

Pharmaceutical compounds removal efficiency by a small constructed wetland located in south Brazil

Jocelina Paranhos Rosa de Vargas, Marília Camotti Bastos, Maha Al Badany, Rolando Gonzalez, Delmira Wolff, Danilo Rheinheimer Dos Santos, Jérôme Labanowski

▶ To cite this version:

Jocelina Paranhos Rosa de Vargas, Marília Camotti Bastos, Maha Al Badany, Rolando Gonzalez, Delmira Wolff, et al.. Pharmaceutical compounds removal efficiency by a small constructed wetland located in south Brazil. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2021, 28 (24), pp.30955-30974. 10.1007/s11356-021-12845-6 . hal-03407945

HAL Id: hal-03407945 https://hal.science/hal-03407945v1

Submitted on 28 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Pharmaceutical compounds removal efficiency by a small
- 2 constructed wetland located in south Brazil
- 3
- 4 Jocelina PARANHOS ROSA DE VARGAS^{1,3}, e-mail : jocelinavargas@hotmail.com
- 5 Marília CAMOTTI BASTOS³, e-mail : marilia.camotti-bastos@unilim.fr
- 6 Maha AL BADANY³, e-mail : maha.al.badany@univ-poitiers.fr
- 7 Rolando GONZALEZ², e-mail : rolando.ufsm@gmail.com
- 8 Delmira WOLFF², e-mail : delmirawolff@hotmail.com
- 9 Danilo RHEINHEIMER DOS SANTOS¹, e-mail : danilo.rheinheimer@pq.cnpq.br
- 10 Jérôme LABANOWSKI³, e-mail :jerome.labanowski@univ-poitiers.fr
- 11

12 Affiliations

- 13 1 Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Centro de Ciências Rurais, Departamento de Solos, Santa Maria,
 14 Brazil.
- 15 2 Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Centro de Tecnologia, Departamento de Engenharia Ambiental.16 Santa Maria, Brazil.
- 17 3 Université de Poitiers, Institut de Chimie des Milieux et Matériaux de Poitiers, IC2MP, Poitiers, France.
- 18

19 Corresponding author:

Jocelina Paranhos Rosa de Vargas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria. Av. Roraima nº 1000. Cidade
Universitária, Bairro Camobi. Centro de Ciências Rurais, Prédio 42, Departamento de Solos, Santa Maria
– Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. CEP: 97105-900. Tel: +55 28 999 29 83 09 E-mail:
jocelinavargas@hotmail.com.

24

25

26 Abstract 27

28 The fate of pharmaceuticals during the treatment of effluents is of major concern since they are not 29 completely degraded and because of their persistence and mobility in environment. Indeed, even at low 30 concentrations they represent a risk to aquatic life and human health. In this work, fourteen 31 pharmaceuticals were monitored in a constructed wetland wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) assessed 32 in both influent and effluent samples. The basic water quality parameters were evaluated and the removal 33 efficiency of pharmaceutical, potential for bioaccumulation and the impact of WWTP were assessed 34 using Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler (POCIS) and biofilms. The pharmaceuticals 35 compounds were quantified by High Performance Liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. 36 The sampling campaign was carried out during winter (July/2018) and summer (January/2019). The 37 WWTP performed well regarding the removal of TSS, COD₅ ans BOD; succeeded to eliminate a 38 significant part of the organic and inorganic pollution present in domestic wastewater but has low 39 efficiency regarding the removal of pharmaceutical compounds. Biofilms were shown to interact with 40 pharmaceuticals and were reported to play a role in their capture from water. The antibiotics were 41 reported to display a high risk for aquatic organisms.

42 Keywords: POCIS; Biofilms; Passive sampling; Wastewater; Water Pollution; Environmental risks.

43

44 **1. Introduction**45

46 Contamination of water resources with pharmaceuticals compounds have raised concerns due to 47 their extensive use and continuous discharge in to the aquatic environment. The administered dose of 48 pharmaceuticals are rarely entirely decomposed by the body, thus significant portions of not metabolized 49 drugs and/or their metabolites are excreted by feces and urine (Kakimoto and Onoda 2019) in domestic 50 sewage. Numerous studies have confirmed that conventional wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are 51 not always efficient to remove these compounds (Joss et al. 2005), leading to their widespread presence in 52 all aquatic compartments (water, sediments, biota, biofilms) (Okuda et al. 2008; Chonova et al. 2016; 53 Aubertheau et al. 2017; Sathishkumar et al. 2020). About 80% of countries in the world release their 54 sewage water directly to the environment without any treatment (UNESCO 2017). Environmental 55 concentrations depend on contamination sources (cities, agriculture, animal husbandry, industries, 56 hospitals) and hydroclimatic conditions (temperature, rainfalls, drought, season) (Bussi et al. 2017; 57 Bisognin et al. 2018). Thus, the vulnerability of the environment facing this pollution has resulted in 58 profound discussions about the need to carry out actions that enable the maintenance of environmental 59 sustainability.

60 Conventional advanced sewage treatment systems use different biological treatments (activated 61 sludge, membrane bioreactor, upflow anaerobic reactors, trickling filters) in association with ternary 62 treatment involving oxidation (ozonation or advanced oxidation process AOP) or adsorption (powder or 63 micrograin fluidized activated carbon) for pharmaceuticals removal (Bisognin et al. 2018). However, such 64 a WWTP design is expensive and the financing required for the construction of such infrastructure to 65 cover sanitation services is not economically feasible in all countries. Thus, natural-based treatment 66 sustems such as constructed wetlands (CWs) or stabilization ponds have become an attractive option, 67 especially in economically underdeveloped regions (Machado et al. 2017). Indeed, CW systems are 68 widely used for the treatment of domestic, industrial and agricultural effluents worldwide because of low-69 cost of implementation, operation and maintenance (Stumpf et al. 1999; Suárez et al. 2008; Hyland et al. 70 2012; Fischer and Majewsky 2014; Bisognin et al. 2019). In CW-based wastewater treatment plant, 71 organic pollutants can undergo mainly adsorption/settling with suspended particles or biological 72 degradation (leading to transformation by-products) but they are rarely completely mineralized (Auvinen 73 et al. 2017). There is often more than one mechanism responsible for the removal of a compound, this 74 indicates the need of compound specific examination for removal mechanisms (Ilyas et al. 2020). The 75 compounds (parent compounds, by-products) released from CWs still represent a risk - even at low concentrations (ng L^{-1}) - to aquatic life and human health (Ebele et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Aubertheau 76 77 et al. 2017; Ondarza et al. 2019) given their harmful effects as endocrine disruptors or bacterial resistance 78 trigger (Klatte et al. 2017) and their persistence (Wang and Wang 2016).

In recent years, there has been increasing number of studies concerning the removal of pharmaceuticals in CWs (Matamoros et al. 2009; Verlicchi and Zambello 2014; Chen et al. 2016). Compared with tertiary treatment, the CWs are more commonly utilized as primary or secondary treatment for organics and nutrient (nitrogen and Phosporus) removal around the world (Chen et al. 2016). Furthermore, it is worthwhile noticing that CW systems can offer removal efficiencies for many of the pharmaceuticals as good as conventional WWTPs. This has been attributed to the coexistence of 85 various microenvironments with different physico-chemical conditions in CW systems allowing for both 86 aerobic and anaerobic metabolic degradation pathways of pharmaceuticals, while the more homogeneous 87 conditions in WWTPs induce fewer degradation pathways (Ávila et al. 2010). The available evidence in 88 the literature and physicochem-ical properties of pharmaceuticals indicate that specific processes 89 areinvolved in the removal of a certain type of molecules in CWs (Ilyas and van Hullebusch 2020), and these complexphysical, chemical, and biological processes may occur simul-taneously including 90 91 photodegradation, volatilization, adsorp-tion/sorption, plant uptake and accumulation, as well as bio-92 degradation (aerobic and anaerobic), mainly depending on the design of the CWs (Zhang et al.2014).

93 Previous studies showed that the use of CWs as tertiary treatment systems resulted in a 94 comparable removal efficiency for some pharmaceuticals to advanced treatment systems. The results 95 show that the constructed wetland (61%) removes emerging contaminants significantly more efficiently 96 than the pond (51%), presumably due to the presence of plants (*Phragmites and Thypa*) as well as the 97 higher hydraulic residence time (HRT) in the CW (Matamoros and Salvadó 2012). It is possible to 98 observe removal of pharmaceuticals in the literature from insignificant (<10%) for carbamazepine 99 (Ternes et al. 2007; Carballa et al. 2007), Diclofenac (Hijosa-Valsero et al. 2016), to>90% for Ibuprofen 100 (Joss 2005), Naproxen (Zhang et al. 2014), Cafeíne (Camacho-Muñoz et al. 2012), Atenolol (Dordio et al. 101 2009). Also, removal efficiency of CWs for antibiotics show good performance (average value = over 102 50%), especially vertical flow constructed wetlands (VFCWs) (average value = 80.44%) (Liu et al. 2019). 103 But there are many factors determining the removal of specific classes of contaminants in WWTPs: 104 compound chemical properties, plant configuration, hydraulic retention time, operating conditions (i.e. 105 pH, temperature, etc.), presence of industrial wastewater, etc (Krzeminski et al. 2019). Therefore, there is 106 a need for technological solutions effective for various contaminants and under different operating 107 conditions.

108 In Brazil, the consequences of pharmaceutical residues spills to waters are just emerging issues. 109 Indeed, Brazil is the largest consumer of medicines in Latin America and the fifth-largest market in the 110 world (Interfarma 2018). However, since 2014 about 45% of the population are not connected to the 111 sewer network (Machado et al. 2017; ANA 2019) being responsible for the large number of direct 112 connections of clandestine sewerage to rivers in the country. For collected and treated sewages, CW 113 represent about only 0.36 % of WWTP facilities (Salgado and Araújo 2016). Nevertheless, most of them 114 are not regularly maintained and without supervision. Another aggravating factor is the lack of legislation 115 regarding pharmaceutical compounds to regulate effluent quality discharge. Countries such as the USA, 116 France, Spain and other developed countries have legislation that controls the concentration of the pharmaceuticals in the environment (MEDDE, 2012), while in Brazil only parameters such as Total 117 118 Solids, Chemistry Oxygen Demand, Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Ammoniacal Nitrogen are 119 considered. However, Brazil is the country with the largest amount of fresh water in the world, 120 representing 12% of the total existing volume (Worldatlas 2018) and it is important to develop research to 121 identify, understand, alert and create public policies to prevent and combat the problems of pollution of 122 water bodies in the country. Furthermore, the conservation of subtropical and tropical biodiversity is an 123 international issue that needs to be assessed.

Limiting pollution by pharmaceutical compounds in Brazil is essential for human or environmental health protection as well as the establishment of efficient and inexpensive treatment systems to allow the collection and treatment of as much wastewater as possible. In this context, CW appear to be a realistic and locally applicable solution. The present work aimed to evaluate the efficiency of a small CW regarding the removal of several pharmaceutical compounds. Unremovable compounds were measured from treated water using passive sampling devices and biofilms devices to estimate the "mean" exposition of the receiving river over period in different seasons.

131 132

133

135

2. Material and Methods

134 2.1 Constructed wetland

Experiments were performed at the CWs wastewater treatment plant of the Federal University of Santa Maria, in southern Brazil (under subtropical climate; latitude: -29.7175; longitude: -53.7132); This CW was installed in 2015 to collect and to treat sewage of student residences (10 students). The installation involves a septic tank (working volume = 4.7 m^3) operating as primary treatment followed by a Vertical subsurface Flow Constructed wetland (VF-CW) (Figure 1). The VF-CW was 7.0 m long, 3.5 m



- 141 wide (surface area = 24.5 m^2), and 0.75 m deep, with gravel (19 mm and 25 mm) as bed media.
- 142

145

The CW was designed for a capacity of 1500 L d⁻¹ of raw sewage, with an average input flow of
1100 L d⁻¹. This small united work with a recirculation rate of 90% of VF-CW effluent to the septic tank
(ST) inlet line. On average, the ST and VF-CW had an inlet flow rate of 2100 L d⁻¹. Under these

Fig. 1 Typical organization of WWTP involving vertical flow constructed wetland (adapted from:
 Decezaro et al., 2019)

conditions, the VF-CW had a hydraulic application rate of 85 mm d⁻¹, operating with an intermittent
 supply of 12 pulses per day, with an interval of two hours per pulse.

VF-CW was planted with *Heliconia psittacorum* L.f. at a density of 7.7 m² seedlings (3 individuals per seedling) in October 2015. However, as the plants could not withstand the winter, in September 2016 a pruning was performed to remove the dead plants from the VF-CW surface. From October 2016, *Canna generalis* L. and *Canna indica* L. were planted and growth at a density of 2.3 m² seedlings.

