

MAGNETIC PERTURBATIONS OF THE ROBIN LAPLACIAN IN THE STRONG COUPLING LIMIT

Rayan Fahs

▶ To cite this version:

Rayan Fahs. MAGNETIC PERTURBATIONS OF THE ROBIN LAPLACIAN IN THE STRONG COUPLING LIMIT. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 2023, 64 (4), 10.1063/5.0101330. hal-03410740v3

HAL Id: hal-03410740 https://hal.science/hal-03410740v3

Submitted on 4 Feb 2022 (v3), last revised 1 May 2023 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MAGNETIC PERTURBATIONS OF THE ROBIN LAPLACIAN IN THE STRONG COUPLING LIMIT

RAYAN FAHS

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the asymptotic analysis of the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with a strong magnetic field and Robin boundary condition on a smooth planar domain and with a negative boundary parameter. We study the singular limit when the Robin parameter tends to infinity which is equivalent to a semi-classical limit involving a small positive semi-classical parameter. The main result is a comparison between the spectrum of the Robin Laplacian with an effective operator defined on the boundary of the domain via the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. More precisely, the low-lying eigenvalue of the Robin Laplacian is approximated by the those of the effective operator. When the curvature has a unique non-degenerate maximum, we estimate the spectral gap and find that the magnetic field does not contribute to the three-term expansion of the eigenvalues. In the case of the disc domains, the eigenvalue asymptotics displays the contribution of the magnetic field explicitly.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Magnetic Robin Laplacian.** Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . It is assumed that the boundary $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ is smooth C^{∞} . In this paper, we study the eigenvalues of the magnetic Robin Laplacian in $L^2(\Omega)$ with a large negative parameter, in a continuation of the works initiated in [8, 12, 16]. The operator is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{b} = -(\nabla - ibA_0)^2,$$

with domain

$$Dom(\mathcal{P}^b_{\gamma}) = \{ u \in H^2(\Omega) : \nu \cdot (\nabla - ibA_0)u + \gamma u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \},$$

where

- $-A_0$ is the vector potential,
- $-\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector of Γ ,
- $-\gamma < 0$ is the Robin parameter,
- -b > 0 is the intensity of the applied magnetic field,
- $-\nu \cdot (\nabla ibA_0)u + \gamma u = 0$ on Γ is the Robin boundary condition.

The magnetic potential A_0 generates a constant magnetic field B equal to 1 and it is defined by

$$A_0(x_1, x_2) = (A_{0_1}, A_{0_2}) := \frac{1}{2}(-x_2, x_1).$$

We have:

$$B := \nabla \times A_0 = \partial_{x_1} A_{0_2} - \partial_{x_2} A_{0_1} = 1.$$

To be more precise, the magnetic Robin Laplacian \mathcal{P}_{γ}^{b} is defined via the Lax-Milgram theorem, from the closed semi-bounded quadratic form [6, Ch. 4]

$$H^1(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto \mathcal{Q}^b_{\gamma}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |(\nabla - ibA_0)u(x)|^2 dx + \gamma \int_{\Gamma} |u(x)|^2 ds(x),$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P15, 47A10, 47F05.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic Robin Laplacian, semi classical analysis, eigenvalues, Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

where ds is the standard surface measure on the boundary. Note that, by a classical trace theorem (see for instance [1] in the case of straight boundary), the trace of u is well defined as an element of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, and the quadratic form \mathcal{Q}^b_{γ} is well defined and bounded from below.

Since Ω is bounded and regular, then the embedding of $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, therefore the operator \mathcal{P}^b_γ has a compact resolvent. Its spectrum is purely discrete, and since \mathcal{P}^b_γ is self-adjoint and bounded from below, it consists of an increasing sequence of eigenvalues. Our aim is to examine the magnetic effects on the principal eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_n(b,\gamma)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$, when the Robin parameter γ tends to $-\infty$ and b tends to $+\infty$ simultaneously.

If Ω is simply connected, the eigenvalue $\lambda_n(b,\gamma)$ is independent of the choice of the vector potential A_0 of the magnetic field. This is a consequence of invariance under gauge transformations; if $A \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and curl A = 1, then $A = A_0 + \nabla \phi$ for a function $\phi \in H^2(\Omega)$ (cf. [3, Props D.1.1 and D.2.1]), and in turn

$$e^{ib\phi}(\nabla - ibA_0)^2 e^{-ib\phi} = (\nabla - ibA_0 - ib\nabla\phi)^2 = (\nabla - ibA)^2.$$

A part from its own interest, the study of the spectrum of the operator \mathcal{P}^b_γ arises in several contexts, where both situations subject to magnetic fields, b>0, or without magnetic fields, b=0, occur. Estimating the ground state energy of \mathcal{P}^b_γ , leads to information on the critical temperature/critical fields of certain superconductors surrounded by other materials [16, 13]. On the other hand, eigenvlaue asymptotics in the singular limit $\gamma\to-\infty$, provide counter examples in the context of spectral geometry [5, 21], and has connections to the study of Steklov eigenvalues [9]. The case $\gamma=0$ corresponds to the Neumann magnetic Laplacian, wich has been studied in many papers [24, 23].

1.2. **Main results.** In the case without magnetic field, b=0, the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalues of the Robin Laplacian has been the subject of recent studies [2, 8, 18, 21, 14, 12, 17]. In particular, the derivation of an effective operator on the boundary, involving the Laplace-Beltrami operator as well as the mean curvature of the boundary. In the same spirit, we will study the negative spectrum of the Robin's Laplacian on a bounded domain with Robin condition at the boundary. Our aim is to improve/complement earlier estimates and also clarify the magnetic field's contribution in the spectral asymptotic.

The main contribution of this article is Theorem 1.1 below, which involves an effective operator that we introduce as follows. We denote by $|\Omega|$ the area of the domain Ω and by $|\partial\Omega|$ the arc-length of its boundary. Let us parameterize the boundary, $\partial\Omega$, by the arc-length, which we denote by s. Let $\kappa(s)$ be the curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at the point defined by the arc-length s, with the convention that $\kappa(s) \geq 0$ when $\partial\Omega$ is convex in a neighborhood of s, and negative otherwise. The effective operators are self-adjoint operators in the Hilbert space $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, acting on periodic functions, and defined as follows

(1.1)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}} = -\gamma^{-2}(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 + \gamma^{-1}\kappa(s)$$
$$\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}/|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z})$$

with β_0 the circulation of the magnetic field,

$$\beta_0 = \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|} \,.$$

By the min-max principle, and standard semi-classical approximation,

(1.3)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}}) = -1 + \kappa_{\text{max}} \gamma^{-1} + o(\gamma^{-1}),$$

where

(1.4)
$$\kappa_{\max} = \max_{\partial \Omega} \kappa(s) \,.$$

We will describe the asymptotics of the negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{P}^b_{γ} , via those of the effective operator on the boundary. More precisely, the *n*-th eigenvalues for the Laplace operator \mathcal{P}^b_{γ} , is approximated by the *n*-th eigenvalue of the operators $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}_{\gamma,\beta_0}$. We will use the following notation:

(1.5)
$$M = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(|\gamma|^{\beta}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, M = \mathcal{O}(|\gamma|^{\beta - \epsilon}).$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Suppose that

$$c_1|\gamma|^{\eta} \leq b \leq c_2|\gamma|^{\eta}$$
.

As γ tends to $-\infty$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$|\lambda_n(b,\gamma) - \gamma^2 \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}})| = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\gamma^{2(\eta-1)}),$$

where $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}})$ is the n-eigenvalues of the effective operator introduced in (1.1).

It results from Theorem 1.1 and (1.3),

(1.6)
$$\lambda_n(b,\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \kappa_{\max}\gamma + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\gamma^{2(\eta-1)}).$$

When b is a fixed constant (i.e. $\eta=0$), (1.6) is known [15, Prop. 5.1] and in the absence of the magnetic field (b=0) [8, Thm. 1.1] and [22, Thm. 1]. Notice that, the remainder term is of lower order as long as $\eta<\frac{3}{2}$. If $\eta=\frac{3}{2}$, we have by [12],

$$\lambda_n(b,\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \left(\kappa_{\text{max}} + \frac{b^2 \gamma^{-3}}{4}\right) \gamma + o(\gamma).$$

In a generic situation where the curvature has a unique non-degenerate maximum, Theorem 1.1 allows us to determine the leading order term of the spectral gap between the successive eigenvalues. This is valid under the following assumption:

where κ_{max} is introduced in (1.4), denotes the maximal curvature along the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and the arc-length parametrization of the boundary is selected so that $\kappa(0)=\kappa_{\text{max}}$.

Under **Assumption A**, we study the three terms of the eigenvalue asymptotics of the effective operator and find that

(1.7)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}}) = -1 + \kappa_{\max} \gamma^{-1} + (2n-1) \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} |\gamma|^{-3/2} + o(|\gamma|^{-3/2}).$$

This yields the following corollary of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 1.1. Let $0 \le \eta < \frac{5}{4}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Suppose that

$$|c_1|\gamma|^{\eta} \le b \le |c_2|\gamma|^{\eta}$$
.

Under the **Assumption** A, as γ tends to $-\infty$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\lambda_n(b,\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \kappa_{\max}\gamma + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}|\gamma|^{1/2} + o(|\gamma|^{1/2}).$$

The technical condition on η appears as follows. After inserting (1.7) into the estimate of Theorem 1.1, we get the error $\tilde{O}(\gamma^{2(\eta-1)})$, which is of order $o(|\gamma|^{1/2})$ if and only if $\eta < \frac{5}{4}$.

In the asymptotics of Corollary 1.1, the dependence with respect to the labeling of the eigenvalues, n, appears in the third term of the expansion. However, in the generic situations described by **Assumption A**, the contribution of the magnetic field is hidden in the remainder term, and is of lower order compared to that of the curvature. Corollary 1.1 follows from the spectral asymoptotics of the 1D effective operators, which is valid under a weaker assumption on the strength of the magnetic field $(b = \mathcal{O}(|\gamma|^{5/4}))$ when compared to the one in Theorem 1.1 $(b = \mathcal{O}(|\gamma|^{3/2}))$.

In the case of disc domains, **Assumption A** fails, but we can improve Theorem 1.1 and display the influence of the magnetic field in the lowest eigenvalue asymptotics.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\Omega = D(0,1)$ is the unit disc and that $0 \le \eta < 1$. We have, as $\gamma \to -\infty$,

$$\lambda_1(b,\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \gamma + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + o(1),$$

uniformly with respect to b up to $b = \mathcal{O}(|\gamma|^{\eta})$.

Theorem 1.2 was known when b is fixed [16, Thm. 1.1]. The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the derivation of the following effective operator, which is more accurate than the one in (1.1) (note that in the unit disc, the curvature is constant and equal to 1),

(1.8)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff}} = -\gamma^{-2}(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 + \gamma^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{-2}.$$

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we introduce an effective semiclassical parameter, we discuss a semi-classical version of the operator and we recall why the eigenfunctions are localized, via Agmon estimates near the boundary. As a consequence, we replace the initial problem by a problem on a thin tubular neighborhood of the boundary. In Section 4, by using the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, we derive an effective operator whose eigenvalues simultaneously describe the eigenvalues of the magnetic Robin Laplacian. In Section 5, we show that the bound states for the effective operator decay exponentially away from points of maximal curvature. Then we reduce the study to a free-flux operator, which is a perturbation of the harmonic oscillator. Moreover, we estimate the eigenvalues for the effective operator with large magnetic field, thereby proving Corollary 1.1. Finally, in Section 6, In the case of the disc domains, we describe the term which determines the influence of the magnetic field on the spectrum of the Robin Laplacian, thereby proving Theorem 1.2. In Appendix A, we recall the known results related to a family of one dimensional auxiliary differential operators.

