

A high rate algal pond hosting a dynamic community of RNA viruses

Emily E Chase, Sonia Monteil-Bouchard, Felana H Andrianjakarivony,

Angélique Gobet, Christelle Desnues, Guillaume Blanc

► To cite this version:

Emily E Chase, Sonia Monteil-Bouchard, Felana H Andrianjakarivony, Angélique Gobet, Christelle Desnues, et al.. A high rate algal pond hosting a dynamic community of RNA viruses. Viruses, In press, 10.3390/v13112163. hal-03394586v1

HAL Id: hal-03394586 https://hal.science/hal-03394586v1

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021 (v1), last revised 5 Oct 2022 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Article 1 A high rate algal pond hosting a dynamic community of RNA 2 viruses

4 Emily E. Chase ^{1,2*}, Sonia Monteil-Bouchard ¹, Angélique Gobet ³, Felana H. Andrianjakarivony ^{1,2}, Christelle 5 Desnues ^{1,2,} * and Guillaume Blanc ¹*.

6 Microbiologie Environnementale Biotechnologie, Institut Méditerranéen d'Océanologie, Campus de Luminy, 163 Avenue de Luminy, 13009 7 Marseille, France 8

- Institut hospitalo-universitaire (IHU) Méditerranée infection, 19-21 Boulevard Jean Moulin, 13005 Marseille, France
 - MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France * Correspondence: E.E.C; emily.chase@mio.osupytheas.fr, C.D.; Christelle.DESNUES@univ-amu.fr, G.B.;
 - guillaume.blanc@mio.osupytheas.fr

Abstract: Despite a surge of RNA virome sequencing in recent years, there are still many RNA viruses to uncover - as indicated by the relevance of viral dark matter to RNA virome studies (i.e. putative viruses that do not match to taxonomically identified viruses). This study explores a unique site, a high rate algal pond (HRAP) for culturing industrially microalgae, to elucidate new RNA viruses. The importance of viral-host interactions in aquatic systems are well documented, and the ever-expanding microalgae industry is no exception. As the industry becomes a more important source of sustainable plastic manufacturing, producer of cosmetic pigments and alternative protein sources, and a means of CO₂ remediation in the face of climate change, studying microalgal viruses becomes a vital practice for proactive management of microalgae cultures at the industrial level. This study provides evidence of RNA microalgal viruses persisting in a CO₂ remediation pilot project HRAP and uncovers the diversity of the RNA virosphere contained within it. Evidence shows that family Marnaviridae is cultured in the basin, alongside other potential microalgal infecting viruses (e.g. family Narnaviridae, family Totitiviridae, and family Yueviridae). Finally, we demonstrate that the RNA viral diversity of the HRAP is temporally dynamic across two successive culturing seasons.

Keywords: microalgae; Marnaviridae; community diversity; community dynamics

1. Introduction

RNA viruses persist in a range of environments from soils [1] to seas [2,3], from the Arctic [4] to Antarctic [5], and lake waters [6,7]. The ubiquitous nature of viruses in general has been acknowledged broadly [3], however RNA viruses are historically overlooked, and it is now accepted that they may rival or succeed the amount of DNA viruses in the ocean environment based on a study of coastal waters [8]. It is unknown if this holds true spatially and temporally across other marine microbial ecosystems but does challenge previously held beliefs about the environmental abundance and importance of RNA viruses in comparison to DNA viruses. From around the time of this acknowledgement to the present day there has been a considerable spike in both the number of viral RNA metagenomic studies, and also the defining of new RNA viruses by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) [9]. This growing number of metagenomic studies benefits from increasing sequencing depths of new technologies, alongside new bioinformatic tools that are better equipped for assembling RNA viruses, including viral populations from already publicly available metagenomic datasets and studies [9]. Along with their considerable geographical range (i.e. ubiquity), RNA viruses also infect a wide range of hosts including plants [10], vertebrates [11,12], invertebrates [13,14], fungi [15], bacteria [16], archaea [17,18], and unicellular eukaryotes including microalgae [19]. The RNA viruses of unicellular eukaryotes, the so-called

Citation: Chase, E.E.; Monteil-

Desnues, C.; Blanc, G. A high

dynamic community of RNA

https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

viruses. Viruses 2021, 13, x.

Bouchard, S.; Gobet, A.;

Andrianjakarivony, F.H.;

rate algal pond hosting a

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 Received: date 30 Accepted: date 31 Published: date 32 33 Publisher's Note: MDPI stays 34 35 neutral with regard 36 jurisdictional claims in published 37 institutional maps and 38 affiliations. 39 40 $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$ (cc) 41 42 Copyright: © 2021 by the 43 authors. Submitted for possible 44 open access publication under 45 the terms and conditions of the 46 Creative Commons Attribution 47

(CC)BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/lic enses/by/4.0/).

to

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100 101

102

103

104

"protists" (when excluding fungi), are especially interesting given that many protists have remained in their mostly aquatic environment instead of transitioning to primarily terrestrial environments alongside other aforementioned hosts, consequentially, permitting the maintenance of potentially ancient viral lineages [20]. Although the prominence of viral "dark matter" (i.e. putative viral sequences that do not align to any classified virus in current databases) is widespread among viruses in general [21], the identification and study of protist viruses (including microalgal viruses) can shed an important light on eukaryotic virus lineages [22] and expose previously unexplored (or understudied) viral diversity.

As an overview of diversity, RNA viruses exist in forms of single stranded positive [(+)ssRNA] and single stranded negative sense [(-)ssRNA] (e.g. Group IV, and Group V), and double stranded(dsRNA; e.g. Group III) [23]. Among the (+)ssRNA is the order Picornavirales [23], which includes 8 families as of 2019 [23]. Historically, members of the order Picornavirales are composed of one post-translationally modified polyprotein (with the exception of family *Dicistroviridae*), similarly structured capsids and helicases, and an RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) [24], however there are many RNA viruses defined as "picorna-like", thereby not possessing all of these exact attributes or a clear classification to date. At present, the importance of general viral interactions with microalgae in the world's oceans is becoming clearer after RNA viral studies being overshadowed by studies of marine DNA viruses, specifically in the instance of the family *Marnaviridae* (order Picornavirales), which infect microalgae.

Among early studies of family Marnaviridae, the virus HaRNAV was characterized and shown to infect the toxic bloom alga Heterosigma akashiwo [25]. HaRNAV is among other early studies of RNA viruses infecting microalgae including RsetRNAV infecting Rhizosolenia setigera [26], and viruses infecting Chaetoceros spp. [27-29]. In comparison to the family Picornaviridae (another family in the order Picornavirales), which infect many economically important animals (e.g. cattle, birds, pigs), and humans [30], there are substantially less studies focused on family Marnaviridae. Microalgae are an industrially and economically important group of organisms with a variety of uses and potential uses relating to cosmetics [31], food and nutritional resources [32], biopharmaceuticals [33], renewable energies (i.e. biofuels) [34], wastewater treatment and CO_2 remediation [35]. These uses and their potential have linked microalgae with a series of "high-value" products [36]. Microalgal cultivation technologies are developed and reviewed with sustainability as an important pillar (detailed more below) [37]. Given the role of primary producers in regulation of marine nutrients [38] and geochemical cycles [3] it has been suggested that microalgae have potential as tools for carbon sequestering [39], an important action for tackling climate change [40]. We should consider how viral studies can provide important information for future and current technological advancements in the age of sustainability (e.g. microalgal technologies). Given that elucidating new viruses and furthering our understanding of them is a major goal of the virology field, we must seek unique environments to further our studies. With this consideration we turn our primary focus to photosynthetic protists, the microalgae, which contain a potentially unexplored diversity of RNA viruses with economic importance. Viruses of microalgae, including the understudied Marnaviridae, have been labelled as a clear biological pollutant of microalgae culturing by cell infection [41], thereby creating a need for further studies specifically in the context of microalgae intensive culturing practices. Furthermore, viruses are important factors known to shape microbial ecosystems in general [42], so known infectants of microalgae should be studied in these culturing systems.

In consideration of the knowledge gaps within RNA viruses, especially in the *Marnaviridae* family, we carried out a study with the motive of characterizing new RNA viruses by sampling an industrial microalgal culturing basin; a high rate algal pond (HRAP). Our goals are to (1) provide a complete view of the RNA viral diversity of the system, (2) identify new RNA viral populations, especially those that could be infecting microalgae, and (3) explore specific RNA viral population dynamics in the system to detect changes over time. We achieve goals (1) and (2) by a metagenomic study and (3) by a quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) approach.

105 2. Materi

2. Materials and Methods

107

108 109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132 133

134

135

136

137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149 150

151

152

153

154

155 156

157

158

159

160

161

162

2.1. Experimental design and sampling

Water samples for analysis were taken from a pilot microalgal polyculture in a partially open-to-air (i.e. open system) 160m² HRAP (for details see [43]) basin system cultivating microalgae for CO₂ remediation in the IFREMER (Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer) marine station at Palavas-les-flots, France. Pure CO_2 with a final pH of 7.5 was systematically pumped into the HRAP for the uptake of CO_2 by these large-scale cultivations of microalgae as part of a green initiative for capturing carbon emissions expelled by industrial practices. This system featured natural colonization where seawater was pumped from the Mediterranean Sea (Plage du Prévost area) (i.e. inoculated using non-specific microalgae inoculant), and filtered through a 100 µm sand filter before entering into the HRAP. The system was restarted in the same way after each microalgal die-off (signaled by a dark green culture becoming translucent). System restarts, termed a new "run", after microalgal die-offs occurred on 17 July 2017 and May 28, July 5, and September 20 of 2018. Raw water samples (1 L) were taken for subsequent filtration and metagenomic sequencing (i.e. viral diversity studies) on April 17, May 17, July 5, September 11, and October 23 of 2018. Additional samples (50 mL) were also taken for subsequent filtration and nucleic acid extraction for RT-qPCR viral target tracking (i.e. viral community dynamic studies) throughout the basin culturing season (approximately spring to autumn) of 2017 and 2018 (detailed further below and see Supplementary Figure 1 for a timeline of sampling and HRAP runs).

