

Bioaccumulation of Lithium Isotopes in Mussel Soft Tissues and Implications for Coastal Environments

Fanny Thibon, Marc Metian, François Oberhänsli, Maryline Montanes, Emilia Vassileva, Anna Maria Orani, Philippe Telouk, Peter Swarzenski, Nathalie

Vigier

▶ To cite this version:

Fanny Thibon, Marc Metian, François Oberhänsli, Maryline Montanes, Emilia Vassileva, et al.. Bioaccumulation of Lithium Isotopes in Mussel Soft Tissues and Implications for Coastal Environments. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 2021, 5 (6), pp.1407-1417. 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00045 . hal-03405883

HAL Id: hal-03405883 https://hal.science/hal-03405883v1

Submitted on 27 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bioaccumulation of lithium isotopes in mussel soft 1 tissues and implications for coastal environments 2

Fanny Thibon^{a*}, Marc Metian^b, François Oberhänsli^b, Maryline Montanes^a, Emilia Vassileva^b, 3 Anna Maria Orani^b, Philippe Telouk^c, Peter Swarzenski^b and Nathalie Vigier^a 4

5

6	^a Laboratoire d'Océanographie de	Villefranche-sur-Mer (LOV)	, CNRS UMR 7093,	Sorbonne
---	---	----------------------------	------------------	----------

université, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France 7

^b International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Environment Laboratories, 4a, Quai Antoine Ier, 8

9 MC-98000 Principality of Monaco, Monaco	co
---	----

^c Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5276, 10

Université de Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, France 11

14 Lithium production has dramatically increased over the past decade, and first cases of environmental Li pollution have been recently reported in urban and in mining regions. While 15 elevated Li concentrations may be toxic for living organisms, tools to monitor Li in the 16 17 environment have not yet been developed. Consequently, its impact on key biota and human 18 health is still poorly known. The present laboratory-based study shows that the soft tissue of blue 19 mussels (Mytilus edulis) can be used to quantify Li contamination in coastal waters. Stable Li 20 isotope ratios (⁷Li/⁶Li) measured in these soft tissues correlate positively with seawater Li 21 concentrations and show precisely the threshold above which mussels shift their depuration 22 mechanism. Combined with other data from the natural environment, the experimental results have profound implications for the fate of coastal ecosystems and shellfish consumption living 23 24 under high Li environmental level. We also highlight the need to develop innovative tools to 25 extract Li from wastewaters before its release into rivers and, ultimately, the ocean.

26 KEYWORDS

27 Bivalves, Lithium, Isotopic tools, Emerging contaminant, Fractionation, Concentration effects

29 Introduction

30

31 Lithium (Li) occurs naturally at trace levels in the environment. Consequently, it is also present 32 in living organisms, and some studies have even suggested that Li should be recognized as an essential element¹. However, as observed for other metals^{2,3}, Li is toxic and can eventually be 33 lethal beyond a specific threshold ^{4–6}. Elevated levels of Li in the aquatic environment can be due 34 to natural inputs, mainly from rock erosion⁷, but they can also be related to anthropogenic 35 36 activities. There is an increasing demand of Li from high-tech industries producing Li-rich 37 batteries for laptops, mobiles, and other electronic devices worldwide. The Li production has increased from 23 to 33 kt.yr⁻¹ during 2010–2017⁸⁻¹⁰, and the demand for Li is expected to 38 follow the greatest growth trajectory among all metals by 2025⁹. Li contamination has already 39 been observed in groundwater and river water with close proximity to mining sites¹¹, as well as 40 in municipal waters of a modern and densely populated metropolitan area¹². This is a worrying 41 problem as the various protocols of wastewater depuration appear to be inefficient¹² at removing 42 Li because of the high mobility of Li and its poor ability to adsorb onto particle surfaces¹³ (Li 43 was used as an aquifer water flux tracer^{14,15}). In addition, there is no major Li sink in estuaries 44 and, therefore, dissolved Li is conservatively delivered to littoral waters and to the ocean^{16,17}. 45 46 Overall, there is a growing concern on the fate of global Li increase in the environment, 47 especially the risk of this emerging aquatic pollution on organisms and humans that consume 48 them.

Li monitoring in the marine environment is not commonly performed as compared to other trace
metals¹⁸⁻²⁰. For instance, mussel-based biomonitoring programs²¹⁻²³ do not include Li in the

chemicals analyzed, even if mussels, along with other shellfish and bivalves, contain more Li than any other species from higher trophic levels²⁴. The paucity of information on the process of Li accumulation in marine coastal organisms needs to be addressed to better understand the response, the fate, and the risk of Li contamination in the marine environment, as well as for potential human health implications.

56 To better comprehend the biological mechanisms at play, it is possible to measure precisely the natural variations of Li stable isotope ratios (⁶Li and ⁷Li, abundances of 7.59% and 92.41%, 57 58 respectively). Stable isotopes of trace metals are valuable tools to investigate subtle physiological changes in organisms 2^{5-28} . However, Li isotopes in marine organisms were, so far, 59 not used due to technical limitations related to low Li levels in tissues²⁹. Yet, being able to 60 61 measure and track Li isotopes in this manner (1) will help understand how they are affected by 62 homeostatic processes and elevated Li levels in the environment, and (2) will also benefit paleo-63 oceanographic studies wherein Li has proven utility. Indeed, Li isotopes are now widely used to 64 reconstruct past ocean and climate by analyzing fossil carbonates formed by calcifying organisms³⁰⁻³⁴. However, the role and impact of biological processes ('vital effects') on these 65 biogenic minerals still remain an open question 35-38, which leads to a high level of uncertainties 66 67 concerning Earth's climate regulation after a disturbance, such as from a meteorite impact or a mass extinction 39 . 68

Here, we exposed blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) to various degrees of environmental Li enrichment during laboratory experiments. We report Li concentrations and Li isotopes compositions of mussel soft tissues. We aim to define the impact of elevated Li levels in mussel metabolism and, by comparing our results with data collected in the natural environment, to evaluate the mussel ability to biomonitor Li contamination. We also highlight the Li environmental concentration limit that defines risk for bivalves and assess implications of
elevated Li concentrations for coastal organisms and population.

76

77 Materials and Methods

78

79 Experimental setting and sample preparation

80 A summary of the experimental setting is sketched in Fig. S1. Forty individual common blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) of similar size (43.01 \pm 2.18 mm) and wet weight (7.137 \pm 1.014 g) 81 82 were collected in summer 2019 (outside spawning period) in situ from La Rochelle, Bay of 83 Biscay, France. The experiment was performed at the Environment Laboratories of the 84 International Atomic Energy Agency (Monaco), based on well-established protocols. Mussels 85 were acclimated at the laboratory environment for one month, at 20.5°C. Batches of five mussels 86 were placed in eight 5-L glass beakers filled with 2 L of filtered (1 um) seawater pumped from 87 the 30m water depth in Mediterranean Sea (Monaco Bay). Seawater salinity, temperature, and pH_T were 39 ± 1 , 20.5 ± 0.5 °C, and 8.03 ± 0.05 , respectively. Water oxygenation was ensured 88 89 by constant air bubbling, and a 12 h-12 h light-dark cycle was established.

Two duplicates (A and B) of four experimental conditions were implemented with increasing seawater Li concentrations: CTRL (control group, ~0.18 mg Li L⁻¹), Li-0.5 (~0.5 mg Li L⁻¹), Li- $(\sim 1.0 \text{ mg Li } \text{L}^{-1})$, and Li-1.5 (~1.5 mg Li L⁻¹). To obtain the desired Li concentrations, dedicated volumes of a concentrated Li reference solution (1000 mg Li L⁻¹, ICP Standard) were evaporated and added as solid salt to the filtered seawater.

95 The mussels were kept in their experimental aquaria during 4 days, and the culture media 96 (seawater with different Li concentrations) were renewed every day at the same time $(13:40 \pm 40)$

97 min). Due to the paucity of data on Li bioaccumulation process, we decided (1) to investigate the 98 short time process in order to better understand the short term isotope fractionation and uptake 99 processes assuming that Li should act like other alkali elements (i.e., Cs, which quickly reaches a saturation state when accumulated in marine bivalves⁴⁰⁻⁴²) and (2) to use a relatively high 100 101 exposure concentration based on data available in freshwater studies^{4,43}. Seawater samples were 102 collected before and after each water change to confirm that each aquarium operated as an open 103 system. Between water changes, the mussels were fed for 1 h using non-contaminated seawater containing phytoplankton *Isochrysis galbana* at a concentration of 10^4 cells mL⁻¹. One mussel 104 105 died after 2 days of the experiment in the duplicate A of the CTRL condition. At the end of the 106 experiment, all individuals were collected and rinsed for 30 min in clean, filtered seawater following the classical protocol for eliminating weakly adsorbed elements from tissue samples⁴⁴. 107 108 The soft tissues were then extracted, frozen at -28 °C for 72 h, and freeze-dried for further 109 analyses.