2. BOUNDARY COORDINATES

The key to proving Theorem 1.1 is a reduction to the boundary. Indeed, the eigenfunctions associated with eigenvalues lower than $-\epsilon h$ with $\epsilon > 0$ concentrate exponentially near the boundary (cf. Proposition 3.1). To single out the influence of the boundary curvature, we need a special coordinate system displaying the arc-length along the boundary and the normal distance to the boundary. We will refer to such as boundary coordinates. In this section, we introduce the necessary notation to use these coordinates (cf. [11, 3]). Let

$$\mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z})\ni s\longmapsto M(s)\in\partial\Omega$$

be the arc-length parametrization of $\partial\Omega$. We will always work with $|\partial\Omega|$ -periodic functions sometimes restricted to the interval

$$\left] - \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{2}, \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{2} \right] =] - L, L].$$

At the point $M(s) \in \partial \Omega$, T(s) = M'(s) is the unit tangent vector and $\nu(s)$ is the unit normal vector such that

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}), \quad \det(T(s), \nu(s)) = 1.$$

The curvature $\kappa(s)$ at point M(s) is then defined as follows

$$T'(s) = \kappa(s)\nu(s)$$
.

The smoothness of the boundary yields the existence of a constant $t_0 > 0$ such that, upon defining

$$V_{t_0} = \{x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) < t_0\}$$

the change of coordinates

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \times (0,t_0) \ni (s,t) \mapsto x = M(s) - t\nu(s) \in V_{t_0}$$

becomes a diffeomorphism. Let us note that, for $x \in V_{t_0}$, one can write

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) := (s(x), t(x)) \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, t_0)$$

where $t(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and s(x) is the coordinate of the point $M(s(x)) \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the relation $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = |x - M(s(x))|$.

The inverse of Φ defines a coordinate system on a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ that can be used locally or semi-globally. Now we express various integrals in the new coordinates (s,t).

For all s, the Jacobian matrix J_{Φ} is written, as the 2×2 matrix with column vectors $M' - t\nu'$ and ν

$$J_{\Phi}(s,t) = \left(M'(s) - t\nu'(s), \nu(s)\right).$$

As $\nu'(s) = \kappa(s)M'(s)$, the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of the transformation Φ^{-1} is given by:

$$\det J_{\Phi}(s,t) = 1 - t\kappa(s).$$

In the new coordinates, the components of the vector field A_0 are given as follows,

$$\tilde{A}_1(s,t) = (1 - t\kappa(s))\mathbf{A}_0(\Phi(s,t)) \cdot M'(s) ,$$

$$\tilde{A}_2(s,t) = \mathbf{A}_0(\Phi(s,t)) \cdot \nu(s) .$$

The new magnetic potential $\tilde{A}_0 = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ satisfies,

$$\left[\frac{\partial \tilde{A}_2}{\partial s}(s,t) - \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_1}{\partial t}(s,t)\right] ds \wedge dt = \operatorname{curl} A_0(\Phi^{-1}(s,t)) dx \wedge dy = (1 - t\kappa(s)) ds \wedge dt.$$

For all $u \in L^2(V_{t_0})$, we assign the pull-back function \tilde{u} defined in the new coordinates as follows

$$\tilde{u} = u \circ \Phi .$$

Consequently, for all $u \in H^1(V_{t_0})$, we have

$$\int_{V_{t_0}} |(\nabla - ibA_0)u(x)|^2 dx
= \int_{\Phi^{-1}(V_{t_0})} \left[(1 - t\kappa(s))^{-2} |(\partial_s - ib\tilde{A}_1)\tilde{u}|^2 + |(\partial_t - ib\tilde{A}_2)\tilde{u}|^2 \right] (1 - t\kappa(s)) dtds,
\int_{V_{t_0}} |u(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(V_{t_0})} |\tilde{u}|^2 (1 - t\kappa(s)) dtds,$$

and

$$\int_{V_{t_0} \cap \partial\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx = \int |\tilde{u}(s, t=0)|^2 ds.$$

The following lemma can be found in [20].

Lemma 2.1. For $t_0 > 0$ small enough, there exists a gauge transformation $\varphi(s,t)$ on $\mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \times (0,t_0)$ such that \bar{A}_0 defined by

$$\bar{A}_0 = \tilde{A}_0 - \nabla_{(s,t)} \varphi \,,$$

satisfies

$$\bar{A}_0(s,t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_1(s,t) \\ \bar{A}_2(s,t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 - t + \frac{t^2}{2} \kappa(s) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} A_0 \, dx = \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|} \,,$$

and for all $u \in H^1(V_{t_0})$, we have

$$\int_{V_{t_0}} |(\nabla - ibA_0)u(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(V_{t_0})} \left((1 - tk(s))^{-2} \left| \left(\partial_s - ib\bar{A}_1 \right) w \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t w \right|^2 \right) (1 - tk(s)) ds dt$$

where $w = e^{i\varphi}\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u} = u \circ \Phi$.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. **Transformation into a semi-classical problem.** We will prove the results of Section 1.2 in a semiclassical framework. Let us consider the semi-classical parameter

$$h = \gamma^{-2} \,.$$

The limit $\gamma \to -\infty$ is now equivalent to the semi-classical limit $h \to 0^+$. The quadratic form can be written as

$$Q_{\gamma}^{b}(u) = h^{-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |(h\nabla - ibhA_{0})u(x)|^{2} dx - h^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} |u(x)|^{2} ds(x) \right) := h^{-2} Q_{h}^{b}(u).$$

Consequently, we obtain the self-adjoint operator depending on h

$$\mathcal{L}_h^b = -(h\nabla - ihbA_0)^2,$$

with domain

$$\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_h^b) = \{ u \in H^2(\Omega) : \nu \cdot (\nabla - ibA_0)u - h^{\frac{1}{2}}u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}.$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^b = h^{-2} \mathcal{L}_h^b \,,$$

and thus the relation between the spectra of the operators \mathcal{P}^b_γ and \mathcal{L}^b_h is displayed as follows :

(3.9)
$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^b) = h^{-2} \sigma(\mathcal{L}_h^b).$$

Let $(\mu_n(h,b))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{L}_h^b . Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 can be reformulated in semi-classical form as follows.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\eta < \frac{3}{2}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist $h_0 > 0$, such that, if $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}}$$
,

then we have:

$$|\mu_n(h,b) - h \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}})| = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{3-\eta}),$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff}} = -h(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 3.1. We distinguish two important cases of η :

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{- If } \ 0 \leq \eta < \frac{5}{4} \text{, then }, \ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{3-\eta}) = o(h^{\frac{7}{4}}) \,. \\ \text{- If } \ \frac{5}{4} \leq \eta < \frac{3}{2} \text{, then }, \ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{3-\eta}) = o(h^{\frac{3}{2}}) \,. \end{array}$$

- If
$$\frac{5}{4} \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$$
, then , $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{3-\eta}) = o(h^{\frac{3}{2}})$

By the method of harmonic approximation, we deduce:

Corollary 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{5}{4}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Under the Assumption A, there exist $h_0 > 0$, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} < b < c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}}$$
,

we have:

$$\mu_n(h,b) = -h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} h^{7/4} + o(h^{\frac{7}{4}}).$$

In the case of the disc, we derive the following effective operator

(3.10)
$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff}} = -h(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2}.$$

As a consequence, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\Omega = D(0,1)$ is the unit disc, $0 \le \eta < 1$ and that $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist $h_0 > 0$, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}},$$

we have:

$$\mu_n(h,b) = -h - h^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) h^2 + o(h^2).$$

3.2. Reduction near the boundary via Agmon estimates. The goal of this section is to show that the eigenfunctions associated to eigenvalues less than $-\epsilon h$, with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ a fixed constant, are concentrated exponentially near the boundary at the scale $h^{1/2}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $M \in (-1,0)$. For all $\alpha < \sqrt{-M}$, there exist constants C > 0 and $h_0 \in$ (0,1) such that, for $h \in (0,h_0)$, if $u_{h,b}$ is a normalized ground state of \mathcal{L}_h^b with eigenvalue $\mu(h,b)$ such that $\mu(h,b) < Mh$, then

(3.11)
$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|u_{h,b}(x)|^2 + h|(\nabla - ibA_0)u_{h,b}(x)|^2 \right) \exp\left(\frac{2\alpha \operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma)}{h^{1/2}} \right) dx \le C.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [8, Thm. 5.1], and only notice that it is a consequence modulo a partition of unity with balls of size $Rh^{1/2}$ with R large enough and the fact, if the support of u avoids the boundary, we have $Q_h^b(u) \ge -Ch$.

We record the following simple corollary of Proposition 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Let $M \in (-1,0)$, $\rho \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$ and c > 0. For all $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{-M}$, there exists C > 0 and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, if $u_{h,b}$ is a normalized ground state of \mathcal{L}_h^b with eigenvalue $\mu(h,b)$ such that $\mu(h,b) < Mh$, then for all $h \in (0,h_0)$,

(3.12)
$$\int_{\operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma) > c h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}} \left(|u_{h,b}(x)|^2 + h |(\nabla - ibA_0) u_{h,b}(x)|^2 \right) dx C \le \exp(-2 \alpha c h^{-\rho}).$$

As a consequence, for small h, the ground states of the operator \mathcal{L}_h^b are concentrated near the boundary of Ω (cf. Corollary 3.2). This will allow us to work in a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.

Let $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$, we introduce the δ -neighborhood of the boundary

$$\Omega_{\delta} = \{ x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) < \delta \}, \quad \delta = h^{\frac{1}{2} - \rho}.$$

The quadratic form, defined on the variational space

$$W_{\delta} = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega_{\delta}) : u(x) = 0, \forall x \in \Omega \text{ such that } \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) = \delta \},$$

is given by the formula

(3.13)
$$q_h^{b,\rho}(u) = h^2 \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} |(\nabla - ibA_0)u(x)|^2 dx - h^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\delta}} |u(x)|^2 ds(x).$$

Note again that the trace on $\partial\Omega_{\delta}$ is well-defined by a classical trace theorem. The associated self-adjoint operator is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h}^{b,\rho} = -(h\nabla - ihbA_0)^2,$$

with domain

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho}) = \, \{ u \in H^2(\Omega_\delta) \, : \, \nu.(\nabla - ibA_0)u - h^{\frac{1}{2}}u = 0 \, \text{ on } \, \Gamma \text{ and} \\ u(x) = 0 \, , \, \forall \, x \in \Omega \, \text{ such that } \operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma) = \delta \} \, . \end{split}$$

That is, we consider the realization with mixed boundary conditions (Robin and Dirichlet conditions). Let $(\mu_n(h,b,\rho))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho}$. The following proposition reduces the analysis to the operator $\mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, \sqrt{\epsilon})$, there exist constants C > 0, $h_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$, $n \ge 1$ and $\mu_n(h, b) < -\epsilon h$,

$$\mu_n(h, b, \rho) \le \mu_n(h, b) + C \exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho}).$$

Moreover, we have, for all n > 1 *and* h > 0

$$\mu_n(h,b) \leq \mu_n(h,b,\rho)$$
.

Proof. The inequality $\mu_n(h,b) \leq \mu_n(h,b,\rho)$ is not asymptotic. Let $(v_{k,h})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ be an orthonormal family of eigenfunctions associated with eigenvalues $(\tilde{\mu}_k(h,b,\rho))_{1 \leq k \leq n}$. We define the function

$$u_{k,h} = \begin{cases} v_{k,h} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_{\delta} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta} . \end{cases}$$

Let E be the vector subspace generated by the family $(u_{k,h})_{1 \le k \le n}$ and $u \in E$ which is written as follows:

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k u_{k,h} .$$

Inserting u into the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_h^b(u)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}_{h}^{b}(u) = \left\langle \mathcal{L}_{h}^{b,\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} v_{k,h}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} v_{k,h} \right\rangle
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} q_{h}^{b,\rho}(v_{k,h}) \leq \mu_{n}(h,b,\rho) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \|u_{k,h}\|^{2} = \mu_{n}(h,b,\rho) \|u\|^{2}.$$

By the min-max theorem, we get the non-asymptotic inequality $\mu_n(h,b) \leq \mu_n(h,b,\rho)$.

