

Elasmobranch (Chondrichthyes) assemblages from the Albian (Lower Cretaceous) of Ukraine

Guillaume Guinot, Tymofii Sokolskyi

▶ To cite this version:

Guillaume Guinot, Tymofii Sokolskyi. Elasmobranch (Chondrichthyes) assemblages from the Albian (Lower Cretaceous) of Ukraine. Cretaceous Research, 2021, 117, pp.104603. 10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104603 . hal-03406063

HAL Id: hal-03406063 https://hal.science/hal-03406063

Submitted on 21 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195667120302895 Manuscript 5dd35075f056d33459ab4aa5b2890ff2

1 Elasmobranch (Chondrichthyes) assemblages from the Albian (Lower

2 Cretaceous) of Ukraine

- 3
- 4 Tymofii Sokolskyi^a and Guillaume Guinot^{b*}
- 5
- ⁶ ^a Duke University, Trinity School of Arts and Sciences, Durham, NC, 27708, USA
- 7 ^bInstitut des Sciences de l'Évolution de Montpellier (ISEM), CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Université de
- 8 Montpellier, Montpellier Cedex 05, France.
- 9
- 10 *Corresponding author: guillaume.guinot@umontpellier.fr
- 11

Abstract

13 Sampling of six horizons of quartz-glauconite sands in Kaniv dislocations in Ukraine yielded more 14 than three thousand vertebrate specimens, including elasmobranch teeth, chimaera dental plates, 15 actinopterygian and sauropsid teeth and bones. Our study represents the first illustrated and detailed description of elasmobranch teeth from Ukrainian Albian deposits since Rogovich (1861), whose 16 17 specimens were still preserved in the collections of the Kiev National Natural History Museum of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. Twenty-three elasmobranch species belonging to eight 18 19 orders were identified in our samplings and in some of Rogovich's material. This paper provides 20 new data on the systematics of Cretaceous elasmobranchs and assesses the taxonomic status of 21 Rogovich's species. Sampled assemblages include hybodonts, synechodontiforms, hexanchiforms, 22 squaliforms, squatinifroms, orectolobiforms, heterodontiforms and lamniforms. The latter dominate 23 the assemblages, both in terms of species and number of specimens with small odontaspidiid 24 species, early pseudoscapanorhynchids and archaeolamnids as well as large carnivorous 25 sharks Cretoxyrhina and Cretolamna. Most importantly, Paraisurus macrorhizus and Cretoxyrhina vraconensis findings indicate a Late Albian age for the sampled deposits, which were so far 26 27 considered Albian-Cenomanian. 28 29 Keywords. Vraconian, Albian, Ukraine, sharks, selachians 30 31

32

12

33 1. Introduction

34 Elasmobranchs (sharks, skates, rays and the extinct hybodonts) are mostly represented in the fossil 35 record by dental remains (Glikman, 1980; Cappetta, 2012). Although known since the Permian 36 (Ivanov, 2005), neoselachians (shark, skates and rays) remained relatively inconspicuous in marine ecosystems until the Jurassic when they experienced a series of radiations (Guinot and Cavin, 37 38 2016). The Lower Cretaceous is another key period in the neoselachian evolutionary history and comprises the radiation of different groups including batoids, Carcharhiniformes and especially 39 Lamniformes (Condamine et al. 2019), a group that comprises today most iconic shark species 40 41 occupying specialized ecological niches. Lamniforms reached a high diversity in the Albian, both in terms of taxic richness and tooth morphologies (Guinot and Cavin, 2016). Despite the importance of 42 this period in the understanding of elasmobranch evolution and diversity, data on Albian 43 44 elasmobranch faunas is relatively scarce. Elasmobranch assemblages of this age are known from several locations in the world: Lithuania (Dalinkevičius, 1935; Mertiniene, 1975), Western 45 46 Australia (Siverson, 1997; Siversson et al., 2018), Gault clay (Ward, 2010) and localities in of North-East England (Underwood and Mitchell, 1999), North-East France (Biddle, 1993), Russia 47 and Kazakhstan (Glikman, 1980; Glikman and Averianov, 1998), Texas, USA (Welton and Farish, 48 49 1993; Cappetta and Case, 1999; Siversson et al., 2007) and Poland (Siversson and Machalski, 50 2017).

Here we describe diverse mid-Cretaceous elasmobranch assemblages from the Kaniv National Reserve in central Ukraine, which add to the knowledge on Cretaceous elasmobranch taxonomy, diversity and geographical distribution. Strata yielding the fossil assemblages studied in this work belong to the Burim formation, a local stratigraphic interval spanning the Late Albian-Early Cenomanian interval, starting with *Mortoniceras inflatum* Zone (Krochak et al., 2016). This study represents the first description of vertebrate remains from this formation since Rogovich (1861), whose original elasmobranch specimens and corresponding species are revised.

2. Geographical and Geological settings

60

61 The sampled horizons are located in the Kaniv Natural Reserve near the city of Kaniv, in the 62 Cherkasy Province, central Ukraine (Fig. 1). Cretaceous sections cropping out in the study area are 63 part of Kaniv dislocations, which refer to the effects of tectonics (glaciogenic dislocation) over the 64 Mesozoic successions (Krochak et al., 2016). The Kaniv dislocations belong to the Burim formation 65 and are commonly exposed in many ravines on the territory of Kaniv Natural Reserve lying on top of the Callovian clays (Krochak et al., 2016). The Burim formation was previously considered 66 67 Albian in age (Krochak et al., 2016), but more recent macrofaunal, foraminiferal and palynological 68 studies suggested an upper Albian-lower Cenomanian age (Ivannik et al., 2014). The lower part of 69 the formation (approximately 20 m) is considered Upper Albian in age and is characterized by the 70 presence of the ammonite species Mortoniceras inflatum, which indicates an ammonite zone right 71 before the 'Vraconian' according to the stratigraphical data from Central European Basin, which is 72 close to central Ukraine (Marcinowski and Radwanski, 1983; Siversson and Machalski, 2017). The 73 lower part of the formation consists of quartz-glauconite sands with silica cemented sandstone 74 inclusions. Top 40-60 m include greenish-gray quartz-glauconite sands, carbonated sands, silica 75 cemented sandstones and phosphorite concretions. These layers yielded fossil remains of various 76 foraminifera (e.g., *Lingulogavelinella praeformosa*, *Thalmanninella appenninica*) that suggest a late 77 late Albian to early Cenomanian age, including the 'Vraconian' stage (Krochak et al., 2016). This 78 upper part of the Burim formation comprises thin (up to 15 cm) layers of coarse-grained quartz-79 glauconite sands that contain abundant vertebrate remains, such as elasmobranch, chimaeroids, actinopterygian and sauropsid teeth and bones, as well as various invertebrates including broken 80 81 bivalve shells, gastropods and crustaceans. These layers were likely formed during transgression-82 regression events with subsequent redeposition from other strata being considered unlikely due to 83 scarcity of remains in the intermediate sequences (Popova et al., 2015). Popova et al. (2015) also considered the possibility of the upper layers being Early Cenomanian in age. However, this 84 hypothesis was based on incorrect identifications of several elasmobranch taxa. Some of the upper 85

86 layers encompass numerous phosphorite concretions that also yielded vertebrate fossils.

Burim formation deposits yielding vertebrate remains were found in three ravines on the territory of 87 Kaniv natural reserve (Fig. 1): Pekarskyi (coordinates: 49°42.568'N 31°32.558'E), Melanchyn 88 89 potik (49°43.325'N 31°30.788'E) and Kholodnyi (49°43.001'N 31°32.381'E). Two layers (E and F) of guartz-glauconite sands were sampled in Pekarskyi ravine. Layer E in Pekarskyi ravine is 90 91 correlated to layer E in Melanchyn potik ravine. Brachiopods of the genus Lingula and bivalves 92 *Exogyra* are very common there. Typical feature of *Exogyra* from this layer is very thick shells, 93 compared to ?Exogyra from the other layers. This might be due to differences in water depth between the layers, since paleogeographic conditions in this territory were unstable due to frequent 94 95 transgression and regression events and proximity of a large landmass (Ischenko and Yakushin, 96 2008). This layer lies on silica-cemented sandstones. Vertebrate remains are relatively rare. Layer F in Pekarskyi ravine is characterized by pebbles, naturally rounded fossils and relatively common 97 98 elasmobranch teeth. Five layers of quartz-glauconite sands were found in Melanchyn potik, only 99 four of them yielded fossil vertebrate remains. Three of them (A, B and C) are rich in elasmobranch 100 teeth, while layer E is identical to layer E in Pekarskyi ravine. Apart from elasmobranchs, 101 actinopterygian (Protosphyraena, Icthyodectidae indet., Pachyrhizodus, Aspidorhynchidae indet., 102 Enchodus, Pycnodontiformes indet., Hadrodus) and sauropsid (ichthyosaurs, plesiosaurs and 103 turtles) teeth and/or bones can be occasionally found. The invertebrate fauna of these layers includes thin-shelled morphs of ?Exogyra bivalves, gastropods of several genera including 104 105 Patellidae indet., crustaceans, extremely rare cephalopods (a fragment of Mortoniceras ammonite 106 and a fragment of unidentified belemnite rostrum) and, unlike layer E, no Lingula brachiopods. 107 Only one layer of elasmobranch tooth-bearing sands (layer A) was found in Kholodnyi ravine. Like 108 layers from Melanchyn potik and Pekarskyi ravines, Kholodnyi ravine sands also lay on sandstones, 109 which may contain conifer and occasional vertebrate remains (two shark teeth and several 110 osteichthyan bones were found there). Though, unlike in layer E, no brachiopods had been found in 111 this layer.

113 **3.** Materials and methods

114 Fossil elasmobranch teeth were obtained by bulk sampling and sieving using water and, on some 115 occasions, dry sediment using sieve with 1 mm mesh size. A total of 3,268 elasmobranch teeth were 116 recovered including 727 teeth from layer A of Melanchyn potik and Kholodnyi ravines; 126 teeth 117 from layer B of Melanchyn potik; 2264 teeth from layer C in Melanchyn potik; no teeth were found 118 in layer D of Melanchyn potik; 40 teeth from layer E of Melanchyn potik and Pekarskyi ravine and 119 111 teeth were collected in layer F of Pekarskyi ravine. All studied specimens are housed in the Kiev National Natural History Museum of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Geology 120 121 department (shorten here to 'Kiev Museum') and are numbered NNPM2588-1 to NNPM2588-61. 122 In addition, specimens illustrated by Rogovich (1861) are housed in the collection of the same 123 institution.

124

125 4. Systematic Palaeontology

126 Higher level taxonomy and dental terminology largely follow those of Cappetta (2012).

127	Class Chondrichthyes Huxley, 1880
128	Subclass Elasmobranchii Bonaparte, 1838
129	Cohort Euselachii Hay, 1902
130	Superfamily Hybodontoidea Owen, 1846
131	Family Hybodontidae Owen, 1846
132	Genus Hybodus Agassiz, 1837
133	Hybodus bidentatus Rogovich, 1861
134	Fig. 2A-D
135	1861 Hybodus bidentatus Rogovich, p. 23, pl. 3, fig. 13.
136	Material. One crown fragment (NNPM 2588-1) from layer C of Melanchyn potik and Rogovich's
137	type specimen (NNPM 391-14).

138 Description. Rogovich's type specimen (NNPM 391-14; Fig. 2A-B) is an incomplete crown

139 lacking the distal and mesial edges of the heels. The crown is robust, slightly inclined distally and

140 lingually. Both lingual and labial faces are strongly convex. Very fine cutting edges separate the 141 labial and lingual faces and run continuously from the apex of the main cusp to the lateral heels. The heels are moderately high and mesio-distally elongate with at least one distal cusplet that is 142 143 well separated from the main cusp by a large concavity. Short striations are present near the base on 144 the lingual face of the main cusp and cusplets. The labial face bears several long folds that cover up 145 to 2/3 of the crown's height. The specimen recovered from Melanchyn potik (NNPM 2588-1; Fig. 146 2C-D) is an incomplete main cusp with similar shape and ornament to the type specimen. Both 147 specimens are relatively small with main cusps not exceeding 5 mm.

148 **Remarks.** Although incomplete, Rogovich's type and only originally illustrated specimen agrees 149 with the morphology of Hybodus species. Albian Hybodus species include H. molimbaensis Casier, 150 1961 from the Aptian-Albian of Democratic Republic of Congo and H. complanatus Owen, 1869 151 from the Upper Greensand of England, but both species are based on dorsal spines (H. complanatus 152 specimen was not illustrated), which makes comparison with Rogovich's species impossible. Teeth 153 of the latter can be differentiated from Hybodus material illustrated by Sweetman et al. (2014) from 154 the Barremian of the Isle of Wight by their less marked and less numerous labial and lingual 155 ornament as well as by its more gracile aspect with more elongate main cusp. Other mid-Cretaceous 156 Hybodus species include the Cenomanian H. eichwaldi (Kiprijanoff, 1853), based on a dorsal spine.

- 157
- 158
- 159

Hybodontoidea indet.

Fig. 2E-F

160 **Material.** Five crowns (including NNPM 2588-2) from layer F of Pekarskyi ravine.

161 **Description.** Teeth of this species are less than 5 mm tall. The basal region of the labial crown face 162 is strongly striated, whereas the lingual face only displays a few fine vertical folds. The crown is 163 biconvex with the cutting edge situated slightly labially. Only two of the crowns found are slightly 164 distally inclined, others are nearly straight.

