. *J Bone Jt Surg -*  
448 *Ser A* 1987;69:332–54. <https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-198769030-00005>.
- 449 [6] Dugdale TW, Noyes FR, Styer D. Preoperative Planning for High Tibial Osteotomy: The  
450 Effect of Lateral Tibiofemoral Separation and Tibiofemoral Length. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*  
451 1992;248–64. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.oto.2006.09.007>.
- 452 [7] Fujisawa Y, Masuhara K, Shiomi S. The Effect of High Tibial Osteotomy on Osteoarthritis  
453 of the Knee: An Arthroscopic Study of 54 Knee Joints. *Orthop Clin North Am*  
454 1979;10:585–608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00266324>.
- 455 [8] Hernigou P, Ovidia H, Goutallier D. Mathematical modelling of open-wedge tibial  
456 osteotomy and correction tables. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot* 1992;78:258–  
457 63.
- 458 [9] Insall JN, Joseph DM, Msika C. High tibial osteotomy for varus gonarthrosis. A long-term  
459 follow-up study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1984;66:1040–8.
- 460 [10] DeMeo PJ, Johnson EM, Chiang PP, Flamm AM, Miller MC. Midterm Follow-up of  
461 Opening-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38:2077–84.  
462 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546510371371>.
- 463 [11] Sprenger TR, Doerzbacher JF. Tibial osteotomy for the treatment of varus gonarthrosis.  
464 Survival and failure analysis to twenty-two years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2003;85-A:469–  
465 74.
- 466 [12] Benzakour T, Hefti A, Lemseffer M, Ahmadi JD El, Bouyarmane H, Benzakour A. High  
467 tibial osteotomy for medial osteoarthritis of the knee: 15 years follow-up. *Int Orthop*  
468 2010;34:209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00264-009-0937-4>.
- 469 [13] Mina C, Garrett WE, Pietrobon R, Glisson R, Higgins L. High Tibial Osteotomy for  
470 Unloading Osteochondral Defects in the Medial Compartment of the Knee. *Am J Sports*  
471 *Med* 2008;36:949–55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546508315471>.
- 472 [14] Briem K, Ramsey DK, Newcomb W, Rudolph KS, Snyder-Mackler L. Effects of the  
473 Amount of Valgus Correction for Medial Compartment Knee Osteoarthritis on Clinical  
474 Outcome, Knee Kinetics and Muscle Co-Contraction after Opening Wedge High Tibial  
475 Osteotomy. *J Orthop Res* 2007;25:311. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JOR.20326>.
- 476 [15] d'Entremont AG, McCormack RG, Horlick SGD, Stone TB, Manzary MM, Wilson DR.  
477 Effect of opening-wedge high tibial osteotomy on the three-dimensional kinematics of the  
478 knee. *Bone Joint J* 2014;96-B:1214–21. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.96B9.32522>.

- 479 [16] Martin R, Birmingham TB, Willits K, Litchfield R, Lebel ME, Giffin JR. Adverse event  
480 rates and classifications in medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Am J Sports Med*  
481 2014;42:1118–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514525929>.
- 482 [17] Giffin JR, Vogrin TM, Zantop T, Woo SL-Y, Harner CD. Effects of Increasing Tibial  
483 Slope on the Biomechanics of the Knee. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32:376–82.  
484 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546503258880>.
- 485 [18] Seitz AM, Nelitz M, Ignatius A, Dürselen L. Release of the medial collateral ligament is  
486 mandatory in medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*  
487 *Arthrosc* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-018-5167-0>.
- 488 [19] MacIntyre NJ, Hill NA, Fellows RA, Ellis RE, Wilson DR. Patellofemoral joint kinematics  
489 in individuals with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser A*  
490 2006;88:2596–605. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.00674>.
- 491 [20] Kim K Il, Kim DK, Song SJ, Lee SH, Bae DK. Medial Open-Wedge High Tibial  
492 Osteotomy May Adversely Affect the Patellofemoral Joint. *Arthrosc - J Arthrosc Relat*  
493 *Surg* 2017;33:811–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2016.09.034>.
- 494 [21] McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS Peer  
495 Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*  
496 2016;75:40–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021>.
- 497 [22] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Altman D, Antes G, et al. Preferred reporting  
498 items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*  
499 2009;6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>.
