

Large scale control of surface ozone by relative humidity observed during warm seasons in China

Mengying Li, Shaocai Yu, Xue Chen, Zhen Li, Yibo Zhang, Liqiang Wang,

Weiping Liu, Pengfei Li, Eric Lichtfouse, Daniel Rosenfeld, et al.

► To cite this version:

Mengying Li, Shaocai Yu, Xue Chen, Zhen Li, Yibo Zhang, et al.. Large scale control of surface ozone by relative humidity observed during warm seasons in China. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 2021, 19, pp.3981 - 3989. 10.1007/s10311-021-01265-0. hal-03409893

HAL Id: hal-03409893 https://hal.science/hal-03409893

Submitted on 30 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Large scale control of surface ozone by relative humidity observed during warm seasons in China

Mengying Li¹ · Shaocai Yu^{1,2} · Xue Chen¹ · Zhen Li¹ · Yibo Zhang¹ · Liqiang Wang¹ · Weiping Liu¹ · Pengfei Li³ · Eric Lichtfouse⁴ · Daniel Rosenfeld⁵ · John H. Seinfeld²

Abstract

Rising air pollution by surface ozone (O_3) in China has induced extensive efforts to control ozone generation in major urban and industrial areas, yet mechanisms ruling the ozone production and loss are not well understood. In particular, ozone levels are strongly influenced by meteorological factors such as relative humidity, but this has been explored only in local situations, and the effect of relative humidity on ozone levels in warm seasons on a large scale in China is still unknown. Here we studied surface ozone, relative humidity, temperature, and other meteorological variables in 74 major cities in China during 2017–2018, focusing on the warm seasons in seven regions. Results show that ozone levels decrease with increas-ing relative humidity in all cities, with an average correlation coefficient of – 0.58, ranging from – 0.17 in Zhangjiakou to – 0.84 in Hengshui. At high relative humidity levels, above 75%, average ozone levels ranged from 44.6 to 122.5 µg m⁻³, which is lower than Chinese quality threshold of hourly average ozone level of 200 µg m⁻³. The decreases of ozone with relative humidity were more pronounced at high temperature, above 30 °C, than below 25 ° C. The increases of ozone with temperature were more pronounced at low relative humidity, below 40%. Overall, our findings reveal that mechanisms rul-ing surface ozone levels are similar on a large scale. This is promising to design common methods of climate engineering to protect human health.

Keywords Ozone · Relative humidity · Temperature · Warm season · China

 Shaocai Yu shaocaiyu@zju.edu.cn ; shaoacaiy@caltech.edu

Pengfei Li lpf_zju@163.com

Key Laboratory of Environmental Remediation and Ecological Health, Ministry of Education, Research Center for Air Pollution and Health, College of Environmental and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang University, 310058 Hangzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

- ² Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ³ College of Science and Technology, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding, 071000 Hebei, People's Republic of China
- ⁴ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Europole Mediterraneen de l'Arbois, Avenue Louis Philibert, 13545 Aix en Provence, France
- ⁵ Institute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O_3) is a major oxidant and greenhouse gas related partly to pollution. Ozone has negative impacts on human health, regional climates, agricultural yields, and forest productivity (Yu et al. 2006; Shao et al. 2006; Booker et al. 2009; Monks et al. 2015). Anthropogenic ozone is produced by photochemical reactions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight (Seinfeld and Pandis 2016). High near-surface O_3 levels occur in many urban and industrial areas across China. The establishment of an atmospheric monitoring network since 2000 in China has allowed regular ozone monitoring. Hourly monitoring data in 74 major cities disclosed an increase of daily average maximum 8-h O₃ concentrations from about 69.5 ppbv in 2013 to 75 ppbv in 2015 (http://www.mep.gov.cn). Moreover, a 4.2% increase of mean O₃ concentrations in 336 prefecture-level cities was observed from 2015 to 2016 (Li et al. 2019). Recently, maximum $8 + O_3$ concentrations exceeding the China National Ambient Air Quality Standard of 160 μ g/m³ (CNAAQ, GB3095-2012) have been observed in many regions in China, notably in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, Yangtze River Delta, and Pearl River delta (Wang et al. 2017).

Extensive efforts have been made to control surface ozone by reducing ozone precursors and controlling meteorological conditions (Ou et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019a; Yang et al. 2019). Parameters ruling ozone levels include emissions, solar radiation, precipitation, temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), wind speed and direction, and cloud cover (Camalier et al. 2007; Davis et al. 2011; Reddy and Pfister 2016; Wang et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019b; Li et al. 2019). Yu (2019) proposed a fog geoengineering method to increase atmospheric moisture and, in turn, abate near-surface O_3 levels. In this study, we focused on the effects of relative humidity on surface O_3 .

Relationships between O_3 and relative humidity have been investigated in modeling studies. For instance, maximum daily temperature and average relative humidity had the largest effects in 74 cities in the US according to a cityspecific generalized linear model (Davis et al. 2011). The role of water vapor in the reduction of surface O_3 levels has also been demonstrated by simulations with Climatechemistry models (CCMs) (Thompson et al. 1989; Johnson et al. 2001; Murazaki and Hess 2006; Doherty et al. 2013). A 19% increase of water vapor could lead to approximately 4–5% decrease of O_3 concentrations (Doherty et al. 2013). Similarly, the increasing relative humidity from 9 to 87% can reduce O_3 concentrations by 30% (Wang et al. 2016). The decrease of ozone with relative humidity has been explained by the following processes (Table S1):

- O₃ photolysis produces O(¹D). O(¹D) then reacts with a water molecule (H₂O) to generate two OH radicals, which favor ozone decomposition.
- Relative humidity favors heterogeneous reactions of O₃ with particles in aerosols (He et al. 2017a; b). For instance, humidity enhance ozone uptake by increasing aerosol size and decreasing aerosol viscosity (Han et al. 2019).
- Ozone decomposition is enhanced by heterogeneous bonding on aqueous aerosol surfaces (Kotelnikov and Stepanov 2019).
- Wet aerosols formed under high humidity reduce ultraviolet actinic flux and inhibit photochemical reaction rates (Deng et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2011).
- Elevated relative humidity decreases temperature by water evaporation (Olszyna et al. 1997).
- Relative humidity inhibits the chain length of hydroxyl radicals (OH and HO₂) and NO₂, thus limiting O₃ generation (Reichert et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2016).