Now we determine an upper bound of $\mu_n(h,b,\rho)$ in terms of $\mu_n(h,b)$. Let $(u_{k,h})_{1\leq k\leq n}$ be an orthonormal family of eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalues $(\mu_k(h,b))_{1\leq k\leq n}$. Let us consider the cut off function define on $\mathbb R$ as following:

$$0 \le \chi \le 1$$
, $\chi = 1$ on $]-\infty, 1/2]$ and $\chi = 0$ on $[1, +\infty[$.

We define the function

$$\chi_1(x) = \chi\left(\frac{t(x)}{\delta}\right).$$

For k = 1, ..., n, we set

$$v_{k,h} = \chi_1 u_{k,h} .$$

Let F_h the vector subspace of $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho})$ spanned by the family $(v_{k,h})_{1\leq k\leq n}$ and $w_h\in F_h$ which is written as follows:

$$w_h = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k v_{k,h} .$$

The functions $(v_{k,h})$ are almost orthonormal (Proposition 3.1). We note that $\dim F_h = n$ and

$$||w_h||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})).$$

Inserting w_h into the quadratic form $q_h^{b,\rho}(w_h)$

$$q_h^{b,\rho}(w_h) = \langle \mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho} w_h, w_h \rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \beta_j \beta_k \langle \mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho} v_{j,h}, v_{k,h} \rangle.$$

For j, k fixed and for h small enough, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{h}^{b,\rho} v_{j,h}, v_{k,h} \rangle = \delta_{j,k} \mu_{j}(h,b) - h^{2} \langle u_{j,h} \Delta \chi_{1}, \chi_{1} u_{k,h} \rangle - 2h^{2} \langle \nabla \chi_{1}.(\nabla - ibA_{0})u_{j,h}, \chi_{1} u_{k,h} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})).$$

From Hölder's inequality and the Corollary 3.2, we obtain

$$h^2\langle u_{j,h}\Delta\chi_1,\chi_1u_{k,h}\rangle + 2h^2\langle\nabla\chi_1.(\nabla - ibA_0)u_{j,h},\chi_1u_{k,h}\rangle = \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})).$$

Therefore,

$$q_h^{b,\rho}(w_h) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j(h,b)|\beta_j|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})) \sum_{j,k=1}^n \beta_j \beta_k$$

$$\leq \left(\mu_n(h,b) + C \exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})\right) ||w_h||^2.$$

By the min-max theorem, we have $\mu_n(h,b,\rho) \leq \mu_n(h,b) + C \exp(-\alpha h^{-\rho})$.

Proposition 3.2 leads us to replace the initial problem by a new Robin-Dirichlet in a δ -neighborhood of the boundary Γ .

3.3. **The Robin Laplacian in boundary coordinates.** By Lemma 2.1, the new quadratic form is expressed in tubular coordinates and is written as follows:

$$\widetilde{q}_{h}^{b,\rho}(u) = h^{2} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{\delta} \left| \left(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0} - ib\left(-t + \frac{t^{2}}{2}\kappa(s) \right) \right) \widetilde{u} \right|^{2} (1 - t\kappa(s))^{-1} dt ds + h^{2} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{\delta} \left| \partial_{t}\widetilde{u} \right|^{2} (1 - t\kappa(s)) dt ds - h^{3/2} \int_{-L}^{L} \left| \widetilde{u}(s, t = 0) \right|^{2} ds ,$$

with $\tilde{u}=e^{i\varphi}u\circ\Phi$ and $L=\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}$. The operator $\mathcal{L}_h^{b,\rho}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h^{b,\rho}$ the self-adjoint realization on $L^2((-L,L]\times(0,\delta),a~\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s)$, of the differential operator, with 2L-periodic boundary condition with respect to s,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h}^{b,\rho} = -h^{2}a^{-1}\left(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0} - ib\left(-t + \frac{t^{2}}{2}\kappa(s)\right)\right)a^{-1}\left(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0} - ib\left(-t + \frac{t^{2}}{2}\kappa(s)\right)\right)$$
$$-h^{2}a^{-1}\partial_{t}a\partial_{t} \qquad \left(\operatorname{in}\left(L^{2}(a \, \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s)\right).$$

With $a=1-t\kappa(s)$. In boundary coordinates, the Robin condition becomes

$$\partial_t u(s, t = 0) = -h^{-1/2} u(s, t = 0)$$
.

We introduce,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta} &= \{(s,t) : s \in [-L, L[\text{ and } 0 < t < \delta \} \,, \\ \mathrm{Dom}(\widetilde{q}_h^{b,\rho}) &= \{u \in H^1(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}) \, : \, u(s,\delta) = 0 \} \,, \\ \mathrm{Dom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h^{b,\rho}) &= \{u \in H^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}) \, : u(s,\delta) = 0 \text{ and } \partial_t u(s,t=0) = -h^{-1/2} u(s,t=0) \} \,. \end{split}$$

We know that the eigenfunctions are localized near the boundary, at the scale $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. Prop 3.1) and in order to obtain a Robin condition independent of h, we get a partially semiclassical problem by changing the variable

$$(s,t) = (s, h^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau).$$

This change of variable transforms the above expression of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_h^{b,\rho}$ into an operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h^{b,\rho}$ as follows

$$h\left[-h\widehat{a}^{-1}\left(\partial_{s}-ib\beta_{0}-ib\left(-h^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau+h\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\kappa(s)\right)\right)\widehat{a}^{-1}\left(\partial_{s}-ib\beta_{0}-ib\left(-h^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau+h\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\kappa(s)\right)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\widehat{a}^{-1}\partial_{\tau}\widehat{a}\partial_{\tau}\right],$$

where the new weight

$$\widehat{a}(s,\tau) = 1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau \kappa(s).$$

The boundary condition becomes

$$\partial_{\tau}u(s,\tau=0) = -u(s,\tau=0)$$
.

We consider rather the operator

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{b}^{b,\rho} = h^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{b}^{b,\rho}$$

and the domain of integration becomes

$$[-L, L[\times(0, \delta/h^{1/2})] = [-L, L[\times(0, h^{-\rho})].$$

The associated quadratic form is

$$\widehat{q}_{h}^{b,\rho}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(h^{1/2} \partial_{s} - ibh^{1/2} \beta_{0} - ibh \left(-\tau + h^{1/2} \frac{\tau^{2}}{2} \kappa(s) \right) \right) \psi \right|^{2} \widehat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds + \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_{\tau} \psi|^{2} \widehat{a} d\tau ds - \int_{-L}^{L} |\psi(s, \tau = 0)|^{2} ds.$$

We let

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{\delta} = \left\{ (s, \tau) : s \in [-L, L[\text{ and } 0 < \tau < h^{-\rho}] \right\},$$

(3.14)
$$\operatorname{Dom}(\widehat{q}_{h}^{b,\rho}) = \{ u \in H^{1}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\delta}) : u(s, h^{-\rho}) = 0 \},$$
$$\operatorname{Dom}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{h}^{b,\rho}) = \{ u \in H^{2}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\delta}) : u(s, h^{-\rho}) = 0 \text{ and } \partial_{\tau} u(s, \tau = 0) = -u(s, \tau = 0) \}.$$

Let $\widehat{\mu}_n(h,b,
ho)$ be the n-th eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_h^{b,
ho}$. We have

(3.15)
$$\widehat{\mu}_n(h, b, \rho) = h^{-1} \mu_n(h, b, \rho).$$

4. ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EIGENVALUES OF THE ROBIN'S LAPLACIAN

The aim of this section is to prove the following result, which implies Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist $c_{\pm} > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$, such that, if $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}}$$
,

then we have:

$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},-}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \le \widehat{\mu}_n(h,b,\rho) \le \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}),$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},\pm} = -h(1 \pm c_{\pm} h^r)(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2}h,$$

and

$$r = \min(\alpha, \frac{1}{2}).$$

4.1. **The Feshbach projection.** Following the writing of the magnetic Robin Laplacian in tubular coordinates in Section 3.3, there appears a one-dimensional operator defined, for *s* fixed, by

$$\begin{cases} -\,\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_{\tau}\tilde{a}\partial_{\tau} = -\partial_{\tau}^2 + h^{1/2}\kappa(s)\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_{\tau} \\ \partial_{\tau}u(s,\tau=0) = -u(s,\tau=0) \text{ in } L^2((0,h^{-\rho}),(1-\tau h^{1/2}\kappa(s)) \,\,\mathrm{d}\tau) \,. \end{cases}$$

This operator is denoted by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h} = \mathcal{H}_{R}^{\{T\}}$$

with

$$T=h^{-\rho} \ \ \text{and} \ \ B=h^{1/2}\kappa(s)\,,$$

and where $\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}$ is defined in A.2. Let $v_{\kappa(s),h}$ be a normalized groundstate of $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}$ associated with $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h})$. By [16, Lemma 2.5], we have

(4.16)
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) = -1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2}h + o(h),$$

and for C>0

(4.17)
$$\lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) \ge -Ch^{1/2-\rho}.$$

According to Lemma A.1 and Proposition A.2, there exist constants C and C_k , such that

(4.18)
$$\|\partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h}\|_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho}),(1-h^{1/2}\kappa(s)\tau) d\tau)} \le Ch^{1/2},$$

and

(4.19)
$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \tau^k |v_{\kappa(s),h}|^2 (1 - h^{1/2} \kappa(s) \tau) \, d\tau \le C_k.$$

We introduce for $s \in [-L, L]$, the Feshbach projection Π_s on the normalized ground state $v_{\kappa(s),h}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}$

(4.20)
$$\Pi_s \psi = \langle \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho}),\hat{a},d\tau)} v_{\kappa(s),h}.$$

We also let

$$\Pi_s^{\perp} = \mathrm{Id} - \Pi_s$$
,

and

$$R_h(s) = \|\partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h}\|_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho}),\hat{a} d\tau)}^2.$$

The quantity R_h is sometimes called "Born-Oppenheimer correction".

Lemma 4.1. There exist constants C > 0 and $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\psi \in \text{Dom}(\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho})$ and $h \in (0, h_0)$, we have:

$$\|[\Pi_s, \partial_s]\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \, \mathrm{d}s)} = \|[\Pi_s^{\perp}, \partial_s]\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \, \mathrm{d}s)} \leq \int_{-L}^{L} \left[2R_h(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + ch^{1/2} \right] \|\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau)} \, \mathrm{d}s \, .$$

Proof. We estimate the commutator:

$$\begin{split} [\Pi_s,\partial_s]\psi &= -[\Pi_s^\perp,\partial_s]\psi \\ &= \langle \partial_s \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{a} \,\mathrm{d}\tau)} \, v_{\kappa(s),h} - \partial_s \bigg(\langle \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{a} \,\mathrm{d}\tau)} \, v_{\kappa(s),h} \bigg) \\ &= -\langle \psi, \partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{a} \,\mathrm{d}\tau)} \, v_{\kappa(s),h} - \langle \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2(\tilde{a} \,\mathrm{d}\tau)} \, \partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h} \\ &\qquad \qquad + h^{1/2} \kappa'(s) \bigg(\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \psi v_{\kappa(s),h} \tau \, \mathrm{d}\tau \bigg) v_{\kappa(s),h} \,. \end{split}$$

We get, thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the inequality (4.19)

$$\|[\Pi_s, \partial_s]\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, d\tau \, ds)} \le \int_{-L}^{L} \left[2R_h(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + ch^{1/2} \right] \|\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, d\tau)} \, ds.$$

4.2. **Approximation of the norm on the weighted space.** In this section, we introduce an approximation of the weight.

