

## Enhanced 3D solid finite element formulation for rotor dynamics simulation

Zihan Shen, Benjamin Chouvion, Fabrice Thouverez, Aline Beley

## ► To cite this version:

Zihan Shen, Benjamin Chouvion, Fabrice Thouverez, Aline Beley. Enhanced 3D solid finite element formulation for rotor dynamics simulation. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 2021, 195, pp.103584. 10.1016/j.finel.2021.103584. hal-03390409

## HAL Id: hal-03390409 https://hal.science/hal-03390409

Submitted on 13 Jun 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## Enhanced 3D solid finite element formulation for rotor dynamics simulation

Zihan Shen<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Benjamin Chouvion<sup>a,c</sup>, Fabrice Thouverez<sup>a</sup>, Aline Beley<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ecole Centrale de Lyon, LTDS, CNRS UMR 5513, 69130 Ecully, France <sup>b</sup>ANSYS-France, 69100 Villeurbanne, France <sup>c</sup>Centre de recherche de l'Ecole de l'air, 13300 Salon-de-Provence, France

#### Abstract

In this paper, an enhanced solid finite element formulation for rotor dynamics analysis is presented. The element kinematics is first defined with a Total Lagrangian formulation in order to account for possible centrifugal stiffening effect and its associated pre-stress deformation. The novelty of the threedimensional element proposed in this study is then to correctly consider the effect of inertia due to small rotational deformation occurring around the pre-stressed equilibrium position. The rotational motion is characterized by interpolation of the translational degrees of freedom of the element. The accuracy and convergence of the proposed finite element are tested on several numerical examples consisting of a rotating rigid disk and a rotating Timoshenko beam with different slenderness ratios. All results agree well with analytical solutions excerpted from literature and demonstrate the usefulness and applicability of the proposed finite element formulation.

Preprint submitted to Finite Elements in Analysis & Design

April 4, 2021

© 2021 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

*Email address:* zihan.shen@doctorant.ec-lyon.fr (Zihan Shen)

*Keywords:* rotor dynamics; finite element formulation; spin-softening; centrifugal-stiffening

#### 1 1. Introduction

Performing a direct association between three-dimensional (3D) designs 2 and finite element (FE) simulations is current practice in many engineering 3 applications to shorten the development cycle time. In rotating machines, 4 as the design of rotors is developed via 3D software to accurately define for 5 instance advanced geometrically complex blades, the utilization of 3D solid 6 FE modeling is inevitable for a good prediction of the dynamics behavior. 3D 7 solid FEs have been widely employed to investigate the dynamic responses 8 to various rotating structures, such as rotating beam-like structures [1, 2], rotating annular plates [3], and rotating cylinders [4]. 10

When the rotor is considered as a rigid body, the definition of the ro-11 tational motion is clear. If a general flexible structure is tackled, the de-12 formation parameter and the angular velocity vary simultaneously from a 13 material point to another within the rotating body. Without rotational de-14 grees of freedom, one cannot correctly define this rotation at each particle 15 contained in the continuous structure. Thus, it is not straightforward to 16 characterize exactly what the spin speed of the rotor is within the solid FE 17 model [5] and this needs particular attention. In the conventionally used 18 3D solid FE formulation developed by Genta [5], the rotational moment of 19 inertia is neglected. Such elements become inappropriate especially for thick 20

and/or large rotor in which these moments have a first-order influence on the
structural dynamics [6, 7]. None of the above researches [1, 2, 3, 4] takes the
inertial effects into consideration.

Models that account for rotational inertia effects have been developed in 24 simpler structures such as a rotating beam [8] or a rotating Mindlin plate [9] 25 equipped with rotational degrees of freedom. However, to the best of au-26 thors' knowledge, the investigation of rotational inertia effects on rotating 27 structures using 3D solid FE formulation is very limited. The first attempt 28 was presented by Géradin and Kill [6]. They introduced a particular particle-29 attached reference frame whose orientation was used to characterize the 30 particle rotational motion. The rotation was defined by spatial derivatives 31 of translational degrees of freedom. However, the axisymmetric hypothesis 32 made prevents the application of its kinematic description to a more general 33 case. 34

Commercial software, such as ANSYS [10] and NASTRAN [11], account 35 for the inertial effects in their solid FE formulation only when the dynamics 36 analysis is performed in a fixed (inertial) reference frame. However, most of 37 the rotor dynamics models involving isotropic bearing system are developed 38 in the rotating reference frame because it is convenient to express the equa-30 tions of motion using constant dynamic matrices (given in [12] for instance). 40 The absence of rotational inertia for solid elements makes the derivation of 41 these dynamic matrices a challenging task. This complexity has limited the 42 use of these 2D and 3D elements for modeling rotor components [7]. 43

The above review reveals the potential benefit of a 3D solid FE taking the rotational inertia effects into consideration in a general way as there is an industrial demand in both rotor design companies and commercial software developers. The aim of this paper is therefore to develop a new solid element involving these inertial effects. Its main contribution is to propose an enhanced 3D solid FE formulation that can lead to a more general and appropriate kinematic description of rotating structures.

The rotating reference frame approach introduced by Géradin [6] serves 51 as the basis for the proposed development and is here extended to include 52 nonlinear deformation generated by centrifugal force and rotational inertia 53 effects. In order to avoid the axisymmetry hypothesis, a general approxima-54 tion of rotation-translation relation is introduced. In Section 2, the equations 55 of motion, including the effects of the moment of inertia in rotating struc-56 tures, are established using Hamilton's principle. A Total-Lagrangian for-57 mulation is applied to correctly predict the centrifugal-stiffening effect which 58 is fundamental for accurate simulations under large spin-speed. The general 50 formulation of the centrifugal stiffening developed in this section is also an 60 original aspect of this paper. Finally, following the more classical procedure 61 of FE discretization detailed in Section 3, the free vibration of different rotat-62 ing structures are analyzed in Section 4 to validate the proposed formulation. 63 Results on the natural frequencies are compared with those obtained from 64 analytical or semi-analytical solutions found in the literature. 65

#### 66 2. Enhanced kinematics relation including rotational inertia effects

Géradin and Kill in [6] first developed a new approach to formulate a fi-67 nite element of rotating structures. They defined a dynamic reference frame 68 for each point in the body according to the fact that, in a flexible continuum, 69 the deformation and angular velocity change from point to point. With an in-70 finitesimal displacement hypothesis, the Lagrangian and Eulerian description 71 were considered as coincident. The objective of this section and novelty of 72 this paper is to extent their approach into a more general and more versatile 73 3D solid form with the least possible approximations. 74

#### 75 2.1. Kinematics description

In this paper, we consider a general solid rotating with an imposed constant spin speed  $\Omega$  about an axis (Oz) and want to express the system dynamics in the rotating reference frame. The two frames  $(O; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})$  and  $(O; \boldsymbol{x}_r, \boldsymbol{y}_r, \boldsymbol{z}_r)$  in Fig. 1 denote respectively the stationary and rotating reference frames. The position vector of a general point P in the stationary reference frame is related to its expression in the rotating reference frame with the orthogonal rotation matrix  $\boldsymbol{H}$  given as:

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\Omega t\right) & -\sin\left(\Omega t\right) & 0\\ \sin\left(\Omega t\right) & \cos\left(\Omega t\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)



Figure 1: Stationary and rotating reference frames

Let consider an arbitrary material point P whose initial coordinate vector  $p_i$ , with respect to the rotating reference, is written as:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 & z_0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(2)

The rigid body translation field  $\boldsymbol{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_x & c_y & c_z \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$  and the deformational displacement field  $\boldsymbol{u}_{def} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{def} & v_{def} & w_{def} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$  are then introduced to move particle from  $\boldsymbol{p}_i$  to  $\boldsymbol{p}'$  such that:

$$\boldsymbol{p}' = \boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{u}_{def} + \boldsymbol{c} \tag{3}$$

This is illustrated in Fig. 2a. Subsequently, in order to associate each material point with a particular rotational orientation, we define an auxiliary local dynamic frame noted  $(\boldsymbol{p}_n; \boldsymbol{x}_0^d, \boldsymbol{y}_0^d, \boldsymbol{z}_0^d)$  attached to every material point  $\boldsymbol{p}_n$  in the current configuration  $C_n$ . The transformation used to create the dynamic reference frame follows the definition of 3D Euler rotations. These rotations, <sup>93</sup> whom details are illustrated in Appendix B, are: a rotation  $\theta_x$  about the <sup>94</sup>  $\boldsymbol{x}_r$ -axis, a rotation  $\theta_y$  about the new  $\boldsymbol{y}_r$ -axis, and finally a  $\theta_x$  rotation <sup>95</sup> about the new  $\boldsymbol{z}_d$ -axis. The above three successive rotations are similar to <sup>96</sup> a rigid body rotation applied on each material particle (the corresponding <sup>97</sup> transformation matrix  $\boldsymbol{R}$  is given in Eq. (B.1) in Appendix B).