165 Remarks. The crowns recovered display characters of *Merisodonoides* teeth including weak lingual 166 and stronger labial folds, marked lingual curvature of main cusp and lingually positioned cutting

167	edges (Underwood and Cumbaa, 2010). However, lack of complete specimens precludes accurate
168	identification of this material.
169	
170	Order Synechodontiformes Duffin and Ward, 1993
171	Family Paleospinacidae Regan, 1906
172	Genus Synechodus Woodward, 1888
173	Synechodus kessleri (Rogovich, 1861) comb. nov.
174	Fig. 2G-L
175	1861 Hybodus kessleri Rogovich, p. 21, pl. 3, figs 3-5.
176	?1911 Synechodus nitidus Woodward, p. 219, figs 3-4.
177	?1935 Synechodus nitidus Woodward; Dalinkevičius, p. 15, pl. 2, figs 40, 43-49 non figs 39, 41-42.
178	?1999 Synechodus nitidus Woodward; Underwood and Mitchell, p. 5, pl. 1, fig. 6.
179	
180	Material. Twelve complete and incomplete teeth (including NNPM 2588-3 and NNPM 2588-4)
181	from layer C of Melanchyn potik ravine. Additional specimen: Rogovich (1861)'s type specimen
182	NNPM 391-10 (lectotype).
183	Description. All specimens uncovered are under 1 cm high. These teeth have thin, elongate and
184	strongly lingually inclined main cusp and cusplets. Generally, there are four to five lateral cusplets
185	connected to the crown by a thin, sometimes striated enamel band. Cusplets are usually distributed
186	asymmetrically with the distal heel bearing one to two, while the mesial heel can bear two to four,
187	such as in NNPM 2588-3 and NNPM 2588-4 (Fig. 2, I-J, K-L), while Rogovich type specimen
188	NNPM 391-10 (Fig. 2, G-H) is more symmetrical. Labial crown base ornamentation consists of
189	weak parallel vertical folds. Cusplets may reach up to about 1/3-1/4 of the crown height. The root
190	displays a marked and wide lingual protuberance as well as a wide and flat basal face.
191	Lateroposterior teeth have a short and robust crown, with almost conical and markedly distally
192	inclined main cusp, and short ridges near the base of the labial crown face.
193	Remarks. The systematics of Albian Synechodus species is poorly understood despite the presence

194 of several partial articulated skeletal remains from France and England (see Mollen and Hovestadt, 195 2018 for a review). Currently, seven nominal Synechodus species are known from the Albian-196 Cenomanian interval. Synechodus dubrisiensis (Mackie, 1863) was described from the Zig Zag 197 Chalk Formation ('Grey Chalk', Holaster subglobosus zone, Cenomanian) of Dover (Kent, 198 England); S. tenuis Woodward, 1889 is based on a single illustrated incomplete tooth from the 199 Lower Greensand (Aptian-lower Albian) of Maidstone (Kent); S. nitidus Woodward, 1911 was 200 erected based on a chondrocranium from the Holaster subglobosus zone, Cenomanian of Snodland 201 (Kent). Other species include Hybodus bronnii Reuss, 1846 and Hybodus dispar Reuss, 1846 both based on isolated teeth from the Cenomanian (Lower Plänerkalk) of Weisskirchlitz (= Novosedlice, 202 203 Czech Republic) as well as H. subulatus Rogovich, 1861 and H. kessleri Rogovich, 1861 both from 204 the mid-Cretaceous of Ukraine. Most discussions have focused on the taxonomic status and validity 205 of S. dubrisiensis, S. nitidus and S. tenuis. The latter has been regarded as a senior synonym of S. 206 nitidus (Batchelor and Ward, 1990; Biddle, 1993), while other hypotheses either consider S. nitidus 207 junior synonym of S. dubrisiensis (Mollen and Hovestadt, 2018), both S. nitidus and S. tenuis as 208 junior synonyms of S. dubrisiensis (Ward, 2010) or all three species considered valid (Siversson et 209 al. 2017). These discrepancies are mainly due to the preservation of the specimens available for 210 these species. Synechodus dubrisiensis is probably the species to which the largest number of 211 articulated specimens have been referred, including articulated mandibular arches (of which, the 212 holotype) some including hyoid arches (Woodward, 1886) or postcranial elements (Woodward 213 1886), as well as a braincase (Maisey, 1985) and associated tooth sets (Woodward, 1888). In 214 contrast, the holotype of S. tenuis is based on a single tooth (Woodward, 1889) and the holotype of 215 S. nitidus [formerly attributed to S. dubrisiensis by Woodward (1889)] is represented by an 216 articulated chondrocranium with associated teeth (Woodward, 1911). The main issue is the 217 understanding of the intraspecific variability in tooth morphology for these three species. An 218 articulated Synechodus specimen was recently described from the Albian Gault Clay of the 219 Boulonnais, northern France (Mollen and Hovestadt, 2018). This specimen was reported to display 220 S. durbisiensis-like latero-posterior teeth with strong reticulate labial ornament but also weakly

221 ornamented (vertical labial folds) anterior teeth that were so far considered representative of S. 222 nitidus. This heterodonty was considered as a clue in favor of a synonymy between S. nitidus and S. 223 durbisiensis (Mollen and Hovestadt, 2018), which would respectively represent anterior and latero-224 posterior morphs of the same species. Nevertheless, the species-level assignment of the Boulonnais 225 specimen is still unclear and it is uncertain whether S. dubrisiensis also presents this heterodonty. In 226 addition, differentiating teeth from upper and lower jaws in articulated specimens with associated 227 teeth is sometimes made difficult by the mixing of teeth from both jaws. Teeth of an articulated 228 tooth set (BMB 008523) illustrated by Woodward (1888, 1889, 1898, 1911) and studied by one of 229 us (GG) displays strong reticulate ornament on all files (from parasymphyseals to posteriors). 230 However, it is unclear whether the articulated tooth set belongs to upper or lower jaws (see Mollen 231 and Hovestadt, 2018). Hence, the hypothesis of a monognathic variation in labial ornament of S. 232 dubrisiensis teeth remains to be confirmed and, if present, would likely be present in upper or lower 233 jaws only and/or during ontogeny. Synechodus tenuis was erected on the basis of a single 234 incomplete tooth (Woodward, 1889: pl. 11, fig. 21) of which the sole illustration in labial view has 235 long hampered precise understanding of the morphology and ornament of the holotype (PV OR 236 9297). This specimen was illustrated by Batchelor and Duffin (in press: Fig. 1G-H) and by Bernard 237 and Smith (2018) who also illustrated two additional specimens referred as paratypes (PV OR 238 9297a and PV OR 9297b). Ward (2010) indicated that *tenuis*-like (and *nitidus*-like) teeth are present 239 in specimen PV OR 41675 (Woodward, 1886; Maisey et al. 2004) referred to S. dubrisiensis, but 240 this view has been challenged by Siversson and Machalski (2017). Based on available specimens, 241 the morphology of the teeth of the type series of S. tenuis seems to depart from the variation so far 242 observed in teeth of type specimens of S. nitidus and S. durbisiensis and might be better considered 243 a separate species until revision of the available specimens.

Only one of the syntype specimens described by Rogovich (1861) as *Hybodus kessleri* was

recovered in the collections of the Kiev Museum (Fig. 2G-H) and corresponds (original labels

preserved) to the specimen figured in Rogovich (1861: pl. 3, fig. 4). Hence, we designate this

247 specimen (NNPM 391-10) as the lectotype of Hybodus kessleri following Article 74 of the ICZN 248 (1999).. This specimen displays lingually-inclined and slender main cusp and cusplets as well as nearly smooth labial crown face (only scarce, fine and short ridges at the base of the two mesial-249 250 most cusplets). This morphology is very similar to that of the anterior tooth of the holotype of S. 251 nitidus illustrated by Woodward (1912: pl. 46, fig. 3a) and Batchelor and Duffin (in press: Fig. 2F). 252 The latter authors also noted that some other teeth (from more lateral jaw positions) may also bear 253 coarse striations, which occasionally bifurcate basally (but not reticulated) and terminate two-thirds 254 of the way up the central cusp. This type of ornament is also present in lateral teeth reported here from Kaniv, which are also referred to S. kessleri (Fig. 2I-L). The strong degree of similarity 255 256 between the Kaniv specimens and the available specimens of S. nitidus might suggest synonymy 257 (the latter would represent a junior synonym of *S. kessleri*). However, considering the confusion 258 surrounding the validity of the species described from the mid-Cretaceous of England, this decision 259 is tentative pending revision of dentition of the available Synechodus specimens.

260

261

Synechodus subulatus (Rogovich, 1861 non Leriche, 1951) comb. nov.

262

Fig. 2M-R

263 1861 Hybodus subulatus Rogovich, p. 20, pl. 3, figs 6-11.

264 ?1889 Synechodus tenuis Woodward, p. 329, pl. 11, fig.21

265 1935 Synechodus nitidus Woodward; Dalinkevičius, p. 15, pl. 2, figs ?39, 41-42 non figs 40, 43-49.

266 ?1957 Synechodus dispar (Reuss); Glikman, p. 111, pl. 1, figs 1-5.

267 1964 Synechodus dispar (Reuss); Glikman, p. 20, pl. 5, figs 5-6.

268 1980 Synechodus dispar (Reuss); Glikman, pl. 15, figs 1-3 non figs 4-5.

269 Material. 91 complete and incomplete teeth including fragmented crowns: 2 from layer F of

270 Pekarskyi ravine; 3 from layer A of Melanchyn potik ravine; 3 from layer B of Melanchyn potik

271 ravine; 61 from layer C of Melanchyn potik ravine; 2 from layer E of Melanchyn potik ravine; 20

272 from Kholodnyi ravine. All figured specimens come from layer C of Melanchyn potik (NNPM

273 2588-5, NNPM 2588-7), except NNPM 2588-6 that comes from layer B.

274 **Description.** Teeth are mostly small - less than 1 cm in height - however, there are some exceptions 275 as described below. Two morphs can be differentiated. Teeth of the first morph (Fig. 2M-P) have no 276 ornamentation on the labial face and display poorly pronounced short vertical ridges on the lingual 277 face. These teeth are sigmoid in lateral view with a labial crown bulge as well as many short and 278 poorly differentiated cusplets. Some specimens of this morph are extraordinarily large and massive 279 (several specimens are almost 15 mm wide) and have smaller lateral cusplets compared to the other 280 morphotypes. The labial crown bulge is hyper-developed especially below the heels. Teeth of the 281 second morph (Fig. 2O-R) are almost symmetrical and display a very weak sigmoid profile. These 282 teeth are relatively labio-lingually compressed and generally no more than 7 mm high. The crown 283 bears no labial enamel folds but weak vertical ridges on the lingual face and has three to four 284 elongate cusplets. Cusplets are moderately wide and basally united with each other on each side of 285 the tooth that reach up to ¹/₄ of the crown height. The root is pseudopolyaulacorhyze, as in the other 286 morphotype.

287 Remarks. Although Rogovich's specimens of Hybodus subulatus could not be found in the 288 collections of the Kiev Museum, the size and morphological variation observed in the specimens 289 reported here comply with those of the specimens originating from the same area illustrated in 290 Rogovich (1861) and are thus referred to S. subulatus comb. nov. Although none of the syntypes of 291 S. subulatus were found, but we don't consider necessary to designate a neotype among our 292 sampled specimens because the original illustrated specimens are of sufficient quality with no issue 293 concerning the stability of nomenclature (ICZN, Art. 75). Lateral teeth from our samplings (Fig. 294 2M-N), which display flatten and slightly ornamented (short vertical basal ridges) margino-labial 295 regions as well as numerous incipient and poorly-individualized cusplets, are very similar to the 296 type specimen of S. tenuis. Similarly, the large anterior teeth reported here, which are characterized 297 by a massive and stubby crown with scalloped labial bulge, labially depressed basal region of the 298 main cusp and poorly-individualized cusplets are comparable to the paratype of S. tenuis (PV OR 299 9297a). It is therefore possible that S. subulatus and S. tenuis are synonyms but this cannot be 300 ascertained before a thorough revision of mid-Cretaceous Synechodus species. Glikman (1957, 301 1964, 1980) illustrated several Synechodus specimens from the Cenomanian of Saratov that he 302 referred to S. dispar (Reuss, 1846). Yet, the original illustrations of S. dispar (Reuss, 1846: p. 98, 303 pl. 24, Figs 27-28) are very difficult to interpret and the possibly secondary anaulacorhize stage of 304 the root of both specimens, the squared outline of the root lobes, along with the long lingual and 305 possibly labial vertical ridges rather suggest affinities with lamniforms (e.g. Scapanorhynchus). 306 However, specimens from Saratov include large and bulky anteriors with scalloped basal bulges on 307 labial crown faces (e.g. Glikman 1964: pl. 5, fig. 5), which are similar to anteriors of S. subulatus 308 (and possibly S. tenuis). Synechodus dispar specimens of Glikman (1957, 1964, 1980) also include 309 lateral teeth with more developed cusplets and marked labial ornament made of vertical ridges (e.g. 310 Glikman 1964: pl. 5, fig. 6) that could fall within the morphological variation of S. subulatus (and 311 possibly S. tenuis). However, one specimen illustrated in Glikman (1980: pl. 15, figs 4-5) most 312 probably belong to a carcharhiniform (e.g. *Protoscyliorhinus*). Contrary to S. *dispar*, the attribution 313 of Hybodus bronnii described by Reuss (1846) to the genus Synechodus is more likely. However, 314 the two specimens illustrated by Reuss (1846: pl. 24, fig. 26, pl. 42, fig. 7) have an incomplete root 315 and the drawings are insufficient to compare their morphology with other known species. This 316 species, along with *H. dispar*, should be considered *nomina dubia*. Cappetta (2012) illustrated 317 Synechodus teeth from the Santonian of Tyk Butak, Kazakhstan whose anterior teeth (and more 318 generally teeth from all jaw positions) display the same general morphology as S. subulatus but bear 319 coarser labial ornament, including on cusplets, which suggests that they represent a distinct species. 320 It is interesting to note, however, that the material from Tyk Butak comprises teeth of the three mid-321 Cretaceous morphotypes (tenuis-like anteriors, nitidus-like laterals, and dubrisiensis-like 322 posteriors). This may favor the hypothesis of a strong heterodonty in some Cretaceous Synechodus 323 as proposed by Ward (2010). Alternatively, the absence of anterior gracile and cuspidate anterior 324 teeth with reticulate ornament in the Kazakhstan assemblage may indicate that some Synechodus 325 species retained the *dubrisiensis*-like reticulate ornament in posterior teeth only. This hypothesis is 326 supported by the absence of *dubrisiensis*-like anteriors in our samplings. However, no posterior

327	teeth were found in Kaniv, which is likely due to the coarse mesh sizes used for sieving.
328	
329	Order Hexanchiformes Buen, 1926
330	Family Paraorthacodontidae Glikman, 1958
331	Genus Paraorthacodus Glikman, 1957
332	Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trautschold, 1877)
333	Fig. 2S-T
334	1861 Hybodus dispar Reuss; Rogovich, p. 22, pl. 3, figs 12-12a.
335	1877 Sphenodus recurvus Trautschold, p. 335, pl. 5, fig. 4
336	1911 Synechodus sp.; Priem, p. 14, figs 1-2.
337	1935 Synechodus recurvus (Trautschold); Dalinkevičius, p. 17, pl. 2, figs 50-58.
338	1957 Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trautschold); Glikman, p. 115, pl. 1, figs 6-13.
339	1977 Synechodus recurvus (Trautschold); Gamble, p. 45.
340	1977 Synechodus recurvus (Trautschold); Herman, p. 30.
341	1993 Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trautschold); Biddle, p. 23, pl. 5, fig. 5.
342	1999 Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trautschold); Underwood and Mitchell, p. 6, pl. 1, fig. 7.
343	2010 Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trautschold); Ward, p. 282, pl. 52, fig. 9.
344	Material. 21 mostly incomplete teeth (Melanchyn potik: 2 from layer A, 1 from layer B, 12 from
345	layer C, including NNPM2588-8, 1 from layer E; Kholodnyi ravine: 5).
346	Description. Teeth are 1 to 1.5 cm in height. The crown is inclined lingually with coarse labial
347	ornament consisting of strong parallel and vertical folds that originate from the basal edge to up to
348	1/4 of the main cusp's height. Labial folds are also present on cusplets where they taper just before
349	reaching the apex. Fine folds are present on the lower region of the lingual face of the main cusp
350	and cusplets. The main cusp is bulky with a wide base that is marked by a constriction at the
351	crown/root edge represented by a high collar. The cutting edges of the main cusp are coarse and
352	positioned labially. The labial crown face is nearly flat with no labial bulge. Cusplets are rather
353	gracile and well individualized from the main cusp and from each other by deep notches devoid of 14

enameloid. The root is typically pseudopolyaulacorhize with deep and wide notches on the labialhalf of the basal root face. The lingual root face strongly projects lingually.