- 156
- 157

158 2.2 Sampling

159

160 Efficiency of the CW was assessed from the quality of discharge water. Sampling campaigns 161 were performed in the influent and effluent every fortnight from 6:30 a.m. in the period from May 2018 162 to January 2019 (Figure 2). The regulatory parameters were analyzed according to standard methods for 163 the examination of water and wastewater quality (APHA 2017): Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total 164 Solids (TS), Chemistry Oxygen Demand (COD), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), N-NH₄⁺ 165 (Ammoniacal Nitrogen), Org-N (Organic Nitrogen), N-NO₃⁻ (Nitrate Nitrogen), TN (Total Nitrogen), 166 TKN (Org-N + N-NH₄⁺) and P-PO₃⁴⁻ (Orthophosphate). Water samples were collected in clean glass 167 bottles and rapidly analyzed.

SAMPLING PER	RIOD	Wastewater influent	Wastewater effluent	POCIS	Biofilm
May					
June			[2x]		
July			[2x]	\bigcirc	2
August	2018				
September		[2x]	[2x]		
October		[2x]			
November		[2x]	[2x]		
December		[2x]	[2x]		
January	2019		[1x]	\bigcirc	25
Total Sampled		16	16	2	2

168 169

170 Fig. 2 Samplings period from May to December 2018 and January 2019

Other sampling approaches were specifically designed to determine the removal efficiency of pharmaceutical compounds. Thus, passive sampling devices (i.e., Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler: POCIS) and epilithic biofilm were exposed to the influent and effluent to provide a broader view of the composition and quantity of the pharmaceuticals released by the CW. The samples of POCIS and biofilm were performed in July 2018 and January 2019 to evaluate the effect of the season (winter and summer, respectively). Seasonal variations in the removal efficiency have already been observed,
with higher efficiencies in the warm season compared with the cold season due to higher biodegradation
and photodegradation in summer (Ilyas et al. 2020).

179 POCIS were man-made in the laboratory with stainless steel washers of $102 \times 54 \times 3.0$ mm in 180 external diameter, internal diameter and thickness, respectively. The sequestering agent for pharmaceuticals was constituted by 200 mg of OASIS[®] HLB resin at 30 µm particle diameter, with 181 hydrophilic/lipophilic characteristics. A SUPOR[®] Polyethersulfone filter membrane, with pores of 0.1 µm 182 183 and 90 mm in diameter were used to maintain the resin into the stainless steel washers. The POCIS was 184 exposed during 15 days in the raw or treated water pipes. After this period, the POCIS were collected, 185 wrapped in aluminum foils, placed in plastic bags in a thermal box with ice and transported to the 186 laboratory. In the laboratory, the adsorbent material was transferred to cartridges with the aid of ultrapure 187 water jets, vacuum dried and under N_2 flow, with subsequent weighing and storage at -80°C.

188 For epilithic biofilm devices, basaltic rocks of known size were autoclaved prior to be 189 submerged in galvanized steel cages during 45 days on sites before their sampling. This formation period 190 guarantees to have a mature biofilm for samplings (Mercier et al. 2013). Biofilms were then manually 191 scrap from rock with a nylon bristle brushes and 0.5 L of deionized water (Aubertheau et al. 2017). The 192 resulting biofilm suspensions were transferred to individual bottles of high-density polystyrene to be 193 frozen in ultra-freezer at -80°C. Subsequently, the samples were lyophilized (lyophilizer LS3000-194 TERRONI), homogenized in agate mortar to obtain a representative sample. All the dry biofilm recovered 195 was weighted and the values were converted to the specific surface area of the stones inside each cage. 196 The values are available in the "Online Resource".

197

198 2.3 Compounds and analytical procedures

199

Four anticancer, eight antibiotics (all macrolides class, except sulfamethoxazole) and two "classical" pharmaceuticals (carbamazepine and diclofenac) were determined (Table 1). In Brazil, antibiotics are among the most widely used medicines, especially those belonging to the group of penicilines and macrolides (WHO 2018). In addition, previous studies lead in the university watershed highlighted the presence of sulfamethoxazole and (Martins et al. 2011) as well as the four anti-cancer compounds (Souza et al. 2018). These compounds are known to be highly reactive represents a risk to human health and the environment.

207	Table 1 - 1	Pharmaceu	tical compou	nds studie	ed grou	ped according	to their	therapeutical	class.	For	each
208	substance,	chemical,	dissociation	constant	(pk _a),	octanol-water	partition	coefficient	(log	K _{ow})	and
209	molecular f	ormula.									

Compound	Classe	$\mathbf{pk}_{\mathbf{a}}$	*log K _{ow}	Molecular formula
Doxorubicin		7.34 ^a *	1.27	$C_{27}H_{29}NO_{11}$
Epirubicin		9.17 ^a *	1.41	$C_{27}H_{29}NO_{11}$
Daunorubicin	Anticancer	7.85 ^a	0.77	$C_{27}H_{29}NO_{10}$
Irinotecan		8.57 ^d	3.20	$C_{33}H_{38}N_4O_6$
Midecamycin	Antibiotic	6.90 ^e	-	$C_{41}H_{67}NO_{15}$

Spiramycin		7.88 ^a	1.87	$C_{43}H_{74}N_2O_{14}$	
Josamycin		7.10^{f}	-	$C_{42}H_{69}NO_{15}$	
Azithromycin		8.74^{a}	4.02	$C_{38}H_{72}N_2O_{12}$	
Sulfamethoxazole		5.70^{a}	0,89	$C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$	
Clarithromycin		8.99 ^a	3.16	C ₃₈ H ₆₉ NO ₁₃	
Erythromycin		8.88 ^a	3.06	C ₃₇ H ₆₇ NO ₁₃	
Powythromycin		9.20 ^c	0.05	$C_{41}H_{76}N_2O_{15}$	
Dialafanaa	A	4 15 ^a	4.51	$C_{14}H_{11}Cl_2NO_2$	
Diciolenac	Anti-inflammatory	13 90 ^a	2 45	CurHusNaO	
Carhamazenine	Anti anilantic	15.70	2.75	01511121120	

210 211 ^apubchem; ^c (Chen et al. 2016); ^d (Anilanmert et al. 2006); ^e drugbank.ca; [†] drugfuture.com; *phenol

212 Biofilm extraction procedure was performed using the method described by Aubertheau et al., 213 (2017). Five hundred milligrams of dry weight biofilms were extracted by extraction liquid at high 214 pressured (ASETM 350, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, USA) at 80 °C using methanol / water 215 (1/2; v/v) as the extraction solvent. The final extracts were evaporated under mild nitrogen steam for 216 posterior restitution to 500 μ L with a mixture of methanol / water (10/90; v/v). The extracts of biofilm and POCIS were purified by solid phase extraction (AutotraceTM 150, Thermo Scientific, 125 Waltham, 217 USA) using Oasis[®] HLB cartridges (6cc, 200 mg of sorbent; Waters, Milford, USA) using methanol as 218 219 eluent.

220 Purified extracts were concentrated by evaporation under gentle N2 flow. The recovered liquid was then filtered one last time with the aid of medium filters Mini-Uni prepTM (PVDF Filter Media with 221 222 polypropylene Housing, pore 0.45µm, Durapore[®], Millipore, Billerica, USA). The compounds were 223 analyzed by rapid high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry 224 (LCMS/MS-8050 Shimadzu). The HPLC column used in the method was a Waters, UPLC® Acquity C18 225 $1.7\mu m$, $2.1 \times 100 mm$. Two mobile phase solvent systems were used: ultrapure water + 0.1% Formic acid 226 and acetonitrile solution contains 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid. The column temperature was kept at 25 °C. The 227 sample injection volume employed was 5 µL. The MS and other parameters of the chromatographic 228 conditions are available in the "Online Resource".

229

231

230 2.4 Environmental risk evaluation

The Risk Quotient (RQ_i) were evaluated using the method of the quotient between the maximum
Measured Environmental Concentration (MEC) and the Predicted no-effect Concentration (PNEC), as
demonstrated in the equation below:

235

$$RQ = \frac{MEC}{PNEC} \qquad (1)$$

The RQ were calculated from concentration measured by POCIS (expressed in ng L⁻¹ with sampling rate (Rs) correction). The RQ were calculated for the molecules where they had Rs, MEC and PNEC values available in the literature.

239

240 241

3. Results and discussion



243

244 CW performance results are shown in Table 2. The concentrations of TSS (Total Suspended 245 Solids), TS (Total Solids), COD (Chemistry Oxygen Demand), BOD₅ (Biochemical Oxygen Demand), 246 TKN (Org-N + N-NH₄⁺), N-NH₄⁺ (Ammoniacal Nitrogen), Org-N (Organic Nitrogen), N-NO₃⁻ (Nitrate 247 Nitrogen), TN (Total Nitrogen) and P-PO₃⁴⁻ (Orthophosphate) were evaluated in the inflow, ST (septic 248 tank), influent and effluent of the CW.

249 For ST, the efficiency removal of TSS was 9%, relatively low when compared to the typical 250 expected value of 30% commented by Jordãoo and Pessoa (2014). In addition, the effluent from TS 251 presented a higher mean concentration of DBO_5 than the affluent, causing a negative efficiency (-5%) in 252 the removal of this parameter. The removal of NH_4^+ -N was also negative by the ST. Septic tank is most 253 commonly used for pre-treatment of domestic wastewater in on-site applications. The basic function of 254 the septic tank is to separate sludge, effluent and scum layer of the domestic water. It removes a portion 255 of settleable solids by retention and organic matter by partial anaerobic digester. Septic tanks remove 60 256 to 80% of non soluble material in domestic wastewater (Adhikari and Lohani 2019). The actual 257 performance of the tank depends on the ambient condition, retention time inhabitance in the influent. This 258 ST performance can be associated with maintenance problems, with high accumulation and drag of 259 sludge in the effluent of the unit. The TS also showed low efficiency in the removal of COD, removing 260 only 4% of this parameter.

However, regarding the removal of NO₃⁻-N from the effluent of the recirculated VF-CW, the ST presented a good performance, with efficiency of 88%. According to Al-Zreiqat et al. (2018), ST can provide ideal conditions for the denitrification process, with good availability of organic matter and formation of anoxic environments. The COD/TN ratio of 9.6 determined in this study would allow a removal of approximately 99% of the NO₃—N (Sant'Anna Jr 2011; Al-Zreiqat et al. 2018).

266

267Table 2 – Concentration and loading of TSS (Total Suspended Solids), TS (Total Solids), COD268(Chemistry Oxygen Demand), BOD₅ (Biochemical Oxygen Demand), TKN (Org-N + N-NH₄⁺), N-NH₄⁺269(Ammoniacal Nitrogen), Org-N (Organic Nitrogen), N-NO₃⁻ (Nitrate Nitrogen), TN (Total Nitrogen) and270P-PO₃⁴⁺ (Orthophosphate) in the wetland studied, during the monitoring period from May 2018 to January2712019

Parameter	Inflow (raw sewage)	ST influent	VF-CW influent	VF-CW effluent	Outflow
			mg L ⁻¹		
TSS	1192 ± 1046	674 ± 560	610 ± 507	90 ± 40	90 ± 40
TS	1756 ± 1142	1210 ± 620	1035 ± 469	595 ± 74	595 ± 74
COD	1253 ± 741	744 ± 421	716 ± 334	171 ± 92	172 ± 92
BOD ₅	612 ± 144	398 ± 67	419 ± 244	155 ± 36	119 ± 23
TKN	132 ± 49	83 ± 28	77 ± 26	27 ± 9	27 ± 9
NH_4^+ -N	73 ± 22	47 ± 14	51 ± 25	16 ± 6	17 ± 6
Org-N	63 ± 48	38 ± 27	26 ± 18	10 ± 7	10 ± 7

NO ₃ -N	2 ± 2	8 ± 4	1 ± 1	16 ± 8	16 ± 8
TN	137 ± 49	93 ± 28	78 ± 26	43 ± 12	43 ± 12
PO ₄ ³⁻ -P	12 ± 2	12 ± 2	10 + 2	10 ± 2	10 ± 2
			$L d^{-1}$		
Flow	1100	2100	2100	2100	1000
			$g m^{-2} d^{-1}$		
TSS	-	-	52 ± 43	8 ± 3	-
TS	-	-	89 ± 40	51 ± 6	-
COD	-	-	61 ± 29	15 ± 8	-
BOD ₅	-	-	36 ± 21	13 ± 3	-
TKN	-	-	7 ± 2	2 ± 1	-
NH_4^+-N	-	-	4 ± 2	1 ± 0.5	-
Org-N	-	-	2 ± 2	1 ± 0.6	-
NO ₃ -N	-	-	0.1 ± 0.1	1 ± 0.7	-
TN	-	-	7 ± 2	4 ± 1	-
PO ₄ ³⁻ -P	-	-	1 ± 0.2	1 ± 0.2	-

272

273 The VF-CW showed average removal efficiencies > 40% for all analyzed parameters except for NO₃⁻ N e PO₄³⁻P, suggesting problem of clogging in the CW. Clogging is generally caused by non-274 275 degraded particles/solids accumulation within bed media pores, and/or excessive loading of organic 276 matter and suspended solid. Also, for N as nitrification occurs in CW, this causes an increase in the nitrate 277 level in the final effluent. The difference in NO₃⁻N concentrations between inflow and outflow indicates 278 a denitrification throughout the recirculating part of the system, apparently due to nitrification in the VF-279 CW and subsequent denitrification in the recirculation tank (Al-Zreiqat et al. 2018). Most of the TN 280 entering the system is in the form of ammonium, with a mean concentration of 51 mg L^{-1} . The major 281 processes responsible for phosphorus removal in CWs are adsorption on the substrate media, chemical 282 precipitation, and assimilation into microbial and plant biomass (Ilyas and Masih 2018). Usually, the removal of PO43-P is not investigated by VF-CW in the studies (Ilyas and Masih 2018). However, 283 284 previous studies suggest that in all types of CWs, the removal of total phosphorus (TP) varied between 40 and 60% with removed load ranging between 45 and 75 g P m⁻² year⁻¹ depending on CW types and 285 inflow loading (Vymazal 2007). In our study the the system was not efficient in removing P, removal of 286 287 phosphorous in constructed wetlands is limited by the capacity of the media to plant uptake, adsorption by 288 solid materials and precipitate the incoming P (Arias and Brix 2005), thus formation of clogging may 289 result in reduced treatment performance (Žibienė et al. 2015). Vertical-flow systems, where wastewater is 290 fed intermittently, may not be as effective because the oxygenation of the bed may cause desorption and 291 subsequentrelease of phosphorus. However, materials which arecommonly used for sub-surface flow 292 CWs, i.e., washedgravel or crushed rock, usually provide very low ca-pacity for sorption and 293 precipitation. (Vymazal 2007). According to Sun et al. (2003) the removal of phosphorus in a VF-CW 294 was attributed to the adsorption of phosphorus on substrate media, uptake by the reeds, and chemical 295 precipitations. The most efficient and cost-effective solution for CWs is the use of a filter media with high 296 adsorption capacity and high content of the cations that are able to precipitate phosphorus (Rittmann et al.