(b) Summarized kinematics

Figure 2: Proposed kinematic relation

<sup>98</sup> Due to the combined rigid body translation c, rigid body rotations R<sup>99</sup> and deformational displacement  $u_{def}$ , the general material point p initially <sup>100</sup> located at  $p_i$  is subsequently displaced to p' and then transformed into the <sup>101</sup> current configuration  $p_n$ . The coordinate vector of the particle in  $C_n$  with <sup>102</sup> respect to the rotating reference frame becomes (see Fig. 2b):

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n = \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{p}' = \boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{u}_{def} + \boldsymbol{c}\right) \tag{4}$$

#### 103 2.2. Kinetic energy analysis

The velocity  $\boldsymbol{v}_s$  of the general particle  $\boldsymbol{p}_n$  in  $C_n$  with respect to the stationary reference frame is given, using (4), by:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{s} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{p}_{n} \right) = \dot{\boldsymbol{H}} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{p}' + \boldsymbol{H} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{p}' + \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R} \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}'$$
(5)

where subscript  $\{ \}_s$  represents the stationary reference frame. The kinetic energy of the structure, noted  $\Pi_0$ , is defined with:

$$\Pi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{^{0}V} \boldsymbol{v}_s^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}_s \mathrm{d}^0 V \tag{6}$$

where  ${}^{0}V$  represents the undeformed configuration. Using Eqs. (5) and (6) gives the following expression for the kinetic energy:

$$\Pi_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0_{V}} \left\{ \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime} + {\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} + {\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{H}} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} + 2 {\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} + 2 {\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R} \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime} + 2 {\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{R} \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\prime} \right\} \mathrm{d}^{0} V$$

$$(7)$$

In order to express the kinetic energy in a quadratic form in terms of 110 the proposed generalized coordinates  $\boldsymbol{q}_0 \left( \boldsymbol{q}_0 = \left| \boldsymbol{u}_{def}^{\mathrm{T}}, \theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z, \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \right|^{\mathrm{T}} \right)$  and 111 their time-derivatives  $\dot{q}_0$ , the transformation **R** shall be limited to a second-112 order approximation in terms of the elemental rotations  $(\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z)$ . This 113 approximation is explained in Appendix B. Substituting the expansion of 114 R given in Eq. (B.4) into Eq. (7) and neglecting the high-order terms with 115 respect to  $\boldsymbol{q}_0$  and  $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_0$  gives the following kinetic energy which is in  $\boldsymbol{q}_0$ -related 116 quadratic form: 117

$$\Pi_{0}^{quad} = \int_{0_{V}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{M}_{0} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{0} + \Omega \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{0} \boldsymbol{q}_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} \boldsymbol{q}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{K}_{0,\Omega} \boldsymbol{q}_{0} \right. \\ \left. + \Omega \boldsymbol{f}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{0} + \Omega^{2} \boldsymbol{f}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{q}_{0} + E \right\} \mathrm{d}^{0} V$$

$$(8)$$

where  $M_0$ ,  $G_0$  and  $K_{0,\Omega}$  are respectively the mass, gyroscopic and spin-118 softening stiffness matrices with respect to  $q_0$ . The term in  $f_1$  will vanish in 119 the Lagrange's equation because  $f_1$  is time-independent. Once differentiated 120 with respect to  $q_0$ , the term in  $f_2$  will generate the centrifugal force. The 121 last term E is a constant and represents the rigid-body kinetic energy due to 122 the imposed uniform rotation  $\Omega$  about the z-axis. The explicit expressions 123 of matrices, vectors and constant terms in Eq.(8) are given in Appendix C. 124 All three types of motions (deformation, rigid-body translational and rigid-125 body rotational) contribute to these matrices. The effects of Coriolis and 126 spin-softening associated with the rotational motion of spin speed  $\Omega$  are well 127 taken into account in  $\Pi_0^{quad}.$  The proposed kinematics therefore offers a 128

<sup>129</sup> general description able to model rotating structures.

The formulation proposed in this paper is built using only the translational degrees of freedom of solid finite elements. Therefore, it will be necessary to establish a relationship between the components of  $q_0$  and these degrees of freedom. This is the focus of the next section.

#### 134 2.3. Continuum kinematic approximation

An efficient approximation of  $q_0$  can be derived from the basic definition of deformation in continuum mechanics. The position  $p_n$  of the particle in the current configuration can also be defined with a simple general translation field u which coincides with the description of the degrees of freedom of the solid finite element such that:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n = \boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{u} \tag{9}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_r & v_r & w_r \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$  represents the general translations of the particle with respect to the rotating reference frame. By introducing the definition of general in-homogeneous deformation [13] in classical continuum kinematics, we may write:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_n = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{r} \tag{10a}$$

$$= \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{r} \tag{10b}$$

$$= \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{p}_i + \underbrace{(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{I}) \, \mathbf{p}_i}_{\mathbf{u}_{def}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{c}}) \tag{10c}$$

140 where:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = [\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_n] = \boldsymbol{I} + [\nabla \boldsymbol{u}] \tag{11}$$

In these expressions,  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator, F represents the deformation gradient (second-order tensor) [14], r is the spatial non-uniform rigid body translation [13]. R and U are respectively the rigid body rotation tensor and the right stretch tensor obtained from the polar decomposition of F = RU. Comparing Eq. (10c) with Eq. (4) shows that R represents the same rigid body rotation in both kinematics description. By equating Eq. (9) and Eq. (10),  $u_{def}$  and c can be given in form of continuum mechanics terms as:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{def} = (\boldsymbol{U} - \boldsymbol{I}) \, \boldsymbol{p}_i = \left( \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{F} - \boldsymbol{I} \right) \boldsymbol{p}_i$$
  
$$\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \left( \boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{F} \right) \boldsymbol{p}_i + \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{u}$$
(12)

Both expressions in Eq. (12) are of second-order in the general translation field  $\boldsymbol{u}$ . In order to keep the kinetic energy expression in Eq. (8) in a quadratic form, Eq. (12) should be approximated into a first-order form with respect to  $\boldsymbol{u}$  before substituting the  $\boldsymbol{q}_0$ -value into Eq. (8). Following the infinitesimal deformation theory [15], the following consistent linearization of Eq. (12) will be used:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{def} = \left( \left[ \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \right] + \left[ \boldsymbol{\omega} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \boldsymbol{p}_{i}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}} = - \left[ \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \right] \boldsymbol{p}_{i} + \boldsymbol{u}$$
(13)

where  $[\nabla u]$  is the displacement gradient matrix and  $[\omega]$  is the skew-symmetric rotation tensor. The detailed analytical developments can be found in Ap156 pendix D.

<sup>157</sup> We may note that the **R**-matrix in Eq. (4), parameterized by the angles <sup>158</sup>  $\theta_x$ ,  $\theta_y$  and  $\theta_z$ , and the **R**-matrix in Eq. (10) extracted from deformation <sup>159</sup> gradient describe identical kinematics. Thus, it is possible to relate the angles <sup>160</sup>  $\theta_x$ ,  $\theta_y$  and  $\theta_z$  to the translations at the point of interest by:

$$\theta_{x} (\boldsymbol{p}_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_{r}}{\partial y_{0}} - \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial z_{0}} \right)$$
  

$$\theta_{y} (\boldsymbol{p}_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial z_{0}} - \frac{\partial w_{r}}{\partial x_{0}} \right)$$
  

$$\theta_{z} (\boldsymbol{p}_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{0}} - \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial y_{0}} \right)$$
(14)

Similar rotation-translation relations can also be found in various refer-161 ences [5, 16]. However, only a few of them provide full coupled expressions 162 and a detailed demonstration. In order to verify the proposed kinematic re-163 lation of Eq. (14), a different explicit development can be found in Appendix 164 E. One may also notice that the approximated rotations in Eq. (14) are first-165 order of general translation. Thus, for the sake of simplicity, we can keep 166 the rotation-related quadratic kinetic energy terms of Eq. (8) as a function 167 of  $(\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z)$  until the discretization step. It is only at this point that the 168 spatial derivatives of Eq. (14) will be used. 169