Lemma 4.2. There exist constants c>0 and $h_0>0$ such that, for all $\psi\in L^2(\hat{a}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s)$ and $h\in(0,h_0)$, we have:

$$(4.21) \|\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds)}^2 \le (1 + ch^{1/2}) \|\Pi_s \psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} d\tau ds)}^2 + (1 + ch^{1/4}) \|\Pi_s^{\perp} \psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} d\tau ds)}^2,$$
and

$$(4.22) \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds)}^{2} \ge (1 - ch^{1/2}) \|\Pi\psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a} d\tau ds)}^{2} + (1 - ch^{1/4}) \|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a} d\tau ds)}^{2}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\left| \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds - \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\psi|^{2} \hat{a}^{-1} \, d\tau ds \right| \leq C \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} h^{1/2} \tau |\psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds.$$

Then, we use an orthogonal decomposition to get

$$\left| \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds - \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\psi|^{2} \hat{a}^{-1} \, d\tau ds \right|
\leq C \left[\int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} h^{1/2} \tau |\Pi_{s}\psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds + \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} h^{1/2} \tau |\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds \right].$$

Thanks to (4.19), we get

$$\int_{-L}^{L} \! \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} h^{1/2} \tau |\Pi_{s} \psi|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds = h^{1/2} \! \int_{-L}^{L} \! |\varphi(s)|^{2} \left[\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \! \tau |v_{\kappa(s),h}|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau \right] ds \leq c \, h^{1/2} \, \|\Pi_{s} \psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a} \, d\tau ds)}^{2} \, .$$

We use that $h^{1/2-\rho} \le h^{1/4}$, we obtain:

$$\int_{-L}^{L}\!\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}}\!h^{1/2}\tau|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi|^{2}\hat{a}\;\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \leq h^{1/2-\rho}\!\int_{-L}^{L}\!\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}}|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi|^{2}\hat{a}\;\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \leq h^{1/4}\,\|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a}\;\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s)}^{2}\,.$$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of (4.21).

Corollary 4.1. There exist constants c > 0 and $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\psi \in L^2(\hat{a} d\tau ds)$ and $h \in (0, h_0)$, we have:

$$\|\Pi_s \psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds)}^2 \le (1 + ch^{1/2}) \int_{-L}^{L} |\varphi(s)|^2 ds$$

with $\varphi = \langle \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho}),\hat{a} d\tau)}$.

4.3. **Upper bound.** To separate the variables, we consider the function of the form :

(4.23)
$$\psi(s,\tau) = \varphi(s) \cdot v_{\kappa(s),h}(\tau),$$

with $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$.

The following proposition provides an upper bound of the quadratic form on a subspace.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\rho \in (0, 1/4)$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist constants c > 0, $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfying

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} < b < c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}}$$

we have for all ψ define in (4.23)

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(\psi) \le q_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+}(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})}^2,$$

where, for all $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$,

$$q_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^L \left(-1-\kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2}h\right) |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + h\left[1+c\,h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})}\right] \int_{-L}^L |\left(\partial_s - ib\beta_0\right)\varphi|^2 ds \,.$$

Proof. The proof will be done in a five steps.

i. We write

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(\psi) = q^{tang}(\psi) + q^{trans}(\psi),$$

where

$$q^{trans}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(h^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{s} - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{0} - ibh \left(-\tau + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tau^{2}}{2} \kappa(s) \right) \right) \psi \right|^{2} \hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds,$$

and

$$q^{tang}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_{\tau}\psi|^{2} \hat{a} d\tau ds - \int_{-L}^{L} |\psi(s, \tau = 0)|^{2} ds.$$

ii. Upper bound of $q^{tang}(\psi)$. We get, by using the min-max principle and (4.16)

$$q^{tang}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} |\varphi(s)|^{2} \left[\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_{\tau} v_{\kappa(s),h}(\tau)|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau - |v_{\kappa(s),h}(0)|^{2} \right] ds$$

$$= \int_{-L}^{L} q_{\kappa(s),h}(v_{\kappa(s),h}) |\varphi(s)|^{2} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{-L}^{L} \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) |\varphi(s)|^{2} \, ds$$

$$\leq \int_{-L}^{L} \left(-1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^{2}}{2}h \right) |\varphi(s)|^{2} \, ds + o(h) \|\varphi\|^{2}.$$

iii. Upper bound of $q^{trans}(\psi)$. Using that for any $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$, h>0 and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$|a+b|^2 \le (1+h^{\alpha})|a|^2 + (1+h^{-\alpha})|b|^2$$

we have

$$q^{trans}(\psi) \le (1 + h^{\alpha}) q_1^{trans}(\psi) + (1 + h^{-\alpha}) q_2^{trans}(\psi),$$

where

$$q_1^{trans}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(h^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_0 \right) \psi \right|^2 \hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds,$$

and

$$q_2^{trans}(\psi) = b^2 h^2 \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(-\tau + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tau^2}{2} \kappa(s) \right) \psi \right|^2 \hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds.$$

iv. By use Lemma 4.2, there exists c>0 such that

$$q_2^{trans}(\psi) \le b^2 h^2 (1 + c h^{1/4}) \int_{-L}^{L} |\varphi(s)|^2 \left[\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(-\tau + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tau^2}{2} \kappa(s) \right) v_{\kappa,h} \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau \right] ds \,.$$

Using the inequality (4.19), and since the curvature is bounded, we get with a possible new constant c,

$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(-\tau + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tau^2}{2} \kappa(s) \right) v_{\kappa,h} \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau \le c.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(1+h^{-\alpha}\right)q_2^{\,trans}(\psi) \leq c\,b^2h^{2-\alpha}\|\varphi\|^2 \leq ch^{2-\alpha-\eta}\|\varphi\|^2\,.$$

and

$$(1+h^{-\alpha}) q_2^{trans}(\psi) = \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|^2.$$

Note that:

- If $0 \leq \eta < \frac{5}{4}$, we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{4} - \eta)$ then

$$\frac{h^{2-\alpha-\eta}}{h^{\frac{3}{4}}} = h^{\frac{5}{4}-\alpha-\eta} = h^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{4}-\eta)} \underset{h \to 0^+}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

- If $\frac{5}{4} \leq \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2} - \eta)$ then

$$\frac{h^{2-\alpha-\eta}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}=h^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-\eta}=h^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}-\eta)}\underset{h\to 0^+}{\longrightarrow}0\,.$$

v. We have

$$q_1^{trans}(\psi) \leq h (1 + h^{\alpha}) \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |v_{\kappa(s),h}(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 \tilde{a}^{-1} d\tau ds + h (1 + h^{-\alpha}) \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h}|^2 |\varphi(s)|^2 \tilde{a}^{-1} d\tau ds.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.1, we write

$$q_1^{trans}(\psi) \le h (1 + h^{\alpha}) (1 + c h^{1/2}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 ds$$

$$+ h (1 + h^{-\alpha}) (1 + c h^{1/4}) \int_{-L}^{L} |\varphi(s)|^2 \left[\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_s v_{\kappa(s),h}|^2 \hat{a} d\tau \right] ds.$$

From (4.18), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} (1+h^{\alpha})q_{1}^{trans}(\psi) & \leq h \left[1 + c \, h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} \right] \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0})\varphi|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha}) \|\varphi\|^{2} \\ & \leq h \left[1 + c \, h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} \right] \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0})\varphi|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|^{2} \, . \end{split}$$

Then the conclusion follows.

4.4. Lower bound. The following proposition provides a lower bound of the quadratic form.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\rho \in (0, 1/4)$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist constants c > 0, $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfying

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}}$$
,

we have

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(\psi) \geq q_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},-}(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})}^2 - \mathcal{O}(h^{-\eta+\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}) \|\Pi_s^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s)}^2,$$

where

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho} \ define \ on \ \mathrm{Dom}(\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}) \ in \ (3.14). \\ \bullet \ \psi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}). \end{array}$
- $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$\varphi(s) := \langle \psi(s, \cdot), v_{\kappa(s), h} \rangle_{L^2((0, h^{-\rho}), \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau)},$$

$$\begin{split} q_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},-}(\varphi) &= \int_{-L}^L \left(-1 - \kappa(s) h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2} h\right) |\varphi(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\qquad \qquad + h[1 - c \, h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})})] \int_{-L}^L |\left(\partial_s - i b \beta_0\right) \varphi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \, . \end{split}$$

Proof. We write

$$\widehat{q}_{h}^{b,\rho}(\psi) = q^{trans}(\psi) + q^{tang}(\psi),$$

with

$$q^{trans}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \left(h^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_0 - ibh \left(-\tau + h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tau^2}{2} \kappa(s) \right) \right) \psi \right|^2 \hat{a}^{-1} d\tau ds,$$

and

$$q^{tang}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_{\tau}\psi|^{2} \hat{a} d\tau ds - \int_{-L}^{L} |\psi(s, \tau=0)|^{2} ds.$$

i. By using the orthogonal decomposition $\psi = \Pi_s \psi + \Pi_s^{\perp} \psi$, we get:

$$q_{\kappa(s),h}(\psi) = q_{\kappa(s),h}(\Pi_s \psi) + q_{\kappa(s),h}(\Pi_s^{\perp} \psi),$$

Then, by using the min-max principle, we get

$$q^{tang}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} q_{\kappa(s),h}(\psi) \, ds$$

$$= \int_{-L}^{L} q_{\kappa(s),h}(\varphi v_{\kappa(s),h}) \, ds + \int_{-L}^{L} q_{\kappa(s),h}(\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi) \, ds$$

$$\geq \int_{-L}^{L} \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) \|\varphi v_{\kappa(s),h}\|_{L^{2}((0,h^{-\rho}),\hat{a}\,d\tau)}^{2} \, ds$$

$$+ \int_{-L}^{L} \lambda_{2}(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) \|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^{2}((0,h^{-\rho}),\hat{a}\,d\tau)}^{2} \, ds \, .$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), we have

$$q^{tang}(\psi) \ge \int_{-L}^{L} \left(-1 - \kappa(s) h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^{2}}{2} h \right) |\varphi(s)|^{2} ds + o(h) \|\varphi\|^{2} - Ch^{1/2-\rho} \|\Pi_{s}^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^{2}(\hat{a} d\tau ds)}^{2}.$$

ii. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{split} q^{\,trans}(\psi) & \geq (1-ch^{1/2}\,) \!\int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \,\Pi_{s} \partial_{s} \psi - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{0} \Pi_{s} \psi - ibh \Pi_{s}(\alpha_{s} \psi) \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s \\ & + (1-ch^{1/4}\,) \!\int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \,\Pi_{s}^{\perp} \partial_{s} \psi - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{0} \Pi_{s}^{\perp} \psi - ibh \Pi_{s}^{\perp}(\alpha_{s} \psi) \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s \,. \end{split}$$

with

$$\alpha_s(\tau) = -\tau + h^{1/2} \frac{\tau^2}{2} \kappa(s) .$$

We write

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\partial_{\mathfrak{s}}\psi = \partial_{\mathfrak{s}}\Pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\psi + [\Pi_{\mathfrak{s}},\partial_{\mathfrak{s}}]\psi$$

and use the following classical inequality. For any $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$, h>0 and $\alpha>0$, we have

$$|a+b|^2 \ge (1-h^{\alpha})|a|^2 - h^{-\alpha}|b|^2$$
.

