

Functional trait relationships demonstrate life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes

Damien Finn, Benoît Bergk-Pinto, Christina Hazard, Graeme W. Nicol,

Christoph Tebbe, Timothy Vogel

▶ To cite this version:

Damien Finn, Benoît Bergk-Pinto, Christina Hazard, Graeme W. Nicol, Christoph Tebbe, et al.. Functional trait relationships demonstrate life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2021, 97 (5), 10.1093/femsec/fiab068. hal-03411983

HAL Id: hal-03411983 https://hal.science/hal-03411983v1

Submitted on 3 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 25	1	Functional trait relationships demonstrate life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes.
	2	
	3	Damien R. Finn ^{1,2,3} , Benoît Bergk-Pinto ² , Christina Hazard ² , Graeme W. Nicol ² ,
	4	Christoph C. Tebbe ³ , Timothy M. Vogel ²
	5	
	6	¹ School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane,
	7	Australia 4072;
	8	² Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon,
	9	Université de Lyon, Écully, France 69134;
	10	³ Thünen Institut für Biodiversität, Johann Heinrich von Thünen Institut,
	11	Braunschweig, Germany 38116.
	12	
	13	
	14	Author correspondence:
	15	Damien Finn; current address: Thünen Institut für Biodiversität, Braunschweig,
	16	Germany 38116; email address: damien.finn@thuenen.de
	17	
	18	Keywords:
	19	Theoretical ecology, niche differentiation, copiotroph-oligotroph, Random Forest
36	20	modelling
37 38	21	
39 40	22	
41	23	Abstract
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	24	Functional, physiological traits are the underlying drivers of niche differentiation. A
	25	common framework related to niches occupied by terrestrial prokaryotes is based on
	26	copiotrophy or oligotrophy, where resource investment is primarily in either rapid
	27	growth or stress tolerance, respectively. A quantitative trait-based approach sought
	28	relationships between taxa, traits and niche in terrestrial prokaryotes. With 175 taxa
	29	from 11 Phyla and 35 Families ($n = 5$ per Family), traits were considered as discrete
	30	counts of shared genome-encoded proteins. Trait composition strongly supported non-
	31	random functional distributions as preferential clustering of related taxa via unweighted
	32	pair-group method with arithmetic mean. Trait similarity between taxa increased as
	33	taxonomic rank decreased. A suite of Random Forest models identified traits
	34	significantly enriched or depleted in taxonomic groups. These traits conveyed functions

related to rapid growth, nutrient acquisition and stress tolerance consistent with their presence in copiotroph-oligotroph niches. Hierarchical clustering of traits identified a clade of competitive, copiotrophic Families resilient to oxidative stress versus glycosyltransferase-enriched oligotrophic Families resistant to antimicrobials and environmental stress. However, the formation of five clades suggested a more nuanced view to describe niche differentiation in terrestrial systems is necessary. We suggest considering traits involved in both resource investment and acquisition when predicting niche.

 45 1. Introduction

Niche differentiation, the process of physiologically distinct organisms adapting better to certain conditions, is a contributing factor to the high biodiversity inherent in microbial communities (Prosser, 2012). Such differentiation is likely an inevitable consequence of the principles of competitive exclusion and natural selection working in tandem - no two organisms can theoretically occupy the same niche, as the poorer competitor must either adapt to a unique niche or be driven to extinction in that system (Gause, 1932, Hutchinson, 1957, Leibold, 1995). The physiological traits driving niche differentiation must have the capacity to convey an advantage to the organism's ability to survive and reproduce (*i.e.* fitness) and be inherited by successive generations (McGill *et al.*, 2006). Importantly, this implies that microbial communities are not only diverse in terms of individual 16S rRNA gene sequences, commonly used to assess community diversity, but also diverse in regard to their physiological traits.

Explaining niche differentiation through the functional, physiological traits present in ecological community members has a long history in macroecology. For example, differences between beak size and shape in Galápagos finches was instrumental in Darwin's hypothesis that a common ancestor had differentiated into multiple, island-specific species. Within the past century, trait-based analyses have been particularly predominant in plant ecology, with seed germination in submerged soil, salt tolerance, carbon to nitrogen biomass stoichiometry, and leaf mass per unit area acting as examples of traits linked to niche differentiation (Gleason, 1926, Grime, 1979, Keddy, 1992). In contrast, trait-based approaches to explain microbial ecology have only been performed in few instances, such as conceptualizing niches of methanotrophs based on abundance in high versus low methane environments or disturbed versus

undisturbed soils (Ho et al., 2013), correlating increasing growth rate with increasing ribosomal gene and ribosome-associated gene copy number (Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010), deterministic modelling of nitrification rate based on ammonia and oxygen uptake rate, temperature sensitivity and growth rate (Bouskill et al., 2012), defining distinct niches of 32 marine microorganisms based on clustering of genome-encoded functional proteins (Lauro et al., 2009), identifying habitat generalists and specialists based on taxon co-occurrence patterns (Barbéran et al., 2012) and recently comparisons of 23 'core' traits (e.g. motility, carbon metabolism, optimal pH for growth) across 15 000 diverse host-associated and environmental genomes (Madin et al., 2020).

A consistent trend noted in macroecology is that traits linked to how carbon and energy is processed and allocated to biomass can describe separate niches (Brown et al., 2004). The canonical example are r and K strategists, where carbon and energy are primarily invested in reproduction, or alternatively invested in tolerating biotic and/or abiotic stressors, respectively (Grime, 1977). These dichotomous strategies have been observed in microbial ecology: copiotrophs are considered as microorganisms with relatively high growth rates that have relatively poor growth efficiency (as carbon incorporated to biomass per unit resource), relatively high cell maintenance energy costs, dependence on relatively high concentrations of organic carbon in their environment, demonstrate rapid population blooms upon the addition of organic matter and are not overly tolerant of abiotic stress (Semenov, 1991, Koch, 2001, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Ho et al., 2017). Oligotrophs are considered as the inverse – low growth rate, high growth efficiency, low cell maintenance energy requirements, high substrate uptake affinity, slow growth yet at a consistent rate and are resilient to abiotic stress. Although the niche concept in macroecology has a formalized definition founded on where a taxon can maintain a stable population within multi-dimensional environmental space (Leibold, 1995), in this study, niche is used simply to distinguish between prokaryotes being relatively more copiotrophic versus oligotrophic.

These distinct niches became associated with specific terrestrial taxa at high taxonomic rank based on recent molecular analyses. In complex microbial communities, the relative abundance of Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria were correlated with rapid growth in response to the addition of labile organic matter or nitrogen (copiotrophs) (Fierer et al., 2007, Goldfarb et al., 2011,

Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015). Conversely, the Deltaproteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes were negatively correlated with the addition of organic matter or nitrogen (oligotrophs) (Fierer et al., 2007, Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015, Bastida et al., 2016). Conflicting reports exist of Beta- and Alphaproteobacteria, with some studies describing them as copiotrophic and others as oligotrophic highlighting that a consistent niche may not necessarily exist across species within a large taxonomic group (Ho et al., 2017). A genomic basis for traits associated with soils dominated by putative copiotrophs and oligotrophs has been expertly reviewed elsewhere, and interested readers are referred to Trivedi et al., (2013) and references therein. Importantly, these observations suggest that specific traits that allow terrestrial prokaryotes to occupy these two niches should (generally) be associated with taxonomy. This is an example of ecological coherence at high taxonomic rank, whereby members within a taxon tend to have similar life strategies, niches and possess common traits compared to members of other taxa (Philippot et al., 2010). While ecological coherence of taxa has been considered previously, the shared, specific traits that drive niche differentiation in terrestrial prokaryotes remains an open question.

To identify the traits that differ between terrestrial prokaryote taxonomic groups, and whether these traits could describe the niches they occupy, a functional trait-based approach was adopted here. We posited that a trait must: a) be associated with a physiological process that conveys a fitness advantage under certain environmental conditions; b) be measurable in well-defined units; and c) vary more between taxonomic groups than within a taxonomic group (McGill et al., 2006, Kearney et al., 2010). Traits were measured as discrete counts of chromosome-encoded proteins shared between at least two of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes. Markov Chain clustering (MCL) was used to group proteins as traits based on amino acid sequence similarity (%) akin to a previous approach that confirmed close taxonomic relatives tend to share functional traits in 1 374 genomes (Zhu et al., 2015). This was necessary to compare highly similar (but non-identical) proteins from separate genomes that carry out the same biological function. To better identify important, distinguishing traits of terrestrial prokaryotes, this study differed from Zhu et al., by: a) comparing 175 publicly available terrestrial prokaryote genomes from 35 Families (n = 5 each), from 11 Phyla and two Kingdoms; b) selecting prokaryotes involved in terrestrial ecosystem processes of interest, including organic matter decomposition, nitrogen fixation, nitrification,

denitrification, methane oxidation, plant-growth promotion, bioremediation of pollutants, pathogenesis and methanogenesis; c) selecting taxa isolated from a wide range of terrestrial environments, such as nutrient rich decomposing plant material and rhizosphere, submerged wetland and rice paddy soils, polluted soils, and nutrient poor hot and cold arid environments; and d) avoiding the inclusion of multiple subspecies and/or strains of a single species to prevent biases in analyses where highly over-represented species are compared with species that have fewer cultured representatives. The taxonomic system used here is from the NCBI, which is built upon a historical array of culture-dependent, physiological observations and genetic similarity to cultured isolates as average nucleotide identity, DNA-DNA hybridisation or 16S rRNA gene homology (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser). The use of this classification system and comparison to others is discussed further below.

We hypothesised that: 1) traits are non-randomly distributed, with relatively closely related taxa demonstrating greater similarity than unrelated taxa (ecological coherence); 2) traits that are differentially enriched between taxonomic groups would primarily be involved in metabolism, nutrient acquisition and/or tolerating environmental stress; and 3) copiotrophic and oligotrophic taxonomic groups would emerge based on collective trait enrichment.

³⁴ 155

157 2. Methodology

158 2.1 Collection of terrestrial prokaryote genomes

A collection of 175 sequenced and annotated genomes was collated (Supplementary Table 1). Listed are the genome ID, phylogenetic lineage, role in an ecosystem process if known, and isolation or genome sequencing reference. These genomes were sourced from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and Joint Genome Institute (JGI) databases. Genomes were chosen based on several criteria: a) five isolates per Family were chosen to have an equal minimum sample size per group, with this sample size being constrained by sequenced genomes of under-represented groups in public databases; b) only a single subspecies/strain per species was included to avoid bias due to over-representation of some species in public databases; c) an emphasis was placed to include isolates from diverse taxonomic lineages involved in terrestrial ecosystem processes of interest, such as ammonia oxidation and methanogenesis; and d) there was an emphasis to include taxonomic Page 7 of 147

frequently stated to copiotrophic (e.g. Actinobacteria, groups be either Gammaproteobacteria) or oligotrophic (e.g. Acidobacteria, Planctomycetes) based on observations from soil nutrient addition studies (Ho et al., 2017). Taxonomic annotations for Phyla, Class, Order etc. were based on NCBI taxonomy as most genomes were sourced there. The authors recognise that taxonomy is constantly shifting, particularly so with the recent development of the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (Parks et al., 2018). Of note is that the vast majority of taxa here have the same taxonomy in NCBI as in GTDB, with the exceptions that GTDB considers the Sporomusaceae as split into three separate Families, the Leuconostocaceae to be Lactobacillaceae, the Promicromonosporaceae to be Cellulomonadaceae, and the Bradyrhizobiaceae and Methylococcaceae have been renamed as Xanthobacteraceae and Methylomonadaceae, respectively. Taxon selection was constrained by availability of genomes for under-represented groups, such as the Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. To meet the n = 5 requirement for balanced statistical analyses, it was necessary to consider these under-represented groups as 'Families'. Furthermore, due to the great diversity inherent within Proteobacterial Classes, Gamma-, Alpha-, Beta-and Deltaproteobacteria were considered as independent 'Phyla' for statistical analyses here. Indeed, GTDB now defines Deltaproteobacteria as its own Phylum, while Betaproteobacteria are considered as the Burkholderiales Order within the Gammaproteobacteria. The total of 175 genomes analysed here falls within the upper range of previous hypothesis-driven trait-based studies which varies from 11 isolates (Bouskill et al., 2012) to 214 genomes (Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010).

2.2 Functional trait clustering

A step-by-step walkthrough of reproducible code to perform the following analyses on a subset of 12 genomes is available at: https://github.com/DamienFinn/Trait-based analyses. Firstly, a pairwise similarity comparison of all amino acid sequences (964 951 sequences) across the 175 genomes was performed with the all versus all basic local alignment tool function for proteins, BLAST-P (Altschul et al., 1990). Amino acid sequences were subsequently clustered as traits via MCL weighted by pairwise amino acid similarity (Enright et al., 2002). Functional traits were grouped at a cluster value of 90.2, whereby > 65 is considered 'fair' and confidence in accurately separating

clusters cannot be higher than 100. The value of 90.2 is not chosen by the user but rather is a reflection of the guality of clustering in a given dataset. The MCL identified a total of 220 664 traits shared between at least two genomes. A random subset of 1700 amino acid sequences were selected and the similarity of each sequence within its trait group (as determined by MCL) versus between other trait groups was visualised as a box and whisker plot (Supplementary Figure 1) in R version 4.0.0 (R Core Team, 2013). 1 700 sequences were chosen to maximise comparisons between trait groups under technical limitations, as increasing sequences led to exponential increases in trait combinations. A Student's T test was applied to determine whether sequences were more similar within their trait group relative to between trait groups in R. Finally, a matrix of genome x functional trait was generated in a two-step process by first associating genome IDs to the MCL output with a novel script 'MCLtoReshape2.py' (available at the above Github address) and secondly by casting the long data format to a wide data matrix with the 'reshape2' package in R (Wickham, 2007). Box and whisker plots comparing counts of proteins per genome (input) and counts of functional traits shared by at least two genomes (output of computational workflow), for the 35 Families, is presented as Figure 1.

2.3 UPGMA dendrogram of trait similarity between genomes

The unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) was chosen to compare distance-based similarity between taxa based on discrete counts of individual traits per genome. This method is more robust for comparing similarity between sample units (*i.e.* taxa) based on discrete counts of variables (*i.e.* individual traits per taxon) rather than neighbour joining or maximum likelihood methods better suited for DNA or amino acid sequence comparisons (Weins, 1998). The UPGMA was performed in R with the 'phangorn' package as described (Schliep et al., 2017) on a Bray-Curtis transformed dissimilarity functional trait matrix, generated with the 'vegdist' function in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2013). To measure ecological coherence (C) of taxa within shared Super Groups, Phyla and Families, a similarity index was adapted from Levins' Overlap (Finn et al., 2020a), which measures pairwise similarity in distributions of taxa, as the following:

$$C = 1 - (\frac{\sum b_{ij}}{n^2})$$
 Equation

1.

Where b_{ij} is the pairwise branch length between taxon *i* and *j* in the UPGMA tree,

measured here as Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, which is summed for each taxon and its

relatives within a shared Super Group, Phylum or Family, and where n is the number

Furthermore, the full length 16S rRNA gene of each taxon was collated from NCBI.

Genes were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and a neighbour joining phylogenetic

tree was constructed with the 'phangorn' package in R. Phylogenetic distance present

in taxonomic groups (P) was measured as per Equation 1., excepting that branch

length was in units of DNA sequence similarity as opposed to Bray-Curtis dissimilarity.

To inform the biological process a functional trait facilitated, traits were annotated with

the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. This was

performed in five steps: 1) a representative amino acid sequence from each trait was

extracted with the novel script 'IdentifyTraits.py'; 2) these sequences were annotated

with KEGG Orthology (KO) terms using the BlastKOALA database algorithm with a bit

score cut-off value of 75 (Kanehisa et al., 2016); 3) BRITE functional heirarchies

associated with each KO term (e.g. KO1179 gene: endoglucanase, BRITE 1:

Metabolism, BRITE 2: Carbohydrate Metabolism, BRITE 3: Starch and Sucrose

Metabolism) were collected with the novel script 'GetBRITEinfo.py'; 4) Genome ID, trait

ID, KO term and BRITE metadata were all collated with the novel script 'MatchFCs.py';

and 5) the 'reshape2' package in R was used to create matrices of genome x BRITE

hierarchy. Where KEGG was unable to annotate a trait, it was considered as

'Uncharacterised'. As above, all novel scripts and a step-by-step walkthrough of

at:

https://github.com/DamienFinn/Trait-

Finally, simple linear regression was used to test a relationship between P and C.

of taxa being compared within a shared Super Group, Phylum or Family.

1	
2 3	220
4	239
5 6	240
7	241
8 0	242
10	243
11 12	244
13	2/5
14 15	245
16	246
17 19	247
19	248
20 21	249
22	250
23 24	251
25	252
26 27	252
28	255
29 30	254
31	255
32 33	256
34	257
35 36	258
37	259
38 39	200
40 41	200
41	261
43	262
44 45	263
46 47	264
47 48	265
49 50	266
51	267
52	207
53 54	268
55	269
56 57	270

2.4 Functional trait annotation

reproducible

based analyses.

270 2.5 Identifying traits differentially enriched in taxonomic groups

is

code

Random Forest classification was chosen as a non-linear, multivariate cluster-based
 method capable of identifying numerous predictor variables (*i.e.* traits) that define

available

different classes of a response variable (*i.e.* taxonomic group). This was performed with the 'randomForest' package as described (Liaw & Weiner, 2002). Discrete counts of traits at BRITE level 3 were used (e.g. Starch and Sucrose Metabolism) as this level had the most accurate resolution of biological processes facilitated by traits. A total of six Random Forest models were optimised to classify taxonomic groups at the level of: a) Phylum, with Proteobacteria Classes separated due to their extensive diversity (n = 14); b) Family (n = 35); c) specifically for Families in the Proteobacteria (n = 13); d) Families in the Actinobacteria (n = 7); e) Families in the Firmicutes (n = 5); and f) Families from 'Under-represented' groups, which were all other Families (n = 10). Optimal numbers of trees grown for each model were: 300, 400, 320, 300, 300 and 400, respectively. Six traits were randomly selected at each branch. As the Random Forest only identifies traits that best explain separation of taxonomic groups, and does not show whether traits have positive or negative associations with groups, box and whisker plots and Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc tests were performed with the 'agricolae' package (de Mendiburu, 2014) to definitively state which taxonomic groups were significantly enriched or depleted in traits identified via Random Forest.

2.6 Hierarchical clustering of Families by defining traits

Finally, the relationship between Families based on similarity in counts of 60 traits was assessed via hierarchical clustering. Traits were chosen based on being selected via the above Random Forest models in this study, and from previous studies that identified traits associated with copiotroph-oligotroph growth strategies in single species or mixed communities (Lauro et al., 2009, Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Pascual-Garcia & Bell, 2020). The mean of trait discrete counts in the five Family members was used as representative of each Family. Comparing trait means between Families was considered acceptable as prior LSD post hoc tests had demonstrated significant differences between Families. As traits had highly variable copy numbers per Family (e.g. ABC transporter trait copies ranged from 10 – 350, while bacterial chemotaxis traits ranged from 0 - 15 copies) the trait copies were normalised for more appropriate comparisons. Normalised variance was calculated across the 35 Families for all traits with the 'decostand' function in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2013). Hierarchical clustering of Families based on normalised trait

counts was visualised with the 'heatmap.2' function in the 'gplots' package (Warnes et al., 2019). 3. Results 3.1 Trait clustering and UPGMA The 964 951 amino acid sequences encoded by the 175 genomes were clustered as 220 664 traits by MCL. A random subset of 1 700 traits showed that amino acid sequence similarity within traits ranged from 62.4, 82.5 and 100% for the 1st quartile, mean and 3rd quartile, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). Amino acid sequence similarity between traits ranged from 27.6, 34 and 37.8% for the 1st quartile, mean and 3rd guartile, respectively. A Student's T test found that sequences grouped together as a trait were significantly more similar to each other than to sequences grouped as different traits (t value = 61.3, $p = 2 \times 10^{-16}$). Manual comparisons of amino acid sequences within several traits supported clustering of proteins with identical biological function based on KEGG annotation. Thus, the MCL was considered to perform well. However, the minimum amino acid sequence similarity within traits was 23.27% and maximum similarity between traits was 85.24%, indicating that across the 220 664 traits, a small proportion of dissimilar amino acid sequences were grouped as a trait incorrectly, while some amino acid sequences that were highly similar were considered different traits. This small number of incorrectly clustered sequences can be explained by the MCL clustering efficiency being 90.2, out of a possible 100. A comparison of the number of proteins per genome at the Family level (mean = 5)

533), found that there were fewer functional traits per genome (mean = 4 240) (Figure 1). These represent the input number of proteins per genome before trait clustering and the output number of traits after clustering, respectively. As only functional traits shared between at least two genomes were considered here, the loss of highly genome-specific traits that could not be compared between genomes was expected. Despite this drop in average traits per genome, this initial approach serves as a proof of concept to demonstrate that numbers of traits per genome at the Family level reflect trends in proteins per Family, and thus the MCL was not distorting trait clustering (Figure 1).

⁵⁸ 339 The UPGMA dendrogram comparing the 220 664 traits per genome showed that
 ⁶⁰ 340 trait compositions were non-randomly distributed (Figure 2a). Specifically,

Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota, Acidobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Deltaproteobacteria clustered together preferentially. The Chloroflexi were split into two clusters: one Dehalococcoides and one Ktedonobacter/Herpetosiphon/Anaerolinea cluster. Several prokaryotes did not cluster with their high taxonomic rank, including a Planctomycetes bacterium, Polyangium brachysporum (Deltaproteobacteria), Agreia pratensis (Actinobacteria) and Sporomusa ovata (Firmicutes). Also of interest was that, in regard to distance between terminal nodes (as noted by the scale bar), the Betaproteobacteria Gammaproteobacteria were more similar to each other than and the Alphaproteobacteria, which formed its own large, diverse clade. A neighbour joining tree of full length 16S rRNA genes showed that all taxa clustered preferentially based on their taxonomic nomenclature at high taxonomic rank, including the Chloroflexi, indicating that the discrepancies in the UPGMA were not due to misclassification of the individual taxa (Supplementary Figure 2a).

A simple index to measure trait similarity, as ecological coherence (C), within groups was devised (Equation 1). C increased as taxonomic rank decreased: Super Group < Phylum < Family (Figure 2b). C was lowest for the larger, more diverse Proteobacteria and Terrabacteria (Super Group), and Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Phylum). As the number of taxa being compared at the Super Group (e.g. Terrabacteria = 70 versus Acidobacteria = 10) and Phylum (e.g. Actinobacteria = 35 versus Thaumarchaeota = 5) were variable, the most meaningful comparisons between groups are at the Family level (n = 5 each). With the exception of the highly divergent 'Acidobacteria Lineage', all Families had a C greater than 0.3, with certain groups in the Alphaproteobacteria (Bacillaceae (Beijerinckiaceae), Firmicutes and Leuconostocaceae) and Actinobacteria (Promicromonosporaceae) being highly coherent (C > 0.55). Indeed, all individual Proteobacterial Families had C > 0.4, indicating that all five taxa within each of these Families had similar trait compositions. Despite not truly belonging to the same Family as per NCBI taxonomy, the C > 0.33 of the five Cyanobacteria, Thaumarchaeota and the Methanogen Lineage taxa was similar to other Families from the Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. Thus, the UPGMA demonstrated that taxonomic relatives at the Phylum level tended to cluster with each other preferentially based on trait composition, and secondly that while similarity was broadly highest at low taxonomic rank, some Families were more coherent than others.

Page 13 of 147

Phylogenetic distance (*P*) of each taxonomic group increased with decreasing taxonomic rank, and was highest in Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes Families (Supplementary Figure 2b). There was a strong positive linear relationship between *P* and *C* (y = 0.86x - 0.25, $R^2 = 0.39$, p < 0.001) supporting the result that taxonomic groups of closer related taxa tended to share more similar compositions of traits.

383 3.2 Random Forest trait identification

The KEGG annotated traits belonged to 260 different BRITE 3 categories. The percentage of traits that could not be annotated (and were termed 'Uncharacterised') ranged from 28 – 65% per genome, being particularly high in the Archaea. On average, 47% of traits per genome were Uncharacterised with a standard deviation of 9.5%.

Of the 260 BRITE 3 categories, the 60 most important traits in separating all Phyla and Families are ranked by importance measured as Mean Decrease in Accuracy (MDA) of the Random Forest models (Figure 3). This is a measure of the average increase in classification error during permutation of trees (n = 300 - 400) when that particular trait is missing from the tree. For example, the accuracy of classifying Families was most improved by inclusion of the ABC transporters trait. Based on the identified traits, the Phylum model was capable of successfully classifying 81.14% of individual taxa. The Family model was capable of successfully classifying 71.43% of individual taxa. Confusion matrices for both models are presented as Supplementary Tables 2 – 4, and show that classification was particularly difficult for Chloroflexi and Planctomycetes (classification error > 80%) in the Phylum model and for Cellulomonadaceae and the divergent Acidobacteria Lineage (classification error > 80%) in the Family model. Random Forest models were robust against variation in P within Families, for example the nine families with all taxa perfectly classified ranged in P from the lowest (0.68) to highest (0.9).

The important traits in classifying the taxonomic groups were involved in: a) metabolism and nutrient acquisition (oxidative phosphorylation, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, glyoxylate/decarboxylate, thermogenesis, propanoate, starch/sucrose, nitrogen, methane metabolism, synthesis of antioxidants such as glutathione, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, sugar uptake via phosphotransferase systems (PTS)); b) responding to environmental cues and stressors (protein kinases, twocomponent systems, transcription factors, proteasome, protein chaperones, RNA transport, chromosome repair via non-homologous DNA end joining); c) core cell physiology (flagella assembly, chemotaxis, sporulation, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), peptidoglycan, glycerolipid, sphingolipid and lipoarabinomannan (LAM) biosynthesis); and d) cell-cell interactions (beta-Lactam resistance, general secretion systems and Type IV secretion systems). Box and whisker plots of discrete counts of identified traits, and LSD results, are provided as Supplementary Figures 4 - 7. The Families significantly enriched and depleted in these traits are listed in Table 1. The Phyla significantly enriched and depleted in identified traits are listed in Supplementary Table 9.

To better identify the more subtle differences between Families in the Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and the 'Under-represented' Phyla, individual Random Forest models were constructed for each of the four groups. The successful classification rates were 72.31, 74.29, 76 and 72%, respectively. Confusion matrices for each model are presented as Supplementary Tables 5 – 8. The models were unable to reliably classify Bradyrhizobiaceae and the divergent Acidobacteria Lineage (classification error > 80%).

Supplementary Figure 8 shows the most important traits in classifying the four groups. Unique traits not identified in the prior Phylum and Family models were: a) for Proteobacteria, glycosyltransferases, butanoate metabolism, aminotransferases, ribosome biogenesis, mRNA biogenesis and degradation; b) for Actinobacteria, porphyrin and chlorophyll synthesis, pyruvate metabolism, aminotransferases, fatty acid and aliphatic hydrocarbon metabolism, polyketide and Type II polyketide biosynthesis, antimicrobial resistance genes; c) for Firmicutes, lysine, folate and varied amino acid synthesis, porphyrin and chlorophyll synthesis, DNA replication, bacterial toxins, penicillin and cephalosporin synthesis; and d) for the 'Under-represented' taxa, glycosyltransferases, peptidases and inhibitors, photosynthesis and AMP-activated protein kinases. Box and whisker plots of discrete counts of identified traits, and LSD results, are provided as Supplementary Figures 9 – 12. Tables summarising Families enriched and depleted in these traits are included as Supplementary Tables 10 and 11.

- 57 440
- ⁵⁸ 441

⁶⁰ 442 3.3 Hierarchical clustering of defining traits

Hierarchical clustering based on 60 traits, identified from Random Forest in this study and by previous copiotroph-oligotroph studies, indicated five general clades. The dendrogram on the y axis of Figure 4 shows clustering of taxa as these five clades. The dendrogram on the x axis shows clustering of co-occurring traits. Clade I consisted specifically the Pseudomonadaceae, of Proteobacteria, Burkholderiaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Rhizobiaceae. These Families were uniquely enriched in flagellar assembly, chemotaxis, pyruvate metabolism, glutathione metabolism, ABC transporters, benzoate metabolism, transcription factors, glyoxylate and fatty acid metabolism. Clade II, also Proteobacteria, included Nitrosomonadaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Methylocystaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Methylococcaceae and Moraxellaceae. These Families clustered based on being enriched in Clade I traits, but to а lesser degree than the Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Rhizobiaceae. Exceptions included the absence of benzoate metabolism and enrichment of methane metabolism in several Clade II Families.

Clade III, a diverse collection of Bacteroidetes (Chitinophagaceae, Cytophagaceae), Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, divergent Acidobacteria Lineage and the Deltaproteobacteria (Polyangiaceae, Myxococcaceae), shared enrichment of sphingolipid metabolism, beta-Lactam resistance, penicillin and cephalosporin biosynthesis, LPS biosynthesis, glycosyltransferases and starch/sucrose metabolism. Many of these Families shared Clade I and II traits, including Type IV secretion system, oxidative phosphorylation, TCA cycle, PTS, nitrogen and glycerophospholipid metabolism. The absence of glutathione in non-Deltaproteobacterial Clade III Families was notable.

The three Actinobacteria Families in Clade IV, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae and Streptomycetaceae, were highly similar to each other because they were enriched in Type II polyketide biosynthesis. They also shared some Clade I traits (ABC transporters, transcription factors, pyruvate, benzoate and fatty acid metabolism) and Clade III traits (membrane trafficking, transcription machinery, polyketide biosynthesis and starch/sucrose metabolism). Similar to Clade III, these Actinobacteria were also depleted in glutathione traits.

Finally, taxa within Clade V were similar to each other due to being depleted in traits shared among the other clades. Cyanobacteria were the only taxa that possessed photosynthesis traits. Lactic acid bacteria (Lactobacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae) were enriched in Unclassified nucleotide metabolism. The Archaea (Thaumarchaeota Methanogen Lineage) shared eukaryote-like traits, proteasome, basal and transcription factors and RNA transport. The Archaea were enriched in carbon fixation traits. Methanogens were also enriched in methane metabolism. Ammonia oxidising Thaumarchaeota were not enriched in nitrogen metabolism, however they were enriched in traits annotated by KEGG as Global maps only (unclassified metabolism). Further analysis found this to be the fpr gene, encoding a ferredoxin-flavodoxin NADP+ reductase (K00528). Non-lactic acid bacteria of the Firmicutes (Bacillaceae, Sporomusaceae and Clostridiaceae) were enriched in sporulation and motility traits.

19 486

- 21 487
 - 488 4. Discussion

489 4.1 Non-random trait clustering demonstrates ecological coherence of taxa

A trait-based approach to investigate taxonomic relationships and potential biological function was carried out with a collection of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes. We hypothesised that traits would be non-randomly distributed amongst taxonomic groups, supported by previous observations that noted closely related taxa are isolated from similar habitats (Philippot et al., 2010). Similarity in the composition of 220 664 traits, within 175 taxa, demonstrated strong agreement with established taxonomy at high (Phyla) and low (Family) rank (Figure 2a). The exceptions to this ecological coherence at high rank were the division of the Chloroflexi and an individual from each of the Planctomycetes, Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes clustering with unrelated Phyla. These taxa were not mischaracterised, as based on phylogenetics of the full length 16S rRNA gene (Supplementary Figure 2a). Trait similarity between related taxa, measured as C, tended to be highest at low rank (Figure 2b). Uneven sample sizes between groups within Super Group and Phyla make comparisons at this level difficult – the inclusion of many diverse Firmicutes and Actinobacteria likely drove C to be lower here than in Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. However, equal comparisons at the Family level demonstrated interesting variability in coherence. All Proteobacterial Families had relatively high coherence (C = 0.4 - 0.6). The high C in Beijerinckiaceae is of particular interest as this group contained both specialist methanotroph (Methylocapsa, Methylocella), methylotroph (Methyloferula) and generalist heterotroph (Beijerinckia spp.) taxa. With such varied metabolic traits, one could reasonably expect C to be relatively low within this Family. The Beijerinckiaceae Page 17 of 147

appear to have evolved from a common methylotrophic ancestor and still share traits for nitrogen fixation and tolerance for low pH soils (Tamas et al., 2014), and the high C measured here indicates that many additional shared traits remain. Both the relatively recent divergence of Families from a common ancestor and the higher number of shared traits are likely causes of the higher C observed at low taxonomic rank. The differing values of C for Methanogen and photosynthetic Cyanobacteria functional groups (0.33 and 0.44, respectively) is also worthy of note. Despite all five taxa in each group performing the same core role in a community, the individual isolates came from varying environments. The methanogens were isolated from a range of geographically separate wetlands, rice paddy soil and farm slurry and, while the Cyanobacteria were also isolated from geographically separate environments, they were all from sandy deserts or other nutrient poor, arid soils (Supplementary Table 1 and references therein). Ultimately a taxon's trait composition will be affected by its functional role in a community, its evolutionary life-history (e.g. Beijerinckiaceae described above) and its local environment.

However, these results are dependent on accurate taxonomic classification, and the C of Sporomusaceae, relatively low compared to other Families here, supports splitting this group into Sporomusaceae, Anaeromusaceae and Pelosinaceae by GTDB (Parks et al., 2018). Finally worth noting, some groups at high rank were considered as 'Families' here due to the number of available terrestrial genomes, e.g. Cyanobacteria and Chloroflexi. Even so, Cyanobacteria demonstrated a higher C than many taxonomically-defined Families, perhaps due to their common role as primary colonisers of nutrient-poor soils (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001). The number of Families are too numerous to discuss each at length here, but C was an effective means of measuring and comparing coherence between groups in the UPGMA tree.

While the method of comparing taxa here differs from other studies, the results were not surprising as many 16S rRNA gene surveys of terrestrial systems consistently demonstrate ecological coherence at high rank. For example, independent studies of increasing agricultural intensity in soils show reductions in Actinobacteria abundance (Philippot et al., 2009, Jangid et al., 2011). Nitrogen addition to soils frequently enriches numerous taxa within the Actinobacteria and Proteobacterial Classes while negatively affecting taxa within the Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes (Wessen et al., 2010, Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015, Bastida et al., 2016). Arid, nutrient poor environments select for Actinobacteria-dominated communities (Cary et al., 2010,

Crits-Christoph et al., 2013) and, in the absence of other primary producers, allow biological soil crust forming Cyanobacterial taxa to establish (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001). Anoxic wetland and rice paddy environments support diverse communities of anaerobic Firmicutes, Chloroflexi and methanogenic Archaea (He et al., 2019, Finn et al., 2020b). These trends were noted prior to bioinformatic advances of metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) that allow for the specific comparison of individual traits between uncultured environmental prokaryote genomes (Hug et al., 2013). The generation of MAGs has emerged as a useful tool for identifying traits necessary for life in such environments, and particularly for expanding knowledge of severely under-represented, difficult to culture taxonomic groups. For example, the recent reconstruction of 52 515 MAGs from a wide range of host-associated and environmental metagenomes was able to increase genomic information of Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia by 79% and 68%, respectively (Navfach et al., 2021). Importantly, both 16S rRNA gene surveys and MAGs demonstrate that some functional traits that facilitate life under certain environmental conditions are intrinsically linked to taxonomy.