High relative humidity promotes the reaction of gaseous N₂O₅ with aqueous NaCl particles, thus greatly reducing O₃ generation (Leu et al. 1995; Jia and Xu 2015).

Decreases of ozone with relative humidity have been reported in some regions and are commonly observed in summer seasons (Olszyna et al. 1997; Dueas et al. 2002; Elminir 2005; Camalier et al. 2007; Tu et al. 2007). A study in a coastal Spanish site showed that, together with temperature and wind speed, relative humidity was one of three dominant meteorological factors influencing ozone levels (Dueas et al. 2002). Pearson correlation and stepwise multiple regression analysis also showed negative correlations between ozone-RH (Manju et al. 2018). In Nanjing, a report shows a maximum O_3 level of 43.4 ppbv below 40% relative humidity, versus the lowest value of 10.9 ppbv above 80% RH (Tu et al. 2007). Relative humidity was also found as the strongest influencing factor in central and southern parts of eastern China (Han et al. 2020). Relative humidity appeared more important than radiation and temperature to assess the adverse effects of ozone on vegetation (Gong et al. 2021). Overall, previous investigations focused on local situations or several cities during the same time period. Therefore, here we present a study of ozone, relative humidity and temperature in different warm seasons at a large geographical scale in the major 74 cities in China.

Experimental

Monitoring data of O_3 and its precursors (NO₂, CO) were collected from the website of the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC, http://www.mee.gov. cn/hjzl/dqhj/). We focused on hourly O₃ concentrations measured at all monitoring sites in 74 major cities in China during 2017-2018. The monitoring data in each city consist of urban and background sites. The average O₃ concentrations at all sites in each separate city were used and regarded as the city-level O₃ values. Meteorological variable data on an hourly basis including temperature (T, °C), relative humidity (RH, %), precipitation (Prec, mm), and wind speed (WS, m/s) were provided by the China Meteorological Administration (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html). Only hours with both valid O3 and RH values were used for analysis. The data of leaf area indices (LAI) in the summer seasons and topography height (HGT M) in China were derived from MODIS products (https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/).

Under the comprehensive consideration of the spatial patterns of O_3 and national administrative divisions in China, the following 74 cities were geographically grouped into seven representative divisions, namely Northeast China (Dalian, Haerbin, Shenyang, Changchun), North China (Baoding, Beijing, Cangzhou, Chengde, Handan, Hengshui, Huhehaote, Langfang, Qinhuangdao, Shijiazhuang, Taiyuan, Tangshan, Tianjin, Xingtai, Zhangjiakou), Central China (Wuhan, Changsha, Zhengzhou), East China (Changzhou, Fuzhou, Hangzhou, Hefei, Huzhou, Huaian, Jinan, Jiaxing, Jinhua, Lishui, Lianyungang, Nanchang, Nanjing, Nantong, Ningbo, Oingdao, Ouzhou, Xiamen, Shanghai, Shaoxing, Suzhou, Taizhouz, Taizhouj, Wenzhou, Wuxi, Suqian, Xuzhou, Yancheng, Yangzhou, Zhenjiang, Zhoushan), South China (Dongguan, Foshan, Guangzhou, Haikou, Huizhou, Jiangmen, Nanning, Shenzhen, Zhaoqing, Zhongshan, Zhuhai), Southwest China (Chengdu, Guiyang, Kunming, Chongqing, Lasa), and Northwest China (Lanzhou, Wulumuqi, Xian, Xining, Yinchuan), as seen in Fig. 1 and Table S2. Then, concentrations and meteorological data averaged across all cities located in each division were used. While conducting correlation analyses between O₃ and RH, we chose different study periods for the seven regions due to various warm seasons for each region, as based on monthly variations of temperature in Fig. 1. The national warm season typically spans April-September. But obviously the northern regions have lower temperatures than southern regions in May and September. So summer season (June–August) is regarded as the warm season in northern areas in China. Since O_3 concentrations in South China typically peak in October (Lu et al. 2018), also shown in Fig. 1, the study period of cities in South China was expanded to October. Therefore, the final chosen study periods were June to August for Northeast China (NE), North China (NC), and Northwest China (NW), May to September for East China (EC), Central China (CC), and Southwest China (SW), May to October for South China (SC). Due to geographical proximity of Fuzhou and Xiamen cities to South China, time periods of these two cities were kept in correspondence with the cities in South China.

Results and discussion

Seasonal variations of O₃, NO₂ and CO

Average levels of ozone (O_3) , nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) , carbon monoxide (CO), relative humidity (RH), and temperature (T) in various Chinese regions in 2018 are shown in Fig. 1, with warm seasons shadowed in orange. Results show



Fig. 1 Monthly variations of average concentrations of ozone (O_3) , nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) , and carbon monoxide (CO), and values of relative humidity (RH), and temperature (T) for Chinese areas in 2018. The orange-shaded areas denote chosen warm seasons: June to August for Northeast China (NE), North China (NC), and Northwest China (NW), May to September for East China (EC), Central China (CC), and Southwest China (SW), May to October for South China

(SC). Results show that six divisions displayed similar variation patterns of monthly average O_3 concentrations peaking in May or June, whereas South China reached seasonal peak in October when temperature was not maximum but RH was relatively low. Coincident high O_3 and low RH were generally observed in warm seasons for all the regions

that annual mean O_3 concentrations are heterogeneous in 74 cities, with values ranging from 65.8 µg m⁻³ in Haikou, Hainan province, to 143.8 µg m⁻³ in Cangzhou, Hebei province. The highest O_3 levels were mainly observed in North and East China and have been explained by greater emissions of ozone precursors in industrialized and urbanized regions. Indeed, in North China, power plants, coal combustion industries, and biomass burning sources produce more NO_x and VOCs emissions (Zhao et al. 2012; Chai et al. 2014). Higher NO₂ levels are also observed in cities having more vehicle emission sources (Wang et al. 2010a, b).

 NO_2 and CO levels in all Chinese regions display similar variations, with maximum values in winter and late autumn, and minimum values in summer, late spring, and early autumn (Fig. 1). The low concentrations of these ozone precursors in warmer seasons can be attributed to active photochemistry and stronger vertical atmospheric mixing. Whereas the high levels in colder seasons may be a result of larger consumption of heating fuels, as well as weak solar radiation, low wind speed and mixing layer height, enhancing NO_2 and CO concentrations (Wang et al. 2010a; Tai et al. 2010).