2011). The media texture and grain size distribution should also be considered to increase the surface area
and consequently the adsorption sites, which will help to provide adequate hydraulic conductivity and
reduce the risk of clogging (García et al. 2010).

In the international literature, there are contradictions regarding the efficiency of nitrogen and phosphorus removal by VF-CW systems. Trein et al. (2015) found high N and P removal efficiency (maximum values of 94 and 93%, respectively), however, certain VF-CW station do not exceed 66 and 15%, respectively. Greenway (2005) encounter very low removal efficiencies for phosphorus, while had good nitrogen removal values. Vymazal (2007) states that in single-stage constructed wetlands is expected to reach lower removal efficiencies for nitrogen, compared to hybrid systems (vertical + horizontal flow).

307 VF-CW showed removal efficiencies for TSS, COD, BOD₅, TKN, Org-N, and TN of 83, 76, 63, 308 65, 62 and 45%, respectively. The highly oxidizing conditions provided by intermittent feeding and 309 passage of the effluent through a porous medium make VF-CWs highly efficient systems for removing 310 carbon organic matter and suspended solids (Dotro et al. 2017), and can be optimized with the 311 recirculation strategy. (Zhao et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2014). Usually, removal efficiencies in VF-CW are in 312 the 48-99% DBO₅, 44-95% DQO, and 52-99% TSS ranges (Stefanakis and Tsihrintzis 2009). The 313 application of a 60% recirculation rate in the treatment of domestic sewage in VF-CW, filling with gravel 314 and sand, has the capacity to increase on average 4% of the efficiency of COD and TSS removal 315 compared to its operation without recirculation, with values of 85% and 76%, respectively (Foladori et al. 316 2013).

317 These values are in agreement with data reported for VF-CW systems treating domestic sewage 318 (between 30-99% of removal) estimated by Stefanakis et al. (2016). In a literature review Ilyas and Masih 319 (2017) found BOD, COD, and SST removal values of 90%, >65% and >85%, respectively in studies with 320 VF-CW. Good removal values are expected with the recirculation system, the concept behind is to 321 increase the aerobic microbial activity through the intense interaction between pollutants and micro-322 organism without significant alterations in the system operation. The effluent recirculation (ER) has been 323 proposed by many researchers as na operational modification to improve the effluent quality of CWs 324 (Ilyas and Masih 2017; Sharma et al. 2018). Anyway, Rossmann et al. (2013, 2012), Costa et al. (2015) 325 and Machado et al. (2017), in Brazilian conditions, showed that CWs applying gravel can achieve good 326 results for the removal of reviewed contaminants but particularly appear mostly effective for COD and 327 BOD₅

328 The pollutants removal depends on the operational strategies used, such as recirculation. 329 Foladori et al. (2013) demonstrated the effectiveness of recirculated and/or aerated vertical subsurface 330 flow constructed wetlands (VSSF) systems operated under high loadings by the increase of the removed 331 loads of COD, TKN and TN. This strategy has been proposed by many researchers as an operational 332 modification to improve the effluent quality of CWs (Ilyas and Masih 2017). CW are originally designed 333 for the removal of organic matter (i.e., BOD, COD) and suspended solids - in accordance with the 334 minimum discharge standard (Yang et al. 2017). Machado et al. (2017) showed that a modified CW (SSF-335 CWs - subsurface flow) achieved the highest traditional pollutants removal percentages. However, these

336 systems are particularly effective in regions with warmer climate, as well as in regions with high light 337 radiation to enhance plant growth (Devault et al. 2020). 338 339 340 3.2 Compliance with regulations 341 342 Discharge of wastewater, treated or untreated, are known to alter the quality of receiving 343 environment (Ashfaq et al. 2017; López et al. 2019). In Brazil, wastewater discharges are governed by 344 Resolution No. 430 of May 17, 2011 (CONAMA, 2011) and nº 355/2017 (CONSEMA) which provides 345 for conditions, parameters, standards, and guidelines for managing the discharge into receiving water 346 bodies (Table 2). Only four traditional parameters were considered for the Brazilian legislation. Although 347 the water would be suitable for release into the water bodies there is no legislation concerning 348 pharmaceutical compounds. Therefore, the increase in the concentration of emerging compounds has 349 caused several countries such as the USA, France, and other European countries to incorporate analysis of 350 these compounds in sewage treatment networks in order to control their impact on the waters receiving 351 these effluents (Tran et al. 2018). 352

Table 3 - Maximum permissible values of effluent releases according to Brazilian legislation (CONAMA 2011; CONSEMA 2017). Concentrations and the removal efficiency of some parameters analyzed in the studied treatment station.

	Maximum permi	issible value	Effluent system TS/VF-CW		
Parameter	CONAMA 430/2011	CONSEMA 355/2017	Concentration mg L ⁻¹	Removal rate %	
pH	$5 \le x \le 9$	$6 \le x \le 9$	7.2	-	
$DBO_{5,20} (mg L^{-1})$	$\leq 120 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ou 60%	$\leq 120 \text{ mg } L^{\text{-}1} \\ (Q^* < 100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{\text{-}1})$	119.0	75	
$\frac{\text{DQO}_{\text{t}}}{(\text{mg } \text{L}^{-1})}$	-	$\leq 330 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \\ (\text{Q*}{<}100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1})$	172.0	86	
Sólidos (mg L ⁻¹)	$\frac{\text{SSd}}{\leq 1,0 \text{ mL } \text{L}^{-1}}$	$\frac{\text{SSd}}{\leq 1,0 \text{ mL } \text{L}^{-1}}$	0.3	96	
	-	$\frac{\text{SST}}{\leq 140 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}}$	90.0	92	
$N-NH_4^+ (mg L^{-1})$	≤ 20	≤ 20 (Q*<100 m ³ d ⁻¹)	17.0	77	

356 357

....

Although monitored CW effluents present a high risk of eutrophication, wastewater can be discharged into water bodies because it is within the standards allowed by Brazilian law. The CW may 360 still represent a risk of eutrophication due to the amount P and N release. The increase N and P in waters 361 may lead to algal blooms, which in turn may disturb ecosystems. Algal blooms can be harmful when they 362 lead to hypoxia or release for toxins, and secondary effects such as reduction in light penetration and 363 dissolved oxygen, losses of submersed grasses and associated habitat, and potentially fish kills (Glibert 364 2017). Eutrophication may thus result in changes in ecosystems and reduced biodiversity, it may also lead 365 to reduced natural resources of fish and algal toxins may lead to toxicity problems in ecosystems and for 366 humans (Blaas and Kroeze 2016). The undesirable symptoms of eutrophication in rivers occur primarily 367 at low flows when abundant light levels and high water temperatures promote rapid algal growth 368 (Mainstone and Parr 2002). Further, within-river phosphorus cycling and flux attenuation involves a 369 complex interaction between sediments, aquatic plants and biofilms and the water column (Jarvie et al. 370 2006). The reviews of Machado et al. (2017) highlights that in each type of CW certain chemical, 371 physical and biological transformation mechanisms favor the elimination of some contaminants rather 372 than others. Despite legally satisfying performance to treat main parameters (C, N) with an efficiency 373 superior to 70%, the wastewater treatment plant still represents a continuous input source of pollution to 374 receiving watercourse. Shifts in nutrient loadings can enhance eutrophication processes and have a direct 375 impact on aquatic organisms (Freixa et al. 2020). Previous studies reported an increase in bacterial 376 abundance in rivers after receiving WWTP discharge (Vivas et al. 2017).

377

378 379

3.3 Efficiency of pharmaceuticals compounds removal

380 The survey realized by passive sampling (POCIS) highlight positive removal rates in winter and 381 negative rates in summer (Figure 4). Furthermore, more pharmaceutical compounds were detected in 382 summer compared to winter, suggesting that the concentrations of some compounds were influenced by 383 the chemical usage, degradation, temperature seasonal differences in treatment efficiency or 384 pharmaceuticals consumption (Li et al. 2018). The analysis of seasonal changes of pharmaceutical and 385 personal care products (PPCPs) concentrations in the WWTPs suggested that the concentrations of some 386 PPCPs were influenced by the chemical usage, degradation and temperature. Only three compounds were 387 found in winter (erythromycin, diclofenac and carbamazepine) with removal efficiencies of 99, 80 and 46 388 %, respectively. In the summer season, seven compounds (1 anticancer, 3 antibiotics, and the two 389 classical pharmaceuticals) were observed with negative removal efficiency. The effluent from the CW 390 system released large quantities of these pharmaceuticals. The POCIS device can be selective and hence 391 not capture certain compounds depending of their polarity and charge. Thus, the azithromycin, for 392 example, was not detected in any of our samples, probably due to their high octanol-water partition 393 coefficient (eg. $k_{ow} = 4.02$), which ensures greater retention of these compounds in apolar matrices and 394 limits their accumulation in POCIS. Even for the capture of less apolar compounds (log $K_{ow} > 3.7$), there 395 is a strong influence of the POCIS exposure time in the field. Further, affecting the availability of the 396 concentration in solution, the diffusion between the two phases (from the membranes with a porosity of 397 0.1 µm to the adsorbent - Ibrahim et al. 2013). It is also known that in-situ exposure conditions (water 398 flow rates, temperature, pH, incrustations, etc.) affect the capture rates of more polar compounds (Harman 399 et al. 2011). Temperature of wastewater in the Santa-Maria CW are between 12 to 19°C (mean of 17°C)

400 and between 21 to $27^{\circ}C$ (mean of $24^{\circ}C$) in winter and in summer, respectively. Thus, the difference of

401 temperature is too small to explain the difference of removal yield.

402

403



- 404
- 405

406 Fig 4 Removal efficiency of the pharmaceuticals compounds studied in POCIS sampled in winter 2018407 and summer 2019

408

409 Negative removal values for clarithromycin, erythromycin and azythromycin in hospital 410 wastewater were also observed by Lucas et al. (2016). Other authors also found negative removal for the 411 antibiotics erytrhomycin, azytrhomycin and clarithromycin (Gao et al. 2012; Verlicchi et al. 2012b; Gros 412 et al. 2014; Rodriguez-Mozaz et al. 2015). The negative removal can be attributed to some particular 413 processes that take place during wastewater treatment. For example, clarithromycin is excreted under 414 conjugated chemical forms (Verlicchi et al. 2012a; Kumar et al. 2012; Jelic et al. 2015) but can be further 415 deconjugated by some enzymes present in wastewater bioreactor reverting them to their original form 416 (Celiz et al. 2009). Also, analyte behavior such as adsorption to particles may be altered by changing 417 physicochemical parameters during the treatment process, thus influencing the removal efficiency (Zhang 418 et al. 2015). In Beijing (China) WWTP, Li et al. (2013) stated that macrolides (including spiramycin and 419 erythromycin), were persistent during conventional treatment. Only after ultrafiltration coupled to 420 ozonation, all tar-get antibiotics were effectively reduced (from 85% up to 99.9%) decreasing their 421 environmental risk.

The negative removal efficiency of sulfamethoxazole in WWTPs also may be caused by the presence of metabolites in the influents, which can subsequently be transformed to their parent compounds during biological treatment (Zhang et al. 2015). For example, N₄-acetylsulfamethoxazole usually accounts for more than 50% of an administered dose in human excretion and can occur in WWTP influents at concentrations of 2.5–3.5 times higher than concentrations of the parent compound (Gobel et al. 2007). N₄-acetylsulfamethoxazole can also deconjugate into sulfamethoxazole during waste watertreatment (Göbel et al. 2005), leading to an underestimation of removal efficiency for
sulfamethoxazole if this metabolite is not considered. This might be a reason for the highly negative
elimination rates.