We obtain

$$\begin{split} q^{trans}(\psi) & \geq (1-ch^{1/2})(1-h^{\alpha})q_1^{trans}(\psi) - (1-ch^{1/2})h^{-\alpha}q_2^{trans}(\psi) \\ & + (1-ch^{1/4})(1-h^{\alpha})q_3^{trans}(\psi) - (1-ch^{1/4})h^{-\alpha}q_2^{trans}(\psi) \,, \end{split}$$

with

$$\bullet \ q_1^{trans}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s \Pi_s \psi - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_0 \Pi_s \psi - ibh \Pi_s(\alpha_s \psi) \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau ds \,,$$

$$\bullet \ q_2^{trans}(\psi) = h \int_{-L}^{L} \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \left| [\Pi_s, \partial_s] \psi \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau ds = h \int_{-L}^{L} \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \left| [\Pi_s^{\perp}, \partial_s] \psi \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau ds,$$

$$\bullet \ q_3^{trans}(\psi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \, \partial_s \Pi_s^{\perp} \psi - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_0 \Pi_s^{\perp} \psi - ibh \Pi_s^{\perp}(\alpha_s \psi) \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau ds \,.$$

iii. We have

$$q_1^{trans}(\psi) \ge (1 - h^{\alpha}) \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_s \Pi_s \psi - ibh^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_0 \Pi_s \psi \right|^2 \hat{a} \, d\tau ds$$

$$-h^{-\alpha} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| bh \Pi_{s}(\alpha_{s} \psi) \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau ds.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{split} \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \Pi_{s}(\alpha_{s} \psi) \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s &\leq \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \alpha_{s} \psi \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq 2 \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \alpha_{s} \Pi_{s} \psi \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s + 2 \int_{-L}^{L} \int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} \left| \alpha_{s} \Pi_{s}^{\perp} \psi \right|^{2} \hat{a} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s \,. \end{split}$$

With the same type of reasoning as for the upper bound, there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$q_1^{trans}(\psi) \ge h(1 - c h^{\alpha}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 ds + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})}^2 - \mathcal{O}(b^2 h^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}) \|\Pi_s^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a}, d\tau ds)}^2.$$

vi. We use Lemma 4.1 and the inequality (4.18), to obtain

$$q_2^{trans}(\psi) \le ch^2 \|\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, d\tau ds)} \le ch^2 \|\varphi\|^2 + ch^2 \|\Pi_s^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a} \, d\tau ds)}^2$$

v. Since $q_3^{trans}(\psi) \ge 0$, we obtain:

$$q^{trans}(\psi) \ge h(1 - c h^{\min(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\gamma_0)\varphi|^2 ds + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|^2 - ch^{-\eta+3/2-\alpha} \|\Pi_s^{\perp}\psi\|_{L^2(\hat{a}, d\tau, ds)}^2.$$

Then the conclusion of the proposition follows.

4.5. **End of the proof.** We now have everything to finish the proof of Theorem 4.1. The self-adjoint operator associated with the quadratic form $q_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},\pm}$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},\pm} = -h(1 \pm c_{\pm} h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})})(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2}h$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$, where c_\pm is a constant independent of h. The operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm}$ is with compact resolvent and it is bounded from below. Its spectrum is purely discrete and it is consists of by an increasing sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm})$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\rho \in (0, 1/4)$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist $h_0 > 0$, such that, if $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}},$$

we have :

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(h, b, \rho) \leq \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h, \beta_0}^{\text{eff},+}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}).$$

Proof. Let $(\varphi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ an orthonormal family of eigenvectors associated with eigenvalues $(\lambda_j(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+}))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. Let E the subspace of $\mathrm{Dom}(q_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+})$ generated by the family $(\varphi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. By Proposition 4.1, we have:

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(v_{k(s),h}\varphi) \le q_h^{\text{eff},+}(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}) \|\varphi\|^2, \quad \forall \varphi \in E.$$

We use the min-max theorem and deduce

$$q_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},+}(\varphi) \leq \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},+}) \|\varphi\|^2$$
.

Consequently,

$$\widehat{q}_{h}^{b,\rho}(v_{k(s),h}\,\varphi) \leq \lambda_{n}(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_{0}}^{\text{eff},+})\|\varphi\|^{2} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta})\|\varphi\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(\lambda_{n}(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_{0}}^{\text{eff},+}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta})\right)\|v_{k(s),h}\varphi\|^{2}.$$

For all $g \in v_{k(s),h}E$, we have:

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(g) \le \left(\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},+}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta})\right) \|g\|^2.$$

Since

$$\int_{0}^{h^{-\rho}} |v_{k(s),h}(\tau)|^{2} \hat{a} \, d\tau = 1,$$

then the functions of the family $(v_{k(s),h}\varphi_j)_{1\leq j\leq n}$ are linearly independent, so $\dim(f_{k(s),h}E)=n$. By application of the min-max principle, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(h, b, \rho) \le \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h, \beta_0}^{\text{eff},+}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}).$$

Corollary 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\rho \in (0, 1/4)$, $0 \le \eta < \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. There exist $h_0 > 0$, such that, if $h \in (0, h_0)$ and b satisfies

$$c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} ,$$

then we have:

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(h, b, \rho) \ge \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h, \beta_0}^{\text{eff},-}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}).$$

Proof. If $\eta < \frac{3}{2}$, there exist $0 < \alpha \le \frac{3}{2} - \eta$ then $-\eta + \frac{3}{2} - \alpha \ge 0$. According to Proposition 4.2, there exist $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho})$ and h small enough, we have:

$$\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho}(\psi) \ge Q_h^{tens}(\langle \psi, v_{\kappa(s),h} \rangle, \Pi^{\perp} \psi),$$

where, $\forall (\varphi, f) \in \text{Dom}(q_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},-}) \times \text{Dom}(\widehat{q}_h^{b,\rho})$

$$Q_h^{tens}(\varphi,f) = Q_h^{\rm eff}(\varphi) - \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(\tilde{a}\;\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s)}^2\,,$$

with

$$Q_h^{\text{eff}}(\varphi) = q_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},-}(\varphi) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta})) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})}^2.$$

By application of the min-max principle (see [23, Chapter 13]), we have the comparison of the Rayleigh quotients:

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(h, b, \rho) \ge \widehat{\mu}_n^{tens}(h).$$

We note that the self-adjoint operators associated with the quadratic forms $Q_h^{\rm eff}$ and Q_h^{tens} are respectively $\mathcal{L}_h^{\rm eff}$ and \mathcal{L}_h^{tens} . It is easy to see that the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_h^{tens} lying below $-\epsilon_0$ coincides with the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_h^{\rm eff}$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\widehat{\mu}_n^{tens}(h)$ and $\widehat{\mu}_n^{\rm eff}(h)$ are respectively the n-th eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_h^{tens} and $\mathcal{L}_h^{\rm eff}$ and satisfy

(4.26)
$$\widehat{\mu}_n^{tens}(h) = \widehat{\mu}_n^{\text{eff}}(h) = \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\text{eff},-}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2-\alpha-\eta}).$$

5. Spectrum of the effective operator

Let $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})$ be the n-th eigenvalue of an operator \mathcal{L} . Fix a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The effective operators $\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm}$ are special cases of the following operator:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b} = -h(1 - c \, h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})})(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - 1 - \kappa(s)h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2}h\,,$$

with b>0 and $\alpha>0$. The associate quadratic form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$ is

$$q_{h,\alpha,0}^{\text{eff},b}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \left(-1 - \kappa(s) h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\kappa(s)^{2}}{2} h \right) |\varphi(s)|^{2} ds + h(1 - c h^{\min(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0})\varphi|^{2} ds.$$

In the following, we will explain how the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$ reduces to the study of that stated in Theorem 3.1. The calculations in this section are classical. The operator that we are going to study its spectrum is a perturbation of the harmonic oscillator. Then, the calculations are based on the context of the harmonic approximation (cf.[23, Section 4.3]), with a small adjustment, since the operator is defined on a periodic space and with a phase term $ib\beta_0$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,1}^{\mathrm{eff},b} = \mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b} + 1.$$

By definition of the spectrum, we have

(5.27)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = -1 + \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,1}^{\mathrm{eff},b}).$$

Factoring the term $h^{-1/2}$, we get the new operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,2}^{\text{eff},b} = h^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,1}^{\text{eff},b}$$

and

(5.28)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,1}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = h^{1/2} \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,2}^{\mathrm{eff},b}).$$

We introduce the semi-classical parameter

$$\hbar = h^{1/4} \, .$$

Lemma 5.1. Let us consider:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b} = -\hbar^2 (1 - c \,\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}) (\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 - \kappa(s) \,,$$

with the associated quadratic form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$,

$$q_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) = -\int_{-L}^{L} \kappa(s) |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + \hbar^2 (1 - c \,\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 ds.$$

Then, we have

(5.29)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,2}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$. We have

$$\left| q_{h,\alpha,2}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) - q_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) \right| = \hbar^2 \left| \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{\kappa(s)^2}{2} |\varphi(s)|^2 ds \right|.$$

Since Ω is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary, the curvature κ is also bounded, hence there exists c>0 independent of s, such that

$$\left| q_{h,\alpha,2}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) - q_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) \right| \le c \, \hbar^2 \|\varphi\|^2 \,.$$

Applying the min-max principle, finishes the proof.

From (5.27), (5.28) and (5.29), we obtain

(5.30)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\text{eff},b}) = -1 + \hbar^2 \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,3}^{\text{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4).$$

Therefore, to study the spectrum of the effective operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$, it suffices to study the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$, introduced in Lemma 5.1.

5.1. Localization near the point of maximum curvature. Let κ_{max} be the maximum curvature along the boundary Γ is introduced in (1.4). Consider the effective operator of the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b} = -\hbar^2 (1 - c \, \hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}) (\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 + \kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s)$$

and the quadratic form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$ associated to it,

$$q_{h,\alpha,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s) \right) |\varphi(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + \hbar^2 (1 - c \, \hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}) \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \,.$$

Notice that:

(5.31)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,3}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) - \kappa_{\mathrm{max}}.$$

Proposition 5.1. Let us consider the effective operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b} = -\hbar^2 (\partial_s - ib\beta_0)^2 + \kappa_{max} - \kappa(s) ,$$

and the quadratic form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$ associated to it,

$$q_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^{L} \left(\kappa_{max} - \kappa(s) \right) |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + \hbar^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 ds.$$

Then, we have

$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)})\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} |q_{h,\alpha,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) - q_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi)| &= |c|\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}\hbar^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq |c|\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)} \left[\hbar^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{-L}^{L} \left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s)\right) |\varphi(s)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \right] \\ &\leq |c|\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)} q_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) \,. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of the lemma is now a simple application of the min-max principle. \Box

From (5.30), (5.31) and Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = -1 - \hbar^2 \kappa_{\max} + \hbar^2 (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\min(4\alpha,2)}) \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^4).$$

In the following, we show that $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b})=\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ then, we get (recall that $\hbar=h^{1/4}$)

$$(5.32) \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = -1 - \hbar^2 \kappa_{\max} + \hbar^2 \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) + o(\hbar^3) = \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\beta_0}^{\mathrm{eff}}) + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{2-\eta}).$$

Notice that Theorem 4.1 and the equality (5.32), yields the proof of Theorem 3.1 which is a reformulation of Theorem 1.1.

The goal is to show that the eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$, associated with the eigenvalues of order \hbar concentrate exponentially near the point of maximum curvature.

Proposition 5.2. For $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exist C > 0 and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\hbar \in (0,\hbar_0)$ and for all eigenfunctions ψ of $\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$, associated with the eigenvalues of orders \hbar , we have:

$$\|e^{\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar}\,\psi\|^2 \le C\|\psi\|^2\,, \qquad q_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(e^{\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar}\,\psi) \le C\hbar\|\psi\|^2\,,$$

where

$$\phi_0(s) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_0^{s+2kL} \sqrt{\kappa_{max} - \kappa(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y \right|.$$

Proof. The proof consists of three steps. Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. Consider an eigenvalue $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ and an associated eigenfunction ψ .