Remarks. Although incomplete, the teeth recovered in our samplings exhibit several characters 356 357 (labial and lingual ornament, morphology of cutting edges and cusplets, root vascularization) that 358 are typical of those of *Paraorthacodus recurvus*. This species was described from the Cenomanian 359 of Russia (Trautschold, 1877) and subsequently reported from England (Woodward, 1911) but no 360 precise illustrations were published before Dalinkevičius (1935). Rogovich (1861) illustrated one 361 tooth from Kaniv as Hybodus dispar. This specimen was not recovered in the collections of the Kiev Museum but likely belongs to P. recurvus. The specimens reported as Synechodus recurvus 362 from the Campanian of England (Woodward, 1889, 1911) should probably be included in a 363 364 different Paraorthacodus species but the lack of detail on the original illustrations do not allow a 365 more precise attribution. *Paraorthacodus recurvus* is restricted to the Albian and Cenomanian.

366

367	Order Squaliformes Compagno, 1973
368	Family Squalidae Bonaparte, 1834
369	Genus Protosqualus Cappetta, 1977
370	Protosqualus cf. glickmani Averianov, 1997
371	Fig. 2U-V
372	Material. One incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-9) from layer A of Kholodnyi ravine.

Description. This incomplete tooth is 4 mm wide. The root is poorly preserved and the mesial part of the crown is lacking. The main cusp is wide and low and strongly inclined distally with a convex mesial edge. The distal heel is fairly high and oblique with an indented outline bearing an incipient cusplet. The basal edge of the labial crown face is irregular in labial view and the apron, although incomplete, is broad and separated from the crown by a wide notch.

378 **Remarks.** This tooth bears typical characters (irregular basal edge of labial crown face, heel with
379 incipient cusplets, large size) of *P. glickmani*, known from the Albian and Cenomanian of Russia

and Lithuania (Dalinkevičius, 1935; Averianov, 1997; Adnet et al. 2008) but additional material

382	
383	Order Squatiniformes de Buen, 1926
384	Family Squatinidae Bonaparte, 1838
385	Genus Squatina Duméril, 1806
386	Squatina (Cretascyllium) cranei Woodward, 1888
387	Fig. 2W-X
388	For synonymy, see Guinot et al. (2012) and add:
389	1861 Hybodus marginatus Rogovich, p. 24, pl. 3 figs 15-16? non figs 14, 17-18.
390	2012 Squatina (Cretascyllium) cranei Woodward, Guinot et al., p. 532, figs 2-7.
391	Material. Eight complete or partial teeth: two from layer A (one from Melanchyn potik and one
392	from Kholodnyi), three from layer B (Melanchyn potik), including NNPM 2588-10 and three from
393	layer C (Melanchyn potik).
394	Description. Teeth of this species are under 5 mm high. These teeth have a single cusp which is
395	relatively elongate and may be either straight or slightly lingually and distally inclined. Lingual and
396	sometimes labial faces are convex. Lateral heels are low and bear developed cutting edges running
397	through the extremities of the heels. The apron is triangular or rounded, medium-sized and only
398	slightly extends below the base of the root, as noticeable on NNPM 2588-10 (Fig. 2, W-X). The
399	basal root face has a single foramen in the center.
400	Remarks. Teeth found in Kaniv compare well with those of S. cranei described by Woodward
401	(1888) based on a crushed skull from the Sussex chalk (Guinot et al. 2012). There is some degree of
402	confusion between Albian species S. cranei and S. decipiens. The latter was described by
403	Dalinkevičius (1935) from the Cenomanian chalk of Lithuania, who indicated that the only
404	difference between S. decipiens and S. cranei was the larger size of the former with no other evident
405	morphological differences. Guinot et al. (2012) provided evidence that S. decipiens is a junior
406	synonym of S. cranei, the former simply representing larger individuals of the same species. In
407	addition, specimens in the same size range seem to be described by Rogovich (1861) as Hybodus

408	marginatus from the same locality to the material reported here. However, we did not recover
409	Rogovich's specimens in the collections of the Kiev Museum.
410	
411	Superorder Galeomorphii Compagno, 1973
412	Order Orectolobiformes Applegate, 1972
413	Family Hemiscylliidae Gill, 1862
414	Genus Chiloscyllium Müller and Henle, 1837
415	Chiloscyllium sp.
416	Fig. 3A
417	Material. One incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-11) from layer E of Pekarskyi ravine.
418	Description. This specimen only preserves the crown (lacking the mesial cusplet). The crown is
419	labio-lingually compressed with a broad triangular main cusp oriented lingually and slightly bent
420	towards the commissure. A short and bulky distal cusplet is present, separated from the main cusp
421	by a notch. The cusplet's apex is oriented about 45° to the main cusp. The apron is short and wide
422	with a rounded lower edge.
423	Remarks. This tooth somewhat resembles those of some Cretaceous Chiloscyllium, based on
424	cusplet position relative to the crown and apron's morphology. The fossil record of mid-Cretaceous
425	hemiscylliids is scarce and is restricted to some representatives of unknown affinities in the Albian
426	of England reported as Chiloscyllium cf. greeni and cf. Hemiscyllium sp. (Underwood and Mitchell,
427	1999). Batchelor and Ward (1990) illustrated one tooth assigned to Chiloscyllium sp. from the
428	Aptian of the Hythe Beds in England that agrees with the tooth morphology of extant
429	representatives of the genus. The crown morphology of the specimen reported here fits that of the
430	Aptian specimen but the preservation of the Kaniv specimen precludes further comparison.
431	
432	Orectolobiformes incertae fam.
433	Genus Cederstroemia Siverson, 1995
434	<i>Cederstroemia</i> cf. <i>siverssoni</i> Guinot, Underwood, Cappetta and Ward, 2013 17

435

Fig. 3B-C

436 1861 *Hybodus marginatus* Rogovich, p. 24, pl. 3 figs 14, 17 non figs 15-16?-18.

437 Material. Four incomplete teeth: two from layer C (Melanchyn potik), one from layer F (Pekarskyi)
438 – figured as NNPM 2588-12 and one from layer A (Kholodnyi).

439 **Description.** These teeth are mesio-distally elongate and labio-lingually compressed, a feature that 440 is more marked in lateral teeth, which can reach 1 cm width. The main cusp is low, stubby and bent 441 to the posterior with marked cutting edges that are continuous with those of the heels. Heels are 442 weakly inclined basally with sharp and sinusoidal cutting edges in occlusal view. The lateral 443 extremities of the heels are oriented lingually, as visible on a lateral tooth (Fig. 3B-C). The apron is 444 well developed, wide and lingually oriented. It protrudes labially and overtakes the basal root edge 445 in labial view. The crown lingual protuberance is wide but weak. The root is wider than the crown 446 and displays a sub-rectangular basal face. Numerous foramina open on the lingual root face. 447 **Remarks.** The material described here closely resembles teeth of *Cederstroemia siverssoni* 448 described by Guinot et al. (2013), which ranges from the Albian to the Turonian. However, as no 449 complete specimens were found in Kaniv, it is preferred to only tentatively refer these specimens to 450 this species. Guinot et al. (2013) also reported teeth attributed to Cederstroemia cf. siverssoni from 451 the Cenomanian of England and Coniacian of Northern Ireland that differ from the specimens 452 described here by their broader apron and lower heels. Specimens similar to those reported here 453 were also illustrated by Rogovich (1861: pl. 3 figs 14, 17 non figs 15-16?-18) from the same locality, which he assigned to Hybodus marginatus. However, Rogovich's specimens of Hybodus 454 455 *marginatus* are not preserved in the collections of the Kiev Museum. Considering the heterogeneous 456 series of *Hybodus marginatus*, the lack of sufficiently well-preserved material recovered in this 457 study and in the absence of syntypes in Rogovich's collections, it is preferred to consider this 458 species name nomen dubium.

459

460 461 Order Heterodontiformes Berg, 1940

Family Heterodontidae Gray, 1851

462	Genus Heterodontus de Blainville, 1816
463	Heterodontus upnikensis (Dalinkevičius, 1935)
464	Fig. 3D-E
465	1935 Cestracion upnikensis Dalinkevičius, p. 13, pl. 1, figs 29-33.
466	1977 Heterodontus upnikensis (Dalinkevičius); Herman, p. 86.
467	1993 Heterodontus upnikensis (Dalinkevičius); Biddle, p. 11, pl. 2, figs 2-3.
468	1999 Heterodontus canaliculatus (Egerton in Dixon, 1850); Underwood and Mitchell, p. 17, pl. 4,
469	figs 5-8.
470	2010 'Heterodontus' upnikensis (Dalinkevičius); Ward, p. 286, pl. 53, fig. 5.
471	Material. Five anterior teeth: 2 from layer C of Melanchyn potik, 3 from Kholodnyi (including
472	NNPM2588-15).
473	Description. These anterior teeth are under 5 mm high and have a robust and smooth crown made
474	of a broad triangular main cusp and a wide and flared apron. The main cusp is biconvex and slightly
475	inclined lingually with marked cutting edges that run continuously until the marginal angles. Lateral
476	cusplets on the crown are either missing or strongly reduced (Fig. 3D-E). The cutting edges are
477	continuous or occasionally present a thickening in the lower part of the main cusp that can form
478	slight protuberances. The apron is strongly flared labially and divided in two marginal regions by a
479	deep and wide concave hollow that reaches the labial base of the main cusp. The labial edge of the
480	hollow presents a concave articular facet. The root is V-shaped with a marked lingual protuberance
481	and developed root branches, the mesial one being wider.
482	Remarks. Original description of this species by Dalinkevičius (1935) includes large anterior teeth
483	that are very similar to Kaniv specimens as well as lateral teeth that are strongly ornamented and do

not appear to display any characters in common with the anterior teeth (Ward, 2010). In addition to 484

485 describing H. upnikensis teeth from the Jiesia Formation of Lithuania, Dalinkevičius (1935) also 486 described *H. canaliculatus* teeth from the same Formation and it might be possible that some 487 laterals assigned to *H. upnikensis* actually belong to this species. A revision of Dalinkevičius' 488 original material is needed to clarify these attributions. Underwood and Mitchell (1999) reported 489 anterior and anterolateral teeth from the Albian and Cenomanian of England that were referred to H. 490 canaliculatus. However, anterior teeth show similar features to those of H. upnikensis and lateral 491 teeth are smooth or weakly labially ornamented. Biddle (1993) illustrated some anterior and 492 anterolateral teeth from the Albian of France as H. upnikensis, showing similar features to 493 Underwood and Mitchell's (1999) specimens (absence of cusplets and enamel ornamentation). 494 However, specimens from the French and English Albian are comparatively smaller than those from 495 Lithuania and Ukraine. Considering the large size of the latter specimens it is unlikely that H. 496 upnikensis represents juveniles of *H. canaliculatus*. However, the part of ontogenetic variation 497 coupled with questions on the morphology of lateral teeth from the type locality preclude definite 498 conclusions on the morphological variation and even on the validity of this species (Underwood and 499 Mitchell, 1999).

500

Heterodontus aff. canaliculatus

501

Fig. 3F-N

502 1861 Lamna (Odontaspis) minuta, Rogovich, p. 52, pl. 7, fig. 22.

503 1861 Acrodus rugosus Agassiz; Rogovich, p. 17, pl. 2, fig. 11.

504 **Material.** Two anterior teeth and 33 lateral teeth (21 lateral teeth from Melanchyn potik layer C,

505 one lateral tooth from layer E, nine lateral teeth from Kholodnyi, two lateral teeth from layer F of

506 Pekarskyi ravine), all figured specimens come from layer C (NNPM 2588-13 and NNPM 2588-14).

507 Additional specimen: Rogovich (1861)'s specimen (NNPM 391-1).

508 **Description.** Anterior teeth are cuspidate, up to 5 mm in adult specimens and possess a triangular

509 crown in labial view. The main cusp is bulky and biconvex with marked cutting edges in its lower 510 region. A pair of diverging, rather thin and short lateral cusplets is present, well separated from the 511 main cusp by a notch. The cusplets are united to the margino-labial regions of the apron by a sharp 512 edge of enameloid. The apron is moderately flared and labially protruding with a narrow concavity 513 in its median region. The apron is smooth and overhangs the labial crown face in occlusal view. The 514 lingual crown face is concave in profile view. A well-developed and broad uvula is present, 515 reaching the basal root edge in lingual view. The root is Y-shaped with well-individualized root 516 branches and a protruding medio-lingual region, where a large medio-lingual foramen opens. The 517 margino-lingual edges of the root are concave in basal view. Compared to adult anterior (Fig. 3H-J), 518 a juvenile cuspidate tooth (Rogovich's specimen NNPM 391-1, Fig. 3F-G) has broader and more 519 triangular cusplets, the lateral cutting edges of which are not individualized form the rest of the 520 crown. The apron is short but protrudes labially with a wide median concavity than in adult anterior 521 teeth. Lateral teeth are mesio-distally elongated with a sigmoid outline in occlusal view. The 522 occlusal face bears a relatively high sigmoidal occlusal crest positioned closer to the lingual side. 523 The median part of the occlusal ridge on is strongly convex. A concave articular facet is present on 524 the lingual crown face. Enamel ornamentation mostly consists of pronounced and not anastomosing 525 ridges that are oriented perpendicular to the transverse crest with some short longitudinal ridges that 526 confer a reticulate pattern near the lingual and labial edges in occlusal view. The anaulacorhize root 527 is asymmetrical in basal view with its mesial part being slightly narrower.

Remarks. Adult anterior teeth of *H.* aff. *canaliculatus* are morphologically close to those of *H. canaliculatus* (Egerton *in* Dixon, 1850) but differ in having a crown with less broad and more
individualized cusplets as well as narrower concavity in the base edge of the apron. However, the
intraspecific variability is of *H. canaliculatus* teeth is imperfectly known and it is unclear whether
these differences are part of intra- or interspecific variation. Similarly, short irregular and subhorizontal folds are rarely present on the apron of some anterior teeth of *H. canaliculatus* but some
display entirely smooth crowns, as in the material described here. In addition, lateral teeth of *H.* aff.