2.2. RNA extraction, cDNA library preparation, and sequencing

Raw water samples (1 L) were clarified by centrifugation at 1,500 g at room temperature (RT). The supernatant (800 mL) was serially filtrated at 5 µm with a Millipore Millex-SX filter, 1.2 µm with a Minisart NML Syringe Filter (Surfactant-free Cellulose Acetate) and 140 mL of the 0.2 µm eluate was concentrated into 5 mL (viral suspension) through 100 kDa TFF (Spectrum Labs PES MicroKos). Two subsamples of 1 mL were digested with a nuclease cocktail consisting in 100 µL 10x Turbo DNAase buffer (Invitrogen), 40U Turbo DNase (Invitrogen), 18U RNAse A, 125U benzonase, 100U exonuclease I, for one hour at 37°C, followed by storage at -80°C. For extraction, 3.75 mL of Trizol LS was added to 1.25 mL of the previously digested mixture, followed by homogenization and incubation for 5 minutes at RT. Chloroform (1 mL) was added and the mix was incubated at RT for 3 minutes. After centrifugation at 12,000 g (15 minutes, 4°C) the aqueous phase was recuperated and another Trizol (3x volume)/chloroform (1.25x volume) was performed. The aqueous phase containing viral RNAs was then purified and cleaned with three columns of the Zymo Research RNA Clean & Concentrator Kit 25 using manufacturer's instructions. The eluates (50 µL each) containing the purified RNAs were pooled (150 µL total) and 40U RNaseOUT (Invitrogen) was added. RNAs were again cleaned with the Zymo Research RNA Clean & Concentrator Kit 25, 40U RNaseOUT (Invitrogen) was added to the eluate (40 µL) and finally stored at -80°C.

To achieve a library of cDNA from low concentrations of RNA we carried out a reverse transcriptase and Klenow method [44,45], with a preliminary step of denaturation at 65°C for 5 minutes, with a cooling immediately afterwards. Additionally, at the end of the reverse transcriptase reaction we incubated at 94°C for 2 minutes and cooled the cDNA before the Klenow reaction. We extended the Klenow incubation step by 30 minutes (1 hour total at 37°C). An Ampurebead (Agencourt) purification was done on the cDNA, followed by an amplification with UP primers [45]. A purification was performed by NucleoFast Ultrafiltration PCR cleanup. Concentration and quality of the sample were checked by Picogreen and Agilent DNA 7500 procedures respectively. Finally, a Nextera XT Library Prep Kit (2x250bp) was used for Illumina MiSeq sequencing preparation following manufacturer's instructions and sequencing was carried out.

2.3. Quality control of reads and contig assembly of metagenomes

Paired-end Illumina MiSeq reads (2x250 bp) were preliminarily run through fastQC [46] and results were reviewed visually to check for any overrepresented sequences, per base N content, sequencing quality scores, sequence duplications, etc. Trimming of

Nextera adaptor sequences and low-quality sequences were performed by Trimmomatic v0.36 [47], followed by a secondary run of fastQC. Sequencing assemblies were produced for each metagenomic sample's reads separately, and also as a combination of all ultravirome RNA reads (i.e. April, May, July, September, October) using the –rnaviral option of SPAdes v3.15.0 [48]. Sequencing and assembly statistics on the sequencing results of each sample were calculated by QUAST [49] and are reported in Table S1. Reads were mapped back to the assemblies to estimate contig read coverage and observe any cross-sample similarities using HISAT2 [50].

2.4. Taxonomic assignment of contigs and annotation

To study the diversity of each metagenomic sample we first performed a nucleotide-to-protein alignment [51] search using MMseqs2 [52] against the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant protein database (NR) [53] using an e-value cut off of 10⁻⁰⁵. Each contig with a significant match was then attributed to the same putative taxonomic clade (i.e. this method cannot define a definitive taxonomy on its own) as its best match. These results were then used to filter contigs by likely viral hits for inspection of the viral diversity of each sample.

All assembled contigs were run through EMBOSS getorf [54] to extract and translate open reading frames (ORF) of at least 30 codons. The resulting protein sequences were then searched against the NCBI NR database, and SWISS-PROT to provide a functional annotation with hits corresponding to an e-value cut off of 10⁻⁰⁵. Additionally, predicted proteins were assessed with InterProScan [55] for further functional annotations. More specifically, contigs greater than 6Kb are presented with their InterProScan [55] predicted domains annotated alongside a reference genome. The reference genomes are a curated selection of *Marnaviridae* genomes accessed through NCBI GenBank based on best matches of putative ORFs from the said contigs.

Contigs representing 99% of reads from the combined assembly of all sample reads were further investigated using the previous HISAT2 [50] reads mapping data. The number of reads mapping from each metagenomic sample back to the combined assembly (i.e. each contig) were normalized by dividing the total reads per the said sample and multiplying by 1 million. These data were used to calculate the proportion of reads mapping to specific taxonomic classifications, and also the absence of classification (i.e. a contig with no hit to a database).

2.5. Alpha and beta diversity by k-mer counts produced from metagenome contigs

Alpha diversity indices were calculated using all contigs above 250 bp individually for each month's metagenome sample (i.e. April, May, July, September, October) and for the combined reads assembly. Contigs were processed through MerCat [56] using kmers of 21bp in length. All k-mers with counts at 5 or more were assessed in the indices. The following indices are reported: Shannon's diversity index [57], Simpson's diversity index [58], and a richness estimator (Chao1). Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [59] (i.e. beta diversity) was also calculated between these same metagenome assemblies, using Simka [60] with a k-mer length of 21 bp.

2.6. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) domain

To elucidate all useful RdRp proteins from the NCBI NR database (May 2020) all protein sequences assigned as order Picornavirales were downloaded using NCBI Eutilities commands (esearch, efetch, and epost) [61], collapsed into 90% similarity by cdhit [62], processed using HMMER tool kits (hmmsearch, hmmpress, and hmmscan)[63] against Pfam [64] to identify protein domains (e.g. conserved parts of proteins). This step was necessary for identifying RdRp within Picornavirales because they encode polyproteins (typically one in all families excluding family Discistroviridae possessing two), which are long proteins that undergo post translation modifications into subunits [65], consequently cut-offs between the RdRp and other proteins are difficult to pinpoint and at this scale require a consistent and objective pipeline for identification. From this processing, we identified and extracted 975 RdRp domains using a method adapted from previous research [66]. Putative proteins predicted from our RNA ultravirome MiSeq data were then searched against the 975 RdRp domain database, followed by this same protein domain finding procedure that identified 23 RdRp domains within the

order Picornavirales. These 998 RdRp domain amino acid sequences were aligned using mafft v7.487 [67], gaps were adjusted with a custom TrimAl [68] (i.e. remove gaps occurring in 10% of sequences as long as at least 70% of the sequence remains), and this alignment was then used to produce a maximum likelihood tree with 1000 bootstrap replicates by IQTREE [69] using a general matrix substitution model (LG [70]) with empirical codon frequencies, and visualized using iTol v4 [71] with modifications.

2.7. qPCR design for viral population tracking

Predicted proteins with viral functional annotations were used to select contigs assembled from metagenomic data as individual targets for population dynamics monitoring via RT-qPCR. Target sequences were used to produce primer pairs through a Primer3 [72] Python script (Supplementary Material S1). PerlPrimer v1.2.3 [73] was used to check for primer-primer and self-interactions with a Gibbs free energy cut-off of -6kcal/mol to indicate whether the reaction is stable enough to reduce qPCR efficiency. All primer pairs were tested by in silico PCR, and the resulting amplicons were processed through mfold [74] to test for secondary structures significant enough to reduce qPCR efficiency (Gibbs free energy cut-off of -10kcal/mol).

Aforementioned raw water sample aliquots (including from samples destined for metagenomic sequencing) were filtered at 5.0 µm, then 0.2 µm in preparation for extraction. Nucleic acid extractions were carried out on 200 µL of filtrate using a High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche) by manufacturer's instructions except an elution volume of 40 µL was used. DNA was digested using a Turbo DNase Kit (ThermoFisher), with a reaction termination using a 0.5M EDTA. Reverse transcriptase to acquire cDNA was carried out using SuperScriptTM VILOTM cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) by manufacturer's instructions. RT-qPCR Reactions contained 0.2 µM of forward and reverse primer, 1 µL template, and 5 µL SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR 2x Green Supermix, supplemented with molecular biology grade (MBG) water to 10 µL total volume. The qPCR program was run as follows: 5 minutes at 95°C, then 45 cycles of 10 seconds at each of 95°C, 60°C, and 72°C, then finalized with a melt curve to check for number of products (starting at 60°C and going stepwise at 5 seconds for each 0.5°C to 97°C). Amplicon sizes were verified on 2% agarose gels in 1% Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer against a 100bp (BenchTop pGEM DNA Marker) ladder. Reactions were run in triplicate as on one 96 well plate (all samples) for each primer pair (e.g. virus target). Results were manually checked to confirm (1) amplification in the real-time visualization, (2) number of products as indicated by the melt curve, (2) cycle of quantification (Cq) values. A mean Cq value was calculated for each triplicate of sample reactions, the number of reaction cycles (45) minus the Cq value (termed the "inverse Cq") and reported.