110

111 Analytical methods

112 To minimize the possibility of any contamination and procedural blanks, all analytical 113 preparations were performed in a positively pressurized clean laboratory under a fume-hood 114 using only distilled, trace-metal grade reagents and pre-cleaned vessels. Each mussel's soft tissue 115 was weighed in a Teflon reactor, then digested in a mixture of 5 mL HNO₃ and 2 mL H_2O_2 (both trace metal grade, Fisher Scientific, USA) at 90 °C for ~5 h. The obtained solutions were 116 117 transferred into pre-cleaned polyethylene tubes and gravimetrically diluted up to 20 g with 118 MilliQ water (18 MQ). Two procedural blanks and two replicates of the Certified Reference 119 Material (CRM) IAEA 407 (Fish Homogenate, IAEA, Vienna) were included in the digestion

batch and analyzed with the samples. The recoveries obtained for the CRM were 95 ± 3 %. For each sample, two solution aliquots were separated for elemental and isotopic analyses.

122 Lithium concentrations were determined at the IAEA in Monaco by flame atomic absorption 123 spectroscopy using a ContrAA 700 (Analytic Jena, Germany). The applied analytical procedure 124 was based on external calibration approach and was preliminary validated, according to the requirements of international guidelines for method validation⁴⁵. Calibration curves were daily 125 126 prepared using proper dilution of commercially available standard solutions. All possible sources of uncertainty of obtained results were carefully identified. The numerical method of 127 differentiation described by Kragten⁴⁶ was used to calculate combined standard uncertainties of 128 129 obtained measurement results.

Prior to Li isotopic analyses, the Li in the samples must be purified. Lithium extraction and purification were performed following the procedure of Vigier *et al.* ⁴⁷, summarized here. Sample solution aliquots were dried out and taken up in 0.5 mL of 1 N HCl and loaded on AG 50-X12 cationic resin in 8.5-cm-high Teflon columns. Lithium was purified twice with 1 N HCl. This fraction was evaporated to dryness before the analysis.

Lithium has two naturally occurring isotopes, one heavy (⁷Li, 92.41% abundance) and one light (⁶Li, 7.59% abundance). The isotopic compositions of geological and biological materials are reported as per-mil variations relative to an isotopic standard (here LSVEC) as $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{sample}}$ [‰] = ((⁷Li/⁶Li)_{sample} / (⁷Li/⁶Li)_{LSVEC} - 1) × 1,000.

Lithium isotopic analyses were performed at the CNRS-INSU National Service based at the École Normale Supérieure de Lyon (France) using a Thermo-Fisher Neptune *Plus* multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer following the procedure of Balter and Vigier²⁹ and Bastian *et al.*⁴⁸ developed for low Li concentrations in biological materials. In brief,

143 samples and standards were analyzed under dry plasma conditions in low-resolution mode. 144 Instrumental mass bias correction was performed externally using the standard bracketing 145 method (using the LSVEC reference material). Analytical blanks, as well as total procedural 146 blanks, were found to be negligible. The internal reproducibility was on average $\pm 0.04\%$. 147 Precision (external reproducibility), estimated from two to three replicate measurements of 14 148 different samples (mussels and water), ranged from 0.04 to 1.91‰ with an average of ± 0.5 ‰. In 149 general, the accuracy of reported isotopic compositions are estimated based on analyses of 150 reference materials of known isotopic composition. However, the Li isotopic compositions of 151 biological reference materials corresponding to soft tissue have never been characterized. Thus, 152 this study reports for the first time the Li isotopic composition of a soft-tissue biological reference material IAEA 407 (δ^7 Li = 28.3 ± 1.7‰, 2SD, n = 2). For comparison, the 153 154 reproducibility of δ^7 Li obtained for the Li7-N non-biological reference material was 0.4‰ (2SD, n = 22)⁴⁸. Note that the IAEA 407 contain 0.68 ± 0.1 µg Li g⁻¹ of dry powder, while Li7-N is an 155 isotopically homogeneous solution, analyzed at a Li concentration of 4 ng mL⁻¹. 156

157

158 Statistical treatment

Since the Li data were not normally distributed (i.e., Li concentration and Li isotope ratios do not follow a normal law), we adopted non-parametric statistical tests. The Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was used to compare median values between two observational series, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare three or more series. Tests were performed using R software⁴⁹ using the respective functions *wilcox.test* and *kruskal.test*. The level of significance for statistical analyses was set at p < 0.05. 165 The relationship between quantitative variables was investigated using principal component 166 analysis (PCA, see section 4.3), a statistical tool that reduces the number of original quantitative 167 variables to fewer dimensions that may explain the majority of the observed variability. Here, 168 PCA was performed using R software ⁴⁹ considering the following variables: Al, B, Ca, Fe, K, 169 Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Si, and Sr concentrations in mussels, the Li concentration in seawater (Li_{sw}), 170 and Δ^7 Li_{mussel-water}.

- 171
- 172 **Results**
- 173

In the control group 'CTRL', the aquarium seawater Li concentration was 0.18 µg mL^{-1} , which 174 corresponds to the mean global ocean Li concentration⁵⁰. Li concentrations in seawater were set 175 at 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 µg mL⁻¹ in the 'Li-0.5', 'Li-1.0', and 'Li-1.5' treatments, respectively. The Li 176 177 water concentration error is estimated at 10% (2SD). After the experiment, Li concentrations 178 measured in mussels soft tissues were 0.45 ± 0.18 for the CTRL group (2SD, n = 9), 1.20 ± 0.53 $(2SD, n = 10), 1.54 \pm 0.96 (2SD, n = 10), and 2.19 \pm 1.65 \ \mu g \ g^{-1} (2SD, n = 10), for the 'Li-0.5',$ 179 180 'Li-1.0', and 'Li-1.5' treatments, respectively (Fig. 1A, Table S2). This corresponds to a fivefold 181 increase of the average mussel Li concentration between CTRL and Li-1.5, in response to an 182 eightfold increase of the seawater Li concentration.

Due to the different isotopic compositions in seawater ($\delta^7 \text{Li} = 31.2 \pm 0.3\%$ in the open ocean^{50,51}) and the pure Li solution ($\delta^7 \text{Li} = 10.8\%$) used to prepare the three exposure conditions, the mussels in each group were exposed to seawater with different Li isotopic compositions ($\delta^7 \text{Li}_{sw}$, which remained constant over the course of the experiment; Table S1): $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{sw}^{\text{CTRL}} = 31.5$ $\pm 0.7\%$ (2SD, n = 5), $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{sw}^{\text{Li}-0.5} = 18\%$ (calculated), $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{sw}^{\text{Li}-1.0} = 14.6 \pm 0.01\%$ (2SD, n = 2), and $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{sw}^{\text{Li-1.5}} = 13.4 \pm 0.1\%$ (2SD, n = 5). These compositions fit along a binary mixing curve (cf. following sections), which demonstrates that, despite a significant Li incorporation by mussels, it remains negligible when compared to the total water Li content of each aquarium. As a consequence, it does not modify neither the water Li content, nor its δ^7 Li value.

192 The average δ^7 Li of mussel soft tissues in groups Li-1.0 and Li-1.5 were 21.0 ± 2.6‰ and 21.6 ± 2.7‰, respectively (Table S2, Fig. 1B). The one in group Li-0.5, significantly higher than the latest (24.4 ± 3.4‰, Wilcoxon test, *p* < 0.001) was significantly lower than that in the control

195 group $(31.7 \pm 2.0\%)$, Wilcoxon test, p < 0.0001).

196

197 Discussion

198

199 Li bioaccumulation by mussels

200 At the end of the experiment, the Li concentrations measured in mussels of the control group $(0.45 \pm 0.18 \ \mu g \ g^{-1})$ were consistent with that observed in bivalve tissues and organs collected 201 along the French Atlantic coast $(0.43 \pm 0.25 \ \mu g \ g^{-1})^{52}$. This result supports the representative 202 203 nature of our samples, the reliability of our methods, as well as the lack of bias related to the 204 experimental setup. In both cases (in experiments and in nature), mussel Li concentrations are significantly higher than in seawater (0.18 μ g mL⁻¹), by a factor of 2.5 on average. This agrees 205 well with a recent study²⁴ that shows that bivalves, and filter feeders in general, accumulate Li 206 207 the most compared to other organisms from higher trophic groups, such as crustaceans, 208 cephalopods, and fish.