The compound carbamazepine also had negative removal in other studies (Kahl et al. 2017). The increase in concentration during biological treatment is attributed to the biotransformation of its transformation products (Petrie et al. 2018), as well as the UV irradiation might has the ability to convert its hydroxyl transformation products to the parent compound (Miao et al. 2005). Moreover, compounds such as carbamazepine present low biodegradability (Kahl et al. 2017).

436 In our study, the CW was not efficient in eliminating anticancer compounds (doxorubicin and 437 epirubicin): Field studies on the elimination of these compounds in WWTPs are lacking in the literature, 438 the development of alternative or improvement of current processes is needed, aiming at the degradation 439 of these compounds s in wastewater. In a literature review Zhang et al. (2013) reported only partial 440 removal by conventional activated sludge of anticancer drugs. Among these, Ruel et al. (2011) detected 441 six (i.e. cytarabine, doxorubicin, etoposide, gemcitabine, ifosfamide and vinorelbine) of 14 most 442 frequently-used anticancer drugs at concentrations up to 15 ng/L in influent and effluent of a traditional 443 activated sludge; they were showing insignificant degradation during the wastewater treatmentIn general. 444 Biological processes do not achieve high removal efficiencies for these compounds, since many of them 445 are poorly biodegradable and have a high metabolic activity (Ferre-Aracil et al. 2016). However, some studies 446 indicate that the removal of anticancer drugs can be better with enzymatic degradation and ozonation 447 (Castellet-Rovira et al. 2018; Kelbert et al. 2020; Ferre-Aracil et al. 2016). A study by Somensi et al. (2012) 448 demonstrated that O₃ oxidation of doxorubicin was a pH-dependent process with a second-order rate 449 constant at neutral pH of 0.3373/min.

450

451 Muter et al. (2017), evaluating the removal efficiency of pharmaceutical compounds in WWTP 452 as a function of time, observed diclofenac positive removal during the first 2 h and desorption after 7 453 days. The variants showed increased concentrations of diclofenac after 7 days, irrespectively of its 454 removal during the previous stage. The content of diclofenac increased by almost 2.9 times after the 455 primary mechanical treatment of wastewater and there was a further 10-fold increase after the secondary 456 biological treatment stage in comparison with the initial level in untreated wastewater (Reinholds et al. 457 2017). The negative removal yield of diclofenac at a real urban WWTP was reported also by González-458 Pérez et al. (2017). This effect could happen due to the destruction of glucuronide or sulfate conjugates of 459 diclofenac (Zorita et al. 2009). The bacteria (predominantly *Escherichia coli*) can secrete the β -460 glucuronidase enzyme which can deconjugate already metabolized PPPCs during the wastewater 461 treatment process and consequently release the parent compound (Suárez et al. 2008). Furthermore, other 462 mechanisms (like abiotic hydrolysis) can also occur.

The efficiency of the pharmaceutical removal depends also on the dominant mechanism (aerobic or anaerobic biodegradation, photo-degradation or adsorption and settling). Also, the different CW designs influenced both the range of compounds removed as well as the removal efficiency in the respective season (Zhang et al. 2015). In VF-CW the aerobic biodegradation is responsible for the 467 removal of pharmaceuticals among other dominant processes (e.g., sedimentation, adsorption, and plant

468 uptake (Ilyas and van Hullebusch 2020).

469

470 In contrast, the CW systems providing favorable substrates for biofilms have the high potential
471 for removal of compounds by biodegradation, especially in the cold season. However, during summer,
472 the removal of exclusively aerobically degradable compounds seems to be limited by the high oxygen
473 consumption in the pond with floating macrophytes (Rühmland et al. 2015).

- 474
- 475 3.4 Removal of pharmaceuticals by CW biofilms
- 476

477 In order to evaluate the role of the microorganism living in the CW, the capture of478 pharmaceuticals by biofilms was evaluated.

479 Table 4 - Amount of biofilm accumulated in the sewage effluent and corresponding bioconcentration
480 factor (BCF).

Compound	Biofiln	$n (ng g^{-1})$	BCF (L g ⁻¹) sewage effluent		
Compound	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	
Doxorubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Epirubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Daunorubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Irinotecan	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Medicamycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Spiramycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Josamycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Azithromycin	2.0	3.6	-	-	
Sulfamethoxazole	8.5	<loq< td=""><td>0</td><td>51.7</td></loq<>	0	51.7	
Clarithromycin	3.7	2.7	2.2	0	
Erythromycin	3.6	0.8	0.6	380	
Roxythromycin	<loq< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	0.5	-	-	
Diclofenac	1.5	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	
Carbamazepine	7.2	<l00< td=""><td>1.8</td><td>0.6</td></l00<>	1.8	0.6	

481

482 Table 3 presents the amount of pharmaceuticals accumulated in the sewage biofilm and 483 corresponding BCF. The results show that there is a potential to retain certain pharmaceutical compounds 484 within the sewage biofilms. The accumulation and degradation of compounds are affected by by many 485 factors such as chemical characteristics of the molecule, the concentrations of organic carbon, the 486 microbial community structures that can cause the different scenarios of the bioaccumulation and 487 degradation (Chen et al. 2020). The extracellular enzymes that are secreted by the cells to serve as an 488 external digestion system also interact with polysaccharide and accumulate in the biofilm (Flemming et 489 al. 2016). Protein-like substances can provide active sites, such as carboxyl, amine, hydroxyl groups, and 490 hydrophobic regions for organic micropollutants absorption (Zhang et al. 2018). The antibiotic 491 sulfamethoxazole is the main compound accumulated by epilithic summer biofilms. Kim and Carlson 492 (2007) have found 1.9 ng g⁻¹ of sulfametoxazole in sediments in the United States, in our study we found

493 8.5 ng g⁻¹. The results of a study in six Italian WWTPs (Castiglioni et al. 2006) indicated high inputs of 494 antibiotics (including sulfametoxazole) in rivers. The notable fixation of antibiotics on environmental 495 particles may be explained by surface complexation/sorption reactions (Gu and Karthikeyan 2005). 496 Therefore, six compounds were fixed by the biofilms in summer (azithromycin, sulfamethoxazole, 497 clarithromycin, erythromycin, diclofenac and carbamazepine) and four in winter (azithromycin, 498 clarithromycin, erythromycin and roxythromycin). Some of these compounds (e.g., azithromycin, 499 clarithromycin, erythromycin and diclofenac) present high octanol-water partition coefficient (log K_{ow}) 500 values ranging from 3.0 to 4.5 (Table 1) whereas the other (e.g., sulfametoxazole and roxythromycin) 501 present log K_{ow} values below one (i.e., log K_{ow} indicates the hydrophilic character of a molecule, higher is 502 the value higher is the hydrophobicity). These findings, which indicate the chemical properties of 503 pharmaceuticals (pKa, log K_{ow}), are not the determining factors for the fixation of these compounds by 504 biofilms. The presence of "the classical" pharmaceuticals (i.e., carbamazepine, diclofenac and 505 sulfamethoxazole) could be attributed to their large distribution and resistance to degradation (Aubertheau 506 et al. 2016).

The BCF factor indicate chemical behavior in aquatic organisms. In our study, the results indicate low BCF levels (summer) for the compounds clarithromycin, erythromycin and carbamazepine, ranging from 0.6 to 2.2 (Table 3). In winter there was a higher BCF to for sulfamethoxazole and erythromycin 51 and 380 L g⁻¹, respectively. Sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethazine, are the most abundant sulfonamides detected in the environment (Cheong et al. 2020), and have been detected at relatively high concentrations in sewage treatment plant effluent, surface waters and ground water in diverse world regions (Pei et al. 2006; Pruden et al. 2006; Hsu et al. 2014).

514 Despite the good efficiency of the WWTPs in removing the erythromycin from the effluents, this 515 compound was found accumulated in fishin habiting downstream rivers of WWTPs (Liu et al. 2015). Liu 516 et al. (2014) determined the BCF value of erythromycin in fish, and that the animals can metabolize this 517 substance (BCF= 72.2). In the microbial community, the aromatic protein fraction of extracellular 518 polymeric substances (EPS) displays a steady affinity for erythromycin regardless of either the origin of 519 type of bacterial aggregate treated at the wastewater plant (flocs, granules, biofilms) or EPS location in 520 the bacterial aggregate (Métivier et al. 2013), factor that may have favored the bioaccumulation of these 521 compounds in this study. A bioaccumulation study of the antiepileptic drug carbamazepine found 522 bioaccumulation factors of 2.2 and 12.6 in algae and crustaceans, respectively (Vernouillet et al., 2010). 523 In our study, the BCF of carbamazepine was calculated to be 1.8 in summer and 0.6 in winter. All these 524 findings suggest that these chemicals are partly removed by accumulation in the biofilm matrix.

525 Organic compounds adsorption and biodegradation by biofilms have been studied for many 526 years (Flemming and Ridgway 2009; Flemming and Wingender 2010; Dalahmeh et al. 2019; Dar and 527 Bhat 2020). It is evident that an additional step with the presence of capturing biofilms could be added 528 within the sewage treatment station of Santa Maria. The use of biofilms as new technology to the 529 treatment of wastewater has been tested to understand the removal efficiency of pharmaceuticals and their 530 dependence on biological treatment step and design for the WWTP (Falås et al. 2012). The Moving Bed 531 Biofilm Reactors (MBBR) is a successful example of technology that uses attached growth biofilms on 532 carriers to the treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater (Kermani et al. 2008). This technology is

considered a new approach in biological sewage treatment for the preservation of water and is gaining momentum worldwide. The advantages of biofilm systems over activated sludge systems are that they are more compact, thus the treatment capital costs are reduced (Rusten et al. 1998). It has been reported that the magnitude of the WWTP input can decrease downstream in the river thanks to the transformation and removal of nutrients and pollutants, in part, by fluvial biofilms (Acuña et al. 2019). Natural biofilms from rivers are able to bind drugs, and this may limit the effect of such chemical substances in natural water.

539 The plants of WWTP contributed to an average increase in hydraulic detention time. Increasing 540 the contact time of wastewater with the vegetative tissue and biofilms can contribute to filtration 541 processes, which may be a beneficial aspect in VF-CWs allows greater contact time of wastewater with 542 microorganisms in wetland treatment (Decezaro et al. 2018). Moreover, plant roots can promote 543 microbial biodegradation of pollutants (Shehzadi et al. 2016; Noszczyńska and Piotrowska-Seget 2018). 544 Microbial biodegradation can be promoted by a nonspecific increase in microbial metabolic activity in the 545 area surrounding roots (Singer et al. 2003). In a study analyzing the microbial biofilm populations 546 residing on the plant roots immersed in wastewater of an ecological WWTP Balcom et al. (2016) found 547 greater heterogeneity and higher relative abundances of xenobiotic metabolism genes in the root biofilm. 548 According to the studies conducted by Hijosa-Valsero et al. (2016) macrophytes can take up PPCPs 549 through their roots. In the above mentioned study, ibuprofen, salicylic acid, caffeine, methyl 550 dihydrojasmonate, galaxolide and tonalide were present on the root surface with a predominance of 551 galaxolide and caffeine in all the planted systems. Naproxen, ibuprofen, salicylic acid, methyl 552 dihydrojasmonate, galaxolide and tonalide were uptaken by the roots. Log K_{ow} is an important descriptor 553 to understand the behaviour of emerging pollutants in environmental matrices. In general, adsorption 554 processes are more common for organic compounds with log $K_{ow} > 4$; on the contrary, when the 555 substances have a log K_{ow} value in the range 1-4, they rather are taken up by plants or remain in the 556 dissolved phase (Briggs et al. 1982). But less hydrophobic substances can also be detected, and maybe it 557 was due to the fact that these compounds were present at high concentrations in wastewater. However, 558 compounds such as galaxolid and tonalide even with high K_{ow} values, can be easily adsorbed into the root 559 exodermis, which would facilitate absorption (Hijosa-Valsero et al. 2016). Thus, the authors claim that 560 biodegradation pathways are therefore suggested for most of the studied PPCPs in the assessed CWs.

561 3.5 River water quality

562

563 Studies of acute toxicity of pharmaceutical products in organisms of different trophic levels 564 reveal that the most impactful classes of compounds are antidepressants, antibiotics, antipsychotics, 565 cardiovascular drugs, antineoplastics, as well as natural and synthetic hormones, due to their recalcitrant 566 properties (Farré et al. 2008). In our work, of the four compounds found, three are antibiotics, revealing 567 the great impact has been bringing due to its high consumption, and consequently its constant presence in 568 the environment. Moreover, harmful effects have been reported, such as aquatic toxicity, genotoxicity, 569 endocrine disturbance in wild animals, feminization of male fish (Nash et al. 2004; Gross-Sorokin et al. 570 2006). There are also risks associated with the occurrence or the development of bacterial resistance to 571 antibiotics (Bastos et al. 2018a) and the serious consequences on public health.