1. First step.

Let ϕ be a regular function, 2L periodic, with real value. We have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(e^{\phi}\,\psi) = e^{\phi} \mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\psi) - \hbar^{2} \left(\psi \partial_{s}^{2} e^{\phi} + 2 \partial_{s} e^{\phi} \partial_{s} \psi - 2ib\beta_{0} \psi \partial_{s} e^{\phi} \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} q_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(e^{\phi}\psi) &= \langle \mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(e^{\phi}\psi), e^{\phi}\psi \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{\phi}\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(\psi), e^{\phi}\psi \rangle - \hbar^2 \Re \Bigg[\int_{-L}^{L} \bigg[\psi \partial_s^2 e^{\phi} + 2 \partial_s e^{\phi} \partial_s \psi - 2 i b \beta_0 \psi \partial_s e^{\phi} \bigg] \bar{\psi} e^{\phi} \; \mathrm{d}s \bigg] \\ &= \lambda \|e^{\phi}\psi\|^2 - \hbar^2 \Re \Bigg[\int_{-L}^{L} \bigg[\psi \partial_s^2 e^{\phi} + 2 \partial_s e^{\phi} \partial_s \psi - 2 i b \beta_0 \psi \partial_s e^{\phi} \bigg] \bar{\psi} e^{\phi} \; \mathrm{d}s \Bigg] \; . \end{split}$$

By the formula of integration by parts and as ϕ is 2L periodic, we have

$$\Re \int_{-L}^{L} \left[\psi \partial_s^2 e^{\phi} + 2 \partial_s e^{\phi} \partial_s \psi - 2ib \beta_0 \psi \partial_s e^{\phi} \right] \bar{\psi} e^{\phi} ds = -\|\partial_s e^{\phi} \psi\|^2.$$

Then, we get

(5.33)
$$q_{b,\lambda}^{\text{eff,b}}(e^{\phi}\psi) = \lambda \|e^{\phi}\psi\|^2 + \hbar^2 \|\partial_s e^{\phi}\psi\|^2.$$

2. Second step.

For $\phi = \frac{\epsilon \phi_0}{\hbar}$, we notice that:

(5.34)
$$|\phi'|^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\hbar^2} |\phi_0'|^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\hbar^2} (\kappa_{\text{max}} - \kappa(s)).$$

We have

$$q_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^{L} (\kappa_{\mathrm{max}} - \kappa(s)) |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + \hbar^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |(\partial_s - ib\beta_0)\varphi|^2 ds.$$

Consequently

$$q_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(\varphi) \ge \int_{-L}^{L} \left(\kappa_{\text{max}} - \kappa(s) \right) |\varphi(s)|^2 \, ds \, .$$

From (5.33) and (5.35), we have

$$\int_{-L}^{L} \left[\left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s) \right) - \lambda - \hbar^2 |\phi'|^2 \right] e^{2\phi} |\psi|^2 ds \le 0.$$

From (5.34), we get

$$\int_{-L}^{L} \left[1 - \epsilon^{2} \right] \left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s) \right) e^{2\phi} |\psi|^{2} ds \leq \lambda \|e^{\phi} \psi\|^{2} \leq C \hbar \|e^{\phi} \psi\|^{2}$$

We notice that for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and for \hbar small enough, there exists a constant C>0 independent of \hbar such that

$$1 - \epsilon^2 > C$$
.

We deduce the inequality

(5.36)
$$\int_{-L}^{L} (\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s)) e^{2\phi} |\psi|^2 ds \le C\hbar \|e^{\phi} \psi\|^2.$$

From (5.36) and (5.34), we have

(5.37)
$$q_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}(e^{\phi}\psi) = \lambda \|e^{\phi}\psi\|^2 + \hbar^2 \|\partial_s e^{\phi}\psi\|^2 \le C\hbar \|e^{\phi}\psi\|^2.$$

3. Third step.

Let us show that:

(5.38)
$$\int_{-L}^{L} e^{2\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le C \|\psi\|^2 \,,$$

(5.39)
$$q_{h.4}^{\text{eff},b}(e^{\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar}\psi) \le C\hbar \|\psi\|^2$$
.

We use that $u = \kappa_{\max} - \kappa$ admits a unique non-degenerate minimum, we deduce that for any $C_0 > 0$, there exist constants C, \hbar_0 such that, for all $\hbar \in (0, \hbar_0)$,

(5.40)
$$\int_{|s| < C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} \left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s) \right) e^{2\varepsilon \Phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le C \hbar \|\psi\|^2 \,,$$

(5.41)
$$\int_{|s| < C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\varepsilon \Phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le C \|\psi\|^2 \,,$$

(5.42)
$$\int_{|s| > C_0 h^{1/2}} \left(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s) \right) e^{2\epsilon \phi_0 / \hbar} |\psi|^2 ds \ge c C_0^2 \hbar \int_{|s| > C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0 / \hbar} |\psi|^2 ds .$$

By the inequality (5.41), to show (5.38), it suffices to show the following inequality:

(5.43)
$$\int_{|s| \ge C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le C \|\psi\|^2 \, .$$

Taking C_0 large enough, we deduce that

$$\int_{|s| \ge C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds \le \frac{1}{c C_0^2 \hbar} \int_{|s| \ge C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} u(s) e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds
\le \frac{1}{c C_0^2 \hbar} \int_{-L}^{L} u(s) e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds.$$

By inequality (5.36), we get

$$\int_{|s| \ge C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds \le \frac{C}{c C_0^2} \int_{-L}^{L} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds
\le \frac{C}{c C_0^2} \int_{|s| \le C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds + \frac{C}{c C_0^2} \int_{|s| > C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds.$$

Thus,

$$\left(1 - \frac{C}{c C_0^2}\right) \int_{|s| \ge C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds \le \frac{C}{c C_0^2} \int_{|s| \le C_0 \hbar^{1/2}} e^{2\epsilon \phi_0/\hbar} |\psi|^2 ds.$$

From the inequality (5.41), we get (5.43) and hence we show the point (5.38). From (5.37), we have

$$q_{h.4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}(e^{\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar}\,\psi) \le C\hbar \|e^{\epsilon\phi_0/\hbar}\,\psi\|^2\,,$$

and from the inequality (5.38), we get the inequality (5.39).

We record the following simple corollary of Proposition 5.2.

Corollary 5.1. Let $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists C > 0, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\hbar_0 > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, \hbar_0)$ and for all normalized eigenfunctions ψ of $\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$, associated with the eigenvalues of orders \hbar , we have:

$$\int_{|s| > \hbar^{\frac{1}{2} - \rho}} |\psi|^2 ds \le C \exp(-c\hbar^{-\epsilon}).$$

5.2. **Reduction to a flux-free operator.** Consider the Dirichlet effective operator of the form:

$$\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}} = -\hbar^2 \partial_s^2 + \kappa_{\text{max}} - \kappa(s) \,,$$

with domain

$$Dom(\mathscr{L}_h^{eff}) = \{ u \in H^2([-L, L]), \ u(-L) = u(L) = 0 \},$$

and the quadratic form on $L^2([-L, L])$ associated to it

$$q_h^{\text{eff}}(\varphi) = \int_{-L}^{L} (\kappa_{\text{max}} - \kappa(s)) |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + \hbar^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |\partial_s \varphi|^2 ds.$$

Let $(\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator $\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}$. As a consequence of Corollary 5.1, for small \hbar the eigenfunctions of $\mathscr{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff}}$, associated with the eigenvalues of orders \hbar concentrate exponentially near the point of maximum curvature at the scale $\hbar^{1/2}$. The following proposition allows the reduction of the analysis to the operator $\mathscr{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}$. It is standard to deduce from the min-max principle and the Agmon estimates of Proposition 5.2, the following proposition. This result quantifies the relation between the spectrum of this operator and that of the original operator. The notation $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ indicates a quantity satisfying that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ and $h_N > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_N)$, $|\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)| \leq C_N h_N$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist constant $\hbar_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $\hbar \in (0,\hbar_0)$, $n \ge 1$ and $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$,

$$\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}) \le \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}).$$

Moreover, we have, for all n > 1 , $\hbar > 0$

$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) \le \lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}).$$

Proof. For all $N \geq 1$, we can take a family of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions $(\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b})_{n=1,\dots,N})$ such that $(\psi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$ is an orthonormal family. Let us consider the cut off function χ define on \mathbb{R} as following:

$$0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \,, \quad \chi = 1 \ \text{ on } \left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \mathbb{R} \setminus] - L, L[\,.$$

For k = 1, ..., N, we define the function

$$\Phi_{k,\hbar}(s) = e^{-ib\beta_0 s} \chi(s) \psi_{i,\hbar}(s) .$$

We notice that

$$\Phi_{k,\hbar}(-L) = \Phi_{k,\hbar}(L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{k,\hbar} \in H^2([-L,L\,])\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$ the vector subspace of $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}})$ spanned by the family $(\Phi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$, and $u_{\hbar} \in F_h$ which is written as follows:

$$u_{\hbar} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_k \Phi_{k,\hbar} \,.$$

The functions $(\Phi_{n,\hbar})$ are almost orthonormal. We note that $\dim(\mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)) = N$ and

$$||u_{\hbar}||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\beta_k|^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}).$$

Inserting u_h into the quadratic form $q_h^{\text{eff}}(u_h)$

$$q_h^{\text{eff}}(u_h) = \langle \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{eff}} u_h, u_h \rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^N \beta_j \beta_k \langle \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{eff}} \Phi_{j,\hbar}, \Phi_{k,\hbar} \rangle.$$

For j fixed and for h small enough, we have

Consequently, for j, k fixed and for h small enough, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}} \Phi_{j,\hbar}, \Phi_{k,\hbar} \rangle = \langle \chi \mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b} \psi_{j,\hbar}, \chi \psi_{k,\hbar} \rangle - \hbar^{2} \langle \left(2\partial_{s} \chi [\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0}] \psi_{j,\hbar} + \psi_{j,\hbar} \partial_{s}^{2} \chi \right), \chi \psi_{k,\hbar} \rangle$$
$$= \delta_{j,k} \lambda_{j} (\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}) - \hbar^{2} \langle \left(2\partial_{s} \chi [\partial_{s} - ib\beta_{0}] \psi_{j,\hbar} + \psi_{j,\hbar} \partial_{s}^{2} \chi \right), \chi \psi_{k,\hbar} \rangle.$$

From Hölder's inequality and the Proposition 5.2, we obtain

$$\hbar^2 \left| \langle \left(2\partial_s \chi [\partial_s - ib\beta_0] \psi_{j,\hbar} + \psi_{j,\hbar} \partial_s^2 \chi \right), \chi \psi_{k,\hbar} \rangle \right| = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}) \,.$$

From the previous inequality, we get

$$\langle \mathscr{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}} \Phi_{j,\hbar}, \Phi_{k,\hbar} \rangle = \delta_{j,k} \lambda_{j} (\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}).$$

Therefore,

$$q_h^{\text{eff}}(u_h) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j (\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}) |\beta_j|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}) \sum_{j,k=1}^N \beta_j \beta_k \le \left(\lambda_N (\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\text{eff},b}) + o(\hbar)\right) ||u_h||^2.$$

By the min-max theorem, we have $\lambda_N(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}) \leq \lambda_N(\mathcal{L}_{h,A}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty})$.