The kinetic energy in Eq. (8) is then expressed as a quadratic form in the general translation  $\boldsymbol{u}$  by substituting  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{def}$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}$  from Eq. (13) into Eq. (8). It gives:

$$\Pi^{quad} = \Pi_0^v + \Pi_1^v + \Pi_2^v + \Pi_3^v \tag{15}$$

- <sup>173</sup> The different components of  $\Pi^{quad}$  are detailed next:
- the relative kinetic energy:

$$\Pi_0^v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0_V} \rho \left( \dot{u}_r^2 + \dot{v}_r^2 + \dot{w}_r^2 \right) \mathrm{d}^0 V \tag{16}$$

175 It will provide the mass matrix after discretization.

• the coupling kinetic energy, function of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Pi_{1}^{v} = \Omega \left[ \int_{0_{V}} \rho \left( \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \right) \left( \dot{v}_{r}(x_{0} + u_{r}) - \dot{u}_{r}(y_{0} + v_{r}) + x_{0}(\dot{u}_{r}\theta_{z} + \dot{\theta}_{z}u_{r}) - y_{0}(\dot{w}_{r}\theta_{y} + \dot{\theta}_{y}w_{r}) + y_{0}^{2}/2(\dot{\theta}_{x}\theta_{y} + \dot{\theta}_{y}\theta_{x}) - x_{0}^{2}/2(\dot{\theta}_{x}\theta_{y} + \dot{\theta}_{y}\theta_{x}) + x_{0}y_{0}(\dot{\theta}_{x}\theta_{x} - \dot{\theta}_{y}\theta_{y}) - \frac{x_{0}z_{0}}{2}(\dot{\theta}_{y}\theta_{z} + \dot{\theta}_{y}\theta_{z}) + \frac{y_{0}z_{0}}{2}(\dot{\theta}_{x}\theta_{z} + \dot{\theta}_{x}\theta_{z}) \right) \mathrm{d}^{0}V \right]$$

$$(17)$$

176

#### It will generate the gyroscopic matrix after discretization.

• the spin softening kinetic energy, function of  $\Omega^2$ :

$$\Pi_{2}^{v} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{2} \left[ \int_{0_{V}}^{0} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right) \left( \left(x_{0}^{2} + u_{r}^{2}\right) + \left(y_{0}^{2} + v_{r}^{2}\right) + 2w_{r}\left(x_{0}\theta_{y} - y_{0}\theta_{x}\right) + 2\theta_{z}\left(y_{0}u_{r} - x_{0}v_{r}\right) + \left(\theta_{x}y_{0} - \theta_{y}x_{0}\right)^{2} + \theta_{z}^{2}\left(x_{0}^{2} + y_{0}^{2}\right) - x_{0}z_{0}\theta_{x}\theta_{z} - y_{0}z_{0}\theta_{y}\theta_{z} \right) \mathrm{d}^{0}V \right]$$
(18)

After discretization, this will provide not only the standard spin-softening
 matrix but also original terms that couple position and rotation.

$$\Pi_{3}^{v} = \Omega^{2} \int_{0_{V}} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right) \left[x_{0}u_{r} + y_{0}v_{r} + \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}^{2} + y_{0}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{d}^{0}V \qquad(19)$$

According to Lagrange's equation, this term will give rise to an inertial force  $f_{\Omega}$  given by:

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\Omega} = \Omega^2 \int_{^{0}V} \left[ \rho\left(\boldsymbol{p}_i\right) \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_i \right] \mathrm{d}^0 V$$
(20)

where  $\mathbf{A} = \text{diag}([1,1,0])^1$ .  $\mathbf{f}_{\Omega}$  is a geometry-dependent centrifugal force vector. It can be treated like a static load. The force  $\mathbf{f}_{\Omega}$  depends simultaneously on the updated mass density  $\rho(\mathbf{p}_i)$  and on the updated mass particle distribution  $\mathbf{p}_i$ . The particular effect of this term will be detailed in Section 2.5.

Rotational effects, due to the consideration of the rotational motion in the kinematics description, are now present in both coupling kinetic energy and centrifugal softening kinetic energy. This is not the case in a purely linear description of the kinematics. In Section 2.4, the extended rotation-included kinematic relation will be applied into strain energy evaluation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>diag is the diagonal matrix operator

#### 192 2.4. Strain energy analysis

We consider in this paper the dynamic behavior of rotating systems at 193 high spin speed with respect to a rotating reference frame. It is crucial to 194 calculate the pre-stressed state of the system, deformed under centrifugal 195 force, around which the final equation of motion of the rotating structure is 196 established. In the case that the spin speed is important and the associated 197 centrifugal deformation is large, the conventional linear perturbation method 198 based on in-extensible model is no longer accurate. Thus, in order to pre-199 cisely predict the static displacement generated by the centrifugal force and 200 to accurately evaluate the centrifugal stiffening effect, the Total-Lagrangian 201 formulation is adopted in this paper. 202

The kinematic relation  $\tilde{p}_{n,d}$  of Eq. (E.2) is projected into the rotating reference frame with the transformation matrix in Eq. (B.4) in order to evaluate the strain energy. Removing higher order terms yields to the second-order approximation:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,r} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

where  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,r}$  represents the coordinate vector of a particle in the current configuration  $C_n$ , expressed in the rotating reference frame. The conventional solid element formulation for rotor dynamics analysis in [5, 17, 18] includes the first and second terms of Eq. (21). By introducing the local dynamic frame, the second-order rotation-related (third and fourth terms) terms are also taken into account leading to a more accurate relation to perform the strain energy analysis.

Using this extended kinematic relation, some forces and displacements are applied on the solid so that its geometry changes from the initial configuration  $(C_0)$  to the current configuration  $(C_n)$ . The one-to-one mapping from a particular material point in  $(C_0)$  with coordinate vector  $\mathbf{p}_i$  to a point with coordinate vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{n,r}$ , given in Eq. (21), in the deformed geometry can also be written as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,r} = \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i, t \right) \tag{22}$$

where the displacement vector  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$  of a particle P, expressed in the rotating reference frame, is simply the difference between the final position  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,r}$  and the initial position  $\boldsymbol{p}_i$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$  can be expressed as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

According to the Total-Lagrangian formulation, the strain measure of the flexible body in the rotating reference frame is defined by Green-Lagrangian (G-L) strains with respect to the initial configuration. Using the G-L strain measure referencing to  $C_0$ , the total strain energy  $\Pi_s$  of the deformed body 227 is [19]:

$$\Pi_{s} = \int_{0_{V}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right)^{T} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{e} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}^{0} V$$
(24)

where  ${}^{0}V$  represents the volume domain of the initial undeformed configuration  $C_0$ ; e is the G-L strain tensor written in a vector form; and C is the constitutive matrix of the material assumed to be linear elastic, homogeneous and isotropic, defined using Lame's coefficients  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  as:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + 2\mu & \lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & \lambda + 2\mu & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \lambda + 2\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \mu & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \mu & 0 \\ & & & & & \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$
(25)

According to the Lagrange's equation, the internal elastic force  $f_s$  can be obtained by differentiating the total strain energy (Eq. (24)) with respect to the displacement vector in the rotating reference frame  $u_r$ . This gives:

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{r}} \left( \int_{0_{V}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{e} \left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{e} \left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right) \right] \mathrm{d}^{0} \boldsymbol{V} \right)$$
(26)

In order to equilibrate the static load generating from the centrifugal force, the iterative Newton-Raphson method will be used. It then needs the 237 tangent elastic force matrix  $K_s$  given by:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{s} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_{s}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{r}} \tag{27}$$

Eq. (26) gives the internal elastic force. However, in order to evaluate the centrifugal stiffening effect, one must still carefully define the pre-stress due to centrifugal force. This will be detailed in the next section.