The average Li concentrations displayed by mussels exposed to Li-rich seawater are statistically higher than those of the control group, and increase with seawater Li concentrations, up $2.19 \pm$

1.65 μ g g⁻¹ when the water Li content is 1.5 μ g mL⁻¹ (Figs. 1A and 2A). This demonstrates that, 211 212 on average, mussels accumulate Li proportionally to the concentrations found in their 213 environment, which is a major criterion to validate a biota as a reliable bioindicator of contamination⁵³. These results are consistent with a recent laboratory study that explored key 214 215 biochemical parameters changed by long-term (28 days) Li exposure on mussels. At 0.25 and 0.75 mg Li L^{-1} in aquarium water, the mussel Li concentrations were found to be $0.9 \pm 0.23 \ \mu g$ 216 g^{-1} and $1.4 \pm 0.23 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$, respectively. These data perfectly fit with our short-term exposure 217 218 results (Figure 2A), supporting that a bioaccumulation steady-state was reached within the 4-day 219 exposure. The ability of bivalves to bioaccumulate Li can also be evidenced along the coast of 220 North Chile (Table 1). Indeed, this coastal area is characterized by an unusually elevated Li 221 concentration from river waters draining the high altitude Salars (salt flats). When compared to 222 bivalves collected in low Li environments, such as the Atlantic or the Pacific coast, the Chilean 223 bivalves display much higher Li concentrations (by 50 to 270 times higher, see Table 1). Taken 224 altogether (Fig. 2A), all these data are consistent and demonstrate that mussels take up Li in 225 direct proportion to the ambient dissolved concentrations, at least over the range of 226 concentrations tested here.

There is a significant variability among individuals, and a striking observation is that this variability of individual Li concentrations increases with seawater Li concentration (from 44% in the control group to 75% in Li-1.5; Fig. 1A). This suggests that some mussels, when exposed to elevated Li concentrations, can better regulate Li uptake than others. This kind of intravariability among mussels has already been reported for other trace elements such as Zn, Cd, and Cu^{18} . Thus, a reliable assessment of environmental Li concentrations requires that several mussels are pooled together to capture the range of individual responses, as this is done for other contaminants measured in bivalves^{21–23}. Based on the range of seawater Li concentration used in this study, we estimate that accurate Li concentration can be obtained with the analyses of at least five individuals, and likely more when water Li concentration exceeds 1.5 μ g mL⁻¹, such as in Northern Chili (since the variability increases with the Li level, Fig. 2). Existing biomonitoring programs usually collect and pool between 80 and 160 individuals per site⁵⁴, so the use of mussels to monitor Li levels along with other contaminants in coastal areas appear largely feasible.

241

242 **Preferential** ⁷Li enrichment in mussels

243 While the seawater in experimental aquaria have a constant and homogenous δ^7 Li value for each 244 condition, exposed mussels are 'isotopically fractionated' compared to their aquarium water, i.e. 245 with significantly higher δ^7 Li values (Fig. 2B). This indicates that mussels preferentially 246 accumulate the heavy (⁷Li) isotope in their soft-parts, as compared to the light (⁶Li) isotope. It is 247 possible to calculate the corresponding water-mussel Li isotopic fractionations (Δ^7 Li_{mussel-water}) 248 using⁵⁵:

249
$$\Delta^{7} \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}} (\%) = \delta^{7} \text{Li}_{\text{mussel}} - \delta^{7} \text{Li}_{\text{water}}$$
(1)

250 $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ value near 0‰ indicates no Li isotopic fractionation, since mussel $\delta^7 \text{Li}$ is equal to 251 water $\delta^7 \text{Li}$.

At the end of the exposition, the average $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ ranges from 0.0% to +10.3% (Fig. 2B). Mussels in the control group exhibit a mean $\delta^7 \text{Li}$ value similar to that of the seawater (with $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}} = +0.4 \pm 0.9$ %, SD, n = 8), whereas the average $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ values of the other experimental groups increase from +5.7 \pm 2.1% to +7.5 \pm 3.2% (from Li-0.5 to Li-1.5 respectively). Li isotopic fractionation is the strongest with the very first - limited - increment in

257 Li enrichment (5.7‰ in Li-0.5 condition vs. 0.4‰ in CTRL group). Further increases in seawater 258 Li concentration still result in significant (Wilcoxon test, p < 0.05), but lower, isotope fractionation (up to 7.5% in Li-1.5). This indicates that mussel δ^7 Li value increases with Li 259 260 contamination and suggests that even an exposition to a small degree of contamination has a 261 strong and significant effect on mussel Li isotopic compositions. The Li isotopic compositions of 262 mussel soft tissues can therefore reveal even very subtle effects related to environmental Li 263 contamination, which further supports the possibility to use mussel Li isotope ratio to monitor 264 the Li level in waters. Measuring the isotopic composition of mussels has the advantage that there is small Li isotopic variability among mussel individuals and a representative δ^7 Li value 265 266 requires 2 individuals only (for a 2–3‰ uncertainty), in contrast to Li concentration. Variabilities 267 exists for isotopic composition, but their magnitude is only of few permill maximum. A recent 268 study shows that the isotopic composition of anthropogenic Li in waters can be distinct from that of natural Li¹². Thus, further investigation appears necessary to provide a methodology to trace 269 270 both Li levels and Li sources (natural or anthropogenic) in the environment.

271

272 Li biological control

The biological processing of Li in mussels is affected by the Li concentration in the environment because the mussel-water Li isotope fractionation increases with dissolved Li concentration. The observed ⁷Li (heavy) enrichment of mussel soft tissue relative to seawater is intriguing as, in most isotopic systems, biological isotopic fractionation favor light isotopes, mostly because of kinetic effects during ionic transport. Recent work reveals that Li transport in cells, either passive or active, is related to a preferential and significant enrichment in ⁶Li ⁵⁶. Li has been considered to be analogous to Na in cells because of the chemical proximity and monovalence of both alkali

elements. Indeed, Li is transported by Na⁺/H⁺ ubiquitous exchangers (NHEs), which control 280 intracellular pH 57-60. Ca/Na exchangers, likely involved in carbonate shell growth, are also 281 known to transport Li^{61,62}. To verify the potential link between Li, Na, and Ca, we measured 282 283 Li/Na and Li/Ca ratios in every individual mussel. Both Li/Na and Li/Ca ratios in mussels are 284 positively correlated with $\Delta^7 Li_{mussel-water}$ (Fig. 3). When the Li/Na and Li/Ca ratios are low, the observed Li isotopic fractionations are small or negligible ($\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}} \approx 0\%$), whereas the 285 highest $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ values correspond to the highest Li/Na and Li/Ca ratios. This result 286 confirms the biological coupling between Li and Na⁶³ and suggests that Li transport by NHEs 287 288 and Ca/Na transporters are at least partly responsible for the measured Li isotopic compositions. 289 As Ca is a major building block of bivalve shells, this link might partly explain the vital effects observed in the Li isotopic signatures of biogenic carbonates^{35–38}. Published Li isotopic 290 291 compositions of bivalve shells, foraminifera calcite, or corals are scarce, and their interpretation remains disputed^{32,35–38,64}. A physiological control of Li isotopes was suggested by Dellinger et 292 al.³⁵ for various species of molluscs, brachiopods, and echinoderms, and by Vigier et al.³⁸ and 293 Roberts et al.³⁶ for epibenthic foraminifera. All these studies rely on the Li isotope composition 294 295 of the organism's shells, being either calcitic or aragonitic. As a first approximation, we can compare the mussel soft part δ^7 Li values of our CTRL group with those reported for *M. edulis* 296 shells by Dellinger et al.³⁵. (Fig. 2B). These shells were collected from mussels living in Cable 297 Bay (northwest Wales, UK), and have been cultured at different temperatures⁶⁵. They all show 298 299 δ^7 Li values noticeably higher than the δ^7 Li values obtained for the soft tissues of our CTRL 300 group (this study). It is surprising as the formation of calcite induces a significant isotope fractionation in favor of the ⁶Li⁶⁶. However, both mussel groups do not come from the same 301 302 location and it is not known if the seawater in which they were cultured had a seawater-like $\delta^7 Li$

value and Li concentration, or if this seawater was contaminated. Although this comparison requires more detailed investigations, all of these results suggest that the temporal variations of ocean Li concentrations may impact both the Li concentrations and the Li isotopic composition of tissues and shells. Since the isotopic investigation of soft tissues is now technically possible with high sensitivity mass spectrometer²⁹, it appears invaluable to a better understanding of the vital effects observed in fossils and potentially for refining paleoclimate reconstructions.