535	canaliculatus are devoid of median tubercle on the occlusal face whereas this feature is present in
536	lateral H. canaliculatus teeth (Woodward, 1912: pl. 45, figs 1-5), although less marked in the type
537	specimen (Egerton, 1855). Some lateral teeth from the Albian of Lithuania (Dalinkevičius, 1935)
538	attributed to H. upnikensis might be similar to the specimens described here but the quality of the
539	original illustrations precludes further comparison with the material from Ukraine. Lamna
540	(Odontaspis) minuta Rogovich, 1861 was based on a minute juvenile anterior Heterodontus tooth
541	(Fig. 3F-G) attributed here to H. aff. canaliculatus. Considering the low systematic value of
542	juvenile teeth, the taxon name erected by Rogovich (1861) would be better regarded as nomen
543	dubium. Another specimen reported by Rogovich (1861: pl. 3, fig. 18) as Hybodus marginatus
544	probably represents an anterior Heterodontus tooth in lingual view, but it is unclear whether it more
545	closely resembles <i>H. upnikensis</i> or <i>H. canaliculatus</i> . Similarly, Rogovich (1861: pl. 2, fig. 11)
546	reported the species Acrodus rugosus Agassiz, 1939 that most probably represents a lateral tooth of
547	H. aff. canaliculatus.
548	
549	Order Lamniformes Berg, 1958
550	Family Anacoracidae Casier, 1947
551	Squalicorax sp.
552	Fig. 3, O-P
553	Material. One incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-17) from layer F of Pekarskyi.
554	Description. This specimen is a small, 4 mm wide, partially preserved tooth (the apex and entire
555	mesial cutting edge of the crown is lacking) with strong labio-lingual compression. The main cusp
556	is wide and strongly distally inclined. This is well separated from the distal heel by a deep notch.
557	The heel is wide and moderately low with a convex outline. Coarse serrations are present on the
558	basal region of the distal cutting edge of the main cusp, and were possibly present elsewhere on the
559	worn cutting edges. The upper part of root below the labial crown-root margin shows a depression
560	along the entire margin.
561	Remarks. This specimen bears a combination of Squalicorax tooth characteristics including a long

562	distal cutting edge and strong distal curvature, a wide distal heel, strong labio-lingual compression
563	and serrated distal edge of main cusp. However, the preservation of this unique specimen precludes
564	further identification.
565	
566	Family Archaeolamnidae Underwood and Cumbaa, 2010
567	Genus Archaeolamna Siverson, 1992
568	Archaeolamna striata comb. nov. (Rogovich, 1861)
569	(Fig. 3Q-V, Fig. 4A-L)
570	1861 Otodus striatus, Rogovich, p. 41, pl. 5, fig. 13 non fig. 14.
571	? 1999 Carcharias sp. Cumbaa and Tokaryk, p. 61, fig. 5 (pars: upper 3 teeth center right column).
572	Material. 702 teeth complete and incomplete teeth (2 from layer E of Pekarskyi; 26 from layer F;
573	26 from layer A of Melanchyn potik; 11 from layer B; 573 from layer C; 7 from layer E; 57 from
574	Kholodnyi). Specimens NNPM 2588 18-25 come from layer C of Melanchyn potik. Additional
575	specimen: Rogovich (1861)'s specimen NNPM 391-2 (lectotype).
576	Description. Teeth, mostly under 1 cm high for anteriors, found from the type locality display
577	gradient monognathic and dignathic heterodonty. The main cusp is thin and elongate, similar
578	morphology is exhibited by the pair of diverging lateral cusplets. Cutting edges are moderately
579	developed and run continuously from the main cusp to the cusplets with a slight notch between the
580	two (e.g., Fig. 4A-B). The crown is slightly sigmoid in profile view in anterior teeth, while lateral
581	teeth have a flat labial face and have strongly a distally inclined main cusp, especially in upper
582	teeth. The basal part of the labial face of lateroposterior and posterior teeth sometimes bears short
583	vertical folds that occasionally bifurcate (e.g., Fig. 4K-L). In addition, there is often an enamel
584	depression in the labial base center, which is fairly strongly marked in anterior teeth. The root has a
585	well-developed lingual protuberance with a weak nutrient furrow. Root lobes are thin, well-
586	developed and U-shaped, even in laterals. The lingual neck of this tooth type is only slightly
587	narrower in the marginal parts than in the center.
588	Remarks. The species Otodus striatus was described by Rogovich (1861: pl. 5, figs 13-14) based

589 on two teeth that were present in the collection of the Kiev museum. Although one tooth (Rogovich, 590 1861: pl. 5, fig. 14) probably belongs to an undetermined lamniform, the other one (Rogovich, 591 1861: pl. 5, fig. 13) conforms with the tooth morphology of the material recovered in our sampling 592 that present both the heterodonty and tooth morphology of Archaeolamna species. This latter tooth 593 (NNPM 391-2) is here designated as the lectotype of *Otodus striatus*. Teeth of *Archaeolamna* 594 striata comb. nov. exhibit some similarities with the Cenomanian Archaeolamna ex. gr. kopingensis 595 described by Underwood and Cumbaa (2010) from Canada in having a gracile morphology with 596 occasional labial enamel folds in upper lateroposterior and posterior teeth. However, teeth from the 597 Canadian Cenomanian, as well as those from the English Gault Clay (Ward, 2010) have more 598 developed root lobes and more marked lingual protuberance of the root. Similar gracile specimens 599 were also figured by Biddle (1993) from the Albian of France as Archaeolamna kopingensis that 600 mostly differ from A. striata comb. nov. by their more marked medio-lingual nutritive groove and 601 might be closely related if not conspecific with A. striata comb. nov. Other taxa from the Albian-602 Cenomanian interval described as Archaeolamna, such as A. haigi and A. aff. kopingensis reported 603 by Siversson (1996) and Archaeolamna sp. (Vullo et al., 2007) are much more robust than A. striata 604 comb. nov. 605 606 Archaeolamna ex. gr. kopingensis (Davis, 1890) 607 Fig. 4M-T 608 Material. 213 teeth complete and incomplete teeth: 13 from layer F of Pekarskyi; 5 from layer A of 609 Melanchyn potik; 2 from layer B; 193 from layer C. Specimens NNPM 2588 26-29 from layer C of 610 Melanchyn potik. 611 **Description.** Collected specimens display gradient monograthic and dignathic heterodonty. These

612 teeth are relatively large (up to 15 mm high) and robust with wide triangular main cusp and

613 cusplets. Cusplets are low and well separated from the main cusp by a notch. In lateral teeth, the

614 cutting edges of the main cusp can present a marked convexity that forms an incipient cusplet, just

before the notch (e.g., Fig. 4S-T). The basal edge of the main cusp shows no or very weak labial

616	bulge, only a weak depression in the center. A strong lingual protuberance is present, pierced by a
617	large central foramen. Root lobes are rather short and bulky with rounded labial extremities except
618	in lateral teeth where they form a sharp angle.
619	Remarks. These teeth are much larger and more robust than those of A. striata. The teeth described
620	here are somewhat similar in overall tooth morphology to the Cenomanian specimens from Canada
621	(Underwood and Cumbaa, 2010) and England (Guinot et al., 2013) included in A. ex. gr.
622	kopingensis. However, the Kaniv teeth differ in having a less bulged lingual root face. Teeth
623	described as A. haigi from the Cenomanian of Australia (Siversson, 1996) differ in being more
624	gracile with thinner main cusp and root lobes.
625	
626	Family Otodontidae Glikman, 1964
627	Genus <i>Cretolamna</i> Glikman, 1958
628	<i>Cretolamna</i> sp.
629	Fig. 4U-V
630	Material. 2 anterior teeth from layer C of Melanchyn potik, including NNPM 2588-30.
631	Description. These anterior teeth have a gracile, elongate and weakly sigmoid main cusp. Main
632	cusp can constitute up to ³ / ₄ of the tooth height, as in NNPM 2588-30. The main cusp is flanked by a
633	pair of short, triangular and diverging cusplets separated from the main cusp by a slight notch. The
634	labial crown base has a central enamel depression. The relatively symmetrical root bears a strong
635	lingual protuberance devoid of nutrient groove.
636	Remarks. These anterior teeth differ from mid-Cretaceous Cretolamna teeth of similar jaw position
637	(Siversson et al. 2015) in being very gracile and slender. An anterior tooth from the Albian of
638	Poland (Siversson and Machalski, 2017) reported as Cretolamna sp. as well as some specimens
639	from the upper Albian Pawpaw Formation (Motorola site, see Siverson et al. 2007) in Texas,
640	reported as C. appendiculata (Welton and Farish, 1993) display a comparable morphology and
641	probably represent a new, unnamed species (Siversson and Machalski, 2017).
642	

643	Family Cretoxyrhinidae Glikman, 1958
644	Genus Cretoxyrhina Glikman, 1958
645	Cretoxyrhina vraconensis Zhelezko, 2000
646	Fig. 4W-X, Fig. 5
647	1861 Otodus appendiculatus (Agassiz) [partim]; Rogovich, p. 39, pl. 5, figs 1-5 non figs 6-11.
648	
649	1993 Cretolamna appendiculata (Agassiz) [partim]; Welton and Farish, p. 103, fig. 2, p. 104,
650	second tooth from the left in the upper jaw.
651	2000 Pseudoisurus vraconensis Zhelezko [partim]; Zhelezko, p. 138, pl. 1, figs 3-4 non fig. 5
652	(Cretalamna sp).
653	2009 Cretoxyrhina aff. vraconensis (Zhelezko); Ward, p. 291, pl. 54, figs 6-7.
654	2013 Cretoxyrhina vraconensis (Zhelezko); Siverson et al., p. 97, figs 4-9.
655	2017 Cretoxyrhina vraconensis (Zhelezko); Siverson and Machalski, p. 18, figs 4-9.
656	Material. 22 complete and almost complete teeth (1 from layer A of Melanchyn potik; 21 from
657	layer C, including NNPM 2588 31-37), 31 isolated cusps (1 from layer A of Melanchyn potik; 29
658	from layer C; 2 from Kholodnyi).
659	Description. Teeth of this species are characterized by triangular crowns with smooth lingual faces,
660	a pair of broad triangular cusplets and no nutrient groove on the root. Found specimens are rather
661	large, with anterior teeth sometimes exceeding 4 cm high (e.g., Fig. 5, C-D). In profile view, teeth
662	are curved labially near the apex. The labial crown face generally has one or two short vertical
663	bulges in the center near the base. Cusplets are large, rounded, and diverging. They connect to the
664	main cusp via a thin enameloid band incised by narrow but relatively deep notch. Parasymphyseal
665	teeth are mesio-distally compressed and have both distally and labially inclined crown. Root lobes
666	are reduced and oriented. Anteriormost teeth have a marked and short heel or reduced cusplets and
667	are mesiodistally compressed. More distal anterior teeth have small cusplets and sometimes thick
668	dental band. Upper laterals have a slightly distally inclined main cusp. Juvenile teeth have high but
669	rounded cusplets (e.g., Fig. 5, A-B). Folds on the lingual face of the cusplets may be present in

lateroposterior teeth. However, some other teeth attributable to this species have very broad but
triangular and sharp cusplets (e.g., Fig. 4W-X, Fig. 5E-F, and Rogovich's specimen Fig. 5, M-N).
They are similar to the other morphotype in having a protuberance on the root above each cusplet
and a very strong lingual bulge.

674 **Remarks.** The morphology of the teeth from Kaniv agrees with that of *C. vraconensis* (see 675 Siverson et al., 2013 for thorough description) and differs from C. denticulata (Glikman 1957) and 676 C. mantelli (Agassiz, 1838) by their developed triangular lateral cusplets in laterals and reduced 677 cusplets in anteriormost teeth, rounded root lobes and one or two vertical labial bulges on the basal 678 part of the crown. Rogovich (1861: pl. 5, figs 1-11), illustrated several specimens here referred to 679 Otodus appendiculatus but this series probably comprises several taxa. While the morphology of 680 the first five specimens agrees with the heterodonty and morphology of C. vraconensis, other 681 specimens more likely belong to Protolamna (figs 6, 10-11), possibly Archaeolamna (fig. 7) or to 682 undetermined lamniforms (figs 8-9). Glikman (1964) and Mertiniene (1975) probably described 683 similar specimens from the Albian of Kaniv (as Cretoxyrhina and Cretalamna appendiculata, 684 respectively), but without providing illustrations. Teeth from Albian of Poland (Siversson and 685 Machalski, 2017) also display similarities with Kaniv teeth, especially those with sharper cusplets. 686 However, some of the figured teeth are larger and more massive than the Ukrainian specimens. This 687 is the most abundant large lamniform shark species from Kaniv and is present in all layers where 688 large lamniform teeth were found.

689

Family Paraisuridae Herman, 1979 *Paraisurus* Glikman, 1957 *Paraisurus macrorhizus* (Pictet and Campiche, 1858)
Fig. 6A-F
1858 Oxyrhina macrorhiza Pictet and Campiche, pl. 10, figs 8-10.
1861 Otodus monstrosus Rogovich, pl. 5, fig. 12.

696 1889 Oxyrhina macrorhiza (Pictet and Campiche); Woodward, p. 381.

697 1987 Paraisurus macrorhiza (Pictet and Campiche); Cappetta, p. 101, fig. 89D-F.

698 2010 Paraisurus macrorhizus (Pictet and Campiche); Ward, pl. 55, fig. 3.

699 Material. 4 incomplete teeth from layer C of Melanchyn potik, including NNPM 2588-38.

700 Additional specimen: Rogovich (1861)'s specimen NNPM 391-41.

701 **Description.** Teeth are up to 15 mm high, and strongly mesio-distally compressed with a strongly

702 convex lingual face and only slightly convex labial face of the main cusp, which is lingually

inclined. Ornament is rarely present in the form of fine wrinkles near the lingual base of the main

cusp. The center of the labial face is depressed in its base. Cutting edges run on the root in the form

of markedly oblique heels. The root is incomplete but shows a very strong lingual protuberance.

706 **Remarks.** The morphology of the specimens reported here is typical of teeth of the genus

707 *Paraisurus* with mesio-distally compressed crown and root, short lingual crown face, absence of

708 cusplets and extremely developed lingual root bulge. This genus contains six nominal species, the

validity of some of them being contestable (Siversson and Machalski, 2017). Sokolov (1978)

710 described the Lower Albian *P. elegans*, the early middle Albian *P. lanceolatus* and the latest Albian

711 ('Vraconnian') *P. compressus*. These most probably represent chronospecies, the latter being

712 possibly junior synonym of *P. macrorhizus*. Other nominal species comprise the late Aptian *P*.

713 amudarjensis (Sultanbobo Formation, western Uzbekistan) and Rogovich (1861)'s Otodus

714 monstrosus. Rogovich's holotype and only illustrated specimen of the species Otodus monstrosus

715 was recovered in his collections (Fig. 6A-C) and illustrated here. This specimen as well as those

from our samplings do not display any characters to differentiate *O. monstrosus* from *P.*

717 *macrorhizus* and the former should be considered junior synonym of the latter.

718

719	Family Pseudoscapanorhynchidae Herman, 1979
720	Genus Protolamna Cappetta, 1980
721	Protolamna cf. sokolovi Cappetta, 1980
722	Fig. 6G-Q

723 ?1861 Otodus appendiculatus (Agassiz) [partim], Rogovich, p. 39, pl. 5, figs 6, 10-11 non figs 1-5,

724 7-9.