#### 241 2.5. Centrifugal stiffening effect evaluation

The above total strain energy and its corresponding elastic force are ex-242 pressed referencing to the initial configuration  $C_0$ . However, because the 243 inertial force (centrifugal load) of the material point is function of both its 244 current position coordinates and its current mass density (which changes 245 with deformation) [20], the centrifugal load should also be expressed with 246 respect to the current configuration  $C_n$ . Thus, in order to accurately solve 247 the centrifugal load corresponding static equation, a Total-Lagrangian (T-248 L) based process is proposed where the initial position becomes the current 249 configuration state prior to some incremental change. T-L approach offers 250 advantages since the initial configuration remains constant which simplifies 251 formulation and computation. Following the same kinematic relation, the 252 expression of the centrifugal force density of the displaced point P, noted 253  $f_{\Omega}^{p}$ , as a function of the displacement is given by 254

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\Omega}^{p}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)=\Omega^{2}\rho\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)\boldsymbol{A}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)$$
(28)

where  $\rho$  is the mass density of this particular point P in the current deformed configuration. The centrifugal force applied on the deformed body is then expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\Omega} = \int_{n_V} \left[ \Omega^2 \rho \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \boldsymbol{A} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}^n V$$
(29)

where  ${}^{n}V$  represents the volume of the current deformed configuration  $C_{n}$ . Using the following mass conservation property [21]:

$$\int_{0_V} \left[ \rho \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) J - \rho_0 \right] \mathrm{d}^0 V = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \rho \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) J - \rho_0 = 0 \qquad (30)$$

where J is the determinant of the deformation gradient (equivalent to the change of volume), and changing the integration domain ( $d^n V = J d^0 V$ ), the expression of the centrifugal force (29) can be finally transformed into a form of integration over  $C_0$  with a constant mass density  $\rho_0$ :

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{\Omega} = \int_{0_V} \left[ \Omega^2 \frac{\rho_0}{J} \boldsymbol{A} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \right] J d^0 V = \int_{0_V} \left[ \Omega^2 \rho_0 \boldsymbol{A} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_i + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \right] d^0 V \qquad (31)$$

This later expression gives a direct relationship between the centrifugal load and the displacement taking account for both the current material point coordinates and its actualized mass density. The internal elastic force coming from the elastic deformation is equal to the centrifugal force supplemented by all other external forces. This gives the following equilibrium at a given spin speed:

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\right) = \boldsymbol{f}_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{r},\Omega\right) + \boldsymbol{f}_{ext}$$
(32)

where  $f_{ext}$  is the applied external forces and  $u_r$  is the unknown initial displacement vector that equilibrates both forces. To solve the above static boundary value problem, the iterative Newton-Raphson method [22] can be applied.

After finding the converged displacement  $u_r$ , the centrifugal-stiffening 274 effect can be correctly evaluated. The updated mass density will be reused 275 in the following dynamic analysis in order to improve the model accuracy. In 276 other words, the final set of dynamic matrices used to perform the vibration 277 analysis are established around the centrifugal-equilibrium configuration  $C_{eq}$ . 278 This configuration may have a large change of mass distribution when a high 279 spin speed is applied. Substituting the found initial displacement  $u_r$  into 280 Eq. (30) gives us the expression of the updated mass distribution as: 281

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{J\left(\boldsymbol{u}_r, \boldsymbol{p}_i\right)} \tag{33}$$

The kinematics presented in Section 2.1 will then be applied on the new 'initial' configuration  $C_{eq}$  with a new updated mass distribution calculated with Eq. (33).

In the numerical applications presented in Section 4, the changes of mass density due to the centrifugal pre-stress are all naturally embedded in the numerical process.

#### 288 3. Finite element discretization

There are many different approaches to discretize a solid in a FE method [23, 289 24]. In this paper, the 20-node second order hexahedron element with uni-290 form reduced numerical integration technique is applied. This element has 8 291 nodes on corners and 12 mid-side nodes, with only translational degrees of 292 freedom. Its advantages are its accuracy in 3D complex geometry modeling, 293 its robustness in shear and volume locking and its tolerance under coarse 294 mesh. By opposition to first order elements (4-node tetrahedral element or 295 8-node hexahedron element), the 20-node second order element does not re-296 quire an enhanced strain technique [25]. This simplifies its strain-related 297 formulation. 298

#### 299 3.1. Iso-parametrized mapping

Two different discretized models are used for respectively the centrifugal stiffening analysis and the dynamic analysis. The centrifugal stiffening model, based on T-L formulation, is discretized upon  $C_0$ . On the contrary, the discretized model for the dynamic analysis is based on the new centrifugal equilibrium configuration  $C_{eq}$ . Particular attention must be paid to update the change of mass density in the discretized dynamic model.

#### 306 3.1.1. Discretized centrifugal stiffening model

In the centrifugal stiffening analysis, the initial configuration  $C_0$  is used as basic reference. The material point coordinates vector  $p_i$  in  $C_0$  and its corresponding translational displacement vector  $\boldsymbol{u}$  are interpolated using a 20-node iso-parametric formulation. They are expressed by:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \varphi_{i}\left(\xi, \eta, \zeta\right) \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \varphi_{i}\left(\xi, \eta, \zeta\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \quad (34)$$

where  $\varphi_i$  is the *i*-th nodal shape function (explicitly expressed in [10] for instance) with local coordinates  $-1 \leq \xi, \eta, \zeta \leq 1$ .  $\boldsymbol{x}_i^0$  represents the *i*-th nodal coordinates in  $C_0$  and  $\boldsymbol{u}_i$  denotes the *i*-th nodal translational displacement vector with respect to its initial coordinates  $\boldsymbol{x}_i^0$  in  $C_0$ .

In order to realize the reduced integration operation, one defines the Jacobian mapping matrix  $J_0$  from the initial configuration  $C_0$  to the isoparametric parenting reference by taking the derivative of  $p_i$  as [22]:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i},\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{0} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}$$
(35)

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  contains the three local coordinates. With the use of the above defined Jacobian  $J_0$ , the integration over the element domain in the initial configuration  ${}^{0}V^{e}$  can be converted into the integration over the isoparametric reference element. This is achieved using the following relation:

$$\int_{{}^{0}V^{e}} \mathrm{d}^{0}V^{e} = \iiint_{-1}^{+1} \int \det\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\right) \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}\eta \mathrm{d}\zeta$$
(36)

322

One can also express the discretized form of the displacement gradient

323  $\partial \boldsymbol{u}/\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i$  as:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \boldsymbol{u}_i \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{J}_0^{-1}$$
(37)

#### 324 3.1.2. Discretized dynamic model

Following the explanation given in Section 2, it is possible to get the equilibrium configuration  $C_{eq}$  by updating the mass distribution (Eq. (33)) and equilibrating the centrifugal force. In this section, we consider that the effect of change of geometry has already been taken into account and will define the dynamics governing equation on  $C_{eq}$ .

Assuming that  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is known after resolving the boundary value problem (Eq. (32)), the material point *P*'s coordinates vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  and its related translational displacement vector  $\boldsymbol{u}_r$  can be interpolated with nodal coordinates as:

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \varphi_i \left(\xi, \eta, \zeta\right) \boldsymbol{x}_i^{eq} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{u}_r = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \varphi_i \left(\xi, \eta, \zeta\right) \boldsymbol{u}_i^r \quad (38)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{eq}$  is the element's *i*-th node's position vector in  $C_{eq}$ . Using the same technique as the one explained in Section 3.1.1, the mapping from the physical configuration  $C_{eq}$  to the isoparametric reference, and the discretized form of the displacement gradient with respect to  $C_{eq}$  are given by:

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{0}^{eq}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{eq} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{r}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}^{eq}\right)^{-1} \quad (39)$$

#### 338 3.2. General equation of motion and derivation of its matrices

After substituting Eqs. (38) and (39) into the quadratic form of the kinetic energy (Eqs. (16), (17) and (18)) and applying Lagrange's equations, the motion of the solid linearized around the centrifugal stiffened equilibrium configuration can be defined with the following differential equation:

$$\boldsymbol{M}\{\ddot{u}_{i}^{r}\}_{r} + \boldsymbol{G}\{\dot{u}_{i}^{r}\}_{r} + (\boldsymbol{K}_{s}(\{x_{i}^{eq}\}) + \boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega})\{u_{i}^{r}\} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(40)

The expression of  $K_s$  was directly given in Eq. (27).

For the sake of simplicity, in the following detailed expression of the 344 matrices M, G and  $K_{\Omega}$ , we will employ the notations:  $N = \{\varphi_i\}$  is the 345 vector of element's shape functions ;  $N_x = \{\varphi_{i,x}\}, N_y = \{\varphi_{i,y}\}$  and  $N_z =$ 346  $\{\varphi_{i,z}\}$  are respectively the vector of spatial derivative of shape functions along 347 x-direction, y-direction and z-direction. All the above spatial differentiations 348 are with respect to the centrifugal-stiffened equilibrium configuration  ${\cal C}_{eq}$ 349 which can be evaluated by using Eq. (39). Finally, (x, y, z) represents the 350 position of a general particle in the solid element. Using the above mentioned 351 notations, the characteristic matrices of Eq. (40) are: 352

• the mass matrix M:

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \iiint_{-1}^{+1} \rho_0 / \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_i \right) \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{N} \end{bmatrix} \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

$$(41)$$

where  $\det J(x, p_i)$  is the change of volume calculated from Eq. (33).