309

310 Tracing Li homeostasis and biological thresholds with Li isotopes

In order to bioaccumulate Li as shown in Fig. 2A, the mussel Li excretion flux ($\Phi^{\text{Li}}_{\text{out}}$) must have been lower than its uptake flux ($\Phi^{\text{Li}}_{\text{in}}$), such that:

313
$$R_{f} = \frac{f_{out}^{Li}}{f_{in}^{Li}} < 1$$
(2)

As shown in Fig. 2B, and described above, mussel δ^7 Li values are systematically higher than 314 315 seawater δ^7 Li values. However, due to kinetic effects, Li transport through cell membranes is rather expected to favor the light isotope ⁶Li⁶⁷. It is common to express these isotope variations 316 during transport by the isotope fractionation factor ' α ', which is related to the Δ notation 317 (equation 1) using: $\Delta^7 \text{Li} \approx 1,000 \times \ln(\alpha)$. If both, intake (input) and excretion (output) processes 318 319 favor the light (⁶Li) isotope, then both α_{in} (input) and α_{out} (output) are lower than 1 (Δ^7 Li <0). In that case, mussel ⁷Li enrichments are possible only if ⁶Li excretion flux is greater than ⁶Li uptake 320 flux over the experimental duration (i.e., if $\alpha_{out} < \alpha_{in}$ see Fig. 4 for more details). As the degree 321 of ⁷Li enrichment is found to increase as a function of the seawater Li concentrations (Fig. 5), the 322 323 two possible ways to explain these observations are either that (#1) the ratio between the output and input fractionation factors ($\alpha_{out} / \alpha_{oin}$) decreases as a function of seawater Li content, or (#2) the ratio of the Li output flux to the Li input flux (R_{ϕ}) increases.

326 Using a simple box model (described in Fig. 4 and in the Supplementary Material), it is possible 327 to verify more precisely whether the Li regulation in connection to variable Li bioaccumulation 328 by organisms is compatible with their isotopic compositions. Considering that Li transport is 329 likely ensured by ubiquitous ion transporters equally present in all Mytilus edulis of similar provenance⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹, we assume that all organisms have a similar value for α_{out} and α_{in} , and therefore 330 331 favor hypothesis (#2). With this assumption, and using the equations detailed in the Supplementary Material, the average mussel δ^7 Li value obtained at each condition can be 332 333 reproduced within uncertainties (Fig. 5). The calculated input and output Li isotope fractionation factors, α_{in} and α_{out} , are 0.999 and 0.989 respectively, and R_{ϕ} is found to increase with increasing 334 335 seawater Li concentration, as expected (Table S3).

This simple model indicates that, even if mussels bioaccumulate Li, their Li excretion rate increases significantly as Li contamination increases. This balance between input and output depends on the seawater Li level and can be related to Li homeostasis, which varies as a function of Li bioaccumulation, and therefore, of the water contamination. Indeed, the regulation process of a given element can vary according to low or high accumulation scenario, and be caused, for example, by an intense elimination process (excretion), or by storage as an internal inert form of the element (detoxification process).

It is possible to investigate further this aspect by exploring the small but significant $\delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel}}$ variability displayed by individuals from the same experimental group. For each condition, individual $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ values correlate linearly with the Li enrichment factor (Li_m/Li_{sw}, the ratio of the Li concentrations in the mussels to that in the water, Fig. 6A). These variations are 347 significant, of about 2-3‰ (while the external error on the Li isotope ratio is 1.6‰). For all 348 individuals, Li_m/Li_{sw} are higher than 1, which agrees well with the bioaccumulation process 349 described previously. However, the regressions' slopes in Fig. 6A strongly depend on the 350 condition. Mussels exposed to low water Li concentrations (i.e. the CTRL and Li-0.5 groups) display a positive slope, reflecting that $\Delta^7 Li_{mussel-water}$ increases when the individual enrichment 351 352 factor increases. On the other hand, mussels exposed to elevated seawater Li concentrations (> 1µg mL⁻¹) (Li-1.0 and Li-1.5 groups) display negative slopes (decreasing $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ with the 353 enrichment factor). There is therefore a Li threshold between 0.5 and 1 µg mL⁻¹, above which 354 355 mussels change their Li biological regulation. Under a significant but limited Li contamination (<1.0 µg mL⁻¹), the Li excess in the mussel results in a greater Li depuration, which may 356 357 correspond to a homeostasis mechanism operating to reduce the Li excess. In contrast, the negative correlations observed for mussels exposed to seawater Li concentrations $>0.5 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$ 358 359 are best explained by a decrease of R_{ϕ} (Fig. 6A), indicating reduced Li excretion. Under these 360 conditions, mussels do not - or cannot - entirely eliminate excess Li. This threshold therefore 361 suggests a physiological shift, and we speculate that it may represent the onset of toxicity that is 362 the Li concentration beyond which mussels become "stressed".

Major element concentrations in the mussels' soft tissues also support a physiological effect induced by Li contamination. Indeed, the principal component analysis (PCA, see Methods section) shows that the essential elements Na, Ca, Fe, and K correlate with each other on the principal component 1 and are clearly distinct from $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$, Li_m, and Li_{sw}, which correlate on the principal component 2. Figure 6B shows that the "intergroup" variability is discriminated mostly by $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$, Li_m, and Li_{sw}, and therefore depends on the composition and concentration of environmental Li. On the other hand, the "intragroup" variability is mostly 370 related to variations in essential (major) elements and depends on individual mussel metabolism. 371 This, altogether with the Li threshold highlighted by Li isotopes, strongly suggests a physiological effect induced by Li contamination between 500 and 1000 μ g L⁻¹ (0.5 and 1 μ g 372 mL⁻¹). Thus, our results demonstrate that, in addition to being a promising new proxy for Li 373 374 contamination in coastal ecosystems, mussel Li concentrations and isotopic compositions might 375 also be used to assess Li toxicity since they respond to mussel adaptation and stress due to high 376 environmental Li concentrations. Studies on Li accumulation in organisms being at their infancy 377 and far from being fully understood, further studies would be needed to identify the underlying 378 regulation mechanisms in mussels facing Li contamination.

379

380 Implications for coastal environments

The highest dissolved Li concentrations (>130000 μ g L⁻¹) are found in surface waters that drain 381 382 the Salars, where Li is mined. Extensive studies of Chilean rivers show that they carry these 383 elevated Li concentrations down to the western Pacific Ocean. Their dissolved Li concentrations 384 are several orders of magnitude greater than those of other large rivers, and local estuaries contain Li concentrations above 1000 μ g L⁻¹ (100-1000 times more than other estuaries 385 worldwide). A published compedium⁶⁸ shows that marine organisms from the Northern Chilean 386 387 littoral display Li concentrations considerably higher than those from other parts of the world unrelated to salt flats - such as the French Atlantic coast or the Kerguelen Islands²⁴. However, as 388 389 discussed in the previous section, Li isotopes indicate that this Li bioaccumulation has a cost since there is a physiological shift above a threshold between 500 and 1000 μ g L⁻¹, which is the 390 391 minimum level found in waters of North Chilean estuaries. Li isotope compositions of marine 392 organisms from natural sites still need to be investigated, but given that the Li transfer to cells is

essentially an active process⁵⁷, passing this threshold may trigger abnormal growth patterns due
 to chemical stress and damage induced by Li ⁶⁹.

395 Overall, these results highlight the need to monitor the evolution of these 'Li-rich' ecosystems, 396 for both their own sustainability, as well as for the local economy and human health. Inhabitants 397 of the Chilean coast consume 8 to 15 times more seafood and shellfish than inland populations, 398 while the proportion of other food types such as meat or vegetables are significantly less variable between the two population groups 68 . This supports the need to quantify and better understand 399 400 how these coastal marine organisms bioaccumulate Li. The high Li concentrations measured in 401 North Chilean shellfish, fish, and drinking waters explain the high Li concentration measured in the blood (serum), urine, and other tissues collected from the local population⁷⁰. The effects -402 negative or positive - of these high Li levels in the diet are less known. Figuorea et al.⁶⁸ 403 estimated that the local population eats about 20 g day⁻¹ of shellfish and seafood. With a Li 404 concentration of 100 μ g g⁻¹, as found in local mussels (Table 1), the Li intake is already 2000 μ g 405 day⁻¹ (twice the dose recommended by Schrauzer¹). Given that vegetables, cereals, and waters 406 407 are also enriched in Li in this area, the Li intake by people living along North Chilean coast is 408 estimated to be close to the medical dose given to patient treated for neurodegenerative disease 409 (Table 1).