725 Material. 40 teeth (three from layer A, 29 from layer C of Melanchyn potik, including NNPM 2588 39-43, three from layer E, two from layer E of Pekarskyi, three from Kholodnyi) 726 727 **Description.** Teeth of this species are relatively large (up to 15 mm high in anterior teeth). The 728 main cusp is elongated, sigmoid in profile view and exhibits folds on the lingual face. Both faces 729 are convex. The labial face is reduced because of labial position of the cutting edges. The cutting 730 edges of lateral cusplets are separated from the well-developed ones of the main cusp by a sharp 731 notch. The labial face of the main cusp is smooth in anterior teeth, whereas it can very occasionally 732 display rare and fine vertical folds in lateral teeth. Cusplets have the shape of the main cusp and are 733 positioned at a 45° angle from the main cusp in anterior teeth (e.g., Fig. 6I-K). The root has a 734 developed lingual protuberance with weak or absent nutrient groove. Intermediate teeth are almost 735 symmetrical, have wider root than anterior teeth and have a striated labial face. 736 **Remarks.** Kaniv specimens resemble *Protolamna sokolovi* teeth described in Cappetta (1975, 737 1980) from the Aptian of France by having no labial ornament in anterior and most lateral teeth, 738 weak nutrient furrow, similar shape of main cusp and weak lingual ornamentation. However, teeth 739 of *P. sokolovi* are more robust than Kaniv specimens and display compressed root lobe extremities, 740 less diverging cusplets and stronger lingual root protuberance in lateral teeth. Kaniv specimens bear 741 resemblances with teeth of *Protolamna* sp. from Cenomanian of United Kingdom (Guinot et al., 742 2013). However, the Cenomanian specimens have pronounced lingual folds and much wider and 743 more erect cusplets. Specimens from the Albian of Poland reported as Protolamna sp. (Siversson 744 and Machalski, 2017) differ from the teeth described here by their marked labial and lingual face in 745 most teeth. Teeth of P. carteri Cappetta and Case, 1999 from the Cenomanian Woodbine Fm. of 746 Texas and *P. roanokeensis* from Albian Pawpaw sands of Texas have strong ornamentation on the 747 lingual crown face and exhibit relatively gracile morphology. Rogovich (1861) reported several 748 specimens as Otodus appendiculatus, some of which (Rogovich, 1861: pl. 5, figs 6, 10-11) showing 749 a morphology that could fall within the variation found in the material described here, but these 750 specimens have not been found in the collection of the Kaniv Museum. The tooth that Rogovich

751	(1861: pl. 7, fig. 14) illustrated for his species Lamna (Odontaspis) ornata differs in having strong
752	labial enamel ornamentation and double cusplets on each side of the tooth. Considering the lack of
753	accurate illustration and likely loss of the type specimen of Lamna (Odontaspis) ornata, it is not
754	possible to assess the identification of this tooth and it is preferable to consider this species nomen
755	dubium.
756	
757	Genus Pseudoscapanorhynchus Herman, 1977
758	Pseudoscapanorhynchus compressidens Herman, 1977
759	Fig. 6R-Z
760	1977 Pseudoscapanorhynchus compressidens Herman, 192, pl. 7, fig. 8.
761	1991 Protolamna acuta Müller and Diedrich, 36, pl. 8, figs 4-6.
762	1999 Protolamna compressidens Herman; Cappetta and Case, 25, pl. 12, figs 1, 2.
763	2007 Pseudoscapanorhynchus compressidens Herman; Vullo et al., p. 101.
764	2013 Pseudoscapanorhynchus compressidens Herman; Guinot et al., p. 639, Fig. 18A-I.
765	
766	Material. 45 partial and complete teeth (1 from layer A, 1 from layer B, 31 from layer C of
767	Melanchyn potik, including NNPM 2588 44-46, 11 from Kholodnyi).
768	Description. Teeth are mostly around 1 cm high and have a strongly sigmoid in profile view and
769	mesio-distally compressed crown. The lingual crown face is strongly convex, while the labial is
770	only slightly convex. The labial face is reduced because of the labial position of the cutting edges,
771	which end before reaching the base of the crown. The labial base of the cusplets usually has two to
772	three vertical and short parallel wrinkles. Lateral cusplets are elongate, labially positioned relative
773	to the main cusp and are fully individualized. The basal region of the labial crown face is strongly
774	mesio-distally compressed in labial view. The root has a strong lingual protuberance with a weak
775	nutrient furrow. In the teeth positioned closer to jaw symphysis, root lobes are almost completely
776	merged (e.g., Fig. 6X-Z). No complete lateral teeth have been found – only crowns with partially
777	preserved roots.

778	Remarks. The morphology and size of Kaniv specimens agree with the original description of <i>P</i> .	
779	compressidens by Herman (1977) based on teeth from Cenomanian to Coniacian sites of Belgium	
780	and France. This species has been reported from numerous Cenomanian-Coniacian localities in	
781	Europe as well as from the Albian of France (Biddle, 1993) as Leptostyrax macrorhiza (see Guinot	
782	et al. 2013). Teeth attributed to this species are generally incomplete due to the gracile and elongate	
783	root lobes and cusplets and it is difficult to assess the taxonomical content included in Albian to	
784	Coniacian P. compressidens, which might include more than one species.	
785		
786	Family Odontaspididae <i>s.l.</i> Müller and Henle, 1839	
787	Eostriatolamia Glikman, 1979	
788	Eostriatolamia subulata (Agassiz, 1843)	
789	Fig. 6A'-D'	
790	1843 Lamna (Odontaspis) subulata Agassiz, vol. 3, p. 206, pl. 37a, figs. 5-6.	
791	1861 Lamna (Odontaspis) subulata (Agassiz); Rogovich, p. 52, pl. 7, figs 19-21 non fig. 17, 18.	
792	1935 Odontaspis subulata (Agassiz); Dalinkevičius, pl. III, figs 77-83	
793	1977 Scapanorhynchus subulatus (Agassiz); Herman, pl. 7, fig. 5.	
794	1991 ?Eostriatolamia subulata (Agassiz); Müller and Diedrich: p. 29, pl. 21, fig. 1-6.	
795	2013 Eostriatolamia subulata (Agassiz); Guinot et al., p. 647, figs 21J-R.	
796	2017 Carchariidae indet.; Siversson and Machalski, p. 23, Figs 6L-R.	
797		
798	Material. About 2000 complete and incomplete teeth (122 from layer A of Melanchyn potik, 105	
799	from layer B, 1231 from layer C, including NNPM 2588-48, 17 from layer E; 4 from layer E, 61	
800	from layer F of Pekarskyi; 460 from Kholodnyi, including NNPM 2588-47).	
801	Description. Teeth are generally less than 1 cm high with strongly convex lingual face and slightly	
802	convex to flat labial face. Anterior teeth rarely exceed 1 cm, such (e.g., Fig. 6A'-B'). The crown is	
803	sigmoid in profile view. The lingual crown face is finely striated, while the labial face has several	
804	short vertical folds in anterior teeth, and many short folds near the base of the crown in laterals.	

805 Ornamentation tends to be more marked on cusplets compared to the main cusp. The basal edge of 806 the labial crown face bears a marked bulge in anterior teeth, whereas it is less developed in laterals. 807 The cusplets are elongate, needle-like and slightly curved towards the main cusp. Cusplets are 808 positioned labially relative to the main cusp – a feature that is more marked in anterior teeth – and 809 connected to the main cusp via a thin enameloid band. The root bears a generally narrow but 810 complete nutrient groove in most teeth. The lingual root protuberance is wide but moderately 811 developed. The distal extremity of the root lobes is slightly labio-lingually compressed. 812 **Remarks.** The species *E. subulata* was described by Agassiz (1843) based on anterior teeth from 813 the Upper Cretaceous of Germany that might not be conspecific. The absence of precise 814 stratigraphic data and lack of accurate illustration of original material led Siversson and Machalski 815 (2017) to consider this species nomen dubium and to question the inclusion of this species within 816 the genus *Eostriatolamia*. Dalinkevičius (1935) reported this species from the Jiesia Formation in 817 Lithuania and the specimens described here fit the morphology of the Lithuanian specimens, as well 818 as that of specimens from the Albian of Poland (Siversson and Machalski, 2017) and Cenomanian 819 of England (Guinot et al. 2013). Rogovich (1861) figured several specimens as Lamna (Odontaspis) 820 subulata. However, only specimens on pl. 7, fig. 19-21 are attributable to this species, whereas, 821 based on the drawings, specimens on fig. 17-18 are more likely to belong to a *Protolamna* species. 822 Many other reports of this species are questionable (Guinot et al. 2013) and it is preferred here to 823 limit the included specimens to those mentioned above, pending revision of the species. 824 825 Eostriatolamia striatula (Dalinkevičius, 1935) 826 Fig. 6E'-L' 827 1861 Lamna (Odontaspis) gracilis (Agassiz); Rogovich, p. 51, pl. 7, figs 15-16a. 828 1935 Odontaspis (Synodontaspis) striatula Dalinkevičius; p. 268, pl. 4, figs 84-95. 829 1975 Carcharias striatula (Dalinkevičius); Cappetta, p. 122, pl. 1, figs 1-7. 830 1977 Palaeohypotodus striatula (Dalinkevičius); Herman, p. 229, pl. 10, fig. 1. 831 1993 Carcharias striatula (Dalinkevičius); Biddle, p. 13, pl. 3, figs 3-4.

832 1997 Carcharias striatula (Dalinkevičius); Siverson, p. 461, figs. 4T-W.

833 2010 Eostriatolamia striatula (Dalinkevičius); Cappetta, fig. 156B.

834 2010 Carcharias striatula (Dalinkevičius); Ward, p. 290, pl. 54, figs 1-3.

Material. 45 teeth, 36 from layer C, including NNPM 2588 49-52, 9 from layer A of Melanchyn
potik.

837 **Description.** Teeth are less than 1 cm in height and show monognathic, and possibly dignathic 838 heterodonty. The crown is slender and slightly mesio-distally compressed, unlike the root that is 839 only labio-lingually compressed. The main cusp is very slightly sigmoid in profile view. The lingual 840 crown face is very finely striated, while the base of the labial face only sometimes exhibits short 841 parallel folds. The labial crown base of some lateral teeth displays a short central bulge (Fig. 6E'-842 F'). Lateral cusplets have a slightly wider base relative to the shape of the main cusp and are up to 843 1/3 of the crown height. The lingual root face bears a sharp and wide bulge. A narrow nutrient 844 groove is present but weakly marked in some lateral teeth. 845 **Remarks.** The morphology of the specimens reported here agrees with that of the type specimens

described by Dalinkevičius (1935) from the Jiesia Formation in Lithuania. This species has been
reported from several localities in the middle-late Albian (Dalinkevičius, 1935; Landemaine, 1991;
Biddle, 1993; Cappetta, 2010; Ward, 2010) and late Aptian (Cappetta, 1975) of Europe as well as
from the Albian of the Southern Hemisphere (Siverson, 1997). Similar specimens from Kaniv were
figured by Rogovich (1861) as *Lamna (Odontaspis) gracilis* but they were not recovered in the

851 collections of the Kiev Museum.

- 852
- 853
- 854

Lamniformes indet. 1

Fig. 6M'-N'

855 **Material.** One complete tooth from layer C of Melanchyn potik, NNPM 2588-53.

856 **Description.** The only specimen referred to this species is a lower lateral tooth with a very robust

and wide root and a short symmetrical non-sigmoid main cusp. It is flanked by a pair of gracile and

triangular lateral cusplets. The cusplets are separated from the main cusp by a wide concavity that

859	bears some indentations, which can resemble incipient cusplets. The mesial and distal edges of the
860	cusplets are made of a sharp blade of enameloid that overhangs the root. The basal region of the
861	labial crown face displays a short vertical fold in its center. The root has a well-developed lingual
862	protuberance displaying a small nutritive foramen. The labial root face bears a median depression.
863	Remarks. This specimen bears resemblances with Archaeolamna teeth but differs in several ways:
864	1) root robustness combined with crown gracility; 2) absence of a central labial base enamel
865	depression, typical of all Kaniv Archaeolamna teeth and, instead, presence of a long central fold,
866	that is more often seen in Cretoxyrhina vraconensis from the same locality, suggesting that it might
867	be a pathological juvenile <i>Cretoxyrhina</i> tooth.
868	
869	Lamniformes indet. 2
870	Fig. 6O'-P'
871	1861 Otodus striatus, Rogovich, p. 41, pl. 5, fig. 14 non fig. 13.
872	?1861 Otodus appendiculatus (Agassiz) [partim], Rogovich, p. 39, pl. 5, fig. 9 non figs 1-8, 10-11.
873	Material. One tooth from original Rogovich collection, NNPM 391-3.
874	Description. This specimen is a small lateral tooth lacking root lobes. The main cusp is strongly
875	bent distally and slightly sigmoid in profile view. The distal cusplet is thin, elongate and does not
876	display distal curvature as in the main cusp. The mesial cusplet lacks its upper half but the lower
877	one exhibits similar morphology to the distal cusplet. The basal part of both lingual and labial
878	crown faces exhibits ornament made of vertical parallel folds. While these are very faint on the
879	lingual face, folds are more marked on the labial face and few of them run higher up on the crown.
880	Enamel on the labial face forms a basal extension with a slight depression in the middle. The lingual
881	root face does not show evidences of a nutrient groove and exhibits a moderately pronounced and
882	wide lingual protuberance.
883	Remarks. This specimen was one of the two illustrated specimens that Rogovich (1861) attributed
884	to Otodus striatus. However, unlike the other specimen assigned here to Archaeolamna striata, this
885	specimen exhibits a different morphology with much more elongate and mesio-distally compressed

886 cusps. Elongate cusplets are present in two of the other genera found in the sampled horizons – 887 *Eostriatolamia* and *Protolamna*. However, the specimen described here is more robust than 888 *Eostriatolamia* teeth having a large lingual root protuberance. Lateral teeth attributed to *Protolamna* 889 found in our samples from the Burim formation deposits have a comparable enamel folding pattern 890 but do not display such a strong distal curvature of the main cusp and differs in the morphology of 891 the cusplets. Lack of additional material for this morph does not allow to make definite 892 identification of this specimen. Another specimen illustrated by Rogovich (1861: pl. 5, fig. 9) might 893 correspond to this taxon.

894

895 **5.** Discussion

896 **5.1. Geological age of Kaniv elasmobranch fauna**

897 Elasmobranch remains from Kaniv dislocations were previously reported in the literature, but most 898 of available works on this topic is either outdated (Rogovich, 1861) or provided no descriptions or 899 illustrations (Glikman 1964; Mertiniene 1975; Glikman 1980; Glikman and Averianov 1998; 900 Popova et al. 2015). Specimens of Rogovich (1861), Glikman (1964) and Mertiniene (1975) come 901 from Marvin and Kostyaneckyi ravines (Selvschi village) and from Kaniv city, whereas locations 902 studied here (Kholodnyi, Pekarskyi and Melanchyn potik ravines) remained undescribed. As of 903 2018, we were not able to find any exposures of vertebrate-bearing strata in Maryin ravine, it is 904 likely that they were covered by landslides since the time of Glikman's and Mertiniene's research. 905 In the Kostyaneckyi ravine, we have not found any Cretaceous exposures either. The only 906 vertebrates known from this location come from the Eocene Buchak formation (Zvonok, 2011).