Considerable seasonal variations of O_3 are observed in all Chinese regions, with high levels in summer, late spring, and early autumn, and low levels in winter (Fig. 1). Maximum ozone concentrations range from 73.1 µg m⁻³ in South China to 117.2 µg m⁻³ in North China. In general, monthly variations of O_3 can be explained by prevailing meteorological conditions and anthropogenic activities (Reddy and Pfister 2016). Peak values of ground-level O_3 tend to appear in the warm seasons with intense solar radiation, high temperature, stagnant high-pressure conditions, as observed previously (Ou et al. 2015; He et al. 2017b).

Nevertheless, we also observed inconsistent fluctuations in variation patterns. For example, monthly average O₃ concentrations displayed similar variations in six regions, peaking in May or June. Whereas South China displayed a seasonal ozone maximum in October when temperature was not maximum. This is likely due to the sharp decline of relative humidity from about 80% in August to 65% in October in South China. Similar findings have been shown in previous studies conducted in the Pearl River delta, with October being the most polluted month, different from other regions (Bell et al. 2005; Zanobetti and Schwartz 2008). This phenomenon has also been attributed to the adverse effect of the Asian summer monsoon on O_3 , with O_3 peaking before and after monsoon in the Yangtze River and Pearl River deltas (Lu et al. 2018; Han et al. 2020). Although higher temperature and longer sunshine duration in summer favors O₃ formation in South China, larger rainfall amounts in summer versus autumn can retard O_3 formation (Shao et al. 2009).

Overall, our findings show that high ground-level O_3 levels are generally accompanied by high temperature and

low relative humidity in all regions, whereas high NO_2 and CO concentrations appear under low temperature and high relative humidity. Since ozone peaked in warm seasons, we focused further research on warm seasons highlighted in orange in Fig. 1 to investigate relationships between ozone and relative humidity.

Correlation between ozone and relative humidity

Figure 2 displays correlations of O_3 versus relative humidity (RH) during the noontimes, 11:00–16:00 local time, during warm seasons of 2017 and 2018 in the major 74 cities in China. We excluded data of rainfall time to avoid the influence of precipitation scavenging. We selected noontimes when O_3 formation is higher due to stronger solar radiation, according to Monks et al. (2015). Moreover, data with low relative humidity, below 25% and temperature, below 15 °C, were excluded owing to little effect of low humidity and temperature on ozone. Correlation coefficient (R) and slope of linear regression equation (S) were used to represent the relationships. Unlike prior studies choosing the same time periods for all cities, here we focused on dates of warm seasons, which are different in the seven regions.

Results show an overall decrease of ozone levels with relative humidity in all major cities, with R coefficient ranging from -0.84 in Hengshui city to -0.17 in Zhangjiakou city, and slope values varying widely from -2.57to $-0.48 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}/1\%$ RH (Fig. 2). The average R coefficient for all cities is -0.58 ± 0.14 . Moreover, hourly O₃ levels are strongly correlated with RH in most cities, with correlation coefficient below -0.5 in 57 cities. Also, we did not observe significant heterogeneity of correlations between Southern and Northern regions, contrary to previous research in China and abroad (Camalier et al. 2007; Kavassalis and Murphy 2017; Cheng et al. 2018). This discrepancy is explained by the fact that analyses of previous investigations compared data at the same dates for different locations, whereas here we used only data of warm seasons, which occur at different dates in different regions. For example, greater responses were observed in the southern versus northern areas in 39 US cities from May to September (Camalier et al. 2007). We also studied the effect of other meteorological factors on ozone variations (Fig. S5). Results show that the relative humidity effect is comparable to or even stronger than temperature, and far exceeds wind speed. In summary, we found that almost all cities have large negative correlations between RH and ozone in warm seasons.

The different influences of relative humidity on ozone observed in different cities and regions (Fig. 2) can be explained by chemical and meteorological drivers. For instance, low temperatures in Southwestern areas throughout the year reduces O_3 formation, while high radiation intensity



Fig. 2 Correlations between noontime O_3 and relative humidity (RH), 11:00–16:00 local time, excluding data points with precipitation and low RH and T (RH \leq 25%, T \leq 15°C) in warm seasons of 2017 and 2018 for the major 74 cities in China. Results without exclusion are

shown in figure S3. S denotes the slope of linear regression equation, and R denotes the correlation coefficient. Results show that almost all cities have strong correlations with average R value of -0.58 ± 0.14

and long solar duration favors O_3 production via enhancing the photolysis rates of NO₂ and reaction rates of NO and O_3 (Gaur et al. 2014). Also, long-range transport of pollutants from high emission areas to low emission regions can change precursor concentrations and further affect ozone-RH correlations.

Moreover, rising relative humidity increases the opening of tree stomata and, in turn, should induce the removal of ozone from air as a dry ozone sink (Kavassalis and Murphy 2017). To check this hypothesis, we tested the correlation of leaf area indices (LAI) with correlation coefficients of ozone and RH (Figure S1, Table S2). Our results indicate that the dry deposition of ozone in trees do not play a significant influence on the strong negative correlations between ozone and RH, in our conditions.

Table S3 shows the effects of high RH on O_3 decreases in all cities. For RH over 75%, the average O_3 concentrations varied from 44.6 to 122.5 µg m⁻³ in these 74 cities, and the 90% percentile of hourly O_3 concentrations ranged from 75.6 to 168.7 µg m⁻³, less than the quality standard of 200 µg m⁻³. For O_3 concentrations exceeding 200 µg m⁻³, the mean RH values ranged from 31 to 66%, with 90% percentile of hourly RH values varying from 36 to 81%. This shows that O_3 quality exceedance generally appeared under relatively low RH. In summary, our findings show that high RH exerted great influence on O_3 attainment in warm seasons for all 74 cities.

Overall, our findings show that ozone levels decreases with relative humidity in all Chinese regions, that there is no significant differences between southern and northern regions if only warm seasons are considered, and that dry ozone deposition on trees is not a major influencing factor in our conditions. Further effects of meteorological factors are studied below.

