



**HAL**  
open science

# Reaction between Graphene Oxide and Intracellular Glutathione Affects Cell Viability and Proliferation

Baojin Ma, Shi Guo, Yuta Nishina, Alberto Bianco

► **To cite this version:**

Baojin Ma, Shi Guo, Yuta Nishina, Alberto Bianco. Reaction between Graphene Oxide and Intracellular Glutathione Affects Cell Viability and Proliferation. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2021, <10.1021/ac-sami.0c17523>. <hal-03388508>

**HAL Id: hal-03388508**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03388508v1>**

Submitted on 20 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

## Reaction between Graphene Oxide and Intracellular Glutathione Affects Cell Viability and Proliferation

Journal:	<i>ACS Applied Materials &amp; Interfaces</i>
Manuscript ID	am-2020-17523u.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Ma, Baojin; CNRS, UPR3572, Immunopathologie et Chimie Thérapeutiques Guo, Shi; CNRS, UPR3572, Immunopathologie et Chimie Thérapeutiques Nishina, Yuta; Okayama University, Research Core for Interdisciplinary Sciences Bianco, Alberto; CNRS, UPR3572, Immunopathologie et Chimie Thérapeutiques

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Reaction between Graphene Oxide and Intracellular Glutathione Affects Cell Viability and Proliferation

*Baojin Ma, Shi Guo, Yuta Nishina, Alberto Bianco\**

B. Ma, S. Guo, A. Bianco

CNRS, Immunology, Immunopathology and Therapeutic Chemistry, UPR3572, University of Strasbourg, ISIS, Strasbourg, 67000, France

E-mail: [a.bianco@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr](mailto:a.bianco@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr)

Y. Nishina

Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama, 700-8530, Japan

Research Core for Interdisciplinary Sciences, Okayama University, Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama, 700-8530, Japan

Keywords: carbon nanomaterial, reduction, oxidation, reactive oxygen species, cancer cells

1  
2  
3  
4 **ABSTRACT:** Graphene oxide (GO) is currently developed for biomedical applications as a  
5  
6 promising nanoplatform for drug delivery, phototherapy and biosensing. As a consequence, its  
7  
8 safety and cytotoxicity issues have attracted enormous attention. It has been demonstrated that  
9  
10 GO causes an increase of intracellular oxidative stress, likely leading to its cytotoxicity and  
11  
12 inhibition of cell proliferation. Being one of the main reductive intracellular substances,  
13  
14 glutathione (GSH) is vital in the regulation of the oxidative stress level to maintain normal  
15  
16 cellular functions. In this study, we found that GSH could be oxidized to GSSG by GO, leading  
17  
18 to the formation of reduced GO (rGO). GSH depletion affects the intracellular  
19  
20 reductive/oxidative balance, provoking the increase of ROS level, sequentially inhibiting cell  
21  
22 viability and proliferation. Therefore, the reaction between GO and GSH provides a new  
23  
24 perspective to explain the origin of GO cytotoxicity.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## INTRODUCTION


Graphene oxide (GO) was broadly used like a platform in the biomedical domain for drug delivery, photothermal therapy, biosensing, or tissue engineering thanks to its excellent physicochemical properties, biocompatibility and biodegradability.<sup>1-3</sup> For example, GO functionalized with a chemotactic peptide was able to deliver doxorubicin efficiently for cancer therapy, and could be biodegraded by myeloperoxidase through the induced neutrophil degranulation.<sup>4</sup> Luminol-functionalized and silver nanoparticle-decorated GO was used to detect aflatoxin M1 as a sensitive electrochemiluminescence biosensor.<sup>5</sup> In view of these applications, it is mandatory to assess the toxicity and biosecurity of GO.<sup>6,7</sup>

Many reports have demonstrated that GO possesses low cytotoxicity even at high concentrations. Especially purified GO was found to display low cytotoxic effects (up to a concentration of 100  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) *in vitro*, and does not provoke inflammatory effects or formation of granuloma *in vivo*.<sup>8,9</sup> Currently, the general belief is that the increase of the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by GO is the main reason for its cytotoxicity.<sup>10-12</sup> GO-caused ROS could damage the mitochondria and activate apoptosis/autophagy.<sup>13</sup> It has been reported that the oxidation degree of GO surface, surface charge and the amount of carbon radicals have significant influence in determining the damages of cell membrane, the peroxidation of lipids, and the cellular toxicity.<sup>14-16</sup> Notably, a double mechanism, implying an uncontrolled production of hydroxyl radicals and the generation of intermediates involved in the oxidation of cytochrome c, was put forward to explain the toxicity of GO induced by stress in *C. elegans*.<sup>17</sup> However, until now, a detailed study about a direct reaction between GO and

1  
2  
3  
4 intracellular reductive molecules (especially glutathione, GSH) to explain the cytotoxicity of  
5  
6 this material was missing.  
7