In other places in the world, the number of data measured in coastal waters and marine organisms remains scarce, and it is difficult to estimate the impact of Li mining and anthropogenic activities at the global scale. A recent study points that Li is not removed during the waste water treatment plant process¹². As described in the introduction, a significant level of Li pollution has been documented in the Han River crossing Seoul¹². The corresponding Li level is still low compared to what is contained in North Chilean waters, but the predicted considerable 416 increase of Li production for the next 10 years, along with the development of popular high 417 technology objects, may significantly increase Li levels in coastal environments if its recycling is 418 not massively developed.

419

420 Conclusions

421 This study demonstrates the ability of mussels to bioaccumulate Li, which implies that mussels 422 may be useful as biomonitoring organisms for Li pollution in coastal water. In addition, we 423 identify a preferential enrichment of ⁷Li in mussel soft parts. A simple modeling allows us to 424 relate this Li heavy isotope enrichment to an enhanced NHE activity. Experimental data further 425 suggests that Li isotopes, more than Li concentrations, are useful to discriminate an organism's 426 metabolic or physiological threshold when facing high contaminant level in the environment. 427 Combined with ancillary environmental data, our experimental results have profound 428 implications for the fate of coastal ecosystems and shellfish consumption by population living in 429 high environmental Li regions. Finally, they also clarify how biological activity can impact the 430 Li isotope compositions measured in calcitic shells and may bias the record of past ocean 431 variations.



Figure 1: Li concentrations ([Li]) and isotopic compositions (δ^7 Li) of mussel soft tissues as a 433 function of water Li level. Blue mussels were exposed during 4 days to seawater with [Li] = 0.18434 μ g mL⁻¹ (natural seawater, control group CTRL), 0.5 μ g mL⁻¹ (Li-0.5), 1.0 μ g mL⁻¹ (Li-1.0), or 435 1.5 µg mL⁻¹ (Li-1.5). A (pink) and B (green) are the two experimental replicates. Reported errors 436 437 are $\pm 2SD$ (n = 10 for Li-0.5, Li-1.0, and Li 1.5; n = 9 for CTRL). Replicates A and B display the same average values (Wilcoxon test, p > 0.05), within uncertainties. The seawater in each 438 experimental group is characterized by a distinct but constant $\delta^7 Li$ (blue lines). (A) The 439 440 variability of mussel Li concentrations increases from 44%(CTRL) to 75% (Li 1.5).



442 Figure 2: Observed Li bioconcentration and Li isotopic fractionation displayed by blue mussels 443 as a function of seawater Li concentration. (A) Mussel Li concentrations: circles and diamonds 444 indicate individual analyses and average values per experimental group, respectively. Black 445 symbols are for bivalves collected in their natural environment (the Bay of Biscay for those at 0.18 μ g mL⁻¹ [Li]_{water}²⁴, and Rio Camarones in Chile for those at 6 μ g mL⁻¹ [Li]_{water}⁶⁸). Blue 446 447 tones symbol color indicates experimental group (i.e., Li concentration in seawater): white, CTRL, 0.18 μ g Li mL⁻¹; light blue, Li-0.5, 0.5 μ g Li mL⁻¹; blue, Li-1.0, 1.0 μ g Li mL⁻¹; and 448 dark blue, Li-1.5, 1.5 ug Li mL⁻¹. Pink tones symbol color are from Viana et al.⁷¹ (long-term Li 449 450 exposure experiment). (B) Mussel (symbols as in (A)) and water Li isotopic compositions (orange circles). The dotted line shows the binary mixing curve between natural filtered seawater 451 $(\delta^7 \text{Li} = 31.2\%)$ and the Li spike used in the experiment ($\delta^7 \text{Li} = 10.8\%$). For comparison, Li 452 453 isotopic compositions of Mytilus eduli calcite shells collected in Cable Bay, UK, are also reported in green (data from Freitas et al.⁶⁵ and Dellinger et al.³⁵). These mussels were bred at 454 455 different water temperature from 11 to 20°C, represented by the pale to dark green gradient. The Li concentration and δ^7 Li value of the seawater in which they grew is not known. 456



Figure 3: The effect of biological processing on mussel Li isotopic fractionations. $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ values determined in this study (symbol colors as in Fig. 2) as a function of Li/Ca (circles) and Li/Na (diamonds) ratios in mussels. The red dashed line is the empirical positive correlation between both parameters (r=correlation coefficients). The Ca/Na ratios measured in mussels display a restricted range, on average 0.06 ± 0.02 (SD, n = 38).



Figure 4: Box model of Li isotope composition in mussel soft tissue. Variables are: [Li], Li 464 concentration ($\mu g g^{-1}$ or $\mu g m L^{-1}$); M_{Li}, Li mass (μg); δ^7 Li, Li isotopic composition (‰); τ_{Li} , Li 465 residence time (d); α , Li isotopic fractionation factor between mussel and water; α = 466 $(^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li})_{\text{mussel}} / (^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li})_{\text{water}}$, which relates to $\Delta^{7}\text{Li}$ as: $\Delta^{7}\text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}} = \delta^{7}\text{Li}_{\text{mussel}} - \delta^{7}\text{Li}_{\text{water}} \approx 1,000$ 467 $\times \ln(\alpha)$; α_{in} and α_{out} represent the magnitude of Li isotopic fractionations during uptake and 468 excretion, respectively; and ϕ_{Li} , Li flux (µg d⁻¹). Subscripts are: m, mussel; sw, seawater; in, 469 470 input; and out, output. Using the equations developed in the Supplementary Material, the best average $\delta^7 Li_{m-mod}$ values (i.e., modeled $\delta^7 Li_{mussel}$ values) for each experimental condition were 471 determined by minimizing the difference between the modeled and measured δ^7 Li values (i.e., 472 $\delta^7 Li_{m-mod} - \delta^7 Li_{m-meas}$), with α_{out} and α_{in} ranging between 0.9 and 1. 473



475 **Figure 5**: Observed and modeled Li isotopic fractionations in mussels as a function of seawater 476 Li level. Individual (circles) and average $\Delta^7 \text{Li}_{\text{mussel-water}}$ values (diamonds) are reported for the 477 four experimental conditions. Red circles display the modeled isotope fractionations calculated 478 by the box model detailed in the text and in the Supplementary Material.



Figure 6: The controls of Li excretion/intake rate and of essential elements on mussel-water Li 480 isotopic fractionation. (A) $\Delta^7 Li_{mussel-water}$ vs. Li enrichment factor (i.e., the ratio of the Li 481 482 concentration in mussels to that in water, equivalent to the bioaccumulation factor or partition 483 coefficient between mussels and seawater). Symbol colors indicate the experimental conditions 484 as in Fig. 2. Solid lines are intragroup linear regressions. Mussels exposed to relatively Li-poor 485 conditions (CTRL and Li-0.5 groups) show positive slopes, whereas those exposed to Li-rich 486 conditions (Li-1.0 and Li-1.5 groups) define negative slopes. Red lines display model variations 487 and red dots indicate specific R_{ϕ} values. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of all mussels 488 (symbols as in (A), shaded ellipses represent intragroup variability). PC1 and PC2 are principal 489 components 1 and 2. Arrow length is proportional to the percentage contribution of these first 490 two principal components to the observed variation of each variable. PC1 and PC2 represent 491 75% of the variability in the dataset. Variables can be separated into three groups: mussel B, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, and Si concentrations on PC1 and $\Delta^7 Li_{mussel-water}$, Li_m , and Li_{sw} on PC2. 492