• the gyroscopic matrix G:

354

355

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \iiint_{-1}^{+1} \Omega \rho_0 / \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_i \right) \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} & -2\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ 2\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\zeta}$$

$$(42)$$

• and the spin-softening stiffness matrix  $K_{\Omega}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega} = \iiint_{-1}^{+1} \Omega^{2} \rho_{0} / \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \right) \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{core}} \boldsymbol{G} \det \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \quad (43)$$

 $_{357}$  where the matrix of rotation-inclusion G is:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N \\ 0 & -N_z/2 & N_y/2 \\ N_z/2 & 0 & -N_x/2 \\ -N_y/2 & N_x/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(44)

and the core spin-softening matrix  $\boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega}^{ ext{core}}$  is:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega}^{\text{core}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -y & y^2 & -xy & -(xz)/2 \\ 0 & 0 & x & -xy & x^2 & -(yz)/2 \\ y & -x & 0 & -(xz)/2 & -(yz)/2 & x^2 + y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(45)

The major difference in the proposed formulation compared with conventional solid FE for rotating structures [1, 2, 5] lies in the spin-stiffness matrix  $K_{\Omega}$  of Eq. (43). In these references, the spin-stiffness matrix used is defined with:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\Omega} = \iiint_{-1}^{+1} \Omega^{2} \rho_{0} \tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{K}}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{core}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{G}} \mathrm{det} \boldsymbol{J} \left( \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\xi} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\zeta}$$
(46)

where  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{K}_{\Omega}^{\text{core}}$  contains only the top three components of G and  $K_{\Omega}^{\text{core}}$ . In practical applications, once the centrifugal stiffened stationary configuration is determined, the natural frequencies and mode shapes of the rotating model can be calculated by solving the general equation of motion (40) in state-space.

#### <sup>368</sup> 4. Numerical application

In this section, the natural frequencies of different rotating structures are calculated with the proposed formulation and compared with analytical

- <sup>371</sup> expression or conventional FE method.
- 372 4.1. Rotating rigid disk



Figure 3: Rotating rigid disk configurations: (a) circular disk, (b) elliptic disk

The proposed enhanced solid element is first applied to a rotating circular (axisymmetric) and a rotating elliptic (non-axisymmetric) rigid disks. The objective is to compare our formulation with analytical results detailed in Appendix F.

The systems studied are illustrated in Fig. 3. The inner hollow surfaces of the disks are attached with mass-less rigid link to their geometry center which coincides with the origin O. This point is connected to stationary reference frame with translational and rotational springs.  $(O; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})$  denotes the stationary reference frame, whereas  $(O; \boldsymbol{x}_r, \boldsymbol{y}_r, \boldsymbol{z}_r)$  is a rotating frame attached to the disk. The point O is also supported with isotropic translational and rotational bearings of given stiffnesses. The disks are rotating with a constant spin speed  $\Omega$ .

In order to turn the FE model into a rigid model (in other word, to exclude the effects incoming from flexibility), the Young's modulus of the material used in the FE model is arbitrarily set extremely high. With respect to the rigid body hypothesis, no pre-stress is considered. For each disk, the linear stiffness matrix (calculated with the appropriate boundary conditions and a very high Young's modulus) at the initial configuration of the model is directly applied in the modal analysis.

A convergence analysis is performed for the rotating circular and elliptic rigid disks under different spin-speeds. Fig. 4 illustrates the normalized ratio between the natural frequency obtained via the proposed model and the one from analytical solution, see Appendix F, as a function of the number of elements, for different modes and different spin speeds in both circular and elliptic cases.



Figure 4: Convergence analysis on the natural frequencies of the first 6 modes of a circular disk ((a) and (b)) and an elliptic disk ((c) and (d)) as a function of the number of elements and for different rotating speeds ((a) and (c):  $\Omega = 10000$  rad/s, (b) and (d):  $\Omega = 20000$  rad/s

The evolution of the natural frequencies of the six rigid body motion modes as a function of the spin speed are next compared between the proposed FE formulation, the conventional FE method [5] and analytical solutions. The results are illustrated in Fig. 5 for a circular disk and in Fig. 6 for an elliptic disk. These figures show that the frequencies are very well predicted by applying the proposed solid FE formulation. On the other hand, as the rotational inertia effects play an important role for large values of spin speed, the conventional method that neglect these effects is not able to predict correctly the three rigid rotational modes (mode 4, 5 and 6) in the whole spin speed range.



Figure 5: Campbell diagram for a rigid circular disk. Comparison between the analytical solution (-), (a): the conventional method  $(\blacktriangle)$ , and (b): the proposed method  $(\blacktriangle)$ 



Figure 6: Campbell diagram for a rigid elliptic disk. Comparison between the analytical solution (-), (a): the conventional method ( $\blacktriangle$ ), and (b): the proposed method ( $\bigstar$ ).

The numerical values used for the simulations are given next. The circular 408 disk outer radius  $r_0$  is 0.4 m, whereas the elliptic disk has got a radius on its 409 major-axis  $r_a$  of 0.5 m and a radius on its minor-axis  $r_b$  of 0.4 m. Their inner 410 radius  $r_i$  and thickness h are respectively 0.01 m and 0.2 m. Other numerical 411 values are: Young's modulus:  $2.1 \times 10^{20}$  Pa; Poisson's ratio 0.3, translational 412 bearing stiffness  $K_t$ : 10<sup>10</sup> N/m, rotational bearing stiffness  $K_r$ ; 10<sup>10</sup> Nm/rad 413 for circular and elliptic cases. The circular and elliptic disks are discretized 414 respectively with 96 elements and 72 elements, as illustrated in Fig. 7. 415



Figure 7: Finite element mesh (a): circular disk with 96 elements, and (b): elliptic disk with 72 elements

These two numerical applications validate the proposed enhanced kinematic description in this specific rigid body case. The objective of the next application is to validate the whole process, from the consideration of the centrifugal stiffening to the dynamical analysis around the pre-deformed state.

#### 420 4.2. Rotating thick beam

In this section, the proposed enhanced solid element is applied to a rotating flexible beam as the one illustrated in Fig. 8. The beam is clamped on one end, and is rotating with a constant spin speed  $\Omega$  around the  $z_r$ -axis. The  $y_r$ -axis is chosen to coincide with the centroid axis of the undeformed structure, and  $x_r$ -axis and  $z_r$ -axis are chosen to be the principle directions of the cross-section. The beam has an uniform rectangular cross-section and is made of elastic isotropic material.



Figure 8: Geometry of a thick rotating Timoshenko beam

The following set of dimensionless parameters will be employed in the 428 numerical applications related to this test-case: the dimensionless spin speed 429  $K = \Omega L \sqrt{\rho/E}$ , the dimensionless natural frequency  $k = \omega L \sqrt{\rho/E}$  and the 430 slenderness ratio  $\eta = 2\sqrt{3}L/h$ , where L is the length of the beam,  $\rho$  its 431 density, E its Young's modulus, h the width of the cross-section along the  $z_r$ 432 direction, and  $\omega$  the natural frequency. To verify the accuracy and versatility 433 of the present method, numerical examples obtained for different slenderness 434 ratios  $(\eta = 10, 20, 50)$  are investigated. 435

We first study the convergence of our approach with respect to the number 436 of elements in the mesh discretization. Results on the natural frequencies of 437 the first 6 lateral modes with different slenderness ratios and different spin 438 speeds are compared with analytical reference found in the literature [26] 439 based on Timoshenko beam theory. Both centrifugal stiffening effect and 440 Coriolis effect are considered. Fig. 9 illustrates the normalized ratio (ratio 441 between the natural frequency obtained from the proposed method and the 442 analytical one used as reference [26]) as a function of the number of elements, 443

<sup>444</sup> for different modes and spin speed.



Figure 9: Ratio between the natural frequencies obtained from the proposed approach and the one from [26], as a function of the number of elements and for different slenderness ratios. (a):  $\eta = 10$ , (b):  $\eta = 20$  and (c):  $\eta = 50$ 

We next compare the natural frequencies of flap-wise and lag-wise modes of vibration obtained for given values of spin speed (K = 0.05 and K = 0.1) between our formulation, the conventional method [5] and reference [26] for different slenderness ratios ( $\eta = 10, 20, 50$ ). The same number of elements (125 elements) are used in our formulation and the conventional FE method. Results are presented in Table 1.