Now we determine an upper bound of $\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}})$ in terms of $\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b})$. For all $N \geq 1$, we can take a family of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions $(\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}))_{n=1,\dots,N}$ such that $(u_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$ is an orthonormal family. For $k=1,\dots,N$, we define the function

$$\Psi_{k,\hbar}(s) = e^{ib\beta_0 s} u_{k,\hbar}(s) .$$

We notice that

$$\Psi_{k,\hbar}(-L) = \Psi_{k,\hbar}(L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{k,\hbar} \in H^2(]-L,L\left[\right).$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$ the vector subspace of $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b})$ spanned by the family $(\Psi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$. Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$ which is written as follows:

$$\Psi = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \Psi_{k,\hbar} = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k e^{ib\beta_0 s} u_{k,\hbar}(s) = e^{ib\beta_0 s} u \,, \quad u = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k u_{k,\hbar}(s) \,.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}\Psi = e^{ib\beta_0 s} \, \mathcal{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}} u \,,$$

then

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{h.4}^{\mathrm{eff},b} \Psi, \Psi \rangle = \langle \mathscr{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{eff}} u, u \rangle \leq \lambda_{N}(\mathscr{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \|u\|^{2} = \lambda_{N}(\mathscr{L}_{h}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \|\Psi\|^{2}.$$

By the min-max theorem, we get the non-asymptotic inequality

$$\lambda_N(\mathcal{L}_{b,4}^{\mathrm{eff},b}) \leq \lambda_N(\mathscr{L}_{b}^{\mathrm{eff}}).$$

5.3. End of the proof. In this section we will construct trial states (Φ_n) in the domain of the effective operator $\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}$, such that, for every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have

(5.44)
$$\left\| \mathscr{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}} \Phi_{n} - (2n-1)\hbar \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} \Phi_{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}/2L\mathbb{Z})} \leq C\hbar^{3/2} ...$$

We know that, the eigenfunctions are localized near the boundary at the scale $\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. Prop 5.2;the same proof can work for $\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}$). It suggests to introduce the rescaling

$$(5.45) s = \hbar^{1/2} \sigma.$$

The effective operator $\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}$ becomes

$$\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}} = -\hbar \, \partial_{\sigma}^2 + \kappa_{\text{max}} - \kappa (\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma) \,.$$

Recall that the value of the maximum curvature is $\kappa_{\max} = \kappa(0)$. We can use a Taylor expansion of κ near 0, as follows

$$\kappa(\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma) = \kappa_{\max} + \frac{\hbar\sigma^2}{2}\kappa''(0) + \hbar^{\frac{3}{2}}q(\sigma),$$

where the functions q satisfy for $|\sigma| = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}})$

$$(5.46) |q(\sigma) \le C|\sigma|^3.$$

Consequently, we write the effective operator $\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}$ as

(5.47)
$$\hbar^{-1} \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{eff}} = -\partial_{\sigma}^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \kappa''(0) - \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma).$$

Since $\kappa(0)$ is the non-degenerate maximum of κ , we have $\kappa''(0) < 0$. The eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator H_{harm}

(5.48)
$$-\partial_{\sigma}^{2} + \frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}\sigma^{2} \quad \text{in } L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$$

are $(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$. The corresponding normalized eigenfunctions are denoted by $f_n(\sigma)$. They have the form

(5.49)
$$f_n(\sigma) = h_n(\sigma) \exp\left(-\sqrt{-\frac{k''(0)}{2}}\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right),$$

where the $(h_n(\sigma))_{n\geq 1}$ are the rescaled Hermite polynomials.

Define the trial state Φ_n in $L^2(]-L,L[)$ as follows:

(5.50)
$$\Phi_n(s) = \hbar^{-1/4} \chi\left(\frac{s}{2L}\right) f_n(\hbar^{-1/2} s) = \hbar^{-1/4} u_n(s),$$

where χ is a cut-off function defined on \mathbb{R} by:

$$0 \le \chi \le 1$$
, $\chi = 1$ in $]-1/4,1/4]$ and $\chi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus]-1/2,1/2[$,

and

$$u_n(s) = \chi\left(\frac{s}{2L}\right) f_n(\hbar^{-1/2}s).$$

Using the exponential decay of f_n at infinity, it is easy to verify

(5.51)
$$\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2(]-L,L[)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\infty}).$$

Then, we observe that after a change of variables and by construction of Φ_n , (5.47) yields

$$h^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}}\Phi_{n}(s) = -\hbar^{-1/4} \left[\partial_{\sigma}^{2} u_{n}(\sigma) - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \kappa''(0) u_{n}(\sigma) - \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma) \right]$$

$$= -\hbar^{-1/4} \left[\chi \left(\frac{\hbar^{1/2} \sigma}{2L} \right) \partial_{\sigma}^{2} f_{n}(\sigma) + 2\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f'_{n}(\sigma) + \hbar \chi'' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f_{n}(\sigma) \right]$$

$$- \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \kappa''(0) u_{n}(\sigma) - \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma)$$

$$= -\hbar^{-1/4} \left[- (2n - 1) \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} u_{n}(\sigma) + 2\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f'_{n}(\sigma) + \hbar \chi'' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f_{n}(\sigma) \right]$$

$$- \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma)$$

$$= (2n - 1) \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} \Phi_{n}(s) - \hbar^{-1/4} \left[\left(2\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f'_{n}(\sigma) + \hbar \chi'' \left(\frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma}{2L} \right) f_{n}(\sigma) \right)$$

$$- \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma)$$

$$- \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\sigma) u_{n}(\sigma)}{2}$$

Using the exponential decay of f_n at infinity, we infer from (5.52) that

$$\left\| \hbar^{-1} \mathcal{L}_h^{\text{eff}} \Phi_n - (2n-1) \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} \Phi_n \right\|_{L^2(]-L, L[)} \le C \hbar^{1/2}.$$

As a consequence of the spectral theorem, (5.44) yields that, for every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists an eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}})$ of the operator $\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}$ such that

(5.53)
$$\tilde{\lambda}_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) = (2n-1)\hbar\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}).$$

Now, we proved by finding lower bounds.

Proposition 5.4. For all $n \ge 1$ there exist $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$

$$\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) \ge (2n-1)\hbar\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}).$$

Proof. For all $N \geq 1$, we can take a family of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions $(\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}}), \psi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$ such that $(\psi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$ is an orthonormal family. Let $\mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$ the vector subspace of $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathscr{L}_h^{\mathrm{eff}})$ of dimension N spanned by the family $(\psi_{n,\hbar})_{n=1,\dots,N}$. It is rather easy to observe that, for $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$

$$\langle \mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}} \psi, \psi \rangle \leq \lambda_N(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) \|\psi\|_{L^2(]-L, L[)}^2.$$

Since $\psi(-L) = \psi(L) = 0$, the following function is in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\tilde{\psi} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{if } s \in]-L, L[\\ 0 & \text{if } s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash]-L, L[\, . \end{cases}$$

For $N \geq 1$, let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_N(\hbar) = \operatorname{Span}\{\tilde{\psi}_{n,\hbar}\}_{n=1,\dots,N}$$
.

Since $\dim(\mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)) = N$, then $\dim(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_N(\hbar)) = N$. We notice that, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$, ψ also satisfies the Agmon estimates (Proposition 5.2). Using the change of variable introduced in (5.45), we easily obtain that, there exists C > 0 such that, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_N(\hbar)$

$$\int_{-\hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}L}}^{\hbar^{-\frac{1}{2}L}} |\sigma|^3 |\psi(\sigma)|^2 d(\hbar^{1/2} \sigma) \le C\hbar^{\frac{3}{2}} ||\psi||_{L^2(]-L,L[)}^2.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{split} & \lambda_{N}(\mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}}) \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(]-L,L[)}^{2} \\ & \geq \langle \mathcal{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}}\psi,\psi\rangle \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hbar \frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2} \sigma^{2} |\tilde{\psi}(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma)|^{2} d(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma) + \hbar \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_{\sigma}\tilde{\psi}|^{2} d(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma) - C\hbar^{3/2} \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(]-L,L[)}^{2} \\ & \geq \hbar \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_{\sigma}\tilde{\psi}(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma)|^{2} + \frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2} \sigma^{2} |\tilde{\psi}(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma)|^{2} \right) d(\hbar^{1/2}\sigma) \right] - C\hbar^{3/2} \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(]-L,L[)}^{2} . \end{split}$$

This becomes

$$\hbar \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_{\sigma} \tilde{\psi}(\hbar^{1/2} \sigma)|^{2} + \frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2} \sigma^{2} |\tilde{\psi}(\hbar^{1/2} \sigma)|^{2} \right) d(\hbar^{1/2} \sigma) \right] \leq \lambda_{N}(\mathscr{L}_{h}^{\text{eff}}) \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(]-L, L[)}^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}) \|\psi\|_{L^{2}(]-L, L[)}^{2}.$$

We deduce that

$$\max_{\tilde{\psi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_N(\hbar)} \frac{\langle H_{\text{harm}} \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2} \leq \lambda_N(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}).$$

Thus, by the min-max principle, we have the comparison of the Rayleigh quotients

$$\begin{split} \lambda_N(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) &\geq \lambda_N(H_{\text{harm}}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}) \\ &\geq (2N-1)\hbar\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}) \,. \end{split}$$

Finally, from (5.53), the asymptotic expansion of the n-th eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{eff}}$ is:

$$\lambda_n(\mathscr{L}_h^{\text{eff}}) = (2n-1)\hbar\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3/2}).$$

Now, by inequalities (5.32) and Proposition 5.3, we deduce the asymptotic expansion of the n-th eigenvalue of the effective operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\text{eff}}$

(5.54)
$$\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{h,\alpha,0}^{\text{eff}}) = -1 - \kappa_{\text{max}} \hbar^2 + (2n-1) \sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}} \hbar^3 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{7/2}).$$

From Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have shown Corollary 3.1, which was a reformulation of Corollary 1.1.

6. Unit disc case

In this section we analyse the magnetic Robin Laplacian with a negative boundary parameter on the disc. There by proving of Theorem 1.2. We will study the spectrum of the effective operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm}$, where $\Omega=D(0,1)$, $0< c_1< c_2$, $0<\alpha<1-\eta$ and $\eta<1$. We have

$$L = \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2} = \pi, \quad \beta_0 = \frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial\Omega|} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_1 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \le b \le c_2 h^{\frac{-\eta}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = 1.$$

Thus, the effective operators can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff},\pm} = -h(1 \pm c_{\pm} \, h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})}) \left(\partial_{s} - i \frac{b}{2}\right)^{2} - 1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} \,,$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, where c_{\pm} are constants independent of h. The associate quadratic form on $H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ are

$$q_{h,\operatorname{disc}}^{\operatorname{eff},\pm}(\varphi) = \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h\left(\left(1 \pm c_{\pm}h^{\min(\alpha,\frac{1}{2})}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \left(\partial_s - i\frac{b}{2}\right) \varphi \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \, .$$

We use the completeness of the orthonormal family $\left\{e^{ims}/\sqrt{2\pi}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. For all $\varphi\in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\varphi(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}(m) \frac{e^{ims}}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

where

$$\widehat{\varphi}(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(s) e^{-ims} ds.$$

6.1. Reduction to a simple operator: Consider the effective operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff}} = -h\left(\partial_s - i\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - 1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2},$$

and the associate quadratic form on $H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$

$$q_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\varphi) = \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \left(\partial_s - i\frac{b}{2}\right) \varphi \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Proposition 6.1. There exist constants C > 0 and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it holds

$$|\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm}) - \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}})| \le Ch^{\min(1+\alpha,\frac{3}{2})}$$
.

Proof. For all $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$|q_{h,\operatorname{disc}}^{\operatorname{eff}}(\varphi) - q_{h,\operatorname{disc}}^{\operatorname{eff},\pm}(\varphi)| = c_{\pm} h^{\min(1+\alpha,\frac{3}{2})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \left(\partial_{s} - i \frac{b}{2} \right) \varphi \right|^{2} ds$$

$$= c_{\pm} h^{\min(1+\alpha,\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^{2} |\hat{\varphi}(m)|^{2}$$

$$\leq c_{\pm} h^{\min(1+\alpha,\frac{3}{2})} \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^{2} \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})}^{2}.$$

We have

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \le \left(\left| \frac{b}{2} \right| + 1 - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \le 1.$$

The conclusion of the proposition is now a simple application of the min-max principle.