The ecological coherence observed in Figure 2 does not imply that closely related taxa (e.g. Bacillus velezensis LS69 and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens plantarum FZB42) have identical phenotypes. Close relatives possess a combination of core and accessory genes (traits) and the presence of even a single accessory gene is sufficient to dramatically alter a strain's phenotype (van Rossum et al., 2020). Rather, our results (Figure 2) demonstrate that the composition of core and accessory traits in alphaproteobacterial Beijerinckiaceae are most similar to each other, relative to alphaproteobacterial Rhizobiaceae or to Actinobacteria, Firmicutes etc.

45 569

46 570

571 4.2 What can the Random Forests tell us?

The Random Forest works by identifying the traits that are most reliable in classifying individual Phyla and Families. It selects traits that tend to be: a) of equal copy number per genome within a taxonomic group; and b) that differ markedly in copy number between taxonomic groups, since distinct separation of copies will maximise successful classification. A clear example of this is the consistent identification of eukaryote-like basal transcription factors, proteasome and RNA transport present in the Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota, since they are absent from the majority of

Bacterial Phyla. The binary nature of these traits (yes Archaea, no Bacteria) make them strong indicators. The presence of these and more eukaryote-like vesicular trafficking and actin traits have been noted in the Archaeal TACK super-phylum previously, and lend credence to the hypothesis that eukaryotes are descended from Archaea (Embley & Martin, 2006, Spang et al., 2015). However, the Random Forest will not identify a trait unique to Can. Nitrosotalea devanterra that is absent from other Thaumarchaeota, as this single trait will not improve classification of the group as a whole. Consequently, the traits identified via Random Forest all tended to be core, fundamental traits shared by other members of a taxon's Phylum/Family.

Many of the best traits for distinguishing taxa have been historically used by microbiologists to do exactly that. These included fundamental cell physiology traits, such as oxidative phosphorylation, LPS biosynthesis, sporulation, flagellar assembly and chemotaxis. The Phylum model separated Betaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes as taxa with the highest copies of oxidative phosphorylation traits. Firmicutes, Chloroflexi and Methanogens were identified as anaerobes depleted in oxidative phosphorylation, and all other taxa as sitting in between (Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 9). Some Gram-negative Families were significantly enriched in LPS biosynthesis compared to others. These were the Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Chitinophagaceae, divergent Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1). This has been noted in soil communities previously. The extensive repertoire of LPS-associated genes in Chitinophagaceae, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia likely play a critical role in enhancing soil aggregation (Cania et al., 2019) potentially through high LPS production and/or biofilm formation (discussed further below). In a demonstration of the robustness of the methods used here, the highly unusual Firmicute Sporomusaceae were shown to possess similar counts of LPS biosynthesis traits relative to most Gram-negative Families (Supplementary Table 4) in addition to sharing heat-resistant spore formation with Bacillaceae and Clostridiaceae (Table 1). The presence of both traits in a single Family have been remarked upon previously and used to conceptualise the evolution of Gram-negative versus Gram-positive lineages (Stackebrandt et al., 1985). The Sporomusaceae were also shown to have high Porphyrin and Chlorophyll metabolism traits in the Firmicutes model (Supplementary Table 10). The capacity to dechlorinate the soil pollutant perchloroethene to trichloroethylene via a porphyrin-based corrinoid is yet another interesting trait of this Family (Terzenbach & Blaut, 1994).

Finally, flagella assembly and chemotaxis traits identified Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria and Acidobacteria as Phyla that were particularly enriched with this mechanism of motility, while bacterial Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were depleted (Supplementary Table 9). The Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Under-represented models were better suited for identifying specific Families homogenously enriched or depleted in bacterial flagella and chemotaxis (Supplementary Tables 10 and 11). Enriched Families included the Rhodospirillaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae, Neisseriales lineage, divergent Acidobacteria lineage, Sporomusaceae, Bacillaceae, Clostridiaceae and Planctomycetes. Other forms of motility such as twitching and gliding have been noted in the Pseudomonadaceae, Myxococcaceae and Cyanobacteria (McBride, 2001) but these traits were not identified by the Random Forest as being homogenously enriched in any Families. Furthermore, while Thaumarchaeota and Methanogens were both identified as being depleted in bacterial flagella assembly and chemotaxis traits (Supplementary Table 9 and 11), Archaea possess a structurally distinct flagellum more similar to the Type IV bacterial pilus (Jarrell and Albers, 2012). These taxa were not enriched with Type IV pilus, either, and it is possible that archaeal flagella may have failed proper characterisation by KEGG. Thus, while some Families were relatively enriched/depleted in bacterial flagella and chemotaxis traits, specific taxa depleted in these are not necessarily non-motile.

In summary, while the Random Forests may overlook certain traits in individual taxa, the models were highly robust in detecting conserved, shared traits within a Phylum/Family. Here the 'depth' of shared traits is limited by the number of taxa that could be considered as Phylum or Family. In future, if five (or more) taxa belonging to the same Genus or even Species could be compared, unique traits would be observed to explain how these subgroups have evolved from their respective Families to occupy distinct niches. Ideally the selection of individual taxa within groups for such future comparative analyses would also be standardised based on phylogenetic distance, either with P or a similar method, that would improve the robustness of trait-based comparisons at such a fine taxonomic level.

55 643

₅₇ 644

 4.3 Plant-derived carbon metabolism and nutrient acquisition

Secondly, we hypothesised that the traits differentially enriched between taxonomic groups would largely reflect those associated with copiotrophs or oligotrophs, namely metabolism, nutrient acquisition and environmental stress response and tolerance. Of fundamental interest to soil microbiologists is the decomposition of plant biomass. This is the primary source of organic carbon to non-arid terrestrial systems (Kögel-Knabner, 2002) and the transformation of plant material to substrates bioavailable for microorganisms is essential for community growth and activity. The traits involved in plant material catabolism belonged to the BRITE categories 'Starch and Sucrose Metabolism' (e.g. extracellular cellobiosidases, endoglucanases, glucosidases, trehalases, amylases) and 'Glycosyltransferases', all of which are carbohydrate activated enzymes (CAZy). The Families particularly enriched in these traits were the Polyangiaceae, Myxococcaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1, Supplementary Table 11). Genomic and culture-dependent analyses support Sorangium cellulosum (Polyangiaceae), Streptomyces *coelicolor* A3(2) (Streptomycetaceae) and Chthoniobacter flavus (Verrucomicrobia) as having particularly large genomes with extensive repertoires for cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin and lignin degradation (Bentley et al., 2002, Sangwan et al., 2004, Schneiker et al., 2007). Comparative analyses have also identified Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria genomics and Verrucomicrobia as being enriched in numerous enzymes for cellulose, hemicellulose and starch catabolism (Trivedi et al., 2013). In situ these Families likely play a critical role in making organic carbon bioavailable as di- and monosaccharides for the microbial community.

The Families equipped with many copies of high-affinity sugar uptake 'Phosphotransferase systems' (3 – 14 copies) did not necessarily correspond to those enriched with CAZy – only the Myxococcaceae and Verrucomicrobia were enriched in Pseudomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, both. Neisseriales lineage and Clostridiaceae were only enriched in PTS. Despite being enriched in CAZy, the Frankiaceae were simultaneously depleted in PTS. The complex interplay between taxa capable of producing extracellular CAZy and competitors that rapidly scavenge available di- and monosaccharides has been well described by models that predict such competitive interactions exert important controls on the growth rate of the community as a whole (Freilich et al., 2011) and may even act to aid terrestrial carbon storage and limit carbon dioxide emissions from microbial respiration (Kaiser et al., 680 2015). Here, we identified the 'specialist' Families enriched in CAZy traits *versus* the 681 'opportunists' scavenging for sugars via PTS (Table 1, Supplementary Table 11).

ABC transporters facilitate the ATP-dependent uptake of soluble compounds across membranes or export waste metabolites, extracellular enzymes and toxins (Young & Holland, 1999, Higgins, 2001). This means of active transport allows microorganisms to acquire nutrients with high affinity at concentrations of 5 – 500 μ g carbon L⁻¹ versus the less efficient diffusion of nutrients across membranes, dependent on extracellular concentrations of 0.5 - 5 mg carbon L⁻¹ (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 1979). In the spatially heterogenous soil environment where the concentration of bioavailable carbon substrate often limits growth (Blagodatsky & Richter, 1998), possession of high affinity transporters likely provides a competitive advantage. The rhizosphere-associated Rhodospirillaceae, Rhizobiaceae and Burkholderiaceae tended to have the highest trait copies of ABC transporters (100 – 350 copies per genome, Supplementary Figure 4). The diverse, non-rhizospheric Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Cyanobacteria and Verrucomicrobia all had greater than 50 copies per genome, highlighting the importance of these traits in soil. The particularly high gene copy number in rhizosphere-associated taxa from presumably nutrient-rich environments contrasts the assumption that ABC transporters are considered to play a greater role in nutrient-poor environments (Lauro et al., 2009). Comparative genomics analyses of soil bacteria have also found putatively copiotrophic Proteobacteria and Firmicutes to be particularly enriched in PTS and ABC transporters (Trivedi et al., 2013). In this study, the transporters enriched in rhizosphere-associated taxa were primarily aimed at scavenging maltose, phosphate, amino acids, oligopeptides and export of LPS, and these results suggest that these traits are not only for survival in nutrient-poor environments but also likely confer a competitive advantage in the rhizosphere. As prokaryotes compete simultaneously with other prokaryotes and plants for nitrogen and phosphorus in the rhizosphere, the high affinity acquisition of such nutrients is likely critical for growth.

₅₂ 708

4.4 Nitrogen and methane metabolism

⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 <li

Page 23 of 147

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

control on soil microbial activity, these traits are also of interest to soil microbiologists. Three Proteobacterial Families, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Burkholderiaceae, were particularly enriched in these traits. Genomic and culture-dependent analyses show these Families to be free-living or symbiotic diazotrophs in soil and freshwater environments (Madigan et al., 1984, Itakura et al., 2009, de los Santos et al., 2018). Given their significantly greater copies of nitrogen-fixing genes, these Families may be a particularly important source of organic nitrogen for soil communities. Saprotrophic Mycobacteriaceae genomes, also identified as nitrogen cyclers, tend to have many copies of genes involved in ammonia uptake and glutamate synthesis (Amon et al., 2010). This taxon may play an alternative role in converting mineral nitrogen to biomass where organic nitrogen as protein in excreted products or necromass can undergo proteolysis and uptake between other community members. The identification of Sporomusaceae as enriched in 'Nitrogen metabolism' traits is unusual as these obligate anaerobic fermenters cannot use nitrate as an electron acceptor (Möller et al., 1984). Nor were the Sporomusaceae enriched in ammonia uptake or glutamate synthesis genes (data not shown), and so it is uncertain what role this Family plays in nitrogen cycling. Thaumarchaeota and Nitrosomonadaceae, known ammonia oxidisers, were not enriched in 'Nitrogen metabolism' traits relative to other Families (Supplementary Figure 5) despite Nitrosomonadaceae possessing multiple copies of the operon responsible for ammonia oxidation (Klotz & Norton, 1998). Specific traits may be overlooked here if the BRITE category includes many diverse KOs (e.g. ammonia oxidation, nitrogen fixation, glutamate synthesis etc).

Another specialised metabolic pathway of interest involves 'Methane metabolism' that includes production and oxidation of a potent greenhouse gas. Unsurprisingly, the Methylococcaceae, Methanogens and methanotrophic Beijerinckiaceae. Methylocystaceae were all enriched in traits involved in methane metabolism. While methane oxidation can be present in some taxa from the Verrucomicrobia (Op den Camp et al., 2009) the above proteobacterial representatives act as the primary terrestrial methane sink (Dunfield, 2007, Conrad, 2009).

4.5 Sensing, responding and tolerating the environment

A particularly interesting divergence of traits were involved in how taxa detect and respond to environmental stimuli. Gram-negative Pseudomonadaceae.

Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Myxococcaceae were enriched in two-component systems. These membrane-bound histidine kinases respond rapidly to extracellular stimuli (Galperin, 2005) and these traits were primarily involved in nitrogen, potassium, initiating chemotaxis and C_4 -dicarboxylate responses. Families enriched in transcription factors were the Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae and Frankiaceae. These factors regulate transcription in response to intracellular cues and here these factors were primarily rpoD (housekeeping), rpoH (heat-shock/protein damage), rpoE (extra-cellular cytoplasmic stress) and rpoS (starvation) responses (Shimada et al., 2017). The genomes of these taxa are also heavily enriched in regulatory genes for complex developmental stages, fruiting bodies and/or filamentous branching growth in soils (Bentley et al., 2002, Gao et al., 2006, Schneiker et al., 2007). Thus, certain taxa may respond primarily to extracellular cues while others strictly monitor and respond to changes in cell homeostasis. This trend has been noted previously – in 167 genomes across various Bacteria and Archaea, Proteobacteria had a higher ratio of sensors for external versus internal stimuli and were considered 'extroverts', while Cyanobacteria were considered strong 'introverts' focussed on responding to internal stimuli (Galperin, 2005).

As mentioned above, Archaea exhibited unique traits in basal transcription and protein regulation via proteasome. These transcription factors were primarily involved in identifying DNA damage and excision repair: TFII-B, TFII-D, ERCC-2 and ERCC-3. DNA repair differs markedly between Bacteria and Archaea/eukaryotes. Specifically, Bacteria excise 12 nucleotides around a damaged site with a 3 polypeptide system whereas Archaea excise 24 - 32 nucleotides with a 13 - 16 polypeptide system (Sancar, 1996). The use of ubiguitin-labelling and proteasome degradation of misfolded or 'old' proteins is arguably a more efficient system for recycling amino acids and regulating the 'lifespan' of a protein in eukaryotes, however, Bacteria are still fully capable of regulating protein misfolding or proteolysis with RpoH (and others) induced upon environmental stress (Goldberg, 2003). From an ecological perspective, it is difficult to discern if these eukaryote-like traits confer any sort of competitive advantage to Archaea. They may simply be examples of convergent evolution for dealing with environmental stress.

Finally, most microbial cells likely exist within complex biofilms and/or assemblages
 adhered to surfaces with excreted exopolysaccharides, DNA and protein that serve to

protect from adverse environmental factors (Flemming & Wingender, 2010). Families with high copy numbers of exopolysaccharide biosynthesis and secretion systems may act as integral members of soil communities by predominantly contributing to biofilm/aggregate formation. The 'LPS biosynthesis' and 'Starch and sucrose metabolism' BRITE categories can synthesise N-acetyl glucosamine-based and cellulose-based exopolysaccharides, respectively. Taxa enriched in both these categories and secretion systems were the Polyangiaceae and Burkholderiaceae (Table 1), and in the refined 'Under-represented' model, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Supplementary Table 11).

791

793 4.6 Direct cell-cell interactions

Type IV secretion systems were another important trait identified in the Random Forest models. These were enriched in Pseudomonadaceae and Myxococcaceae (Table 1) and Acidobacteria, Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia (Supplementary Table 11). These are highly specialised exporters that deliver DNA and/or toxins directly to other bacterial or plant cells, however, their role in ecology is poorly understood beyond root galls induced by Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Christie & Vogel, 2000). These taxa should be explored for whether they utilise these traits for horizontal gene transfer or to inject toxins directly into other prokaryotes, and thus potentially provide a selective advantage for colonisation and competition.

Another archetypal trait for interactions between community members are production of antimicrobials and antimicrobial resistance genes. Penicillin and cephalosporin synthesis were enriched in the Sporomusaceae and Bacillaceae relative to other Firmicutes. Polyketide and Type II polyketide syntheses were important for separating Frankiaceae and Streptomycetaceae from other Actinobacteria (Supplementary Table 10). The Streptomycetaceae have a long history of use in biotechnology as prolific antimicrobial producers (Bentley et al., 2002). Bacillaceae (in particular Bacillus subtilis species) are also well known producers of a wide variety of antimicrobials (Caulier et al., 2019), but we noted that Sporomusaceae have an even greater number of these traits (Supplementary Figure 9). To the authors' knowledge, antibiotic production in Sporomusaceae has not been investigated thoroughly and this may be a consequence of its obligate anaerobic nature and difficulties in culturing. In addition to prolific Type II polyketide producers, Streptomycetaceae were also enriched

816 in antimicrobial resistance genes, while Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes and
817 Verrucomicrobia were specifically enriched in beta-Lactam resistance (Supplementary
818 Tables 9 and 10).

4.7 Life strategies emerge from differentially enriched traits

We hypothesised that taxa would emerge as being inherently copiotrophic or oligotrophic based on trends in their enriched traits. Traits were chosen based on identification via Random Forest and identification as associated with copiotroph-oligotroph species or in mixed communities as described previously (Lauro et al., 2009, Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Pascual-Garcia & Bell, 2020). Rhizosphere-associated Gamma-, Alpha- and Betaproteobacteria in Clade I fit the assumptions of a copiotrophic niche that invests in high metabolic rate – these taxa were uniquely enriched in competing for nutrient uptake via high-affinity ABC transporters, and energy generation from pyruvate, fatty acids, benzoate and glyoxylate carbon sources. Clade I was also enriched in glutathione metabolism, which acts as the major antioxidant for reducing intracellular free radicals produced during central carbon metabolism (Smirnova & Oktyabrsky, 2005). Antioxidants have been hypothesised as an essential function for copiotrophs to survive their high metabolic rates (Koch, 2001). All five Clade I Families were enriched in oxidative phosphorylation. The oxidative phosphorylation traits encompass a wide variety of electron transport chain proteins (oxidoreductases, dehydrogenases, cytochromes and ATPases) and are crucial for efficient energy production (Brochier-Armanet et al., 2009). All five Families were also enriched in nitrogen metabolism, which included both nitrogen fixation and glutamate (*i.e.* protein) synthesis. Nitrogen fixation is an energy intensive process requiring 20 – 30 ATP per reduced N_2 (Burris & Roberts, 1993) and may be intrinsically linked to taxa with high oxidative phosphorylation. Finally, Clade I also shared motility and chemotaxis, which are also energy intensive traits. Clade II consisted of the remaining Gamma-, Alpha- and Betaproteobacteria, yet these were relatively less enriched in Clade I 'copiotroph' traits. These particular taxa may be responsible for the lack of a consistent copiotrophic response upon nutrient addition in Proteobacteria (Ho et al., 2017).

⁵⁸ 848 Clade III was comprised of taxa generally considered as oligotrophs (Ho *et al.*, 2017)
 ⁶⁰ 849 with the exception of Bacteroidetes (Fierer *et al.*, 2007). These taxa possessed high

Page 27 of 147

LPS and sphingolipid synthesis that can defend against desiccation and antimicrobials through biofilm and capsule/slime production (Flemming & Wingender, 2010), beta-Lactam resistance, penicillin biosynthesis and several members had high pentose phosphate pathway for efficient carbon metabolism under starvation (Hodgson, 2000). Clade III also possessed high CAZy traits, which Clade I largely lacked, and is consistent with observations of oligotrophs being primarily responsible for catabolising relatively recalcitrant plant material (Goldfarb et al., 2011). While Clade III were equally enriched in oxidative phosphorylation as Clade I, with the exception of the Deltaproteobacteria, these taxa were depleted in glutathione metabolism. The low copies per genome of this trait would explain why the abundance of oligotrophs drop rapidly in nutrient addition studies as they would be either out-competed by glutathione-rich taxa capable of exploiting plentiful nutrients or will lyse if their metabolic rate exceeds capacity to reduce free radicals (Koch, 2001). Taken together, all of these traits indicate Clade III lead oligotrophic lifestyles whereby they are tolerant to adverse environmental conditions, can acquire carbon from recalcitrant plant material, and are incapable of rapid growth rates.

These results support previous observations Rhodospirillaceae, that Bradyrhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae are copiotrophic, while Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae and Acidobacteria are oligotrophic (Ho et al., 2017 and references therein). As has been proposed previously, the dominance of these groups in certain soils can provide inferences for ecosystem processes in that system, for example soils dominated by Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria will have greater capacity to degrade complex plant material while retaining most catabolised carbon in biomass (*i.e.* high growth or carbon use efficiency) or excreted byproducts that assist in soil aggregation (e.g. high LPS production) (Trivedi et al., 2013). Conversely, soils dominated by copiotrophic Proteobacteria Families will be systems primarily dependent on labile di- and monosaccharides that demonstrate low carbon use efficiency.

Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae and Frankiaceae in Clade IV shared enrichment of several Clade I copiotroph traits. As mentioned above in Section 4.5, these Actinobacteria invest carbon and energy into complex filamentous growth and developmental cycles. They demonstrate classic copiotrophic responses to nutrient addition (Goldfarb et al., 2011, Leff et al., 2015) and their enriched ABC transporters, pyruvate, glyoxylate, benzoate and fatty acid metabolism all likely contribute to generating energy for complex lifecycles. Simultaneously, their enrichment of Clade III oligotroph traits for CAZy metabolism in addition to many traits for producing and resisting antimicrobials indicate a unique niche for these Actinobacteria that does not necessarily fall within the classical copiotroph-oligotroph framework.

Clade V differed markedly from all other clades and mostly consisted of 'specialist' metabolic functional groups involved in photosynthesis, ammonia oxidation, methanogenesis, lactic acid production and other fermentation. Similar to Clade III, these taxa would also be expected to have relatively low metabolic rates due to depletion of copiotroph traits associated with rapid metabolism and energy generation. Unlike Clade III, these taxa seemed to lack consistent mechanisms for stress tolerance. Thus, while certain taxa did invest in traits for rapid metabolic rate (Clades I and IV) and others primarily in stress tolerance (Clades III and IV), some taxa lacked these approaches altogether and pursued entirely distinct niches (Clade V). As an average of 47% of traits within each genome were Uncharacterised, Clade V is an over-simplification and that if novel, currently uncharacterised proteins and the traits they fulfil were incorporated into hierarchical clustering, this clade would separate more meaningfully.

If one were to consider taxa within the one-dimensional copiotroph-oligotroph spectrum, Clade I would represent one extreme, Clades III and V another, with Clades II and IV falling in between. Figure 5a is a conceptual diagram where these Clades have been placed on a singular axis of 'resource investment', with the niche space of Clades enriched in traits associated with rapid growth (e.g. glutathione) toward the 'copiotrophy' pole while Clades enriched in stress tolerance traits (e.g. LPS production) are placed toward the 'oligotrophy' pole. However, this approach overlooks the diverse functional potentials (and distinct niches) for carbon and energy metabolism associated with the various Clades. Furthermore, the large overlaps in niche space between Clades would suggest taxa from each group could not co-exist if 'resource investment' was the only important consideration (Gause, 1932, Hutchinson, 1957, Leibold, 1995). A more meaningful perspective would be to consider the additional role of 'resource acquisition' that incorporates multiple axes for the life strategies identified via hierarchical clustering of traits. Figure 5b is a conceptual diagram of niche space where clades have been further separated along additional dimensions based on their enrichment of traits involved in competition, degradation or specialised metabolic

pathways. The BRITE categories listed on the various axes are chosen to be useful markers in predicting the niche of a taxon. This expanded niche space would suggest taxa in Clade I are well equipped for nutrient acquisition (primarily, but not limited to, di- and monosaccharides), rapid growth and oxidative stress regulation as 'copiotrophic competitors'. Clade II, which may not be capable of competing directly with Clade I for carbon and energy, may thus occupy the niche space of specialist Proteobacterial methylotrophs, methane and ammonia oxidisers so as to be 'copiotrophic metabolic specialists'. The strategy of Clade III would be to decompose plant material via diverse CAZy and possess a variety of environmental stress tolerance traits as 'oligotrophic degraders'. Clade IV, which include for example Actinobacteria that share traits for competition, degradation and oligotrophy, could thus occupy niche space between Clades I and III. Finally, the strategy of Clade V would be to fill highly specialised, unrelated metabolic niches reliant on completely distinct carbon sources to other taxa. The large space conceptualised for Clade V in Figure 5b, which does not imply either copiotrophic or oligotrophic resource investment, is an oversimplification and with improved understanding of traits in these taxa it may be possible to fracture and further separate them into more detailed groups. This would prove particularly beneficial for the poorly characterised Archaea.

Moving beyond a one-dimensional *r*-*K* spectrum to accommodate additional trait-driven life strategies has been proposed in plant ecology (Grime, 1977). Specifically, Grime argued that plant taxa fall within a multi-dimensional space defined by extremes on three axes: 'competitors' that acquire nutrients, light, water etc. more effectively than neighbouring taxa in the same environment, 'stress tolerators' that are long-lived, slow growing taxa that resist desiccation, alkaline soils etc., and 'ruderals' that have very brief lifecycles between periods of disturbance and invest in environmentally hardy seeds. Despite these varied strategies for resource investment, plants are unified in that photosynthesis is their primary form of acquiring carbon and energy. The diversity of microbial strategies for acquiring carbon and energy enables them to explore a greater range of potential niche space, and in addition to growth traits that allow for a relatively more copiotrophic or oligotrophic investment of those resources, likely contributes to the high diversity of co-existing taxa observed in soil microbial communities.

However, to truly unravel differentiated niches and general microbial life strategies, two limitations must be overcome. Firstly, a better understanding of the many 952 'Uncharacterised' traits in environmental isolates is required. For example, the recent 953 large-scale MAG study by Nayfach *et al.*, (2021) identified 5.8 million protein clusters 954 (traits), of which over 75% could not be annotated meaningfully by current protein 955 databases. Secondly, robust trait-based analyses down to the finer scale of distinct 956 genomes will likely be necessary to consider how individual taxonomic members of a 957 community have either differentiated in order to co-exist or are in the throes of 958 competition that will ultimately exclude one of the competitors.

15 959

961 5. Conclusion

In a collection of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes that possess 220 664 traits shared between at least two taxa, concepts in niche differentiation were explored. Non-random trait distributions were shown as preferential clustering of related taxa within most Phyla with a general trend of highest similarity at the level of Family. This strongly supported ecological coherence of shared traits within close relatives. Random Forest models successfully identified BRITE 3 categories that best explained differing traits between taxonomic groups. These traits were involved in a wide range of biological functions, including core physiological traits used historically to categorise taxa. Many traits were also involved in functions often associated with copiotrophs and oligotrophs, namely metabolism, nutrient acquisition and environmental stress tolerance. Hierarchical clustering of differential traits formed five distinct clusters, with Clade I representing the classical copiotrophic niche, Clades III and V as oligotrophic, and Clades II and IV in between. A more refined perspective would be to consider each Clade as its own life strategy in a niche space that considers both resource investment and acquisition simultaneously; for example, the strategy of Clade I is to invest in competition and rapid growth, while Clade V pursue highly distinct, specialised metabolic functions. The trait-based analyses here were effective in identifying general trends in potential function of terrestrial microbial taxa at the Phylum and Family level. Further investigation will be necessary to identify traits that give rise to niche differentiation at lower taxonomic ranks and, ultimately, the importance of this for ecosystem processes of interest.

- ₅₇ 983
- ⁵⁸ 984
- ⁶⁰ 985 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Australian Government Endeavour research program ID: 6123 2017 for funding D.R. Finn. Funding support for B. Bergk-Pinto came from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie ITN 675546-MicroArctic. The authors also wish to thank the microbiologists involved in the isolation and genome sequencing of the taxa studied here; it is hoped the majority of those involved are cited within the Supplementary Material. Without this diligent culture-dependent work by the scientific community, the theoretical ecology performed here would not be possible. Conflict of Interest The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding this study or its outcomes. References Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW & Lipman DJ (1990) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. Journal of Molecular Biology **215**: 403-410. Amon J, Titgemeyer F & Burkovski A (2010) Common patterns - unique features: nitrogen metabolism and regulation in Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 34: 588-605. Barbéran A, Bates ST, Casamayor EO & Fierer N (2012) Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. The ISME Journal 6: 343-351. Bastida F, Torres IF, Moreno JL, et al. (2016) The active microbial diversity drives ecosystem multifunctionality and is physiologically related to carbon availability in Mediterranean semi-arid soils. Molecular Ecology 25: 4660-4673. Bentley SD, Chater KF, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, et al. (2002) Complete genome sequence of the model actinomycete Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2). Nature 417: 141-147. Blagodatsky SA & Richter O (1998) Microbial growth in soil and nitrogen turnover: A theoretical model considering the activity state of microorganisms. Soil Biology & Biochemistry : 1743-1755. Bouskill NJ, Tang J, Riley WJ & Brodie EL (2012) Trait-based representation of biological nitrification: model development, testing, and predicted community composition. Frontiers in Microbiology 3: 364. Brochier-Armanet C, Talla E & Gribaldo S (2009) The Multiple Evolutionary Histories of Dioxygen Reductases: Implications for the Origin and Evolution of Aerobic Respiration. Molecular Biology and Evolution 26: 285-297. Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM & West GB (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology 85: 1771-1789. Burris RH & Roberts GP (1993) Biological nitrogen fixation. Annual Reviews Nutrition 13: 317-335. Cania B, Vestergaard G, Krauss M, Fliessbach A, Schloter M & Schulz S (2019) A long-term field experiment demonstrates the influence of tillage on the bacterial potential to produce soil structure-stabilizing as exopolysaccharides agents such and lipopolysaccharides. Environmental Microbiome 14: 1-14.

- ³ 1028 Cary SC, McDonald IR, Barrett JE & Cowan DA (2010) On the rocks: the microbiology of ⁴ 1029 Antarctic Dry Valley soils. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **8**: 129-138.
- Gaulier S, Nannan C, Gillis A, Licciardi F, Bragard C & Mahillon J (2019) Overview of the antimicrobial compounds produced by members of the Bacillus subtilis group. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10: 302.
- ⁹ 1033 Christie PJ & Vogel JP (2000) Bacterial type IV secretion: conjugation systems adapted to
 1034 deliver effector molecules to host cells. *Trends in Microbiology* 8: 354-360.
- 12 1035 Conrad R (2009) The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial 13 1036 processes involved. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **1**: 285-292.
- 14 1037 Crits-Christoph A, Robinson CK, Barnum T, Fricke WF, Davila AF, Jedynak B, McKay CP &
 1038 DiRuggiero J (2013) Colonization patterns of soil microbial communities in the Atacama
 1039 Desert. *Microbiome* 1: 28.
- 1040 de los Santos PE, Palmer M, Chávez-Ramirez B, Beukes C, Steenkamp ET, Briscoe L, Khan N, 18 19 1041 Maluk M et al., (2018) Whole genome analyses suggests that Burkholderia sensu lato contains 20 1042 two additional novel genera (*Mycetohabitans* gen. nov., and *Trinickia* gen. nov.): implications 21 1043 for the evolution of diazotrophy and nodulation in the Burkholderiaceae. Genes 9: 22 1044 doi:10.3390/genes9080389. 23
- 24 1045 de Mendiburu F (2014) Agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research.
 25 1046 http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/agricolae/index.html.
- ²⁶ 1047 Dunfield PF (2007) The Soil Methane Sink. *Greenhouse Gas Sinks* 152-170.
- 1048 Embley TM & Martin W (2006) Eukaryotic evolution, changes and challenges. *Nature Reviews* 29 1049 440: 623-630.
- ³⁰ 1050 Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high ³¹ 1051 throughput. *Nucleic Acid Research* **32**: 1792-1797.
- Enright AJ, Van Dongen S & Ouzounis CA (2002) An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection
 of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Research* **30**: 1575-1584.
- Fierer N, Bradford MA & Jackson RB (2007) Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
 Ecology 88: 1354-1364.
- Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Zaneveld J, Bradford MA & Knight R (2012) Comparative
 metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across
 nitrogen gradients. *The ISME Journal* 6: 1007-1017.
- Finn DR, Yu J, Ilhan ZE, Fernandes VMC, Penton CR, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Garcia-Pichel F &
 Vogel TM (2020a) MicroNiche: an R package for assessing microbial niche breadth and overlap
 from amplicon sequencing data. *Fems Microbiology Ecology* 96: fiaa131.
- Finn DR, Ziv-el M, van Haren J, Park JG, del Aguila-Pasquel J, Urquiza-Munoz JD & Cadillo-Quiroz H (2020b) Methanogens and methanotrophs show nutrient-dependent community assemblage patterns across tropical peatlands of the Pastaza-Maranon Basin, Peruvian Amazonia. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **11**: 746.
- Flemming HC & Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **8**: 623-51 1067 633.
- Freilich S, Zarecki R, Eilam O, Segal ES, Henry CS, Kupiec M, Gophna U, Sharan R & Ruppin E
 1069 (2011) Competitive and cooperative metabolic interactions in bacterial communities. *Nature* 1070 *Communications* 2.
- Galperin MY (2005) A census of membrane-bound and intracellular signal transduction
 proteins in bacteria: bacterial IQ, extroverts and introverts. *BMC Microbiology* 5:
 doi:10.1186/1471-2180-1185-1135.
- 60

1 2		
3	107/	Gao B. Paramanathan R. & Gunta RS (2006) Signature proteins that are distinctive
4	1074	characteristics of Actinopactoria and their subgroups. Antonia Van Leauwenbook International
5	1075	characteristics of Actinobacteria and their subgroups. Antonie van Leeuwennoek international
6	1076	Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology 90 : 69-91.
7	1077	Garcia-Pichel F, Lopez-Cortes A & Nubel U (2001) Phylogenetic and morphological diversity of
8	1078	Cyanobacteria in soil desert crusts from the Colorado Plateau. Applied and Environmental
9 10	1079	Microbiology 67 : 1902-1910.
10	1080	Gause GF (1932) Experimental studies on the struggle for existence I Mixed population of two
12	1081	species of yeast. <i>Journal of Experimental Biology</i> 9 : 389-402.
13	1082	Gleason HA (1926) The individualistic concept of the plant association. Bull Torrey Botany Club
14	1083	53 : 7-26.
15	1084	Goldberg AI (2003) Protein degradation and protection against misfolded or damaged
16	1085	proteins Nature 476: 895-890
17	1005	Coldfarb KC Karaoz II. Hanson CA. Santoo CA. Bradford MA. Trosodor KK. Wallonstein MD.8.
18 10	1000	Bredie El (2011) Differential growth responses of soil besterial taxa to sorther substrates of
20	1087	Brodie EL (2011) Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of
21	1088	varying chemical recalcitrance. Frontiers in Microbiology 2.
22	1089	Grime JP (1977) Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its
23	1090	relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. <i>The American Naturalist</i> 111 : 1169-1194.
24	1091	Grime JP (1979) Plant strategies and vegetation processes. Wiley, Chichester, UK.
25	1092	He M, Zhang J, Shen L, et al. (2019) High-throughput sequencing analysis of microbial
26	1093	community diversity in response to indica and japonica bar-transgenic rice paddy soils. <i>PLOS</i>
27	1094	<i>One</i> 14 : e0222191.
20	1095	Higgins CF (2001) ABC transporters: physiology, structure and mechanism - an overview.
30	1096	Research in Microhiology 152 : 205-210
31	1097	Ho A Paolo Di Lonardo D & Bodelier PL (2017) Revisiting life strategy concents in
32	1000	onvironmental microhial ecology EEMS Microhialogy Ecology 92: 1.14
33	1090	Lie A. Kerekhof FM, Luke C. Deim A. Kreuse S. Deep N. & Dedelier PL (2012) Concentualizing
34	1099	Ho A, Kerckhor Fivi, Luke C, Keini A, Krause S, Boon N & Bouener PL (2015) Conceptualizing
35	1100	functional traits and ecological characteristics of methane-oxidizing bacteria as life strategies.
30	1101	Environmental Microbiology Reports
38	1102	5 : 335-345.
39	1103	Hodgson DA (2000) Primary metabolism and its control in streptomycetes: A most unusual
40	1104	group of bacteria. Advances in Microbial Physiology, Vol 42, Vol. 42 (Poole RK, ed.) p.^pp. 47-
41	1105	238.
42	1106	Hug LA, Castelle CJ, Wrighton KC, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Frischkorn KR, Williams KH, Tringe SG
43	1107	& Banfield JF (2013) Community genomic analyses constrain the distribution of metabolic
44 15	1108	traits across the Chloroflexi phylum and indicate roles in sediment carbon cycling. <i>Microbiome</i>
46	1109	1.
47	1110	 Hutchinson GL (1957) Concluding remarks Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative
48	1111	Riology 22 : A15-A27
49	1117	Italura M. Saaki K. Omori H. Vakayama T. Kanaka T. Tabata S. Obwada T. Tajima S. R. aa (2000)
50	1112	Itakura IVI, Saeki K, Oliloli H, Fokoyalila T, Kalleko T, Tabata S, Oliwada T, Tajilila S & ed (2009)
51	1113	Genomic comparison of Bradyrnizobium Japonicum strains with different symbiotic nitrogen-
52 53	1114	fixing capabilities and other Bradyrhizobiaceae members. The ISME journal 3 : 326-339.
54	1115	Jangid K, Williams MA, Franzluebbers A, Schmidt TM, Coleman DC & Whitman WB (2011)
55	1116	Land-use history has a stronger impact on soil microbial community composition than
56	1117	aboveground vegetation and soil properties. Soil Biol Biochem 43: 2184-2193.
57	1118	Jarrell KF, Albers SV (2012) The archaellum: an old motility structure with a new name. Trends
58	1119	Microbiol 20 : 307-312.
59		

- Kaiser C, Franklin O, Richter A & Dieckmann U (2015) Social dynamics within decomposer
 communities lead to nitrogen retention and organic matter build-up in soils. *Nature Communications* 6.
 Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M & Tanabe M (2016) KEGG as a reference
- ⁸ 1124 resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**: D457-D462.