$\eta$	K		LW $1$		FW 1		LW $2$		FW 2		LW 3		FW 3	
10	0.05	А	0.330	2.22%	0.334	2.15%	1.480	1.75%	1.483	1.76%	3.241	2.16%	3.241	2.16%
		В	0.330	2.22%	0.334	2.15%	1.480	1.75%	1.483	1.76%	3.241	2.16%	3.242	2.18%
		Ref.	0.323		0.327		1.455		1.458		3.172		3.173	
	0.1	А	0.332	2.77%	0.347	2.45%	1.486	1.74%	1.497	1.79%	3.252	2.03%	3.256	2.06%
		В	0.330	2.30%	0.346	2.03%	1.487	1.82%	1.498	1.85%	3.257	2.19%	3.261	2.21%
		Ref.	0.323		0.339		1.460		1.471		3.188		3.190	
20	0.05	А	0.176	1.73%	0.183	1.62%	0.982	1.99%	0.984	2.03%	2.414	2.94%	2.415	2.93%
		В	0.176	1.73%	0.183	1.62%	0.982	1.99%	0.984	2.03%	2.415	2.95%	2.415	2.93%
		Ref.	0.173		0.180		0.963		0.965		2.345		2.346	
	0.1	А	0.179	2.01%	0.206	1.64%	0.997	1.68%	1.005	1.84%	2.432	2.70%	2.434	2.67%
		В	0.179	2.01%	0.205	1.47%	1.001	2.01%	1.008	2.15%	2.438	2.95%	2.440	2.91%
		Ref.	0.175		0.203		0.981		0.987		2.368		2.371	
50	0.05	А	0.074	1.89%	0.090	1.33%	0.458	2.30%	0.460	2.88%	1.255	6.25%	1.256	6.25%
		В	0.074	1.89%	0.090	1.33%	0.459	2.52%	0.461	3.10%	1.257	6.44%	1.258	6.43%
		Ref.	0.073		0.089		0.447		0.448		1.181		1.182	
	0.1	А	0.082	1.55%	0.129	0.61%	0.488	0.10%	0.498	0.10%	1.285	4.37%	1.289	4.36%
		В	0.082	1.55%	0.130	0.98%	0.504	2.80%	0.511	2.68%	1.305	6.05%	1.310	6.01%
		Ref.	0.080		0.129		0.487		0.498		1.231		1.235	

Table 1: Dimensionless natural frequencies for lag-wise (LW) and flap-wise (FW) modes of the rotating beam calculated with different approaches (A: proposed method, B: conventional FE method, based on beam theory [26]) with different slenderness ratios  $\eta$  under dimensionless spin speed K. The percentage corresponds to the difference between the FE approaches and [26] taken as reference.

Table 1 shows that the results found with the proposed formulation are slightly closer to the solutions based on Timoshenko beam theories than results generated by conventional FE formulation. However, the differences between the 2 FE approaches are not substantial in this example. This is most probably because the effects of rotational inertia included in our <sup>456</sup> model are here almost negligible. The next application deals with this matter
<sup>457</sup> and focuses on a structure in which these effects are expected to be more
<sup>458</sup> important.

459 4.3. Inclined rotating thick beam



Figure 10: Geometry of a thick rotating inclined Timoshenko beam

As our last example, the proposed enhanced solid element is applied to 460 the inclined rotating beam shown in Fig. 10. The configuration of the beam 461 is the same as in Fig. 8, except that the axial direction of the beam is inclined 462 with an angle  $\beta$  in the plane  $(\boldsymbol{x}_r, \boldsymbol{z}_r)$ . When the beam is inclined, the coupling 463 between centrifugal force and rotational inertia is expected to play a more 464 important role. Thus, the differences of natural frequencies obtained by the 465 proposed method and by the conventional method may become more visible. 466 In the following numerical applications, a moderate thick beam  $(\eta = 20)$  is 467 studied as a practical example. We use 64 elements in the simulations. The 468 inclination angle  $\beta$  may take different values. 469

β	Κ		LW 1	FW 1	LW 2	FW 2	LW 3	FW 3
		А	0.173	0.191	1.009	1.024	2.663	2.679
	0.05	В	0.173	0.190	1.010	1.025	2.669	2.683
10º			-0.14%	0.29%	-0.16%	-0.10%	-0.22%	-0.14%
10		А	0.174	0.214	1.011	1.042	2.636	2.681
	0.1	В	0.176	0.212	1.025	1.051	2.685	2.709
			-0.86%	0.92%	-1.33%	-0.87%	-1.81%	-1.00%
		А	0.164	0.198	0.998	1.029	2.647	2.681
	0.05	В	0.165	0.197	1.002	1.032	2.661	2.690
20°			-0.50%	0.37%	-0.43%	-0.29%	-0.53%	-0.53%
20		А	0.159	0.224	0.965	1.041	2.509	2.558
	0.1	В	0.165	0.221	1.010	1.061	2.672	2.720
			-3.44%	1.24%	-4.49%	-1.92%	-6.10%	-5.94%
		А	0.148	0.210	0.976	1.036	2.615	2.673
	0.05	В	0.150	0.210	0.986	1.044	2.646	2.701
40°			-1.10%	0.24%	-1.05%	-0.73%	-1.19%	-1.05%
40		А	0.124	0.241	0.855	1.027	2.185	2.270
	0.1	В	0.138	0.241	0.978	1.077	2.645	2.739
			-9.94%	0.08%	-12.58%	-4.67%	-17.37%	-17.12%

Table 2: Dimensionless natural frequencies for lag-wise (LW) and flap-wise (FW) modes of the rotating inclined beam calculated with different approaches (A: proposed method, B: conventional method) with slenderness ratio  $\eta = 20$  under different dimensionless spin speeds K and inclination angles  $\beta$ .

In Table 2, the first 6 flap-wise and leg-wise natural frequencies obtained 470 with the present method are compared with those obtained by the conven-471 tional method [5]. The frequencies obtained by the proposed method are 472 smaller than the ones simulated by conventional solid elements. The differ-473 ence percentage increases with the inclination angle. By considering rota-474 tional inertia, the newly proposed spin-softening matrix tends to reduce the 475 natural frequencies and even more so for an inclined beam. The inclusion 476 of rotational inertia effects in the formulation corrects the overestimation of 477 the frequency simulated with the classic solid formulation. 478

#### 479 5. Conclusion

This paper presents an extended kinematic description of rotating structures based on a 3D solid FE formulation that takes rotational inertia effect into account. The novelty of this formulation lies on the introduction of a particle-attached reference frame to characterize the rotational orientation. Furthermore, a general rotation-translation relation is also introduced to approximate the rotation under small rotation hypothesis.

Based on the Total Lagrangian method, a general procedure to evalu-486 ate the effect generated by the displacement-dependent centrifugal load was 487 provided. The centrifugal stiffened pre-deformed state is then used to char-488 acterize the new equations of motion. Different numerical applications have 480 shown that the proposed formulation has great potential in the FE simula-490 tion of rotating structures using 3D solid elements. Indeed, as it correctly 491 accounts for the rotational effects of inertia of the elements, the proposed 492 approach is appropriate for modeling both rigid and flexible structure at 493 high spin speed. This will help to accurately predict the vibration behavior 494 of rotating system. Compared to the conventional FE approach currently 495 employed in commercial FE softwares, our methodology provides more accu-496 rate natural frequencies that are not overestimated from neglected rotational 497 inertia effects. 498

The formulation was chosen in terms of pure translational degrees of freedom in order to be directly compatible with existing FE commercial codes. It could have been more straightforward to develop an element with both translational and rotational degrees of freedom, but this would be hardly compatible with existing codes. Therefore, the original proposed FE formulation not only is more accurate to simulate complex rotor dynamics effects but also has a high potential for industrial implementation.

#### 506 Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of ANSYS-France.

#### 509 References

- [1] B. Bediz, L. Romero, O. Ozdoganlar, Three dimensional dynamics of
   rotating structures under mixed boundary conditions, Journal of Sound
   and Vibration 358 (2015) 176–191. doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2015.08.015.
- [2] Y. J. Kee, S. J. Shin, Structural dynamic modeling for rotating
  blades using three dimensional finite elements, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 29 (4) (2015) 1607–1618. doi:10.1007/
  s12206-015-0332-6.
- [3] J. Yu, Dynamic analysis of rotor-bearing systems using threedimensional solid finite elements, Psychological Science 25 (9) (2014)
   1682–1690. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2.
- <sup>520</sup> [4] C. F. Liu, J. F. Lee, Y. T. Lee, Axisymmetric vibration analysis of <sup>521</sup> rotating annular plates by a 3d finite element, International Journal

- of Solids and Structures 37 (41) (2000) 5813–5827. doi:10.1016/ s0020-7683(99)00256-5.
- [5] G. Genta, Dynamics of rotating systems, Springer, 2005. doi:10.1007/
   0-387-28687-x.
- [6] M. Geradin, N. Kill, A new approach to finite element modelling of
  flexible rotors, Engineering Computations 1 (1) (1984) 52–64. doi:
  10.1108/eb023560.
- [7] D. Kumar, Rotordynamic analysis using 3d elements in fixed and rotat ing reference frame, in: Volume 1: Advances in Aerospace Technology,
   ASME, 2016. doi:10.1115/imece2016-67043.
- [8] Z. Shen, B. Chouvion, F. Thouverez, A. Beley, J.-D. Beley, Nonlinear vibration of rotating co-rotational 2d beams with large displacement, Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power 141 (5) (2018) 051008–1.
  doi:10.1115/1.4041024.
- [9] S. H. Hashemi, S. Farhadi, S. Carra, Free vibration analysis of rotating
  thick plates, Journal of Sound and Vibration 323 (2008) 366–384. doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2008.12.007.
- <sup>539</sup> [10] ANSYS, ANSYS APDL mechanical theory reference (Oct. 2012).
- [11] D. Kumar, MSC Nastran 2018: rotordynamics user's guide, MSC Software (2018).