Rogovich (1861) published a detailed account of elasmobranch taxa found in the Kaniv Cretaceous,
including the description of eleven new species. Among all Rogovich's illustrated specimens, only
seven were identified in the current collections of the Kiev Museum (Table 1), corresponding to the
following species described by Rogovich (1861): *Otodus monstrosus* (=*Paraisurus macrorhizus*), *Otodus striatus* (=*Archaeolamna striata* and Lamniformes indet. 2), *Lamna minuta* (=*Heterodontus*aff. *canaliculatus*), *Hybodus bidentatus*, and *Hybodus kessleri* (=*Synechodus kessleri*); along with

913 one specimen originally referred to *Otodus appendiculatus* (=*Cretoxyrhina vraconensis*). All other 914 specimens were unfortunately lost, including those of the following species described by Rogovich: 915 Sphaenonchus (= Asteracanthus) compressus, Hybodus (= Polyacrodus) parvus, Oxyrhina (= 916 lamniform indet.) pygmaea, Hybodus (= Polyacrodus) tuberculatus, Lamna (Odontaspis) ornata (= 917 Protolamna sp.), Hybodus (= Synechodus) subulatus, and Hybodus (= Cederstroemia pars.) 918 marginatus. Although none of Rogovich's specimens were found for the species Asteracanthus 919 compressus, Polyacrodus parvus and Polyacrodus tuberculatus, it is preferred to consider these 920 species names as valid pending further sampling and/or information on the whereabouts of the 921 missing specimens of Rogovich's collection. Our samplings have resulted in the identification of 22 922 elasmobranch species (Table 2) represented by 7 different orders: Hybodontiformes, 923 Synechodontiformes, Squatiniformes, Orectolobiformes, Heterodontiformes, Squaliformes and 924 Lamniformes, as well as one undetermined lamniform specimen (Lamniformes indet. 1). This 925 combination of taxa is generally typical of mid-Cretaceous deposits (Guinot et al., 2013; Siversson 926 and Machalski, 2017). However, some aspects such as absence of batoids and rarity of hybodonts 927 are somewhat unusual, as discussed below.

Elasmobranch taxa reported from Kaniv by Mertiniene (1975) included: Squatina muelleri,
Synechodus dispar, Gyropleurodus canaliculatus, Meristodon sp., Paraorthacodus recurvus,
Cretolamna appendiculata, Odontaspis subulata, O. macrohizus, Scapanorhynchus raphiodon.
Because of no illustrative material, it is impossible to know which of the elasmobranch taxa
reported here did Mertiniene (1975) name 'Cretolamna appendiculata' and 'Scapanorhynchus *raphiodon'*. Analogues of all other species had been found by the authors.

Glikman (1964) described following genera and species: Squatina sp., Paraorthacodus sp.,
Synechodus sp., Eostriatolamia gracilis, Paraisurus macrorhiza, Cretoxyrhina sp., Notidanus sp.
and Scapanorhynchus sp. Out of this list, only Notidanus and Scapanorhynchus teeth had not been
found in our samplings. Glikman (1964) also noted that Gyropleurodus, Meristodon, Cretaspis,
Squalus and Polyacrodus were absent from studied collections. Names for most of these genera are
outdated , however orders to which they belong - heterodontiforms (=Gyropleurodus), hybodonts

940 (*=Meristodon* and *Polyacrodus*) and squaliforms (*=Squalus*) have been found in our samplings,
941 except "*Cretaspis*" (*=Hispidaspis*), though mostly in small amounts. Strangely, no species that
942 could correspond to *Archaeolamna* - the second most common lamnoid genus in Kaniv - were
943 mentioned by Glikman (1964).

944 Both Mertiniene (1975) and Glikman (1964) described Kaniv elasmobranch fauna as late Albian in 945 age, but the lack of illustrations or specimen descriptions made it hard to support their conclusions. 946 Our samplings, although collected in different locations from those of Mertiniene (1975) and 947 Glikman (1964), confirm the late Albian age for Kholodnyi, Pekarskyi and Melanchyn potik 948 ravines: Paraisurus macrorhizus is known through Late Aptian to Late Albian, Cretoxyrhina 949 vraconensis occurs in uppermost Albian and lowermost Cenomanian (Siverson et al., 2013; 950 Zhelezko, 2000). According to Glikman and Averianov (1998), Eostriatolamia gracilis and E. 951 subulata are chronospecies with E. gracilis being an Albian species, whereas morphological 952 differences between these two taxa are very minor (Glikman, 1964) indicating that their material is 953 probably conspecific. Although *Eostriatolamia subulata* was initially reported from the 954 Cenomanian of Lithuania (Dalinkevičius, 1935), reevaluation of these strata led to believe that they 955 actually are Late Albian in age (Adnet et al., 2008). This species is also extensively described from 956 Cenomanian of Europe and Asia (Glikman, 1980; Guinot et al., 2013). Glikman (1980) suggested 957 that the Anacoracidae play an important stratigraphical role because of their higher variation in 958 serration parameters and general tooth morphology. The anacoracid tooth found in Pekarskyi 959 ravine, although poorly preserved, displays characteristics of Albian *Squalicorax* species including: 960 1) longer distal cutting edge and stronger distal curvature; 2) small cusplet on the distal side of the 961 tooth; 3) crown slightly labio-lingualy compressed; 4) coarsely serrated distal side. This specimen is 962 somewhat similar to Squalicorax priscoserratus or S. pawpawensis from Texas Pawpaw shale 963 (Siverson et al., 2007), Squalicorax sp. from Poland (Siversson and Machalski, 2017) that are 964 Albian in age. However, because of the poor preservation of our specimen, it is impossible to 965 confirm that it actually belongs to one of the named species. Overall, our findings are consistent 966 with the late Albian or possibly lowermost Cenomanian age of the Burim formation vertebrate967 bearing strata, particularly layer C of Melanchyn potik ravine due to greater concentration of 968 specimens and abundance of *P. macrorhizus* and *C. vraconensis*. For other layers, a similar age can 969 be inferred based on geographical and stratigraphical proximity to layer C and overall similarity of 970 the assemblages, which do not show any marked faunal transitions. In addition, all layers other than 971 A and B are positioned below layer C indicating older age. Layers A and B have a much lower 972 concentration of specimens but available findings are highly congruent with data from layer C. This 973 conclusion is also confirmed by paleogeographic studies of the region that constrain the age of the 974 Burim formation marine deposits to Middle Albian - Cenomanian because in the Aptian and Lower 975 Albian depositional environment was terrestrial over most of the territory of modern Ukraine 976 (Menasova and Tymchenko, 2018).

- 977
- 978 **5.2.** Albian elasmobranch faunas

979 Sharks from the described faunal complex are mostly small, the largest tooth found being about 4 980 cm high. Large teeth (>1.5 cm) are also very rare (about 4% of the material sampled) and 981 correspond to the large lamniform genera *Cretoxyrhina* and *Protolamna*. Marine sauropsid teeth are 982 even less common with only three more or less complete teeth (TS pers. obs.). It is interesting that 983 the niche of large pelagic predators in Kaniv is monopolized by *Cretoxyrhina*, despite the presence 984 of large-toothed genera such as Dwardius and Cardabiodon in contemporary deposits in Europe 985 (Siversson and Machalski, 2017). According to Siverson et al. (2013), Dwardius preferred the 986 neritic zone, while Cretoxyrhina was a more generalist predator. Quartz-glauconite sand layers in 987 Kaniv were likely deposited in coastal conditions due to a large amount of broken shell fragments 988 and occasional brachiopod findings, as well as presence of land plant fossils (mostly various conifer 989 species, Krochak et al., 2016). Another interesting feature is the absence of hybodont sharks in most 990 sampled horizons. Hybodonts are known for their tolerance to brackish and freshwater 991 environments and can represent the largest part of Cretaceous faunas such as in the Lower 992 Cretaceous Wessex Formation (Sweetman and Underwood, 2006). Most hybodont finds in Kaniv 993 come from layer F in Pekarskyi ravine (5 teeth, 5.2% of fossil remains). Only a single crown 994 (0.005% of fossil remains) was recovered from Melanchyn potik, layer C. This, along with the 995 abundance of plant remains, suggest that Kaniv territory in the late Early Cretaceous most likely 996 consisted of small islands without major freshwater bodies suitable for elasmobranchs, where many 997 hybodontiforms are thought to raise their youngs (Leuzinger et al., 2015).

998 Other late Albian elasmobranch-bearing localities known from Eastern Europe include Poland 999 (Siversson and Machalski, 2017) and Lithuania (Dalinkevičius, 1935). Compared to Kaniv fauna, 1000 the elasmobranch assemblage from Poland has a greater abundance of large lamniforms with the 1001 genera Dwardius and Cretodus and Paraisurus being much more frequent than in the Burim 1002 formation. In addition, *Dwardius* teeth are much more common in the Polish assemblage than 1003 *Cretoxyrhina vraconensis*, whereas the opposite trend can be observed in the Ukrainian Albian. On 1004 the other hand, the composition of medium-sized lamniform sharks is fairly similar in Poland and 1005 Ukraine, *Cretolamna* species with elongate anterior teeth are also found in both localities, whereas 1006 serrated Squalicorax teeth are outstandingly rare compared to Albian deposits of United States 1007 (Siverson et al., 2007). The Polish fauna is also characterized by relatively few taxa represented by 1008 small-sized teeth with clades such as batomorphs, orectolobiforms, squatiniforms and squaliforms 1009 being missing from the assemblage, which could be explained by collecting and taphonomic biases 1010 (Siverson and Machalski, 2017). Collecting bias (use of coarse mesh size for sieving) might also 1011 explain the absence of batomorphs in our samplings.

1012 Dalinkevičius (1935)'s collections from Upper Albian of Upninkai (Lithuania), on the other hand,

1013 are very similar to the Kaniv assemblages. Differences between the Lithuanian and Ukrainian

1014 faunas include the presence of two species of hybodonts in Dalinkevičius (1935)'s samplings, one

- 1015 of them being a species of *Acrodus*. Although this genus is absent from our samplings, it is possibly
- 1016 present in the Albian fauna from Kaniv since the species Acrodus affinis Reuss, 1845 was reported
- 1017 by Rogovich (1861). Another species reported by Rogovich (1861), Acrodus rugosus (Agassiz,

1018 1939) more probably corresponds to a lateral tooth of *Heterodontus* (see above). Large lamniforms

1019 seem to be absent from the Lithuanian assemblage with a single tooth attributable to C. vraconensis

1020 being present (Dalinkevičius, 1935: pl. 5, fig. 107). Overall, species richness seems lower in the

Lithuanian samplings, however it is unknown what biases could have influenced the composition of
the collections stored in Vilnius university since many of the original Dalinkevičius localities are no
longer accessible.

1024 Another locality relatively close geographically to Kaniv is Kolbay, Kazakhstan (Kennedy et al.,

1025 2008). The composition of this 'Vraconian' elasmobranch fauna resembles that of Burim formation 1026 assemblages but differs in the greater abundance of hybodonts and anacoracids and in the presence 1027 of the genus *Hispidaspis* that is characterized by unusual enamel folding patterns at the base of the 1028 crown (Glikman, 1980).

1029

1030 Concluding remarks

1031 Burim formation, Kaniv, Ukraine is one of the few locations in the world containing evidence for 1032 one of the major adaptive radiations of elasmobranchs. Based on museum specimens originally 1033 reported by Rogovich (1861) as well as newly sampled material, we provide a detailed account of 1034 elasmobranch species present in this area during the Upper Albian and revise the status of several 1035 taxa first mentioned by Rogovich. The taxonomic composition of this fauna supports previously 1036 limited available paleogeographical and micropaleontological evidence for a late Albian age of the 1037 sampled vertebrate-bearing stratae. Compared to many other contemporary faunas, the Kaniv 1038 assemblages are very diverse, consisting of a variety of nectobenthic taxa, very frequent and 1039 numerous odontaspidiids and macrophagous lamniforms. This study represents the first detailed 1040 description of a Cretaceous elasmobranch fauna from Ukraine since the 19th century and one of the 1041 few reports of Cretaceous elasmobranchs from Eastern Europe as a whole.

1042

1043 Acknowledgements

1044 The authors would like to thank Volodymyr Grytsenko from Kiev National Natural History 1045 Museum of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (NNPM) for providing access to historical 1046 collections of Rogovich, Lilia Popova of Kyiv National University (KNU) and Kaniv Natural 1047 Reserve staff for helping to get access to the localities in Kaniv and providing useful information on the assemblages and to George Sokolskyi (Kyiv Polytechnic University), Oleg Solonyi, Anton
Pyankov, Ihor Kenduikhov and Yulia Tyhonravova from KNU for assistance with specimen
collection from 2013 to 2018. This work benefited from comments made by E. Popov, J.
Amalfitano and the Editor on an earlier version of the manuscript. First author (TS) benefitted from
Karsh International Scholarship Enrichment funding program of Duke University.

1053

1054 **References**

- Adnet, S., Cappetta, H., Mertiniene, R., 2008. Re-evaluation of squaloid shark records from the
 Albian and Cenomanian of Lithuania. Cretaceous Research 29, 711–722.
- 1057 Agassiz, L., [1843 (1833-44)]. Recherches sur les poissons fossiles. Petitpierre, Neuchatel &
 1058 Soleure.
- Averianov, A.O., 1997. Additions to the Selachian fauna of the Russian Cretaceous. I. A new
- species of *Protosqualus* Cappetta, 1977 (Chondrichthyes: Squalidae). Zoosystematica Rossica 5,
 319–320.
- 1062 Batchelor, T.J., Duffin, C.J., 2019. First description of sharks' teeth from the Ferruginous Sands
- 1063 Formation (Aptian, Early Cretaceous) of the Isle of Wight. Proceedings of the Geologists'
- 1064 Association. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2019.06.004
- 1065 Batchelor, T.J.R., Ward, D.J., 1990. Fish remains from a temporary exposure of the Hythe Beds
- 1066 (Aptian Lower Cretaceous) near Godstone, Surrey. Mesozoic Research 2, 181–203.
- 1067 Bernard, E.L., Smith, M., 2018. Arthur Smith Woodward's fossil fish type specimens SUP18874.
- 1068 Geological Society, London, Special Publications 430, 1–177. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP430.14
- 1069 Biddle, J.-P., 1993. Les élasmobranches de l'Albien inférieur et moyen (Crétacé inférieur) de la
- 1070 Marne et de la Haute-Marne (France), in: Herman, J., Van Waes, H. (Eds.), Elasmobranches et
- 1071 Stratigraphie. Professional Paper of the Belgian Geological Survey, Brussels, pp. 191–240.

- 1072 Blainville de, H.M.D., 1816. Prodrome d'une nouvelle distribution systématique du règne animal.
- 1073 Bulletin de la Société Philomatique de Paris 8, 105-112 + 121-124.
- 1074 Cappetta, H., 1987. Mesozoic and Cenozoic Elasmobranchii, Chondrichthyes II, Handbook of
- 1075 palaeoichthyology. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- 1076 Cappetta, H., 1980. Modification du statut générique de quelques espèces de sélaciens crétacés et
- 1077 tertiaires. Palaeovertebrata 10, 29–42.
- 1078 Cappetta, H., 1977. Sélaciens nouveaux de l'Albien supérieur de Wissant (Pas-de-Calais). Geobios
 1079 10, 967–973.
- 1080 Cappetta, H., 1975. Sélaciens et Holocéphale du Gargasien de la région de Gargas (Vaucluse).
- 1081 Géologie méditerranéenne 2, 115–134.
- 1082 Cappetta, H., Case, G.R., 1999. Additions aux faunes de sélaciens du Crétacé du Texas (Albien
- 1083 supérieur-Campanien). Palaeo Ichthyologica 9, 5–111.
- 1084 Casier, E., 1961. Matériaux pour la faune ichthyologique éocrétacique du Congo. Annales du
- 1085 Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Sciences Géologiques 39, 1–96.
- 1086 Condamine, F.L., Romieu, J., Guinot, G., 2019. Climate cooling and clade competition likely drove
- 1087 the decline of lamniform sharks. PNAS 116, 20584–20590.
- 1088 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1902693116</u>
- 1089 Cumbaa, S.L., Tokaryk, T.T., 1999. Recent discoveries of Cretaceous marine vertebrates on the
- 1090 eastern margins of the Western Interior Seaway, p. 57–63. In Summary of Investigations 1999.
- 1091 Volume 1. Miscellaneous Report 99-4.1. Saskatchewan Geological Survey, Saskatchewan Energy1092 Mines.
- 1093 Dalinkevičius, J.A., 1935. On the fossil fishes of the Lituanian chalk. I. Selachii. Mémoires de la
- 1094 Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Vytautas le Grand 9, 247–305.