Meteorological and chemical factors

We studied the effect of temperature, wind speed, surface pressure, NO₂, and particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) concentrations, on ozone levels (Fig. 3a). Results show that O₃ concentrations display larger variation ranges with RH at high temperature, low wind speed, high pressure, and high NO₂ and PM_{2.5} levels. Ozone can exceed 160 μ g m⁻³ below 40% low RH, with PM_{2.5} over 35 μ g m⁻³ and NO₂ over 20 μ g m⁻³. This suggests the inducing effect of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ on ozone, and implies that adverse health effects should be reduced by decreasing particulate matter and NO₂ emissions. On the other hand, when relative humidity exceeds 60%, O₃ concentrations are lower than 130 μ g m⁻³.



This further demonstrates the important roles of high relative humidity for O_3 decreases and the need of joint control of $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 .

We conducted a stratified analysis at four representative cities, Baoding in North China, Zhengzhou in Central China, Hangzhou in East China, and Chengdu in Southwest

\triangleleftFig. 3 a The average O₃ concentrations for each relative humidity (RH) bin (5% intervals) in all the major cities grouped by temperature (T), wind speed (WS), pressure (P), NO₂, and PM_{2.5} concentrations (units: °c, m s⁻¹, hPa, µg m⁻³, µg m⁻³, respectively). Scatter plots of O₃ against RH grouped by T during the noontime (11:00-16:00, local time) excluding precipitation in different warm seasons during 2017–2018 in b Baoding and c Zhengzhou. Points represent the average values of O3 concentration versus RH for each RH bin (5% intervals). Scatter plots of O3 against T grouped by RH during the same period as above in d Baoding and e Zhengzhou. Points represent the average values of O₂ concentrations versus T for each T bin (2 °C intervals). The slopes of linear regression equations are also provided in the plots ($\alpha < 0.05$). Results show that high temperature strengthened negative dependence of O₃ on RH, and high relative humidity not only lowered O₃ levels, but also weakened the positive effects of T on O₃

China to gain more knowledge on ozone response to relative humidity and temperature. These cities show high O₃ pollution, high negative correlations between O₃ and RH, with R below -0.5, and a large distribution range of hourly temperature and relative humidity data. Correlations of O3 versus RH at different temperature ranges are given in Figs. 3b, c, S4a, and S4b. Correlations of ozone versus temperature, grouped by relative humidity, are given in Figs. 3c, d, S4c, and S4d. Results show that the negative relationship between O₃ and RH became stronger under higher temperature in Baoding city, with an average decreasing rate of $-1.73 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}/1\%$ RH above 30 °C compared to -0.29 μ gm⁻³/1%RH below 25°C. Similar variations were also found in Zhengzhou, Hangzhou and Chengdu with larger decreasing rates of O_3 as RH rose at higher temperature. This result indicates that the increase of relative humidity is effective to decrease O₃ concentrations at high temperature. The choice of the temperature range is therefore important to control O₃ levels in mitigation practices.

We also observed that stronger increasing rates of O₃ with temperature occurred under low relative humidity, below 40%, with 11.6, 10.86, 2.78, and 9.19 μ g m⁻³/°C in Baoding, Zhengzhou, Hangzhou, and Chengdu, respectively, much higher than 0.85, 0.73, 0.04, and 1.70 μ g m⁻³/°C in corresponding cities at high relative humidity, over 80%. Although under intermediate relative humidity, from 40 to 80%, increasing rates of O₃ were comparable to and even greater in Hangzhou and Chengdu than under low RH, the weakening effects of high RH, above 80%, on O_3 increase were evident in these two cities. This means that relative humidity can not only decrease ozone levels but also alleviate the positive effect of temperature on ozone generation. In summary, we found that high temperature strengthens the effects of relative humidity on ozone decrease, and that high relative humidity weakens the positive correlations between O3 and temperature.

Conclusion

On the basis of observed pollutant concentrations and meteorological variables for the major 74 cities in China, we first studied seasonal variation patterns of O₃, then conducted correlation analyses of O3 and relative humidity during different warm seasons in 74 cities. Furthermore, the study discussed the effects of relative humidity and temperature on O₃ using stratified analyses aimed at four cities, i.e., Baoding, Zhengzhou, Hangzhou, Chengdu. O3 levels displayed spatial differences among different regions, with higher levels mainly distributed in North China and East China. A considerable seasonal variation of O₃ was recorded in each region, showing peak values in warm seasons with intense solar radiation, stagnant high-pressure conditions. We found the overall negative correlations between O₃ and relative humidity in all cities, indicating the explanatory power of relative humidity to hourly variability of midday O₃ in the warm seasons. Also, the role of high relative humidity in O₃ attainment can be seen at all the cities. Then the stratified analyses show that high temperature can strengthen O_3 dependence on relative humidity, and high relative humidity can weaken the positive impacts of temperature on O₃. Our results may have an implication for the great need of controlling the rising O₃ pollution, especially in regions having drier climate conditions with low relative humidity and soil water content.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01265-0.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by the Department of Science and Technology of China (No. 2016YFC0202702, 2018YFC0213506, and 2018YFC0213503), National Research Program for Key Issues in Air Pollution Control in China (No. DQGG0107), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21577126 and 41561144004). Part of this work was also supported by the "Zhejiang 1000 Talent Plan" and Research Center for Air Pollution and Health in Zhejiang University. Pengfei Li is supported by National Natural Science Foundation Fund for Introducing Talents of Hebei Agricultural University (412201904), and Hebei Youth Top Fund (BJ2020032).

References

- Bell ML, Dominici F, Samet JM (2005) A meta-analysis of time-series studies of ozone and mortality with comparison to the national morbidity, mortality, and air pollution study. Epidemiology 16:436–445
- Booker F, Muntifering R, Mcgrath M et al (2009) The ozone component of global change: Potential effects on agricultural and horticultural plant yield, product quality and interactions with invasive species. J Integr Plant Biol 51:337–351
- Camalier L, Cox W, Dolwick P (2007) The effects of meteorology on ozone in urban areas and their use in assessing ozone trends.