- 500 [23] Park H, Kim HW, Kam JH, Lee DH. Open Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy with Distal  
501 Tubercle Osteotomy Lessens Change in Patellar Position. *Biomed Res Int* 2017;2017.  
502 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4636809>.
- 503 [24] Hanada M, Takahashi M, Koyama H, Matsuyama Y. Comparison of the change in patellar  
504 height between opening and closed wedge high tibial osteotomy: Measurement with a new  
505 method. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2014;24:567–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00590-013-1226-x>.
- 507 [25] Pape D, Duchow J, Rupp S, Seil R, Kohn D. Partial release of the superficial medial  
508 collateral ligament for open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*  
509 *Arthrosc* 2006;14:141–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-005-0649-2>.
- 510 [26] Seo S-S, Kim C-W, Seo J-H, Kim D-H, Lee C-R. Does Superficial Medial Collateral  
511 Ligament Release in Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy for Varus Osteoarthritic Knees  
512 Increase Valgus Laxity? *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:908–15.  
513 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515624925>.
- 514 [27] van Egmond N, Hannink G, Janssen D, Vrancken AC, Verdonshot N, van Kampen A.  
515 Relaxation of the MCL after an Open-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy results in decreasing  
516 contact pressures of the knee over time. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc*  
517 2017;25:800–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-017-4438-5>.
- 518 [28] Agneskirchner JD, Hurschler C, Wrann CD, Lobenhoffer P. The Effects of Valgus Medial

- 519 Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy on Articular Cartilage Pressure of the Knee: A  
520 Biomechanical Study. *Arthrosc - J Arthrosc Relat Surg* 2007;23:852–61.  
521 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2007.05.018>.
- 522 [29] Suero EM, Sabbagh Y, Westphal R, Hawi N, Citak M, Wahl FM, et al. Effect of medial  
523 opening wedge high tibial osteotomy on intraarticular knee and ankle contact pressures. *J*  
524 *Orthop Res* 2015;33:598–604. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22793>.
- 525 [30] Martineau PA, Fening SD, Miniaci A. Anterior opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: the  
526 effect of increasing posterior tibial slope on ligament strain. *Can J Surg* 2010;53:261–7.
- 527 [31] Ozel O, Yucel B, Mutlu S, Orman O, Mutlu H. Changes in posterior tibial slope angle in  
528 patients undergoing open-wedge high tibial osteotomy for varus gonarthrosis. *Knee*  
529 *Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:314–8. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3571-2)  
530 [3571-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3571-2).
- 531 [32] Sterett W, Miller B, Joseph T, Rich V, Bain E. Posterior Tibial Slope After Medial  
532 Opening Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy of the Varus Degenerative Knee. *J Knee Surg*  
533 2009;22:13–6. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1247720>.
- 534 [33] Lee D-H, Han S-B, Oh K-J, Lee JS, Kwon J-H, Kim J-I, et al. The weight-bearing  
535 scanogram technique provides better coronal limb alignment than the navigation technique  
536 in open high tibial osteotomy. *Knee* 2014;21:451–5.  
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2012.09.003>.
- 538 [34] Nerhus TK, Ekeland A, Solberg G, Sivertsen EA, Madsen JE, Heir S. Radiological  
539 outcomes in a randomized trial comparing opening wedge and closing wedge techniques  
540 of high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:910–7.  
541 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3817-z>.
- 542 [35] Elmali N, Esenkaya I, Can M, Karakaplan M. Monoplanar versus biplanar medial open-  
543 wedge proximal tibial osteotomy for varus gonarthrosis: A comparison of clinical and  
544 radiological outcomes. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013;21:2689–95.  
545 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-012-2040-4>.
- 546 [36] Birmingham TB, Giffin JR, Chesworth BM, Bryant DM, Litchfield RB, Willits K, et al.  
547 Medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: A prospective cohort study of gait,  
548 radiographic, and patient-reported outcomes. *Arthritis Care Res* 2009;61:648–57.  
549 <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24466>.
- 550 [37] Chang J, Scallon G, Beckert M, Zavala J, Bollier M, Wolf B, et al. Comparing the  
551 accuracy of high tibial osteotomies between computer navigation and conventional  
552 methods. *Comput Assist Surg* 2017;22:1–8.  