	Sample type	Location and information	Li concentration	Unit	Reference
	Salar draining waters	Chile - Atacama	130000 - 1240000	μg Li/L	BRGM ⁸
		Argentina	600000	μg Li/L	BRGM ⁸
-		W USA	200000	μg Li/L	BRGM ⁸
	Geothermal fluids	Italy	480000	μg Li/L	BRGM ⁸
		Mid-oceanic ridges	1000-10000	μg Li/L	Verney-Carron et al., 2015 ⁷²
	Seawater	Pelagic	180	μg Li/L	Broecker, 1982 ⁷³
S -	Large rivers	Global scale	0.1 5	μg Li/L	Huh et al., 1998 ⁷⁴
		Azapa, Rio San Jose	90 - 160	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
-		Lluta, Lauca Altiplano	60 - 1830	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
Ĕ	Chilean river and tap waters	Camarones	400 - 5600	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
F		Lauca, Rio Tignamar	20 - 450	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
L		Rio Loa, Salado	1200 - 7700	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
Ο		Atacama	300 - 2570	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
<u> </u>	Chilean estuaries	Lluta estuary	1300 - 1580	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2012 ⁷
		Camarones estuary	3500 - 7600	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al 2012 ⁷
~	Urban river	S Korea - Seoul	0.3 - 1.7	μg Li/L	Choi et al., 2019 ¹²
<u> </u>	Wastewaters	S Korea - Seoul	1 - 5	μg Li/L	Choi et al., 2019 ¹²
ш.	Tap water	S Korea - Seoul	0.3 - 0.7	ug Li/L	Choi et al., 2019 ¹²
		Peru - Lima airport	115	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al, 2012 ⁷
		Peru - Pachia	144	ug Li/L	Figueroa et al. 2012 ⁷
		Peru - Pocolla	83	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al. 2012 ⁷
	Mineral waters	Germany	1.7 - 1724	μg Li/L	Seidel et al., 2019 ⁷⁵
-	Drinking waters close to Salar	Autralia, Chile, Argentina, Bolivia	>1000	μg Li/L	Seidel et al., 2019 ⁷⁵
	C		0.02.175		Seidel et al., 2019 ⁷⁵ , Figueroa
	Serum	Germany / N Chile	0.03-175	μg Li/L	et al., 2014 ⁷⁰
S	Blood		<60	μg Li/L	BRGM ⁸
L	24h Urine	Germany	21 - 1172	μg Li/L	Seidel et al., 2019 ⁷⁵
σ	Urine	N Chile	140 - 5800	μg Li/L	Figueroa et al., 2014 ⁷⁰
۲	Toxic threshold in plasma		10000	μg Li/L	Aral and Vecchio-Sadus, 2008 ⁴
<u> </u>	Lethal threshold in plasma		20000	μg Li/L	Aral and Vecchio-Sadus, 2008 ⁴
2	Fluxes	Provisory intake recommended by some	1000	µg Li /day	Schrauzer, 2002 ¹
I		Li drug (bipolarity)	500000 - 2000000	μg Li/day	Young, 2009 ⁷⁶
		Microdose affecting Alzheimer patients	300	µg Li /day	Andrade Nunes et al., 2013 ⁷⁷
		Li intake by N Chile inhabitants	5000 - 50000	µg Li /day	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
_	Tunicates	N Chile - Piure - Coastal Shellfish	33	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
S	Bivalves	Atlantic, Pacific	0.05 - 0.8	μg Li/g	Thibon, Weppe et al., 2020 ²⁴
3		N Chile - Oyster - Coastal Shellfish	19	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
S		N Chile - Clam - Coastal Shellfish	53-83	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
•		N Chile - Mussel - Coastal Shellfish	111	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
_	Cephalopods	Atlantic, Pacific, Indian ocean	0.05 - 0.2	μg Li/g	Thibon, Weppe et al., 2020 ²⁴
a	Gastropods	N Chile - Abalone - Coastal Shellfish	20	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
<u>60</u>		N Chile - Limpet - Coastal Shellfish	85	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁵⁸
<u> </u>	Crustaceans	Atlantic, Pacific	0.1 0.3	μg Li/g	Thibon, Weppe et al., 2020 ²⁴
0		Atlantic, Pacific, Indian ocean	0.03 - 0.5	μg Li/g	Thibon, Weppe et al., 2020 ²⁴
a)		N Chile - Frog fish	18	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁵⁸
č		N Chile - Barred Hogfish	20	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁵⁸
 _	Fish	N Chile - Bass	25	µg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
5		N Chile - Flounder	51	µg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
a		N Chile - Shark	68	µg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
Σ		N Chile - H macrophtalmos	86	µg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
<u> </u>		N Chile - White seabream	99	μg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸
		N Chile - John Dory	103	µg Li/g	Figueroa et al., 2013 ⁶⁸

Table 1: Compilation of Li concentrations and fluxes estimated for several contexts.

495 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

496 Supporting Information.

497 Supplementary Material is available and contents supplementary figures, data tables, and box

498 model equations.

499

500

501 AUTHOR INFORMATION

502 **Corresponding Author**

503 *fanny.thibon@osb-vlfr.fr

504 Author Contributions

N. Vigier and M. Metian led the project. F. Oberhänsli, E. Vassileva, and A.M. Orani performed sample preparation and Li concentration measurements. M. Montanes, N. Vigier, and P. Telouk performed the sample Li purification and Li isotopic ratio measurements. F. Thibon modeled the data and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. F. Thibon, N. Vigier, M. Metian, and P. Swarzenski contributed to review and editing the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

511

512 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

513 We acknowledge financial support from the ANR ISO2MET (//anr.fr/Projet-ANR-18-CE34-514 0002; www.iso2met-project.fr/) and access to facilities provided by the International Atomic 515 Energy Agency (IAEA) of Monaco and the CNRS-INSU at ENS-Lyon. The IAEA is grateful for

- 516 the support provided to its Environment Laboratories by the Government of the Principality of
- 517 Monaco. We also thank a lot Steeve Comeau for his help reworking this manuscript.
- 518

519 TOC GRAPHIC



521 REFERENCES

- Schrauzer, G. N. Lithium: Occurrence, Dietary Intakes, Nutritional Essentiality. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 2002, 21 (1), 14–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2002.10719188.
- 526 (2) Egorova, K. S.; Ananikov, V. P. Toxicity of Metal Compounds: Knowledge and Myths.
 527 Organometallics 2017, 36 (21), 4071–4090. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.7b00605.
- 528 (3) Zoroddu, M. A.; Aaseth, J.; Crisponi, G.; Medici, S.; Peana, M.; Nurchi, V. M. The Essential Metals
- for Humans: A Brief Overview. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 2019, 195, 120–129.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2019.03.013.
- 4) Aral, H.; Vecchio-Sadus, A. Toxicity of Lithium to Humans and the Environment—A Literature
 Review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 2008, 70 (3), 349–356.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.02.026.
- 534 (5) Timmer, R. T.; Sands, J. M. Lithium Intoxication. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*535 **1999**, *10* (3), 666–674.
- 536 (6) Schou, M.; Amdisen, A.; Trap-Jensen, J. Lithium Poisoning. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 1968,
 537 125 (4), 520–527. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.125.4.520.
- 538 (7) Figueroa, L.; Barton, S.; Schull, W.; Razmilic, B.; Zumaeta, O.; Young, A.; Kamiya, Y.; Hoskins, J.;
- 539 Ilgren, E. Environmental Lithium Exposure in the North of Chile--I. Natural Water Sources.
- 540 Biological Trace Element Research 2012, 149 (2), 280–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-012-
- 541 9417-6.
- 542 (8) Labbé, J. F.; Daw, G. Panorama 2011 Du Marché Du Lithium. *BRGM* 2012.
- 543 (9) Leguérinel, M.; Lefebvre, G.; Christmann, P. Compétition Entre Secteurs Industriels Pour l'accès
- 544 Aux Matières Premières, 2018.

- 545 (10) Mohr, S. H.; Mudd, G. M.; Giurco, D. Lithium Resources and Production: Critical Assessment and 546 Global Projections. *Minerals* **2012**, *2* (1), 65–84. https://doi.org/10.3390/min2010065.
- 547 (11) Kszos, L. A.; Stewart, A. J. Review of Lithium in the Aquatic Environment: Distribution in the
 548 United States, Toxicity and Case Example of Groundwater Contamination. *Ecotoxicology* 2003, *12*549 (5), 439–447. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1026112507664.
- (12) Choi, H.-B.; Ryu, J.-S.; Shin, W.-J.; Vigier, N. The Impact of Anthropogenic Inputs on Lithium
 Content in River and Tap Water. *Nature Communications* 2019, 10 (1), 5371.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13376-y.
- 553 (13) Decarreau, A.; Vigier, N.; Pálková, H.; Petit, S.; Vieillard, P.; Fontaine, C. Partitioning of Lithium
 554 between Smectite and Solution: An Experimental Approach. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*555 2012, 85, 314–325.
- Schwab, A. B.; O'Connell, M. E.; Long, S. E. The Use of Lithium Concentration Data and Isotopic
 Ratios as Hydrologic Tracers in a First-Order Catchment. *Geol Soc Am Prog Abst* 1995, *27*, A97.
- Hogan, J. F.; Blum, J. D. Boron and Lithium Isotopes as Groundwater Tracers: A Study at the Fresh
 Kills Landfill, Staten Island, New York, USA. *Applied Geochemistry* 2003, *18* (4), 615–627.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00153-1.
- (16) Pogge von Strandmann, P. A. E.; Burton, K. W.; James, R. H.; van Calsteren, P.; Gíslason, S. R.;
 Mokadem, F. Riverine Behaviour of Uranium and Lithium Isotopes in an Actively Glaciated
 Basaltic Terrain. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 2006, 251 (1), 134–147.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.001.
- 565 (17) Yang, C.; Yang, S.; Vigier, N.; Lian, E.; Lai, Z. Behavior of Li Isotopes along a 2D Transect in the
 566 Changjiang (Yangtze) Estuary. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. submitted.