Regardless of their very small concentration (e.g. ng L^{-1} to $\mu g L^{-1}$) in water bodies, the 572 573 continuous discharge of pharmaceuticals compounds can have negative impacts on human health as well 574 as aquatic and terrestrial life (Vymazal 2007; Vystavna et al. 2017). Thus, of the four anti-cancer 575 compounds, we detected three in the effluent of the treatment station in summer biofilms. However when 576 released in the creek, they are diluted and only one (Irinotecan) was impregnated the epilithic biofilms in 577 very low concentration (seven times lower - Table 4). Of the eight antibiotics monitored, six were found 578 in the effluent biofilms and five on watercourse biofilms, downstream. The medicamycin antibiotic had a 579 concentration of 45.78 ng g^{-1} in the effluent biofilms was no longer found in the downstream creek. All 580 other antibiotics, the concentrations in epilithic biofilms decreased downstream compared to the effluent, 581 exception of the Sulfamethoxazole. The DCF compound was not found in the effluent; it already 582 appeared in watercourse - upstream and downstream. The CBZ was present in biofilms both upstream 583 (concentration of 7.23 ng g^{-1}) and in the effluent (12.44 ng g^{-1}) but was not found downstream.

584 In general, biofilm collected in winter was less contaminated than biofilm collected in summer. 585 There was a small increase in concentrations downstream compared to upstream. Of the six antibiotics 586 found in the tributary (spiramycin, josamycin, azithromycin, sulfamethoxazole, clarithromycin and 587 erythromycin), two appear downstream in lower concentrations (azithromycin and erythromycin), one 588 with higher concentration (clarythromycin), and roxythromycin did not appear in the effluent but had a concentration of 1. 92 ng g⁻¹ downstream. We believe it is an input from the watercourse itself since in 589 590 upstream the concentration of 1.88 ng g⁻¹ was already found. The CBZ compound had a slightly higher 591 concentration in biofilms the effluent than downstream biofilms.

592 For the effluent water analyzed by the POCIS, we found higher concentrations if compared to 593 the biofilms and of the 14 compounds analyzed, only four were not found in our analyses (irinotecan, 594 josamycin, azythromicin and roxythromycin). Even though the effluent is heavily contaminated, the 595 pharmaceutical's concentrations are diluted along the creek, and CW has no significant impact on water 596 quality. However, sulfamethoxazole, diclifenac and carbamazepine compounds present a considerable 597 increase in their concentration from upstream to downstream. Compounds like carbamazepine even with 598 its concentration increasing, it is still much smaller than in some works that found concentrations in the order of $\mu g g^{-1}$ of this downstream compound of WWTP (Zhang and Geißen 2010). 599

For all the compounds analyzed, there was no significant impact of the effluent having its
concentrations diluted along the creek, and some compounds already had an input from the watercourse
itself even upstream of the treatment plant.

603 In general, each sampling device (POCIS and epilithic biofilm) has its specificity and the 604 methods are complementary. The concentration capacity of compounds in POCIS (in terms of grams of 605 compound per gram of matrix) is higher, considering that in POCIS the maximum concentrations of 863 606 ng g^{-1} (ie. doxorubin in summer) was reported, while in biofilm the maximum concentration was 58.61 ng g⁻¹ (erythromycin, winter). For the POCIS, the winter sampling detected the highest concentrations, 607 608 already the biofilm worked as a similar capturing matrix in the two seasons. The POCIS showed great 609 capacity in capturing drug compounds, since the effluent is more contaminated than along the creek 610 (upstream and downstream) and that the values of pharmaceuticals found in the effluent are much higher 611 than those measured in the creek.

612 For some compounds, the epilithic biofilm has a higher capacity to accumulate than POCIS. This 613 ability is explained by the diversity of organic compounds present in the biofilm, which have a high 614 capacity for adsorption of pharmaceuticals (Bastos et al. 2018b). However, a progressive saturation 615 occurs with exposition time and may contribute to multiple kinetics of bioaccumulation, being 616 consecutive or staking (Zhang et al. 2018). The present results even show that the biofilm sampling 617 device has broad selectivity. In addition to dissolved compounds, suspended solids can be trapped by 618 biofilms and incorporated into the matrix, including biodegradable material that can be used as a source 619 of nutrients (Flemming et al. 2016).

- 620
- 621 Table 5 Concentration of pharmaceuticals in biofilms and POCIS in winter 2018 and summer
- **622** 2018/2019.

623

			Biofilm (ng g ⁻¹)				POCIS (ng g ⁻¹)		
Molecules	Upstream 1	Upstream2	Upstream3		Downstream	Upstream 1	Upstream2	Upstream3	TI CCI	Downstream
	(P10)	(P14)	(P3)	Effluent	(P6)	(P10)	(P14)	(P3)	Effluent	(P6)
					Summ	er				
Doxorubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>863.15</td><td>3.52</td></loq<>	863.15	3.52
Epirubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>15.56</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	15.56	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>124.28</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	124.28	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Daunorubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>9.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>9.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>9.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	9.16	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>215.21</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	215.21	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Irinotecan	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.65</td><td>2.35</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>15.65</td><td>2.35</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>15.65</td><td>2.35</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	15.65	2.35	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.36</td></loq<>	1.36
Medicamycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>45.78</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>45.78</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>45.78</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	45.78	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.79</td><td>220.93</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	0.79	220.93	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Spiramycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>64.38</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	64.38	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Josamycin	<loq< td=""><td>0.88</td><td><loq< td=""><td>7.89</td><td>0.49</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.69</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.88	<loq< td=""><td>7.89</td><td>0.49</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.69</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	7.89	0.49	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.69</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.69</td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.8	<loq< td=""><td>0.69</td></loq<>	0.69
Azithromycin	2.04	5.23	11.05	11.05	2.23	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.82</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.94</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.82</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.94</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.82	<loq< td=""><td>4.94</td></loq<>	4.94
Clarithromycine	3.75	3.51	2.05	7.04	1.26	<loq< td=""><td>0.41</td><td>1.1</td><td>160.69</td><td>3.12</td></loq<>	0.41	1.1	160.69	3.12
Erythromycine	3.61	0.97	2.16	5.88	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.75</td><td>0.41</td><td>138.7</td><td>2.06</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.75</td><td>0.41</td><td>138.7</td><td>2.06</td></loq<>	0.75	0.41	138.7	2.06
Roxythromycine	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.87</td><td>1.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.87</td><td>1.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>2.87</td><td>1.64</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.87	1.64	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.63	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Sulfamethoxazol	8.59	4.38	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>5.88</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.64</td><td>231.81</td><td>89.83</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>5.88</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.64</td><td>231.81</td><td>89.83</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	5.88	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.64</td><td>231.81</td><td>89.83</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.64</td><td>231.81</td><td>89.83</td></loq<>	1.64	231.81	89.83
DCF	1.54	1.37	5.37	<loq< td=""><td>2.27</td><td><loq< td=""><td>7.49</td><td>2.58</td><td>346.81</td><td>64.52</td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.27	<loq< td=""><td>7.49</td><td>2.58</td><td>346.81</td><td>64.52</td></loq<>	7.49	2.58	346.81	64.52
Carbamazépine	7.23	4.41	<loq< td=""><td>12.44</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>8.74</td><td>2.55</td><td>307.05</td><td>101.78</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	12.44	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>8.74</td><td>2.55</td><td>307.05</td><td>101.78</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>8.74</td><td>2.55</td><td>307.05</td><td>101.78</td></loq<>	8.74	2.55	307.05	101.78
L.					Winte	er				
	Upstream 1	Upstream2	Upstream3	Effluent	Downstream	Upstream 1	Upstream2	Upstream3	Effluent	Downstream
	(P10)	(P14)	(P3)	Entuent	(P6)	(P10)	(P14)	(P3)	Entuent	(P6)
Doxorubicin	<loq< td=""><td>3.1</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	3.1	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Epirubicin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Daunorubicin	<loq< td=""><td>3.04</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	3.04	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Irinotecan	<loq< td=""><td>2.79</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.79	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.04</td></loq<>	1.04
Medicamycin	<loq< td=""><td>2.38</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.38	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Spiramycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>19.66</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>19.66</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>19.66</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	19.66	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>4.87</td></loq<>	4.87
Josamycin	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.06</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.06	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Azithromycin	3.66	7.23	7.47	29.72	20.02	<loq< td=""><td>2.89</td><td>24.25</td><td><loq< td=""><td>18.46</td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.89	24.25	<loq< td=""><td>18.46</td></loq<>	18.46
Clarithromycine	2.78	5.65	5.91	2.63	17.19	1.12	2.43	22.11	<loq< td=""><td>18.61</td></loq<>	18.61
Erythromycine	0.82	1.88	7.75	58.61	2.88	1.04	2.26	135.9	2.25	46.35
Roxythromycine	0.54	<loq< td=""><td>1.88</td><td><loq< td=""><td>1.92</td><td>0.45</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.88	<loq< td=""><td>1.92</td><td>0.45</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.92	0.45	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
Sulfamethoxazol	<loq< td=""><td>40.56</td><td>12.53</td><td>11.34</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.21</td><td>5.37</td><td>3.85</td><td>141.38</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	40.56	12.53	11.34	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>18.21</td><td>5.37</td><td>3.85</td><td>141.38</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>18.21</td><td>5.37</td><td>3.85</td><td>141.38</td></loq<>	18.21	5.37	3.85	141.38
DCF	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>1.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.25</td><td>2.87</td><td>8.77</td><td>134.47</td><td>162.07</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>1.16</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.25</td><td>2.87</td><td>8.77</td><td>134.47</td><td>162.07</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	1.16	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.25</td><td>2.87</td><td>8.77</td><td>134.47</td><td>162.07</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>2.25</td><td>2.87</td><td>8.77</td><td>134.47</td><td>162.07</td></loq<>	2.25	2.87	8.77	134.47	162.07
Carbamazepine	<loq< td=""><td>2.57</td><td>8.07</td><td>2.33</td><td>1.63</td><td>0.82</td><td>4.41</td><td>2.77</td><td>179.92</td><td>2.23</td></loq<>	2.57	8.07	2.33	1.63	0.82	4.41	2.77	179.92	2.23

- 625 3.6 Environmental risk of wetland effluent
- 626

627 Potential environmental risks of pharmaceutical compounds were evaluated using the method of
628 the risk quotient. RQ values were calculated for WWTP effluent sampling in summer and in winter
629 (Figure 5).



Fig. 5 Risk quotients of pharmaceuticals in WWTP effluent. (The indices were calculated only for compounds found in this work that had sampling rates (Rs) available in the literature)

633

630

634 Discharges from WWTPs have been identified as the primary point sources of pharmaceuticals 635 into the aquatic environment, which may pose potential risks to the aquatic organisms (Leung et al. 2013). 636 In the summer sampling, five compounds detected in WWTP effluent represent a potential 637 ecotoxicological risk. A high risk is estimated for erythromycin (2.34) and diclofenac (2.87), and a 638 medium risk is estimated for the sulfamethoxazole (0.27), clarithromycin (0.16) and carbamazepine 639 (0.39). Furthermore, it is worth noting that erythromycin and diclofenac have shown a low removal 640 efficiency in our CW and others WWTPs. The low removal efficiency of WWTPs could be an important 641 reason for the presence of antibiotics in the environment (Zhang et al. 2015). High risk quotients for the 642 erytrhomycin antibiotic in WWTP effluent were also found by Li et al. (2013b), Yuan et al. (2015) and 643 Ben et al. (2018). Although the concentrations of antibiotics in effluents may be diluted, when discharged 644 into the surrounding water, due to their continuous release, their potential to resist degradation, and their 645 ability to absorb to soil or sediment, these pseudo-persistent compounds may be widely present at the 646 surrounding water near discharge point from WWTP and have a big possibility of causing the 647 development and spread of antibiotic resistance gene (Pei et al. 2006). Even if in our study, medium risks 648 were found for sulfamethoxazole and clarythromycin, previous study conducted in France showed that 649 these compounds required prioritized attention because of their high ecological risk to aquatic 650 environments (Besse and Garric 2008).

651 In the winter period, only two compounds could represent a risk: diclofenac which is classified 652 as high risk with a value of 1.04, and carbamazepine which is classified as medium risk. Consequently, 653 the season and the functioning of the CW have a significant role on the "type" of environmental risk, 654 since the risk induced by antibiotics seems to be reduced in winter. The anti-inflammatory agent 655 diclofenac was previously identified as one of the main risk drivers in environmental mixtures (Busch et al. 2016; Beckers et al. 2018) and has been associated with growth inhibition in daphnia and cell 656 657 multiplication in algae (Mijangos et al. 2018). The antibiotics clarithromycin, erythromycin and 658 sulfamethoxazole presented low risk in the winter period. Even though the environmental risks were 659 estimated to be low for these antibiotics, the potential negative effects on aquatic ecosystems should call 660 our attention because of their continuous discharge in the long term.

Individual concentrations of compounds represent low environmental risks. However, the mixture toxicity of these pharmaceuticals and the long-term environmental impact and ecological risks were not considered here, which warrants future investigations. Geiger et al. (2016) concluded that toxic mixture effects of all tested chemicals are higher than the individual effect of each mixture component. Further research should be conducted to investigate the impact of these compounds on various trophic levels and environmental compartments.