- ⁹ 1125 Kearney M, Simpson SJ, Raubenheimer D & Helmuth B (2010) Modelling the ecological niche
- from functional traits. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 12 1127 **365**: 3469-3483.
- 13 1128 Keddy PA (1992) Assembly and response rules two goals for predictive community ecology.
 14 1129 *Journal of Vegetation Science* 3: 157-164.
- ¹⁵ 1130 Klotz MG & Norton JB (1998) Multiple copies of ammonia monooxygenase (amo) operons
- have evolved under biased AT/GC mutational pressure in ammonia-oxidizing autotrophic
 132 bacteria. *Fems Microbiology Letters* 168: 303-311.
- ¹⁹ 1133 Koch AL (2001) Oligotrophs versus copiotrophs. *BioEssays* **23**: 657-661.
- ²⁰ 1134 Kögel-Knabner I (2002) The macromolecular organic composition of plant and microbial
 ²¹ 1135 residues as inputs to soil organic matter. *Soil Biol Biochem* **34**: 139-162.
- 1136 Kuznetsov SI, Dubinina GA & Lapteva NA (1979) Biology of oligotrophic bacteria. Annual
 24 1137 Review of Microbiology 33: 377-387.
- Lauro FM, McDougald D, Thomas T, et al. (2009) The genomic basis of trophic strategy in
 marine bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
 America 106: 15527-15533.
- Leff JW, Jones SE, Prober SM, et al. (2015) Consistent responses of soil microbial communities
 to elevated nutrient inputs in grasslands across the globe. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112: 10967-10972.
- Leibold MA (1995) The niche concept revisited: mechanistic models and community context.
 Ecology **76**: 1371-1382.
- Liaw A & Weiner M (2002) Classification and regression by randomForest. *R News* **2**: 18-22.
- ³⁶ 1147 Madigan M, Cox SS & Stegeman RA (1984) Nitrogen fixation and nitrogenase activities in
 ³⁷ 1148 members of the Family Rhodospirillaceae. *Journal of Bacteriology* **157**: 73-78.
- Madin JS, Nielsen DA, Brbic M, Corkrey R, Danko D, Edwards K *et al.*, (2020) A synthesis of bacterial and archaeal phenotypic trait data. *Nature Scientific Data* **7**: 170.
- 41 1151 McBride MJ (2001) Bacterial gliding motility: mechanisms for cell movement over surfaces.
 42 1152 Annual Review of Microbiology 55: 49-75.
- ⁴³ 1153 McGill BJ, Enquist BJ, Weiher E & Westoby M (2006) Rebuilding community ecology from
 ⁴⁵ 1154 functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**: 178-185.
- 46 1155 Möller B, Oßmer R, Howard BH, Gottschalk G & Hippe H (1984) Sporomusa, a new genus of
 47 1156 Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria including Sporomusa sphaeroides spec. nov. and
 48 1157 Sporomusa ovata spec. nov. Archives of Microbiology 139: 388-396.
- ⁴⁹ 1157 Sporomusia ovata spect nov memory **15**, 300 350.
 ⁴⁹ 1158 Nayfach S, Roux S, Seshadri R, Udwary D, Varghese N, Schulz F *et al.*, (2021) A genomic catalog
 ⁵¹ 1159 of Earth's microbiomes. *Nature Biotechnology* **39**: 499-509.
- ⁵² 1160 Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, *et al.* (2013) Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R
 ⁵³ 1161 package version 2.0-10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.
- ⁵⁴ 1162 Op den Camp HJM, Islam T, Stott MB, Harhangi HR, Hynes A, Schouten S, Jetten MSM, ⁵⁶ 1163 Birkeland N-K, Pol A & Dunfield PF (2009) Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives
- 57 1164 on methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **1**: 293-306.
- ⁵⁸ 1165 Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil PA & Hugenholtz P ⁵⁹ 1166 (2018) A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogony substantially revises
- ⁵⁹ 1166 (2018) A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises 1167 the tree of life. *Nature Biotechnology* **36**: 996-1004.

- 1 2
- ³ 1168 Pascual-Garcia A & Bell T (2020) Community-level signatures of ecological succession in natural bacterial communities. *Nature Communications* **11**: 2386.
- ⁵ 1109 Initial bacterial communities. *Nature communications* 11, 2380.
 ⁶ 1170 Philippot L, Bru D, Saby NPA, Cuhel J, Arrouays D, Simek M & Hallin S (2009) Spatial patterns
 7 1171 of bacterial taxa in nature reflect ecological traits of deep branches of the 16S rRNA bacterial
- ⁸ 1172 tree. *Environmental Microbiology* **11**: 3096-3104.
- ⁹ 1173 Philippot L, Andersson SGE, Battin TJ, Prosser JI, Schimel JP, Whitman WB & Hallin S (2010)
- ¹⁰ 1174 The ecological coherence of high bacterial taxonomic ranks. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **8**: 12 1175 523-529.
- 13 1176 Prosser JI (2012) Ecosystem processes and interactions in a morass of diversity. *Fems* ¹⁴ 1177 *Microbiology Ecology* 81: 507-519.
- R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation* for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 18 1180 Roller BRK & Schmidt TM (2015) The physiology and ecological implications of efficient
 19 1181 growth. *The ISME journal* **9**: 1481-1487.
- ²⁰ 1182 Sancar A (1996) DNA excision repair. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **65**: 43-81.
- Sangwan P, Chen XL, Hugenholtz P & Janssen PH (2004) Chthoniobacter flavus gen. nov., sp
 1184 nov., the first pure-culture representative of subdivision two, Spartobacteria classis nov., of
- 1185 the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**: 5875-5881.
- Schliep KP, Potts AJ, Morrison DA & Grimm WA (2017) Intertwining phylogenetic trees and networks. . *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8: 1212-1220.
- 1188 Schneiker S, Perlova O, Kaiser O, Gerth K, Alici A, Altmeyer MO, Bartels D, Bekel T *et al.*, (2007)
 1189 Complete genome of the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum. *Nature Biotechnology* 25:
 1190 1281-1290.
- ³¹ 1191 Semenov AM (1991) Physiological bases of oligotrophy of microorganisms and the concept of microbial community. *Microbial Ecology* 22: 239-247.
- Shimada T, Tanaka K & Ishihama A (2017) The whole set of the constitutive promoters
 recognized by four minor sigma subunits of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. *Plos One* 12:
 e0179181.
- ³⁷ 1196 Smirnova GV & Oktyabrsky ON (2005) Glutathione in Bacteria. *Biochemistry* **70**: 1199-1211.
- Spang A, Poehlein A, Offre P, Zumbrägel S, Haider S, Rychlik N, Nowka B *et al.*, (2012) The
 genome of the ammonia-oxidizing Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis: insights into
 metabolic versatility and environmental adaptations. *Environmental Microbioloogy* 14(12):
 3122-3145.
- 43
 44
 4201
 44
 45
 45
 46
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 45
 46
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 45
 46
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 41
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 46
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 41
 42
 44
 44
 45
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 42
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 41
 42
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 <li
- Stackebrandt E, Pohla H, Kroppenstedt RM, Hippe H & Woese CR (1985) 16S rRNA analysis of
 Sporomusa, Selenomonas and Megasphaera: on the phylogenetic origin of Gram-positive
 Eubacteria. Archives of Microbiology 143: 270-276.
- Tamas I, Smirnova AV, He Z & Dunfield PF (2014) The (d)evolution of methanotrophy in the Beijerinckiaceae - a comparative genomics analysis. *The ISME journal* **8**: 369-382.
- ⁵³ 1209 Terzenbach DP & Blaut M (1994) Transformation of tetrachloroethylene to trichloroethylene
 ⁵⁴ 1210 by homoacetogenic bacteria. *Fems Microbiology Letters* 123: 213-218.
- Trivedi P, Anderson IC & Singh BK (2013) Microbial modulators of soil carbon storage:
 integrating genomic and metabolic knowledge for global prediction. *Trends in Microbiology* 21: 641-651.
- ⁵⁹
 ⁶⁰
 ¹²¹⁴ van Rossum T, Ferretti P, Maistrenko OM & Bork P (2020) Diversity within species: interpreting
 ¹²¹⁵ strains in microbiomes. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **18**: 491-506.

- ³ 1216 Vieira-Silva S & Rocha EPC (2010) The systemic imprint of growth and its uses in ecological ⁴ 1217 (meta)genomics. *Plos Genetics* **6**: e1000808.
- ⁵ 1217 (meta)genomics. *Pios Genetics* **6**. e1000808. ⁶ 1218 Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, *et al.* (2019) gplots: various R programming tools for ⁷ 1219 plotting data. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/index.html.
- ⁸ 1220 Weins JJ (1998) Testing phylogenetic methods with tree congruence: phylogenetic analysis of
- 9 1221 polymorphic morphological characters in Phrynosomatid lizards. *Systematic Biology* 47: 427 1222 444.
- 1222 444.
 1223 Wessen E, Hallin S & Philippot L (2010) Differential responses of bacterial and archaeal groups
 13 1224 at high taxonomical ranks to soil management. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42: 1759-1765.
- ¹⁴ 1225 Wickham H (2007) Reshaping data with the reshape package. *Journal of Statistical Software* ¹⁵ 1226 **21**: 1-20.
- 16 1220 121.120.
 17 1227 Young J & Holland IB (1999) ABC transporters: bacterial exporters-revisited five years on.
 18 1228 Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes 1461: 177-200.
- 19 1229 Zhu CS, Delmont TO, Vogel TM & Bromberg Y (2015) Functional Basis of Microorganism
 1230 Classification. *Plos Computational Biology* 11.

or peer perie
For peer Review **3** ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

> ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

For per peries

1 Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Box and whisker plots of the raw counts of proteins per genome beside the counts of shared functional traits per genome derived from MCL. Taxa n = 5 per Family.

Figure 2. a) Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) dendrogram comparing similarity in composition of 220 664 traits across the 175 terrestrial microbial taxa. The Phylum of each taxon is highlighted. The scale bar units represent Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. b) Comparisons of ecological coherence (C) between taxa belonging to the same Super Group, Phylum and Family. C, which varies between 0 and 1, was measured from branch lengths in a). Values of C approaching 1 indicate an ecologically coherent group with a similar composition of traits. Super groups were as follows: Proteobacteria, all Gamma-, Alpha-, Beta-and Deltaproteobacteria; Terrabacteria, all Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Chloroflexi and Cyanobacteria; FCB were Bacteroidetes; Acidobacteria, all Acidobacteria; PVC, Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes; TACK were Thaumarchaeota; Euryarchaeota were Euryarchaeota.

Figure 3. Random Forest identified traits that best explain separation of taxa groups at Phylum and Family taxonomic rank. Mean Decrease in Accuracy (MDA) is a measure of the average increase in classification error during permutation of trees if the trait is not included in the model. For example, the Family Random Forest was most accurate when ABC transporters were included in the model.

Figure 4. Hierarchical clustering of Families based on 60 traits selected from Random Forest and previously identified copiotroph-oligotroph traits. Families are clustered along the *y* axis, and specific co-occurring traits are clustered on the *x* axis. Five general Clades were identified based on Family clustering. Units are normalised variance in mean counts of traits per Family.

Figure 5: A conceptual diagram of overlapping life strategies between the five functional clades identified in this study. a) the classical copiotroph-oligotroph dichotomy whereby the five clades are placed on a singular axis of 'resource investment', where growth strategies are either relatively more targeted toward rapid growth (copiotroph) or toward stress tolerance (oligotroph). BRITE categories are given as examples of functional traits contributing to either axis pole. The space occupied by clades along this axis is dependent on enrichment or depletion of these traits. All clades exhibit a great deal of overlap and certain clades, such as IV (including, for example, Actinobacteria), are hard to identify as either copiotroph or oligotroph. b) a multidimensional concept where three axes for 'resource acquisition' are added, further separating taxa as either 'competitors', 'degraders' or 'metabolic specialists'. Again, BRITE categories are provided as examples of traits contributing to the additional axes and space occupied by clades is dependent on enrichment or depletion of these traits. These extra dimensions would suggest the niche space of clade IV exists between 'copiotrophic competitors' (I) and 'oligotrophic degraders' (III), potentially allowing IV to co-exist alongside both.

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

Reliev





Figure 2



ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100





338x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4





Table 1: Summary of traits significantly enriched and depleted in the Family Random Forest model.

BRITE 1	BRITE 3	Significantly enriched	Significantly depleted
Metabolism	00540 LPS biosynthesis	Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Chitinophagaceae, Acidobacteria Lineage, Verrucomicrobia	Leuconostocaceae, Clostridiaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Bacillaceae, all Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
metabolioni	01040 Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae, Pseudomonadaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Clostridiaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Bacillaceae, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen lineage
	00561 Glycerolipid metabolism	Myxococcaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
	00571 LAM biosynthesis	Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	All other Families
	00600 Sphingolipid metabolism	Myxococcaceae, Chitinophagaceae, Planctomycetaceae, Verrucomicrobia	All Gammaproteobacteria, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Nitrosomonadaceae, Sporomusaceae, Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Cellulomonadaceae, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
	00500 Starch and	Polyangiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae,	Maravaallaasaa, Thaumarahasata, Mathanagan Linaaga
	04714 Thermogenesis	Methylocystaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckaceae, Mycobacteriaceae	All other Families
	00640 Propanoate metabolism	Burkholderiaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Methylococcaceae, Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Thaumarchaeota
	00910 Nitrogen metabolism	Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Sporomusaceae, Mycobacteriaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Chloroflexi
	00680 Methane metabolism	Methylococcaceae, Methylocystaceae, Methanogen Lineage	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillacaceae
	99982 Unclassified energy metabolism	Rhodospirillaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetales, Thaumarchaeota
	09113 Global maps only (unclassified metabolism)	Pseudomonadaceae, Mycobacteriaceae	Methylococcaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae, Leuconostocaceae, Bacillaceae, Cytophagaceae, Acidobacteriaceae, Cyanobacteria, Verrucomicrobia
	00480 Glutathione metabolism	Rhizobiaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae, Burkholderiaceae	All Firmicutes, Acidobacteriaceae, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
	00281 Geraniol degradation	Moraxellaceae, Myxococcaceae, Mycobacteriaceae	Methylococcaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Nitrosomonadaceae, all Firmicutes, Streptomycetaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae, Acidobacteriaceae, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetaceae, Verrucomicrobia, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
Environmental Information	01001 Protein		
Processing	kinases 02010 ABC	Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae, Frankiaceae	Lactobacillaceae
	transporters 02020 Two component system	Rhodospirillaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae Pseudomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Myxococcaceae	Acidobacteriaceae, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Bacillaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota
	02060 Phosphotransferase system (PTS)	Pseudomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Myxococcaceae, Neisseriales lineage, Clostridiaceae, Verrucomicrobia	Methylococcaceae, Frankiaceae, Acidobacteria Lineage, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage

	03070 Bacterial		Leuconostocaceae Lactobacillaceae Bacillaceae Streptomycetaceae
	secretion system	Polyangiaceae, Myxococcaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Mycobacteriaceae, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen lineage
	02044 Type IV		
	secretion system	Pseudomonadaceae, Myxococcaceae	Leuconostocaceae, all Bacteroidetes, Thaumarchaeota
Genetic			
Information	03021 Transcription	Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae, Streptomycetaceae,	Methylocystaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae,
Processing	machinery	Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen line
	99973 Unclassified	Pseudomonadaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Myxococcaceae,	Chitinophagaceae, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetaceae,
	transcription factors	Streptomycetaceae	Verrucomicrobia
	03022 Basal		
	transcription factors	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage	All other Families
	03013 RNA		
	transport		
	(eukaryote-like)	Myxococcaceae, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage	All other Families
	03019 Messenger		
	RNA biogenesis		Methylocystaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae,
	(degradation)	Myxococcaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota
	03450 Non-		
	homologous end		Moraxellaceae, Methylococcaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Leuconostocac
	joining	Rhizobiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Verrucomicrobia	Clostridaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Cyanobacteria
		Micrococcaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae,	
		Frankiaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae,	
	03050 Proteasome	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage	All other families
	03051 Prokaryote	Micrococcaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae,	
<u>.</u>	20S Proteasome	Frankiaceae	All other Families
Signalling and			
Cellular	99978 Cell growth		
Processes	(sporulation)	Sporomusaceae, Clostridiaceae, Bacillaceae	All other families
			Moraxellaceae, Methylococcaceae, Methylocystaceae, Rhodospirillaceae
N = 6 to all sol and to	00004 Us als a Starl		Bradyrnizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, all Deitaproteobacteria, all
Not included in	99984 Unclassified		Betaproteobacteria, Sporomusaceae, Clostridiaceae, Streptomycetaceae
pathway or	nucleotide		Franklaceae, all Bacteroidetes, all Acidobacteria, Chioroflexi, Planctomyc
	motoholiem		

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1: List of microorganisms utilised in this study.

2		5		0		,			Foosystom	
10	ID	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Strain	Process ^a	Reference
11	Ga0074846									
12	_101 Ga0067102	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	Terribacillus	saccharophilus	DSM21619 Plantarum	ND	(An et al 2007b)
13 14	_11 Ga0077930	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	Bacillus	amyloliquefaciens	FZB42	Rhizosphere	(Chen et al 2007) (Helgason et al
15	_11 Ga0175433	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	Bacillus	anthracis	BA1015	Pathogen	2000) (Graham and Istock
16	_11	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	Bacillus	subtilis	KH2	ND	1978)
17 18	CP015911 LAC_LBA_D BAET_cont	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae	Bacillus	velezensis	LS69	Rhizosphere	(Liu et al 2017)
19 20	ig00001 Ga0106160	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	plantarum		Rhizosphere	(Chen et al 2005) (Endo and Okada
21	_101 Ga0106062	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	composti	DSM18527	Rhizosphere	2007) (Kawasaki et al
22 23	_101	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	floricola	DSM23037	Rhizosphere	2011)
24	1423745	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	florum	F91	Rhizosphere	(Endo et al 2010)
25	1670446 Ga0126468	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	herbarum		ND	(Mao et al 2015)
26 27	_101 101	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Leuconostocaceae	Leuconostoc	mesenteroides	213MO	Rhizosphere	(Chen et al 2005)
28	_101 111	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Leuconostocaceae	Weissella	confusa	DSM20196	Rhizosphere	(Chen et al 2005)
29 30	_101	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Leuconostocaceae	Fructobacillus	sp	EFBN1	Decomposition	(Endo et al 2009) (Magnusson et al
31	155866	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Leuconostocaceae	Weissella	soli		Rhizosphere	2002)
32 33	907931 BO10DRAF	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Lactobacillales	Leuconostocaceae	Leuconostoc	fallax	KCTC3537	Rhizosphere	(Barrangou et al 2002)
34	T_scf71800 00000004 q									
35	uiver.1	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Clostridium	phytofermentans	KNHs212	Decomposition	(Warnick et al 2002)
37	g000001	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Clostridium	beijerinckii	A21	Rhizosphere	(Johnson et al 1997)
38										

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6	ct454_4544									
7	54contig000									
8	01	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Clostridium	tetani	ATCC454	Pathogen	(Cohen et al 2017)
9	1121919	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Geosporobacter	subterraneus	DSM17957	ND	(Klouche et al 2007)
10			0.000.nana		0.000.101.000.00			20111001		(Ogg and Patel
11	857293	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	Caloramator	australicus	RC3	ND	2009)
12	6375.1	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Sporomusaceae	Lucifera	butyrica		ND	(Sanchez-Andrea et al 2018)
13	NZ_CP0109									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
14	78.1	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Sporomusaceae	Pelosinus	termentans	JBW45	Decomposition	(de Leon et al 2012)
15	16187	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Sporomusaceae	Sporomusa	ovata	DSM2662	ND	(Möller et al 1984)
16	51809	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Sporomusaceae	Sporomusa	sphaeroides	DSM2875	ND	(Möller et al 1984)
17	GCA_00043		ingalinealee		operendededed	operended	0,01140101400	20112010		
18	0605.1 Ca0074713	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Sporomusaceae	Anaeroarcus	burkinensis	DSM6283	Rhizosphere	(Strömpl et al 1999)
19	_11	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Arthrobacter	chlorophenolicus	DSM12829	Bioremediation	2000)
20	Ga0067230	A 11 I I I I						TO		(Mongodin et al
21	_11	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Arthrobacter	aurescens	TC1	Bioremediation	2006)
22	1531955	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Sinomonas	mesophila	MPKL26	ND	(Prabhu et al 2015)
23	378753	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Kocuria	rhizonhila	DC2201	Rhizosphere	(Takarada et al
24	010100	/ letinobacteria	/ telihobdetend	realitycetales	Micrococcucac	roound	mzopma	DOLLOT	1 (III200pricie	2000)
25	574650	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Micrococcaceae	Micrococcus	terreus		ND	(Zhang et al 2010)
26	101	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Mycobacteriacaea	Mycobacterium	chlorophenolicum	DSM43826	Bioremediation	(Das et al 2015)
27	Ga0124153	• • • • • •			• • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			D	(Nohynek et al
28	_1001 Ga0056865	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Mycobacteriacaea	Mycobacterium	fortuitum	ATCC6841	Bioremediation	1993)
29	_101	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Mycobacteriacaea	Mycobacterium	austroafricanum	DSM44191	Bioremediation	(Leys et al 2005)
30	443218	Actinobacteria	Actinohacteria		Mycobacteriacaea	Hovosella	subflava	0053941	Bioremediation	(Hamada et al 2016)
31	443210	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Wycobacteriacaea	rioyosena	Subhava	DQ000A1	Dioremediation	(Hennessee et al
32	1440774	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Mycobacteriacaea	Mycobacterium	aromaticivorans	JCM16368	Bioremediation	2009)
33	NC_014151	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Cellulomonadaceae	Cellulomonas	flavigena	DSM20109	Decomposition	(Abt et al 2010)
34	Ga0128466	Actinobacteria	Actinohacteria		Cellulomonadaceae	Cellulomonas	chitinilytica	ICM16027	Decomposition	(Yoon et al 2008)
35	Ga0128457	AUTIONALICHA	Actinopacteria	Additionitydetales	Controlladatede	Conditionas	Ginaillyuda	0010110321	Decomposition	(10011 Ct di 2000)
36	_10001	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Cellulomonadaceae	Cellulomonas	xylanilytica	JCM14281	Decomposition	(Rivas et al 2004 ^a)
37	862422	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Cellulomonadaceae	Actinotalea	fermentans	DSM3133	Decomposition	(Bagnara et al 1985)
38										
39										
40										

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

1										
2										
3 ⊿										
4 5										
6										
7	948458 Ga0057460	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Cellulomonadaceae	Actinotalea	ferrariae	CF54	ND	(Li et al 2013)
8 9	_1001 Ga0057466	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces	scabiei	NCPPB4086	Pathogen	(Dees et al 2013)
10 11	_gi6723671 50.1	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces	lividans	TK24	Decomposition	(Ruckert et al 2015)
12	_11	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	Streptomyces	coelicolor	A3(2)	Decomposition	(Bentley et al 2002)
13 14	105422	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	Streptacidiphilus	carbonis	DSM41754	Decomposition	(Kim et al 2003)
15	1348663	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Streptomycetaceae	Kitasatospora	cheerisanensis	KCTC2395	ND	(Hwang et al 2015) (Normand and
16 17	326424	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Frankiales	Frankiaceae	Frankia	alni	ACN14a	Nitrogen fixation	Lalonde 1982) (Normand et al
17	106370	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Frankiales	Frankiaceae	Frankia	casuarinae	Ccl3	Nitrogen fixation	2007)
19	298654	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Frankiales	Frankiaceae	Frankia	inefficax	Eul1c	ND	(Nouioui et al 2017)
20 21	656024	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Frankiales	Frankiaceae	Frankia	sp	symbiont	Nitrogen fixation	(Persson et al 2015)
22	222534	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Frankiales	Frankiaceae	Frankia	elaeagni	BMG512	Nitrogen fixation	(Nouioui et al 2016)
23	15565	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Promicromonospora ceae	Cellulosimicrobium	cellulans	J36	Decomposition	(Schumann et al 2001)
24 25	75317 NC 013530	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	ceae	Cellulosimicrobium	terreum		Decomposition	(Yoon et al 2007)
26 27	1	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	ceae Promicromonospora	Xylanimonas	cellulosilytica	DSM15894	Decomposition	(Rivas et al 2003) (Takahashi et al
27	16475	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	ceae Promicromonospora	Promicromonospora	sukumoe	327MFSha3.1	Decomposition	1987)
29	76147	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	ceae	Xylanibacterium	ulmi		Decomposition	(Rivas et al 2004 ^b)
30 31	50964	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Agromyces	cerinus		ND	(29015Kaya et al 1992)
32	16552	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Agromyces	subbeticus	DSM16689	ND	(Jurado et al 2005)
33 34	54323	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Agreia	pratensis		Rhizosphere	(Schumann et al 2003)
35	16541	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Agrococcus	lahaulensis	DSM17612	ND	(Mayilraj et al 2006)
36	16623	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Humibacter	albus	DSM18994	Decomposition	(Vaz-Moreira et al 2008)
37 38	_11	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae	Koribacter	versatilis	Ellin345	Decomposition	(Ward et al 2009)
39										
40										
41										
42										
43										
44										

1										
2										
5 4										
5										
6	Terro Conti									
7	g51.1	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae	Terriglobus	roseus	DSM18391	Decomposition	(Eichorst et al 2007)
8	Ga0133452	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae	l uteitalea	nratensis	DSM100886	Decomposition	(Vieira et al 2017)
9	_''		/ loidobdolcina				prateriolo			
10	863522	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae	Bryocella	elongata	DSM22489	Decomposition	(Dedysh et al 2012)
11 12	1121860	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae Divergent	Edaphobacter	aggregans	DSM19364	Decomposition	(Koch et al 2008)
13	234267	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Solibacteriales	Acidobacteria	Candidatus Solibacter	usitatus	Ellin6076	Decomposition	(Ward et al 2009)
14	204201	reidobuetena	/ loldobdolchu	Compacteriales	Divergent	Compactor	uonatuo	Linitooro	Decomposition	
15	1340493	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Solibacteriales	Acidobacteria lineage	Brvobacter	agaregatus	MPL3	Decomposition	(Kulichevskaya et al 2010)
16					Divergent	,	-33-33			
1/ 10	454194	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Blastocatellales	lineage	Pvrinomonas	methvlaliphatogenes	K22	Decomposition	(Crowe et al 2014)
19					Divergent		<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
20	903818	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Holophagales	lineage	Holophaga	foetida	DSM6591	ND	(Liesack et al 1994)
21				Inclassified	Divergent					
22	1660251	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacterium	lineage	Acidobacteria	bacterium	Mor1	Rhizosphere	(Tanaka et al 2017)
23	Ga0077356 1001	Proteobacteria	Beta	Burkholderiales	Burkholderiaceae	Burkholderia	pseudomallei	TSV44	Pathogen	(Brook et al 1997)
24	Ga0065534						P		DI	
25 26	_11 Ga0056711	Proteobacteria	Beta	Burkholderiales	Burknolderlaceae	Burknoideria	xenovorans	LB400	Rnizosphere	(Chain et al 2006)
20 27	_1001	Proteobacteria	Beta	Burkholderiales	Burkholderiaceae	Burkholderia	terrae	NBRC100964	Rhizosphere	(Yang et al 2006)
28	1121278	Proteobacteria	Beta	Burkholderiales	Burkholderiaceae	Chitinimonas	koreensis	DSM17726	ND	(Kim et al 2006)
29	1040978	Proteobacteria	Beta	Burkholderiales	Burkholderiaceae	Cupriavidus	taiwanensis	STM6018	Nitrogen fixation	(Chen et al 2001)
30 21	_101	Proteobacteria	Beta	Nitrosomonadales	Nitrosomonadaceae	Nitrosomonas	europaea	Nm50	Nitrification	(Koops et al 1991)
32	Ga0105862	Protochastoria	Poto	Nitrosomonadalos	Nitrosomonadagoago	Nitrocomonos	communic	Nm2	Nitrification	(Koons at al 1001)
33	Ga0105869	FIDIEDDACIEIIA	Dela	Millosomonadales	Nillosomonauaceae	Millosomonas	communis	INITIZ	Nitification	(Roops et al 1991)
34	_101	Proteobacteria	Beta	Nitrosomonadales	Nitrosomonadaceae	Nitrosomonas	eutropha	Nm56	Nitrification	(Koops et al 1991)
35	1266925	Proteobacteria	Beta	Nitrosomonadales	Nitrosomonadaceae	Nitrosospira	briensis	C128	Nitrification	(Rice et al 2016)
36	323848	Proteobacteria	Beta	Nitrosomonadales	Nitrosomonadaceae	Nitrosospira	multiformis	ATCC25196	Nitrification	(Norton et al 2008)
37										
38										
39										

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6	G520DRAF									
7	T_scaffold00	Desta sha staria	Data	Nataratista	Nistanaislas Vasaas	De localita e eternicore		D0140704	ND	(Kuran et al 0000)
8	Ga0077161	Proteobacteria	Beta	Neisseriales	Neisseriales_lineage	Paludibacterium	yongneupense	DSM19731	ND	(Kwon et al 2008)
9	_101	Proteobacteria	Beta	Neisseriales	Neisseriales_lineage	Chromobacterium	subtsugae	F49	ND	(Soby et al 2013)
10	Ga0080895	Destashastaria	Data	Nataratista	Nistanaislas Vasaas	0 h		ONE	Datharas	(Koburger and May
11	_101	Proteobacteria	Bela	Neissenales	Neissenales_lineage	Chromobacterium	vioiaceum	GNS	Pathogen	1982)
12	1121274	Proteobacteria	Beta	Neisseriales	Neisseriales_lineage	Chitinibacter	tainanensis	DSM15459	Decomposition	(Chern et al 2004)
13	1120000	Proteobacteria	Beta	Neisseriales	Neisseriales lineane	Andrenrevotia	chitinilytica	DSM18510	Decomposition	(Weon et al 2007)
14	Ga0077767	Troteobacteria	Dela	Neissenales	Nelssenales_inteage	Andrepievolla	Cintinnytica	DOMINUTIO	Decomposition	(Webh et al 2007)
15	_1001	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadacaea	Pseudomonas	putida	SF1	Rhizosphere	(Tikariha et al 2016)
16	Ga0061119 scaffold000									
17	01.1	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadacaea	Azotobacter	beijerinckii	DSM373	Nitrogen fixation	(Lipman 1904)
18	Ga0129289									(Lymperopoulou et
19	_101	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadacaea	Pseudomonas	oryzinabitans	H/2	Rnizosphere	al 2017)
20	289370	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadacaea	Pseudomonas	argentinensis	CECT7010	Rhizosphere	(Peix et al 2005)
21	1202027	Protochactoria	Commo	Proudomonodalos	Broudomonodooooo	Broudomonos	azatificana	DSM17556	Nitrogon fixation	(Hatayama et al
22	Ga0044516	FIDIEDDACIEIIA	Gamma	FSeudomonadales	FSeudomonauacaea	Fseudomonas	azolingens	D3W17550	Nillogen Italion	2003)
23	_101	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	soli		ND	(Kim et al 2008)
24	Ga0080829	Proteobacteria	Camma	Pseudomonadales	Moravellaceae	Acinetobacter	baumannii		Pathogen	(Davenport et al
25	Q337DRAF	FIOLEODACIEIIA	Gamma	1 Sectionadales	WUIAKEIIACEAE	Acinetobacter	Daumannii		ratilogen	2014)
26	T_scaffold00									/
27	010.10	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Alkanindiges	illinoisensis	DSM15370	Bioremediation	(Bogan et al 2003)
28	1217715	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	bohemicus	ANC3994	ND	(Krizova et al 2014)
29	4047000	Ducto chi cataria	0	Desudences delse	Manaya II.a.a.a.a	A - in - t - t t - n	in diam.	4104045	Diananadiatian	(Mallastra et al 0040)
30	GY38DRAF	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Pseudomonadales	woraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	indicus	ANC4215	Bioremediation	(Mainotra et al 2012)
31	T_scf71800									
37	00000021_q	Dratachactoria	Commo	Mathulassasalas	Mathulanananan	Mathydahaatax	u de itte e bu er di	1010210	Methane	(Whittenbury et al
22	MetmiDRAF	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Methylococcales	Methylococcaceae	Methylobacter	whittenburyi	ACM3310	oxidation	1970)
22	T_scaffold1.								Methane	
54 25		Proteobacteria	Gamma	Methylococcales	Methylococcaceae	Methylovulum	miyakonense	HT12	oxidation	(Iguchi et al 2011)
30	T scaffold1.								Methane	(Whittenbury et al
30	1	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Methylococcales	Methylococcaceae	Methylobacter	luteus	IMVB3098	oxidation	1970)
3/	1100110	Dratachactoria	Commo	Mathulassasalas	Mathulanananan		file vete		Methane	(Miss at al 2001)
38	1132443	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Methylococcales	Methylococcaceae	Methylosarcina	norata	AIVILC TU	oxidation	(Wise et al 2001)
39										
40										
41										
42										
43										
44						1 1 10 1/05 1 1500				
45					ScholarOne Suppo	ort 1-434/964-4100				
46										