- [12] M. I. Friswell, J. E. T. Penny, S. D. Garvey, A. W. Lees, Dynamics of
  rotating machines, Cambridge University Press, 2009. doi:10.1017/
  cbo9780511780509.
- <sup>545</sup> [13] R. W. Ogden, Non-linear Elastic Deformations, Dover Publications,
  <sup>546</sup> 1997.
- [14] N. H. Kim, Introduction to nonlinear finite element analysis, Springer,
   2018. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-1746-1.
- [15] G. T. Mase, R. E. Smelser, G. E. Mase, Continuum mechanics for engineers, CRC Press, 2010.
- [16] M. Filippi, E. Carrera, Dynamic analyses of axisymmetric rotors through
  three-dimensional approaches and high-fidelity beam theories, Journal
  of Vibration and Acoustics, Transactions of the ASME 139 (6) (2017)
  1-7. doi:10.1115/1.4036927.
- <sup>555</sup> [17] M. I. Friswell, J. E. T. Penny, S. D. Garvey, A. W. Lees, Dynamics of
  <sup>556</sup> rotating machines, Cambridge University Press, 2009. doi:10.1017/
  <sup>557</sup> cbo9780511780509.
- <sup>558</sup> [18] A. Vollan, L. Komzsik, Computational techniques of rotor dynamics
  <sup>559</sup> with the finite element method, CRC Press, 2017. doi:10.1201/b11765.
- [19] R. B. Borst, M. A. Crisfield, J. C. Remmers, C. V. Verhoosel, Nonlinear
  finite element analysis of solids and structures, Wiely, 2012.

- [20] G. Dhondt, The finite element method for three-dimensional thermomechanical applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2004. doi:10.1002/
  0470021217.
- <sup>565</sup> [21] T. Belytschko, W. K. Liu, B. Moran, K. Elkhodary, Nonlinear finite
  <sup>566</sup> elements for continua and structures, John wiley & sons, 2013. doi:
  <sup>567</sup> 10.5860/choice.38-3926.
- [22] N. H. Kim, Introduction to nonlinear finite element analysis, Springer,
   2018. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-1746-1.
- <sup>570</sup> [23] Z. Sindel, S. Tezcan, Tangent stiffness properties of finite elements,
   <sup>571</sup> Computers & Structures 58 (2) (1996) 351–365. doi:10.1016/
   <sup>572</sup> 0045-7949(95)00130-9.
- <sup>573</sup> [24] K. J. Bathe, Finite element procedures, Klaus-Jurgen Bathe, 2016.
- J. C. Simo, M. S. Rifai, A class of mixed assumed strain methods and the method of incompatible modes, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 29 (8) (1990) 1595–1638. doi:10.1002/nme.
  1620290802.
- 578 [26] S. C. Lin, K. M. Hsiao, Vibration analysis of a rotating timoshenko
   <sup>579</sup> beam, Journal of Sound and Vibration 240 (2) (2001) 303–322. doi:
   <sup>580</sup> 10.1006/jsvi.2000.3234.
- [27] O. D. Ernesto, 3D Motion of Rigid Bodies, Springer International Pub lishing, 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-04275-2.

- [28] K. S. Surana, Geometrically nonlinear formulation for the curved shell 583 elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 584 19 (4) (1983) 581-615. doi:10.1002/nme.1620190409. 585
- [29] D. N. Bates, The mechanics of thin walled structures with special refer-586 ence to finite rotations, Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College (1987). 587
- [30] O. Gonzalez, A. W. Stuart, A first course in continuum mechanics, Cam-588 bridge University Press, 2008. doi:10.1017/cbo9780511619571.

589

## <sup>590</sup> Appendix A. Nomenclature

$(O; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})$	stationary reference frame (SRF)
$(O; \boldsymbol{x}_r, \boldsymbol{y}_r, \boldsymbol{z}_r)$	rotating reference frame (RRF)
$(O; oldsymbol{x}_d, oldsymbol{y}_d, oldsymbol{z}_d)$	dynamic reference frame (DRF)
$\theta_x,  \theta_y \text{ and } \theta_z$	classic Euler rotation angles
$()_s,()_r$ and $()_d$	vector expressed respectively in SRF, RRF and DRF
$oldsymbol{x}_0,oldsymbol{x}_0^d$	initial position expressed respectively in RRF and DRF
$\boldsymbol{u}$	general translation in RRF
$ ilde{u}$	second-order approximated rotation-included translation in RRF
$oldsymbol{u}_r,oldsymbol{u}_d$	elastic translation expressed respectively in RRF and DRF
$ ilde{oldsymbol{x}}_r$	second-order approximated position in RRF
$ ilde{oldsymbol{x}}_d$	first-order approximated position in DRF
$r_o$ and $r_i$	circular disk outer and inner radius
$r_a$ and $r_b$	radius on its major-axis and minor-axis
E	Young's modulus
L	length of beam
h	thickness of beam
ρ	mass density
β	inclination angle
ω	natural frequency
Ω	global spin speed
$k = \omega L \sqrt{\rho/E}$	dimensionless natural frequency

$K = \Omega L \sqrt{\rho/E}$	dimensionless spin speed
$\eta = 2\sqrt{2}L/h$	dimensionless slenderness ratio
$K_r, K_t$	translational and rotational stiffness of bearing
$ ho_0$	initial mass density
$arphi_i$	shape function of $i$ -th node in element
N	vector of elemental shape functions
$N_x, N_y$ and $N_z$	spatial derivative of $\boldsymbol{N}$ along respectively $x$ -, $y$ - and $z$ -direction
J	change of volume
${}^{0}V$	undeformed volume
$^{n}V$	current deformed volume
$R_{u_r}$	translational associated rotational operator
H	rotation matrix with spin speed $\Omega$
T	transformation matrix from SRF to DRF
D	transformation matrix of Euler angles
e	Green-Lagrange strain vector
C	constitutive matrix of material
$\Pi_v$ and $\Pi_s$	kinetic and strain energies
$\Pi_v^{quad}$	quadratic kinetic energy
$\Pi_0^v,  \Pi_1^v,  \Pi_2^v \text{ and } \Pi_3^v$	relative, coupling, spin softening and centrifugal kinetic energies
$oldsymbol{f}_s  ext{ and } oldsymbol{f}_\Omega$	internal elastic force and centrifugal force
$oldsymbol{M},oldsymbol{G},oldsymbol{K}_s$ and $oldsymbol{K}_\Omega$	mass, gyroscopic, centrifugal stiffening and spin-softening matrices
$oldsymbol{K}_{\Omega}^{ ext{core}}$	core spin-softening matrix

<sup>591</sup> Appendix B. Second-order approximation for 3D rotation matrix



(c) Rigid rotation  $\theta_z$ 

Figure B.11: Euler angles

The Euler angles (which are also referred as Cardan or Bryant angles [27]) are adopted to parameterize the angular orientation  $\mathbf{R}$  of the particle in Eq. (4). It is assumed that the orthogonal rotation matrix  $\mathbf{R}(\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z)$  results from three successive infinitesimal rotations: firstly, a rotation  $\theta_x$  about the  $\mathbf{x}_r$ -axis (see Fig. B.11a); secondly, a rotation  $\theta_y$  about the new  $\mathbf{y}_r$ -axis (see Fig. B.11b); and finally a  $\theta_x$  rotation about the new  $\mathbf{z}_d$ -axis (see Fig. B.11c). The components of the [3 × 3] transformation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  are:

$$R_{11} = \cos \theta_y \cos \theta_z$$

$$R_{12} = \sin \theta_x \sin \theta_y \cos \theta_z - \cos \theta_x \sin \theta_z$$

$$R_{13} = \cos \theta_x \sin \theta_y \cos \theta_z + \sin \theta_x \sin \theta_z$$

$$R_{21} = \cos \theta_y \sin \theta_z$$

$$R_{22} = \sin \theta_x \sin \theta_y \sin \theta_z + \cos \theta_x \cos \theta_z$$
(B.1)
$$R_{23} = \cos \theta_x \sin \theta_y \sin \theta_z - \sin \theta_x \cos \theta_z$$

$$R_{31} = -\sin \theta_y$$

$$R_{32} = \cos \theta_y \sin \theta_x$$

$$R_{33} = \cos \theta_x \cos \theta_y$$

It can be easily verified that the final Euler-rotation matrix depends on the sequence with which the different rotations were applied. A good example of the issues concerning Euler angles is provided in [28, 29] where it is shown that the finite element model which uses Euler angles is not always independent of this sequence. Thus, in order to get rid of the influence of the lack of uniqueness of Euler angles, the approximation with higher precision
proposed in [29, 18] is applied in this paper. The derivation process of this
approximation is provided next.