3. Results

3.1. Taxonomic classification by database "best hit", sequencing coverage by contig, and domain prediction.

For April, May, July, September, October, and the all reads (i.e. all reads from each month combined and then assembled) assembly the proportions of contigs with a database hit (any classification, virus or otherwise) were 72%, 82%, 81%, 32%, 44%, and 71% respectively. Of these hits the coverage of viral assignments was 14%, 8%, 10%, 31%, 54%, and 15%. Across the 2018 monthly metagenomic samples the proportion of database viruses labelled as "unclassified" (i.e. any contigs without classification to the level of family were consider "unclassified") accounts for over 50% of the entire sample's hits (i.e. database hits) in all months and our combined assembly (Figure 1). Of these three categories, unclassified members of order Picornavirales appear in three months, and the Marnaviridae appear alongside them in two of these three months. These are potential hits of viruses infecting microalgae in our samples. Other notable hits include taxa that are known to infect fungi and oomycetes (family Narnaviridae [15]; family Totiviridae [75]), plants (family Tombusviridae [76]; family Solemoviridae), family Birnaviridae infecting non-mammalian vertebrates and insects, most importantly including salmon and chickens [77], a small family with species infecting freshwater isopods (family Yueviridae [14]), and bacteriophages (family Leviviridae [78]). These known hosts are not a complete picture of the potential viruses of these taxa however,

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

and recent evidence has suggested that other RNA virus families can infect microalgae, including the families *Narnaviridae*, *Totiviridae*, and *Yueviridae* that were found in the HRAP [79]. In each of these families there are distinct hits occurring in one month exclusively. Additionally, 2 hits matching family *Phycodnaviridae*, a dsDNA group of nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses (NCLDVs [80]) for which microalgae serve as natural hosts, are detected (these include hits encoding a putative RNA polymerase large subunit and an RNA ligase with polynucleotide kinase domain). These are likely abundant enough in the basin to contribute substantial mRNA (i.e. transcriptionally active during infection [81] or encapsidated in the virion) for being detected in RNA viral targeted samples. Finally, a hit to family *Circoviridae* (a single stranded (ss) DNA virus family [82]) is detected as well, with a low similarity (28%) to a putative capsid protein.



Figure 1. Proportion of contig viral classification (to family when possible) by each metagenomic sample month in 2018.
 Classifications are based on previously described database alignments by Blast. Only results of the Domain viruses are
 included. Total number of viral classifications by month were 15 for April, 14 for May, 18 for July, 34 for September, 27
 for October.

When observing the proportion of reads for each classified contig (including cellular organisms and contigs without a classification (i.e. no match to a database; Figure 2)), we can attempt to infer the most relatively abundant classifications from a sequencing data perspective. In the month of April, a single contig with a *Circoviridae* hit recruits most (77%) of the reads, for May both unclassified Riboviria and "unclassified virus" hits recruit the majority of reads. For the months of July, September, and October, unclassified members of order Picornavirales dominate the read recruitments. This classification is based on only two putative full-length contigs (two viruses in theory) that recruits nearly half of the September reads each. Only one of these two contigs recruits reads in the July dataset (60%), whereas the other contig recruits most of the October reads (98%) (see Table S2).



Figure 2. Proportion of normalised reads mapping to each classification by each metagenomic sampling month. Classifications were given to contigs assembled from all samples' reads that accounted for at least 99% of all reads in each sample by month. These contigs included 26 viral best hits, 12 with no hit, and 496 with a cellular organism top hit. Note: due to the combining of all reads from each sample month for the assembled contigs presented in this figure additional classifications were permitted when compared to Figure 1.

Finally, our domain searching method applied to contigs over 6Kb uncovered several domains matching that of family *Marnaviridae* (see references genomes), including their relative order of appearance along the contig (Figure S2). Of six contigs that met the size requirment only four had adequate reference genomes available and included domains uncovered through InterProScan [55].

3.2. Alpha and beta diversity indices, and cross sample coverage

Four intra-community diversity (i.e. alpha diversity) indices were run on k-mer based data from our assembled contigs (Figure 3). Using k-mer "species" (i.e. each unique k-mer of *n* length is treated as a single species) instead of taxonomic species, therefore freeing the data from the limitations of database dependent classification where sequencing gaps in RNA classification exist and an abundance of viruses remain as "unclassified". A consequence of this limitation is that diversity is only quantified if the virus is "known" through a database hit, whereas using k-mers species permits an interpretation of diversity that is free from information loss when there are no hits to a database for a contig. In theory, k-mer based alpha diversity uses reoccurring k-mers as an indication of shared homology among contigs, and thusly a potential shared taxonomy.



Figure 3. Alpha diversity indices of Illumina MiSeq metagenomic assemblies (contigs) as calculated by MerCat on k-mers of 21bp, with a minimum count of 5 for each "k-mer species". Indices include (A) Shannon's diversity index, (B) Simpson's diversity index, and finally (C) the Chao1 k-mer measures the number of k-mer species qualifying based on minimum count parameter. Sample months are indicated. The sample denoted "All" is an assembly of all reads from each Illumina MiSeq dataset combined.



304 305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317 318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

The Shannon diversity index (Fig. 3 A) indicates an increase in k-mer species diversity from April to October, where unsurprisingly the combined assembly from all samples' reads (denoted "All") gives the highest amount of diversity. As the microalgal growing season progresses, despite microalgal die-offs occurring, the diversity in the HRAP's viral fractions increases overtime based on k-mer species. This pattern is not reflected exactly in the Simpson diversity of k-mer species on the same samples, however it is increasing over a two-month period (April, May) and then again after a sudden decrease (July, September, October). Shannon and Simpson diversity indices are calculated in different ways (see [83]), therefore a more in-depth explanation of these results will occur in the discussion section. For the Chao1 number of estimated species (Fig. 3C) we see, as expected, the highest estimate of species is in the combined reads sample. Additionally, both April and May have a lower number of estimated species, whereas July, September, and October are all relatively higher in comparison to April/May that have similar values. Based on Chao1 there is an increase in the number of k-mer species from April/May to July/September/October months.

An inter-community species diversity (i.e. beta diversity) comparison using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity on k-mer species (Figure 4A) indicates that the distribution and composition of k-mer species between samples differs considerably (all values are over 0.90), however October and September are more similar when compared against other samples, additionally October individually contains more differences compared to April/May/July than September to April/May/July. July is most similar to May, whereas May and April are most similar to each other. A second interspecies comparison was done using reads mapped to contigs (Fig. 4B), whereby the proportion of reads from each sample mapped to assembled contigs from each sample indicates the similarity or dissimilarity between samples. When considering all reads, and not just kmer species (at a count of 5 or more) from contig assemblies as the Bray-Custis index did, October and September maintain strong similarities to one another, July has a stronger overlap with both October and September when compared with May and April, and finally May and April do not have strong similarity to one another. This last point being in contradiction to the k-mer based assessment (Fig. 4 A). The "all" contigs represent the assembly constructed from all samples' reads and simply shows that a high percentage of the reads from each sample were recruited in the assembly of the combined reads contigs.



Figure 4. A comparison between samples done using (A) Bray-Curtis dissimilarity beta diversity of Illumina Miseq metagenomic assemblies (contigs) as calculated by Simka on k-mers of 21bp, and the (B) percentage of reads mapped from each metagenomic sample (indicated by month of sampling) to assemblies (i.e. contigs) made from their corresponding sample month. Additionally, an assembly from all reads combined (i.e. all metagenomic samples) was produced and reads from each individual month were mapped back to the said assembled contigs (denoted by "All" and highlighted in green).

3.3. Phylogenetic tree (RdRp) of order Picornavirales

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

387

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397 398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408 409

410

By processing nearly 39000 sequences of published Picornavirale polyproteins and our assembled contigs we were able to form a fairly robust tree in terms of taxonomy (Figure 5). From our dataset, putative RdRp domains occur in families Picornaviridae, Polycipiviridae, Solinviviridae, Secoviridae, and Marnaviridae. Recovered RdRp domains from our data include 9 unique sequences clustering with Marnaviridae, providing further evidence that this group of RNA viruses infecting microalgae not only persists in the HRAP where cultured microalgae would serve as hosts, but also as multiple Marnaviridae species.

ORDER PICORNAVIRALES



385 Figure 5. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) of order Picornavirales based on 386 RdRp sequences retrieved from metagenomic assembly results and the NCBI GenBank database. Bootstrap support is indicated by color, and number of RdRp hits extracted from our study are indicated alongside family classifications identified. Common hosts of the virus families are depicted. Newly sequenced RdRp sequences are included in 388 389 Supplementary Note 2, with accession numbers.

3.4. qPCR of potential Marnaviridae and a Rotifera sp. virus

In total 7 RNA viruses of interest (see Table S3 for primer and putative viral target information), which were identified through taxonomic classification of contigs from the combined reads dataset, were tracked using an RT-qPCR technique. Viruses 1 to 5 in Figure 6 are potential members of Marnaviridae, with targets 1, 2, and 4 having a hit to a virus with an Aurantiochytrium sp. host, target 3 with a hit to a virus with Cylindrotheca *closterium* as a host, and target 5 with an unknown host. Additionally, target 6 has a top hit with a "Beihai noda-like virus", and target 7 with a member of family Birnaviridae known to infect a member of phylum Rotifera and has been previously isolated before in the HRAP area in an unrelated study [84]. Two (targets 4 and 5) of the potential Marnaviridae virus targets share a similar pattern where infections appear to occur between the microalgae die-offs of 5 July 2018 and 20 September 2018, with persistence before, during, and after the die-offs associated with 22 October 2018. Target 2 appears only on 23 October 2018 and beyond, and finally target 1 exhibits a completely distinct pattern compared to the other potential Marnaviridae in which it sustains a relatively low presence during April to mid-May of 2018 and appears once thereafter. Interestingly, targets 3, 4, and 5 are all of potential Marnaviridae, have the most similar pattern (to a lesser extent target 3), and do not share the same host associated with their top hit. Target 6, the "Beihai noda-like virus" shows a sudden appearance and slow disappearance before the late May 2018 crash, and finally target 7, the likely Rotifera sp. virus comes and goes with low levels of amplification, appearing a couple of times with

a relatively higher number of copies, but disappears just as suddenly. Interestingly, there are instances in 2017 dates where targets (exclusively sequenced by metagenomics from 2018 samples) are amplifying in 2017 samples also, often with a Cq implying a relatively high copy number.



Figure 6. Occurrence and relative intra-species (i.e. viral population) abundance over a time series of 2017 and 2018
sampling dates, including metagenomic samples, using an RT-qPCR assay. An inverse of the quantitation cycle (Cq) is
represented (45 cycles minus the Cq value), where a higher value indicates a higher relative abundance. The period
between two samples where a microalgal culture die-off occurred in the basin are represented by vertical red lines (5 in
total). Further information on RNA viral targets (1-7) is included in Table S3.