1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6									Methane	(Gevmonat et al
7	493385	Proteobacteria	Gamma	Methylococcales	Methylococcaceae	Methylogaea	oryzae	JCM16910	oxidation	2011)
8	Ga0061138									
9	_scaffold000	Proteobacteria	Alnha	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	Azospirillum	brasiliense	DSM1690	Nitrogen fixation	(Kuklinsky-Sobral et al 2004)
10	Ga0048939	1.0000000000	, aprice		1 1100000000000			20111000	i ili ogoli indioli	(Xie and Yokota
11	_110 Ga0048941	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	Azospirillum	oryzae	A2P	Nitrogen fixation	2005a)
12	_11	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	Azospirillum	lipoferum	R1C	Nitrogen fixation	(Van et al 1997)
13 14	570967	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	Fodinicurvata	fenggangensis	DSM21160	ND	(Wang et al 2009)
15	1003237	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhodospirillales	Rhodospirillaceae	Nitrospirillum	amazonense	Y2	Nitrogen fixation	(Maganaes et al 1983)
16	DL88DRAFT		·						Ū.	,
17	_scaffold000 01.1	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Beijerinckjaceae	Beiierinckia	mobilis	DSM2326	Nitrogen fixation	(Derx 1950)
18	182677003	Protechacteria	Alpha	Phizobiales	Beijerinckiaceae	Reijerinckia	indica	ATCC0030	Nitrogen fixation	(Dery 1950)
19	102077003	Tioleobacleria	Арна	TTHZODIAICS	Deijenneklaceae	Deljenničkia	indica	A1009039	Methane	(Derx 1950)
20	217976201	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Beijerinckiaceae	Methylocella	silvestris	BL2	oxidation	(Dunfield et al 2003)
21	395964	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Beijerinckiaceae	A Methylocapsa	acidiphila	B2	oxidation	(Dedysh et al 2002)
22									Methane	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	876269 A300DRAE	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Beijerinckiaceae	Methyloferula	stellata	AR4	oxidation	(Vorobev et al 2011)
24	T_scaffold1.								Methane	(Wartiainen et al
25	1	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Methylocystaceae	Methylocystis	rosea	SV97T	oxidation	2006)
26	Contig106	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Methylocystaceae	Methylosinus	trichosporium	OB3b	oxidation	(vvnittenbury et al 1970)
27	A3OUDRAF	1 lotoobaotona	, uprice		monylooyotacoao	Wethyleenide	inoncoponam	0200	oxidation	1010)
28	T_chromoso	Drotophastoria	Alpha	Dhizobioloo	Mathulaovataaaaa	Mathylanila		M107	Methane	(Chistoserdova
29	mer.r	Proteobacteria	Арпа	RHIZODIAles	Methylocystaceae	Methylopila	sp	W107	Oxidation	(Xie and Yokota
30	1122963	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Methylocystaceae	Pleomorphomonas	oryzae	DSM16300	Nitrogen fixation	2005b)
31	1122962	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Methylocystaceae	Pleomorphomonas	koreensis	DSM23070	Nitrogen fixation	(Im et al 2006)
32	Ga0065594			B 11 11 1	5 1 1 1					(Starkenburg et al
33	_11 Ga0098245	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Bradyrhizobiaceae	Nitrobacter	winogradskyi	Nb255	Nitrification	2006)
34	_11	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Bradyrhizobiaceae	Bradyrhizobium	japonicum	E109	Nitrogen fixation	(Brunel et al 1988)
35	Ga0077765	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Phizobiales	Bradyrhizohiacoao	Phodonseudomonos	nalustris	4201	Rioremediation	(Larimer et al 2004)
36	_1001	TULEUDACIENA	Арна	RIIIZUDIAIES	Brauymizobiaceae	Miouopseuuomonas	paiustiis	420L	DIVIENTEDIALION	(Lanner et al 2004)
37	1031710	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Bradyrhizobiaceae	Oligotropha	carboxidovorans	OM4	Decomposition	(Volland et al 2011)
38										

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

1										
2										
3 ⊿										
4 5										
6										
7	1123229	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Bradyrhizobiaceae	Salinarimonas	rosea	DSM21201	ND	(Liu et al 2010)
8	Ga0127029 _101	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	Agrobacterium	tumefaciens	B6	Rhizosphere	(Levanon 1978)
9 10	EW91DRAF									
10	001.1	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	Rhizobium	leguminosarum	CF307	Nitrogen fixation	(Naeem et al 2004)
12	_11	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	Sinorhizobium	americanum	CFNEI73	Rhizosphere	(Toledo et al 2003)
13 14	1028800	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	Neorhizobium	galegae	HAMBI540	Nitrogen fixation	2014) Shamseldin et al
15	1764550	Proteobacteria	Alpha	Rhizobiales	Rhizobiaceae	Rhizobium	aegyptiacum	1010	Nitrogen fixation	2016)
16 17	_10470	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Polyangiaceae	Sorangium	cellulosum	So0149	Decomposition	(Li et al 2014)
18		Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Polyangiaceae	Chondromyces	crocatus	Cmc5	ND	(Zaburannyi et al 2016)
19 20	_11	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Polyangiaceae	Polyangium	brachysporum	DSM7029	ND	(Tang et al 2015)
20 21	1192034	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Polyangiaceae	Chondromyces	apiculatus	DSM436	ND	(Kleinig and Reichenbach 1973)
22	Ga0128396 _10001	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Polyangiaceae	Byssovorax	cruenta		ND	(Reichenbach et al 2006)
23 24	Ga0131205 _101	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Myxococcaceae	Myxococcus	xanthus	DSM16526	ND	(Voelz and Dworkin 1962)
25	Ga0070493 _101	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Myxococcaceae	Myxococcus	virescens	DSM2260	ND	(Lang et al 2008)
26	Ga0131203 _101	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Myxococcaceae	Myxococcus	fulvus	DSM16525	ND	(Jahn 1911)
27 28	1144275	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Myxococcaceae	Corallococcus	coralloides	DSM2259	ND	(Huntley et al 2012)
29	1189310	Proteobacteria	Delta	Myxococcales	Myxococcaceae	Myxococcus	macrosporus	DSM14697	ND	(Lang and Stackebrandt 2009)
30 31 22	NC_013132 B154DRAFT	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Chitinophagales	Chitinophagaceae	Chitinophaga	pinensis	DSM2588	Decomposition	(Sangkhobol and Skerman 1981)
32 33	_scaffold_0. 1 H608DRAFT	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Chitinophagales	Chitinophagaceae	Segetibacter	koreensis	DSM18137	ND	(An et al 2007a)
34 35	_scaffold000 01.1	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Chitinophagales	Chitinophagaceae	Terrimonas	ferruginea	DSM30193	ND	(Xie and Yokota 2006)
36 37	551991	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Chitinophagales	Chitinophagaceae	Arachidicoccus	rhizosphaerae	Vu144	Rhizosphere	(Madhaiyan et al 2015)
38	929713	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Chitinophagales	Chitinophagaceae	Niabella	soli	DSM19437	ND	(Weon et al 2008)
39										

1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6	2506615776	Bacteroidetes	Sphinachacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Cytophagaceae	Niastella	koreensis	GP2010	ND	(Mean et al 2006)
/	Ga0066359	Dacteroidetes	Ophingobacteria	OphingObacteriales	Cytophagaceae	Mastella	KUICEIISIS	61/2010	ND	(Webh et al 2000)
8	_101	Bacteroidetes	Sphingobacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Cytophagaceae	Cytophaga	hutchinsonii	DSM1761	Decomposition	(Xie et al 2007)
9	Ga0116983	Bacteroidetes	Sphingobacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Cvtophagaceae	Pontibacter	indicus	LP100	Bioremediation	(Singh et al 2014)
10	_				- · · ·					(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)
11	1750698	Bacteroidetes	Sphingobacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Cytophagaceae	Arsenicibacter	rosenii	SM1	Bioremediation	(Huang et al 2017)
12 13	1121373 Ga0056857	Bacteroidetes	Sphingobacteria	Sphingobacteriales	Cytophagaceae Verrucomicrobia	Cytophaga	aurantiaca	DSM3654	Decomposition	(Winogradsky 1929)
14	_1001	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobiae	Verrucomicrobiales	lineage	Verrucomicrobium	sp	BvORR106	Rhizosphere	Unpublished
15	Ga0056855 1001	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobiae	Verrucomicrobiales	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobium	sn	ByORR034	Rhizosphere	Unpublished
16	_1001	Vendeenneroold	Volitacenniciobilac	Verradernierobialeo	Verrucomicrobia	Vendeenneroolann	00	Bronatoon		Chipabilonou
17	182411827	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobiae	Verrucomicrobiales	lineage	Opitutus	terrae	PB90	ND	(Chin et al 2001)
18	690879	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobiae	Verrucomicrobiales	lineage	Terrimicrobium	sacchariphilum	NM5	ND	(Qiu et al 2014)
19	407064	Vorrugemierchie	Vorrugenierskieg	Vormenensierskielen	Verrucomicrobia	Chthaniahaatar	flaving	Ellia 400	ND	(Sangwan et al
20	497964 Ga0154327	venucomicrobia	venucomicrobiae	venucomicrobiales	Planctomycetales	Chinomobacter	navus	EIIII1428	ND	2004)
21	_1001	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	lineage	Planctomyces	sp	GWA2407	ND	Unpublished
22	Ga0111584 101	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	Planctomycetales	Planctomicrobium	niriforme	DSM26348	ND	(Kulichevskaya et al 2015)
23	Ga0198709	T lanotomy color	Thanotomyoetta	Thanetomyeetalee	Planctomycetales	Transformeroblam	pinionne	D011120040	ND	(Kulichevskaya et al
24	_11	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	lineage Planetomycotalos	Paludisphaera	borealis	PX4	ND	2016) (Kulichovskovo ot ol
25	1123242	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	lineage	Schlesneria	paludicola	DSM18645	ND	(Kulichevskaya et al 2007)
26					Planctomycetales			001440050		(Kulichevskaya et al
27	886293 GCA 00021	Planctomycetes	Planctomycetia	Planctomycetales	lineage	Singulisphaera 🧹	acidiphila	DSM18658	ND	(Starkenburg et al
28	4075.2	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	Oscillatoriales	Microcoleaceae	Microcoleus	vaginatus	FGP-2	Phototroph	2011)
29		Cvanobacteria	Cvanobacteria	Nostocales	Nostocaceae	Nostoc	nunctiforme	PCC73102	Phototroph	(Rippka and Herdman, 1992)
30		oyunobuotenu	oyunobuotenu	Chroococcidiopsidal	Chroococcidiopsidac	Nosioe	panotionne	1 00/0102	i nototi opri	(Komarek and
31	67533	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	es	eae	Chroococcidiopsis	cubana		Phototroph	Hindak, 1975)
32 22	74975	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	Nostocales	Calotrichaceae	Calothrix	desertica		Phototroph	(Schwabe, 1960)
33 24	GCA_00163			0 1 1			<i>,,</i> .			(Anagnostidis and
25	7395.1 NC-	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	Synechoccales	Dehalococcoidacea	Leptolyngbya	valderiana	BD020041	Phototroph	Komarek, 1988)
36	002936.3	Chloroflexi	Dehalococcoidia	Dehalococcoidales	e	Dehalococcoides	mccartyi	CG5	Bioremediation	(He et al 2003)
37	50999	Chloroflexi	Ktedonobacteria	Ktedonobacterales	Ktedonobacteraceae	Ktedonobacter	Sp.		ND	Unpublished
38							,			
20										

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7	46725	Chloroflexi	Anaerolineae	Anaerolineales	Anaerolineaceae	Anaerolinea	Sp.		Decomposition	Unpublished
8	13657	Chloroflexi	Dehalococcoidia	Dehalococcoidales	e	Dehalococcoides	Sp.		Bioremediation	Unpublished
9 10	GCA_00001 8565 1	Chloroflexi	Chloroflexia	Hernetosinhonales	Hernetosinhonaceae	Hernetosinhon	aurantiacus		ND	(Kiss et al 2011)
10	LRC50LV	Onioronexi	Onioronicxia	ricipetosiprioriaies	repetosiprioriaceae	respecesipilen	aurannacus		ND	(1135 Ct al 2011)
12	640427159s tandard	Furvarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanocellales	Methanogen lineage	Methanocella	anyonyzae	MRE50	Methanogenesis	(Sakai et al 2010)
13	MCPLV64	Euryaronacola	Modificitionopia		methanogen mouge	moundhoodid	urveryzue	INIT (200	methanogeneele	
14	6311984sta ndard	Eurvarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanocellales	Methanogen lineage	Methanocella	paludicola	SANAE	Methanogenesis	(Sakai et al 2008)
15	Ga0072472							010		(Maestrojuán et al
16	_11	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanosarcinales	Methanogen lineage	Methanosarcina	mazei	C16	Methanogenesis	1992)
17	456442	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanosarcinales	Methanogen lineage	Methanoregula	boonei	6A8	Methanogenesis	(Brauer et al 2011)
18	521011	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanosarcinales	Methanogen lineage	Methanosphaerula	palustris	E19c	Methanogenesis	(Cadillo-Quillo2 et al 2009)
19	Ga0073019	Thoumarchaoota	Nitrococobooria	Nitrocombaoralos	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrocomicus	alaanhilus	MV2	Nitrification	(lung of al 2016)
20	CCsub_BA0	Thaumarchaeola	Nillosospilaella	Millososphaerales	Thaumarchaeota	Candidatus	oleophilus	IVIT 5	Nithication	(Julig et al 2010)
21	00048_1	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	lineage	Caldiarchaeum	subterraneum		Nitrification	(Takami et al 2015)
23	807657.1	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	lineage	Nitrosopumilus	salaria	BD31	Nitrification	(Mosier et al 2012)
24	1078905	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	Thaumarchaeota	Candidatus Nitrosotalea	devanterra		Nitrification	(Lehtovirta-Morley et al 2014)
25	1010000				Thaumarchaeota	Candidatus				
26	1001994	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	lineage	Nitrosoarchaeum	koreensis	MY1	Nitrification	(Kim et al 2011)
27	and	. Not defined.								
28										
29										
30 21										
37										
33										
34										
35										
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41 42										
43										
44										
45					ScholarOne Supp	oort 1-434/964-4100)			
46										
47										





Supplementary Figure 1: Box and whisker plot comparing amino acid sequence similarity (%) within traits versus between traits, as determined by MCL. Amino acid sequences grouped together as traits were significantly more similar than those in different traits (Student's T test, $p = 2 \times 10^{-16}$).



Supplementary Figure 2: a) Neighbour joining tree of full length 16S rRNA genes of taxa analysed in this study. b) Phylogenetic distance (*P*) of each taxonomic group, ranging between 0.6 (dissimilar) and 0.9 (similar) determined from branch lengths of 16S rRNA gene analysis in a). *P* was calculated as ecological coherence (Eq 1.) excepting that branch lengths are in units of gene sequence similarity and not Bray-Curtis distance (*C*).



Supplementary Figure 3: a) Linear correlation between Phylogenetic Distance (*P*) derived from 16S rRNA genes and ecological coherence (*C*) of functional traits present in Phyla analysed in this study. b) Linear correlation at the level of Family.

,							Su	ccessfu	ul class	ificati	ons				•
	Acid.	Actino.	Alpha.	Bacter.	Beta.	Chloro.	Cyano.	Delta.	Eury.	Firm.	Gamma.	Planc.	Thaum.	Verruc.	Success rate (%)
Acidobacteria	6			1				1		2					60
Actinobacteria		33	1							1					95
Alphaproteobacteria			25												100
Bacteroidetes				9						1					90
Betaproteobacteria			2		11						2				74
Chloroflexi		1				0				3			1		0
Cyanobacteria		1					3			1					60
Deltaproteobacteria	1				1			8							80
Euryarchaeota									5						100
Firmicutes						2				23					92
Gammaproteobacteria			1		1					1	12				80
Planctomycetes	2							1		1		1			20
Thaumarchaeota										1			4		80
Verrucomicrobia	1			2										2	40

Supplementary Table 2: Confusion matrix of Phylum level predictions based on Random Forest selection of important traits.

2																						
3																						
4																						
5 6																						
7	S	upplement	ary T	able 3:	Confu	ision ma	trix of Fan	nily lev	vel pro	edictio	ons.											
8.	Phylum	Family	Mor.	Methyloc.	Pseu.	Methylocy.	Rhod. Rhiz.	Brad.	Beij.	Мухо.	Poly.	Neis.	Nitr.	Burk.	Spor.	Leuc.	Clos.	Lact.	Bac.	Micrococ.	Microbac.	Success Rate (%)
9	Gammaproteob acteria	Moraxellaceae	5																			100
10		Methylococcac		А																		80
11		Pseudomonada		-																		00
12	Alphaproteobac	Methylocystace			4								I									80
13	teria	ae Rhodospirillace				3			2													60
14		ae				1	4															80
16		Rhizobiaceae Bradyrhizobiac					4	1														80
17		eae				2	1	2														40
18		e				1			4													80
19	Deltaproteobact eria	Myxococcacea e								5												100
20		Polyangiaceae								1	3			1								60
21 22	Betaproteobact eria	Neisseriales Lineage										5										100
23		Nitrosomonada			1								4									80
24		Burkholderiace			1									3								60
25	F irminutes	Sporomusacea			1										0							00
26	Firmicutes	e Leuconostocac													2	_						40
27		eae														5						100
28		Clostridiaceae Lactobacillacea															4		1			80
29 30		е														2		3				60
31		Bacillaceae															1		4			80
32	Actinobacteria	e Alianak astania as																		3		60
33		ae					1														4	80
34		Streptomycetac eae																		1		60
35		Mycobacteriace ae																				100
30 37		Frankiaceae																				60
38		Promicromonos																				100
39		poraceae																				100
40																						
41																						
42																						
43																						
44 45								Scho	larOne	e Supp	ort 1-4	34/964	-4100									
46										1.1.												

1															
2															
3															
4															
5															
6		Cellulomonada													
7		ceae											1		20
8	Bacteroidetes	Cytophagaceae													40
9		ae													40
10	Acidobacteria	Divergent Acidobacteria					1		1		1				0
11		Acidobacteriac													100
12	0 I I I														100
14	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria													100
15	Chloroflexi	Chloroflexi Planctomycetal							1						80
16	Planctomycetes	es Lineage							1						40
17	a	a Lineage													80
18	Thaumarchaeot a	Thaumarchaeot a Lineage													80
19	Eurvarchaeota	Methanogen Lineage													100
20 -															
21															
22	S	Supplementar	ry Table 4: Confus	ion matrix o	f Family I	evel pre	edictions.								
25 24		Dhuduma	Family	Chron Muss	From Dr		Cuta Chilin	A side Lin	Asida Cu	one Chlere	Diana	10000	Thousa	Mathan	Success
2 4 25		Phylum	Family	Strep. wyco.	Fran. Pr	om. Cell.	Cylo. Chilin	. ACIGO.LIN.		ano. Chiord	. Planc.	venu.	Thaum.	weman.	Rale (%)
26	Gamm	naproteobacteria	Moraxellaceae												100
27			Methylococcaceae							1					80
28			Pseudomonadaceae												80
29	Alpha	aproteobacteria	Methylocystaceae												60
30			Rhodospirillaceae												80
31			Rhizobiaceae												80
32			Bradyrhizahiaaaaa												40
33 24			Brauymizopiaceae												40
34 35			Beijerinckiaceae												80
36	Delta	aproteobacteria	Myxococcaceae												100
37			Polyangiaceae												60
38	Beta	proteobacteria	Neisseriales Lineage												100
39															
40															
41															
42															
43															
44 45					Sc	nolarOne	Support 1-43	4/964-4100							
45 16					JC		5400011 45	., 201 - 100							
40															
44 45 46					Sc	nolarOne	Support 1-43	4/964-4100							

1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
6		Nitrosomonadaceae														80
/																00
0 0		Burkholderlaceae														60
10	Firmicutes	Sporomusaceae							1		1	1				40
11		Leuconostocaceae														100
12		Clostridiaceae														80
13		Lactobacillaceae														60
14		Bacillaceae														80
15	Actinobacteria	Micrococcaceae		1		1										60
16 17		Microbacteriaceae														80
18		Streptomycetaceae	3		1											60
19		Mycobacteriaceae		5												100
20		Frankiaceae	1	1	3											60
21		Promicromonosnoraceae	•	•	Ū	5										100
22		Osthularrana da sa sa				5						4				100
23 24		Cellulomonadaceae				2	1					1				20
25	Bacteroidetes	Cytophagaceae					2	3								40
26		Chitinophagaceae					3	2								40
27	Acidobacteria	Divergent Acidobacteria							0 🧹		1					0
28		Acidobacteriaceae								5						100
29	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria								5						100
30	Chloroflexi	Chloroflexi									4					80
31 27		Planctomycetales										0				40
32 33	Planctomycetes	Lineage							1	1		2				40
34	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobia Lineage Thaumarchaeota								1			4			80
35	Thaumarchaeota	Lineage					1							4		80
36	Euryarchaeota	Methanogen Lineage													5	100
37																

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

Supplementary	Table 5:	Confusion r	natrix of Pro	oteobacterial	Family predictions.
---------------	----------	-------------	---------------	---------------	---------------------

						S	Successfu	l classific	ations					
	Beij.	Brady.	Burkh.	Methylococ.	Methylocys.	Morax.	Мухо.	Neiss.	Nitroso.	Polyan.	Pseudo.	Rhizo.	Rhodo.	Success rate (%
Beijerinckiaceae	5													100
Bradyrhizobiaceae		1			2						1	1		20
Burkholderiaceae			4					1						80
Methylococcaceae				4					1					80
Methylocystaceae	3				2									40
Moraxellaceae						4		1						80
Myxococcaceae							5							100
Neisseriales lineage								4	1					80
Nitrosomonadaceae									4		1			80
Polyangiaceae			1				1			3				60
Pseudomonadaceae						1					3	1		60
Rhizobiaceae											1	4		80
Rhodospirillaceae					1								4	80

					Succ	essful classifi	cations		
		Cellu. Fr	ank. M	icrobac.	Micrococ.	Mycobac.	Promicro.	Strepto.	Success rate (%)
Cellulomonadace	eae	2		1	1		1		40
Frankiaceae			3		1	1			60
Microbacteriace	ae	1		3				1	60
Micrococcacea	е				4			1	80
Mycobacteriace	ae					5			100
Promicromonospor	aceae						5		100
Streptomycetace	eae				1			4	80
Supplementary Tal	ole 7: C	onfusion	matrix c	of Firmic	utes Family	y predictions	6.		
cappionioniary ra			Succes	ssful class	sifications				
							(0/)		
	Bacill.	Clostrid.	Lacto.	Leuco.	Sporo.	Success rate	(70)		
Bacillaceae	Bacill. 4	Clostrid. 1	Lacto.	Leuco.	Sporo.	Success rate 80	(70)		
Bacillaceae	Bacill. 4 1	Clostrid. 1 3	Lacto.	Leuco.	Sporo.	Success rate 80 60	(70)		
Bacillaceae Clostridiaceae Lactobacillaceae	Bacill. 4 1	Clostrid. 1 3	Lacto. 1 4	Leuco.	Sporo.	Success rate 80 60 80	(70)		
Bacillaceae Clostridiaceae Lactobacillaceae Leuconostocaceae	Bacill. 4 1	Clostrid. 1 3	Lacto. 1 4	Leuco. 1 5	Sporo.	Success rate 80 60 80 100	(70)		

Supplementary Table 8: Confusion matrix of 'Under-represented' Family predictions. Successful classifications

											Success
	Acid.	Chitin.	Chloro.	Cyano.	Cytoph.	Acido. Lin.	Methan.	Plancto.	Thaum.	Verruc.	rate (%)
Acidobacteriaceae	5										100
Chitinophagaceae		3			2						60
Chloroflexi			4					1			80
Cyanobacteria				5							100
Cytophagaceae Acidobacteria		2			3						60
Lineage Methanogen	1		2							2	0
Lineage							5				100
Planctomycetales			1			1		3			60
Thaumarchaeota			1						4		80
Verrucomicrobia	1									4	80



Supplementary Figure 4: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Phyla. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Phylum Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.



Supplementary Figure 5: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Phyla. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Phylum Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.



Supplementary Figure 6: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Families. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Family Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.



Supplementary Figure 7: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Families. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Family Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.



Supplementary Figure 8: Important predictor traits in classifying subgroups of Families as selected by the Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Others model. Traits are ranked in order of decreasing Mean Decrease in Accuracy (MDA) of the four models.



Supplementary Figure 9: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Proteobacterial Families. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Proteobacteria Family Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.




Supplementary Figure 10: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Actinobacterial Families. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Actinobacterial Family Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.



Supplementary Figure 11: Box and whisker plots of functional traits present in Firmicutes Families. Traits were selected based on being selected by the Firmicutes Family Random Forest model. Letters indicate significantly high or low (p < 0.05) counts based on LSD *post hoc* tests.

60

a

С

0

ak

а

dobacteria lineage Acidobacteriaceae Cyanobacteria

Chitinophagaceae

с

С

ab

ab^{ab}

с с

a

cic

а

Ď

Thaumarchaeota

Methanogen lineage

Verrucomicrobia

Chloroflexi Planctomycetales

ab

c c



Supplementary Table 9: Summary of traits significantly enriched and depleted in the Phylum Random Forest model.

Model	BRITE 1	BRITE 3	Significantly enriched	Significantly depleted
Phylum	Metabolism	00020 TCA cycle	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia	Firmicutes Eurvarchaeota
	metazonem	00190 Oxidative		
		phosphorylation	Betaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes	Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Euryarchaeota
				Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetales,
		00480 Glutathione metabolism	Alphaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, Cyanobacteria	Verrucomicrobia, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
		00910 Nitrogen metabolism	Cvanobacteria	Chloroflexi
				Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota,
		00540 LPS biosynthesis	Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia	Euryarchaeota
		biosynthesis, degradation	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria	Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetales, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
		01001 Protein kinases	Deltaproteobacteria. Planctomycetales	Alphaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, Thaumarchaeota, Eurvarchaeota
		01040 Biosynthesis of		- ,
		unsaturated fatty acids	Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria	Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
		09113 Global maps only		Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria,
		(unclassified metabolism)	Gammaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Thaumarchaeota	Chloroflexi, Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia
		system	Deltaproteobacteria Bacteroidetes	Gammaproteobacteria Firmicutes Thaumarchaeota
		00572 Arabinogalactan		Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Chloroflexi,
		biosynthesis	Actinobacteria, Planctomycetales	Euryarchaeota
		00561 Glycerolipid metabolism	Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia	Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
		00360 Phenylalanine	Common rate ob actoria Acting bactoria	Firmieutea Asidebasteria Overschesteria Oblareflavi
		00960 Tropage piperidine and	Gammaproleobaciena, Aclinobaciena	Firmicules, Acidobaciena, Cyanobaciena, Chiorollexi
		pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis	Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Eurvarchaeota	Firmicutes, Planctomycetales
		00630 Glyoxylate and		
		dicarboxylate metabolism	Alphaproteobacteria, Acidobacteria	Firmicutes, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
	Environmental Information Processing	02010 ABC transporters	Alphaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria	Thaumarchaeota
		02020 Two component system	Alphaproteobacteria Deltaproteobacteria	Chloroflexi Thaumarchaeota
		03070 Bacterial secretion	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria,	Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi,
		system	Betaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia	Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota
		02044 Type IV secretion	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria,	
	Conctin Information	system	Betaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia	Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota
	Processing	(eukaryote-like)	Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota	All other phyla

03022 Basal transcription factors	Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota	All other phyla		
03050 Proteasome	Actinobacteria, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota	All other phyla		
03110 Chaperones and folding	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria	Firmicutes, Chloroflexi		
03051 proteasome 20S subunit	Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria	All other phyla		
03019 mRNA biogenesis (degradation)	Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota		
99978 Cell growth (sporulation)	Firmicutes	All other phyla		
01501 beta-Lactam resistance	Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia	Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota		
02040 Flagellar assembly	Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Acidobacteria	Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota		
02030 bacterial chemotaxis	Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria	Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota		
99992 Unclassified structural proteins	Gammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria	Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota		
	03022 Basal transcription factors 03050 Proteasome 03110 Chaperones and folding 03051 proteasome 20S subunit 03019 mRNA biogenesis (degradation) 99978 Cell growth (sporulation) 01501 beta-Lactam resistance 02040 Flagellar assembly 02030 bacterial chemotaxis 99992 Unclassified structural proteins	03022 Basal transcription factorsThaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota03050 ProteasomeActinobacteria, Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota03110 Chaperones and foldingGammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria03051 proteasome 20S subunit 03019 mRNA biogenesis (degradation)Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria99978 Cell growth (sporulation)Firmicutes01501 beta-Lactam resistanceBacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia02040 Flagellar assemblyAlphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria02030 bacterial chemotaxis 99992 Unclassified structural proteinsGammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria		

Model	BRITE 1	BRITE 3	Significantly high	Significantly low
Firmicutes	Metabolism	00540 LPS biosynthesis	Sporomusaceae	All other families
		00020 Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) 99985 Unclassified amino acid	Sporomusaceae, Bacillaceae	All other families
		metabolism	Clostridiaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
		00300 Lysine biosynthesis	All equal	All equal
		00790 Folate biosynthesis	Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
		tryptophan biosynthesis	Sporomusaceae	Leuconostocaceae
		metabolism	Sporomusaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
		metabolism	Sporomusaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
	Genetic Information Processing	03000 Transcription factors	Sporomusaceae, Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae
		03030 DNA replication	All equal	All equal
	Signaling and Cellular Processes	02040 Flagellar assembly	Sporomusaceae, Clostridiaceae, Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
		99978 Cell growth (sporulation)	Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae
		02042 Bacterial toxins	Sporomusaceae	Lactobacillaceae
		biosynthesis	Sporomusaceae, Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillaceae, Clostridiaceae
	Enzymes	99980 Enzymes with EC numbers	Sporomusaceae, Clostridiaceae, Bacillaceae	Leuconostocaceae
Actinobacteria	Metabolism	00571 LAM biosynthesis	Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Microbacteriaceae, Cellulomonadaceae
		01001 Linid biogurthesis proteins	N4 vacha staria sa sa	Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae,
		00860 Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism	Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae,	
		01007 Amino acid related enzymes	Frankiaceae	Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Streptomycetaceae,
		00071 Eatty acid degradation		
		00903 Limonene and pinene	Mycobacteriaceae	Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae
		00630 Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
27	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
40	
4/	

Object Pyruvate metabolism 99982 Mycobacteriaceae Microbacteriaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Microbacteriaceae, Cellulomonadaceae 01008 Polyketide biosynthesis Frankiaceae All other families Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae 01008 Polyketide biosynthesis Streptomycetaceae, Frankiaceae All other families Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae 01007 Type II polyketide biosynthesis Streptomycetaceae, Frankiaceae All other families Environmental Information Processing Signaling and Cellular 02020 Two component system Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae 03021 Transcription machinery Streptomycetaceae Frankiaceae Frankiaceae Vincharacterised Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Frankiaceae				
99982 Unclassified energy metabolism Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae All other families 01008 Polyketide biosynthesis Frankiaceae Cellulomonadaceae 01057 Type II polyketide biosynthesis Streptomycetaceae, Frankiaceae All other families Environmental Information Processing Genetic Information Processing 02020 Two component system Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae 03021 Transcription machinery Streptomycetaceae Cellulomonadaceae Cellulomonadaceae Signaling and Cellular 01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Uncharacterised All other families		00620 Pyruvate metabolism	Mycobacteriaceae	Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae
Indecoder Micrococaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Information 01008 Polyketide biosynthesis Frankiaceae All other families Environmental Information Processing 02020 Two component system Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Genetic Information 03021 Transcription machinery Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Processes 01054 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Micrococaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae		99982 Unclassified energy metabolism	Mycobacteriaceae Frankiaceae	All other families
01057 Type II polyketide biosynthesis Streptomycetaceae, Frankiaceae All other families Information Processing Genetic Information Processing 02020 Two component system Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae, Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Signaling and Cellular Processes 01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Uncharacterised All other families		01008 Polyketide biosynthesis	Frankiaceae	Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae
Environmental Information Processing Genetic Information Processing 02020 Two component system Streptomycetaceae Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Signaling and Cellular Processes 01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae All other families		01057 Type II polyketide biosynthesis	Streptomvcetaceae. Frankiaceae	All other families
Processing Signaling and Cellular Processes 03021 Transcription machinery Streptomycetaceae Cellulomonadaceae Uncharacterised 01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae All other families All other families	Environmental Information Processing Genetic Information	02020 Two component system	Streptomycetaceae	Promicromonosporaceae, Cellulomonadaceae Micrococcaceae, Microbacteriaceae, Promicromonosporaceae,
Signaling and Cellular Processes 01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae Frankiaceae Uncharacterised Uncharacterised All other families	Processing	03021 Transcription machinery	Streptomycetaceae	Cellulomonadaceae
Uncharacterised Uncharacterised Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae All other families	Signaling and Cellular Processes	01504 Antimicrobial resistance genes	Streptomycetaceae	Frankiaceae
	Uncharacterised	Uncharacterised	Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae	All other families

Supplementary Table 11: Summary of traits significantly enriched and depleted in Proteobacteria and Others models.

Model	BRITE 1	BRITE 3	Significantly high	Significantly low
Proteobacteria	Metabolism	00540 LPS biosynthesis	Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae Rhizohiaceae, Myxococcaceae	Beijerinckiaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae
		01003 Glycosyltransferases	Polyangiaceae	Moraxellaceae
		00650 Butanoate metabolism	Rhodospirillaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Methylococcaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae
		00600 Sphingolipid metabolism	Мухососсасеае	Neisseriales Lineage, Nitrosomonadaceae
		01007 Amino acid related enzymes (aminotransferases)	Pseudomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Polyangiaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Myxococcaceae
	Environmental Information Processing	02010 ABC transporters	Pseudomonadaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Moraxellaceae, Methylococcaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae
		03070 Bacterial secretion systems	Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae	Methylocystaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae
	Genetic Information Processing	03021 Transcription machinery	Polyangiaceae	All other families
		99974 Unclassified translation 03019 Messenger RNA biogenesis (degradation)	Pseudomonadaceae, Beijerinckiaceae Pseudomonadaceae, Myxococcaceae, Burkholderiaceae	Moraxellaceae, Neisseriales Lineage Methylocystaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae
		03009 Ribosome biogenesis	Мухососсасеае	Methylococcaceae, all Alphaproteobacteria, Nitrosomonadaceae
		03013 RNA transport	Pseudomonadaceae, Myxococcaceae	Rhodospirillaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae
	Signaling and Cellular Processes	02030 Bacterial chemotaxis	Rhodospirillaceae	Moraxellaceae
		02040 Flagellar assembly	Rhodospirillaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Nitros	omonadaceae
		99995 Unclassified signaling proteins	Pseudomonadaceae	Methylocystaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Beijerinckiaceae
Other	Metabolism	00540 LPS biosynthesis	Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia	Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
		01003 Glycosyltransferases	Acidobacteria Lineage, Verrucomicrobia Chitinophagaceae, Acidobacteria Lineage	Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
		carbohydrate metabolism	Planctomycetales	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
		01002 Peptidases, inhibitors	Chitinophagaceae, Acidobacteria Lineage	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
		00195 Photosynthesis	Cyanobacteria	All other families
		00680 Methane metabolism	Methanogen Lineage	All other families
	Environmental Information Processing	02010 ABC transporters	Cyanobacteria, Verrucomicrobia	Acidobacteriaceae, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage

	02060 PTS	Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia	All other families
	04152 AMPK signaling	Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage	All other families
	03070 Bacterial secretion system	Acidobacteriaceae, Verrucomicrobia	Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
	02044 Type IV Secretion system	Acidobacteria Lineage, Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia	Cytophagaceae, Chitinophagaceae, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota
Genetic Information			
Processing	99974 Unclassified translation	Thaumarchaeota	Cyanobacteria, Verrucomicrobia
Signaling and Cellular			Cvanobacteria, Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen
Processes	02030 Bacterial chemotaxis	Acidobacteria Lineage, Planctomycetales	Lineage
		Cytophagaceae, Chitinophagaceae,	
	01501 beta-Lactam resistance	Planctomycetales, Verrucomicrobia	Chloroflexi, Thaumarchaeota, Methanogen Lineage
	99995 Unclassified signaling		
	proteins	Cyanobacteria	Chloroflexi

References

Abt B, Foster B, Lapidus A, Clum A, Sun H, Pukall R *et al* (2010). Complete genome sequence of Cellulomonas flavigena type strain (134(T)). *Standards in Genomic Sciences* **3**: 15-25.