553 <https://doi.org/10.1080/24699322.2016.1271909>.
- 554 [38] Na Y, Lee B, Hwang D, Choi E, Knee JS-T, 2018 undefined. Can osteoarthritic patients  
555 with mild varus deformity be indicated for high tibial osteotomy? Elsevier n.d.
- 556 [39] van Egmond N, van Grinsven S, van Loon CJM, Gaasbeek RD, van Kampen A. Better  
557 clinical results after closed- compared to open-wedge high tibial osteotomy in patients  
558 with medial knee osteoarthritis and varus leg alignment. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*

- 559 Arthrosc 2016;24:34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-014-3303-z>.
- 560 [40] Nha K-W, Kim H-J, Ahn H-S, Lee D-H. Change in Posterior Tibial Slope After Open-  
561 Wedge and Closed-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:3006–13.  
562 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515626172>.
- 563 [41] Yan J, Musahl V, Kay J, Khan M, Simunovic N, Ayeni OR. Outcome reporting following  
564 navigated high tibial osteotomy of the knee: a systematic review. *Knee Surgery, Sport*  
565 *Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016;24:3529–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-016-4327-3>.
- 566 [42] Wu L, Lin J, Jin Z, Cai X, Gao W. Comparison of clinical and radiological outcomes  
567 between opening-wedge and closing-wedge high tibial osteotomy: A comprehensive meta-  
568 analysis 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171700>.
- 569 [43] Longino PD, Birmingham TB, Schultz WJ, Moyer RF, Giffin JR. Combined tibial tubercle  
570 osteotomy with medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy minimizes changes in patellar  
571 height: A prospective cohort study with historical controls. *Am J Sports Med*  
572 2013;41:2849–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513505077>.
- 573 [44] Tanaka T, Matsushita T, Miyaji N, Ibaraki K, Nishida K, Oka S, et al. Deterioration of  
574 patellofemoral cartilage status after medial open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee*  
575 *Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc* 2019;27:1347–54. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-018-5128-7)  
576 5128-7.
- 577 [45] Fan JCH. Open wedge high tibial osteotomy: Cause of patellar descent. *J Orthop Surg Res*  
578 2012;7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-799X-7-3>.
- 579 [46] Bito H, Takeuchi R, Kumagai K, Aratake M, Saito I, Hayashi R, et al. Opening wedge  
580 high tibial osteotomy affects both the lateral patellar tilt and patellar height. *Knee Surgery,*  
581 *Sport Traumatol Arthrosc* 2010;18:955–60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-010-1077-5>.
- 582 [47] Song IH, Song EK, Seo HY, Lee KB, Yim JH, Seon JK. Patellofemoral alignment and  
583 anterior knee pain after closing- and opening-wedge valgus high tibial osteotomy. *Arthrosc*  
584 *- J Arthrosc Relat Surg* 2012;28:1087–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2012.02.002>.
- 585 [48] Lee YS, Lee SB, Oh WS, Kwon YE, Lee BK. Changes in patellofemoral alignment do not  
586 cause clinical impact after open-wedge high tibial osteotomy. *Knee Surgery, Sport*  
587 *Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016;24:129–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-014-3349-y>.
- 588 [49] Birmingham TB, Giffin JR, Chesworth BM, Bryant DM, Litchfield RB, Willits K, et al.  
589 Medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: A prospective cohort study of gait,  
590 radiographic, and patient-reported outcomes. *Arthritis Care Res* 2009;61:648–57.  
591 <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24466>.
- 592 [50] Peña E, Calvo B, Martínez MA, Palanca D, Doblaré M. Finite element analysis of the  
593 effect of meniscal tears and meniscectomies on human knee biomechanics. *Clin Biomech*  
594 2005;20:498–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2005.01.009>.
- 595 [51] Donahue TLH, Hull ML, Rashid MM, Jacobs CR. A finite element model of the human  
596 knee joint for the study of tibio-femoral contact. *J Biomech Eng* 2002;124:273–80.  
597 <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1470171>.



- 598 [52] Mootanah R, Imhauser CW, Reisse F, Carpanen D, Walker RW, Koff MF, et al.  
599 Development and validation of a computational model of the knee joint for the evaluation  
600 of surgical treatments for osteoarthritis. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin*  
601 2014;17:1502–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2014.899588>.