Atmos Environ 41:7127–7137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmos env.2007.04.061

- Chai F, Gao J, Chen Z et al (2014) Spatial and temporal variation of particulate matter and gaseous pollutants in 26 cities in China. J Environ Sci (china) 26:75–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60383-6
- Chen X, Situ S, Zhang Q et al (2019a) The synergetic control of NO2 and O3 concentrations in a manufacturing city of southern China. Atmos Environ 201:402–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2018.12.021
- Chen Z, Zhuang Y, Xie X et al (2019b) Understanding long-term variations of meteorological influences on ground ozone concentrations in Beijing during 2006–2016. Environ Pollut 245:29–37. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.117
- Cheng L, Wang S, Gong Z et al (2018) Regionalization based on spatial and seasonal variation in ground-level ozone concentrations across China. J Environ Sci China 67:179–190. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jes.2017.08.011
- Davis J, Cox W, Reff A, Dolwick P (2011) A comparison of CMAQbased and observation-based statistical models relating ozone to meteorological parameters. Atmos Environ 45:3481–3487. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.12.060
- Deng J, Wang T, Liu L, Jiang F (2010) Modeling heterogeneous chemical processes on aerosol surface. Particuology 8:308–318. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2009.12.003
- Doherty RM, Wild O, Shindell DT et al (2013) Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: a multi-model study. J Geophys Res Atmos 118:3744–3763. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.502662013
- Dueas C, Fernández MC, Caete S et al (2002) Assessment of ozone variations and meteorological effects in an urban area in the Mediterranean Coast. Sci Total Environ 299:97–113. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0048-9697(02)00251-6
- Elminir HK (2005) Dependence of urban air pollutants on meteorology. Sci Total Environ 350:225–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2005.01.043
- Gaur A, Tripathi SN, Kanawade VP et al (2014) Four-year measurements of trace gases (SO_2 , NO_x , CO, and O_3) at an urban location, Kanpur, in Northern India. J Atmos Chem 71:283–301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-014-9295-8
- Gong C, Yue X, Liao H, Ma Y (2021) A humidity-based exposure index representing ozone damage effects on vegetation. Environ Res Lett 16:44030. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abecbb
- Han H, Liu J, Shu L et al (2020) Local and synoptic meteorological influences on daily variability in summertime surface ozone in eastern China. Atmos Chem Phys 20:203–222. https://doi.org/10. 5194/acp-20-203-2020
- Han Y, Gong Z, Ye J et al (2019) Quantifying the role of the relative humidity-dependent physical state of organic particulate matter in the uptake of semivolatile organic molecules. Environ Sci Technol 53:13209–13218. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b05354
- He X, Leng C, Pang S, Zhang Y (2017a) Kinetics study of heterogeneous reactions of ozone with unsaturated fatty acid single droplets using micro-FTIR spectroscopy. RSC Adv 7:3204–3213. https:// doi.org/10.1039/C6RA25255A
- He X, Pang S, Ma J, Zhang Y (2017b) Influence of relative humidity on heterogeneous reactions of O₃ and O₃/SO₂ with soot particles: potential for environmental and health effects. Atmos Environ 165:198–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.06.049
- Jia L, Xu Y (2015) Ozone and secondary organic aerosol formation from ethylene–NO_x–NaCl irradiations under different relative humidity conditions. J Atmos Chem 73:81–100. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10874-015-9317-1
- Johnson CE, Stevenson DS, Collins WJ, Derwent RG (2001) Role of climate feedback on methane and ozone studied with a coupled

ocean-atmosphere-chemistry model. Geophys Res Lett 28:1723-1726. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011996

- Kavassalis SC, Murphy JG (2017) Understanding ozone-meteorology correlations: a role for dry deposition. Geophys Res Lett 44:2922– 2931. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071791
- Kotelnikov SN, Stepanov EV (2019) Role of aqueous aerosols in ozone decomposition in the near-surface atmosphere. Bull Lebedev Phys Inst 46:284–288. https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068335619090045
- Leu MT, Timonen RS, Keyser LF, Yung YL (1995) Heterogeneous reactions of HNO3(g)+NaCl(s).fwdarw. HCl(g)+NaNO3(s) and N2O5(g)+NaCl(s).fwdarw. ClNO2(g)+NaNO3(s). J Phys Chem 99:13203–13212. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100035a026
- Li R, Wang Z, Cui L et al (2019) Air pollution characteristics in China during 2015–2016: spatiotemporal variations and key meteorological factors. Sci Total Environ 648:902–915. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.181
- Liu PF, Zhao CS, Göbel T et al (2011) Hygroscopic properties of aerosol particles at high relative humidity and their diurnal variations in the north China plain. Atmos Chem Phys 11:3479–3494. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3479-2011
- Lu X, Hong J, Zhang L et al (2018) Severe surface ozone pollution in China: a global perspective. Environ Sci Technol Lett 5:487–494. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00366
- Manju A, Kalaiselvi K, Dhananjayan V et al (2018) Spatio-seasonal variation in ambient air pollutants and influence of meteorological factors in Coimbatore Southern India. Air Qual Atmos Heal 11:1179–1189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-018-0617-x
- Monks PS, Archibald AT, Colette A et al (2015) Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer. Atmos Chem Phys 15:8889–8973
- Murazaki K, Hess P (2006) How does climate change contribute to surface ozone change over the United States? J Geophys Res 111:D05301. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD005873
- Olszyna KJ, Luria M, Meagher JF (1997) The correlation of temperature and rural ozone levels in southeastern U.S.A. Atmos Environ 31:3011–3022. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00097-6
- Ou J, Yuan Z, Zheng J et al (2016) Ambient ozone control in a photochemically active region: short-term despiking or long-term attainment? Environ Sci Technol 50:5720–5728. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.est.6b00345
- Ou J, Zheng J, Li R et al (2015) Speciated OVOC and VOC emission inventories and their implications for reactivity-based ozone control strategy in the pearl river delta region, China. Sci Total Environ 530–531:393–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2015.05.062
- Reddy PJ, Pfister GG (2016) Meteorological factors contributing to the interannual variability of midsummer surface ozone in Colorado, Utah, and other western U.S. states. J Geophys Res 121:2434– 2456. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023840
- Reichert L, Andrés Hernández MD, Stöbener D et al (2003) Investigation of the effect of water complexes in the determination of peroxy radical ambient concentrations: implications for the atmosphere. J Geophys Res D Atmos. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2002jd002152
- Seinfeld JH, Pandis SN (2016) Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, 2nd edn. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J.
- Shao M, Tang X, Zhang Y, Li W (2006) City clusters in China: air and surface water pollution. Front Ecol Environ 4:353–361. https:// doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0353:CCICAA]2.0.CO;2
- Shao M, Zhang Y, Zeng L et al (2009) Ground-level ozone in the pearl river delta and the roles of VOC and NOx in its production. J Environ Manag 90:512–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman. 2007.12.008