An DS, Lee HG, Im WT, Liu OM, Lee ST (2007a). Segetibacter koreensis gen. nov., sp nov., a novel member of the phylum Bacteroidetes, isolated from the soil of a ginseng field in South Korea. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57**: 1828-1833.

An SY, Asahara M, Goto K, Kasai H, Yokota A (2007b). Terribacillus saccharophilus gen. nov., sp nov and Terribacillus halophilus sp nov., spore-forming bacteria isolated from field soil in Japan. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57**: 51-55.

Anagnostidis K, Komarek J (1988). Modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes. 3. Oscillatoriales. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement* **80**: 327-472.

Bagnara C, Toci R, Gaudin C, Belaich JP (1985). Isolation and characterization of a cellulolytic microorganism, Cellulomonas-fermentans sp-nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **35**: 502-507.

Barrangou R, Yoon SS, Breidt F, Fleming HP, Klaenhammer TR (2002). Identification and characterization of Leuconostoc fallax strains isolated from an industrial sauerkraut fermentation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **68**: 2877-2884.

Bentley SD, Chater KF, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, Challis GL, Thomson NR, James KD *et al* (2002). Complete genome sequence of the model actinomycete Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2). *Nature* **417**: 141-147.

Bogan BW, Sullivan WR, Kayser KJ, Derr KD, Aldrich HC, Peterek JR (2003). Alkanindiges illinoisensis gen. nov., sp nov., an obligately hydrocarbonoclastic, aerobic squalane-degrading bacterium isolated from oilfield soils. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **53**: 1389-1395.

Brauer SL, Cadillo-Quiroz H, Ward RJ, Yavitt JB, Zinder SH (2011). Methanoregula boonei gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidiphilic methanogen isolated from an acidic peat bog. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **61**: 45-52.

Brook MD, Currie B, Desmarchelier PM (1997). Isolation and identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei from soil using selective culture techniques and the polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **82:** 589-596.

Brunel B, Cleyetmarel JC, Normand P, Bardin R (1988). Stability of Bradyrhizobium-japonicum inoculants after introduction into soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **54:** 2636-2642.

Cadillo-Quiroz H, Yavitt JB, Zinder SH (2009). Methanosphaerula palustris gen. nov., sp nov., a hydrogenotrophic methanogen isolated from a minerotrophic fen peatland. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59**: 928-935.

Chain PSG, Denef VJ, Konstantinidis KT, Vergez LM, Agullo L, Reyes VL *et al* (2006). Burkholderia xenovorans LB400 harbors a multireplicon, 9.73-Mbp genome shaped for versatility. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**: 15280-15287.

Chen WM, Laevens S, Lee TM, Coenye T, De Vos P, Mergeay M *et al* (2001). Ralstonia taiwanensis sp nov., isolated from root nodules of Mimosa species and sputum of a cystic fibrosis patient. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **51**: 1729-1735.

Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R, Eisenreich A, Schneider K, Heinemeyer I *et al* (2007). Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. *Nature Biotechnology* **25**: 1007-1014.

Chen YS, Yanagida F, Shinohara T (2005). Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria from soil using an enrichment procedure. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **40:** 195-200.

Chern LL, Stackebrandt E, Lee SF, Lee FL, Chen JK, Fu HM (2004). Chitinibacter tainanensis gen. nov., sp nov., a chitin-degrading aerobe from soil in Taiwan. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **54:** 1387-1391.

Chin KJ, Liesack W, Janssen PH (2001). Opitutus terrae gen nov, sp nov, to accommodate novel strains of the division 'Verrucomicrobia' isolated from rice paddy soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **51**: 1965-1968.

Chistoserdova L (2011). Methylotrophy in a Lake: from Metagenomics to Single-Organism Physiology. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **77**: 4705-4711.

Cohen JE, Wang R, Shen RF, Wu WW, Keller JE (2017). Comparative pathogenomics of Clostridium tetani. *Plos One* **12**.

Crowe MA, Power JF, Morgan XC, Dunfield PF, Lagutin K, Rijpstra IC *et al* (2014). Pyrinomonas methylaliphatogenes gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel group 4 thermophilic member of the phylum Acidobacteria from geothermal soils (vol 64, pg 220, 2014). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **64**: 1074-1074.

Das S, Pettersson BMF, Behra PRK, Ramesh M, Dasgupta S, Bhattacharya A *et al* (2015). Characterization of Three Mycobacterium spp. with Potential Use in Bioremediation by Genome Sequencing and Comparative Genomics. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7:** 1871-1886.

Davenport KW, Daligault HE, Minogue TD, Bruce DC, Chain PSG, Coyne SR *et al* (2014). Draft genome assembly of Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 19606. *Genome Announcements* **2**: e00832-00814.

Dedysh SN, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Liesack W *et al* (2002). Methylocapsa acidiphila gen. nov., sp nov., a novel methane-oxidizing and dinitrogen-fixing acidophilic bacterium from Sphagnum bog. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **52**: 251-261.

Dedysh SN, Kulichevskaya IS, Serkebaeva YM, Mityaeva MA, Sorokin VV, Suzina NE *et al* (2012). Bryocella elongata gen. nov., sp nov., a member of subdivision 1 of the Acidobacteria isolated from a methanotrophic enrichment culture, and emended description of Edaphobacter aggregans Koch et al. 2008. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **62**: 654-664.

Dees MW, Sletten A, Hermansen A (2013). Isolation and characterization of Streptomyces species from potato common scab lesions in Norway. *Plant Pathology* **62**: 217-225.

De Leon KB, Young ML, Camilleri LB, Brown SD, Skerker JM, Deutschbauer AM *et al* (2012). Draft genome sequence of Pelosinus fermentans JBW45, isolated during in situ stimulation for Cr(VI) reduction. *Journal of Bacteriology* **194**: 5456-5457.

Derx HG (1950). Beijerinckia, a new genus of nitrogen-fixing bacteria in tropical soils. *Repr Meded Konink Ned Akad Wetens* **53**: 140-147.

Dunfield PF, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Dedysh SN (2003). Methylocella silvestris sp nov., a novel methanotroph isolated from an acidic forest cambisol. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **53**: 1231-1239.

Eichorst SA, Breznak JA, Schmidt TM (2007). Isolation and characterization of soil bacteria that define Teniglobus gen. nov., in the phylum Acidobacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **73**: 2708-2717.

Endo A, Okada S (2007). Lactobacillus composti sp nov, a lactic acid bacterium isolated from a compost of distilled shochu residue. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57**: 870-872.

Endo A, Futagawa-Endo Y, Dicks LMT (2009). Isolation and characterization of fructophilic lactic acid bacteria from fructose-rich niches. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **32**: 593-600.

Endo A, Futagawa-Endo Y, Sakamoto M, Kitahara M, Dicks LMT (2010). Lactobacillus florum sp. nov., a fructophilic species isolated from flowers. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **60**: 2478-2482.

Geymonat E, Ferrando L, Tarlera SE (2011). Methylogaea oryzae gen. nov., sp nov., a mesophilic methanotroph isolated from a rice paddy field. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **61:** 2568-2572.

Graham JB, Istock CA (1978). Genetic exchange in Bacillus-subtilis in soil. *Molecular & General Genetics* **166**: 287-290.

Hamada M, Shibata C, Sakurai K, Hosoyama A, Oji S, Teramoto K *et al* (2016). Reclassification of Amycolicicoccus subflavus as Hoyosella subflava comb. nov and emended descriptions of the genus Hoyosella and Hoyosella altamirensis. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **66**: 4711-4715.

Hatayama K, Kawai S, Shoun H, Ueda Y, Nakamura A (2005). Pseudomonas azotifigens sp nov., a novel nitrogen-fixing bacterium isolated from a compost pile. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **55**: 1539-1544.

He J, Ritalahti KM, Yang KL, Koenigsberg SS, Loffler FE (2003). Detoxification of vinyl chloride to ethene coupled to growth of an anaerobic bacterium. *Nature* **424**: 62-65.

Helgason E, Okstad OA, Caugant DA, Johansen HA, Fouet A, Mock M *et al* (2000). Bacillus anthracis, Bacillus cereus, and Bacillus thuringiensis - One species on the basis of genetic evidence. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **66**: 2627-2630.

Hennessee CT, Seo JS, Alvarez AM, Li QX (2009). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading species isolated from Hawaiian soils: Mycobacterium crocinum sp nov., Mycobacterium pallens sp nov., Mycobacterium rutilum sp nov., Mycobacterium rufum sp nov and Mycobacterium aromaticivorans sp nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59:** 378-387.

Huang K, Xu Y, Zhang J, Chen C, Gao F, Zhao FJ (2017). Arsenicibacter rosenii gen. nov., sp. nov., an efficient arsenic methylating and volatilizing bacterium isolated from an arsenic-contaminated paddy soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **67**: 3186-3191.

Huntley S, Zhang Y, Treuner-Lange A, Kneip S, Sensen CW, Sogaard-Andersen L (2012). Complete Genome Sequence of the Fruiting Myxobacterium Corallococcus coralloides DSM 2259. *Journal of Bacteriology* **194:** 3012-3013.

Hwang JY, Kim SH, Oh HR, Kwon E, Nam DH (2015). Analysis of a draft genome sequence of Kitasatospora cheerisanensis KCTC 2395 producing bafilomycin antibiotics. *Journal of Microbiology* **53**: 84-89.

Iguchi H, Yurimoto H, Sakai Y (2011). Methylovulum miyakonense gen. nov., sp. nov., a type I methanotroph isolated from forest soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **61**: 810-815.

Im WT, Kim SH, Kim MK, Ten LN, Lee ST (2006). Pleomorphomonas koreensis sp nov., a nitrogen-fixing species in the order Rhizobiales. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 1663-1666.

Jahn E (1911). Myxobacteriales. In: Kryptogamenflora der Mark Brandenburg und angrenzender Gebiete, Vol V, pp 187-206 Leipzig: Borntraeger.

Johnson JL, Toth J, Santiwatanakul S, Chen JS (1997). Cultures of "Clostridium acetobutylicum" from various collections comprise Clostridium acetobutylicum, Clostridium beijerinckii, and two other distinct types based on DNA-DNA reassociation. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **47**: 420-424.

Jung MY, Kim JG, Damste JSS, Rijpstra WIC, Madsen EL, Kim SJ *et al* (2016). A hydrophobic ammonia-oxidizing archaeon of the Nitrosocosmicus clade isolated from coal tar-contaminated sediment. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **8**: 983-992.

Jurado V, Groth I, Gonzalez JM, Laiz L, Saiz-Jimenez C (2005). Agromyces subbeticus sp. nov., isolated from a cave in southern Spain. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **55**: 1897-1901.

Kawasaki S, Kurosawa K, Miyazaki M, Yagi C, Kitajima Y, Tanaka S *et al* (2011). Lactobacillus floricola sp nov., lactic acid bacteria isolated from mountain flowers. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **61**: 1356-1359.

Kim BK, Jung MY, Yu DS, Park SJ, Oh TK, Rhee SK *et al* (2011). Genome Sequence of an Ammonia-Oxidizing Soil Archaeon, "Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum koreensis" MY1. *Journal of Bacteriology* **193**: 5539-5540.

Kim BY, Weon HY, Yoo SH, Chen WM, Kwon SW, Go SJ *et al* (2006). Chitinimonas koreensis sp nov., isolated from greenhouse soil in Korea. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56:** 1761-1764.

Kim D, Baik KS, Kim MS, Park SC, Kim SS, Rhee MS *et al* (2008). Acinetobacter soli sp nov., isolated from forest soil. *Journal of Microbiology* **46**: 396-401.

Kim SB, Lonsdale J, Seong CN, Goodfellow M (2003). Streptacidiphilus gen. nov., acidophilic actinomycetes with wall chemotype I and emendation of the family Streptomycetaceae (Waksman and Henrici (1943)(AL)) emend. Rainey et al. 1997. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology* **83**: 107-116.

Kiss H, Nett M, Domin N, Martin K, Maresca JA, Copeland A *et al* (2011). Complete genome sequence of the filamentous gliding predatory bacterium Herpetosiphon aurantiacus type strain (114-95^T). *Standards in Genomic Sciences* **5:** 356-370.

Kleinig H, Reichenbach H (1973). New carotenoid glucoside ester from Chondromyces-apiculatus. *Phytochemistry* **12**: 2483-2485.

Klouche N, Fardeau ML, Lascourreges JF, Cayol JL, Hacene H, Thomas P *et al* (2007). Geosporobacter subterraneus gen. nov., sp nov., a spore-forming bacterium isolated from a deep subsurface aquifer. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57**: 1757-1761.

Koburger JA, May SO (1982). Isolation of Chromobacterium spp from foods, soil, and water. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **44**: 1463-1465.

Koch IH, Gich F, Dunfield PF, Overmann J (2008). Edaphobacter modestus gen. nov., sp nov., and Edaphobacter aggregans sp nov., acidobacteria isolated from alpine and forest soils. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58:** 1114-1122.

Komarek J, Hindak F (1975). Taxonomy of the new isolated strains of Chroococcidiopsis (Cyanophyceae). *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* **13**: 311-329.

Koops HP, Bottcher B, Moller UC, Pommereningroser A, Stehr G (1991). Classification of 8 new species of Ammonia-Oxidizing bacteria -Nitrosomonas-communis sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-ureae sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-aestuarii sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-marina sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-nitrosa sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-eutropha sp-nov, Nitrosomonas-oligotropha sp-nov and Nitrosomonas-halophila sp-nov. *Journal of General Microbiology* **137**: 1689-1699.

Krizova L, Maixnerova M, Sedo O, Nemec A (2014). Acinetobacter bohemicus sp nov widespread in natural soil and water ecosystems in the Czech Republic. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **37**: 467-473.

Kuklinsky-Sobral J, Araujo WL, Mendes R, Geraldi IO, Pizzirani-Kleiner AA, Azevedo JL (2004). Isolation and characterization of soybeanassociated bacteria and their potential for plant growth promotion. *Environmental Microbiology* **6:** 1244-1251.

Kulichevskaya IS, Ivanova AO, Belova SE, Baulina OI, Bodelier PLE, Rijpstra WIC *et al* (2007). Schlesneria paludicola gen. nov., sp nov., the first acidophilic member of the order Planctomycetales, from Sphagnum-dominated boreal wetlands. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57**: 2680-2687.

Kulichevskaya IS, Ivanova AO, Baulina OI, Bodelier PLE, Damste JSS, Dedysh SN (2008). Singulisphaera acidiphila gen. nov., sp nov., a non-filamentous, Isosphaera-like planctomycete from acidic northern wetlands. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 1186-1193.

Kulichevskaya IS, Suzina NE, Liesack W, Dedysh SN (2010). Bryobacter aggregatus gen. nov., sp nov., a peat-inhabiting, aerobic chemoorganotroph from subdivision 3 of the Acidobacteria. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **60**: 301-306.

Kulichevskaya IS, Ivanova AA, Detkova EN, Rijpstra WIC, Damste JSS, Dedysh SN (2015). Planctomicrobium piriforme gen. nov., sp nov., a stalked planctomycete from a littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **65**: 1659-1665.

Kulichevskaya IS, Ivanova AA, Suzina NE, Rijpstra WIC, Damste JSS, Dedysh SN (2016). Paludisphaera borealis gen. nov., sp nov., a hydrolytic planctomycete from northern wetlands, and proposal of Isosphaeraceae fam. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **66**: 837-844.

Kwon SW, Kim YY, Kim WG, Yoo KH, Yoo SH, Son JA *et al* (2008). Paludibacterium yongneupense gen. nov., sp nov., isolated from a wetland, Yongneup, in Korea. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 190-194.

Lang E, Kroppenstedt RM, Straubler B, Stackebrandt E (2008). Reclassification of Myxococcus flavescens Yamanaka et al. 1990(vp) as a later synonym of Myxococcus virescens Thaxter 1892(AL). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 2607-2609.

Lang E, Stackebrandt E (2009). Emended descriptions of the genera Myxococcus and Corallococcus, typification of the species Myxococcus stipitatus and Myxococcus macrosporus and a proposal that they be represented by neotype strains. Request for an Opinion. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59**: 2122-2128.

Larimer FW, Chain P, Hauser L, Lamerdin J, Malfatti S, Do L *et al* (2004). Complete genome sequence of the metabolically versatile photosynthetic bacterium Rhodopseudomonas palustris. *Nature Biotechnology* **22**: 55-61.

Lehtovirta-Morley LE, Ge CR, Ross J, Yao HY, Nicol GW, Prosser JI (2014). Characterisation of terrestrial acidophilic archaeal ammonia oxidisers and their inhibition and stimulation by organic compounds. *Fems Microbiology Ecology* **89**: 542-552.

Levanon D (1978). Isolation and properties of cell-walls from Agrobacterium-tumefaciens-B6. *Experientia* **34**: 354-356.

Leys NM, Ryngaert A, Bastiaens L, Wattiau P, Top EM, Verstraete W *et al* (2005). Occurrence and community composition of fastgrowing Mycobacterium in soils contaminated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Fems Microbiology Ecology* **51**: 375-388.

Li SG, Zhao L, Han K, Li PF, Li ZF, Hu W *et al* (2014). Diversity of epothilone producers among Sorangium strains in producer-positive soil habitats. *Microbial Biotechnology* **7**: 130-141.

Li YZ, Chen F, Dong K, Wang GJ (2013). Actinotalea ferrariae sp nov., isolated from an iron mine, and emended description of the genus Actinotalea. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **63**: 3398-3403.

Liesack W, Bak F, Kreft JU, Stackebrandt E (1994). Holophaga foetida gen-nov, sp-nov, a new, homoacetogenic bacterium degrading methoxylated aromatic-compounds. *Archives of Microbiology* **162**: 85-90.

Lipman JG (1904). Further contributions to the physiology and morphology of members of the Azotobacter group. *New Jersey Agricultural Experimental Station 25th Annual Report, pp 237-289.*

Liu GQ, Kong YY, Fan YJ, Geng C, Peng DH, Sun M (2017). Whole-genome sequencing of Bacillus velezensis LS69, a strain with a broad inhibitory spectrum against pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Biotechnology* **249**: 20-24.

Liu JH, Wang YX, Zhang XX, Wang ZG, Chen YG, Wen ML *et al* (2010). Salinarimonas rosea gen. nov., sp nov., a new member of the alpha-2 subgroup of the Proteobacteria. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **60**: 55-60.

Lymperopoulou DS, Coil DA, Schichnes D, Lindow SE, Jospin G, Eisen JA *et al* (2017). Draft genome sequences of eight bacteria isolated from the indoor environment: Staphylococcus capitis strain H36, S. capitis strain H65, S. cohnii strain H62, S. hominis strain H69, Microbacterium sp strain H83, Mycobacterium iranicum strain H39, Plantibacter sp strain H53, and Pseudomonas oryzihabitans strain H72. *Standards in Genomic Sciences* **12**.

Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Senthilkumar M, Pragatheswari D, Lee JS, Lee KC (2015). Arachidicoccus rhizosphaerae gen. nov., sp nov., a plant-growth-promoting bacterium in the family Chitinophagaceae isolated from rhizosphere soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **65**: 578-586.

Maestrojuán GM, Boone JE, Mah RA, Mienaia JAGF, Sachs MS, Boone DR (1992). Taxonomy and halotolerance of mesophilic Methanosarcina strains, assignment of strains to species, and synonymy of Methanosarcina mazei and Methanosarcina frisia. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **42**: 561-567.

Magalhaes FM, Baldani JI, Souto SM, Kuykendall JR, Dobereiner J (1983). A new acid-tolerant Azospirillum species. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciencias* **55:** 417-430.

Magnusson J, Jonsson H, Schnurer J, Roos S (2002). Weisselia soli sp nov., a lactic acid bacterium isolated from soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **52:** 831-834.

Malhotra J, Anand S, Jindal S, Rajagopal R, Lal R (2012). Acinetobacter indicus sp nov., isolated from a hexachlorocyclohexane dump site. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 62: 2883-2890.

Mao YJ, Chen M, Horvath P (2015). Lactobacillus herbarum sp nov., a species related to Lactobacillus plantarum. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **65:** 4682-4688.

Mayilraj S, Suresh K, Schumann P, Kroppenstedt RM, Saini HS (2006). Agrococcus lahaulensis sp. nov., isolated from a cold desert of the Indian Himalayas. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 1807-1810.

Möller B, Ossmer R, Howard BH, Gottschalk G, Hippe H (1984). Sporomusa, a new genus of Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria including Sporomuusa sphaeroides spec. nov. and Sporomusa ovata spec. nov. *Archives of Microbiology* **139**: 388-396.

Mongodin EF, Shapir N, Daugherty SC, Deboy RT, Emerson JB, Shvartzbeyn A *et al* (2006). Secrets of soil survival revealed by the genome sequence of Arthrobacter aurescens TC1. *Plos Genetics* **2**: 2094-2106.

Mosier AC, Allen EE, Kim M, Ferriera S, Francis CA (2012). Genome Sequence of "Candidatus Nitrosopumilus salaria" BD31, an Ammonia-Oxidizing Archaeon from the San Francisco Bay Estuary. *Journal of Bacteriology* **194:** 2121-2122.

Naeem FI, Ashraf MM, Malik KA, Hafeez FY (2004). Competitiveness of introduced Rhizobium strains for nodulation in fodder legumes. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **36**: 159-166.

Nohynek LJ, Haggblom MM, Palleroni NJ, Kronqvist K, Nurmiaholassila EL, Salkinojasalonen M (1993). Characterization of a Mycobacterium-fortuitum strain capable of degrading polychlorinated phenolic-compounds. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **16**: 126-134.

Normand P, Lalonde M (1982). Evaluation of Frankia strains isolated from provenances of 2 Alnus species. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **28**: 1133-1142.

Normand P, Lapierre P, Tisa LS, Gogarten JP, Alloisio N, Bagnarol E *et al* (2007). Genome characteristics of facultatively symbiotic Frankia sp strains reflect host range and host plant biogeography. *Genome Research* **17**: 7-15.

Norton JM, Klotz MG, Stein LY, Arp DJ, Bottomley PJ, Chain PSG *et al* (2008). Complete genome sequence of Nitrosospira multiformis, an ammonia-oxidizing bacterium from the soil environment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **74**: 3559-3572.

Nouioui I, Ghodhbane-Gtari F, Montero-Calasanz MD, Goker M, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Schumann P *et al* (2016). Proposal of a type strain for Frankia alni (Woronin 1866) Von Tubeuf 1895, emended description of Frankia alni, and recognition of Frankia casuarinae sp nov and Frankia elaeagni sp nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **66**: 5201-5210.

Nouioui I, Ghodhbane-Gtari F, Montero-Calasanz MD, Rohde M, Tisa LS, Gtari M *et al* (2017). Frankia inefficax sp nov., an actinobacterial endophyte inducing ineffective, non nitrogen-fixing, root nodules on its actinorhizal host plants. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology* **110**: 313-320.

Ogg CD, Patel BKC (2009). Caloramator australicus sp nov., a thermophilic, anaerobic bacterium from the Great Artesian Basin of Australia. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59**: 95-101.

Osterman J, Marsh J, Laine PK, Zeng Z, Alatalo E, Sullivan JT *et al* (2014). Genome sequencing of two Neorhizobium galegae strains reveals a noeT gene responsible for the unusual acetylation of the nodulation factors. *Bmc Genomics* **15**.

Peix A, Berge O, Rivas R, Abril A, Velazquez E (2005). Pseudomonas argentinensis sp nov., a novel yellow pigment-producing bacterial species, isolated from rhizospheric soil in Cordoba, Argentina. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **55**: 1107-1112.

Persson T, Battenberg K, Demina IV, Vigil-Stenman T, Heuvel BV, Pujic P *et al* (2015). Candidatus Frankia Datiscae Dg1, the Actinobacterial Microsymbiont of Datisca glomerata, Expresses the Canonical nod Genes nodABC in Symbiosis with Its Host Plant. *Plos One* **10**.

Prabhu DM, Quadri SR, Cheng J, Liu L, Chen W, Yang Y *et al* (2015). Sinomonas mesophila sp nov., isolated from ancient fort soil. *Journal of Antibiotics* **68**: 318-321.

Qiu YL, Kuang XZ, Shi XS, Yuan XZ, Guo RB (2014). Terrimicrobium sacchariphilum gen. nov., sp nov., an anaerobic bacterium of the class 'Spartobacteria' in the phylum Verrucomicrobia, isolated from a rice paddy field. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **64:** 1718-1723.

Reichenbach H, Lang E, Schumann P, Sproer C (2006). Byssovorax cruenta gen. nov., sp nov., nom. rev., a cellulose-degrading myxobacterium: rediscovery of 'Myxococcus cruentus' Thaxter 1897. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56:** 2357-2363.

Rice MC, Norton JM, Valois F, Bollmann A, Bottomley PJ, Klotz MG *et al* (2016). Complete genome of Nitrosospira briensis C-128, an ammonia-oxidizing bacterium from agricultural soil. *Standards in Genomic Sciences* **11**.

Rippka R, Herdman M (1992). Pasteur Culture Collection of Cyanobacterial Strains in Axenic Culture, Catalogue and Taxonomic Handbook. Institut Pasteur, Paris. 103 pp.

Rivas R, Sanchez M, Trujillo ME, Zurdo-Pineiro JL, Mateos PF, Martinez-Molina E, Velazquez E (2003). Xylanimonas cellulosilytica gen. nov., sp. nov., a xylanolytic bacterium isolated from a decayed tree (Ulmus nigra). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **53**: 99-103. ^aRivas R, Trujillo ME, Mateos PF, Martinez-Molina E, Velazquez E (2004). Cellulomonas xylanilytica sp nov., a celluloytic and xylanolytic bacterium isolated from a decayed elm tree. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **54**: 533-536.

^bRivas R, Trujillo ME, Schumann P, Kroppenstedt RM, Sanchez M, Mateos PF et al (2004). Xylanibacterium ulmi gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel xylanolytic member of the family Promicromonosporaceae. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **54**: 557-561.

Ruckert C, Albersmeier A, Busche T, Jaenicke S, Winkler A, Friojonsson OH *et al* (2015). Complete genome sequence of Streptomyces lividans TK24. *Journal of Biotechnology* **199:** 21-22.

Sanchez-Andrea I, Florentino AP, Semerel J, Strepis N, Sousa DZ, Stams AJM (2018). Co-culture of a novel fermentative bacterium, Lucifera butyrica gen. nov. sp. nov., with the sulfur reducer Desulfurella amilsii for enhanced sulfidogenesis. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**: 3108.

Sakai S, Imachi H, Hanada S, Ohashi A, Harada H, Kamagata Y (2008). Methanocella paludicola gen. nov., sp nov., a methane-producing archaeon, the first isolate of the lineage 'Rice Cluster I', and proposal of the new archaeal order Methanocellales ord. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 929-936.

Sakai S, Conrad R, Liesack W, Imachi H (2010). Methanocella arvoryzae sp nov., a hydrogenotrophic methanogen isolated from rice field soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **60**: 2918-2923.

Sangkhobol V, Skerman VBD (1981). Chitinophaga, a new genus of chitinolytic Myxobacteria. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **31:** 285-293.

Sangwan P, Chen XL, Hugenholtz P, Janssen PH (2004). Chthoniobacter flavus gen. nov., sp nov., the first pure-culture representative of subdivision two, Spartobacteria classis nov., of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**: 5875-5881.

Schumann P, Weiss N, Stackebrandt E (2001). Reclassification of Cellulomonas cellulans (Stackebrandt and Keddie 1986) as Cellulosimicrobium cellulans gen. nov., comb. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **51**: 1007-1010.

 Schumann P, Behrendt U, Ulrich A, Suzuki K (2003). Reclassification of Subtercola pratensis Behrendt et al. 2002 as Agreia pratensis comb. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **53**: 2041-2044.

Schwabe GH (1960). Zur autotrophen vegetation in ariden Böden. Blaualgen und Lebensraum IV. Österreichische Botanische Zeitschrift **107:** 281-309.

Shamseldin A, Carro L, Peix A, Velazquez E, Moawad H, Sadowsky MJ (2016). The symbiovar trifolii of Rhizobium bangladeshense and Rhizobium aegyptiacum sp nov nodulate Trifolium alexandrinum in Egypt. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **39**: 275-279.

Singh AK, Garg N, Lata P, Kumar R, Negi V, Vikram S *et al* (2014). Pontibacter indicus sp nov., isolated from hexachlorocyclohexanecontaminated soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **64:** 254-259.

Soby SD, Gadagkar SR, Contreras C, Caruso FL (2013). Chromobacterium vaccinii sp nov., isolated from native and cultivated cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon Ait.) bogs and irrigation ponds. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **63**: 1840-1846.

Starkenburg SR, Chain PSG, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Hauser L, Land ML, Larimer FW *et al* (2006). Genome sequence of the chemolithoautotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacterium Nitrobacter winogradskyi Nb-255. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **72**: 2050-2063.

Starkenburg SR, Reitenga KG, Freitas T, Joohnsoon S, Chain PSG, Garcia-Pichel F, Kusek CR (2011). Genome of the Cyanobacterium Microcoleus vaginatus FGP-2, a photosynthetic ecosystem engineer of arid land soil biocrusts worldwide. *Journal of Bacteriology* doi.org/10.1128/JB.05138-11.

Strömpl C, Tindall BJ, Jarvis GN, Lünsdorf H, Moore ERB, Hippe H (1999). A re-evaluation of the taxonomy of the genus Anaerovibrio, with the reclassification of Anaerovibrio glycerini as Anaerosinus glycerini gen. nov., comb. Nov., and Anaerovibrio burkinabensis as Anaeroarcus burkinensis [corrig.] gen. nov., comb. Nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **49**: 1861-1872.

Takahashi Y, Tanaka Y, Iwai Y, Omura S (1987). Promicromonospora sukumoe sp. nov., a new species of the Actinomycetales. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* **33:** 507-519.

Takami H, Arai W, Takemoto K, Uchiyama I, Taniguchi T (2015). Functional Classification of Uncultured "Candidatus Caldiarchaeum subterraneum" Using the Maple System. *Plos One* **10**.

Takarada H, Sekine M, Kosugi H, Matsuo Y, Fujisawa T, Omata S *et al* (2008). Complete genome sequence of the soil actinomycete Kocuria rhizophila. *Journal of Bacteriology* **190:** 4139-4146.

Tanaka Y, Matsuzawa H, Tamaki H, Tagawa M, Toyama T, Kamagata Y *et al* (2017). Isolation of Novel Bacteria Including Rarely Cultivated Phyla, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia, from the Roots of Emergent Plants by Simple Culturing Method. *Microbes and Environments* **32**: 288-292.

Tang B, Yu YC, Zhang YM, Zhao GP, Ding XM (2015). Complete genome sequence of the glidobactin producing strain Polyangium brachysporum DSM 7029. *Journal of Biotechnology* **210**: 83-84.

Tikariha H, Pal RR, Qureshi A, Kapley A, Purohit HJ (2016). In silico analysis for prediction of degradative capacity of Pseudomonas putida SF1. *Gene* **591**: 382-392.

Toledo I, Lloret L, Martinez-Romero E (2003). Sinorhizobium americanus sp nov., a new Sinorhizobium species nodulating native Acacia spp. in Mexico. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **26**: 54-64.

Van VT, Ngoke S, Berge O, Faure D, Bally R, Hebbar P *et al* (1997). Isolation of Azospirillum lipoferum from the rhizosphere of rice by a new, simple method. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **43**: 486-490.

Vaz-Moreira I, Nobre MF, Ferreira ACS, Schumann P, Nunes OC, Manaia CM (2008). Humibacter albus gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from sewage sludge compost. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 1014-1018.

Vieira S, Luckner M, Wanner G, Overmann J (2017). Luteitalea pratensis gen. nov., sp nov a new member of subdivision 6 Acidobacteria isolated from temperate grassland soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **67**: 1408-1414.

Voelz H, Dworkin M (1962). Fine structure of Myxococcus xanthus during morphogenesis. Journal of Bacteriology 84: 943-&.

Volland S, Rachinger M, Strittmatter A, Daniel R, Gottschalk G, Meyer O (2011). Complete Genome Sequences of the Chemolithoautotrophic Oligotropha carboxidovorans Strains OM4 and OM5. *Journal of Bacteriology* **193**: 5043-5043.

Vorobev AV, Baani M, Doronina NV, Brady AL, Liesack W, Dunfield PF *et al* (2011). Methyloferula stellata gen. nov., sp nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium that possesses only a soluble methane monooxygenase. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **61**: 2456-2463.

Wang YX, Liu JH, Zhang XX, Chen YG, Wang ZG, Chen Y *et al* (2009). Fodinicurvata sediminis gen. nov., sp nov and Fodinicurvata fenggangensis sp nov., poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate-producing bacteria in the family Rhodospirillaceae. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59**: 2575-2581.

Ward NL, Challacombe JF, Janssen PH, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Wu M *et al* (2009). Three Genomes from the Phylum Acidobacteria Provide Insight into the Lifestyles of These Microorganisms in Soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **75**: 2046-2056.

Warnick TA, Methe BA, Leschine SB (2002). Clostridium phytofermentans sp nov., a cellulolytic mesophile from forest soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **52**: 1155-1160.

Wartiainen I, Hestnes AG, McDonald IR, Svenning MM (2006). Methylocystis rosea sp nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from Arctic wetland soil, Svalbard, Norway (78 degrees N). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 541-547.

Weon HY, Kim BY, Yoo SH, Lee SY, Kwon SW, Go SJ *et al* (2006). Niastella koreensis gen. nov., sp nov and Niastella yeongjuensis sp nov., novel members of the phylum Bacteroidetes, isolated from soil cultivated with Korean ginseng. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 1777-1782.

Weon HY, Kim BY, Yoo SH, Joa JH, Kwon SW, Kim WG (2007). And reprevotia chitinilytica gen. nov., sp nov., isolated from forest soil from Halla Mountain, Jeju Island, Korea. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 57: 1572-1575. Weon HY, Kim BY, Joa JH, Kwon SW, Kim WG, Koo BS (2008). Niabella soli sp nov., isolated from soil from Jeju Island, Korea. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 58: 467-469. Westerberg K, Elvang AM, Stackebrandt E, Jansson JK (2000). Arthrobacter chlorophenolicus sp nov., a new species capable of degrading high concentrations of 4-chlorophenol. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **50**: 2083-2092. Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinso J (1970). Enrichment, isolation and some properties of Methane-utilizing bacteria. *Journal of* General Microbiology 61: 205-&. Winogradsky S (1929). Études sur la microbologie du sol - sur la dégradation de la cellulose dans le sol. Annales de l'Institut Pasteur 43: 549-633. Wise MG, McArthur JV, Shimkets LJ (2001). Methylosarcina fibrata gen. nov., sp nov and Methylosarcina quisquiliarum sp nov., novel type I methanotrophs. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51: 611-621.

Xie CH, Yokota A (2005a). Azospirillum oryzae sp nov., a nitrogen-fixing bacterium isolated from the roots of the rice plant Oryza sativa. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **55**: 1435-1438.

Xie CH, Yokota A (2005b). Pleomorphomonas oryzae gen. nov., sp nov., a nitrogen-fixing bacterium isolated from paddy soil of Oryza sativa. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **55**: 1233-1237.