667 4. Conclusion668

669 The present work shows that a small VF-CW, under subtropical hydroclimatic conditions, 670 succeed to eliminate a significant part of the pollution load present in domestic wastewater, such as more 671 than 60% of TSS COD and BOD₅, and 45% of TN, although it was not efficient to eliminate P. But has 672 low efficiency in removing pharmaceutical compounds. All compounds found in summer had negative 673 removal efficiency (doxorubicin, epirubicin, spiramycin, Sulfametoxazole, clarithromycin, erythromycin, 674 diclofenaco and carbamazepine). However, all compounds found in winter had positive removal 675 efficiency (erythromycin, diclofenaco and carbamazepine). Furthermore, more pharmaceutical 676 compounds were detected in summer compared to winter Results highlight increase of some 677 pharmaceutical compound concentrations in water after treatment. This finding will be due to the 678 reactivation of metabolite by hydrolysis or microbiological activities during the treatment in the CW. 679 Indeed, biofilms shown to interact with pharmaceuticals and especially play a role in their capture from 680 water.

681 The removal efficiency was shown to be better during the winter period, where fewer 682 compounds were found, but with positive removal efficiency. In the summer period, most compounds had 683 negative removal efficiency. The risk assessment indicates, however, that the water quality could pose 684 high risks to aquatic organisms. The development of treatment trains that are more suited for the removal 685 of pharmaceuticals by upgrading existing WWTPs or designing new ones are important areas of research 686 and development. Some strategies can be employed to reduce the discharge of drugs into the 687 environment. Efforts to restrict the sale of controlled medicines such as antibiotics, antidepressants, etc. 688 should be made in countries like Brazil. As well as encouraging the use of more degradable compounds 689 with comparable therapeutic effects (green pharmacy), and other strategies focus on improving 690 wastewater treatment technologies and their scale of adoption (Ilyas et al. 2020).

691 Despite these limits, CW offer an interesting solution for limiting pollution of watercourses. The
692 dissemination of such technology in Brazil would benefit the poor Brazilian sanitation coverage,
693 especially for low-density regions with sensitive environments, in which advanced levels of wastewater
694 treatment are required but large investments are not feasible.

695

696 **5. Declarations**

697

698 Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

- 699 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable.
- 700 Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are
- 701 available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
- 702 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 703 Funding: This research was supported by CNPq (Project Universal 458678/2014-7), Finep/Fepagro
- 704 (Project Mais Agua), European Community (FEDER) and Région Nouvelle Aquitaine.

705 Authors' contributions:

Jocelina Paranhos Rosa de Vargas, Danilo Rheinheimer and Delmira Wolff participated in the conception

- and design of the work. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Jocelina
- 708 Paranhos Rosa de Vargas, Marília Camotti Bastos, Jèrôme Labanowski, Maha Al Badany and Rolando
- 709 Gonzaléz. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Jocelina Paranhos Rosa de Vargas. All authors
- commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 711

- 712 6. Bibliography
- 713
- 714 Acuña V, Casellas M, Font C, et al (2019) Nutrient attenuation dynamics in effluent dominated 715 watercourses. Water Research 160:330–338. 716 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.093 717 Adhikari JR, Lohani SP (2019) Design, installation, operation and experimentation of septic tank 718 – UASB wastewater treatment system. Renewable Energy 143:1406–1415. 719 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.04.059 720 Al-Zreigat I, Abbassi B, Headley T, et al (2018) Influence of septic tank attached growth media on total nitrogen removal in a recirculating vertical flow constructed wetland for 721 722 treatment of domestic wastewater. Ecological Engineering 118:171–178. 723 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.013 724 ANA (2019) Saneamento — Agência Nacional de Águas. https://www.ana.gov.br/aguas-no-725 brasil/saiba-quem-regula/saneamento. Accessed 4 Jun 2020 726 Anilanmert B, Arioz Ozdemir F, Erdinc N, Pekin M (2006) Potentiometric determination of the 727 dissociation constants of epirubicin HCl and irinotecan HCl. Mendeleev Communications 16:97-98. https://doi.org/10.1070/MC2006v016n02ABEH002234 728 729 APHA (2017) Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23nd edition. 730 Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23nd edition 731 Arias CA, Brix H (2005) Phosphorus removal in constructed wetlands: can suitable alternative 732 media be identified? Water Science and Technology 51:267–273. 733 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2005.0335 734 Ashfaq M, Nawaz Khan K, Saif Ur Rehman M, et al (2017) Ecological risk assessment of 735 pharmaceuticals in the receiving environment of pharmaceutical wastewater in 736 Pakistan. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 136:31–39. 737 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.10.029 738 Aubertheau E, Stalder T, Mondamert L, et al (2017) Impact of wastewater treatment plant 739 discharge on the contamination of river biofilms by pharmaceuticals and antibiotic 740 resistance. Science of The Total Environment 579:1387–1398. 741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.136 742 Auvinen H, Havran I, Hubau L, et al (2017) Removal of pharmaceuticals by a pilot aerated sub-743 surface flow constructed wetland treating municipal and hospital wastewater. 744 Ecological Engineering 100:157–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.12.031 745 Ávila C, Pedescoll A, Matamoros V, et al (2010) Capacity of a horizontal subsurface flow 746 constructed wetland system for the removal of emerging pollutants: An injection 747 experiment. Chemosphere 81:1137-1142. 748 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.08.006 749 Balcom IN, Driscoll H, Vincent J, Leduc M (2016) Metagenomic analysis of an ecological 750 wastewater treatment plant's microbial communities and their potential to metabolize 751 pharmaceuticals. F1000Res 5:1881. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9157.1

752 Bastos MC, Rheinheimer d D dos S, Aubertheau É, et al (2018a) Antibiotics and microbial 753 resistance in Brazilian soils under manure application. Land Degrad Dev 29:2472–2484. 754 https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2964 755 Bastos MC, Rheinheimer D dos S, Castro Lima JAM, et al (2018b) Presence of Anthropogenic 756 Markers in Water: A Case Study of the Guaporé River Watershed, Brazil. Clean - Soil, 757 Air, Water 46:1700019. https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201700019 758 Beckers L-M, Busch W, Krauss M, et al (2018) Characterization and risk assessment of seasonal 759 and weather dynamics in organic pollutant mixtures from discharge of a separate 760 sewer system. Water Research 135:122–133. 761 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.02.002 762 Ben W, Zhu B, Yuan X, et al (2018) Occurrence, removal and risk of organic micropollutants in wastewater treatment plants across China: Comparison of wastewater treatment 763 764 processes. Water Research 130:38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.057 765 Besse J-P, Garric J (2008) Human pharmaceuticals in surface waters. Toxicology Letters 766 176:104-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.10.012 767 Bisognin RP, Wolff DB, Carissimi E (2018) Revisão sobre fármacos no ambiente. RDAE 66:78– 768 95. https://doi.org/10.4322/dae.2018.009 769 Bisognin RP, Wolff DB, Carissimi E, et al (2019) Occurrence and fate of pharmaceuticals in 770 effluent and sludge from a wastewater treatment plant in Brazil. Environmental 771 Technology 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2019.1701561 772 Blaas H, Kroeze C (2016) Excessive nitrogen and phosphorus in European rivers: 2000–2050. 773 Ecological Indicators 67:328–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.03.004 774 Briggs GG, Bromilow RH, Evans AA (1982) Relationships between lipophilicity and root uptake 775 and translocation of non-ionised chemicals by barley. Pestic Sci 13:495–504. 776 https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780130506 777 Busch W, Schmidt S, Kühne R, et al (2016) Micropollutants in European rivers: A mode of 778 action survey to support the development of effect-based tools for water monitoring: 779 Micropollutants in European rivers: a mode-of-action. Environ Toxicol Chem 35:1887-780 1899. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3460 781 Bussi G, Janes V, Whitehead PG, et al (2017) Dynamic response of land use and river nutrient 782 concentration to long-term climatic changes. Science of The Total Environment 590-783 591:818–831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.069 784 Camacho-Muñoz D, Martín J, Santos JL, et al (2012) Effectiveness of Conventional and Low-785 Cost Wastewater Treatments in the Removal of Pharmaceutically Active Compounds. 786 Water Air Soil Pollut 223:2611-2621. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-1053-9 787 Carballa M, Omil F, Ternes T, Lema JM (2007) Fate of pharmaceutical and personal care 788 products (PPCPs) during anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. Water Research 789 41:2139–2150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.02.012 790 Castellet-Rovira F, Lucas D, Villagrasa M, et al (2018) Stropharia rugosoannulata and 791 Gymnopilus luteofolius : Promising fungal species for pharmaceutical biodegradation

792	in contaminated water. Journal of Environmental Management 207:396–404.
793	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.07.052
794	Castiglioni S, Bagnati R, Fanelli R, et al (2006) Removal of Pharmaceuticals in Sewage
795	Treatment Plants in Italy. Environ Sci Technol 40:357–363.
796	https://doi.org/10.1021/es050991m
797	Celiz MD, Tso J, Aga DS (2009) PHARMACEUTICAL METABOLITES IN THE ENVIRONMENT:
798	ANALYTICAL CHALLENGES AND ECOLOGICAL RISKS. Environ Toxicol Chem 28:2473.
799	https://doi.org/10.1897/09-173.1
800 801 802	Chen S, Xie J, Wen Z (2020) Removal of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) from waterbody using a revolving algal biofilm (RAB) reactor. Journal of Hazardous Materials 124284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124284
803	Chen Y, Vymazal J, Březinová T, et al (2016) Occurrence, removal and environmental risk
804	assessment of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in rural wastewater
805	treatment wetlands. Science of The Total Environment 566–567:1660–1669.
806	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.069
807 808 809	Cheong MS, Seo KH, Chohra H, et al (2020) Influence of Sulfonamide Contamination Derived from Veterinary Antibiotics on Plant Growth and Development. Antibiotics 9:456. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9080456
810	Chonova T, Keck F, Labanowski J, et al (2016) Separate treatment of hospital and urban
811	wastewaters: A real scale comparison of effluents and their effect on microbial
812	communities. Science of The Total Environment 542:965–975.
813	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.161
814	CONAMA (2011) Resolução CONAMA nº 430, de 13 de maio de 2011 — 4ª Câmara - Meio
815	Ambiente e Patrimônio Cultural. http://www.mpf.mp.br/atuacao-
816	tematica/ccr4/dados-da-atuacao/projetos/qualidade-da-
817	agua/legislacao/resolucoes/resolucao-conama-no-430-de-13-de-maio-de-2011/view.
818	Accessed 4 Jun 2020
819	- CONSEMA (2017) Resolução CONSEMA Nº 355 DE 13/07/2017 - Estadual - Rio Grande do Sul
820	LegisWeb. https://www.legisweb.com.br/legislacao/?id=346397. Accessed 4 Jun 2020
821	da Costa JF, Martins WLP, Seidl M, von Sperling M (2015) Role of vegetation (Typha latifolia) on
822	nutrient removal in a horizontal subsurface-flow constructed wetland treating UASB
823	reactor–trickling filter effluent. Water Science and Technology 71:1004–1010.
824	https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.055
825	Dalahmeh SS, Alziq N, Ahrens L (2019) Potential of biochar filters for onsite wastewater
826	treatment: Effects of active and inactive biofilms on adsorption of per- and
827	polyfluoroalkyl substances in laboratory column experiments. Environmental Pollution
828	247:155–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.01.032
829	Dar SA, Bhat RA (2020) Aquatic Pollution Stress and Role of Biofilms as Environment Cleanup
830	Technology. In: Qadri H, Bhat RA, Mehmood MA, Dar GH (eds) Fresh Water Pollution
831	Dynamics and Remediation. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp 293–318

- Base Decezaro ST, Wolff DB, Araújo RK, et al (2018) Vertical flow constructed wetland planted with
 Heliconia psittacorum used as decentralized post-treatment of anaerobic effluent in
 Southern Brazil. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A 53:1131–1138.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2018.1530106
- Bevault DA, Amalric L, Bristeau S, et al (2020) Removal efficiency of emerging micropollutants
 in biofilter wastewater treatment plants in tropical areas. Environ Sci Pollut Res.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10868-z
- Bordio A, Pinto J, Barrocas Dias C, et al (2009) Atenolol removal in microcosm constructed
 wetlands. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry 89:835–848.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/03067310902962502
- Dotro G, Langergraber G, Molle P, et al (2017) Treatment Wetlands. Water Intell Online
 16:9781780408774. https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780408774
- Ebele AJ, Abou-Elwafa Abdallah M, Harrad S (2017) Pharmaceuticals and personal care
 products (PPCPs) in the freshwater aquatic environment. Emerging Contaminants 3:1–
 16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emcon.2016.12.004
- Falås P, Andersen HR, Ledin A, Jansen J la C (2012) Occurrence and reduction of
 pharmaceuticals in the water phase at Swedish wastewater treatment plants. Water
 Science and Technology 66:783–791. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.243
- Farré M Ia, Pérez S, Kantiani L, Barceló D (2008) Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their
 metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. TrAC Trends in
 Analytical Chemistry 27:991–1007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008.09.010
- Ferre-Aracil J, Valcárcel Y, Negreira N, et al (2016) Ozonation of hospital raw wastewaters for
 cytostatic compounds removal. Kinetic modelling and economic assessment of the
 process. Science of The Total Environment 556:70–79.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.202
- Fischer K, Majewsky M (2014) Cometabolic degradation of organic wastewater micropollutants
 by activated sludge and sludge-inherent microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol
 98:6583–6597. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-5826-0
- Flemming H-C, Ridgway H (2009) Biofilm Control: Conventional and Alternative Approaches. In:
 Flemming H-C, Murthy PS, Venkatesan R, Cooksey K (eds) Marine and Industrial
 Biofouling. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 103–117
- Flemming H-C, Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:623–633.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2415
- Flemming H-C, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, et al (2016) Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial
 life. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:563–575. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94
- Foladori P, Ruaben J, Ortigara ARC (2013) Recirculation or artificial aeration in vertical flow
 constructed wetlands: A comparative study for treating high load wastewater.
 Bioresource Technology 149:398–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.099