- Tai APK, Mickley LJ, Jacob DJ (2010) Correlations between fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and meteorological variables in the United States: implications for the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to climate change. Atmos Environ 44:3976–3984. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2010.06.060
- Thompson AM, Stewart RW, Owens MA, Herwehe JA (1989) Sensitivity of tropospheric oxidants to global chemical and climate change. Atmos Environ 23:519–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0004-6981(89)90001-2
- Tu J, Xia ZG, Wang H, Li W (2007) Temporal variations in surface ozone and its precursors and meteorological effects at an urban site in China. Atmos Res 85:310–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosres.2007.02.003
- Wang T, Nie W, Gao J et al (2010a) Air quality during the 2008 Beijing Olympics: secondary pollutants and regional impact. Atmos Chem Phys 10:7603–7615. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7603-2010
- Wang T, Xue L, Brimblecombe P et al (2017) Ozone pollution in China: a review of concentrations, meteorological influences, chemical precursors, and effects. Sci Total Environ 575:1582– 1596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.081
- Wang X, Zhang Y, Hu Y et al (2010b) Process analysis and sensitivity study of regional ozone formation over the Pearl river delta, China, during the PRIDE-PRD2004 campaign using the community multiscale air quality modeling system. Atmos Chem Phys 10:4423–4437. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4423-2010
- Wang Y, Luo H, Jia L, Ge S (2016) Effect of particle water on ozone and secondary organic aerosol formation from benzene–NO₂– NaCl irradiations. Atmos Environ 140:386–394. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.06.022

- Yang L, Luo H, Yuan Z et al (2019) Quantitative impacts of meteorology and precursor emission changes on the long-term trend of ambient ozone over the Pearl River Delta, China, and implications for ozone control strategy. Atmos Chem Phys 19:12901–12916. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12901-2019
- Yu S (2019) Fog geoengineering to abate local ozone pollution at ground level by enhancing air moisture. Environ Chem Lett 17:565–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-0809-5
- Yu S, Mathur R, Kang D et al (2006) Performance and diagnostic evaluation of ozone predictions by the eta-community multiscale air quality forecast system during the 2002 new England air quality study. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 56:1459–1471. https://doi. org/10.1080/10473289.2006.10464554
- Zanobetti A, Schwartz J (2008) Mortality displacement in the association of ozone with mortality: an analysis of 48 cities in the United States. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 177:184–189. https://doi.org/ 10.1164/rccm.200706-823OC
- Zhao B, Wang P, Ma JZ et al (2012) A high-resolution emission inventory of primary pollutants for the Huabei region, China. Atmos Chem Phys 12:481–501. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-481-2012

Ρ

Supplementary Information



Fig. S1 Spatial distributions of leaf area indices (LAI) in summer season in China.



Fig. S2 Scatter plot and linear regression between leaf area indices (LAI) and correlation coefficients of O_3 and RH (R) across all the 74 cities.



Fig. S3 Correlations between noontime O3 and relative humidity (RH) (11:00 to 16:00, local time) by excluding data points

with precipitation and low T (T \leq 15°C) in different warm seasons of 2017 and 2018 for the major 74 cities in China but for all RH values when compared to Fig. 2.



Fig. S4 Scatter plots of O_3 against relative humidity (RH) grouped by T during the noontime (11:00 to 16:00, local time) excluding precipitation in different warm seasons during 2017-2018 in (a) Hangzhou and (b) Chengdu. Points represent the average values of O_3 concentration versus RH for each RH bin (5% intervals). Scatter plots of O_3 against T grouped by RH during the same period as above in (c) Hangzhou and (d) Chengdu. Points represent the average values of O_3 concentrations versus T for each T bin (2°C intervals). The slopes of linear regression equations are also provided in the plots (α <0.05).



Fig. S5 Ozone variance attributed to meteorology conditions (relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), wind speed (WS)) during the noontime (11:00 to 16:00, local time) excluding precipitation in warm seasons in 2017-2018 averaged across all cities in seven regions. The variance explained is equal to the square of the correlation coefficient between O₃ and each factor multiplied by 100. The error bars represent standard deviation from the mean.

O ₃ decomposition by water vapor	hydroxyl radicals termination reactions	NO ₂ termination reactions		
$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2$	$HO_2 + CO + nH_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + OH$	$O(^{3}P) + NO_{2} + M \rightarrow NO_{3} + M$		
$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2OH$	$HO_2 + NO + nH_2O \rightarrow nonradical products$	$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$		
$O_3 + OH \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2$	$OH + CO + nH_2O \rightarrow nonradical products$	$NO_2 + NO_3 + M \rightarrow N_2O_5 + M$		
$O_3 + HO_2 \rightarrow OH + 2O_2$		$2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HONO + HNO_3$		
		$N_2O_5 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HNO_3$		
		$N_2O_{5(gas)} + NaCl \rightarrow CINO_2 + NaNO_3$		

Table S1 Related water vapor-involved chemical reactions of O₃ formation (Yu, 2019).