Specifically, to the putative *Marnaviridae* targets (1-5) we do see variation in viral dynamics. Indeed, targets 3 to 5 are present from late September to the end of our sampling in late October, but they are not necessarily present in the same periods (with the exception of targets 4 and 5 that are quite similar). As an example, target 3 appears in October of 2018, only, but is also present in May and June of 2017, although we do not have coverage of October 2017, we can at least see that the dynamics have not repeated exactly in 2018 as they were in 2017, and therefore are not annual in our study. Target 1 has a different pattern than other putative *Marnaviridae* viruses being primarily present only in April and May of 2018.

4. Discussion

Our study documents the RNA viral community of an HRAP during periods of microalgae growth over two years by (1) exploring the taxonomic diversity to infer potential hosts, (2) using the RdRp gene to uncover *Marnaviridae* through a phylogenetic study, and (3) measuring dynamics of select viral targets appearing in the system over time. This study offers a unique view of an HRAP RNA virome over time that has not yet been conducted before, and can offer new insights for future microalgal cultivation, specifically in an industrial context, and in cultures which are exposed to the environment (i.e. open systems).

4.1. Taxonomic classification and a phylogenetic study provide evidence of microalgal infecting RNA viruses within the HRAP

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464 465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486 487

488

489

490

491

492 493

494

495

496

497

498

Classification of viruses, in general, using metagenomics is made difficult by inconsistent coverage of taxonomic groups in databases causing what is known as "viral dark matter" where viral sequences return no hits (i.e. no alignments) to major databases hosting viral sequences [21]. In the case of RNA viruses, much is left unknown still and there are calls for more culturing and more metagenomic sequencing to advance our understanding and taxonomic classification system, despite the number of studies conducted to date [9]. This is especially important in lieu of the ICTV's [23,85] recent acceptance of sequence-based classification of viruses, which has shown to be quite accurate through a study aimed to reproduce current viral taxonomy using gene signatures and genome organization [86]. In all but October of our metagenomic samples, the majority of hits are to non-viral classifications (e.g. "cellular organism") despite the sample water being processed in the laboratory for targeting viral nucleic acids. Our viral dark matter ranged from 18% to 68% of the total number of contigs, with September harboring the highest number of contigs without matches to databases. When considering all non-viral hits and dark matter (e.g. "cellular organisms" are non-viral hits) also this range becomes 69% to 92%, which is not far from the ranges found in other studies (40% to 90%; [21]). Given this, we must approach our conclusions regarding the classified diversity in the HRAP with caution and understand it cannot reflect the true and complete taxonomic diversity of these samples, and therefore the HRAP itself.

With the above points in mind, we can draw some conclusions from our taxonomic analyses. Foremost, it is clear that unclassified viruses of Riboviria, and order Picornavirales are important to this HRAP community throughout the culturing period of 2018. Of course, in regard to Riboviria, it simply means that the viruses contain either RdRp, or the RdDp (RNA dependent DNA polymerase) of retroviruses. Many of these hits are to an invertebrate focused virus study [14], which includes hosts from phyla Mollusca and Arthropoda, but remain unclassified or "picorna-like" viruses. These are unsurprising considering the water source (i.e. Mediterranean Sea) would contain Mollusca and Arthropoda species, and the open-faced nature of the HRAP in general. Among these unclassified hits is also one to a Sclerophthora macrospora (Oomycete) virus, which is a chimeric virus (RNA-DNA hybrid) found only in our October sample (evalue = 1.9xe⁻¹⁶, 57% coverage, 29% identity based on a putative RdRp sequence). With these data we observe that the HRAP is hosting a wealth of viral diversity contained in unclassified and understudied taxonomies. This includes the potential of interesting chimeric viruses that are considered a rare event and with a plausible association to microalgae as they are found in samples enriched for *Bathycoccus* [87]. Of course, within the order Picornavirales is the family Marnaviridae, and with hits to members of this microalgae-infecting family appearing in September and October metagenomes (2018) alongside assembled RNA contigs from the HRAP resembling the domain inclusion and domain order of known Marnaviridae and "marna-like" viruses (see Figure S2), we can also speculate that some of these unclassified Picornavirales could be infecting the microalgae of the HRAP as well. A recent study [79] of 570 transcriptomes from a wide diversity of marine protists revealed divergent RNA viruses considered "marna-like" due to being most closely related to Marnaviridae spp. yet being relatively divergent from the few species of Marnaviridae in genomic databases, the authors conclude that this is due to the diversity of *Marnaviridae* not yet being extensively covered and that these "marna-like" viruses are in fact Marnaviridae spp. This lends more evidence to the presence of RNA microalgal viruses in HRAP, which too had just been yet to be uncovered, in other words the discovery of new Marnaviridae in a system culturing microalga is quite plausible. Furthermore, In the same study [79] the authors used RdRp sequence and structure to identify novel microalgal RNA viruses and described them phylogenetically. Several families of RNA viruses were newly considered to be capable of infecting microalgae (based on closest relatives), and among them are the aforementioned families Narnaviridae, Totiviridae and Yueviridae. Narnaviridae -like species were found in samples of Bacillariophyta culture, and Totiviridae -like species were inferred to infect Bacillariophyta, Haptophyta, Chromeraceae, Dinophyceae, and Rhodophyta. Finally, a Yueviridae -like species was inferred to infect Bacillariophyta also. Although we cannot infer host(s) through metagenomics alone, there are many RNA viruses contained within the study samples that could be infecting microalgae. Overall

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544 545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553 554

555

556

our taxonomic results reflect that of other RNA metagenomic studies and reviews in that members of order Picornavirales are common in aquatic settings [6, 9, 19].

By taking a normalized read mapping approach to investigate each of the 2018 metagenomic samples we can observe what viral contigs are recruiting a substantial number of reads, however, we should take into account sequencing biases produced by the process of sequencing. Although there are less studies on the subject of RNA virome sequencing biases, there is evidence of ssDNA viruses being recovered at higher proportions than dsDNA viruses [88,89] for example. Among RNA viromes there is evidence that sequencing preparation kit choice can change the profile of dominant species in wastewater samples and exclude some species that are identified in the alternative preparation kit [90]. More specific to RNA viruses that are generally A-T rich [91], this coupled with evidence that when using Nextera XT preparation kits the lower the G-C content in a region the stronger of a sequencing bias is produced [92], this is at least partially attributed to the secondary structure of RNA [93]. Given these studies, our inferences of dominant or "most important" viruses in this HRAP study have to be interpreted with caution. Nonetheless, based on our read mapping and classification it is clear the viral diversity contained in the HRAP is changing across the culturing timeframe of 2018. July, September, and October featured a shared dominant viral classification (unclassified Picornavirales) that accounts for between 60% and 97% of read recruitment. Picornavirales are common in metagenomic studies of water samples, for example they were in high abundance (up to a relative abundance of 90% or more) in samples collected from groundwater in Saudi Arabia (Wadi Fatimah reservoir) [94], were up to 97% of sequence matches in a coastal water sample in Canada (English Bay, British Columbia) [95], and ultimately are considered as "widespread in the world's oceans" [96]. Given this, it is not surprising to find that Picornavirales are dominant in read recruitment for at least 3 of the 5 metagenomes (Fig 2). In regard to the Circoviridae hit accounting for 77% of read recruitment in April, lake metagenomic studies have previously identified Circoviridae hits in RNA viromes, but concluded it was a contamination based on the presence of Circoviridae also in the DNA viromes [97]. An alternative explanation is that these viruses could be in an intermediary step of infection (i.e. mRNA), therefore transcriptionally active [81], given that they are ssDNA viruses and not RNA viruses [82]. Additionally, family Astroviridae appearing in May, are known to infect both mammals and birds [98], therefore if the natural host of this specific virus was a bird it is quite possible it could have entered into the HRAP by infected birds in the area given that it is partially open to the environment. Interestingly, contigs without hits to known databases feature low level of read recruitment, despite the seemingly high level of viral dark matter. For this case our taxonomic hits profile and our read recruitment coverage studies tell conflicting stories, however, it seems plausible that sequencing and preparation bias could contribute to the problem of understudied and unclassified viruses, alongside other factors, thereby the most "unknown" viruses are experiencing the least read recruitment in our study. Despite the aforementioned caveat regarding sequencing and preparation biases, reporting presence of the viruses identified in this study is still valid and informative.

Finally, an RdRp tree of families within the order Picornavirales were chosen for our focus because one of the seven families of Picornavirales has ample evidence of infecting microalgae, the family *Marnaviridae*. These infections have been confirmed by isolation in laboratory cultures [99], and not only through *in silico* analyses. With consideration to our phylogenetic tree, based on a domain searching method, we have provided further evidence of *Marnaviridae* (and thusly microalgal infecting viruses) to occur in this basin. This domain searching method was both useful in extracting RdRp domains, and in identifying putative members of *Marnaviridae*.

4.2. Database-independent approaches to quantifying diversity show changes between and among temporal based samples of the HRAP

Due to the presence of viral dark matter in our study, we chose to use a k-mer based approach for measuring the alpha and beta diversity of our samples. Specifically, we chose k-mer species created from contigs and not reads to avoid library preparation and sequencing related biases, and sequencing errors contained in raw read data. Since these are k-mer species, and not taxonomic units, we cannot easily compare our results

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611 612

613

614

615

616

with taxonomy based alpha diversity indices of other studies but can make observations between and among our own samples. Shannon diversity is a measure of entropy/disorder in a sample that is relatively sensitive to the number of rare species present, whereas Simpson diversity has less bias in reference to the number of species sampled (e.g. k-mer species) [83]. In other words, Simpson gives less weight to rare species and more to common species, therefore more influenced by taxa evenness than Shannon. Simplified, Simpson's index considers the probability that two species being drawn randomly from a sample will be the same, where a higher number relates to a low probability and therefore higher diversity [83]. In the context of our study, this indicates that in May where the index is high, it is relatively unlikely you will draw two of the same k-mer species, and thus the evenness among k-mers and the number of them are more consistent and higher respectively than in July for example. Given that Simpson diversity is less influenced by rare species it suggests that the number of rare or relatively uncommon k-mer species changes with time and among runs in the HRAP, but when less weight is placed on said species the diversity (Simpson) changes more drastically from month to month. Overall there was an increases in number of different k-mer species and "disorder" (i.e. Shannon) and probability of "drawing" two of the same species of k-mers (i.e. Simpson), but when giving more weight to evenness (i.e. Simpson) we also see "spikes" in diversity in two different seasons (May; spring, October; autumn) where the increase is less gradual. These spikes, and the gradual change, do not appear tightly coordinated with die-offs in the HRAP. Overall our alpha diversity measures indicate that the HRAP diversity is changing throughough the HRAP runs.