Xie CH, Yokota A (2006). Reclassification of Flavobacterium ferrugineum as Terrimonas ferruginea gen. nov., comb. nov., and description of Terrimonas lutea sp nov., isolated from soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 1117-1121.

Xie G, Bruce DC, Challacombe JF, Chertkov O, Detter JC, Gilna P *et al* (2007). Genome sequence of the cellulolytic gliding bacterium Cytophaga hutchinsonii. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **73**: 3536-3546.

Yang HC, Im WT, Kim KK, An DS, Lee ST (2006). Burkholderia terrae sp nov, isolated from a forest soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56:** 453-457.

Yooon JH, Kang SJ, Schumann P, Oh TK (2007). Cellulosimicrobium terreum sp. nov., isolated from soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **57:** 2493-2497.

Yoon MH, Ten LN, Im WT, Lee ST (2008). Cellulomonas chitinilytica sp nov., a chitinolytic bacterium isolated from cattle-farm compost. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **58**: 1878-1884.

Zaburannyi N, Bunk B, Maier J, Overmann J, Muller R (2016). Genome Analysis of the Fruiting Body-Forming Myxobacterium Chondromyces crocatus Reveals High Potential for Natural Product Biosynthesis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **82**: 1945-1957.

Zhang JY, Liu XY, Liu SJ (2010). Agrococcus terreus sp nov and Micrococcus terreus sp nov., isolated from forest soil. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **60**: 1897-1903.

Zgurskaya HI, Evtushenko LI, Akimov VN, Voyevoda HV, Dobrovolskaya TG, Lysak LV *et al* (1992). Emended description of the genus Agromyces and description of Agromyces cerinus subsp. cerinus sp. nov., subsp. nov., Agrocymces cerinus subsp. nitratus sp. nov., subsp. nov., Agromyces fucosus subsp. fucosus sp. nov., subsp. nov., and Agromyces fucosus subsp. nov., and Agromyces fucosus subsp. nov., and Agromyces fucosus subsp. nov., subsp. nov., and Agromyces fucosus subsp. hippuratus sp. nov., subsp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **42**: 635-641.

Manuscript id: FEMSEC-21-01-0026 Title: Functional trait relationships demonstrate generalisable life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes. Authors: Finn, Damien; Bergk-Pinto, Benoit; Hazard, Christina; Nicol, Graeme; Tebbe, Christoph; Vogel, Tim

Reviewers' Comments to Authors:

Reviewer: 1

 Comments to the Author

Review of the paper: Functional trait relationships demonstrate generalisable life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes.

This paper aims at identifying copiotrophic vs oligotrophic strategies among 170 species of bacteria and archea by comparing their similarity in their traits (based on clustering of functionally similar amino acid sequences). Instead of finding two clear cluster reflecting copiotrophic-oligotrophic framework, they identify 5 clusters. Only one of these clusters can be fit as copiotrophic. All other ones, reflect, as the author suggest, unique life-history strategies on their own.

I really like the paper and I think it is worth publication for two reasons: 1) It challenges simplistic views that entire kingdoms (or other higher taxa) of microorganisms can placed along a unique axis of energy use. 2) the method they use will surely be used by other researchers. I also send my kudos to the authors to develop a step-by-step repo explaining their method. Doing so, not only ensures reproducibility but also encourage other scientist to test similar questions with their approach.

I like it as it is. However, I want to acknowledge that I cannot judge on the information of the natural history and ecology of many of the taxa included.

Comment:

My only major suggestion is to make more explicit the way they test hypothesis 3: "copiotrophic and oligotrophic groups would emerge based on trait enrichment". (L149.150)

From what I understood in the method section (283-287) that hypothesis was tested by subsetting 60 traits that emerge from their random forest clustering that are "associated with copiotroph-oligotroph growth strategies". This association is based "previous studies". Since this is a major hypothesis in the paper, I suggest to summarize the traits as a table (at least in the supplementary) where it is indicated which ones are expected to belong in copiotroph-oligotroph axis. Even better, it would be great to have them grouped as a figure. A way to simplify this large number of traits in a figure would be to group them according the Brite categories. Ultimately, I am talking about some conceptual figure that shows the idealized clustering of copiotropholigotroph based exactly on the 60 traits you use.

Response:

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for their incredibly generous comments and the suggestion to create a conceptual figure summarising the Brite category clustering per Family. Indeed, by trying to consider the best way to communicate our results it has helped us to further synthesise them. Figure 5 is now a conceptual diagram that attempts to show how the five identified clades overlap based on their trait composition. This is either as a) a one-dimensional axis of 'resource investment', analogous to the classical dichotomy of copiotroph-oligotroph, and b) a multidimensional space where clades are further separated based on traits for both 'resource investment' and different means of 'resource acquisition'. By considering both investment and acquisition together, we hope to show that the clades show less overlap in space, which would theoretically allow them to occupy separate niches and co-exist. We do not include all 60 Brite categories in this figure, but rather have chosen some select traits (14 in total) that may be useful in demonstrating our point e.g. if a taxon possesses many glycosyltransferases in addition to spore formation or antimicrobial resistance genes it could be considered an 'oligotrophic degrader'. The 14 traits were partially chosen based on a comment from Reviewer 2, which suggested to name major functional markers that could be used to predict a taxon's niche. Section 4.7 has been updated to incorporate and explain Figure 5 in the context of our results.

We are acutely aware that this conceptual figure may be met with criticism from the scientific community, and we are more than happy to modify, adjust or otherwise take on board any further constructive comments from the reviewer.

Minor

comment

L40-42. I think you can be bolder. I think your study shows that the copio-oligotroph view is to simplistic and that more life-history strategies emerge once you compare species based on their traits and not pre-conceived ideas of how microorganisms should behave. I think that stating that the study "warrants a more nuanced view of life-histories" is too soft and vague.

Response:

The concluding sentences of the abstract have been amended to emphasise that the copiotroph-oligotroph framework is insufficient to describe prokaryote niche, and that we suggest considering traits involved in growth and resource acquisition in tandem when predicting niche:

"However, the formation of five clades suggested a more nuanced view to describe niche differentiation in terrestrial systems is necessary. We suggest considering traits involved both in resource investment and acquisition when predicting niche."

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

I reviewed the manuscript "Functional trait relationships demonstrate generalisable

life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes" by Finn et al. The objective of the manuscript is to identify the traits within soil bacterial and archaeal species that can distinguish their lifestyle and niche occupancy. Genome sequence of 175 taxa from 11 Phyla and 35 Families were used for analysis. The findings strongly suggested a non-random distribution of traits across phylogenetic lineages. Random forest analysis further identified traits that were directly related to niche colonization, substrate use efficiency and metabolism within phylogenetic lineages.

I enjoyed reading the manuscript. The manuscript fits very well within the scope of journal. Proper statistical tests have been performed to reach the conclusions. Manuscript is very well written and presented. I have few suggestions that will further strengthen the manuscript.

Comment:

1. There has been some work that has explored the genomic basis of tropic lifestyle in soil bacteria. This work should be cited and discussed appropriately. e.g. Trivedi et al. 2013. Trends in Microbiology.

Response:

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for their generous comments. In regard to this specific comment, references and discussions of Trivedi et al., 2013 have been incorporated several times throughout the manuscript, for example:

Ln 121: "A genomic basis for traits associated with soils dominated by putative copiotrophs and oligotrophs has been expertly reviewed elsewhere, and interested readers are referred to Trivedi *et al.*, 2013 and references therein."

Ln 639: "Comparative genomics analyses have also identified Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia as being enriched in numerous enzymes for cellulose, hemicellulose and starch catabolism (Trivedi *et al.*, 2013)."

And Ln 674: "Comparative genomics analyses of soil bacteria have also found putatively copiotrophic Proteobacteria and Firmicutes to be particularly enriched in PTS and ABC transporters (Trivedi *et al.*, 2013)."

Furthermore, the study by Barbéran et al., 2012 to define 'generalist' and 'specialist' soil taxa based on co-occurrence has been incorporated in the Introducion:

Ln 86: "... identifying habitat generalists and specialists based on taxon co-occurrence patterns (Barbéran *et al.*, 2012) ..."

Comment:

2. Only five strains from family level classification were selected. Authors have mentioned multiple times that some families will have a significant phylogenetic

diversity within strains, while for others, it will be restricted. How do these differences impact overall results? What was the criteria of selecting only 5 and not more?

Response:

A minimum of five genomes per taxonomic group were selected to accommodate Thaumarchaeota, Verrucomicrobia Chloroflexi and some others, which had the least number of publicly available sequenced genomes of soil taxa. We specifically wanted to avoid generating a dataset which favoured frequently isolated and sequenced soil organisms (e.g. Bacilli, Rhizobia) and thus five genomes per group were used to balance statistical analyses. This is mentioned at line 177 in the Methods section, under Collection of terrestrial prokaryote genomes.

Questions 2 and 3 from the reviewer have prompted additional analyses to quantify the phylogenetic (dis)similarity of taxonomic groups based on full length 16S rRNA genes, and to compare this to trait similarity (*C*). The 16S genes were aligned with MUSCLE, simple Neighbour Joining trees were constructed in R with ape/phangorn packages, and phylogenetic distance (*P*) calculated as per Equation 1, excepting that *P* is in units of gene sequence similarity as opposed to Bray-Curtis distance for trait similarity (*C*). Important considerations between *P* and *C* are as follows:

1) Taxa were originally chosen in the hope of maximising P (and presumably C) per Family by choosing different Genera where possible. This was not always possible, and ultimately choices were constrained by what genomes were publicly available. However, looking at values of P per Phylum and Family (Supplementary Figure 2), there does not appear to be a consistent relationship between Families where different Genera were included and P. For example, Lactobacillaceae consisted of 5 *Lactobacillus* spp. (P of 0.772) whereas the Beijerinckiaceae consisted of 4 different genera that appear to be actually more similar to each other in regard to the 16S rRNA gene (P of 0.852). In retrospect this lack of consistent variation in phylogenetic distance between taxa based on pre-existing nomenclature should not be a surprise at it is the reason for the efforts of the researchers involved with the Genome Taxonomy Database who seek to standardise the current state of microbial taxonomy.

2) There is a very nice linear relationship between *P* and *C* when considering taxa at both the Phyla and Family levels (Supplementary Figure 3). As implied by the reviewer, this will mean any Families that consist of more closely related taxa (*i.e.* high P) will be more likely to be similar in regard to their traits.

3) The importance of this underlying relationship between the variation in phylogenetic distance between taxa in a group and the results of the Random Forest models is, however, uncertain. Supplementary Table 3 shows the Confusion Matrix for the Family level Random Forest. Some Families with the poorest predictive capacity (< 50% taxa correctly predicted) had quite high P (> 0.8), e.g. Bradyrhizobiaceae, Cellulomonadaceae. Some Families with low P (< 0.7) had poor predictive capacity,

e.g. Divergent Acidobacteria. Conversely, Families that had the highest predictive capacity (100%) ranged in *P* from 0.68 - 0.9. It is the opinion of the authors that the presence of specific, unique and consistent traits in a taxonomic group is far more important for the RF results than the underlying relationship between phylogenetic distance and trait composition. For example, the five Methanogen taxa had very low phylogenetic distance (0.68) yet the RF was perfectly accurate in classifying them, probably due to their methanogen metabolism and Eukaryote-like genetic traits.

Nevertheless, we feel the reviewer raises a very important point when considering taxon selection for these types of comparative genomic analyses, and in future studies we shall place emphasis on standardising phylogenetic distance within groups. The following has been added to the manuscript to highlight this:

In the Methods section 2.3 discussing UPGMA of trait similarity analysis:

Ln 291: "Furthermore, the full length 16S rRNA gene of each taxon was collated from NCBI. Genes were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and a Neighbour-Joining phylogenetic tree was constructed with the 'phangorn' package in R. Phylogenetic distance present in taxonomic groups (P) was measured as per Equation 1., excepting that branch length was in units of DNA sequence similarity as opposed to Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Finally, simple linear regression was used to test a relationship between P and C."

In the Results section discussing the UPGMA trait tree and RF models:

Ln 364: "A Neighbour-Joining tree of full length 16S rRNA genes showed that all taxa clustered preferentially based on their taxonomic nomenclature at high taxonomic rank, including the Chloroflexi, indicating that the discrepancies in the UPGMA were not due to misclassification of the individual taxa (Supplementary Figure 2a)."

Ln 388: "Phylogenetic distance (*P*) of each taxonomic group increased with decreasing taxonomic rank, and was highest in Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes Families (Supplementary Figure 2b). There was a strong positive linear relationship between *P* and *C* (y = 0.86x - 0.25, $R^2 = 0.39$, p < 0.001) demonstrating that taxonomic groups of closer related taxa tended to share more similar compositions of traits."

Ln 412: "Random Forest models were robust against variation in P within Families, for example the nine families with 0% classification error ranged in P from the lowest (0.68) to highest (0.9)."

And finally in the Discussion section in regard to the robustness and interpretation of the Random Forest approach:

Ln 643: "Ideally the selection of individual taxa within groups for such future comparative analyses would also be standardised based on phylogenetic distance,

 either with *P* or a similar method, which would improve the robustness of trait-based comparisons at such a fine taxonomic level."

Comment:

3. What were the criteria of selection of 5 species within a family e.g., phylogenetic distance?

Response:

As mentioned above, the selection criteria of the five taxa per Family was based primarily on public availability of genomes. However, the authors acknowledge and fully agree with the reviewers point that phylogenetic distance should be considered as a means to standardise selection of taxa. While we are confident that our methods are robust to the underlying relationship between *P* and *C* in the coarse Phyla and Family taxonomic groups analysed here, we will incorporate a method for standardising taxon selection in future studies that consider a finer detail of niche differentiation between closely related Species and Strains.

Comment:

4. Lines 165-166: I like the idea of choosing strains that perform particular functions. However, these functions are performed by specific bacterial lineages. My question is whether these biased selections for traits inflate the closeness within a group. Or in other words, if the other members within the families of the selected functional groups were selected, do the results would have been the same?

Response:

This is an interesting question, and fortunately our results have several functional groups that can be compared to address it. For example, to our knowledge, all characterised members of Nitrosomonadaceae are chemolithoautotrophic nitrifiers while the Cyanobacteria perform photosynthesis. Thus, the relatively high value of *C* measured here for these groups that share common functions is not surprising. However, trait variation certainly exists within other functional groups, for example the five Methanogens (isolated from diverse anaerobic habitats including rice rhizosphere, tropical and boreal wetlands) had an intermediate value of *C*. Perhaps the best example is that the inclusion of functionally distinct methanotrophs, methylotrophs and heterotrophs within the Beijerinckiaceae did not have a negative effect on its *C*, which yielded one of the highest values (discussed at Ln 524). Ultimately trait composition will be influenced by a taxon's functional group (e.g. ammonia oxidiser, heterotroph, etc), its evolutionary life-history (as a very general example Bacteria, Archaea) and the environment from where the taxon was isolated.

We have included a statement this effect in the Discussion, which is an interesting and important concept to consider:

Ln 569: "The differing values of *C* for Methanogen and photosynthetic Cyanobacteria functional groups (0.33 and 0.44, respectively) is also worthy of note. Despite all five taxa in each group performing the same core role in a community, the individual isolates came from varying environments. The methanogens were isolated from a range of geographically separate wetlands, rice paddy soil and farm slurry and, while the Cyanobacteria were also isolated from geographically separate environments, they were all from sandy deserts or other nutrient poor, arid soils (Supplementary Table 1 and references therein). Ultimately a taxon's trait composition will be affected by its functional role in a community, its evolutionary life-history (*e.g.* Beijerinckiaceae described above) and its local environment."

Comment:

5. Like Lauro et al. 2009, is there a way to do a self-organizing map or a constrained analysis showing the separation of different phylogenetic groups according to the traits?

Response:

The constrained analyses of Lauro *et al.*, 2009 revolved around the *a priori* classification of one genome as 'copiotrophic' and one genome as 'oligotrophic'. Unknown genomes were then placed somewhere between these two based on similarity of shared traits. In this study we specifically sought to avoid any preconceived *a priori* assumptions of 'taxon A is a copiotroph', and instead opted for unconstrained, exploratory cluster-based statistical techniques (*e.g.* dendrograms, Random Forest) that would let the data speak for itself. We hoped that the UPGMA dendrogram (Figure 2a) would be the simplest and most flexible way to demonstrate relationships between different phylogenetic groups and their trait composition, while the clustering of taxonomic groups based on the counts of specific copiotroph-oligotroph traits would validate whether certain taxa could be considered copiotrophic (Figure 4).

Comment:

6. Based on the results, can you substantiate the phylogenetic grouping of copiotroph/oligotroph groups? I think yes, there is much information, but I would like to have a strong statement suggesting that based on the results, the inclusion of X, Y, and Z phylum as copiotroph was validated. Then can you resolve the debate about the inclusion of groups such as Alpha and betaproteobacteria as copiotroph/oligotroph (Lines 104-105). See 5 as the analysis suggested there can help you to address this question. This information will help the researchers that want to link microbial community composition to soil processes, particularly soil C cycling.

Response:

 A definitive statement outlining which groups were confirmed as copiotrophs and oligotrophs has been included in the Discussion. This also explicitly links to how these results can give insight into soil processes such as C cycling:

Ln 872: "These results support previous observations that Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae are copiotrophic, while Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae and Acidobacteria are oligotrophic (Ho et al., 2017 and references therein). As has been proposed previously, the dominance of these groups in certain soils can provide inferences for ecosystem processes in that system, for example soils dominated by Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria will have greater capacity to degrade complex plant material while retaining most catabolised carbon in biomass (*i.e.* high growth or carbon use efficiency) or excreted byproducts that assist in soil aggregation (e.g. high LPS production) (Trivedi et al., 2013). Conversely, soils dominated by copiotrophic Proteobacteria Families will be systems primarily dependent on labile di- and monosaccharides that demonstrate low carbon use efficiency."

Resolving the outstanding question of taxonomic groups that do not fit into the classical copiotroph-oligotroph framework is addressed further below as a conceptual figure (Comment 11).

Comment:

7. One important trait that distinguish copiotrophs/oligotrophs is the carbon use efficiency (CUE). There have been some efforts to incorporate microbial CUE to parameterize models for soil carbon. While CUE's topic is touched briefly in Introduction, it has not been explicitly discussed in later sections.

Response:

Carbon use efficiency has now been mentioned in regard to the specific copiotrophic/oligotrophic taxonomic groups that will have a high CUE (please see the above response to Comment 6).

Comment:

8. Authors talk about MAGs in the discussion section. It will be worth discussing how MAGs will help to elaborate similar studies that is reported. Where we are and what needs to be done further?

Response:

The authors agree that this is worth expanding upon. The following two statements have been added to the Discussion to better incorporate the value of MAGs and current gaps for trait-based analyses:

Ln 560: "The generation of MAGs has emerged as a useful tool for identifying traits necessary for life in such environments, and particularly for expanding knowledge of severely under-represented, difficult to culture taxonomic groups. For example, the recent reconstruction of 52, 515 MAGs from a wide range of host-associated and environmental metagenomes was able to increase genomic information of Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia by 79% and 68%, respectively (Nayfach et al., 2021). Importantly, both 16S rRNA gene surveys and MAGs demonstrate that some functional traits that facilitate life under certain environmental conditions are intrinsically linked to taxonomy."

Ln 970: "However, to truly unravel differentiated niches and general microbial life strategies, two limitations must be overcome. Firstly, a better understanding of the many 'Uncharacterised' traits in environmental isolates is required. For example, the recent large-scale MAG study by Nayfach *et al.*, (2021) identified 5.8 million protein clusters (traits), of which over 75% could not be annotated meaningfully by current protein databases. Secondly, robust trait-based analyses down to the finer scale of distinct genomes will likely be necessary to consider how individual members of a community have either differentiated in order to co-exist or are in the throes of competition that will ultimately exclude one of the competitors."

Comment:

9. Based on the results, where will you fit archaea (copiotrophs or oligotrophs)?

Response:

The authors are hesitant to place Archaea as either copiotrophs or oligotrophs as we consider the one-dimensional framework to be insufficient for describing niche. Please see further below for a detailed response (Comment 11).

Comment:

10. How this type of data will be used to distill the complexity within the soil microbiome functions? Can we use the functional signatures to determine the metabolic potential of microbial communities from metagenome data? How can this information help us to incorporate microbial activities into process models? I suggest touching on these in conclusions.

Response:

Again, this will be addressed further in Comment 11.

Comment:

11. One of the hallmarks of impactful papers is to move beyond information to
knowledge. Can you think of making a conceptual figure showing different genes and how they are responsible for different lifestyles or niche colonization? This is just a thought as I understand how difficult it can be.

Response:

The authors particularly appreciated this comment, and in particular the expression 'moving beyond information to knowledge'! Indeed, our attempts to consider a conceptual figure that conveys our results in a simple manner has been extremely helpful in further synthesising them.

Figure 5 is now a conceptual diagram that attempts to show how the five identified clades overlap based on their trait composition. This is either as a) a one-dimensional axis of 'resource investment', analogous to the classical dichotomy of copiotropholigotroph, and b) a multi-dimensional space where clades are further separated based on traits for both 'resource investment' and different means of 'resource acquisition'. By considering both investment and acquisition together, we hope to show that the clades show less overlap in space, which would theoretically allow them to occupy separate niches and co-exist. The final section of the Discussion, from Ln 916, has been expanded to discuss this figure and its significance for interpreting microbial niche.

In regard to Comment 10, which asks how our results may provide signatures for process-based models or linking to community function, we have chosen the BRITE categories in Figure 5 to act as signatures for defining, for example, Rhizobiaceae as 'copiotrophic competitors'. This is explicitly stated:

Ln 929: "Figure 5b is a conceptual diagram of niche space where clades have been further separated along additional axes based on their enrichment of traits involved in competition, degradation or specialised metabolic pathways. The BRITE categories listed on the various axes are chosen to be useful markers in predicting the niche of a taxon. This expanded niche space would suggest taxa in Clade I are well equipped for nutrient acquisition (primarily, but not limited to, di- and monosaccharides), rapid growth and oxidative stress regulation as 'copiotrophic competitors'. ..."

And finally, specifically in regard to whether Archaea should be copiotrophs or oligotrophs:

Ln 956: "The large space conceptualised for Clade V in Figure 5b, which does not imply either copiotrophic or oligotrophic resource investment, is an oversimplification and with improved understanding of traits in these taxa it may be possible to fracture and further separate them into more detailed groups. This would prove particularly beneficial for the poorly characterised Archaea."

Comment:

12. I appreciate the authors of acknowledging the tedious work of other researchers on culturing. This is very important.

Response:

Of course we are happy to do so, as it is essential work.

to per period

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1 2	Functional trait relationships demonstrate generalisable life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes.
	3	
8	4	Damien R. Finn ^{1,2,3} , Benoît Bergk-Pinto ² , Christina Hazard ² , Graeme W. Nicol ² ,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5	Christoph C. Tebbe ³ , Timothy M. Vogel ²
	6	
	7	¹ School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane,
	8	Australia 4072;
	9	² Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon,
	10	Université de Lyon, Écully, France 69134;
	11	³ Thünen Institut für Biodiversität, Johann Heinrich von Thünen Institut,
	12	Braunschweig, Germany 38116.
23 24	13	
25 26	14	
27	15	Author correspondence:
28 29	16	Damien Finn; current address: Thünen Institut für Biodiversität, Braunschweig,
30 31	17	Germany 38116; email address: damien.finn@thuenen.de
32	18	
33 34	19	Keywords:
35 36	20	Theoretical ecology, niche differentiation, copiotroph-oligotroph, Random Forest
37 38	21	modelling
39 10	22	
40 41	23	
42 43	24	Abstract
44 45	25	Functional, physiological traits are the underlying drivers of niche differentiation. A
46	26	common framework related to niches occupied by terrestrial prokaryotes is based on
47 48 49 50 51	27	copiotrophy or oligotrophy, where genomic resource investment is primarily in either
	28	metabolism rapid growth or stress tolerance, respectively. A quantitative trait-based
	29	approach was employed to demonstrate quantitativesought relationships between
53	30	taxa, traits and niche in terrestrial prokaryotes. With 175 taxa from 11 Phyla and 35
54 55 56 57 58 59 60	31	Families ($n = 5$ per Family), traits were considered as discrete counts of shared
	32	genome-encoded proteins. The composition of 220 664 traits Trait composition strongly
	33	supported non-random trait functional distributions as preferential clustering of related
	34	taxa via unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean. Trait similarity between
		-

taxa increased as taxonomic rank decreased. A suite of Random Forest models identified traits significantly enriched or depleted in taxonomic groups. Many traits These traits conveyed functions related to metabolism rapid growth, nutrient acquisition and stress tolerance consistent with their presence in copiotroph-oligotroph niches. Finally, hHierarchical clustering of these traits identified a clade of competitive, copiotrophic Families resilient to oxidative stress versus glycosyltransferase-enriched oligotrophic Families resistant to antimicrobials and environmental stress. However, the formation of five clades, including an Actinobacteria and 'specialised metabolic function' clade, warrants suggested a more nuanced view of 'life strategies' to describe niche differentiation in terrestrial systems is necessary. We suggest considering traits involved in both resource investment and acquisition when predicting niche.

1. Introduction

Niche differentiation, the process of physiologically distinct organisms adapting better to certain conditions, is a contributing factor to the high biodiversity inherent in microbial communities (Prosser, 2012). Such differentiation is likely an inevitable consequence of the principles of competitive exclusion and natural selection working in tandem – no two organisms can theoretically occupy the same niche, as the poorer competitor must either adapt to a unique niche or be driven to extinction in that system (Gause, 1932, Hutchinson, 1957, Leibold, 1995). The physiological traits driving niche differentiation must have the capacity to convey an advantage to the organism's ability to survive and reproduce (*i.e.* fitness) and be inherited by successive generations (McGill et al., 2006). Importantly, this implies that microbial communities are not only diverse in terms of individual 16S rRNA gene sequences, commonly used to assess community diversity, but also diverse in regard to their physiological traits.

Explaining niche differentiation through the functional, physiological traits present in ecological community members has a long history in macroecology. For example, differences between beak size and shape differed between in Galápagos finches was instrumental in Darwin's hypothesis that a common ancestor had differentiated into multiple, island-specific species. Within the past century, trait-based analyses have been particularly predominant in plant ecology, with seed germination in submerged soil, salt tolerance, carbon to nitrogen biomass stoichiometry, and leaf mass per unit area acting as examples of traits linked to niche differentiation (Gleason, 1926, Grime,

1979, Keddy, 1992). In contrast, trait-based approaches to explain microbial ecology

> have only been performed in few instances, such as conceptualizing niches of methanotrophs based on abundance in high versus low methane environments or disturbed versus undisturbed soils (Ho et al., 2013), correlating increasing growth rate with increasing ribosomal gene and ribosome-associated gene copy number (Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010), deterministic modelling of nitrification rate based on ammonia and oxygen uptake rate, temperature sensitivity and growth rate (Bouskill et al., 2012), defining distinct niches of 32 marine microorganisms based on clustering of genome-encoded functional proteins (Lauro et al., 2009), identifying habitat generalists and specialists based on taxon co-occurrence patterns (Barbéran et al., 2012) and recently comparisons of 23 'core' traits (e.g. motility, carbon metabolism, optimal pH for growth) across 15 000 diverse host-associated and environmental genomes (Madin et al., 2020).

A consistent trend noted in macroecology is that traits linked to how carbon and energy is processed and allocated to biomass can describe separate niches (Brown et al., 2004). The canonical example are r and K strategists, where carbon and energy are primarily invested in reproduction, or alternatively invested in tolerating biotic and/or abiotic stressors, respectively (Grime, 1977). These dichotomous strategies have been observed in microbial ecology: copiotrophs are considered as microorganisms with relatively high growth rates that have relatively poor growth efficiency (as carbon incorporated to biomass per unit resource), relatively high cell maintenance energy costs, dependence on relatively high concentrations of organic carbon in their environment, demonstrate rapid population blooms upon the addition of organic matter and are not overly tolerant of abiotic stress (Semenov, 1991, Koch, 2001, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Ho et al., 2017). Oligotrophs are considered as the inverse - low growth rate, high growth efficiency, low cell maintenance energy requirements, high substrate uptake affinity, slow growth at a slow yet at a consistent rate and are resilient to abiotic stress. Although the niche concept in macroecology has a formalized definition founded on where a taxon can maintain a stable population within multi-dimensional environmental space (Leibold, 1995), in this study, niche is used simply to distinguish between prokaryotes being relatively more copiotrophic versus oligotrophic.

⁵⁸ 101 These distinct niches became associated with specific terrestrial taxa at high
 ⁶⁰ 102 taxonomic rank based on recent molecular analyses. In complex microbial

communities, the relative abundance of Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria were correlated with rapid growth in response to the addition of labile organic matter or nitrogen (copiotrophs) (Fierer et al., 2007, Goldfarb et al., 2011, Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015). Conversely, the Deltaproteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes were negatively correlated with the addition of organic matter or nitrogen (oligotrophs) (Fierer et al., 2007, Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015, Bastida et al., 2016). Conflicting reports exist of Beta- and Alphaproteobacteria, with some studies describing them as copiotrophic and others as oligotrophic highlighting that a consistent niche may not necessarily exist across species within a large taxonomic group (Ho et al., 2017). A genomic basis for traits associated with soils dominated by putative copiotrophs and oligotrophs has been expertly reviewed elsewhere, and interested readers are referred to Trivedi et al., (2013) and references therein. Importantly, these observations suggest that specific traits that allow terrestrial prokaryotes to occupy these two niches should (generally) be associated with taxonomy. This is an example of ecological coherence at high taxonomic rank, whereby members within a taxon tend to have similar life strategies, niches and possess common traits compared to members of other taxa (Philippot et al., 2010). While ecological coherence of taxa has been considered previously, the shared, specific traits that drive niche differentiation in terrestrial prokaryotes remains an open question.

To identify the traits that differ between terrestrial prokaryote taxonomic groups, and whether these traits could describe the niches they occupy, a functional trait-based approach was adopted here. We posited that a trait must: a) be associated with a physiological process that conveys a fitness advantage under certain environmental conditions; b) be measurable in well-defined units; and c) vary more between taxonomic groups than within a taxonomic group (McGill et al., 2006, Kearney et al., 2010). Traits were measured as discrete counts of chromosome-encoded proteins shared between at least two of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes. Markov Chain clustering (MCL) was used to group proteins as traits based on amino acid sequence similarity (%) akin to a previous approach that confirmed close taxonomic relatives tend to share functional traits in 1, 374 genomes (Zhu et al., 2015). This was necessary to compare highly similar (but non-identical) proteins from separate genomes that carry out the same biological function. To better identify important, distinguishing traits of terrestrial prokaryotes, this study differed from Zhu et al., by: a) comparing 175 publicly available Page 115 of 147

terrestrial prokaryote genomes from 35 Families (n = 5 each), from 11 Phyla and two Kingdoms; b) the selecting prokaryotes selected are all involved in terrestrial ecosystem processes of interest, including organic matter decomposition, nitrogen fixation, nitrification, denitrification, methane oxidation, plant-growth promotion, bioremediation of pollutants, pathogenesis and methanogenesis; c) selected selecting taxa were isolated from a wide range of terrestrial environments, such as nutrient rich decomposing plant material and rhizosphere, submerged wetland and rice paddy soils, polluted soils, and nutrient poor hot and cold arid environments; and d) avoiding the inclusion of multiple subspecies and/or strains of a single species was specifically avoided to prevent biases in analyses where highly over-represented species are compared with species that have fewer cultured representatives. The taxonomic system used here is from the NCBI, which is built upon a historical array of culture-dependent, physiological observations and genetic similarity to cultured isolates as average nucleotide identity, DNA-DNA hybridisation or 16S rRNA gene homology (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser). The use of this classification system and comparison to others is discussed further below.

We hypothesised that: 1) traits are non-randomly distributed, with relatively closely related taxa demonstrating greater similarity than unrelated taxa (ecological coherence); 2) traits that are differentially enriched between taxonomic groups would primarily be involved in metabolism, nutrient acquisition and/or tolerating environmental stress; and 3) copiotrophic and oligotrophic taxonomic groups would emerge based on collective trait enrichment.

2. Methodology

2.1 Collection of terrestrial prokaryote genomes

A collection of 175 sequenced and annotated genomes was collated (Supplementary Table 1). Listed are the genome ID, phylogenetic lineage, role in an ecosystem process if known, and isolation or genome sequencing reference. These genomes were sourced from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and Joint Genome Institute (JGI) databases. Genomes were chosen based on several criteria: a) five isolates per Family were chosen to have an equal minimum sample size per group, with this sample size being constrained by sequenced genomes of under-represented groups in public databases; b) only a single subspecies/strain per species was included to avoid bias due to over-representation of some species in public databases; c) an emphasis was placed to include isolates from diverse taxonomic lineages involved in terrestrial ecosystem processes of interest, such as ammonia oxidation and methanogenesis; and d) there was an emphasis to include taxonomic stated to be either copiotrophic (e.g. groups frequently Actinobacteria. Gammaproteobacteria) or oligotrophic (e.g. Acidobacteria, Planctomycetes) based on observations from soil nutrient addition studies (Ho et al., 2017). Taxonomic annotations for Phyla, Class, Order etc. were based on NCBI taxonomy as most genomes were sourced there. The authors recognise that taxonomy is constantly shifting, particularly so with the recent development of the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (Parks et al., 2018). Of note is that the vast majority of taxa here have the same taxonomy in NCBI as in GTDB, with the exceptions that GTDB considers the Sporomusaceae as split into three separate Families, the Leuconostocaceae to be Lactobacillaceae, the Promicromonosporaceae to be Cellulomonadaceae, and the Bradyrhizobiaceae and Methylococcaceae have been renamed as Xanthobacteraceae and Methylomonadaceae, respectively. Taxon selection was constrained by availability of genomes for under-represented groups, such as the Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. To meet the n = 5 requirement for balanced statistical analyses, it was necessary to consider these under-represented groups as 'Families'. Furthermore, due to the great diversity inherent within Proteobacterial Classes, Gamma-, Alpha-, Beta-and Deltaproteobacteria were considered as independent 'Phyla' for statistical analyses here. Indeed, GTDB now defines Deltaproteobacteria as its own Phylum, while Betaproteobacteria are considered as the Burkholderiales Order within the Gammaproteobacteria. The total of 175 genomes analysed here falls within the upper range of previous hypothesis-driven trait-based studies which varies from 11 isolates (Bouskill et al., 2012) to 214 genomes (Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010).

50 198 51 199

200 2.2 Functional trait clustering

A step-by-step walkthrough of reproducible code to perform the following analyses on a subset of 12 genomes is available at: https://github.com/DamienFinn/Traitbased_analyses. Firstly, a pairwise similarity comparison of all amino acid sequences (964 951 sequences) across the 175 genomes was performed with the all *versus* all

basic local alignment tool function for proteins, BLAST-P (Altschul et al., 1990). Amino acid sequences were subsequently clustered as traits via MCL weighted by pairwise amino acid similarity (Enright et al., 2002). Functional traits were grouped at a cluster value of 90.2, whereby > 65 is considered 'fair' and confidence in accurately separating clusters cannot be higher than 100. The value of 90.2 is not chosen by the user but rather is a reflection of the guality of clustering in a given dataset. The MCL identified a total of 220 664 traits shared between at least two genomes. A random subset of 1700 amino acid sequences were selected and the similarity of each sequence within its trait group (as determined by MCL) versus between other trait groups was visualised as a box and whisker plot (Supplementary Figure 1) in R version 4.0.0 (R Core Team, 2013). 1 700 sequences were chosen to maximise comparisons between trait groups under technical limitations, as increasing sequences led to exponential increases in trait combinations. A Student's T test was applied to determine whether sequences were more similar within their trait group relative to between trait groups in R. Finally, a matrix of genome x functional trait was generated in a two-step process by first associating genome IDs to the MCL output with a novel script 'MCLtoReshape2.py' (available at the above Github address) and secondly by casting the long data format to a wide data matrix with the 'reshape2' package in R (Wickham, 2007). Box and whisker plots comparing counts of proteins per genome (input) and counts of functional traits shared by at least two genomes (output of computational workflow), for the 35 Families, is presented as Figure 1.