- 1095 Dixon, F., 1850. The geology of Sussex or the geology and fossils of the Tertiary and Cretaceous1096 formations of Sussex. London.
- 1097 Everhart, M.J., 2011. Occurrence of the hybodont shark genus *Meristodonoides* (Chondrichthyes;
- 1098 Hybodontiformes) in the Cretaceous of Kansas. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science
- 1099 114, 33–46.
- 1100 Gamble, H.J., 1977. New records of rare Selachian teeth from the lower Cretaceous of Seine-
- 1101 Maritime. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 88, 45–46.
- 1102 Glikman, L.S., 1980. Evolution of Cretaceous and Cenozoic lamnoid sharks. Akademii Nauk SSSR,
- 1103 Moskova 247 pp. [In Russian].
- 1104 Glikman, L.S., 1964. Sharks of Paleogene and their stratigraphic significance. Nauka Press 229 p.
- 1105 Moscow [in Russian].
- 1106 Glikman, L.S., 1958. Rates of evolution in lamnoid sharks. Doklady Akademii Nauk Soyuza
- 1107 Sovetskikh Sotsialisticheskikh Respublik 123, 568–571 [in Russian].
- 1108 Glikman, L.S., 1957. On the relationships between the families Lamnidae and Odontaspidae and on
- new lamnid genera from the Late Cretaceous [in Russian]. Trudy Geologischeskogo Muzeja "A. P.
- 1110 Karpinskogo", Akademia Nauk SSSR 1, 110–117.
- 1111 Glikman, L.S., Averianov, A.O., 1998. Evolution of the Cretaceous lamnoid sharks of the genus
- 1112 *Eostriatolamia*.Translated from Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal, No. 4, 1998, pp. 54-62.
- 1113 Paleontological Journal 32, 376–384.
- 1114 Guinot, G., Cavin, L., 2016. 'Fish' (Actinopterygii and Elasmobranchii) diversification patterns
- 1115 through deep time. Biol Rev 91, 950–981. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12203
- 1116 Guinot, G., Underwood, C.J., Cappetta, H., Ward, D.J., 2013. Sharks (Elasmobranchii: Euselachii)
- 1117 from the Late Cretaceous of France and the UK. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 11, 598–671.
- 1118 https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2013.767286

- 1119 Guinot, G., Underwood, C.J., Cappetta, H., Ward, D.J., 2012. Squatiniformes (Chondrichthyes,
- 1120 Neoselachii) from the Late Cretaceous of southern England and northern France with redescription
- 1121 of the holotype of *Squatina cranei* Woodward, 1888. Palaeontology 55, 529–551.
- 1122 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4983.2012.01140.x
- 1123 Herman, J., 1979. Réflexions sur la systématique des Galeoidei et sur les affinités du genre
- 1124 *Cetorhinus* à l'occasion de la découverte d'éléments de la denture d'un exemplaire fossile dans les
- 1125 sables du Kattendijk à Kallo (Pliocène inférieur, Belgique). Annales de la Société Géologique de
- 1126 Belgique 102, 357–377.
- 1127 Herman, J., 1977. Les sélaciens des terrains néocrétacés et paléocènes de Belgique et des contrées
- 1128 limitrophes. Eléments d'une biostratigraphie intercontinentale. Mémoires pour servir à l'Explication
- 1129 des Cartes Géologiques et Minières 15, 1–450.
- 1130 ICZN. 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Fourth edition. London, U.K.
- 1131 [available online at http://www.iczn.org/iczn/index.jsp, accessed July, 6th, 2020]: International
- 1132 Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.
- 1133 Ivanov, A., 2005. Early Permian chondrichthyans of the Middle and South Urals. Rev. Bras.
- 1134 Paleontol. 8, 127–138.
- 1135 Kennedy, W.J., King, C., Ward, D.J., 2008. The upper Albian and lower Cenomanian succession at
- 1136 Kolbay, eastern Mangyshlak (southwest Kazakhstan), in: Steubraut, E., Jagt, J.W.M., Jagt-
- 1137 Yazykova, E.A. (Eds.), Annie V. Dhondt Memorial Volume. Brussels, pp. 117–147.
- 1138 Kiprijanoff, V., 1853. Fisch-Ueberreste im kurskschen eisenhaltigen Sandsteine. Bulletin de la
- 1139 Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou 26, 330–336.
- 1140 Krochak, M., Ogienko, O., Tymchenko, Yu., 2016. Composition, structure and genesis of Burim
- 1141 suite (Upper Albian Lower Cenomanian) of the region of Kaniv dislocations. Visnyk of Taras
- 1142 Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Geology 75, 6–12.

- 1143 Landemaine, O., 1991. Sélaciens nouveaux du Crétacé supérieur du sud-ouest de la France.
- 1144 Quelques apports à la systématique des élasmobranches. Société Amicale des Géologues Amateurs
- 1145 1, 1–45.
- 1146 Leuzinger, L., Kocsis, L., Billon-Bruyat, J.-P., Spezzaferri, S., Vennemann, T., 2015. Stable isotope
- 1147 study of a new chondrichthyan fauna (Kimmeridgian, Porrentruy, Swiss Jura): an unusual
- 1148 freshwater-influenced isotopic composition for the hybodont shark Asteracanthus. Biogeosciences
- 1149 Discuss. 12, 12899–12921. https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-12-12899-2015
- 1150 Mackie, S.J., 1863. On a New Species of Hybodus from the Lower Chalk. The Geologist 6, 241–
- 1151 246. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1359465600001209
- 1152 Maisey, J.G., 1985. Cranial morphology of the fossil elasmobranch *Synechodus dubrisiensis*.
- 1153 American Museum Novitates 2804, 1–28.
- 1154 Maisey, J.G., Naylor, G.J.P., Ward, D.J., 2004. Mesozoic elasmobranches, neoselachian phylogeny
- and the rise of modern elasmobranch diversity, in: Arratia, G., Tintori, A. (Eds.), Mesozoic Fishes 3
- 1156 Systematics, Palaeoenvironments and Biodiversity. Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, Munich, pp. 17–56.
- 1157 Marcinowski, R., Radwanski, A., 1983. The Mid-Cretaceous transgression onto the Central Polish
- 1158 Uplands (marginal part of the Central European Basin). Zitteliana, 10, 65–95.
- 1159 Menasova, A., Tymchenko, Yu., 2018. Paleogeographical aspects of Burimska suite formation in
- 1160 the Kaniv region. Visnyk of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Geology 82, 16–22.
- 1161 Mertiniene, R., 1975. Elasmobranch teeth from Albian deposits near Kaniv [in Russian], in: Fossil
- 1162 fauna and flora from Ukraine. Kiev, pp. 50–51.
- 1163 Mertiniene, R., Nessov, L.A., Nazarkin, M.V., 1994. Cretaceous sharks of the genus Paraisurus in
- 1164 Uzbekistan and Lithuania. Geologija 17, 139–143.

- 1165 Mollen, F.H., Hovestadt, D.C., 2018. A new partial skeleton of a palaeospinacid shark (Neoselachii,
- 1166 Synechodontiformes) from the Albian of northern France, with a review of the taxonomic history of
- 1167 Early Cretaceous species of *Synechodus* Woodward, 1888. Geodiversitas 40, 557–574.
- 1168 Müller, A., Diedrich, C., 1991. Selachier (Pisces, Chondrichthyes) aus dem Cenomanium von
- 1169 Ascheloh am Teutoburger Wald (Nordrhein-Westfalen, NW-Deutschland). Geologie und
- 1170 Paläontologie in Westfalen 20, 3–105.
- 1171 Müller, J.K., Henle, F.G.J., 1837. Gattungen der Haifische und Rochen nach einer von ihm mit Hrn.
- 1172 Henle unternommenen gemeinschaftlichen Arbeit über die Naturgeschichte der Knorpelfische.
- 1173 Bericht Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1837, 111–118.
- 1174 Owen, R., 1869. Notes on two ichthyodorulites hiterto undescribed. Geological Magazine, decade 1
 1175 6, 481–483.
- 1176 Pictet, F.-J., Campiche, G., 1858. Description des fossiles du terrain crétacé des environs de Sainte-
- 1177 Croix, 2eme partie. Matériaux pour la Paléontologie Suisse 2, 29, 74–98.
- 1178 Popova, L., Ogienko, O., Sokolskyi, T., 2015. Fossil Elasmobranchs and stratigraphy of Cretaceous
- 1179 deposits, Kaniv. Visnyk of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Geology 68, 10–14.
- 1180 Priem, F., 1911. Etude des poissons fossiles du Bassin Parisien (supplément). Annales de
- 1181 Paléontologie 6, 1–44.
- 1182 Rees, J., 2005. Neoselachian shark and ray teeth from the Valanginian, Lower Cretaceous, of
- 1183 Wawal, central Poland. Palaeontology 48, 209–221.
- 1184 Reif, W.-E., 1976. Morphogenesis, pattern formation and function of the dentition of *Heterodontus*1185 (Selachii). Zoomorphologie 83, 1–47.
- 1186 Reuss, A.E., 1846. Die Versteinerungen der Böhmischen Kreideformation. Abtheilung 2: 148 pp.
- 1187 Stuttgart (Schweizerbart).

- 1188 Reuss, A.E., 1845. Die Versteinerungen der Böhmischen Kreideformation. Abtheilung 1: 58 pp.
- 1189 Stuttgart (Schweizerbart).
- 1190 Rogovich, A.S., 1861. On fossil fishes of provinces of the Kiev Academic District. First issue.
- 1191 Placoid fishes. Placoidei Ag. and Ganoid fishes. Ganoidei Ag. [in Russian], Natural History of the
- 1192 Provinces of the Kiev Academic District. Paleontology. Systematic part. Kiev.
- 1193 Siverson, M., 1999. A new large lamniform shark from the uppermost Gearle Siltstone
- 1194 (Cenomanian, Late cretaceous) of Western Australia. Transactions of the Royal Society of
- 1195 Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 90, 49–66.
- 1196 Siverson, M., 1997. Sharks from the Mid-Cretaceous Gearle siltstone, Southern Carnavaron Basin,
- 1197 Western Australia. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 17, 453–465.
- 1198 Siverson, M., 1996. Lamniform sharks of the mid Cretaceous Alinga Formation and Beedagong
- 1199 claystone, Western Australia. Palaeontology 39, 813–849.
- 1200 Siverson, M., 1995. Revision of Cretorectolobus (Neoselachii) and description of Cederstroemia n.
- gen., a Cretaceous carpet shark (Orectolobiformes) with a cutting dentition. J. Paleontol. 69, 974–979.
- 1203 Siverson, M., 1992. Biology, dental morphology and taxinomy of lamniform sharks from the
- 1204 Campanian of the Kristianstad Basin, Sweden. Palaeontology 35, 519–554.
- 1205 Siverson, M., Lindgren, J., 2005. Late Cretaceous sharks Cretoxyrhina and Cardabiodon from
- 1206 Montana, USA. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 50, 301–314.
- 1207 Siverson, M., Lindgren, J., Kelley, L.S., 2007. Anacoracid sharks from the Albian (Lower
- 1208 Cretaceous) Pawpaw shale of Texas. Palaeontology 50, 939–950.
- 1209 Siverson, M., Ward, D.J., Lindgren, J., Kelley, L.S., 2013. Mid-Cretaceous Cretoxyrhina
- 1210 (Elasmobranchii) from Mangyshlak, Kazakhstan and Texas, USA. Alcheringa: An Australasian
- 1211 Journal of Palaeontology 37, 87–104. https://doi.org/10.1080/03115518.2012.709440

- 1212 Siversson, M., Cook, T.D., Ryan, H.E., Watkins, D.K., Tatarnic, N.J., Downes, P.J., Newbrey,
- 1213 M.G., 2019. Anacoracid sharks and calcareous nannofossil stratigraphy of the mid-Cretaceous
- 1214 'upper' Gearle Siltstone and Haycock Marl in the lower Murchison River area, Western Australia.
- 1215 Alcheringa: An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology 43, 85–113.
- 1216 https://doi.org/10.1080/03115518.2018.1462401
- 1217 Siversson, M., Lindgren, J., Newbrey, M.G., Cederström, P., Cook, T.D., 2015. Cenomanian-
- 1218 Campanian (Late Cretaceous) mid-palaeolatitude sharks of Cretalamna appendiculata type. Acta
- 1219 Palaeontologica Polonica 60, 339–384. https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0137
- 1220 Siversson, M., Machalski, M., 2017. Late late Albian (Early Cretaceous) shark teeth from Annopol,
- 1221 Poland. Alcheringa: An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology 41, 433–463.
- 1222 https://doi.org/10.1080/03115518.2017.1282981
- 1223 Sweetman, S.C., Goedert, J., Martill, D.M., 2014. A preliminary account of the fishes of the Lower
- 1224 Cretaceous Wessex Formation (Wealden Group, Barremian) of the Isle of Wight, southern England.
- 1225 Biol J Linn Soc Lond 113, 872–896. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12369
- 1226 Sweetman, S.C., Underwood, C.J., 2006. A Neoselachian shark from the non-marine Wessex
- 1227 Formation (Wealden Group: Early Cretaceous, Barremian) of the Isle of Wight, southern England.
- 1228 Palaeontology 49, 457–465.
- 1229 Trautschold, H., 1877. Über Kreidefossilien Russlands. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des
- 1230 Naturalistes de Moscou 11, 332–349.
- 1231 Underwood, C.J., Cumbaa, S.L., 2010. Chondrichthyans from a Cenomanian (Late Cretaceous)
- 1232 bonebed, Saskatchewan, Canada. Palaeontology 53, 903–944.
- 1233 Underwood, C.J., Mitchell, S.F., 1999. Albian and Cenomanian (Cretaceous) selachian assemblages
- 1234 from north east England. Special Papers in Palaeontology 60, 9–59.

- 1235 Vullo, R., Cappetta, H., Neraudeau, D., 2007. New sharks and rays from the Cenomanian and
- 1236 Turonian of Charentes, France. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 52, 99–116.
- 1237 Vullo, R., Guinot, G., Barbe, G., 2016. The first articulated specimen of the Cretaceous mackerel
- 1238 shark Haimirichia amonensis gen. nov. (Haimirichiidae fam. nov.) reveals a novel
- 1239 ecomorphological adaptation within the Lamniformes (Elasmobranchii). Journal of Systematic
- 1240 Palaeontology 14, 1003–1024. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2015.1137983
- 1241 Ward, D.J., 2010. Sharks and Rays, in: Young, J.R., Gale, A.S., Knight, R.I., Smith, A.B. (Eds.),
- 1242 Fossils of the Gault Clay. Palaeontological Association Field Guide to Fossils 12. The
- 1243 Palaeontological Association, London, pp. 275–299.
- 1244 Welton, B.J., Farish, R.F., 1993. The Collector's Guide to Fossil Sharks and Rays from the
- 1245 Cretaceous of Texas. Before Time, Lewisville, Texas, 204 p.
- 1246 Woodward, A.S., 1912. The fishes of the English Chalk. VII. Monographs of the Palaeontological
- 1247 Society of London 65, 225–264.
- 1248 Woodward, A.S., 1911. The fishes of the English Chalk. VI. Monographs of the Palaeontological
- 1249 Society of London 64, 185–224.
- 1250 Woodward, A.S., 1898. Notes on some type specimens of Cretaceous fishes from Mount Lebanon
- 1251 in the Geneva Museum. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 2, 485–489.
- 1252 https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939808678525
- 1253 Woodward, A.S., 1889. Catalogue of the fossil fishes in the British Museum. Part I. British
- 1254 Museum (Natural History) 474.
- 1255 Woodward, A.S., 1888. A synopsis of the vertebrate fossils of the English Chalk. Proceedings of
- 1256 the Geologists' Association 10, 273–338.