870 Freixa A, Perujo N, Langenheder S, Romaní AM (2020) River biofilms adapted to anthropogenic 871 disturbances are more resistant to WWTP inputs. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 872 96:fiaa152. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa152 873 Gao P, Munir M, Xagoraraki I (2012) Correlation of tetracycline and sulfonamide antibiotics 874 with corresponding resistance genes and resistant bacteria in a conventional municipal 875 wastewater treatment plant. Science of The Total Environment 421–422:173–183. 876 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.01.061 877 García J, Rousseau DPL, Morató J, et al (2010) Contaminant Removal Processes in Subsurface-878 Flow Constructed Wetlands: A Review. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and 879 Technology 40:561–661. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380802471076 880 Geiger E, Hornek-Gausterer R, Sacan MT (2016) Single and mixture toxicity of pharmaceuticals 881 and chlorophenols to freshwater algae Chlorella vulgaris. Ecotoxicology and 882 Environmental Safety 129:189–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.03.032 883 Glibert PM (2017) Eutrophication, harmful algae and biodiversity — Challenging paradigms in a 884 world of complex nutrient changes. Marine Pollution Bulletin 124:591–606. 885 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.04.027 886 Gobel A, Mcardell C, Joss A, et al (2007) Fate of sulfonamides, macrolides, and trimethoprim in 887 different wastewater treatment technologies. Science of The Total Environment 888 372:361-371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.07.039 889 Göbel A, Thomsen A, McArdell CS, et al (2005) Occurrence and Sorption Behavior of 890 Sulfonamides, Macrolides, and Trimethoprim in Activated Sludge Treatment. Environ 891 Sci Technol 39:3981-3989. https://doi.org/10.1021/es048550a 892 González-Pérez DM, Pérez JI, Gómez MA (2017) Behaviour of the main nonsteroidal anti-893 inflammatory drugs in a membrane bioreactor treating urban wastewater at high 894 hydraulic- and sludge-retention time. Journal of Hazardous Materials 336:128–138. 895 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.04.059 896 Greenway M (2005) The role of constructed wetlands in secondary effluent treatment and 897 water reuse in subtropical and arid Australia. Ecological Engineering 25:501-509. 898 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2005.07.008 899 Gros M, Cruz-Morato C, Marco-Urrea E, et al (2014) Biodegradation of the X-ray contrast agent 900 iopromide and the fluoroquinolone antibiotic ofloxacin by the white rot fungus 901 Trametes versicolor in hospital wastewaters and identification of degradation 902 products. Water Research 60:228–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.04.042 903 Gross-Sorokin MY, Roast SD, Brighty GC (2006) Assessment of Feminization of Male Fish in 904 English Rivers by the Environment Agency of England and Wales. Environmental Health 905 Perspectives 114:147-151. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8068 906 Gu C, Karthikeyan KG (2005) Interaction of Tetracycline with Aluminum and Iron Hydrous 907 Oxides. Environ Sci Technol 39:2660–2667. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0486030 908 Harman C, Brooks S, Sundt RC, et al (2011) Field comparison of passive sampling and biological 909 approaches for measuring exposure to PAH and alkylphenols from offshore produced

910 water discharges. Marine Pollution Bulletin 63:141–148. 911 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.12.023 912 Hijosa-Valsero M, Reyes-Contreras C, Domínguez C, et al (2016) Behaviour of pharmaceuticals 913 and personal care products in constructed wetland compartments: Influent, effluent, 914 pore water, substrate and plant roots. Chemosphere 145:508-517. 915 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.090 916 Hsu J-T, Chen C-Y, Young C-W, et al (2014) Prevalence of sulfonamide-resistant bacteria, 917 resistance genes and integron-associated horizontal gene transfer in natural water 918 bodies and soils adjacent to a swine feedlot in northern Taiwan. Journal of Hazardous 919 Materials 277:34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.02.016 920 Hyland KC, Dickenson ERV, Drewes JE, Higgins CP (2012) Sorption of ionized and neutral 921 emerging trace organic compounds onto activated sludge from different wastewater 922 treatment configurations. Water Research 46:1958–1968. 923 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.01.012 924 Ibrahim I, Togola A, Gonzalez C (2013) Polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS) 925 uptake rates for 17 polar pesticides and degradation products: laboratory calibration. 926 Environ Sci Pollut Res 20:3679-3687. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1284-3 927 Ilyas H, Masih I (2018) The effects of different aeration strategies on the performance of 928 constructed wetlands for phosphorus removal. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:5318–5335. 929 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-1071-2 930 Ilyas H, Masih I (2017) The performance of the intensified constructed wetlands for organic 931 matter and nitrogen removal: A review. Journal of Environmental Management 932 198:372–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.098 933 Ilyas H, Masih I, van Hullebusch ED (2020) Pharmaceuticals' removal by constructed wetlands: 934 a critical evaluation and meta-analysis on performance, risk reduction, and role of 935 physicochemical properties on removal mechanisms. Journal of Water and Health 936 18:253-291. https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2020.213 937 Ilyas H, van Hullebusch ED (2020) Performance comparison of different types of constructed 938 wetlands for the removal of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products: a 939 review. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:14342-14364. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-940 08165-w 941 Interfarma (2018) Guia 2018 : Dados do setor. https://www.interfarma.org.br/guia/guia-942 2018/dados_do_setor#ranking_das_industrias. Accessed 15 Mar 2020 943 Jarvie HP, Neal C, Withers PJA (2006) Sewage-effluent phosphorus: A greater risk to river 944 eutrophication than agricultural phosphorus? Science of The Total Environment 945 360:246–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.08.038 946 Jelic A, Di Fabio S, Vecchiato G, et al (2015) Nano-occurrence and removal of PCBs within the 947 Europe's largest petrochemical MBR system. Water Research 83:329–336. 948 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.07.009 949 Jordão E P, Pessôa, C A I (2014) Sewage TreatmentDomestic. 7th Edition. Rio de Janeiro: ABES, 950 932p. (In Portuguese).

- Joss A, Keller E, Alder AC, et al (2005) Removal of pharmaceuticals and fragrances in biological
 wastewater treatment. Water Research 39:3139–3152.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.05.031
- Kahl S, Nivala J, van Afferden M, et al (2017) Effect of design and operational conditions on the
 performance of subsurface flow treatment wetlands: Emerging organic contaminants
 as indicators. Water Research 125:490–500.
- 957 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.09.004
- 958Kakimoto T, Onoda Y (2019) Fate of Pharmaceuticals in Composting Process. In: Funamizu N959(ed) Resource-Oriented Agro-sanitation Systems. Springer Japan, Tokyo, pp 79–96
- Kelbert M, Pereira CS, Daronch NA, et al (2020) Laccase as an efficacious approach to remove
 anticancer drugs: A study of doxorubicin degradation, kinetic parameters, and toxicity
 assessment. Journal of Hazardous Materials 124520.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124520
- Kermani M, Bina B, Movahedian H, et al (2008) Application of Moving Bed Biofilm Process for
 Biological Organics and Nutrients from Municipal Wastewater. American J of
 Environmental Sciences 4:675–682. https://doi.org/10.3844/ajessp.2008.675.682
- 967 Kim S-C, Carlson K (2007) Temporal and spatial trends in the occurrence of human and
 968 veterinary antibiotics in aqueous and river sediment matrices. Environ Sci Technol
 969 41:50–57. https://doi.org/10.1021/es060737+
- Klatte S, Schaefer H-C, Hempel M (2017) Pharmaceuticals in the environment A short review
 on options to minimize the exposure of humans, animals and ecosystems. Sustainable
 Chemistry and Pharmacy 5:61–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2016.07.001
- 973 Krzeminski P, Tomei MC, Karaolia P, et al (2019) Performance of secondary wastewater
 974 treatment methods for the removal of contaminants of emerging concern implicated
 975 in crop uptake and antibiotic resistance spread: A review. Science of The Total
 976 Environment 648:1052–1081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.130
- Kumar V, Johnson AC, Nakada N, et al (2012) De-conjugation behavior of conjugated estrogens
 in the raw sewage, activated sludge and river water. Journal of Hazardous Materials
 227–228:49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.04.078
- Li W, Shi Y, Gao L, et al (2013a) Occurrence, distribution and potential affecting factors of
 antibiotics in sewage sludge of wastewater treatment plants in China. Science of The
 Total Environment 445–446:306–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.050
- Li W, Shi Y, Gao L, et al (2013b) Occurrence and removal of antibiotics in a municipal
 wastewater reclamation plant in Beijing, China. Chemosphere 92:435–444.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.040
- Li W-L, Zhang Z-F, Ma W-L, et al (2018) An evaluation on the intra-day dynamics, seasonal
 variations and removal of selected pharmaceuticals and personal care products from
 urban wastewater treatment plants. Science of The Total Environment 640–641:1139–
 1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.362

990 991 992	Liu H-Q, Lam JCW, Li W-W, et al (2017) Spatial distribution and removal performance of pharmaceuticals in municipal wastewater treatment plants in China. Science of The Total Environment 586:1162–1169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.107
993 994 995	Liu J, Lu G, Ding J, et al (2014) Tissue distribution, bioconcentration, metabolism, and effects of erythromycin in crucian carp (Carassius auratus). Science of The Total Environment 490:914–920. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.05.055
996	Liu J, Lu G, Xie Z, et al (2015) Occurrence, bioaccumulation and risk assessment of lipophilic
997	pharmaceutically active compounds in the downstream rivers of sewage treatment
998	plants. Science of The Total Environment 511:54–62.
999	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.033
1000	Liu X, Guo X, Liu Y, et al (2019) A review on removing antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes
1001	from wastewater by constructed wetlands: Performance and microbial response.
1002	Environmental Pollution 254:112996. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.112996
1003	López A, Rodríguez-Chueca J, Mosteo R, et al (2019) How does urban wastewater treatment
1004	affect the microbial quality of treated wastewater? Process Safety and Environmental
1005	Protection 130:22–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.07.016
1006 1007 1008	Lucas D, Badia-Fabregat M, Vicent T, et al (2016) Fungal treatment for the removal of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in veterinary hospital wastewater. Chemosphere 152:301–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02.113
1009	Machado AI, Beretta M, Fragoso R, Duarte E (2017) Overview of the state of the art of
1010	constructed wetlands for decentralized wastewater management in Brazil. Journal of
1011	Environmental Management 187:560–570.
1012	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.015
1013	Mainstone CP, Parr W (2002) Phosphorus in rivers — ecology and management. Science of The
1014	Total Environment 282–283:25–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(01)00937-8
1015	Martins AF, Mallmann CA, Arsand DR, et al (2011) Occurrence of the Antimicrobials
1016	Sulfamethoxazole and Trimethoprim in Hospital Effluent and Study of Their
1017	Degradation Products after Electrocoagulation. Clean Soil Air Water 39:21–27.
1018	https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201000126
1019	Matamoros V, Hijosa M, Bayona JM (2009) Assessment of the pharmaceutical active
1020	compounds removal in wastewater treatment systems at enantiomeric level.
1021	Ibuprofen and naproxen. Chemosphere 75:200–205.
1022	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.12.008
1023 1024 1025	Matamoros V, Salvadó V (2012) Evaluation of the seasonal performance of a water reclamation pond-constructed wetland system for removing emerging contaminants. Chemosphere 86:111–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.09.020
1026	MEDDE Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development and Energy. http://www.consultations-
1027	publiques.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/. Accessed 21 dec 2020. (in French).
1028	Mercier A, Wille G, Michel C, et al (2013) Biofilm formation vs. PCB adsorption on granular
1029	activated carbon in PCB-contaminated aquatic sediment. J Soils Sediments 13:793–
1030	800. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-012-0647-1