In the context of our beta diversity results, the diversity is different between the samples, with the dissimilarity being especially apparent between October and other months except September. There is a similar pattern when assessing similarities between samples using reads mapping, however, July also recruits over $\sim 50\%$ to September and October, whereas with beta diversity it's most similar (i.e. least dissimilar) to May. Based on this study's RT-qPCR results (discussed more below), there is evidence of viruses appearing and disappearing over time, so we cannot assume viruses appear according to season/month alone, and furthermore we cannot exclude the fact that die-offs and restarts, therefore many different runs, of the culture are occurring in the HRAP. In fact, a study focusing on a subset of dsDNA viruses (NCLDVs) showed temporal variation that did not follow a year to year seasonality in viral diversity [100], and another study did provide evidence of strong seasonality in a subset of marine bacteriophages (Myoviridae) that was linked to host seasonality [101]. Although both of these were DNA virus based studies using metabarcoding, and ours is one of RNA and metagenomics, it is likely we cannot lump all viruses into one pattern of dynamics based on taxonomy and/or Baltimore classification and thusly can compare viral community dynamics between DNA and RNA viruses carefully to some extent. Conclusively, viral dynamics can come in many different patterns. As for the restarting of the cultures, these could easily be "resetting" the diversity with each new run, considering that the water source is from the Mediterranean Sea, and the HRAP is a small and contained body of water we likely would see different dynamics when comparing the two environments. If the HRAP takes on a different trajectory in terms of diversity and dynamics than its own water source, it would not be surprising to see a sudden shift in community diversity when the culture is restarted, and a new run occurs. This is a plausible hypothesis because a variety of factors affecting viral populations would be changed from water source to the HRAP including temperature, UV exposure, nutrient cycling, particulates, grazing by predators [102], etc. The HRAP is a constrained environment, likely selecting for different organisms in comparison to the Mediterranean Sea where the water is sourced. Although, the full study is much more complicated by this, as evident by the three restarts (i.e. four separate runs) occurring through the September and October samples resulting in October being more diverse than September. Ultimately, it is unsurprising our results based on proportion of reads mapped by classification and reads similarity between samples provide similar conclusions as they are both based on raw read data.

Results from these database independent approaches to studying diversity in metagenomics have to be taken with caution. Using reads based approaches we are no doubt affected by biases introduced before and during sequencing, and with k-mer

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658 659

660

661

662

663

664

665 666

667 668

669

670

671

672

673

674

species counting we are using a relatively new method that is quite strict (k-mer species are considered different if one out of 21 nucleotides are different) and in this case it may be currently difficult to apply to metagenomes targeting taxa with high mutation rates – such are the case for RNA viruses [103]. Logically, to improve this method an approach with less strict parameters (e.g. permitting n number of nucleotides to be different) on contig based k-mers could improve it, and also looking at protein-based data where kmers are created from amino acid sequences because substitutions could occur less frequently at the amino acid level than at the nucleotide level. Additionally, an obstacle of protein-based k-mer species is deciding what proteins to use and what not to use. For example, should proteins included have a specified degree of certainty in their prediction, should only taxonomically informative proteins (e.g. RdRp, capsid protein, RdDp, etc.) be included exclusively? These adjustments to the process are not mutually exclusive and conducting them in parallel might be necessary. These approaches suggested above are not available as computational tools at the time of writing, and if made available a variety of testing would need to occur for determining best practices, which is outside the scope of this study.

In general, it is not surprising that the diversity of the HRAP overall (i.e. the assembly using combined reads from all metagenomic samples) is higher than that of each month alone due to the changes witnessed in the HRAP overtime, but it does suggest that the diversity of the HRAP is better captured over time and not as a single time point. Conclusively, we insist the RNA viral diversity using metagenomics is better captured temporally in newly explored environments than as single time points.

4.3. Viral dynamics (RT-qPCR based) showcase a variety of different dynamics patterns among putative Marnaviridae spp., and other viruses of interest

Our RT-qPCR methods in coordination with microalgae die-off dates has the potential to inform us of what viruses could be contributing or be responsible for these sudden die-offs. We used this technique to follow viruses of interest within the HRAP. We primarily focused on the Marnaviridae because of their host relationship with microalgae. However, the association between the appearance of these targets and the die-offs is not clear. We are also limited by our sampling, where the die-offs in May and July of 2018 lack the coverage that we gained by sampling done in September and October 2018 around their specific die-offs. We cannot completely rule out the impact of viruses on the system, however because our tracking was limited and other viruses (e.g. other RNA viruses identified in this study, and DNA viruses not studied here) could also be contributing. The effects of viral infection on microalgae may be that of several populations and other viruses in unison. Regardless, there is evidence of viruses potentially infecting microalgae appearing and disappearing temporally in the HRAP. Interestingly, three of the potential Marnaviridae targets appear in the 2017 samples (April, May, or June), however we do not see a gradual increase and decrease in the relative population (inferred by RT-qPCR Cq), that appears in the 2018 dynamics. These sporadic appearances could be amplification of similar strains or related viruses of the 2018 Marnaviridae targets, so-called "viral quasispecies". Overall, these Picornavirale dynamics results are not unlike results obtained in a study of two Picornavirale strains among a three-lake system in America (Finger Lakes, New York), where the strains exhibited different dynamics when compared to each other and also compared across the three lakes at the same sampling times [104]. Members of order Picornavirales (and picorna-like viruses) appear to exhibit a variety of dynamics patterns, and it is unsurprising two sequential sampling years may permit different dynamics specifically given the number of runs occurring in the HRAP and the timing of them in 2017 vs 2018.

Aside from the targets potentially infecting microalgae, the dynamics of the Rotifera virus are quite interesting. The relatively common *Brachionus* sp. are known predators in HRAP/microalgal culturing practices that are open faced and outdoors [105] and are documented in the Mediterranean Sea along France [106]. Given that this Rotifera virus strain has such a high certainty to be infecting Rotifera spp. (e-value = 0, 100% coverage, 99% identity), we hypothesize that Rotifera spp. are being reintroduced, infected, and dying off or their populations is being dramatically changed by new HRAP runs (after microalgal die-offs). We assume that the amplicon is a reflection of the relative amount of the viral copies/viruses and therefore the Rotifera spp. host(s)

appearing in the basin, with this we might conclude a brief outbreak of Rotifera spp. occurred in early September 2018, and reoccurred and persisted in October of 2018. We have also assumed that this virus is a component in the regulation of the Rotifera spp. likely appearing in the HRAP, but we cannot exclude the importance of factors like intra-species competition and abiotic factors [100,107]. Although this virus was present before and around some of the culture die-offs, and we suppose Rotifera spp. were present alongside it, we cannot suggest if Rotifera spp. play a role in the die-offs in the HRAP because tracking of this microalgal grazer is not reported in this study.

5. Conclusions

In this study we have identified several putative RNA viruses alongside evidence to suggest that they could be infecting microalgae, specifically in the case of *Marnaviridae*, which has been uncovered through putative taxonomic classification, a phylogenetic study, and tracked in the HRAP using RT-qPCR. We cannot conclude that RNA viruses are alone responsible for the die-offs experienced in the HRAP considering we did not investigate other factors (e.g. Rotifera grazers mentioned above, algalcidal bacteria, other viruses not targeted in our study laboratory methods), however we have provided evidence of viruses likely infecting the microalgae being cultured in the HRAP. We have provided an overview of the taxonomic diversity of viruses contained in the HRAP, albeit limited by database completeness, alongside database independent approaches to quantifying the basin diversity. Lastly, we glimpsed into the dynamics of some viruses of interest.

In a broad sense, from our work we can conclude that future studies of RNA viruses should aim to classify environmental viruses more thoroughly alongside efforts to improve database-independent methods of studying diversity in viruses, specifically in environments not previously sampled. Advancing viral taxonomy and classification is on-going and will take time, thus improving our database independent approaches in the near future could be quite informative for viral studies similar to this. Finally, we have approached studying viral dynamics with a RT-qPCR approach, highlighting the array of viral dynamics patterns that can occur temporally and concluding that individual viruses can behave quite differently.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Note S1: python Primer3 script, Table S1: metagenomic sample assembly statistics, Table S2: reads from each sample mapped to the metagenomic assembly (i.e. contigs) of merged sample reads, Table S3: minimum information on the putative RT-qPCR targets and primers.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.D., G.B., E.E.C., and S.B.; Methodology, C.D., G.B., S.B., E.E.C.; Software, E.E.C., F.H.A, and G.B.; Validation, formal analyses, and investigation, G.B., C.D. and E.E.C; Resources, C.D. and G.B.; Data Curation, E.E.C. and G.B.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, E.E.C; Writing – Review & Editing, G.B., C.D., S.B., F.H.A., A.G., and E.E.C.; Visualization, E.E.C; Supervision, C.D., G.B., and S.B; Project Administration, C.D., and G.B.; Funding Acquisition, G.B., and C.D. All authors have reviewed and approved this manuscript.