- 567 (18) Phillips, D. J. H. The Common Mussel *Mytilus Edulis* as an Indicator of Pollution by Zinc, Cadmium,
- 568 Lead and Copper. I. Effects of Environmental Variables on Uptake of Metals. *Marine Biology* **1976**,
- 569 *38* (1), 59–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00391486.
- 570 (19) Shi, D.; Wang, W.-X. Modification of Trace Metal Accumulation in the Green Mussel *Perna Viridis*
- by Exposure to Ag, Cu, and Zn. *Environmental Pollution* 2004, *132* (2), 265–277.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.04.023.
- 573 (20) Viarengo, A.; Canesi, L. Mussels as Biological Indicators of Pollution. *Aquaculture* 1991, *94* (2),
 574 225–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(91)90120-V.
- 575 (21) Claisse, D.; Arnold, M.; Jean-Yves, Q. Le Réseau National d'observation de La Qualité Du Milieu
 576 Marin (RNO). *Analusis Magazine* 1992, *20* (6), 19–22.
- 577 (22) Goldberg, E. The Mussel Watch A First Step in Global Marine Monitoring. *Marine Pollution*578 *Bulletin* 1975, 6, 111–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326x(75)90271-4.
- 579 (23) Kimbrough, K. L.; Lauenstein, G.; Christensen, J.; Apeti, D. An Assessment of Two Decades of
 580 Contaminant Monitoring in the Nation's Coastal Zone. 2008.
- 581 (24) Thibon, F.; Weppe, L.; Vigier, N.; Churlaud, C.; Lacoue-Labarthe, T.; Metian, M.; Cherel, Y.;
- 582 Bustamante, P. Large-Scale Survey of Lithium Concentrations in Marine Organisms. *Science of The*
- 583 *Total Environment* **2021**, *751*, 141453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141453.
- Albarède, F. Metal Stable Isotopes in the Human Body: A Tribute of Geochemistry to Medicine.
 Elements 2015, *11* (4), 265–269. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.11.4.265.
- 586 (26) Balter, V.; da Costa, A. N.; Bondanese, V. P.; Jaouen, K.; Lamboux, A.; Sangrajrang, S.; Vincent, N.;
- 587 Fourel, F.; Télouk, P.; Gigou, M. Natural Variations of Copper and Sulfur Stable Isotopes in Blood
- 588 of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2015**, 112
- 589 (4), 982–985.

- 590 Costas-Rodríguez, M.; Delanghe, J.; Vanhaecke, F. High-Precision Isotopic Analysis of Essential (27) 591 Mineral Elements in Biomedicine: Natural Isotope Ratio Variations as Potential Diagnostic and/or 592 Prognostic Trends 2016, 76, Markers. in Analytical Chemistry 182–193. 593 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.10.008.
- 594 (28) Vanhaecke, F.; Costas-Rodriguez, M. What's up Doc? High-Precision Isotopic Analysis of 595 Essential Metals in Biofluids for Medical Diagnosis. *spectroscopyeurope* **2015**, *27* (3), 11–14.
- 596 (29) Balter, V.; Vigier, N. Natural Variations of Lithium Isotopes in a Mammalian Model. *Metallomics*597 **2014**, 6 (3), 582–586.
- (30) Hathorne, E. C.; James, R. H. Temporal Record of Lithium in Seawater: A Tracer for Silicate
 Weathering? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 2006, 246 (3–4), 393–406.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.04.020.
- (31) Li, G.; West, A. J. Evolution of Cenozoic Seawater Lithium Isotopes: Coupling of Global Denudation
 Regime and Shifting Seawater Sinks. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 2014, 401, 284–293.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.06.011.
- 604 (32) Misra, S.; Froelich, P. N. Lithium Isotope History of Cenozoic Seawater: Changes in Silicate
 605 Weathering and Reverse Weathering. *Science* 2012, 335 (6070), 818–823.
 606 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214697.
- 607 (33) Vigier, N.; Godderis, Y. A New Approach for Modeling the Cenozoic Oceanic Lithium Isotope
 608 Paleo-Variations: The Key Role of Climate. *Climate of the Past Discussions* 2014, 10 (4).
 609 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-11-635-2015.
- 610 (34) Wanner, C.; Sonnenthal, E. L.; Liu, X.-M. Seawater δ^7 Li: A Direct Proxy for Global CO₂ 611 Consumption by Continental Silicate Weathering? *Chemical geology* **2014**, *381*, 154–167. 612 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.05.005.

- 613 (35) Dellinger, M.; West, A. J.; Paris, G.; Adkins, J. F.; Pogge von Strandmann, P. A. E.; Ullmann, C. V.;
- Eagle, R. A.; Freitas, P.; Bagard, M.-L.; Ries, J. B.; Corsetti, F. A.; Perez-Huerta, A.; Kampf, A. R. The
 Li Isotope Composition of Marine Biogenic Carbonates: Patterns and Mechanisms. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2018, *236*, 315–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.03.014.
- 617 (36) Roberts, J.; Kaczmarek, K.; Langer, G.; Skinner, L.; Bijma, J.; Bradbury, H.; Turchyn, A.; Lamy, F.; 618 Misra, S. Lithium Isotopic Composition of Benthic Foraminifera: A New Proxy for Paleo-PH 619 Reconstruction. 2018, 236, 336-350. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.02.038.
- (37) Thébault, J.; Chauvaud, L. Li/Ca Enrichments in Great Scallop Shells (*Pecten Maximus*) and Their
 Relationship with Phytoplankton Blooms. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 2012, 373, 108–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.12.014.
- (38) Vigier, N.; Rollion-Bard, C.; Levenson, Y.; Erez, J. Lithium Isotopes in Foraminifera Shells as a Novel
 Proxy for the Ocean Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC). *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* 2015, *347* (1),
 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2014.12.001.
- 627 (39) Pogge von Strandmann, P. A. E.; Jenkyns, H. C.; Woodfine, R. G. Lithium Isotope Evidence for
 628 Enhanced Weathering during Oceanic Anoxic Event 2. *Nature Geoscience* 2013, 6 (8), 668–672.
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1875.
- 630 (40) Sezer, N.; Kılıç, Ö.; Metian, M.; Belivermiş, M. Effects of Ocean Acidification on 109Cd, 57Co, and
 631 134Cs Bioconcentration by the European Oyster (Ostrea Edulis): Biokinetics and Tissue-to632 Subcellular Partitioning. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 2018, 192, 376–384.
 633 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2018.07.011.
- 634 (41) Metian, M.; Pouil, S.; Hédouin, L.; Oberhänsli, F.; Teyssié, J.-L.; Bustamante, P.; Warnau, M.
 635 Differential Bioaccumulation of 134Cs in Tropical Marine Organisms and the Relative Importance

- 636 of Exposure Pathways. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 2016, 152, 127–135.
 637 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.11.012.
- 638 (42) Metian, M.; Warnau, M.; Teyssié, J.-L.; Bustamante, P. Characterization of 241Am and 134Cs 639 Bioaccumulation in the King Scallop Pecten Maximus: Investigation via Three Exposure Pathways. 640 Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 2011, 102 543-550. (6), 641 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2011.02.008.
- (43) Nagato, E. G.; D'eon, J. C.; Lankadurai, B. P.; Poirier, D. G.; Reiner, E. J.; Simpson, A. J.; Simpson,
 M. J. ¹H NMR-Based Metabolomics Investigation of *Daphnia Magna* Responses to Sub-Lethal
 Exposure to Arsenic, Copper and Lithium. *Chemosphere* 2013, *93* (2), 331–337.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.04.085.
- 646 (44) Cresswell, T.; Metian, M.; Golding, L. A.; Wood, M. D. Aquatic Live Animal Radiotracing Studies for
 647 Ecotoxicological Applications: Addressing Fundamental Methodological Deficiencies. *Journal of*648 *Environmental Radioactivity* 2017, 178–179, 453–460.
 649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2017.05.017.
- (45) Magnusson, B. The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods : A Laboratory Guide to Method
 Validation and Related Topics (2nd Ed. 2014); Eurachem, 2014.
- (46) Kragten, J. Tutorial Review. Calculating Standard Deviations and Confidence Intervals with a
 Universally Applicable Spreadsheet Technique. *Analyst* **1994**, *119* (10), 2161–2165.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9941902161.
- 655 (47) Vigier, N.; Decarreau, A.; Millot, R.; Carignan, J.; Petit, S.; France-Lanord, C. Quantifying Li Isotope
 656 Fractionation during Smectite Formation and Implications for the Li Cycle. *Geochimica et*657 *Cosmochimica Acta* 2008, 72 (3), 780–792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.011.