2.3 UPGMA dendrogram of trait similarity between genomes

The unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) was chosen to compare distance-based similarity between taxa based on discrete counts of individual traits per genome. This method is more robust for comparing similarity between sample units (*i.e.* taxa) based on discrete counts of variables (*i.e.* individual traits per taxon) rather than neighbour joining or maximum likelihood methods better suited for DNA or amino acid sequence comparisons (Weins, 1998). The UPGMA was performed in R with the 'phangorn' package as described (Schliep et al., 2017) on a Bray-Curtis transformed dissimilarity functional trait matrix, generated with the 'vegdist' function in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2013). To measure ecological coherence (C) of taxa within shared Super Groups, Phyla and Families, a similarity index was adapted

from Levins' Overlap (Finn *et al.*, 2020<u>a</u>), which measures pairwise similarity in distributions of taxa, as the following:

$$C = 1 - (\frac{\sum b_{ij}}{n^2})$$
 Equation 1.

Where b_{ij} is the pairwise branch length between taxon *i* and *j* in the UPGMA tree, measured here as Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, which is summed for each taxon and its relatives within a shared Super Group, Phylum or Family, and where *n* is the number of taxa being compared within a shared Super Group, Phylum or Family.

Furthermore, the full length 16S rRNA gene of each taxon was collated from NCBI.
 Genes were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and a neighbour joining phylogenetic
 tree was constructed with the 'phangorn' package in R. Phylogenetic distance present
 in taxonomic groups (*P*) was measured as per Equation 1., excepting that branch
 length was in units of DNA sequence similarity as opposed to Bray-Curtis dissimilarity.
 Finally, simple linear regression was used to test a relationship between *P* and *C*.

30 254 32 255

256 2.4 Functional trait annotation

To inform the biological process a functional trait facilitated, traits were annotated with the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. This was performed in five steps: 1) a representative amino acid sequence from each trait was extracted with the novel script 'IdentifyTraits.py'; 2) these sequences were annotated with KEGG Orthology (KO) terms using the BlastKOALA database algorithm with a bit score cut-off value of 75 (Kanehisa et al., 2016); 3) BRITE functional heirarchies associated with each KO term (e.g. KO1179 gene: endoglucanase, BRITE 1: Metabolism, BRITE 2: Carbohydrate Metabolism, BRITE 3: Starch and Sucrose Metabolism) were collected with the novel script 'GetBRITEinfo.py'; 4) Genome ID, trait ID, KO term and BRITE metadata were all collated with the novel script 'MatchFCs.py'; and 5) the 'reshape2' package in R was used to create matrices of genome x BRITE hierarchy. Where KEGG was unable to annotate a trait, it was considered as 'Uncharacterised'. As above, all novel scripts and a step-by-step walkthrough of reproducible code is available https://github.com/DamienFinn/Trait-at: based analyses.

274 2.5 Identifying traits differentially enriched in taxonomic groups

Random Forest classification was chosen as a non-linear, multivariate cluster-based method capable of identifying numerous predictor variables (i.e. traits) that define different classes of a response variable (*i.e.* taxonomic group). This was performed with the 'randomForest' package as described (Liaw & Weiner, 2002). Discrete counts of traits at BRITE level 3 were used (e.g. Starch and Sucrose Metabolism) as this level had the most accurate resolution of biological processes facilitated by traits. A total of six Random Forest models were optimised to classify taxonomic groups at the level of: a) Phylum, with Proteobacteria Classes separated due to their extensive diversity (n =14); b) Family (n = 35); c) specifically for Families in the Proteobacteria (n = 13); d) Families in the Actinobacteria (n = 7); e) Families in the Firmicutes (n = 5); and f) Families from 'Under-represented' groups, which were all other Families (n = 10). Optimal numbers of trees grown for each model were: 300, 400, 320, 300, 300 and 400, respectively. Six traits were randomly selected at each branch. As the Random Forest only identifies traits that best explain separation of taxonomic groups, and does not show whether traits have positive or negative associations with groups, box and whisker plots and Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc tests were performed with the 'agricolae' package (de Mendiburu, 2014) to definitively state which taxonomic groups were significantly enriched or depleted in traits identified via Random Forest.

³⁹ **29**4

41 295

296 2.6 Hierarchical clustering of Families by defining traits

Finally, the relationship between Families based on similarity in counts of 60 traits was assessed via hierarchical clustering. Traits were chosen based on being selected via the above Random Forest models in this study, and from previous studies that identified traits associated with copiotroph-oligotroph growth strategies in single species or mixed communities (Lauro et al., 2009, Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Pascual-Garcia & Bell, 2020). The mean of trait discrete counts in the five Family members was used as representative of each Family. Comparing trait means between Families was considered acceptable as prior LSD post hoc tests had demonstrated significant differences between Families. As traits had highly variable copy numbers per Family (e.g. ABC transporter trait copies ranged from 10 – 350,

while bacterial chemotaxis traits ranged from 0 - 15 copies) the trait copies were normalised for more appropriate comparisons. Normalised variance was calculated across the 35 Families for all traits with the 'decostand' function in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2013). Hierarchical clustering of Families based on normalised trait counts was visualised with the 'heatmap.2' function in the 'gplots' package (Warnes et al., 2019).

- 3. Results
- 3.1 Trait clustering and UPGMA

The 964 951 amino acid sequences encoded by the 175 genomes were clustered as 220 664 traits by MCL. A random subset of 1 700 traits showed that amino acid sequence similarity within traits ranged from 62.4, 82.5 and 100% for the 1st guartile, mean and 3rd quartile, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). Amino acid sequence similarity between traits ranged from 27.6, 34 and 37.8% for the 1st guartile, mean and 3rd quartile, respectively. A Student's T test found that sequences grouped together as a trait were significantly more similar to each other than to sequences grouped as different traits (t value = 61.3, $p = 2 \times 10^{-16}$). Manual comparisons of amino acid sequences within several traits supported clustering of proteins with identical biological function based on KEGG annotation. Thus, the MCL was considered to perform well. However, the minimum amino acid sequence similarity within traits was 23.27% and maximum similarity between traits was 85.24%, indicating that across the 220 664 traits, a small proportion of dissimilar amino acid sequences were grouped as a trait incorrectly, while some amino acid sequences that were highly similar were considered different traits. This small number of incorrectly clustered sequences can be explained by the MCL clustering efficiency being 90.2, out of a possible 100.

A comparison of the number of proteins per genome, per Family at the Family level B34 (mean = 5 533), found that there were fewer functional traits per genome (mean = 4240) (Figure 1). These represent the input number of proteins per genome before trait clustering and the output number of traits after clustering, respectively. As only functional traits shared between at least two genomes were considered here, the loss of highly genome-specific traits that could not be compared between genomes was expected. Despite this drop in average traits per genome, this initial approach serves B40 as a proof of concept to demonstrate that numbers of traits per genome at the Family

B41 <u>level</u> reflect trends in proteins per Family, and thus the MCL was not distorting trait
clustering (Figure 1).

The UPGMA dendrogram comparing the 220 664 traits per genome showed that trait compositions were non-randomly distributed (Figure 2a). Specifically. Thaumarchaeota, Eurvarchaeota, Acidobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Cvanobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Deltaproteobacteria clustered together preferentially. The Chloroflexi were split into two clusters: one Dehalococcoides and one Ktedonobacter/Herpetosiphon/Anaerolinea cluster. Several prokaryotes did not cluster with their high taxonomic rank, including a Planctomycetes bacterium, Polyangium brachysporum (Deltaproteobacteria), Agreia pratensis (Actinobacteria) and Sporomusa ovata (Firmicutes). Also of interest was that, in regard to distance between terminal nodes (as noted by the scale bar), the Betaproteobacteria Gammaproteobacteria were more similar to each other than and the Alphaproteobacteria, which formed its own large, diverse clade. A neighbour joining tree of full length 16S rRNA genes showed that all taxa clustered preferentially based on their taxonomic nomenclature at high taxonomic rank, including the Chloroflexi, indicating that the discrepancies in the UPGMA were not due to misclassification of the individual taxa (Supplementary Figure 2a).

A simple index to measure trait similarity, as ecological coherence (C), within groups was devised (Equation 1). C increased as taxonomic rank decreased: Super Group < Phylum < Family (Figure 2b). C was lowest for the larger, more diverse Proteobacteria and Terrabacteria (Super Group), and Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Phylum). As the number of taxa being compared at the Super Group (e.g. Terrabacteria = 70 versus Acidobacteria = 10) and Phylum (e.g. Actinobacteria = 35 versus Thaumarchaeota = 5) were variable, the most meaningful comparisons between groups are at the Family level (n = 5 each). With the exception of the highly divergent 'Acidobacteria Lineage', all Families had a C greater than 0.3, with certain groups in the Alphaproteobacteria (Beijerinckiaceae), Firmicutes (Bacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae) and Actinobacteria (Promicromonosporaceae) being highly coherent (C > 0.55). Indeed, all individual Proteobacterial Families had C > 0.4, indicating that all five taxa within each of these Families had similar trait compositions. Despite not truly belonging to the same Family as per NCBI taxonomy, the C > 0.335 of the five Cyanobacteria, Thaumarchaeota and the Methanogen Lineage taxa was similar to other Families from the Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. Thus, the UPGMA demonstrated that taxonomic
relatives at the Phylum level tended to cluster with each other preferentially based on
trait composition, and secondly that while similarity was broadly highest at low
taxonomic rank, some Families were more coherent than others.

Phylogenetic distance (*P*) of each taxonomic group increased with decreasing taxonomic rank, and was highest in Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes Families (Supplementary Figure 2b). There was a strong positive linear relationship between *P* and *C* (y = 0.86x - 0.25, $R^2 = 0.39$, p < 0.001) supporting the result that taxonomic groups of closer related taxa tended to share more similar compositions of traits.

387 3.2 Random Forest trait identification

The KEGG annotated traits belonged to 260 different BRITE 3 categories. The percentage of traits that could not be annotated (and were termed 'Uncharacterised') ranged from 28 – 65% per genome, being particularly high in the Archaea. On average, 47% of traits per genome were Uncharacterised with a standard deviation of 9.5%.

Of the 260 BRITE 3 categories, the 60 most important traits in separating all Phyla and Families are ranked by importance measured as Mean Decrease in Accuracy (MDA) of the Random Forest models (Figure 3). This is a measure of the average increase in classification error during permutation of trees (n = 300 - 400) when that particular trait is missing from the tree. For example, the accuracy of classifying Families was most improved by inclusion of the ABC transporters trait. Based on the identified traits, the Phylum model was capable of successfully classifying 81.14% of individual taxa. The Family model was capable of successfully classifying 71.43% of individual taxa. Confusion matrices for both models are presented as Supplementary Tables 2 – 4, and show that classification was particularly difficult for Chloroflexi and Planctomycetes (classification error > 80%) in the Phylum model and for Cellulomonadaceae and the divergent Acidobacteria Lineage (classification error > 80%) in the Family model. Random Forest models were robust against variation in P within Families, for example the nine families with all taxa perfectly classified ranged in P from the lowest (0.68) to highest (0.9).

The important traits in classifying the taxonomic groups were involved in: a) metabolism and nutrient acquisition (oxidative phosphorylation, tricarboxylic acid

(TCA) cycle, glyoxylate/decarboxylate, thermogenesis, propanoate, starch/sucrose, nitrogen, methane metabolism, synthesis of antioxidants such as glutathione, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, sugar uptake via phosphotransferase systems (PTS)): b) responding to environmental cues and stressors (protein kinases, two-component systems, transcription factors, proteasome, protein chaperones, RNA transport, chromosome repair via non-homologous DNA end joining); c) core cell physiology (flagella assembly, chemotaxis, sporulation, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), peptidoglycan, glycerolipid, sphingolipid and lipoarabinomannan (LAM) biosynthesis); and d) cell-cell interactions (beta-Lactam resistance, general secretion systems and Type IV secretion systems). Box and whisker plots of discrete counts of identified traits, and LSD results, are provided as Supplementary Figures 42 - 75. The Families significantly enriched and depleted in these traits are listed in Table 1. The Phyla significantly enriched and depleted in identified traits are listed in Supplementary Table 9.

To better identify the more subtle differences between Families in the Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and the 'Under-represented' Phyla, individual Random Forest models were constructed for each of the four groups. The successful classification rates were 72.31, 74.29, 76 and 72%, respectively. Confusion matrices for each model are presented as Supplementary Tables 5 – 8. The models were unable to reliably classify Bradyrhizobiaceae and the divergent Acidobacteria Lineage (classification error > 80%).

Supplementary Figure 86 shows the most important traits in classifying the four groups. Unique traits not identified in the prior Phylum and Family models were: a) for Proteobacteria, glycosyltransferases, butanoate metabolism, aminotransferases, ribosome biogenesis, mRNA biogenesis and degradation; b) for Actinobacteria, porphyrin and chlorophyll synthesis, pyruvate metabolism, aminotransferases, fatty acid and aliphatic hydrocarbon metabolism, polyketide and Type II polyketide biosynthesis, antimicrobial resistance genes; c) for Firmicutes, lysine, folate and varied amino acid synthesis, porphyrin and chlorophyll synthesis, DNA replication, bacterial toxins, penicillin and cephalosporin synthesis; and d) for the 'Under-represented' taxa, glycosyltransferases, peptidases and inhibitors, photosynthesis and AMP-activated protein kinases. Box and whisker plots of discrete counts of identified traits, and LSD results, are provided as Supplementary Figures 97 - 120. Tables summarising

Families enriched and depleted in these traits are included as Supplementary Tables 10 and 11.

3.3 Hierarchical clustering of defining traits

Hierarchical clustering based on 60 traits, identified from Random Forest in this study and by previous copiotroph-oligotroph studies, indicated five general clades. The dendrogram on the y axis of Figure 4 shows clustering of taxa as these five clades. The dendrogram on the x axis shows clustering of co-occurring traits. Clade I consisted of Proteobacteria, specifically the Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Rhizobiaceae. These Families were uniquely enriched in flagellar assembly, chemotaxis, pyruvate metabolism, glutathione metabolism, ABC transporters, benzoate metabolism, transcription factors, glyoxylate and fatty acid metabolism. Clade II, also Proteobacteria, included Nitrosomonadaceae, Neisseriales Lineage, Methylocystaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Methylococcaceae and Moraxellaceae. These Families clustered based on being enriched in Clade I traits, but а lesser degree than the Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae. to Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Rhizobiaceae. Exceptions included the absence of benzoate metabolism and enrichment of methane metabolism in several Clade II Families.

Clade III, a diverse collection of Bacteroidetes (Chitinophagaceae, Cytophagaceae), Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, divergent Acidobacteria Lineage and the Deltaproteobacteria (Polyangiaceae, Myxococcaceae), shared enrichment of sphingolipid metabolism, beta-Lactam resistance, penicillin and cephalosporin biosynthesis, LPS biosynthesis, glycosyltransferases and starch/sucrose metabolism. Many of these Families shared Clade I and II traits, including Type IV secretion system, oxidative phosphorylation, TCA cycle, PTS, nitrogen and glycerophospholipid metabolism. The absence of glutathione in non-Deltaproteobacterial Clade III Families was notable.

The three Actinobacteria Families in Clade IV, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae and Streptomycetaceae, were highly similar to each other because they were enriched in Type II polyketide biosynthesis. They also shared some Clade I traits (ABC transporters, transcription factors, pyruvate, benzoate and fatty acid metabolism) and Clade III traits (membrane trafficking, transcription machinery, polyketide biosynthesis

> and starch/sucrose metabolism). Similar to Clade III, these Actinobacteria were also depleted in glutathione traits.

Finally, taxa within Clade V were similar to each other due to being depleted in traits shared among the other clades. Cyanobacteria were the only taxa that possessed photosynthesis traits. Lactic acid bacteria (Lactobacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae) were enriched in Unclassified nucleotide metabolism. The Archaea (Thaumarchaeota Methanogen Lineage) shared eukaryote-like traits, proteasome, basal and transcription factors and RNA transport. The Archaea were enriched in carbon fixation traits. Methanogens were also enriched in methane metabolism. Ammonia oxidising Thaumarchaeota were not enriched in nitrogen metabolism, however they were enriched in traits annotated by KEGG as Global maps only (unclassified metabolism). Further analysis found this to be the *fpr* gene, encoding a ferredoxin-flavodoxin NADP⁺ reductase (K00528). Non-lactic acid bacteria of the Firmicutes (Bacillaceae, Sporomusaceae and Clostridiaceae) were enriched in sporulation and motility traits.

4. Discussion

4.1 Non-random trait clustering demonstrates ecological coherence of taxa

A trait-based approach to investigate taxonomic relationships and potential biological function was carried out with a collection of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes. We hypothesised that traits would be non-randomly distributed amongst taxonomic groups, supportive of supported by previous observations that noted closely related taxa are isolated from similar habitats (Philippot et al., 2010). Similarity in the composition of 220 664 traits, within 175 taxa, demonstrated strong agreement with established taxonomy at high (Phyla) and low (Family) rank (Figure 2a). The exceptions to this ecological coherence at high rank were the division of the Chloroflexi and an individual from each of the Planctomycetes, Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes clustering with unrelated Phyla. It is possible that these four individual taxa have beenThese taxa were not mischaracterised, as based on phylogenetics of the full length 16S rRNA gene (Supplementary Figure 2a). Trait similarity between related taxa, measured as C, tended to be highest at low rank (Figure 2b). Uneven sample sizes between groups within Super Group and Phyla make comparisons at this level difficult – the inclusion of many diverse Firmicutes and Actinobacteria likely drove C to be lower here than in Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. However, equal

comparisons at the Family level demonstrated interesting variability in coherence. All Proteobacterial Families had relatively high coherence (C = 0.4 - 0.6). The high C in Beijerinckiaceae is of particular interest as this group contained both specialist methanotroph (Methylocapsa, Methylocella), methylotroph (Methyloferula) and generalist heterotroph (Beijerinckia spp.) taxa. With such varied metabolic traits, one could reasonably expect C to be relatively low within this Family. The Beijerinckiaceae appear to have evolved from a common methylotrophic ancestor and still share traits for nitrogen fixation and tolerance for low pH soils (Tamas et al., 2014), and the high C measured here indicates that many additional shared traits remain. Both the relatively recent divergence of Families from a common ancestor and the higher number of shared traits are likely causes of the higher C observed at low taxonomic rank. The differing values of C for Methanogen and photosynthetic Cyanobacteria functional groups (0.33 and 0.44, respectively) is also worthy of note. Despite all five taxa in each group performing the same core role in a community, the individual isolates came from varying environments. The methanogens were isolated from a range of geographically separate wetlands, rice paddy soil and farm slurry and, while the Cyanobacteria were also isolated from geographically separate environments, they were all from sandy deserts or other nutrient poor, arid soils (Supplementary Table 1 and references therein). Ultimately a taxon's trait composition will be affected by its functional role in a community, its evolutionary life-history (e.g. Beijerinckiaceae described above) and its local environment.

However, these results are dependent on accurate taxonomic classification, and the C of Sporomusaceae, relatively low compared to other Families here, supports splitting this group into Sporomusaceae, Anaeromusaceae and Pelosinaceae by GTDB (Parks et al., 2018). Finally worth noting, some groups at high rank were considered as 'Families' here due to the number of available terrestrial genomes, e.g. Cyanobacteria and Chloroflexi. Even so, Cyanobacteria demonstrated a higher C than many taxonomically-defined Families, perhaps due to their common role as primary colonisers of nutrient-poor soils (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001). The number of Families are too numerous to discuss each at length here, but C was an effective means of measuring and comparing coherence between groups in the UPGMA tree.

⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁴¹ While the method of comparing taxa here differs from other studies, the results were
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁴² not surprising as many 16S rRNA gene surveys of terrestrial systems consistently
 ⁶⁰
 ⁵⁴³ demonstrate ecological coherence at high rank. For example, independent studies of

increasing agricultural intensity in soils show reductions in Actinobacteria abundance (Philippot et al., 2009, Jangid et al., 2011). Nitrogen addition to soils frequently enriches numerous taxa within the Actinobacteria and Proteobacterial Classes while negatively affecting taxa within the Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes (Wessen et al., 2010, Fierer et al., 2012, Leff et al., 2015, Bastida et al., 2016). Arid, nutrient poor environments select for Actinobacteria-dominated communities (Carv et al., 2010, Crits-Christoph et al., 2013) and, in the absence of other primary producers, allow biological soil crust forming Cyanobacterial taxa to establish (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001). Anoxic wetland and rice paddy environments support diverse communities of anaerobic Firmicutes, Chloroflexi and methanogenic Archaea (He et al., 2019, Finn et al., 2020b). These trends were noted prior to bioinformatic advances of metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) that allow for the specific comparison of individual traits between uncultured environmental prokaryote genomes (Hug et al., 2013). The generation of MAGs has emerged as a useful tool for identifying traits necessary for life in such environments, and particularly for expanding knowledge of severely underrepresented, difficult to culture taxonomic groups. For example, the recent reconstruction of 52 515 MAGs from a wide range of host-associated and environmental metagenomes was able to increase genomic information of Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia by 79% and 68%, respectively (Nayfach et al., 2021). I but importantly, both 16S rRNA gene surveys and MAGs the earlier studies demonstrated that some functional traits that facilitate life under certain environmental conditions are intrinsically linked to taxonomy.

The ecological coherence observed in Figure 2 does not imply that closely related taxa (e.g. Bacillus velezensis LS69 and Bacillus amyloliguefaciens plantarum FZB42) have identical phenotypes. Close relatives possess a combination of core and accessory genes (traits) and the presence of even a single accessory gene is sufficient to dramatically alter a strain's phenotype (van Rossum et al., 2020). Rather, our results (Figure 2) demonstrate that the composition of core and accessory traits in alphaproteobacterial Beijerinckiaceae are most similar to each other, relative to alphaproteobacterial Rhizobiaceae or to Actinobacteria, Firmicutes etc.

 576 4.2 What can the Random Forests tell us?

The Random Forest works by identifying the traits that are most reliable in classifying individual Phyla and Families. It selects traits that tend to be: a) of equal copy number per genome within a taxonomic group; and b) that differ markedly in copy number between taxonomic groups, since distinct separation of copies will maximise successful classification. A clear example of this is the consistent identification of eukaryote-like basal transcription factors, proteasome and RNA transport present in the Thaumarchaeota and Euryarchaeota, since they are absent from the majority of Bacterial Phyla. The binary nature of these traits (yes Archaea, no Bacteria) make them strong indicators. The presence of these and more eukaryote-like vesicular trafficking and actin traits have been noted in the Archaeal TACK super-phylum previously, and lend credence to the hypothesis that eukaryotes are descended from Archaea (Embley & Martin, 2006, Spang et al., 2015). However, it must be noted that the Random Forest will not identify a trait unique to Can. Nitrosotalea devanterra, and that is absent from other Thaumarchaeota, as this single trait will not improve classification of the group as a whole. Consequently, the traits identified via Random Forest all tended to be core, fundamental traits shared by other members of a taxon's Phylum/Family.

Many of the best traits for distinguishing taxa have been historically used by microbiologists to do exactly that. These included fundamental cell physiology traits, such as oxidative phosphorylation, LPS biosynthesis, sporulation, flagellar assembly and chemotaxis. The Phylum model separated Betaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes as taxa with the highest copies of oxidative phosphorylation traits. Firmicutes, Chloroflexi and Methanogens were identified as anaerobes depleted in oxidative phosphorylation, and all other taxa as sitting in between (Supplementary Figure 42 and Supplementary Table 9). Some Gram-negative Families were significantly enriched in LPS biosynthesis compared to others. These were the Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Chitinophagaceae, divergent Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1). This has been noted in soil communities previously. The extensive repertoire of LPS-associated genes in Chitinophagaceae, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia likely play a critical role in enhancing soil aggregation (Cania et al., 2019) potentially through high LPS production and/or biofilm formation (discussed further below). In a demonstration of the robustness of the methods used here, the highly unusual Firmicute Sporomusaceae were shown to possess similar counts of LPS biosynthesis traits relative to most Gram-negative Families (Supplementary Table

4) in addition to sharing heat-resistant spore formation with Bacillaceae and Clostridiaceae (Table 1). The presence of both traits in a single Family have been remarked upon previously and used to conceptualise the evolution of Gram-negative versus Gram-positive lineages (Stackebrandt et al., 1985). The Sporomusaceae were also shown to have high Porphyrin and Chlorophyll metabolism traits in the Firmicutes model (Supplementary Table 10). The capacity to dechlorinate the soil pollutant perchloroethene to trichloroethylene via a porphyrin-based corrinoid is yet another interesting trait of this Family (Terzenbach & Blaut, 1994).

Finally, flagella assembly and chemotaxis traits identified Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria and Acidobacteria as Phyla that were particularly enriched with this mechanism of motility, while bacterial Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia were depleted (Supplementary Table 9). The Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Under-represented models were better suited for identifying specific Families homogenously enriched or depleted in bacterial flagella and chemotaxis (Supplementary Tables 10 and 11). Enriched Families included the Rhodospirillaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae, Neisseriales lineage, divergent Acidobacteria lineage, Sporomusaceae, Bacillaceae, Clostridiaceae and Planctomycetes. Other forms of motility such as twitching and gliding have been noted in the Pseudomonadaceae, Myxococcaceae and Cyanobacteria (McBride, 2001) but these traits were not identified by the Random Forest as being homogenously enriched in any Families. Furthermore, while Thaumarchaeota and Methanogens were both identified as being depleted in bacterial flagella assembly and chemotaxis traits (Supplementary Table 9 and 11), Archaea possess a structurally distinct flagellum more similar to the Type IV bacterial pilus (Jarrell and Albers, 2012). These taxa were not enriched with Type IV pilus, either, and it is possible that archaeal flagella may have failed proper characterisation by KEGG. Thus, while some Families were relatively enriched/depleted in bacterial flagella and chemotaxis traits, specific taxa depleted in these are not necessarily non-motile.

In summary, while the Random Forests may overlook certain traits in individual taxa, the models were highly robust in detecting conserved, shared traits within a Phylum/Family. Here the 'depth' of shared traits is limited by the number of taxa that could be considered as Phylum or Family. In future, if five (or more) taxa belonging to the same Genus or even Species could be compared, unique traits would be observed to explain how these subgroups have evolved from their respective Families to occupy distinct niches. Ideally the selection of individual taxa within groups for such future
comparative analyses would also be standardised based on phylogenetic distance,
either with *P* or a similar method, that would improve the robustness of trait-based
comparisons at such a fine taxonomic level.

651 4.3 Plant-derived carbon metabolism and nutrient acquisition

Secondly, we hypothesised that the traits differentially enriched between taxonomic groups would largely reflect those associated with copiotrophs or oligotrophs, namely metabolism, nutrient acquisition and environmental stress response and tolerance. Of fundamental interest to soil microbiologists is the decomposition of plant biomass. This is the primary source of organic carbon to non-arid terrestrial systems (Kögel-Knabner, 2002) and the transformation of plant material to substrates bioavailable for microorganisms is essential for community growth and activity. The traits involved in plant material catabolism belonged to the BRITE categories 'Starch and Sucrose Metabolism' (e.g. extracellular cellobiosidases, endoglucanases, glucosidases, trehalases, amylases) and 'Glycosyltransferases', all of which are carbohydrate activated enzymes (CAZy). The Families particularly enriched in these traits were the Myxococcaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Polyangiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae, Frankiaceae and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1, Supplementary Table 11). Genomic and culture-dependent analyses support Sorangium cellulosum (Polyangiaceae). Streptomyces *coelicolor* A3(2) (Streptomycetaceae) and Chthoniobacter flavus (Verrucomicrobia) as having particularly large genomes with extensive repertoires for cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin and lignin degradation (Bentley et al., 2002, Sangwan et al., 2004, Schneiker et al., 2007). Comparative genomics analyses have also identified Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia as being enriched in numerous enzymes for cellulose, hemicellulose and starch catabolism (Trivedi et al., 2013). In situ these Families likely play a critical role in making organic carbon bioavailable as di- and monosaccharides for the microbial community.

The Families equipped with many copies of high-affinity sugar uptake 'Phosphotransferase systems' (3 – 14 copies) did not necessarily correspond to those enriched with CAZy – only the Myxococcaceae and Verrucomicrobia were enriched in both. Pseudomonadaceae. Rhodospirillaceae. Neisseriales lineage and

Clostridiaceae were only enriched in PTS. Despite being enriched in CAZy, the Frankiaceae were simultaneously depleted in PTS. The complex interplay between taxa capable of producing extracellular CAZy and competitors that rapidly scavenge available di- and monosaccharides has been well described by models that predict such competitive interactions exert important controls on the growth rate of the community as a whole (Freilich et al., 2011) and may even act to aid terrestrial carbon storage and limit carbon dioxide emissions from microbial respiration (Kaiser et al., 2015). Here, we identified the 'specialist' Families enriched in CAZy traits versus the 'opportunists' scavenging for sugars via PTS (Table 1, Supplementary Table 11).

ABC transporters facilitate the ATP-dependent uptake of soluble compounds across membranes or export waste metabolites, extracellular enzymes and toxins (Young & Holland, 1999, Higgins, 2001). This means of active transport allows microorganisms to acquire nutrients with high affinity at concentrations of 5 – 500 μ g carbon L⁻¹ versus the less efficient diffusion of nutrients across membranes, dependent on extracellular concentrations of 0.5 - 5 mg carbon L⁻¹ (Kuznetsov *et al.*, 1979). In the spatially heterogenous soil environment where the concentration of bioavailable carbon substrate often limits growth (Blagodatsky & Richter, 1998), possession of high affinity transporters likely provides a competitive advantage. The rhizosphere-associated Rhodospirillaceae, Rhizobiaceae and Burkholderiaceae tended to have the highest trait copies of ABC transporters (100 – 350 copies per genome, Supplementary Figure 4). The diverse, non-rhizospheric Deltaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Cyanobacteria and Verrucomicrobia all had greater than 50 copies per genome, highlighting the importance of these traits in soil. The particularly high gene copy number in rhizosphere-associated taxa from presumably nutrient-rich environments contrasts the assumption that ABC transporters are considered to play a greater role in nutrient-poor environments (Lauro et al., 2009). Comparative genomics analyses of soil bacteria have also found putatively copiotrophic Proteobacteria and Firmicutes to be particularly enriched in PTS and ABC transporters (Trivedi et al., 2013). In this study, t the transporters enriched in these rhizosphere-associated taxa were primarily aimed at scavenging maltose, phosphate, amino acids, oligopeptides and export of LPS, and these results suggest that these traits are not only for survival in nutrient-poor environments but also likely confer a competitive advantage in the rhizosphere. As prokaryotes compete simultaneously with other prokaryotes and plants for nitrogen

and phosphorus in the rhizosphere, the high affinity acquisition of such nutrients is likely critical for growth.

4.4 Nitrogen and methane metabolism

The BRITE category 'Nitrogen metabolism' encompasses nitrogen fixation, denitrification, ammonia oxidation and synthesis of glutamate/glutamine which are, critical amino acids for peptide synthesis. Since nitrogen limitation acts as an important control on soil microbial activity, these traits are also of interest to soil microbiologists. Proteobacterial Families, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae Three and Burkholderiaceae, were particularly enriched in these traits. Genomic and culture-dependent analyses show these Families to be free-living or symbiotic diazotrophs in soil and freshwater environments (Madigan et al., 1984, Itakura et al., 2009, de los Santos et al., 2018). Given their significantly greater copies of nitrogen-fixing genes, these Families may be a particularly important source of organic nitrogen for soil communities. Saprotrophic Mycobacteriaceae genomes, also identified as nitrogen cyclers, tend to have many copies of genes involved in ammonia uptake and glutamate synthesis (Amon et al., 2010). This taxon may play an alternative role in converting mineral nitrogen to biomass where organic nitrogen as protein in excreted products or necromass can undergo proteolysis and uptake between other community members. The identification of Sporomusaceae as enriched in 'Nitrogen metabolism' traits is unusual as these obligate anaerobic fermenters cannot use nitrate as an electron acceptor (Möller et al., 1984). Nor were the Sporomusaceae enriched in ammonia uptake or glutamate synthesis genes (data not shown), and so it is uncertain what role this Family plays in nitrogen cycling. Thaumarchaeota and Nitrosomonadaceae, known ammonia oxidisers, were not enriched in 'Nitrogen metabolism' traits relative to other Families (Supplementary Figure 5) despite Nitrosomonadaceae possessing multiple copies of the operon responsible for ammonia oxidation (Klotz & Norton, 1998). Specific traits may be overlooked here if the BRITE category includes many diverse KOs (e.g. ammonia oxidation, nitrogen fixation, glutamate synthesis etc).

Another specialised metabolic pathway of interest involves 'Methane metabolism' that includes production and oxidation of a potent greenhouse gas. Unsurprisingly, the Methanogens and methanotrophic Methylococcaceae, Beijerinckiaceae, Methylocystaceae were all enriched in traits involved in methane metabolism. While methane oxidation can be present in some taxa from the Verrucomicrobia (Op den
Camp *et al.*, 2009) the above proteobacterial representatives act as the primary
terrestrial methane sink (Dunfield, 2007, Conrad, 2009).

4.5 Sensing, responding and tolerating the environment

A particularly interesting divergence of traits were involved in how taxa detect and respond to environmental stimuli. Gram-negative Pseudomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae and Myxococcaceae were enriched in two-component systems. These membrane-bound histidine kinases respond rapidly to extracellular stimuli (Galperin, 2005) and these traits were primarily involved in nitrogen, potassium, initiating chemotaxis and C₄-dicarboxylate responses. Families enriched in transcription factors were the Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae, Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae and Frankiaceae. These factors regulate transcription in response to intracellular cues and here these factors were primarily rpoD (housekeeping), rpoH (heat-shock/protein damage), rpoE (extra-cellular cytoplasmic stress) and rpoS (starvation) responses (Shimada et al., 2017). The genomes of these taxa are also heavily enriched in regulatory genes for complex developmental stages, fruiting bodies and/or filamentous branching growth in soils (Bentley et al., 2002, Gao et al., 2006, Schneiker et al., 2007). Thus, certain taxa may respond primarily to extracellular cues while others strictly monitor and respond to changes in cell homeostasis. This trend has been noted previously – in 167 genomes across various Bacteria and Archaea, Proteobacteria had a higher ratio of sensors for external versus internal stimuli and were considered 'extroverts', while Cyanobacteria were considered strong 'introverts' focussed on responding to internal stimuli (Galperin, 2005).