Region	City	LAI	HGT_M	R	Region	City	LAI	HGT_M	R
		(m^2/m^2)	n ²) (m)		Region	City	(m^2/m^2)	(m)	K
	Dalian	0.61	28.34	-0.57		Changzhou	1.65	10.08	-0.67
Northeast	Haerbin	2.11	160.38	-0.48		Fuzhou	2.62	146.11	-0.41
China	Shenyang	2.26	93.31	-0.54		Hangzhou	1.74	40.92	-0.69
	Changchun	1.91	225.63	-0.53		Hefei	1.96	30.96	-0.71
North China	Baoding	1.95	9.38	-0.65		Huzhou	0.57	10.86	-0.63
	Beijing	1.77	99.48	-0.46		Huaian	2.24	10.05	-0.69
	Cangzhou	1.23	6.25	-0.74		Jinan	1.57	200.80	-0.58
	Chengde	2.70	573.66	-0.36		Jiaxing	2.22	7.02	-0.67
	Handan	2.01	42.66	-0.71		Jinhua	2.56	166.92	-0.61
	Hengshui	1.80	16.84	-0.84		Lishui	3.39	423.98	-0.48
	Huhehaote	1.07	1243.88	-0.38		Lianyungang	0.70	22.56	-0.6
	Langfang	1.71	8.34	-0.59		Nanchang	1.82	18.14	-0.67
	Qinhuangdao	0.17	19.13	-0.37		Nanjing	1.78	32.89	-0.71
	Shijiazhuang	1.94	55.65	-0.65		Nantong	2.28	3.60	-0.58
	Taiyuan	1.27	1059.15	-0.55	East	Ningbo	0.98	30.16	-0.59
	Tangshan	1.48	51.95	-0.51	East	Qingdao	0.72	80.12	-0.37
	Tianjin	1.38	5.08	-0.59	Ciillia	Quzhou	2.06	94.15	-0.52
	Xingtai	2.02	43.76	-0.52		Xiamen	0.61	58.70	-0.45
	Zhangjiakou	1.39	1221.46	-0.17		Shanghai	0.21	2.58	-0.6
	Dongguan	1.88	54.88	-0.57		Shaoxing	1.38	17.28	-0.6
	Foshan	1.26	16.69	-0.67		Suzhou	1.21	7.51	-0.5
	Guangzhou	2.67	104.44	-0.63		Taizhouz	1.73	41.10	-0.24
	Haikou	0.00	1.70	-0.35		Taizhouj	2.80	17.88	-0.67
G (1	Huizhou	2.46	91.05	-0.6		Wenzhou	2.47	123.08	-0.46
China	Jiangmen	0.67	10.09	-0.61		Wuxi	1.90	9.70	-0.7
Ciillia	Nanning	2.97	146.45	-0.72		Suqian	2.52	14.67	-0.71
	Shenzhen	2.23	78.31	-0.53		Xuzhou	2.06	42.81	-0.76
	Zhaoqing	3.22	133.32	-0.61		Yancheng	1.86	3.23	-0.64
	Zhongshan	0.70	8.05	-0.59		Yangzhou	2.20	2.27	-0.69
	Zhuhai	0.47	16.43	-0.59		Zhenjiang	1.52	5.10	-0.72
	Lanzhou	0.30	1802.68	-0.58		Zhoushan	0.00	8.10	-0.49
N a still and a st	Wulumuqi	0.61	754.52	-0.37		Chengdu	2.18	591.93	-0.67
Northwest China	Xian	1.33	456.64	-0.68		Guiyang	2.59	1240.98	-0.22
	Xining	1.30	2569.29	-0.73		Kunming	2.78	2100.75	-0.76
	Yinchuan	1.24	1138.26	-0.62	China	Chongqing	2.02	356.03	-0.76
Central China	Wuhan	1.11	21.65	-0.68	Cinita	Lasa	0.80	4387.26	-0.31
	Changsha	2.58	65.72	-0.68					
	Zhengzhou	1.87	90.75	-0.65	1				

Table S2 Average leaf area indices (LAI) in summer season, topography height (HGT_M) and at each of 74 major cities.

Table S3 Statistical values of O₃ concentrations under RH \geq 75% and RH under O₃ \geq 200 µg m⁻³. Min, Max, Mean, SD, 90% denotes the minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, and 90% percentile of corresponding parameters, respectively. NaN represents no values, that is, no O₃ concentrations exceeds 200 µg m⁻³ for these cities. The average O₃ concentrations varied in the range of 44.6 and 122.5 µg m⁻³ with 90% percentile of hourly O₃ values ranging from 75.6 to 168.7 µg m⁻³ in all the 74 cities under RH over 75%, lower than China National Ambient Air Quality Standard (CNAAQS, GB3095-2012, 200 µg m⁻³), showing the important role of high RH in O₃ attainment in warm seasons at all cities.

		O ₃ (µg m	⁻³) under RH≥75%	RH (%) under O ₃ ≥200 µg m ⁻³				
Region	City	[Min, Max]	Mean \pm SD	90%	[Min, Max]	Mean \pm SD	90%	
Northeast China	Dalian	[32.9, 190.8]	93.5 ± 29.3	131.9	[29, 70]	47 ± 11	66	
	Haerbin	[29.2, 157.4]	70.7 ± 31.5	109.5	[27, 54]	43 ± 10	52	
	Shenyang	[15.5, 126.1]	59.0 ± 31.9	99.3	[26, 69]	43 ± 10	56	
	Changchun	[20.6, 151.5]	58.9 ± 21.7	79.4	[28, 59]	45 ± 10	57	
	Baoding	[36.6, 199.7]	110.8 ± 38.1	157.2	[26, 71]	44 ± 11	58	
	Beijing	[16.2, 131.5]	70.5 ± 28.7	111.2	[26, 63]	46 ± 10	57	
	Cangzhou	[26.7, 189.0]	95.1 ± 34.5	136.9	[26, 73]	42 ± 11	58	
	Chengde	[15.2, 163.0]	88.1 ± 34.2	128.9	[27, 62]	42 ± 9	54	
	Handan	[31.5, 167.3]	91.1 ± 30.0	125.9	[26, 68]	40 ± 10	54	
	Hengshui	[19.3, 133.3]	80.3 ± 27.7	110.9	[26, 67]	38 ± 8	47	
North	Huhehaote	[61.8, 159.9]	101.2 ± 33.0	149.0	[26, 39]	32 ± 4	36	
China	Langfang	[26.3, 172]	82.7 ± 35.6	125.2	[27, 73]	46 ± 11	60	
Cinna	Qinhuangdao	[16.6, 302.2]	110.7 ± 43.4	162.1	[28, 82]	63 ± 14	78	
	Shijiazhuang	[13.5, 174.1]	85.5 ± 37.4	136.8	[26, 70]	40 ± 10	52	
	Taiyuan	[80.3, 134.5]	103.4 ± 20.7	123.3	[26, 49]	34 ± 6	43	
	Tangshan	[13.0, 211.2]	90.3 ± 51.8	168.7	[27, 76]	48 ± 13	65	
	Tianjin	[27.1, 185.4]	77.0 ± 31.4	99.7	[26, 62]	39 ± 7	47	
	Xingtai	[15.8, 226.0]	98.0 ± 51	165.1	[27, 78]	46 ± 13	63	
	Zhangjiakou	[77.8, 182.8]	122.5 ± 25.9	155.4	[26, 71]	45 ± 9	58	
	Lanzhou	[37.0, 107.2]	75.7 ± 22.4	105.7	[26, 52]	39 ± 8	46	
Northwest	Wulumuqi	[39.7, 107.1]	67.0 ± 22.3	90.5		NaN		
China	Xian	[34.7, 124.6]	76.5 ± 23.0	109.9	[26, 54]	36 ± 7	47	
Cinna	Xining	[34.8, 108.0]	64.8 ± 16.8	85.9		NaN		
	Yinchuan	[49.3, 110.9]	82.6 ± 14.6	99.3	[26, 38]	31 ± 4	37	
	Chengdu	[15.8, 187.2]	69.2 ± 25.8	103.6	[32, 69]	46 ± 9	58	
Southwest	Guiyang	[23.6, 160.7]	76.2 ± 27.9	114.9		NaN		
China	Kunming	[13.7, 115.1]	53.5 ± 19.6	81.1				
	Chongqing	[10.9, 151.1]	44.6 ± 23.0	75.6	[28, 55]	41 ± 7	50	
	Lasa		NaN			NaN		
	Changzhou	[10.6, 125.9]	53.1 ± 24.0	88.5		NaN		
East	Fuzhou	[26.4, 180]	91.2 ± 26.6	128.2		NaN		
	Hangzhou	[18.4, 148.7]	70.7 ± 28.1	113.9	[27, 71]	44 ± 9	54	
	Hefei	[20.9, 168.6]	76.2 ± 28.4	116.1	[31, 68]	52 ± 8	62	
	Huzhou	[31.6, 188.2]	70.6 ± 24.1	98.0	[39, 49]	43 ± 5	47	
Cinita	Huaian	[27.8, 189.0]	94.4 ± 32.1	134.7	[26, 67]	43 ± 10	57	
	Jinan	[10.9, 222.9]	79.9 ± 43.8	129.2	[26, 84]	42 ± 11	55	
	Jiaxing	[22.7, 216.0]	86.0 ± 35.6	136.8	[27, 75]	50 ± 10	64	
	Jinhua	[15.7, 144.0]	78.8 ± 28.4	114.1	[28, 70]	42 ± 9	55	