- 602 [53] Ogden S, Mukherjee DP, Keating ME, Ogden AL, Albright JA, McCall RE. Changes in  
603 Load Distribution in the Knee After Opening-Wedge or Closing-Wedge High Tibial  
604 Osteotomy. *J Arthroplasty* 2009;24:101–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2008.01.303>.
- 605 [54] Zheng K, Scholes CJ, Chen J, Parker D, Li Q. Multiobjective optimization of cartilage  
606 stress for non-invasive, patient-specific recommendations of high tibial osteotomy  
607 correction angle – a novel method to investigate alignment correction. *Med Eng Phys*  
608 2017;42:26–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEDENGPHY.2016.11.013>.
- 609 [55] Martay JL, Palmer AJ, Bangerter NK, Clare S, Monk AP, Brown CP, et al. A preliminary  
610 modeling investigation into the safe correction zone for high tibial osteotomy. *Knee*  
611 2018;25:286–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2017.12.006>.
- 612 [56] Purevsuren T, Khuyagbaatar B, Kim K, Kim YH. Effects of medial collateral ligament  
613 release, limb correction, and soft tissue laxity on knee joint contact force distribution after  
614 medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: a computational study. *Comput Methods*  
615 *Biomech Biomed Engin* 2019;22:243–50.  
616 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2018.1549658>.
- 617 [57] Kuriyama S, Watanabe M, Nakamura S, Nishitani K, Sekiguchi K, Tanaka Y, et al.  
618 Classical target coronal alignment in high tibial osteotomy demonstrates validity in terms  
619 of knee kinematics and kinetics in a computer model. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*  
620 *Arthrosc* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-019-05575-3>.
- 621 [58] Trad Z, Barkaoui A, Chafra M, Tavares JMR. Finite element analysis of the effect of high  
622 tibial osteotomy correction angle on articular cartilage loading. *Proc Inst Mech Eng Part H*  
623 *J Eng Med* 2018;232:553–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954411918770706>.
- 624 [59] Miniaci A, Ballmer FT, Ballmer PM, Jakob RP. Proximal Tibial Osteotomy. A New  
625 Fixation Device. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1989:250–9. [https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-198909000-00035)  
626 198909000-00035.
- 627 [60] Noyes FR, Goebel SX, West J. Opening wedge tibial osteotomy: The 3-triangle method to  
628 correct axial alignment and tibial slope. *Am J Sports Med* 2005;33:378–87.  
629 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546504269034>.
- 630 [61] Brazier J, Migaud H, Gougeon F, Cotten A, Fontaine C, Duquenois A. [Evaluation of  
631 methods for radiographic measurement of the tibial slope. A study of 83 healthy knees].  
632 *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot* 1996;82:195–200.
- 633 [62] Shelburne KB, Kim H-J, Sterett WI, Pandy MG. Effect of posterior tibial slope on knee  
634 biomechanics during functional activity. *J Orthop Res* 2011;29:223–31.  
635 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.21242>.
- 636 [63] Lee YS, Park SJ, Shin VI, Lee JH, Kim YH, Song EK. Achievement of targeted posterior  
637 slope in the medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy: A mathematical approach. *Ann.*

- 638 Biomed. Eng., vol. 38, Springer; 2010, p. 583–93. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-009-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-009-9860-5)  
639 9860-5.
- 640 [64] Sariali E, Catonne Y. Modification of tibial slope after medial opening wedge high tibial  
641 osteotomy: Clinical study and mathematical modelling. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*  
642 *Arthrosc* 2009;17:1172–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-008-0717-5>.
- 643 [65] Nha K-W, Kim H-J, Ahn H-S, Lee D-H. Change in Posterior Tibial Slope After Open-  
644 Wedge and Closed-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:3006–13.  
645 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515626172>.
- 646 [66] Goshima K, Sawaguchi T, Shigemoto K, Iwai S, Nakanishi A, Ueoka K. Patellofemoral  
647 Osteoarthritis Progression and Alignment Changes after Open-Wedge High Tibial  
648 Osteotomy Do Not Affect Clinical Outcomes at Mid-term Follow-up. *Arthrosc - J*  
649 *Arthrosc Relat Surg* 2017;33:1832–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2017.04.007>.
- 650