Funding: E.E.C, G.B, and C.D received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No713750, with the financial support of the Regional Council of Provence- Alpes-Côte d'Azur and with the financial support of the A*MIDEX (n° ANR- 11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the Investissements d'Avenir project funded by the French Government, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR). The Phycovir project leading to this publication has received funding from Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University - A*MIDEX, a French "Investissements d'Avenir" program. A.G. acknowledges support by the Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer (IFREMER).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available through and hosted by the NCBI SRA portal under the BioProject I PRJNA751746, with submission ID SUB10083298.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the members of the VASCO2 consortium and the company COLDEP for their support and permission to access the cultures. Specifically, we would like to thank Cyrille Przybyla, Sébastien Triplet, Thibault Geoffroy, Amandine Galès, and Maxime Petitjean for their contributions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

733 References

- 734 1. Starr, E.P.; Nuccio, E.E.; Pett-Ridge, J.; Banfield, J.F.; Firestone, M.K. Metatranscriptomic Reconstruction Reveals RNA Viruses 735 with the Potential to Shape Carbon Cycling in Soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2019, 116, 25900-25908, 736 doi:10.1073/pnas.1908291116.
- 737 738 Lang, A.S.; Rise, M.L.; Culley, A.I.; Steward, G.F. RNA Viruses in the Sea. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2009, 33, 295-323, 2. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00132.x.
- 739 3. Suttle, C.A. Viruses in the Sea. Nature 2005, 437, 356-361, doi:10.1038/nature04160.
- 740 Lu, G.; Ye, Z.-X.; He, Y.-J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Huang, H.-J.; Zhuo, J.-C.; Sun, Z.-T.; Yan, F.; Chen, J.-P.; et al. Discovery of 4. 741 Two Novel Negeviruses in a Dungfly Collected from the Arctic. Viruses 2020, 12, 692, doi:10.3390/v12070692.
- 742 5. Miranda, J.A.; Culley, A.I.; Schvarcz, C.R.; Steward, G.F. RNA Viruses as Major Contributors to Antarctic Virioplankton: RNA 743 Viruses in the Antarctic. Environ Microbiol 2016, 18, 3714–3727, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13291.
- 744 745 Djikeng, A.; Kuzmickas, R.; Anderson, N.G.; Spiro, D.J. Metagenomic Analysis of RNA Viruses in a Fresh Water Lake. PLOS 6. ONE 2009, 4, e7264, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007264.
- 746 7. Skvortsov, T.; de Leeuwe, C.; Quinn, J.P.; McGrath, J.W.; Allen, C.C.R.; McElarney, Y.; Watson, C.; Arkhipova, K.; Lavigne, R.; 747 Kulakov, L.A. Metagenomic Characterisation of the Viral Community of Lough Neagh, the Largest Freshwater Lake in 748 Ireland. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0150361, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150361.
 - Steward, G.F.; Culley, A.I.; Mueller, J.A.; Wood-Charlson, E.M.; Belcaid, M.; Poisson, G. Are We Missing Half of the Viruses in 8. the Ocean? The ISME Journal 2013, 7, 672–679, doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.121. Greninger, A.L. A Decade of RNA Virus Metagenomics Is (Not) Enough. Virus Research 2018, 244, 218–229,
- 749 750 751 752 9. doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2017.10.014.
- 753 Elena, S.F.; Bedhomme, S.; Carrasco, P.; Cuevas, J.M.; de la Iglesia, F.; Lafforgue, G.; Lalić, J.; Pròsper, À.; Tromas, N.; Zwart, 10. 754 M.P. The Evolutionary Genetics of Emerging Plant RNA Viruses. MPMI 2011, 24, 287–293, doi:10.1094/MPMI-09-10-0214.
- 755 756 757 758 Shi, M.; Lin, X.-D.; Chen, X.; Tian, J.-H.; Chen, L.-J.; Li, K.; Wang, W.; Eden, J.-S.; Shen, J.-J.; Liu, L.; et al. The Evolutionary 11. History of Vertebrate RNA Viruses. Nature 2018, 556, 197–202, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0012-7.
 - Zhang, Y.-Z.; Wu, W.-C.; Shi, M.; Holmes, E.C. The Diversity, Evolution and Origins of Vertebrate RNA Viruses. Curr Opin 12. Virol 2018, 31, 9–16, doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2018.07.017.
- 759 Ryabov, E.V. Invertebrate RNA Virus Diversity from a Taxonomic Point of View. J Invertebr Pathol 2017, 147, 37-50, 13. 760 doi:10.1016/j.jip.2016.10.002.
- 761 762 Shi, M.; Lin, X.-D.; Tian, J.-H.; Chen, L.-J.; Chen, X.; Li, C.-X.; Qin, X.-C.; Li, J.; Cao, J.-P.; Eden, J.-S.; et al. Redefining the Invertebrate RNA Virosphere. Nature 2016, 540, 539–543, doi:10.1038/nature20167. 14.
- 763 Hillman, B.I.; Cai, G. The Family Narnaviridae: Simplest of RNA Viruses. Advances in Virus Research 2013, 86, 28. 15.
- 764 Callanan, J.; Stockdale, S.R.; Shkoporov, A.; Draper, L.A.; Ross, R.P.; Hill, C. Expansion of Known SsRNA Phage Genomes: 16. 765 From Tens to over a Thousand. Science Advances 2020, 6, eaay5981, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay5981.
- 766 Krupovic, M.; Cvirkaite-Krupovic, V.; Iranzo, J.; Prangishvili, D.; Koonin, E.V. Viruses of Archaea: Structural, Functional, 17. 767 Environmental and Evolutionary Genomics. Virus Res 2018, 244, 181-193, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2017.11.025.
- 768 Bolduc, B.; Wirth, J.F.; Mazurie, A.; Young, M.J. Viral Assemblage Composition in Yellowstone Acidic Hot Springs Assessed 18. 769 by Network Analysis. The ISME Journal 2015, 9, 2162–2177, doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.28.
- 770 Sadeghi, M.; Tomaru, Y.; Ahola, T. RNA Viruses in Aquatic Unicellular Eukaryotes. Viruses 2021, 13, 362, 19. <u>7</u>71 doi:10.3390/v13030362.
- 772 773 Dolja, V.V.; Koonin, E.V. Metagenomics Reshapes the Concepts of RNA Virus Evolution by Revealing Extensive Horizontal 20. Virus Transfer. Virus Res 2018, 244, 36–52, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2017.10.020. Krishnamurthy, S.; Wang, D. Origins and Challenges of Viral Dark Matter. Virus Research 2017, 239,
- 774 775 21. doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2017.02.002.
- 776 777 Koonin, E.V.; Wolf, Y.I.; Nagasaki, K.; Dolja, V.V. The Big Bang of Picorna-like Virus Evolution Antedates the Radiation of 22. Eukaryotic Supergroups. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2008, 6, 925–939, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2030.
- 778 779 Lefkowitz, E.J.; Dempsey, D.M.; Hendrickson, R.C.; Orton, R.J.; Siddell, S.G.; Smith, D.B. Virus Taxonomy: The Database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucleic Acids Research 2018, 46, D708–D717, 23. 780 doi:10.1093/nar/gkx932.
- 781 782 Le Gall, O.; Christian, P.; Fauquet, C.M.; King, A.M.Q.; Knowles, N.J.; Nakashima, N.; Stanway, G.; Gorbalenya, A.E. 24. Picornavirales, a Proposed Order of Positive-Sense Single-Stranded RNA Viruses with a Pseudo-T = 3 Virion Architecture. 783 Arch Virol 2008, 153, 715–727, doi:10.1007/s00705-008-0041-x.
- 784 785 Tai, V.; Lawrence, J.E.; Lang, A.S.; Chan, A.M.; Culley, A.I.; Suttle, C.A. Characterization of HARNAV, A Single-Stranded RNA Virus Causing Lysis of *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Raphidophyceae). Journal of Phycology 2003, 39, 343–352, 25. 786 doi:10.1046/j.1529-8817.2003.01162.x.
- 787 Nagasaki, K.; Tomaru, Y.; Katanozaka, N.; Shirai, Y.; Nishida, K.; Itakura, S.; Yamaguchi, M. Isolation and Characterization of 26. 788 a Novel Single-Stranded RNA Virus Infecting the Bloom-Forming Diatom Rhizosolenia Setigera. Applied and Environmental 789 Microbiology 2004, 70, 704–711, doi:10.1128/ĂEM.70.2.704-711.2004.
- 790 Shirai, Y.; Tomaru, Y.; Takao, Y.; Suzuki, H.; Nagumo, T.; Nagasaki, K. Isolation and Characterization of a Single-Stranded 27. 791 RNA Virus Infecting the Marine Planktonic Diatom Chaetoceros Tenuissimus Meunier. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2008, 74, 792 4022-4027, doi:10.1128/AEM.00509-08.
- 793 Tomaru, Y.; Takao, Y.; Suzuki, H.; Nagumo, T.; Nagasaki, K. Isolation and Characterization of a Single-Stranded RNA Virus 28. 794 Infecting the Bloom-Forming Diatom Chaetoceros Socialis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2009, 75, 2375–2381, 795 doi:10.1128/AEM.02580-08.