- 1257 Woodward, A.S., 1886. On the relationships of the mandibular and hyoid arches in a Cretaceous
- 1258 shark (Hybodus dubrisiensis, Mackie). Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1886,
- 1259 218–224.
- 1260 Zhelezko, V.I., 2000. The evolution of the dental system of the sharks of the genus *Pseudoisurus*
- 1261 Glikman, 1957 the largest Cretaceous pelagic sharks of Eurasia. Materialy po stratigrafii i
- 1262 paleontologii Urala 4, 136–141.
- 1263 Zvonok, E., 2011. New data on localities and taxonomic diversity of Eocene crocodiles and turtles
 1264 of Ukraine. Paleontological collection, (43), 107-120.
- 1265

1266 **Figure Captions**

- 1267 Figure 1. Stratigraphic and geographical localisations of sampled horizons. A, Upper Albian
- 1268 succession of Upper Burim formation deposits, A-F mark layers of coarse-grained quartz-glauconite
- 1269 sands, all of which except layer D contain vertebrate fossils. **B**, map of Kaniv natural reserve with
- 1270 the three sampled ravines marked by a red star and original Rogovich's location of Maryin ravine
- 1271 marked by a green star; map of Ukraine showing the location of Kaniv is in top right corner. C,
- 1272 field photo of vertebrate-bearing horizon of layer F in Pekarskyi ravine. [Full page width]
- 1273 Figure 2. A-D: *Hybodus bidentatus* comb. nov. A-B, Rogovich's type specimen (NNPM 391-14)
- 1274 in A, labial and B, lingual views. C-D, incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-1) in C, lingual and D, labial
- 1275 views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. E-F: Hybodontoidea indet., incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-2) in
- 1276 E, lingual and F, labial views, layer F, Pekarskyi. G-L: Synechodus kessleri comb. nov. G-H,
- 1277 **Rogovich's type specimen and lectotype**, (NNPM 391-10) in G, labial and H, lingual views. I-J,
- 1278 anterolateral tooth (NNPM 2588-3) in I, lingual and J, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. K-L,
- 1279 lateroposterior tooth (NNPM 2588-4) in K, labial and L, lingual views, layer C, Melanchyn potik.
- 1280 M-R: Synechodus dispar. M-N, anterolateral tooth (NNPM 2588-5) in M, labial and L, lingual
- 1281 views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. O-P, anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-6) in O, lingual and P, labial
- 1282 views, layer B, Melanchyn potik. Q-R, anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-7) in Q, labial and R, lingual

- 1283 views, layer B, Melanchyn potik. S-T: Paraorthacodus recurvus, tooth (NNPM 2588-8) in S,
- 1284 labial and T, lingual views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. U-V: Protosqualus cf. glickmani,
- 1285 incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-9) in U, labial and V, lingual views, layer A, Kholodnyi. W-X:
- 1286 Squatina (Cretaccyllium) cranei, tooth (NNPM 2588-10) in W, labial and X, lingual views, layer
- 1287 B, Melanchyn potik. Scale bar equals 5 mm. [Full page width]
- 1288 Figure 3. A: Chiloscyllium sp., tooth (NNPM 2588-11) in labial view, layer F, Pekarskyi. B-C:
- 1289 Cederstroemia cf. siverssoni, lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-12) in B, lingual and C, labial views, layer
- 1290 F, Pekarskyi. D-E: 'Heterodontus' upnikensis, anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-15) in D, lingual and E,
- 1291 labial views, layer A, Kholodnyi. F-N: Heterodontus aff. canaliculatus. F-G: Rogovich's
- 1292 specimen, an anterior tooth of a juvenile individual (NNPM 391-1) in F, labial and G, lingual
- 1293 views; H-J, adult anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-13) in H, basal, I, lingual and J, profile views, layer
- 1294 C, Melanchyn potik; K, lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-14) in occlusal view, layer C, Melanchyn potik;
- 1295 L-N, lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-16) in L, occlusal, M, basal and N, lingual views, layer C,
- 1296 Melanchyn potik. O-P: Squalicorax sp., incomplete tooth (NNPM 2588-17) in O, lingual and P,
- 1297 labial views, layer F, Pekarskyi. Q-V: Archaeolamna striata comb. nov. Q-R: Rogovich's type
- 1298 specimen and lectotype, an upper posterior tooth (NNPM 391-2) in Q, labial and R, lingual views;
- 1299 S-T, upper lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-18) in S, lingual and T, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn
- 1300 potik; U-V, upper lateroposterior tooth (NNPM 2588-19) in U, labial and V, lingual views, layer C,
- 1301 Melanchyn potik. Scale bar equals 5 mm. [Full page width]
- 1302 Fig. 4. A-L: Archaeolamna striata comb. nov. A-B, upper anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-20) in A,
- lingual and B, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; C-D, upper anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-21)
- 1304 in C, lingual and D, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; E-F, upper lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-
- 1305 22) in E, labial and F, lingual views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; G-H, upper anterior tooth (NNPM
- 1306 2588-23) in G, lingual and H, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; I-J, upper lateroposterior
- 1307 tooth (NNPM 2588-24) in I, lingual and J, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; K-L, upper
- 1308 lateroposterior tooth (NNPM 2588-25) in K, lingual and L, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik.

1309 M-T: Archaeolamna ex. gr. kopingensis. M-N: lower lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-26) in M, lingual

1310 and N, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; O-P, lower lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-27) in O,

1311 lingual and P, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; Q-R, upper antero-lateral tooth (NNPM

1312 2588-28) in Q, lingual and R, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; S-T, upper lateral tooth

1313 (NNPM 2588-29) in S, lingual and T, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. U-V: Cretolamna

1314 sp., lower anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-30) in U, lingual and V, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn

1315 potik. W-X: Cretoxyrhina vraconensis, upper anterolateral tooth (NNPM 2588-31) in W, lingual

1316 and X, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. Scale bar equals 5 mm. [Full page width]

1317 Figure 5. *Cretoxyrhina vraconensis*. A-B, upper lateral tooth from a juvenile individual (NNPM

1318 2588-32) in A, lingual and B, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; C-D, upper anterior tooth

1319 (NNPM 2588-33) in C, lingual and D, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; E-F, lower lateral

1320 tooth (NNPM 2588-34) in E, lingual and F, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; G-H, upper

1321 lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-35) in G, labial and H, lingual views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; I-J,

1322 upper lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-36) in I, lingual and J, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; K-

1323 L, parasymphyseal tooth (NNPM 2588-37) in K, lingual and L, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn

1324 potik; M-N, one of Rogovich's specimens, a lower lateral tooth (NNPM 391-37) in M, lingual and

1325 N, labial views. Scale bar equals 1 cm. [Full page width]

1326 Figure 6. A-F. Paraisurus macrorhizus. A-C, ?lateral tooth, Rogovich's specimen (NNPM 391-

1327 41) in A, lingual, B, labial and C, lateral views; D-F, ?lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-38) in D, lingual,

1328 E, labial and F, profile views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. G-Q: Protolamna cf. sokolovi. G-H, upper

1329 lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-39) in G, labial and H, lingual views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; I-K,

1330 lower anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-40) in I, lingual, J, labial and K, lateral views, layer E, Pekarskyi;

1331 L-M, ?upper lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-41) in L, lingual and M, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn

1332 potik; N-O, lower anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-42) in N, lingual and O, labial views, layer C,

1333 Melanchyn potik; P-Q, lower ?anterior tooth from an immature individual (NNPM 2588-43) in P,

1334 lingual and Q, labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. R-Z: Pseudoscapanorhynchus

1335	compressidens. R-T, antero-lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-44) in R, lingual, S, labial and T, profile
1336	views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; U-V, antero-lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-45) in U, lingual, W,
1337	labial and V, profile views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; X-Z, anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-46) in X,
1338	lingual, Y, labial and Z, profile views, layer b, Melanchyn potik. A'-D': Eostriatolamia subulata.
1339	A'-B', lower anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-47) in A', lingual and B', labial views, layer A,
1340	Kholodnyi; C'-D', lower anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-48) in C', lingual and D', labial views, layer
1341	C, Melanchyn potik. E'-L': Eostriatolamia striatula. E'-F', ?upper anterior tooth (NNPM 2588-49)
1342	in E', lingual and F', labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; G'-H', upper lateral tooth (NNPM
1343	2588-50) in G', lingual and H', labial views, layer A, Melanchyn potik; I'-J', ?lower antero-lateral
1344	tooth (NNPM 2588-51) in I', lingual and J', labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik; K'-L', lower
1345	lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-52) in K', lingual and L', labial views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. M'-
1346	N': Lamniformes indet. 1: lower lateral tooth (NNPM 2588-53) in M', labial and N', lingual
1347	views, layer C, Melanchyn potik. O'-P': Lamniformes indet. 2: Rogovich's specimen, an upper
1348	lateral tooth (NNPM 391-3) in O', labial and P', lingual views. Scale bar equals 5 mm. [Full page
1349	width]
1350	
1351	
1352	
1353	
1354	
1355	
1356	
1357	

Table 1. Summary of the status of species and specimens from the Kaniv area originally reported by Rogovich (1861).

Rogovich's identification	Figures	Status	Revised identification	Specimen number
Hybodus bidentatus Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 13	Valid	Hybodus bidentatus Rog.	NNPM 391-14 (holotype)
Sphaenonchus compressus Rog.	Pl. 1, Figs 9-10	Pending	Asteracanthus compressus (Rog.)	not found
Hybodus kessleri Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 3-5	Valid	Synechodus kessleri (Rog.)	NNPM 391-10 (lectotype)
Hybodus marginatus Rog.	Pl. 3, Fig. 18	Nomen dubium	? anterior of Heterodontus sp.	not found
Hybodus marginatus Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 15-16? non Figs 14, 17-18	-	Squatina (C.) cranei Wood.	not found
Hybodus marginatus Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 14, 17 non Figs 15-16?-18	-	Cederstroemia cf. siverssoni Gui.	not found
Lamna (Odontaspis) minuta Rog.	Pl. 7, Fig. 22	Nomen dubium	Heterodontus aff. canaliculatus	NNPM 391-1
Otodus monstrosus Rog.	Pl. 5, Figs 12-12a	Junior synonym	Paraisurus macrorhizus (Pic. & Cam.)	NNPM 391-41
<i>Hybodus parvus</i> Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 1-2	Pending	Polyacrodus parvus (Rog.)	not found
Oxyrhina pygmaea Rog.	Pl. 8, Fig, 13	Nomen dubium	Indetermined lamniform	not found
<i>Otodus striatus</i> Rog.	Pl. 5, Figs 13 non 14	Valid	Archaeolamna striata (Rog.)	NNPM 391-2 (lectotype)
<i>Otodus striatus</i> Rog.	Pl. 5, Figs 14 non 13	-	Lamniformes indet. 2	NNPM 391-3
Hybodus subulatus Rog.	Pl. 3, Figs 6b-11	Valid	Synechodus subulatus (Rog.)	not found
Hybodus tuberculatus Rog.	Pl. 2, Figs 20-21a	Pending	Polyacrodus tuberculatus (Rog.)	not found
Lamna (Odontaspis) ornata Rog.	Pl. 7, Fig. 14	Nomen dubium	Protolamna sp.	not found
Acrodus rugosus Ag.	Pl. 2, Fig. 11		Heterodontus aff. canaliculatus	not found
Acrodus affinis Reu.	Pl. 2, Fig. 12		Indetermined hybodontiform	not found
<i>Hybodus dispar</i> Reu.	Pl. 3, Figs 12-12a		Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trau.)	not found
Carcharodon tenuis Ag.	Pl. 4, Fig. 23		Indetermined lamniform	not found
Carcharodon escheri Ag.	Pl. 4, Figs 21-22		Indetermined lamniform	not found
Otodus appendiculatus Ag.	Pl. 5, Figs 1-5 <i>non</i> Figs 6-11		Cretoxyrhina vraconensis Zhel.	NNPM 391-37
Otodus appendiculatus Ag.	Pl. 5, Fig. 7 <i>non</i> Figs 1-6, 8-11		Archaeolamna?	not found
Otodus appendiculatus Ag.	Pl. 5, Fig. 8-9 <i>non</i> figs 1-7, 10-11		Indetermined lamniform	not found
Otodus appendiculatus Ag.	Pl. 5, Figs 6, 10-11 <i>non</i> Figs 1-5, 7-9		Protolamna cf. sokolovi Capp	not found
Lamna (Odontaspis) subulata Ag.	Pl. 7, Figs 19-21 non Figs 17-18		Eostriatolamia subulata (Ag.)	not found
Lamna (Odontaspis) subulata Ag.	Pl. 7, Figs 17-18 non Figs 19-21		Protolamna sp.?	not found
Lamna (Odontaspis) gracilis Ag.	Pl. 7, Figs 15-16a		<i>Eostriatolamia striatula</i> (Dal.)	not found
Oxyrhina heteromorpha Reu.	Pl. 8, Fig. 12		Indetermined lamniform	not found

1361
Table 2.
Distribution
of elasmobrai
nch species w
ithin the seve
en sampled h
orizons of K
holodnyi

Pekarskyi and Melanchyn potik ravines. Sampled horizons are arranged in stratigraphic order.

1362

1363

Layer F

Layer

H

Layer

 \frown

Layer

Layer

M. potik M. potik M. potik Kholodnyi Pekarskyi M. potik Pekarskyi Hybodus bidentatus Rog. + Hybodontoidea indet. + Synechodus kessleri (Rog.) comb. nov. + Synechodus subulatus (Rog.) comb. nov. Paraorthacodus recurvus (Trau.) ++ Protosqualus cf. glickmani + Squatina (C.) cranei Wood. Chiloscyllium sp. + Cederstroemia cf. siverssoni + Heterodontus upnikensis (Dal.) Heterodontus aff. canaliculatus *Squalicorax* sp. Archaeolamna striata (Rog.) comb. nov.

+

+ .~

+

+

+

·~)

Archaeolamna ex. gr. kopingensis (Dav.)

Cretoxyrhina vraconensis (Zhel.)

Protolamna cf. sokolovi

Lamniformes indet. 1

Eostriatolamia subulata (Ag.) Eostriatolamia striatula (Dal.)

Paraisurus macrorhizus (Pic. & Cam.)

Pseudoscapanorhynchus compressidens (Her.)

Cretolamna sp.

























































