- (48) Bastian, L.; Vigier, N.; Reynaud, S.; Kerros, M.-E.; Revel, M.; Bayon, G. Lithium Isotope
 Composition of Marine Biogenic Carbonates and Related Reference Materials. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 2018, 42 (3), 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12218.
- 661 (49) R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation for*662 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www. R-project. org 2017.
- 663 (50) Millot, R.; Guerrot, C.; Vigier, N. Accurate and High-Precision Measurement of Lithium Isotopes in
 664 Two Reference Materials by MC-ICP-MS. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 2004, 28 (1),
 665 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2004.tb01052.x.
- 666 (51) Carignan, J.; Vigier, N.; Millot, R. Three Secondary Reference Materials for Lithium Isotope
 667 Measurements: Li7-N, Li6-N and LiCl-N Solutions. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 668 2007, *31* (1), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2007.00833.x.
- (52) Thibon, F.; Weppe, L.; Vigier, N.; Churlaud, C.; Lacoue-Labarthe, T.; Metian, M.; Cherel, Y.;
 Bustamante, P. Large Scale Survey of Lithium Concentrations in Marine Organisms. *Stoten* under
 review.
- 672 (53) Warnau, M.; Teyssié, J.-L.; Fowler, S. W. Cadmium Bioconcentration in the Echinoid *Paracentrotus*
- 673 *Lividus*: Influence of the Cadmium Concentration in Seawater. *Marine Environmental Research*674 **1997**, 43 (4), 303–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-1136(96)00093-1.
- 675 (54) Apeti, D. A.; Lauensteirn, G. G.; Johnson, E.; Kimbrough, K.; Mason, A. L. Mussel Watch Sampling
 676 Procedures and Site Descriptions for Oregon State. 2017. https://doi.org/10.25923/DAJ6-KK55.
- 677 (55) Johnson, C. M.; Beard, B. L.; Albarède, F. *Geochemistry of Non-Traditional Stable Isotopes*; 2004;
 678 Vol. 55.
- 679 (56) Bouret, Y.; Poet, M.; Vigier, N.; Counillon, L.; Jarretou, G.; Bendahhou, S.; Montanes, M.; Thibon,
 680 F.; Balter, V. Biological Fractionations of Lithium Isotopes by Cellular Ion Exchangers Demonstrate
 681 Novel Modes of Transport. *submitted* 2021.

- (57) Counillon, L.; Bouret, Y.; Marchiq, I.; Pouyssegur, J. Na⁺/H⁺ Antiporter (NHE1) and Lactate/H⁺
 Symporters (MCTs) in PH Homeostasis and Cancer Metabolism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*(*BBA)-Molecular Cell Research* 2016, 1863 (10), 2465–2480.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.02.018.
- 686 (58) Grinstein, S.; Rotin, D.; Mason, M. J. Na⁺/H⁺ Exchange and Growth Factor-Induced Cytosolic PH
 687 Changes. Role in Cellular Proliferation. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on*688 *Biomembranes* 1989, *988* (1), 73–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157(89)90004-X.
- (59) Milosavljevic, N.; Monet, M.; Léna, I.; Brau, F.; Lacas-Gervais, S.; Feliciangeli, S.; Counillon, L.;
 Poët, M. The Intracellular Na⁺/H⁺ Exchanger NHE7 Effects a Na⁺-Coupled, but Not K⁺-Coupled
 Proton-Loading Mechanism in Endocytosis. *Cell reports* 2014, 7 (3), 689–696.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.03.054.
- 693 Jakobsson, E.; Argüello-Miranda, O.; Chiu, S.-W.; Fazal, Z.; Kruczek, J.; Nunez-Corrales, S.; Pandit, (60) 694 S.; Pritchet, L. Towards a Unified Understanding of Lithium Action in Basic Biology and Its 695 Significance for Biology. Membr Biol 2017, 250 (6), 587-604. Applied J 696 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-017-9998-2.
- 697 (61) Herchuelz, A.; Van Eylen, F.; Lebrun, P. L'échange Na/Ca. *médecine/science* **1995**, *11*, 232–238.
- 698 (62) Palty, R.; Ohana, E.; Hershfinkel, M.; Volokita, M.; Elgazar, V.; Beharier, O.; Silverman, W. F.;
 699 Argaman, M.; Sekler, I. Lithium-Calcium Exchange Is Mediated by a Distinct Potassium700 Independent Sodium-Calcium Exchanger. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2004, *279* (24), 25234–
 701 25240. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M401229200.
- Rollion-Bard, C.; Blamart, D. Possible Controls on Li, Na, and Mg Incorporation into Aragonite
 Coral Skeletons. *Chemical Geology* 2015, 396, 98–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.12.011.

- Rollion-Bard, C.; Vigier, N.; Meibom, A.; Blamart, D.; Reynaud, S.; Rodolfo-Metalpa, R.; Martin, S.;
 Gattuso, J.-P. Effect of Environmental Conditions and Skeletal Ultrastructure on the Li Isotopic
 Composition of Scleractinian Corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 2009, *286* (1–2), 63–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.06.015.
- Freitas, P. S.; Clarke, L.; Kennedy, H.; Richardson, C. Inter- and Intra-Specimen Variability Masks
 Reliable Temperature Control on Shell Mg/Ca Ratios in Laboratory- and Field-Cultured Mytilus
 Edulis and Pecten Maximus (Bivalvia). 2008. https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-5-1245-2008.
- 712 (66) Marriott, C. S.; Henderson, G. M.; Belshaw, N. S.; Tudhope, A. W. Temperature Dependence of
- 713 Δ7Li, Δ44Ca and Li/Ca during Growth of Calcium Carbonate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*714 **2004**, *222* (2).
- (67) Stokes, P. E.; Okamoto, M.; Lieberman, K. W.; Alexander, G.; Triana, E. Stable Isotopes of Lithium:
 In Vivo Differential Distribution between Plasma and Cerebrospinal Fluid. *Biol. Psychiatry* 1982,
 17 (4), 413–421.
- 718 (68) Figueroa, L. T.; Razmillic, B.; Zumeata, O.; Aranda, G. N.; Barton, S. A.; Schull, W. J.; Young, A. H.;
- Kamiya, Y. M.; Hoskins, J. A.; Ilgren, E. B. Environmental Lithium Exposure in the North of Chile--II.
 Natural Food Sources. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2013, 151 (1), 122–131.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-012-9543-1.
- Roux, M.; Dosseto, A. From Direct to Indirect Lithium Targets: A Comprehensive Review of Omics
 Data. *Metallomics* 2017, 9 (10), 1326–1351. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7MT00203C.
- 724 (70) Figueroa, L. T.; Barton, S. A.; Schull, W. J.; Young, A. H.; Kamiya, Y. M.; Hoskins, J. A.; Ilgren, E. B.
- 725 Environmental Lithium Exposure in the North of Chile Tissue Exposure Indices. *Epidemiology,*
- 726 *Biostatistics and Public Health* **2014**, *11* (1). https://doi.org/10.2427/8847.

- Viana, T.; Ferreira, N.; Henriques, B.; Leite, C.; De Marchi, L.; Amaral, J.; Freitas, R.; Pereira, E.
 How Safe Are the New Green Energy Resources for Marine Wildlife? The Case of Lithium. *Environmental Pollution* 2020, *267*, 115458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115458.
- 730 (72) Verney-Carron, A.; Vigier, N.; Millot, R.; Hardarson, B. S. Lithium Isotopes in Hydrothermally
- 731 Altered Basalts from Hengill (SW Iceland). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **2015**, *411*, 62–71.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.11.047.
- 733 (73) Broecker, W. S.; Peng, T.-H. *Tracers in the Sea*; Eldigio Press: Palisades, N.Y., 1982.
- (74) Huh, Y.; Chan, L.-H.; Zhang, L.; Edmond, J. M. Lithium and Its Isotopes in Major World Rivers:
 Implications for Weathering and the Oceanic Budget. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 1998, *62*,
- 736 2039–2051. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00126-4.
- (75) Seidel, U.; Baumhof, E.; Hägele, F. A.; Bosy-Westphal, A.; Birringer, M.; Rimbach, G. Lithium-Rich
 Mineral Water Is a Highly Bioavailable Lithium Source for Human Consumption. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 2019, *63* (13), 1900039. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201900039.
- 740 (76) Young, W. Review of Lithium Effects on Brain and Blood. *Cell Transplant* 2009, *18* (9), 951–975.
 741 https://doi.org/10.3727/096368909X471251.
- 742 (77) Nunes, M. A.; Buck, T. A. V. and H. S. Microdose Lithium Treatment Stabilized Cognitive
 743 Impairment in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease https://www.eurekaselect.com/106398/article
 744 (accessed Oct 19, 2020).