- 796 797 29. Tomaru, Y.; Nagasaki, K. Diatom Viruses. In The Diatom World; Seckbach, J., Kociolek, P., Eds.; Cellular Origin, Life in Extreme Habitats and Astrobiology; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2011; pp. 211–225 ISBN 978-94-007-1327-7.
- 798 30. Alexandersen, S.; Knowles, N.J.; Belsham, G.J.; Dekker, A.; Nfon, C.; Zhang, Z.; Koenen, F. Picornaviruses. In Diseases of 799 Swine; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2019; pp. 641-684 ISBN 978-1-119-35092-7.
- 800 Morocho-Jácome, A.L.; Ruscinc, N.; Martinez, R.M.; de Carvalho, J.C.M.; Santos de Almeida, T.; Rosado, C.; Costa, J.G.; 31. 801 Velasco, M.V.R.; Baby, A.R. (Bio)Technological Aspects of Microalgae Pigments for Cosmetics. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 802 2020, 104, 9513–9522, doi:10.1007/s00253-020-10936-x.
- 803 Barkia, I.; Saari, N.; Manning, S.R. Microalgae for High-Value Products Towards Human Health and Nutrition. Marine Drugs 32. 804 2019, 17, 304, doi:10.3390/md17050304.
- 805 Barolo, L.; Abbriano, R.M.; Commault, A.S.; George, J.; Kahlke, T.; Fabris, M.; Padula, M.P.; Lopez, A.; Ralph, P.J.; Pernice, M. 33. 806 Perspectives for Glyco-Engineering of Recombinant Biopharmaceuticals from Microalgae. Cells 2020, 9, 633, 807 doi:10.3390/cells9030633.
- 808 34. Qari, H.; Rehan, M.; Nizami, A.-S. Key Issues in Microalgae Biofuels: A Short Review. Energy Procedia 2017, 142, 898–903, 809 doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2017.12.144.
- 810 Kamyab, H.; Chelliapan, S.; Kumar, A.; Rezania, S.; Talaiekhozani, A.; Khademi, T.; Rupani, P.F.; Sharma, S. Microalgal 35. 811 812 Biotechnology Application Towards Environmental Sustainability. In Application of Microalgae in Wastewater Treatment: Volume 2: Biorefinery Approaches of Wastewater Treatment; Gupta, S.K., Bux, F., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: 813 Cham, 2019; pp. 445–465 ISBN 978-3-030-13909-4.
- 814 815 Chew, K.W.; Yap, J.Y.; Show, P.L.; Suan, N.H.; Juan, J.C.; Ling, T.C.; Lee, D.-J.; Chang, J.-S. Microalgae Biorefinery: High Value 36. Products Perspectives. Bioresource Technology 2017, 229, 53–62, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.01.006.
- 816 Vuppaladadiyam, A.K.; Prinsen, P.; Raheem, A.; Luque, R.; Zhao, M. Sustainability Analysis of Microalgae Production 37. 817 Systems: A Řeview on Resource with Unexploited High-Value Reserves. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2018, 52, 14031–14049, 818 doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b02876.
- 819 820 McGlathery, K.J.; Sundbäck, K.; Anderson, I.C. The Importance of Primary Producers For Benthic Nitrogen And Phosphorus 38. Cycling. In Estuarine Nutrient Cycling: The Influence of Primary Producers: The Fate of Nutrients and Biomass; Nielsen, S.L., 821 Banta, G.T., Pedersen, M.F., Eds.; Aquatic Ecology Book Series; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2004; pp. 231-261 ISBN 978-822 1-4020-3021-5.
- 823 Singh, U.B.; Ahluwalia, A.S. Microalgae: A Promising Tool for Carbon Sequestration. Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change 2013, 39. 824 18, 73–95, doi:10.1007/s11027-012-9393-3.
- 825 826 40. Banerjee, I.; Dutta, S.; Pohrmen, C.B.; Verma, R.; Singh, D. Microalgae-Based Carbon Sequestration to Mitigate Climate Change and Application of Nanomaterials in Algal Biorefinery. 2020, 8.
- 827 828 829 41. Wang, H.; Zhang, W.; Chen, L.; Wang, J.; Liu, T. The Contamination and Control of Biological Pollutants in Mass Cultivation of Microalgae. Bioresource Technology 2013, 128, 745–750, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.158.
- 42. Suttle, C.A. Marine Viruses - Major Players in the Global Ecosystem. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2007, 5, 801-812, 830 doi:10.1038/nrmicro1750.
- 831 832 833 Galès, A.; Triplet, S.; Geoffroy, T.; Roques, C.; Carré, C.; Le Floc'h, E.; Lanfranchi, M.; Simier, M.; Roque d'Orbcastel, E.; 43. Przybyla, C.; et al. Control of the PH for Marine Microalgae Polycultures: A Key Point for CO2 Fixation Improvement in Intensive Cultures. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2020, 38, 187–193, doi:10.1016/j.jcou.2020.01.019.
- 834 835 Froussard, P. A Random-PCR Method (RPCR) to Construct Whole CDNA Library from Low Amounts of RNA. Nucleic Acids 44. Res 1992, 20, 2900.
- 836 45. Monteil-Bouchard, S.; Temmam, S.; Desnues, C. Protocol for Generating Infectious RNA Viromes from Complex Biological 837 Samples. In The Human Virome: Methods and Protocols; Moya, A., Pérez Brocal, V., Eds.; Methods in Molecular Biology; 838 Springer: New York, NY, 2018; pp. 25-36 ISBN 978-1-4939-8682-8.
- 839 Brown, J.; Pirrung, M.; McCue, L.A. FQC Dashboard: Integrates FastQC Results into a Web-Based, Interactive, and Extensible 46. 840 FASTQ Quality Control Tool. Bioinformatics 2017, 33, 3137-3139, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx373.
- 841 842 47. Bolger, A.M.; Lohse, M.; Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A Flexible Trimmer for Illumina Sequence Data. Bioinformatics 2014, 30, 2114–2120, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- 843 Antipov, D.; Raiko, M.; Lapidus, A.; Pevzner, P.A. MetaviralSPAdes: Assembly of Viruses from Metagenomic Data. 48. 844 845 Bioinformatics 2020, 36, 4126–4129, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa490.
- Mikheenko, A.; Saveliev, V.; Gurevich, A. MetaQUAST: Evaluation of Metagenome Assemblies. Bioinformatics 2016, 32, 49. 846 1088-1090, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv697.
- 847 50. Kim, D.; Paggi, J.M.; Park, C.; Bennett, C.; Salzberg, S.L. Graph-Based Genome Alignment and Genotyping with HISAT2 and 848 HISAT-Genotype. Nature Biotechnology 2019, 37, 907–915, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4.
- 849 51. Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J Mol Biol 1990, 215, 403-850 410, doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- 851 52. Steinegger, M.; Söding, J. MMseqs2 Enables Sensitive Protein Sequence Searching for the Analysis of Massive Data Sets. 852 Nature Biotechnology 2017, 35, 1026–1028, doi:10.1038/nbt.3988.
- 853 53. NCBI Resource Coordinators Database Resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Res 854 2018, 46, D8–D13, doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1095.
- 855 54. Rice, P.; Longden, I.; Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. Trends Genet 2000, 16, 276-856 277.
- 857 Jones, P.; Binns, D.; Chang, H.-Y.; Fraser, M.; Li, W.; McAnulla, C.; McWilliam, H.; Maslen, J.; Mitchell, A.; Nuka, G.; et al. 55. 858 InterProScan 5: Genome-Scale Protein Function Classification. Bioinformatics 2014. 30. 1236-1240. 859 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.

- 860 White III, R.A.; Panyala, A.; Glass, K.; Colby, S.; Glaesemann, K.R.; Jansson, C.; Jansson, J.K. MerCat: A Versatile k-Mer 56. 861 Counter and Diversity Estimator for Database-Independent Property Analysis Obtained from Metagenomic and/or 862 Metatranscriptomic Sequencing Data. 2017, doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.2825v1.
- 863 Shannon, C.E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. The Bell System Technical Journal 1948, 27, 379-423, 57. 864 doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x.
- 865 58. Simpson, E.H. Measurement of Diversity. Nature 1949, 163, 688–688, doi:10.1038/163688a0.
- Bray, J.R.; Curtis, J.T. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. Ecological Monographs 1957, 866 59. 867 27, 325–349, doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/1942268.
- 868 Benoit, G. Simka: Fast Kmer-Based Method for Estimating the Similarity between Numerous Metagenomic Datasets. 60. 869 Available online: https://hal.inria.fr/ hal-01231795.
- 870 Kans, J. Entrez Direct: E-Utilities on the Unix Command Line; National Center for Biotechnology Information (US), 2020; 61.
- 871 Fu, L.; Niu, B.; Zhu, Z.; Wu, S.; Li, W. CD-HIT: Accelerated for Clustering the next-Generation Sequencing Data. 62. Bioinformatics 2012, 28, 3150-3152, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565.
 - 63. Potter, S.C.; Luciani, A.; Eddy, S.R.; Park, Y.; Lopez, R.; Finn, R.D. HMMER Web Server: 2018 Update. Nucleic Acids Res 2018, 46, W200–W204, doi:10.1093/nar/gky448.
- 872 873 874 875 876 Bateman, A.; Coin, L.; Durbin, R.; Finn, R.D.; Hollich, V.; Griffiths-Jones, S.; Khanna, A.; Marshall, M.; Moxon, S.; Sonnhammer, E.L.L.; et al. The Pfam Protein Families Database. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32, D138–D141, 64. 877 doi:10.1093/nar/gkh121.
 - Rueckert, R.R.; Wimmer, E. Systematic Nomenclature of Picornavirus Proteins. J Virol 1984, 50, 957-959. 65.
- 878 879 Vlok, M.; Lang, A.S.; Suttle, C.A. Application of a Sequence-Based Taxonomic Classification Method to Uncultured and 66. 880 Unclassified Marine Single-Stranded RNA Viruses in the Order Picornavirales. Virus Evolution 2019, 5, vez056, doi:10.1093/ve/vez056.
- 881 882 883 67. Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol 2013, 30, 772–780, doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Capella-Gutierrez, S.; Silla-Martinez, J.M.; Gabaldon, T. TrimAl: A Tool for Automated Alignment Trimming in Large-Scale Phylogenetic Analyses. Bioinformatics 2009, 25, 1972–1973, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348. 884 68. 885
- 886 Minh, B.Q.; Schmidt, H.A.; Chernomor, O.; Schrempf, D.; Woodhams, M.D.; von Haeseler, A.; Lanfear, R. IQ-TREE 2: New 69. 887 Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. Molecular Biology and Evolution 2020, 37, 888 1530–1534, doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015.
- 889 70. Le, S.Q.; Gascuel, O. An Improved General Amino Acid Replacement Matrix. Molecular Biology and Evolution 2008, 25, 890 1307–1320, doi:10.1093/molbev/msn067.
- 891 892 Letunic, I.; Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (ITOL) v4: Recent Updates and New Developments. Nucleic Acids Research 2019, 71. 47, W256–W259, doi:10.1093/nar/gkz239.
- 893 72. Untergasser, A.; Cutcutache, I.; Koressaar, T.; Ye, J.; Faircloth, B.C.; Remm, M.; Rozen, S.G. Primer3-New Capabilities and 894 Interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40, e115–e115, doi:10.1093/nar/gks596.
- 895 896 Marshall, O.J. PerlPrimer: Cross-Platform, Graphical Primer Design for Standard, Bisulphite and Real-Time PCR. 73. Bioinformatics 2004, 20, 2471–2472, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth254.
- 897 Zuker, M. Mfold Web Server for Nucleic Acid Folding and Hybridization Prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31, 3406–3415, 74. 898 doi:10.1093/nar/gkg595.
- 899 Ghabrial, S.A.; Nibert, M.L. Victorivirus, a New Genus of Fungal Viruses in the Family Totiviridae. Arch Virol 2009, 154, 373-75. 900 379, doi:10.1007/s00705-008-0272-x.
- 901 902 Stuart, G.; Moffett, K.; Bozarth, R.F. A Whole Genome Perspective on the Phylogeny of the Plant Virus Family Tombusviridae. 76. Arch Virol 2004, 149, doi:10.1007/s00705-004-0298-7.
- 903 77. Delmas, B.; Attoui, H.; Ghosh, S.; Malik, Y.S.; Mundt, E.; Vakharia, V.N.; ICTV Report Consortium ICTV Virus Taxonomy 904 Profile: Birnaviridae. Journal of General Virology 2019, 100, 5–6, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001185.
- 905 78. Bollback, J.P.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. Phylogeny, Genome Evolution, and Host Specificity of Single-Stranded RNA Bacteriophage 906 (Family Leviviridae). J Mol Evol 2001, 52, 117–128, doi:10.1007/s002390010140.
- 907 79. Charon, J.; Murray, S.; Holmes, E.C. Revealing RNA Virus Diversity and Evolution in Unicellular Algae Transcriptomes. 908 bioRxiv 2021, 2021.05.09.443335, doi:10.1101/2021.05.09.443335.
- 909 Wilson, W.H.; Van Etten, J.L.; Allen, M.J. The Phycodnaviridae: The Story of How Tiny Giants Rule the World. Curr Top 80. 910 Microbiol Immunol 2009, 328, 1-42.
- 911 912 913 81. Zeigler Allen, L.; McCrow, J.P.; Ininbergs, K.; Dupont, C.L.; Badger, J.H.; Hoffman, J.M.; Ekman, M.; Allen, A.E.; Bergman, B.; Venter, J.C. The Baltic Sea Virome: Diversity and Transcriptional Activity of DNA and RNA Viruses. mSystems 2017, 2, doi:10.1128/mSystems.00125-16.
- 914 915 Breitbart, M.; Delwart, E.; Rosario, K.; Segalés, J.; Varsani, A. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Circoviridae. J Gen Virol 2017, 98, 82. 1997–1998, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000871.
- 916 917 83. Daly, A.J.; Baetens, J.M.; De Baets, B. Ecological Diversity: Measuring the Unmeasurable. Mathematics 2018, 6, 119, doi:10.3390/math6070119.
- 918 84. Gibrat, J.-F.; Mariadassou, M.; Boudinot, P.; Delmas, B. Analyses of the Radiation of Birnaviruses from Diverse Host Phyla 919 and of Their Evolutionary Affinities with Other Double-Stranded RNA and Positive Strand RNA Viruses Using Robust 920 Structure-Based Multiple Sequence Alignments and Advanced Phylogenetic Methods. BMC Evolutionary Biology 2013, 13, 921 154, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-154.
- 922 Simmonds, P.; Adams, M.J.; Benkő, M.; Breitbart, M.; Brister, J.R.; Carstens, E.B.; Davison, A.J.; Delwart, E.; Gorbalenya, A.E.; 85. 923 Harrach, B.; et al. Virus Taxonomy in the Age of Metagenomics. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2017, 15, 161-168, doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.177. 924