- 1031 Métivier R, Bourven I, Labanowski J, Guibaud G (2013) Interaction of erythromycin 1032 ethylsuccinate and acetaminophen with protein fraction of extracellular polymeric 1033 substances (EPS) from various bacterial aggregates. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20:7275-1034 7285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1738-2 1035 Miao X-S, Yang J-J, Metcalfe CD (2005) Carbamazepine and Its Metabolites in Wastewater and 1036 in Biosolids in a Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant. Environ Sci Technol 39:7469– 1037 7475. https://doi.org/10.1021/es050261e 1038 Mijangos L, Ziarrusta H, Ros O, et al (2018) Occurrence of emerging pollutants in estuaries of 1039 the Basque Country: Analysis of sources and distribution, and assessment of the 1040 environmental risk. Water Research 147:152-163. 1041 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.033 1042 Muter O, P\erkons I, Selga T, et al (2017) Removal of pharmaceuticals from municipal 1043 wastewaters at laboratory scale by treatment with activated sludge and 1044 biostimulation. Science of The Total Environment 584–585:402–413. 1045 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.023 1046 Nash JP, Kime DE, Van der Ven LTM, et al (2004) Long-Term Exposure to Environmental 1047 Concentrations of the Pharmaceutical Ethynylestradiol Causes Reproductive Failure in 1048 Fish. Environmental Health Perspectives 112:1725–1733. 1049 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7209 1050 Noszczyńska M, Piotrowska-Seget Z (2018) Bisphenols: Application, occurrence, safety, and 1051 biodegradation mediated by bacterial communities in wastewater treatment plants 1052 and rivers. Chemosphere 201:214-223.
 - 1053 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.179
 - Okuda T, Kobayashi Y, Nagao R, et al (2008) Removal efficiency of 66 pharmaceuticals during
 wastewater treatment process in Japan. Water Science and Technology 57:65–71.
 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2008.822
 - Ondarza PM, Haddad SP, Avigliano E, et al (2019) Pharmaceuticals, illicit drugs and their
 metabolites in fish from Argentina: Implications for protected areas influenced by
 urbanization. Science of The Total Environment 649:1029–1037.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.383
 - Pei R, Kim S-C, Carlson KH, Pruden A (2006) Effect of River Landscape on the sediment
 concentrations of antibiotics and corresponding antibiotic resistance genes (ARG).
 Water Research 40:2427–2435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.04.017
 - 1064Petrie B, Rood S, Smith BD, et al (2018) Biotic phase micropollutant distribution in horizontal1065sub-surface flow constructed wetlands. Science of The Total Environment 630:648–1066657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.242
 - Pruden A, Pei R, Storteboom H, Carlson KH (2006) Antibiotic Resistance Genes as Emerging
 Contaminants: Studies in Northern Colorado. Environ Sci Technol 40:7445–7450.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0604131
 - 1070Reinholds I, Muter O, Pugajeva I, et al (2017) Determination of pharmaceutical residues and1071assessment of their removal efficiency at the Daugavgriva municipal wastewater

1072 treatment plant in Riga, Latvia. Water Science and Technology 75:387–396. 1073 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.528 1074 Rittmann BE, Mayer B, Westerhoff P, Edwards M (2011) Capturing the lost phosphorus. 1075 Chemosphere 84:846–853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.001 1076 Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Chamorro S, Marti E, et al (2015) Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic 1077 resistance genes in hospital and urban wastewaters and their impact on the receiving 1078 river. Water Research 69:234–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.021 1079 Rossmann M, de Matos AT, Abreu EC, et al (2012) Performance of constructed wetlands in the 1080 treatment of aerated coffee processing wastewater: Removal of nutrients and 1081 phenolic compounds. Ecological Engineering 49:264–269. 1082 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.08.017 Rossmann M, Matos AT, Abreu EC, et al (2013) Effect of influent aeration on removal of 1083 1084 organic matter from coffee processing wastewater in constructed wetlands. Journal of 1085 Environmental Management 128:912–919. 1086 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.06.045 1087 Ruel SM, Choubert JM, Esperanza M, et al (2011) On-site evaluation of the removal of 100 1088 micro-pollutants through advanced wastewater treatment processes for reuse 1089 applications. Water Science and Technology 63:2486–2497. 1090 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.470 1091 Rühmland S, Wick A, Ternes TA, Barjenbruch M (2015) Fate of pharmaceuticals in a subsurface 1092 flow constructed wetland and two ponds. Ecological Engineering 80:125–139. 1093 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.01.036 1094 Rusten B, McCoy M, Proctor R, Siljudalen JG (1998) The innovative moving bed biofilm 1095 reactor/solids contact reaeration process for secondary treatment of municipal 1096 wastewater. Water Environment Research 70:1083–1089. 1097 https://doi.org/10.2175/106143098X123435 1098 Salgado SRT, Araújo ALC (2016) Levantamento da tipologia das estações de tratamento de 1099 esgoto oriundas do Programa de Aceleração do Crescimento e a previsão do impacto 1100 no índice de tratamento de esgoto no estado do Espírito Santo. Eng Sanit Ambient 1101 22:293-301. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1413-4152201694005 1102 Sant'Anna Jr GL (2011) Tratamento biológico de efluentes: fundamentos e aplicações. Eng 1103 Sanit Ambient 16:IV–IV. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-41522011000200002 1104 Sathishkumar P, Meena RAA, Palanisami T, et al (2020) Occurrence, interactive effects and 1105 ecological risk of diclofenac in environmental compartments and biota - a review. 1106 Science of The Total Environment 698:134057. 1107 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134057 1108 Sharma PK, Minakshi D, Rani A, Malaviya P (2018) Treatment efficiency of vertical flow 1109 constructed wetland systems operated under different recirculation rates. Ecological 1110 Engineering 120:474-480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.07.004 1111 Shehzadi M, Fatima K, Imran A, et al (2016) Ecology of bacterial endophytes associated with 1112 wetland plants growing in textile effluent for pollutant-degradation and plant growth-

- promotion potentials. Plant Biosystems An International Journal Dealing with all
 Aspects of Plant Biology 150:1261–1270.
- 1115 https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2015.1022238
- Singer AC, Crowley DE, Thompson IP (2003) Secondary plant metabolites in phytoremediation
 and biotransformation. Trends in Biotechnology 21:123–130.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(02)00041-0
- Somensi CA, Simionatto EL, Dalmarco JB, et al (2012) A comparison between ozonolysis and
 sonolysis/ozonolysis treatments for the degradation of the cytostatic drugs
 methotrexate and doxorubicin: Kinetic and efficiency approaches. Journal of
- 1122 Environmental Science and Health, Part A 47:1543–1550.
- 1123 https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2012.680414
- 1124Souza DM, Reichert JF, Martins AF (2018) A simultaneous determination of anti-cancer drugs in1125hospital effluent by DLLME HPLC-FLD, together with a risk assessment. Chemosphere1126201:178–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.164
- Stefanakis AI, Seeger E, Dorer C, et al (2016) Performance of pilot-scale horizontal subsurface
 flow constructed wetlands treating groundwater contaminated with phenols and
 petroleum derivatives. Ecological Engineering 95:514–526.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.06.105
- Stefanakis AI, Tsihrintzis VA (2009) Effect of outlet water level raising and effluent recirculation
 on removal efficiency of pilot-scale, horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands.
 Desalination 248:961–976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.08.008
- 1134Stumpf M, Ternes TA, Wilken R-D, et al (1999) Polar drug residues in sewage and natural1135waters in the state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Science of The Total Environment1136225:135–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00339-8
- Suárez S, Carballa M, Omil F, Lema JM (2008) How are pharmaceutical and personal care
 products (PPCPs) removed from urban wastewaters? Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol
 7:125–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-008-9130-2
- 1140Sun G, Gray KR, Biddlestone AJ, et al (2003) Effect of effluent recirculation on the performance1141of a reed bed system treating agricultural wastewater. Process Biochemistry 39:351–1142357. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00075-X
- 1143 Ternes TA, Bonerz M, Herrmann N, et al (2007) Irrigation of treated wastewater in
 1144 Braunschweig, Germany: An option to remove pharmaceuticals and musk fragrances.
 1145 Chemosphere 66:894–904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.06.035
- Tijhuis L, van Loosdrecht MCM, Heijnen JJ (1994) Formation and growth of heterotrophic
 aerobic biofilms on small suspended particles in airlift reactors. Biotechnol Bioeng
 44:595–608. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260440506
- Tran NH, Reinhard M, Gin KY-H (2018) Occurrence and fate of emerging contaminants in municipal wastewater treatment plants from different geographical regions-a review.
 Water Research 133:182–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.029
- 1152UNESCO (2017) Wastewater, The Untapped Resource | United Nations Educational, Scientific1153and Cultural Organization. http://www.unesco.org/new/en/natural-

1154 sciences/environment/water/wwap/wwdr/2017-wastewater-the-untapped-resource/. 1155 Accessed 26 Aug 2020 Verlicchi P, Al Aukidy M, Galletti A, et al (2012a) Hospital effluent: Investigation of the 1156 1157 concentrations and distribution of pharmaceuticals and environmental risk 1158 assessment. Science of The Total Environment 430:109-118. 1159 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.055 1160 Verlicchi P, Al Aukidy M, Zambello E (2012b) Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in 1161 urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment—A review. Science of The Total Environment 429:123–155. 1162 1163 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.028 1164 Verlicchi P, Zambello E (2014) How efficient are constructed wetlands in removing pharmaceuticals from untreated and treated urban wastewaters? A review. Science of 1165 1166 The Total Environment 470–471:1281–1306. 1167 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.10.085 1168 Vivas Z, Perujo N, Freixa A, Romaní AM (2017) Changes in bacterioplankton density and 1169 viability in the Tordera river due to the input of effluents from waste water treatment 1170 plants. Limnetica 461-475. https://doi.org/10.23818/limn.36.15 1171 Vymazal J (2007) Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands. Science of The 1172 Total Environment 380:48-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.09.014 1173 Vystavna Y, Frkova Z, Marchand L, et al (2017) Removal efficiency of pharmaceuticals in a full 1174 scale constructed wetland in East Ukraine. Ecological Engineering 108:50–58. 1175 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.08.009 1176 Wang J, Wang S (2016) Removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) from 1177 wastewater: A review. Journal of Environmental Management 182:620-640. 1178 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.049 1179 WHO (2018) World Health Organization - Report on Surveillance of antibiotic Consumption. 1180 https://www.who.int/medicines/areas/rational_use/who-amr-amc-report-1181 20181109.pdf?ua=1. Accessed 19 Sep 2020 1182 Worldatlas (2018) Which Country Has the Most Fresh Water? In: WorldAtlas. 1183 https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/countries-with-the-most-freshwater-1184 resources.html. Accessed 15 Mar 2020 1185 Wu S, Kuschk P, Brix H, et al (2014) Development of constructed wetlands in performance 1186 intensifications for wastewater treatment: A nitrogen and organic matter targeted 1187 review. Water Research 57:40–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.03.020 1188 Yang Y, Ok YS, Kim K-H, et al (2017) Occurrences and removal of pharmaceuticals and personal 1189 care products (PPCPs) in drinking water and water/sewage treatment plants: A review. 1190 Science of The Total Environment 596–597:303–320. 1191 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.102 1192 Yuan X, Qiang Z, Ben W, et al (2015) Distribution, mass load and environmental impact of 1193 multiple-class pharmaceuticals in conventional and upgraded municipal wastewater

1194 treatment plants in East China. Environ Sci: Processes Impacts 17:596–605. 1195 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EM00596A 1196 Zhang D, Gersberg RM, Ng WJ, Tan SK (2014) Removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care 1197 products in aquatic plant-based systems: A review. Environmental Pollution 184:620-1198 639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.09.009 1199 Zhang H, Du M, Jiang H, et al (2015) Occurrence, seasonal variation and removal efficiency of 1200 antibiotics and their metabolites in wastewater treatment plants, Jiulongjiang River 1201 Basin, South China. Environ Sci: Processes Impacts 17:225–234. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EM00457D 1202 1203 Zhang J, Chang VWC, Giannis A, Wang J-Y (2013) Removal of cytostatic drugs from aquatic 1204 environment: A review. Science of The Total Environment 445-446:281-298. 1205 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.061 1206 Zhang L, Dong D, Hua X, Guo Z (2018) Inhibitory effects of extracellular polymeric substances 1207 on ofloxacin sorption by natural biofilms. Science of The Total Environment 625:178-1208 184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.271 Zhang Y, Geißen S-U (2010) Prediction of carbamazepine in sewage treatment plant effluents 1209 1210 and its implications for control strategies of pharmaceutical aquatic contamination. 1211 Chemosphere 80:1345–1352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.06.030 1212 Zhao Y, Sun G, Allen S (2004) Purification capacity of a highly loaded laboratory scale tidal flow 1213 reed bed system with effluent recirculation. Science of The Total Environment 330:1-1214 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.03.002 1215 Žibienė G, Dapkienė M, Kazakevičienė J, Radzevičius A (2015) Phosphorus removal in a vertical 1216 flow constructed wetland using dolomite powder and chippings as filter media. Journal 1217 of Water Security 1:46–52. https://doi.org/10.15544/jws.2015.005 1218 Zorita S, Mårtensson L, Mathiasson L (2009) Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals in a 1219 municipal sewage treatment system in the south of Sweden. Science of The Total 1220 Environment 407:2760–2770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.12.030 1221