6.2. Spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}$: For all $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$q_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff}}(\varphi) = \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(s)|^2 ds + h \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \left(\partial_s - i\frac{b}{2}\right) \varphi \right|^2 ds$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2\right) |\hat{\varphi}(m)|^2$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; we set

$$\varphi_k(s) = \frac{e^{iks}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} := e_k(s).$$

Inserting φ_k into the quadratic form, we have for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$q_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\varphi_k) = -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h\left(k - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2.$$

By application of min-max principle, we have

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \lambda_1 \left(\mathcal{L}_{h, \text{disc}}^{\text{eff}} \right) \le \frac{q_{h, \text{disc}}^{\text{eff}} \left(e_k \right)}{\left\| e_k \right\|^2} \le -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \left(k - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2,$$

then

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \leq -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(k - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2.$$

Therefore, we have the following upper bound on the first eigenvalue

(6.55)
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \le -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) h.$$

Now, for lower bound. For all $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff}}(\varphi) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \right) |\hat{\varphi}(m)|^2 \\ &\geq \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(m)|^2 \\ &= \left(-1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{h}{2} + h \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \right) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the min-max principle, we have

(6.56)
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \ge -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) h.$$

From (6.55),(6.56) and Proposition 6.1, we deduce the asymptotic expansion of the first eigenvalue of the effective operator $\mathcal{L}_{h,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{eff},\pm}$

(6.57)
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{disc}}^{\text{eff},\pm}) = -1 - h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) h + o(h).$$

According to Theorem 4.1, and for $\eta < 1\,,$ we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(h^{2-\eta}) = o(h)$$

and we deduce

$$\mu_1(h,b) = -h - h^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) h^2 + o(h^2).$$

Note that, in the case with constant magnetic field, we recover by a very simple proof, Theorem 1.1 of the article [16].

APPENDIX A. AUXILIARY OPERATORS

The aim of this section is to recall some spectral properties related to the Robin Laplacian in dimension one. This model naturally arises in our strategy of dimensional reduction and already appeares in [23], [8], [16], [14], [19] and [10].

A.1. **1D Laplacian on the half line.** We recall here some spectral properties of Robin Laplacian on \mathbb{R}_+ . Let the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,0}:=-rac{d^2}{d au^2}$$
 dans $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

with domain $Dom(\mathcal{H}_{0,0}) = \{ u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : u'(0) = -u(0) \}.$

This operator is self-adjoint but is not with a compact resolvent, then the spectrum of this operator is $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0) = \mathrm{sp}_{\mathrm{dis}}(\mathcal{H}_{0,0}) \cup \mathrm{sp}_{\mathrm{ess}}(\mathcal{H}_{0,0}) = \{-1\} \cup [0,+\infty[$. The subspace associated with the unique eigenvalue -1 is generated by the normalized eigenfunction in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$,

(1.58)
$$u_0(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \exp(-\tau).$$

A.2. A weighted 1D Laplacian. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}$, T > 0 such that $|B|T < \frac{1}{3}$. In the weighted space $L^2((0,T),(1-B\tau) d\tau)$, we introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}} = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \frac{B}{1-B\tau}\frac{d}{d\tau} \ , \label{eq:HBT}$$

with domain

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_{B}^{\{T\}}) = \left\{\, u \in H^{2}(0,T): \; u^{'}(0) \; = \, -\, u(0) \; \text{and} \; u(T) \; = 0 \,\right\}.$$

This weight will come to measure the effect of the curvature. The operator $\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}$ is the self-adjoint operator in $L^2((0,T),(1-B\tau)d\tau)$ associated with the following quadratic form:

$$q_B^{\{T\}}(u) = \int_0^T |u'(\tau)|^2 (1 - B\tau) d\tau - |u(0)|^2.$$

with domain

$$Dom(\mathcal{H}_{R}^{\{T\}}) = \{ u \in H^{1}(0,T) : u(T) = 0 \}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}$ is with a compact resolvent. Hence the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is purely discrete and consists of a strictly increasing sequence of eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_n\Big(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}\Big)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$.

The following proposition gives an asymptotic two-term expansion of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}})$.

Proposition A.1. (Asymptotic of $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}})$) There exist constant C > 0 and $T_0 > 0$, such that for all $T \geq T_0$, $B \in (-1/(3T), 1/(3T))$, we have:

$$|\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}) - (-1 - B)| \le CB^2.$$

After the change of function $u=(1-B\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}$, the new Hilbert space becomes $L^2(0,T),d\tau)$, the form domain is always independent of the parameter and the expression of the operator depends on B:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{B}^{\{T\}} = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \frac{B^2}{4(1-B\tau)^2} \,,$$

with the new Robin condition at 0 denoted by $\tilde{u}'(0) = \left(-1 - \frac{B}{2}\right)\tilde{u}(0)$ and $\tilde{u}(T) = 0$. Note that the associated quadratic form is defined by

$$\tilde{q}_{B}^{\{T\}}(\psi) = \int_{0}^{T} |\partial_{\tau}\psi|^{2} d\tau - \int_{0}^{T} \frac{B^{2}}{4(1 - B\tau)^{2}} |\psi|^{2} d\tau - \left(1 + \frac{B}{2}\right) |\psi(0)|^{2}.$$

For further use, we would like to estimate $\|\tau \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{L^2(0,T),d\tau}$ and $\|\partial_B \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{L^2(0,T),d\tau}$ uniformly with respect to B and T.

Proposition A.2. There exist constants C>0, $\alpha>0$ and $T_0>0$ such that, for all $T\geq T_0$, $B\in (-1/(3T),1/(3T))$, we have:

$$||e^{\alpha\tau}\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}||_{L^2(0,T), d\tau} \le C,$$

with $\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}$ is the normalized eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}})$.

Proof. Let ϕ a smooth function. By the formula of integration by parts, we get:

$$\left\langle \mathcal{H}_{B}^{\{T\}} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}, e^{2\phi} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}} \right\rangle = \int_{0}^{T} \left| \partial_{\tau} \left(e^{2\phi} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}} \right) \right|^{2} d\tau - \int_{0}^{T} \frac{B^{2}}{4(1 - B\tau)^{2}} e^{2\phi} |\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}|^{2} d\tau$$

$$- \left(1 + \frac{B}{2} \right) e^{2\phi(0)} |\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}(0)|^{2} - \|\phi' e^{\phi} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}\|^{2}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} (\mathcal{H}_{B}^{\{T\}}) \|e^{\phi} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}\|^{2} .$$

We have

$$|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}(0)|^2 = -2 \int_0^T \partial_{\tau} \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}(\tau) \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}(\tau) d\tau,$$

according to a trace theory, there are constants C>0 such that, for all $\epsilon>0$, we have:

$$|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}(0)|^2 \le C\epsilon \|\partial_{\tau} \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + C\epsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2$$

We replace (1.59) in the form of $\tilde{q}_B^{\{T\}}$ and we use Proposition A.2, there exist constants C>0 such that:

$$\left(1 - C\epsilon - \frac{C\epsilon B}{2}\right) \|\partial_{\tau}\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2} \leq \left(\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{B}^{\{T\}}) + C\epsilon^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{B}{2}\right) + C\right) \|\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2}$$

for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3C}$ and $B \to 0$, we obtain:

$$\|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{H^1(0,T)} \le C$$
,

then,

$$|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}(0)|^2 \le C$$
.

Which implies the simple estimate

$$-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{B^{2}}{4(1-B\tau)^{2}} e^{2\phi} |\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}|^{2} d\tau - \left(1 + \frac{B}{2}\right) C - \int_{0}^{T} |\phi'|^{2} e^{2\phi} |\tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}|^{2} d\tau \leq \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{B}^{\{T\}}) \|e^{\phi} \tilde{u}_{B}^{\{T\}}\|^{2},$$

and thus,

$$\int_0^T \left[-\frac{B^2}{4(1-B\tau)^2} - \lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}) - |\phi'|^2 \right] e^{2\phi} |\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}|^2 d\tau \le C.$$

As $T \to +\infty$, we have $-1 \le B \le 1$, whence

$$\bullet \ \frac{B^2}{4(1-B\tau)^2} \le \frac{9}{16}B^2 \,,$$

$$\bullet \ \lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}) \leq -1 - B.$$

We choose $\phi = \alpha \tau$ with α a real positive constant. For $\alpha < \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4}$, we obtain:

$$-\frac{9}{16}B^2 + 1 + B - \alpha^2 \ge \frac{1}{16},$$

then,

$$\int_0^T e^{2\alpha\,\tau}\,|\tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}|^2\,d\tau \leq C\,.$$

Lemma A.1. There exist constants C > 0 and $T_0 > 0$, such that, for all $T \ge T_0$ and $B \in (-1/(3T), 1/(3T))$, we have:

$$\left| \lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_B^{\{T\}}) \right| \le C,$$

$$\|\partial_B \tilde{u}_B^{\{T\}}\|_{L^2(0,T), d\tau} \le C.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisors, Nicolas Raymond and Ayman Kachmar, for their patient guidance, enthusiastic encouragement and useful criticism. I would also like to thank Bernard Helffer for his attentive reading and advice.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Brezis: Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equation. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [2] P. Exner, A. Minakov, and L. Parnovski, : Asymptotic eigenvalue estimates for a Robin problem with a large parameter, arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.7293, 2013.
- [3] S. Fournais, B. Helffer: Spectral methods in surface superconductivity. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, volume 77. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [4] S. Fournais, B. Helffer: Accurate eigenvalue asymptotics for the magnetic Neumann Laplacian. Annales de l'institut Fourier, volume 56, pages 1–67, 2006.
- [5] P. Freitas, D. Krejčiřík: The first Robin eigenvalue with negative boundary parameter. Advances in Mathematics, volume 280:322–339, 2015.
- [6] B. Helffer: Spectral theory and its applications. Number 139. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [7] B. Helffer: Semi-Classical Analysis for the Schrodinger operator and Applications. Lecture Notes in Math, volume 1336, Springer 1988.
- [8] B. Helffer, A. Kachmar: Eigenvalues for the Robin Laplacian in domains with variable curvature. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 369(5):3253–3287, 2017.
- [9] B. Helffer, A. Kachmar: Semi-classical edge states for the Robin laplacian, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.07187, 2021.
- [10] B. Helffer, A. Kachmar, Nicolas Raymond: Tunneling for the Robin Laplacian in smooth planar domain. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 19(01):1650030, 2017.
- [11] B. Helffer, A. Morame: Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity. Journal of Functional Analysis, 185(2):604–680. Elsevier, 2001.
- [12] A. Kachmar: Diamagnetism versus Robin condition and concentration of ground states. Asymptotic Analysis, 98(4):341–375, 2016.
- [13] A. Kachmar: On the ground state energy for a magnetic Schrödinger operator and the effect of the de Gennes boundary condition. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 342(9):701–706, 2006.
- [14] A. Kachmar, P. Keraval and N. Raymond: Weyl formulae for the Robin Laplacian in the semiclassical limit. Confluentes Mathematici, 8(2):39–57, 2016.
- [15] A. Kachmar, V. Lotoreichik: On the isoperimetric inequality for the magnetic Robin Laplacian with negative boundary parameter, arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.05256, 2021.
- [16] A. Kachmar, M. P. Sundqvist: Counterexample to strong diamagnetism for the magnetic Robin Laplacian. Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry, 23(3):1–15, 2020.
- [17] P. Keraval: Formules de Weyl par réduction de dimension: application à des Laplaciens électromagnétiques. PhD thesis, Rennes 1, 2018.

- [18] M. Levitin, L. Parnovski: On the principal eigenvalue of a Robin problem with a large parameter. Mathematische Nachrichten, 281(2):272–281, 2008.
- [19] P. Lévy-Bruhl: Introduction à la théorie spectrale: cours et exercices corrigés. Dunod Paris, 2003.
- [20] J.P. Miqueu: Étude des états fondamentaux du Laplacien magnétique en cas d'annulation locale du champ. PhD thesis, Rennes 1, 2016.
- [21] K. Pankrashkin, N. Popoff: An effective Hamiltonian for the eigenvalue asymptotics of the Robin Laplacian with a large parameter. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 106(4): 615–650, 2016.
- [22] K. Pankrashkin, N. Popoff: Mean curvature bounds and eigenvalues of Robin Laplacians, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, volume 54, number 2, pages 1947–1961, 2015, Springer.
- [23] N. Raymond: Bound states of the magnetic Schrödinger operator. 2017.
- [24] N. Raymond: Sharp asymptotics for the Neumann Laplacian with variable magnetic field: case of dimension 2, Annales Henri Poincaré, volume 10,number 1, pages 95–122, 2009.

LAREMA, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, 2 BOULEVARD LAVOISIER, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, 49045 ANGERS, FRANCE.

Email address: rayan.fahs@univ-angers.fr