The second-order approximation begins with the Rodrigues' rotation formula [29]. Based on the small rotation hypothesis, we can define  $\theta$  the magnitude of the rotation as  $\theta = \sqrt{\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2 + \theta_z^2}$ , and the corresponding normalized cross-product matrix (skew-symmetric rotation tensor) can be given by:

$$[\boldsymbol{\omega}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.2)

 $_{612}$  Subsequently, the rotation matrix R can be alternatively given by:

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{I} + \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}\right] + \frac{(1 - \cos\theta)}{\theta^2} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}\right]^2 \tag{B.3}$$

Substituting  $\sin \theta = \theta$  and  $\cos \theta = 1 - \theta^2/2$  gives the following second-order approximation of  $\mathbf{R}$ , called  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ :

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - (\theta_y^2 + \theta_z^2)/2 & -\theta_z + (\theta_x \theta_y)/2 & \theta_y + (\theta_x \theta_z)/2 \\ \theta_z + (\theta_x \theta_y)/2 & 1 - (\theta_x^2 + \theta_z^2)/2 & -\theta_x + (\theta_y \theta_z)/2 \\ -\theta_y + (\theta_x \theta_z)/2 & \theta_x + (\theta_y \theta_z)/2 & 1 - (\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2)/2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.4)

 $\tilde{R}$  is the same whatever the sequence of Euler angles used.  $\tilde{R}$  and R share the same eigenvectors, which helps to be consistent in the rotational axis definition [27, 29]. In Section 2.2,  $\tilde{R}$  will be used in Eq. (16) to lead to an extended kinematic relation that takes rotational motion into consideration. Finally, the useful first-order approximation of R is given by:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{I} + [\boldsymbol{\omega}] \tag{B.5}$$

# Appendix C. Kinetic energy expression with enhanced kinematics description

The explicit expressions of the different components of Eq. (8) are detailed next:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_{0} & -y_{0} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -z_{0} & 0 & x_{0} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & y_{0} & -x_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & y_{0}^{2} + z_{0}^{2} & -x_{0}y_{0} & -x_{0}z_{0} & 0 & -z_{0} & y_{0} \\ & & x_{0}^{2} + z_{0}^{2} & -y_{0}z_{0} & z_{0} & 0 & -x_{0} \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \text{sym.} & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(C.1)

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -z_{0} & 0 & 2x_{0} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z_{0} & 2y_{0} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{0} & -y_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{0} & 0 & -x_{0} & -x_{0}y_{0} & x_{0}^{2}/2 - y_{0}^{2}/2 + z_{0}^{2} & -3y_{0}z_{0}/2 & z_{0} & 0 & -x_{0} \\ 0 & z_{0} & -y_{0} & x_{0}^{2}/2 - y_{0}^{2}/2 - z_{0}^{2} & x_{0}y_{0} & 3x_{0}z_{0}/2 & 0 & z_{0} & -y_{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{0}z_{0}/2 & -x_{0}z_{0}/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -z_{0} & 0 & 2x_{0} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{0} & 2y_{0} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{0} & -y_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(C.2)$$

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{0,\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -z_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -y_0 & x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & z_0^2 - y_0^2 & x_0 y_0 & -x_0 z_0 / 2 & 0 & -z_0 & -y_0 \\ & z_0^2 - x_0^2 & -y_0 z_0 / 2 & z_0 & 0 & x_0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \text{sym.} & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(C.3)

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -y_{0} & x_{0} & 0 & -x_{0}z_{0} & -y_{0}z_{0} & x_{0}^{2} + y_{0}^{2} & -y_{0} & x_{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(C.4)

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{0} & y_{0} & 0 & -y_{0}z_{0} & x_{0}z_{0} & 0 & x_{0} & y_{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(C.5)

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2 \left( x_0^2 + y_0^2 \right)$$
 (C.6)

## 622 Appendix D. First-order approximation for $u_{def}$ and c

The explicit expression of F in Eq. (11) and the first-order expansion of R in Eq. (B.5) are firstly substituted into Eq. (12) to obtain the following second-order expansion form:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{def}^{(2)} = \left( (\boldsymbol{I} + [\boldsymbol{\omega}])^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} + \nabla [\boldsymbol{u}]) - \boldsymbol{I} \right) \boldsymbol{p}_{0}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(2)} = \left( \boldsymbol{I} + [\boldsymbol{\omega}] \right)^{\mathrm{T}} (-\nabla [\boldsymbol{u}]) \boldsymbol{p}_{0} + \left( \boldsymbol{I} + [\boldsymbol{\omega}] \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{u}$$
(D.1)

Eq. (13) is then obtained by taking the first-order approximation of Eq. (D.1).

## Appendix E. Demonstration of relationship between rotational and translational displacements

In order to find a relationship between local rotations and displacements, the coordinate vector of a particle in the current configuration is firstly projected into the dynamic reference as:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{n,d} = \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_0 + \boldsymbol{u} \right) \tag{E.1}$$

Since the elastic rotational and translational displacements of p are supposed infinitesimally small,  $p_{n,d}$  is then first-order approximated as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,d} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + u_r + \theta_z y_0 - \theta_y z_0 \\ y_0 + v_r - \theta_z x_0 + \theta_x z_0 \\ z_0 + w_r + \theta_y x_0 - \theta_x y_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(E.2)

At the same time,  $p_n$  can also be directly first-order approximated with the rotational operator  $R_{u_r}$  associated with the given translational displacement field as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n,d} = \boldsymbol{u}_r + \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}_r}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_r \tag{E.3}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{x}_r$  is the initial position of the particle expressed in the rotating reference frame and  $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}_r}$  is the linearized rotational motion operator defined with [30]:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{u}_{r}} = \boldsymbol{I} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left[ \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \right] - \left[ \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \right) + O\left( \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{2} \right)$$
(E.4)

<sup>640</sup>  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator with respect to the equilibrium configuration and <sup>641</sup>  $\epsilon = \det(\nabla u)$ . Finally, by equating Eq. (E.2) and Eq. (E.3), the consistent <sup>642</sup> approximation of elastic rotations can be given as a function of the elastic <sup>643</sup> translations:

$$\theta_{x} (\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_{r}}{\partial y_{0}} - \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial z_{0}} \right)$$
  

$$\theta_{y} (\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial z_{0}} - \frac{\partial w_{r}}{\partial x_{0}} \right)$$
  

$$\theta_{z} (\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial x_{0}} - \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial y_{0}} \right)$$
  
(E.5)

## Appendix F. Analytical equations of motion of a rotating rigid disk

The governing equations of motion derived in the rotating reference frame for a general rigid disk can be found, for instance, in [12, 18]. The free translational and rotational motions of the disk are decoupled and are governed by:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}_r + \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}_r + \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{u}}\boldsymbol{u}_r = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{F.1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_r + \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_r + \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_r = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(F.2)

where  $\boldsymbol{u}_r$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_r$  contain respectively the translational and rotational degrees of freedom expressed in the rotating reference frame. The characteristic matrices of these equations are:

$$\boldsymbol{M_{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{G_{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2\Omega m & 0 \\ 2\Omega m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
and 
$$\boldsymbol{K_{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{t} - \Omega^{2}m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{t} - \Omega^{2}m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(F.3)

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{yy} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Omega \left( I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz} \right) & 0\\ \Omega \left( I_{xx} + I_{yy} - I_{zz} \right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
and 
$$\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} K_r - \Omega^2 \left( I_{yy} - I_{zz} \right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & K_r - \Omega^2 \left( I_{yy} - I_{zz} \right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & K_r \end{bmatrix}$$
(F.4)

<sup>646</sup> m is the mass of the disk,  $I_{xx}$ ,  $I_{yy}$  and  $I_{zz}$  are its three moments of inertia <sup>647</sup> along the three principal axes (which are here chosen to coincide with the <sup>648</sup> three axes of the rotating reference frame).  $K_r$  and  $K_t$  are respectively the <sup>649</sup> rotational and translational stiffnesses constraining the disk. The natural <sup>650</sup> frequencies used as reference in Section 4.1 are the solutions of Eqs. (F.1) <sup>651</sup> and (F.2).