	Lishui	[24.7, 134.0]	73.1 ± 21.4	103.0	[30, 49]	40 ± 7	46
	Lianyungang	[29.4, 160.0]	80.0 ± 22.8	109.2	[34, 44]	38 ± 4	42
	Nanchang	[21.6, 177.4]	73.1 ± 26.9	103.7	[33, 50]	40 ± 6	49
	Nanjing	[9.7, 187.2]	80.8 ± 34.4	126.0	[28, 67]	47 ± 9	58
	Nantong	[24.8, 308.0]	94.7 ± 38.0	142.0	[26, 93]	50 ± 12	69
	Ningbo	[22.3, 200.6]	78.7 ± 30.9	115.6	[29, 90]	47 ± 10	59
	Qingdao	[14.3, 241.2]	99.9 ± 39.5	151.7	[43, 89]	58 ± 11	73
	Quzhou	[31.0, 155.0]	88.5 ± 27.1	128.9	[28, 47]	37 ± 5	43
	Xiamen	[32.5, 170.7]	75.8 ± 23.7	106.3		NaN	
	Shanghai	[24.5, 302.1]	84.9 ± 41	139.2	[26, 91]	47 ± 10	58
	Shaoxing	[35.0, 172.5]	83.0 ± 23.9	115.6	[28, 49]	38 ± 6	45
	Suzhou	[31.7, 233.4]	97.4 ± 37.9	153.0	[26, 77]	45 ± 14	68
	Taizhouz	[18.0, 231.7]	103.1 ± 37.7	151.3	[50, 92]	66 ± 12	81
	Taizhouj	[33.0, 227.3]	90.0 ± 32	125.7	[26, 81]	44 ± 12	58
	Wenzhou	[20.3, 208]	80.3 ± 37.3	136.1	[31, 75]	51 ± 8	60
	Wuxi	[14.4, 187.9]	64.0 ± 30.5	100.9	[26, 67]	42 ± 9	54
	Suqian	[19.8, 191]	93.0 ± 33.5	138.0	[26, 71]	44 ± 9	57
	Xuzhou	[16.8, 175.4]	71.5 ± 26.0	101.7	[26, 67]	40 ± 8	50
	Yancheng	[35.0, 155.5]	83.5 ± 20.5	107.8	[27, 52]	37 ± 7	48
	Yangzhou	[13.0, 212.4]	87.5 ± 30.1	126.5	[26, 76]	46 ± 10	58
	Zhenjiang	[9.3, 193.3]	83.1 ± 34.1	132.6	[26, 69]	46 ± 10	60
	Zhoushan	[17.3, 221.3]	81.1 ± 37.3	132.2	[49, 80]	64 ± 9	74
Control	Wuhan	[21.8, 152.3]	68.9 ± 25.7	102.9	[30, 66]	46 ± 7	53
China	Changsha	[22.7, 163.6]	81.7 ± 26	117.8	[27, 65]	42 ± 8	52
China	Zhengzhou	[26.6, 149.1]	79.3 ± 27.3	107.4	[26, 66]	39 ± 9	54
	Dongguan	[9.8, 228.2]	72.1 ± 38.1	119.6	[42, 85]	57 ± 8	70
	Foshan	[7.4, 212.0]	58.6 ± 30.9	93.2	[28, 84]	52 ± 9	61
South China	Guangzhou	[13.3, 181.7]	60.7 ± 35.3	114.4	[40, 67]	52 ± 6	60
	Haikou	[20.2, 131.4]	56.7 ± 22.8	89.2	[51, 68]	62 ± 6	67
	Huizhou	[23.0, 219.8]	70.5 ± 32.8	114.6	[46, 95]	60 ± 11	69
	Jiangmen	[9.0, 288.3]	73.1 ± 38	114.0	[28, 93]	53 ± 12	66
	Nanning	[8.0, 139.0]	53.8 ± 23.5	85.1	[59, 61]	60 ± 1	61
	Shenzhen	[27.7, 208.5]	67.9 ± 31.8	110.3	[38, 84]	57 ± 11	71
	Zhaoqing	[16.0, 231.3]	64.8 ± 41.4	123.3	[37, 87]	53 ± 10	64
	Zhongshan	[6.0, 270.3]	70.6 ± 34.7	112.1	[30, 76]	52 ± 10	66
	Zhuhai	[16.8, 250.3]	70.4 ± 35.4	110.8	[26, 81]	55 ± 14	73