As mentioned above, Archaea exhibited unique traits in basal transcription and protein regulation via proteasome. These transcription factors were primarily involved in identifying DNA damage and excision repair: TFII-B, TFII-D, ERCC-2 and ERCC-3. DNA repair differs markedly between Bacteria and Archaea/eukaryotes. Specifically, Bacteria excise 12 nucleotides around a damaged site with a 3 polypeptide system whereas Archaea excise 24 - 32 nucleotides with a 13 - 16 polypeptide system (Sancar, 1996). The use of ubiguitin-labelling and proteasome degradation of misfolded or 'old' proteins is arguably a more efficient system for recycling amino acids

and regulating the 'lifespan' of a protein in eukaryotes, however, Bacteria are still fully capable of regulating protein misfolding or proteolysis with RpoH (and others) induced upon environmental stress (Goldberg, 2003). From an ecological perspective, it is difficult to discern if these eukaryote-like traits confer any sort of competitive advantage to Archaea. They may simply be examples of convergent evolution for dealing with environmental stress.

Finally, most microbial cells likely exist within complex biofilms and/or assemblages adhered to surfaces with excreted exopolysaccharides, DNA and protein that serve to protect from adverse environmental factors (Flemming & Wingender, 2010). Families with high copy numbers of exopolysaccharide biosynthesis and secretion systems may act as integral members of soil communities by predominantly contributing to biofilm/aggregate formation. The 'LPS biosynthesis' and 'Starch and sucrose metabolism' BRITE categories can synthesise N-acetyl glucosamine-based and cellulose-based exopolysaccharides, respectively. Taxa enriched in both these categories and secretion systems were the Polyangiaceae and Burkholderiaceae (Table 1), and in the refined 'Under-represented' model, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Supplementary Table 11).

³² 797 ³³ 798

36 799 4.6 Direct cell-cell interactions

Type IV secretion systems were another important trait identified in the Random Forest models. These were enriched in Pseudomonadaceae and Myxococcaceae (Table 1) and Acidobacteria, Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia (Supplementary Table 11). These are highly specialised exporters that deliver DNA and/or toxins directly to other bacterial or plant cells, however, their role in ecology is poorly understood beyond root galls induced by Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Christie & Vogel, 2000). These taxa should be explored for whether they utilise these traits for horizontal gene transfer or to inject toxins directly into other prokaryotes, and thus potentially provide a selective advantage for colonisation and competition.

Another archetypal trait for interactions between community members are production of antimicrobials and antimicrobial resistance genes. Penicillin and cephalosporin synthesis were enriched in the Sporomusaceae and Bacillaceae relative to other Firmicutes. Polyketide and Type II polyketide syntheses were important for separating Frankiaceae and Streptomycetaceae from other Actinobacteria

Tables 9 and 10).

(Supplementary Table 10). The Streptomycetaceae have a long history of use in

biotechnology as prolific antimicrobial producers (Bentley et al., 2002). Bacillaceae (in

particular Bacillus subtilis species) are also well known producers of a wide variety of

antimicrobials (Caulier et al., 2019), but we noted that Sporomusaceae have an even

greater number of these traits (Supplementary Figure 9). To the authors' knowledge,

antibiotic production in Sporomusaceae has not been investigated thoroughly and this

may be a consequence of its obligate anaerobic nature and difficulties in culturing. In

addition to prolific Type II polyketide producers, Streptomycetaceae were also enriched

in antimicrobial resistance genes, while Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes and

Verrucomicrobia were specifically enriched in beta-Lactam resistance (Supplementary

22 8**2**5

24 826

827 4.7 <u>LGeneralisable life strategies emerge from differentially enriched traits</u>

We hypothesised that taxa would emerge as being inherently copiotrophic or oligotrophic based on trends in their enriched traits. Traits were chosen based on identification via Random Forest and identification as associated with copiotroph-oligotroph species or in mixed communities as described previously (Lauro et al., 2009, Vieira-Silva & Rocha, 2010, Roller & Schmidt, 2015, Pascual-Garcia & Bell, 2020). Rhizosphere-associated Gamma-, Alpha- and Betaproteobacteria in Clade I fit the assumptions of a copiotrophic niche that invests in high metabolic rate – these taxa were uniquely enriched in competing for nutrient uptake via high-affinity ABC transporters, and energy generation from pyruvate, fatty acids, benzoate and glyoxylate carbon sources. Clade I was also enriched in glutathione metabolism, which acts as the major antioxidant for reducing intracellular free radicals produced during central carbon metabolism (Smirnova & Oktyabrsky, 2005). Antioxidants have been hypothesised as an essential function for copiotrophs to survive their high metabolic rates (Koch, 2001). All five Clade I Families were enriched in oxidative phosphorylation. The oxidative phosphorylation traits encompass a wide variety of electron transport chain proteins (oxidoreductases, dehydrogenases, cytochromes and ATPases) and are crucial for efficient energy production (Brochier-Armanet et al., 2009). All five Families were also enriched in nitrogen metabolism, which included both nitrogen fixation and glutamate (*i.e.* protein) synthesis. Nitrogen fixation is an energy intensive process requiring 20 – 30 ATP per reduced N_2 (Burris & Roberts, 1993) and may be

intrinsically linked to taxa with high oxidative phosphorylation. Finally, Clade I also shared motility and chemotaxis, which are also energy intensive traits. Clade II consisted of the remaining Gamma-, Alpha- and Betaproteobacteria, yet these were relatively less enriched in Clade I 'copiotroph' traits. These particular taxa may be responsible for the lack of a consistent copiotrophic response upon nutrient addition in Proteobacteria (Ho et al., 2017).

Clade III was comprised of taxa generally considered as oligotrophs (Ho et al., 2017) with the exception of Bacteroidetes (Fierer et al., 2007). These taxa possessed high LPS and sphingolipid synthesis that can defend against desiccation and antimicrobials through biofilm and capsule/slime production (Flemming & Wingender, 2010), beta-Lactam resistance, penicillin biosynthesis and several members had high pentose phosphate pathway for efficient carbon metabolism under starvation (Hodgson, 2000). Clade III also possessed high CAZy traits, which Clade I largely lacked, and is consistent with observations of oligotrophs being primarily responsible for catabolising relatively recalcitrant plant material (Goldfarb et al., 2011). While Clade III were equally enriched in oxidative phosphorylation as Clade I, with the exception of the Deltaproteobacteria, these taxa were depleted in glutathione metabolism. The low copies per genome of this trait would explain why the abundance of oligotrophs drop rapidly in nutrient addition studies as they would be either out-competed by glutathione-rich taxa capable of exploiting plentiful nutrients or will lyse if their metabolic rate exceeds capacity to reduce free radicals (Koch, 2001). Taken together, all of these traits indicate Clade III lead oligotrophic lifestyles whereby they are tolerant to adverse environmental conditions, can acquire carbon from recalcitrant plant material, and are incapable of rapid growth rates.

These results support previous observations that Rhodospirillaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Rhizobiaceae are copiotrophic, while Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae and Acidobacteria are oligotrophic (Ho et al., 2017 and references therein). As has been proposed previously, the dominance of these groups in certain soils can provide inferences for ecosystem processes in that system, for example soils dominated by Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria will have greater capacity to degrade complex plant material while retaining most catabolised carbon in biomass (*i.e.* high growth or carbon use efficiency) or excreted byproducts that assist in soil aggregation (e.g. high LPS production) (Trivedi et al., 2013). Conversely, soils

dominated by copiotrophic Proteobacteria Families will be systems primarily
dependent on labile di- and monosaccharides that demonstrate low carbon use
efficiency.

Streptomycetaceae, Mycobacteriaceae and Frankiaceae in Clade IV shared enrichment of several Clade I copiotroph traits. As mentioned above in Section 4.5, these Actinobacteria invest carbon and energy into complex filamentous growth and developmental cycles. They demonstrate classic copiotrophic responses to nutrient addition (Goldfarb et al., 2011, Leff et al., 2015) and their enriched ABC transporters, pyruvate, glyoxylate, benzoate and fatty acid metabolism all likely contribute to generating energy for complex lifecycles. Simultaneously, their enrichment of Clade III oligotroph traits for CAZy metabolism in addition to many traits for producing and resisting antimicrobials indicate a unique niche for these Actinobacteria that does not necessarily fall within the classical copiotroph-oligotroph framework.

Clade V differed markedly from all other clades and mostly consisted of 'specialist' metabolic functional groups involved in photosynthesis, ammonia oxidation, methanogenesis, lactic acid production and other fermentation. Similar to Clade III, these taxa would also be expected to have relatively low metabolic rates due to depletion of copiotroph traits associated with rapid metabolism and energy generation. Unlike Clade III, these taxa seemed to lack consistent mechanisms for stress tolerance. Thus, while certain taxa did invest in traits for rapid metabolic rate (Clades I and IV) and others primarily in stress tolerance (Clades III and IV), some taxa lacked these approaches altogether and pursued entirely distinct niches (Clade V). As an average of 47% of traits within each genome were Uncharacterised, Clade V is an over-simplification and that if novel, currently uncharacterised proteins and the traits they fulfil were incorporated into hierarchical clustering, this clade would separate more meaningfully.

If one were to consider taxa within the one-dimensional copiotroph-oligotroph spectrum, Clade I would represent one extreme, Clades III and V another, with Clades II and IV falling in between. Figure 5a is a conceptual diagram where these Clades have been placed on a singular axis of 'resource investment', with the niche space of Clades enriched in traits associated with rapid growth (e.g. glutathione) toward the 'copiotrophy' pole while Clades enriched in stress tolerance traits (e.g. LPS production) are placed toward the 'oligotrophy' pole. However, this approach overlooks the diverse functional potentials (and distinct niches) for carbon and energy metabolism in Clade

Vassociated with the various Clades. Furthermore, the large overlaps in niche space between Clades would suggest taxa from each group could not co-exist if 'resource investment' was the only important consideration (Gause, 1932, Hutchinson, 1957, Leibold, 1995). A more meaningful perspective would be to consider the additional role of 'resource acquisition' that incorporates multiple axes for the life strategies identified via hierarchical clustering of traits. Figure 5b is a conceptual diagram of niche space where clades have been further separated along additional dimensions based on their enrichment of traits involved in competition, degradation or specialised metabolic pathways. The BRITE categories listed on the various axes are chosen to be useful markers in predicting the niche of a taxon. -This expanded niche space would suggest hierarchical clustering in Figure 4 with each clade as its own 'life strategy'. The strategy of taxa in Clade I follow that of classical 'copiotrophs' and I are well equipped for nutrient acquisition (primarily, but not limited to, di- and monosaccharides), rapid growth and oxidative stress regulation as 'copiotrophic competitors'. Clade II, which may not be capable of competing directly with Clade I for carbon and energy, and perhaps the evolution of may thus occupy the niche space of specialist Proteobacterial methylotrophs, methane and ammonia oxidisers may be a responseso as to avoid competition over saccharides be 'copiotrophic metabolic specialists'. The strategy of Clade III is would be to specialise in decomposing decompose plant material via diverse CAZy and possess a variety of environmental stress tolerance traits as 'oligotrophic degraders'. Clade IV, which include for example-are unique Actinobacteria that share traits for competition, degradation and oligotrophy, could thus occupy niche space between Clades I and III traits, yet likely fill a distinct niche due to complex developmental cycles and filamentous growth. Finally, the strategy of Clade V is would be to fill highly specialised, unrelated metabolic niches reliant on completely distinct carbon sources to other taxa. The large space conceptualised for Clade V in Figure 5b, which does not imply either copiotrophic or oligotrophic resource investment, is an oversimplification and with improved understanding of traits in these taxa it may be possible to fracture and further separate them into more detailed groups. This would prove particularly beneficial for the poorly characterised Archaea. -and are unified by an absence of classical copiotroph and oligotroph traits. Moving beyond a one-dimensional *r*-*K* spectrum to accommodate additional trait-

driven life strategies has been proposed in plant ecology (Grime, 1977). Specifically, Grime argued that plant taxa fall within a multi-dimensional space defined by extremes

on three axes: 'competitors' that acquire nutrients, light, water etc. more effectively than neighbouring taxa in the same environment, 'stress tolerators' that are long-lived, slow growing taxa that resist desiccation, alkaline soils etc., and 'ruderals' that have very brief lifecycles between periods of disturbance and invest in environmentally hardy seeds. Despite these varied strategies for resource investment, plants are unified in that photosynthesis is their primary form of acquiring carbon and energy. The diversity of microbial strategies for acquiring carbon and energy enables them to explore a greater range of potential niche space, and in addition to growth traits that allow for a relatively more copiotrophic or oligotrophic investment of those resources, likely contributes to the high diversity of co-existing taxa observed in soil microbial communitiescomplexity of co-occurring traits across microbial Families indicate more generalisable life strategies than the copiotroph-oligotroph spectrum are needed.

However, to truly unravel differentiated niches- and general microbial life strategieswithin these generalisable life strategies, two limitations must be overcome. Firstly, will require a better understanding of the many 'Uncharacterised' traits in environmental isolates, in addition to robust trait-based analyses at the Species or even Strain taxonomic levelis required. For example, the recent large-scale MAG study by Nayfach et al., (2021) identified 5.8 million protein clusters (traits), of which over 75% could not be annotated meaningfully by current protein databases. Secondly, robust trait-based analyses down to the finer scale of distinct genomes will likely be necessary to consider how individual taxonomic members of a community have either differentiated in order to co-exist or are in the throes of competition that will ultimately exclude one of the competitors.

975 5. Conclusion

In a collection of 175 terrestrial prokaryotes that possess 220 664 traits shared between at least two taxa, concepts in niche differentiation were explored. Non-random trait distributions were shown as preferential clustering of related taxa within most Phyla with a general trend of highest similarity at the level of Family. This strongly supported ecological coherence of shared traits within close relatives. Random Forest models successfully identified BRITE 3 categories that best explained differing traits between taxonomic groups. These traits were involved in a wide range of biological functions, including core physiological traits used historically to categorise taxa. Many

traits were also involved in functions often associated with copiotrophs and oligotrophs, namely metabolism, nutrient acquisition and environmental stress tolerance. Hierarchical clustering of differential traits formed five distinct clusters, with Clade I representing the classical copiotrophic niche. Clades III and V as oligotrophic, and Clades II and IV in between. A more refined perspective would be to consider each Clade as its own generalisable life strategy in a niche space that considers both resource investment and acquisition simultaneously; for example, the strategy of Clade I is to invest in competition and rapid growth, while Clade V pursue highly distinct, specialised metabolic functions. The trait-based analyses here were effective in identifying general trends in potential function of terrestrial microbial taxa at the Phylum and Family level. Further investigation will be necessary to identify traits that give rise to niche differentiation at lower taxonomic ranks and, ultimately, the importance of this for ecosystem processes of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Australian Government Endeavour research program ID: 6123 2017 for funding D.R. Finn. Funding support for B. Bergk-Pinto came from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie ITN 675546-MicroArctic. The authors also wish to thank the microbiologists involved in the isolation and genome sequencing of the taxa studied here; it is hoped the majority of those involved are cited within the Supplementary Material. Without this diligent culture-dependent work by the scientific community, the theoretical ecology performed here would not be possible.

- Conflict of Interest
- The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding this study or its outcomes.
- References

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW & Lipman DJ (1990) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403-410.

Amon J, Titgemeyer F & Burkovski A (2010) Common patterns - unique features: nitrogen metabolism and regulation in Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 34: 588-605.

Barbéran A, Bates ST, Casamayor EO & Fierer N (2012) Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. The ISME Journal 6: 343-351.

- Bastida F, Torres IF, Moreno JL, *et al.* (2016) The active microbial diversity drives ecosystem
 multifunctionality and is physiologically related to carbon availability in Mediterranean semi-
- $_{6}$ 1022 arid soils. *Molecular Ecology* **25**: 4660-4673.
- 7 1023 Bentley SD, Chater KF, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, et al. (2002) Complete genome sequence of the
 8 1024 model actinomycete Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2). Nature 417: 141-147.
- 9
 1025
 1026
 1026
 1027
 1027
 1027
 1028
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
 10298
- 1028 Bouskill NJ, Tang J, Riley WJ & Brodie EL (2012) Trait-based representation of biological
 1029 nitrification: model development, testing, and predicted community composition. *Frontiers in* 1030 *Microbiology* 3: 364.
- 1030 Introbiology 2.304.
 1031 Brochier-Armanet C, Talla E & Gribaldo S (2009) The Multiple Evolutionary Histories of
 1032 Dioxygen Reductases: Implications for the Origin and Evolution of Aerobic Respiration.
 1033 Molecular Biology and Evolution 26: 285-297.
- Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM & West GB (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of
 ecology. *Ecology* 85: 1771-1789.
- 1036 Burris RH & Roberts GP (1993) Biological nitrogen fixation. Annual Reviews Nutrition 13: 31724 1037 335.
- 25 1038 Cania B, Vestergaard G, Krauss M, Fliessbach A, Schloter M & Schulz S (2019) A long-term field 26 1039 experiment demonstrates the influence of tillage on the bacterial potential to produce soil 27 1040 agents such as exopolysaccharides and structure-stabilizing lipopolysaccharides. 28 1041 Environmental Microbiome 14: 1-14. 29
- ³⁰ 1042 Cary SC, McDonald IR, Barrett JE & Cowan DA (2010) On the rocks: the microbiology of ³¹ 1043 Antarctic Dry Valley soils. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **8**: 129-138.
- Caulier S, Nannan C, Gillis A, Licciardi F, Bragard C & Mahillon J (2019) Overview of the antimicrobial compounds produced by members of the Bacillus subtilis group. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10: 302.
- ³⁶ 1047 Christie PJ & Vogel JP (2000) Bacterial type IV secretion: conjugation systems adapted to
 ³⁷ 1048 deliver effector molecules to host cells. *Trends in Microbiology* 8: 354-360.
- 1049 Conrad R (2009) The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial
 1050 processes involved. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* 1: 285-292.
- Crits-Christoph A, Robinson CK, Barnum T, Fricke WF, Davila AF, Jedynak B, McKay CP &
 DiRuggiero J (2013) Colonization patterns of soil microbial communities in the Atacama
 Desert. *Microbiome* 1: 28.
- de los Santos PE, Palmer M, Chávez-Ramirez B, Beukes C, Steenkamp ET, Briscoe L, Khan N,
 Maluk M *et al.*, (2018) Whole genome analyses suggests that Burkholderia sensu lato contains
 two additional novel genera (*Mycetohabitans* gen. nov., and *Trinickia* gen. nov.): implications
 for the evolution of diazotrophy and nodulation in the Burkholderiaceae. *Genes* 9:
- $\frac{49}{50}$ 1058 doi:10.3390/genes9080389.
- 511059deMendiburuF(2014)Agricolae:statisticalproceduresforagriculturalresearch.521060http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/agricolae/index.html.
- ⁵³ 1061 Dunfield PF (2007) The Soil Methane Sink. *Greenhouse Gas Sinks* 152-170.
- ⁵⁴ 1062
 ⁵⁵ 56
 ⁵⁶ 1063
 ⁵⁶ 440: 623-630.
- 571064Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high581065throughput. Nucleic Acid Research **32**: 1792-1797.
- ⁵⁹
 ¹⁰⁶⁶
 ¹⁰⁶⁷
 ¹⁰⁶⁷

2		
3	1068	Fierer N, Bradford MA & Jackson RB (2007) Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
4	1069	Ecology 88 : 1354-1364.
с 5	1070	Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Zaneveld J, Bradford MA & Knight R (2012) Comparative
7	1071	metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across
8	1072	nitrogen gradients. The ISME Journal 6: 1007-1017.
9	1073	Finn DR. Yu J. Ilhan ZF. Fernandes VMC. Penton CR. Kraimalnik-Brown R. Garcia-Pichel F &
10	1074	Vogel TM (2020a) MicroNiche: an R package for assessing microhial niche breadth and overlan
11	1075	from amplicon sequencing data. <i>Fems Microbiology Ecology</i> 96 : fiaa131
12	1075	Elemming HC & Wingonder I (2010) The biofilm matrix Nature Paviews Microbiology 9: 622
14	1070	C22
15	1077	
16	1070	Fine DD. Ziv al M. ven Hanne I. Dark IC. dal Anvila Deserval I. Hunving Munan ID. & Cadilla
17	1079	Finn DR, Ziv-ei M, van Haren J, Park JG, dei Aguila-Pasquei J, Urquiza-Munoz JD & Cadilio-
18	1080	Quiroz H (2020 <u>b</u>) Methanogens and methanotrophs show nutrient-dependent community
19	1081	assemblage patterns across tropical peatlands of the Pastaza-Maranon Basin, Peruvian
20	1082	Amazonia. Frontiers in Microbiology 11 : 746.
22	1083	Flemming HC & Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8: 623-
23	1084	<u>633.</u>
24	1085	Finn DR, Yu J, Ilhan ZE, Fernandes VMC, Penton CR, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Garcia-Pichel F &
25	1086	Vogel TM (2020) MicroNiche: an R package for assessing microbial niche breadth and overlap
26 27	1087	from amplicon sequencing data. Fems Microbiology Ecology 96: fiaa131.
27	1088	Flemming HC & Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8: 623-
29	1089	633.
30	1090	Freilich S, Zarecki R, Eilam O, Segal ES, Henry CS, Kupiec M, Gophna U, Sharan R & Ruppin E
31	1091	(2011) Competitive and cooperative metabolic interactions in bacterial communities. <i>Nature</i>
32	1092	Communications 2
33	1093	Galperin MY (2005) A census of membrane-bound and intracellular signal transduction
54 35	109/	proteins in bacteria: bacterial IO extroverts and introverts BMC Microbiology 5:
36	1005	doi·10.1186/1471-2180-1185-1135
37	1005	G_{20} B. Daramanathan P. & Gunta PS (2006) Signature proteins that are distinctive
38	1090	characteristics of Actinopactoria and their subgroups. Antonia Van Leauwenbook International
39	1097	characteristics of Actinobacteria and their subgroups. Antonie van Leeuwennoek international
40	1098	Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology 90 : 69-91.
41 42	1099	Garcia-Pichel F, Lopez-Cortes A & Nubel O (2001) Phylogenetic and morphological diversity of
43	1100	Cyanobacteria in soil desert crusts from the Colorado Plateau. Applied and Environmental
44	1101	Microbiology 67: 1902-1910.
45	1102	Gause GF (1932) Experimental studies on the struggle for existence I Mixed population of two
46	1103	species of yeast. <i>Journal of Experimental Biology</i> 9 : 389-402.
47	1104	Gleason HA (1926) The individualistic concept of the plant association. Bull Torrey Botany Club
48 40	1105	53 : 7-26.
50	1106	Goldberg AL (2003) Protein degradation and protection against misfolded or damaged
51	1107	proteins. <i>Nature</i> 426 : 895-890.
52	1108	Goldfarb KC, Karaoz U, Hanson CA, Santee CA, Bradford MA, Treseder KK, Wallenstein MD &
53	1109	Brodie EL (2011) Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of
54	1110	varying chemical recalcitrance. Frontiers in Microbioloav 2.
55 56	1111	Grime JP (1977) Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its
57	1112	relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. The American Naturalist 111 : 1169-1194
58	1113	Grime IP (1979) Plant strategies and vegetation processes Wiley Chichester LIK
59		
60		

2		
3	1114	He M, Zhang J, Shen L, et al. (2019) High-throughput sequencing analysis of microbial
4	1115	community diversity in response to indica and japonica bar-transgenic rice paddy soils. PLOS
5	1116	<i>One</i> 14 : e0222191.
7	1117	Higgins CF (2001) ABC transporters: physiology, structure and mechanism - an overview.
8	1118	Research in Microbiology 152 : 205-210.
9	1119	Ho A, Paolo Di Lonardo D & Bodelier PL (2017) Revisiting life strategy concepts in
10	1120	environmental microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93: 1-14.
11	1121	Ho A. Kerckhof FM. Luke C. Reim A. Krause S. Boon N & Bodelier PL (2013) Conceptualizing
13	1122	functional traits and ecological characteristics of methane-oxidizing bacteria as life strategies.
14	1123	Environmental Microbiology Reports
15	1124	5 : 335-345
16	1125	Hodeson DA (2000) Primary metabolism and its control in strentomycetes: A most unusual
1/	1126	group of bacteria Advances in Microbial Physiology Vol 42 Vol 42 (Poole RK ed) n Ann 47-
10	1120	228
20	1120	230. Hug LA Castella CL Wrighton KC Thomas BC Sharon L Frischkorn KB Williams KH Tringo SC
21	1120	Rug LA, Castelle CJ, Winghton KC, monas BC, Sharon I, Frischkom KK, Windens KH, minge SG
22	1129	& Barmeid JF (2013) Community genomic analyses constrain the distribution of metabolic
23	1130	traits across the Chloroflexi phylum and indicate roles in sediment carbon cycling. <i>Microbiome</i>
24 25	1131	
25 26	1132	Hutchinson GL (1957) Concluding remarks Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative
27	1133	Biology 22: 415-427.
28	1134	Itakura M, Saeki K, Omori H, Yokoyama T, Kaneko T, Tabata S, Ohwada T, Tajima S & . ea (2009)
29	1135	Genomic comparison of Bradyrhizobium japonicum strains with different symbiotic nitrogen-
30	1136	fixing capabilities and other Bradyrhizobiaceae members. The ISME journal 3 : 326-339.
31 32	1137	Jangid K, Williams MA, Franzluebbers A, Schmidt TM, Coleman DC & Whitman WB (2011)
33	1138	Land-use history has a stronger impact on soil microbial community composition than
34	1139	aboveground vegetation and soil properties. Soil Biol Biochem 43: 2184-2193.
35	1140	Jarrell KF, Albers SV (2012) The archaellum: an old motility structure with a new name. Trends
36	1141	Microbiol 20 : 307-312.
3/	1142	Kaiser C, Franklin O, Richter A & Dieckmann U (2015) Social dynamics within decomposer
30 39	1143	communities lead to nitrogen retention and organic matter build-up in soils. Nature
40	1144	Communications 6.
41	1145	Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M & Tanabe M (2016) KEGG as a reference
42	1146	resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 44: D457-D462.
43	1147	Kearney M, Simpson SJ, Raubenheimer D & Helmuth B (2010) Modelling the ecological niche
44 45	1148	from functional traits. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences
46	1149	365 : 3469-3483.
47	1150	Keddy PA (1992) Assembly and response rules - two goals for predictive community ecology.
48	1151	Journal of Vegetation Science 3 : 157-164.
49	1152	Klotz MG & Norton IB (1998) Multiple copies of ammonia monooxygenase (amo) operons
50 51	1152	have evolved under biased AT/GC mutational pressure in ammonia-oxidizing autotrophic
52	1157	have evolved under blased Ar/Se matational pressure in animonia oxidizing autotrophie hacteria Fems Microbiology Letters 168: 303-311
53	1155	Koch AL (2001) Oligotrophy vorsus conjetrophy. <i>BioEssays</i> 32 : 657-661
54	1155	Köch AL (2001) Oligotrophis versus copiotrophis. <i>BioLissuys</i> 23, 057-001.
55	1150	residues as inputs to soil organic matter. Soil Piel Piecham 24 : 120-162
56	1120	residues as inputs to son organic matter. Son Biol Biotherit 54 : 139-102.
57 58	1150 1150	Ruzhetsov SI, Dubinina GA & Lapleva NA (1979) Biology Of Oligotrophic Dacteria. Annual
59	1128	neview of Williowoody 33. 3/1-301.

1 2 3 1160 Lauro FM, McDougald D, Thomas T, et al. (2009) The genomic basis of trophic strategy in 4 1161 marine bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of 5 America 106: 15527-15533. 1162 6 Leff JW, Jones SE, Prober SM, et al. (2015) Consistent responses of soil microbial communities 7 1163 8 to elevated nutrient inputs in grasslands across the globe. Proceedings of the National 1164 9 1165 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **112**: 10967-10972. 10 1166 Leibold MA (1995) The niche concept revisited: mechanistic models and community context. 11 1167 Ecology 76: 1371-1382. 12 13 1168 Liaw A & Weiner M (2002) Classification and regression by randomForest. *R News* 2: 18-22. 14 1169 Madigan M, Cox SS & Stegeman RA (1984) Nitrogen fixation and nitrogenase activities in 15 1170 members of the Family Rhodospirillaceae. Journal of Bacteriology 157: 73-78. 16 1171 Madin JS, Nielsen DA, Brbic M, Corkrey R, Danko D, Edwards K & ...et al., (2020) A synthesis of 17 1172 bacterial and archaeal phenotypic trait data. Nature Scientific Data 7: 170. 18 19 1173 McBride MJ (2001) Bacterial gliding motility: mechanisms for cell movement over surfaces. 20 1174 Annual Review of Microbiology 55: 49-75. 21 1175 McGill BJ, Enquist BJ, Weiher E & Westoby M (2006) Rebuilding community ecology from 22 1176 functional traits. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21: 178-185. 23 1177 Möller B, Oßmer R, Howard BH, Gottschalk G & Hippe H (1984) Sporomusa, a new genus of 24 25 1178 Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria including Sporomusa sphaeroides spec. nov. and 26 1179 Sporomusa ovata spec. nov. Archives of Microbiology 139: 388-396. 27 1180 Nayfach S, Roux S, Seshadri R, Udwary D, Varghese N, Schulz F et al., (2021) A genomic catalog 28 1181 of Earth's microbiomes. *Nature Biotechnology* **39**: 499-509. 29 30 1182 Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, et al. (2013) Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R 31 1183 package version 2.0-10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. 32 1184 Op den Camp HJM, Islam T, Stott MB, Harhangi HR, Hynes A, Schouten S, Jetten MSM, 33 1185 Birkeland N-K, Pol A & Dunfield PF (2009) Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives 34 1186 on methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. Environmental Microbiology Reports 1: 293-306. 35 36 1187 Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil PA & Hugenholtz P 37 1188 (2018) A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises 38 1189 the tree of life. *Nature Biotechnology* **36**: 996-1004. 39

- 40 1190 Pascual-Garcia A & Bell T (2020) Community-level signatures of ecological succession in
 41 1191 natural bacterial communities. *Nature Communications* 11: 2386.
- Philippot L, Bru D, Saby NPA, Cuhel J, Arrouays D, Simek M & Hallin S (2009) Spatial patterns
 of bacterial taxa in nature reflect ecological traits of deep branches of the 16S rRNA bacterial
 tree. *Environmental Microbiology* **11**: 3096-3104.
- Philippot L, Andersson SGE, Battin TJ, Prosser JI, Schimel JP, Whitman WB & Hallin S (2010)
 The ecological coherence of high bacterial taxonomic ranks. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 8:
 1197 523-529.
- ⁴⁹ 1197 S25 S25. ⁵⁰ 1198 Prosser JI (2012) Ecosystem processes and interactions in a morass of diversity. *Fems* ⁵¹ 1199 *Microbiology Ecology* **81**: 507-519.
- ⁵² 1200 R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation* ⁵³ 1201 *for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.*
- Roller BRK & Schmidt TM (2015) The physiology and ecological implications of efficient growth. *The ISME journal* **9**: 1481-1487.
- 57 1204 Sancar A (1996) DNA excision repair. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **65**: 43-81.
- ⁵⁸ 1205 Sangwan P, Chen XL, Hugenholtz P & Janssen PH (2004) Chthoniobacter flavus gen. nov., sp ⁵⁹ 1206 nov. the first pure culture representative of subdivision two. Spartobactoria classis nov. of
- ⁵⁹ 1206 nov., the first pure-culture representative of subdivision two, Spartobacteria classis nov., of 1207 the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **70**: 5875-5881.
Page 145 of 147

1

1208	Schliep KP, Potts AJ, Morrison DA & Grimm WA (2017) Intertwining phylogenetic trees and
1209	networks Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8: 1212-1220.
1210	Schneiker S. Perlova O. Kaiser O. Gerth K. Alici A. Altmever MO. Bartels D. Bekel T & eget al.
1211	(2007) Complete genome of the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum Nature Biotechnology
1211	2507 Complete genome of the myxobacterian solungian centrosani. Nature Diotechnology
1212	23 . 1201-1290.
1213	Semenov AIVI (1991) Physiological bases of oligotrophy of microorganisms and the concept of
1214	microbial community. <i>Microbial Ecology</i> 22 : 239-247.
1215	Shimada T, Tanaka K & Ishihama A (2017) The whole set of the constitutive promoters
1216	recognized by four minor sigma subunits of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. Plos One 12:
1217	e0179181.
1218	Smirnova GV & Oktyabrsky ON (2005) Glutathione in Bacteria. <i>Biochemistry</i> 70: 1199-1211.
1219	Spang A. Poehlein A. Offre P. Zumbrägel S. Haider S. Rychlik N. Nowka B et al., (2012) The
1220	genome of the ammonia-oxidizing Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis: insights into
1220	metabolic versatility and environmental adaptations. Environmental Microbiology 14 (12):
1221	
1222	
1223	Spang A, Saw JH, Jorgensen SL, Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Martijn J, Lind AE, van Eijk R,
1224	Schleper C, Guy L & Ettema TJG (2015) Complex archaea that bridge the gap between
1225	prokaryotes and eukaryotes. <i>Nature</i> 521 : 173-185.
1226	Stackebrandt E, Pohla H, Kroppenstedt RM, Hippe H & Woese CR (1985) 16S rRNA analysis of
1227	Sporomusa, Selenomonas and Megasphaera: on the phylogenetic origin of Gram-positive
1228	Eubacteria. Archives of Microbiology 143: 270-276.
1229	Tamas I. Smirnova AV. He 7 & Dunfield PE (2014) The (d)evolution of methanotrophy in the
1220	Beijerinckiaceae - a comparative genomics analysis. The ISME journal 8 : 360-382
1220	Torzonbach DD & Dlaut M (1004) Transformation of totrachloroothylono to trichloroothylono
1251	hu homososto sonia hastaria. Sona Mianshialaru lattara 132 , 212, 213
1232	by nomoacetogenic bacteria. <i>Fems Microbiology Letters</i> 123 : 213-218.
1233	Trivedi P, Anderson IC & Singh BK (2013) Microbial modulators of soil carbon storage:
1234	integrating genomic and metabolic knowledge for global prediction. Trends in Microbiology
1235	<u>21</u> : 641-651.
1236	van Rossum T, Ferretti P, Maistrenko OM & Bork P (2020) Diversity within species: interpreting
1237	strains in microbiomes. Nature Reviews Microbiology 18 : 491-506.
1238	Vieira-Silva S & Rocha EPC (2010) The systemic imprint of growth and its uses in ecological
1239	(meta)genomics. Plos Genetics 6: e1000808.
1240	Warnes GR Bolker B Bonebakker L et al. (2019) golots: various R programming tools for
1240	nletting data, https://cran.r.project.org/web/packages/gplots.various.html
1241	Maine II (1008) Testing shule constite mothed a with tree constructions shule constite analysis of
1242	weins JJ (1998) Testing phylogenetic methods with tree congruence: phylogenetic analysis of
1243	polymorphic morphological characters in Phrynosomatid lizards. Systematic Biology 47: 427-
1244	444.
1245	Wessen E, Hallin S & Philippot L (2010) Differential responses of bacterial and archaeal groups
1246	at high taxonomical ranks to soil management. Soil Biol Biochem 42: 1759-1765.
1247	Wickham H (2007) Reshaping data with the reshape package. Journal of Statistical Software
1248	21 : 1-20.
1249	Young I & Holland IB (1999) ABC transporters: bacterial exporters-revisited five years on
1250	Riochimica Et Rionhysica Acta-Riomembranes 1461 . 177-200
1250	Zhu CS Dolmont TO Vogol TM 9. Promhorg V (2015) Functional Basic of Microorganism
1251	Classification Dias Computational Dialogy 14
1252	Classification. Plos Computational Biology 11.
	1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1251 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1250 1241 1242 1243

For peer Peview