- 86. Aiewsakun, P.; Simmonds, P. The Genomic Underpinnings of Eukaryotic Virus Taxonomy: Creating a Sequence-Based Framework for Family-Level Virus Classification. Microbiome 2018, 6, 38, doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0422-7.
- 87. Roux, S.; Enault, F.; Bronner, G.; Vaulot, D.; Forterre, P.; Krupovic, M. Chimeric Viruses Blur the Borders between the Major
 928 Groups of Eukaryotic Single-Stranded DNA Viruses. Nat Commun 2013, 4, 2700, doi:10.1038/ncomms3700.
- 88. Parras-Moltó, M.; Rodríguez-Galet, A.; Suárez-Rodríguez, P.; López-Bueno, A. Evaluation of Bias Induced by Viral Enrichment and Random Amplification Protocols in Metagenomic Surveys of Saliva DNA Viruses. Microbiome 2018, 6, 119, doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0507-3.
- 89. Brinkman, N.E.; Villegas, E.N.; Garland, J.L.; Keely, S.P. Reducing Inherent Biases Introduced during DNA Viral Metagenome
 Analyses of Municipal Wastewater. PLOS ONE 2018, 13, e0195350, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195350.
- 934 Pérez-Cataluña, A.; Cuevas-Ferrando, E.; Randazzo, W.; Sánchez, G. Bias of Library Preparation for Virome Characterization 90. 935 in Untreated and Treated Wastewaters. Science of The Total Environment 2021, 767. 144589. 936 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144589.
- 937 91. Kustin, T.; Stern, A. Biased Mutation and Selection in RNA Viruses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 2021, 38, 575–588, doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa247.
- 92. Sato, M.P.; Ogura, Y.; Nakamura, K.; Nishida, R.; Gotoh, Y.; Hayashi, M.; Hisatsune, J.; Sugai, M.; Takehiko, I.; Hayashi, T. Comparison of the Sequencing Bias of Currently Available Library Preparation Kits for Illumina Sequencing of Bacterial Genomes and Metagenomes. DNA Research 2019, 26, 391–398, doi:10.1093/dnares/dsz017.
- 942 93. Price, A.; Garhyan, J.; Gibas, C. The Impact of RNA Secondary Structure on Read Start Locations on the Illumina Sequencing Platform. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0173023, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173023.
- 94. Zaouri, N.; Jumat, M.R.; Cheema, T.; Hong, P.-Y. Metagenomics-Based Evaluation of Groundwater Microbial Profiles in Response to Treated Wastewater Discharge. Environmental Research 2020, 180, 108835, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.108835.
- 946 95. Culley, A.I. Metagenomic Analysis of Coastal RNA Virus Communities. Science 2006, 312, 1795–1798, 947 doi:10.1126/science.1127404.
- 948 96. Vlok, M.; Lang, A.S.; Suttle, C.A. Marine RNA Virus Quasispecies Are Distributed throughout the Oceans. mSphere 2019, 4, doi:10.1128/mSphereDirect.00157-19.
- 950 97. López-Bueno, A.; Rastrojo, A.; Peiró, R.; Arenas, M.; Alcamí, A. Ecological Connectivity Shapes Quasispecies Structure of RNA Viruses in an Antarctic Lake. Mol Ecol 2015, 24, 4812–4825, doi:10.1111/mec.13321.
- 98. Fernández-Correa, I.; Truchado, D.A.; Gomez-Lucia, E.; Doménech, A.; Pérez-Tris, J.; Schmidt-Chanasit, J.; Cadar, D.; Benítez,
 953 L. A Novel Group of Avian Astroviruses from Neotropical Passerine Birds Broaden the Diversity and Host Range of
 954 Astroviridae. Scientific Reports 2019, 9, 9513, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-45889-3.
- 99. Salomon, P.S.; Imai, I. Pathogens of Harmful Microalgae. In Ecology of Harmful Algae; Granéli, E., Turner, J.T., Eds.;
 956 Ecological Studies; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006; Vol. 189, pp. 271–282 ISBN 978-3-540-32209-2.
- 957 100. Negreiros, N.F.; Santos-Wisniewski, M.J. dos; Santos, R.M. dos; Rocha, O. The Influence of Environmental Factors on the
 958 Seasonal Dynamics and Composition of Rotifera in the Sapucaí River Arm of Furnas Reservoir, MG, Brazil. Biota Neotrop.
 959 2010, 10, 173–182, doi:10.1590/S1676-06032010000400023.
- 960 101. Pagarete, A.; Chow, C.-E.T.; Johannessen, T.; Fuhrman, J.A.; Thingstad, T.F.; Sandaa, R.A. Strong Seasonality and Interannual Recurrence in Marine Myovirus Communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013, 79, 6253–6259, doi:10.1128/AEM.01075-13.
- 962
 102. Mojica, K.D.A.; Brussaard, C.P.D. Factors Affecting Virus Dynamics and Microbial Host–Virus Interactions in Marine
 963 Environments. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2014, 89, 495–515, doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12343.
- 964
 103. Duffy, S. Why Are RNA Virus Mutation Rates so Damn High? PLoS Biol 2018, 16, e3000003, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000003.
 104. Hewson, I.; Bistolas, K.S.I.; Button, J.B.; Jackson, E.W. Occurrence and Seasonal Dynamics of RNA Viral Genotypes in Three Contrasting Temperate Lakes. PLoS One 2018, 13, e0194419, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194419.
- 967
 968
 968
 969
 969
 969
 969
 960
 960
 960
 961
 961
 961
 962
 963
 964
 964
 965
 965
 966
 966
 967
 968
 968
 969
 969
 969
 969
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 961
 962
 963
 964
 964
 964
 965
 964
 965
 965
 966
 966
 966
 967
 967
 967
 968
 968
 969
 968
 969
 968
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
- 970
 106. Delpy, F.; Pagano, M.; Blanchot, J.; Carlotti, F.; Thibault-Botha, D. Man-Induced Hydrological Changes, Metazooplankton Communities and Invasive Species in the Berre Lagoon (Mediterranean Sea, France). Marine Pollution Bulletin 2012, 64, 1921– 1932, doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.06.020.
- 973 107. Conde-Porcuna, J.M. Relative Importance of Competition with Daphnia (Cladocera) and Nutrient Limitation on Anuraeopsis
 974 (Rotifera) Population Dynamics in a Laboratory Study. Freshwater Biology 2000, 44, 423–430, doi:10.1046/j.1365975 2427.2000.00582.x.