8  
9 It is recognized that GSH has a fundamental role in regulating intracellular oxidation/reduction  
10  
11 balance. Few studies reported that molecules with thiol groups could reduce GO,<sup>18-20</sup> meaning  
12  
13 that GO could oxidize intracellular GSH. Very recently, Wang *et al.* described the impact of  
14  
15 GO epoxides on the mechanism of direct oxidation of the thiol groups in GSH at the atomic  
16  
17 scale using density functional theory.<sup>21</sup> However, this study did not establish a direct  
18  
19 connection between GO-mediated GSH oxidation and cell viability.  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 In our study, we found that GO could be reduced by GSH at physiological temperature,  
25  
26 consequently oxidizing GSH to GSSG. Meanwhile, a little amount of GSH was covalently  
27  
28 linked to rGO to form rGO-GSH. This allowed us to establish the association between GO-  
29  
30 mediated intracellular GSH oxidation and cell impact. After incubation with GO at different  
31  
32 concentrations, the viability and proliferation of HeLa cells slightly diminished. Meanwhile,  
33  
34 the value of the intracellular GSH/GSSG ratio decreased and the content of ROS increased.  
35  
36 The GSH depletion affected the oxidation-reduction balance, leading to an increase of ROS  
37  
38 level, sequentially reducing the viability and inhibiting proliferation (**Figure 1**). Therefore, the  
39  
40 reaction between GO and GSH suggests a new paradigm on the origin of GO cytotoxicity.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




**Figure 1.** Illustration of the scheme of the reaction between GO and intracellular GSH.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Reaction between GO and GSH.** To imitate the intracellular reaction between GO and GSH, GO was incubated with GSH at physiological temperature (37°C). Raman measurement was performed to characterize the reduction degree of GO. Meanwhile, FT-IR spectra and thermogravimetric (TG) curves were acquired to show the surface functional group changes. Following the addition of GSH, the color of GO gradually changed from typical brown to black, providing a visual proof of the redox reaction between GO and GSH (**Figure 2a**, inset). The shift of absorbance spectra of rGO-GSH to higher wavelength (from ~230 nm to ~260 nm) also proved the reduction of GO (**Figure 2a**).<sup>22,23</sup> The increase of  $I_D/I_G$  value in Raman spectra suggests the formation of new small graphene domains after the reduction of GO (**Figure 2b**), similar to previous reports.<sup>24,25</sup> These results evidence the reduction of GO into rGO by GSH. Furthermore, the influence of pH and temperature on the reaction between GO and GSH was evaluated. Changes of the color and absorbance of the conjugate were observed and measured (**Figure S1**). It is clear that a high temperature accelerates the reaction rate, while pH has a slight effect on the reaction.


1  
2  
3  
4 The starting GO and its reduced form were also characterized by FT-IR (Figure 2c). The peak  
5  
6 at  $\sim 1727\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was assigned to C=O stretching band of carboxylic or carbonyl groups.<sup>26</sup> The  
7  
8 peaks at  $\sim 1227\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1048\text{ cm}^{-1}$  correspond to epoxy and alkoxy groups, respectively.<sup>27</sup>  
9  
10  
11 The peak at  $\sim 1623\text{ cm}^{-1}$  was attributed to carbon-carbon vibrations of non-oxidized graphitic  
12  
13 domains.<sup>28</sup> After reduction with GSH, the intensity of the bands of the oxygenated functional  
14  
15 groups obviously decreased, meaning that some of these groups on GO surface have been  
16  
17 reduced by GSH. Besides, we did not observe -SH band at  $\sim 2515\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,<sup>29,30</sup> confirming that  
18  
19 almost no free GSH molecules were adsorbed onto GO surface (Figure S2). Furthermore, TG  
20  
21 analysis allowed to show that the main weight loss of GO and rGO-GSH took place at  $\sim 220\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$   
22  
23 and  $\sim 200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. This is related to the removal of the labile functional groups (Figure  
24  
25 2d).<sup>20</sup> Besides, there was a second main weight loss of rGO-GSH at  $\sim 260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (Figure 2d, blue  
26  
27 arrow), which could be attributed to covalently connected GSH on the surface of rGO (*vide*  
28  
29 *infra*).<sup>31</sup> According to transmission electron microscopy (TEM) results, GO resulted well  
30  
31 dispersed (Figure 2e), and the average size is  $\sim 500\text{ nm}$  measured by ImageJ (Figure S3). In  
32  
33 contrast, rGO-GSH appeared more aggregated (Figure 2f). Therefore, we can conclude again  
34  
35 that GO was reduced to rGO-GSH after reacting with GSH.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 2.** Characterization of the redox reaction between GO and GSH at 37 °C for 6 h. (a) Absorbance spectra (inset shows the digital picture of GO and rGO-GSH). (b) Raman spectra. (c) FT-IR spectra. (d) TG-DTA curves. (e) TEM images of GO at different magnification. (f) TEM images of rGO-GSH at different magnification.

**Characterization of surface properties.** Next, we analyzed the nature of the functional groups on the surface of GO and rGO-GSH by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Compared to GO, the O/C ratio of rGO-GSH decreased from 46.9% to 38.1% (Figure S4). In the high-resolution spectrum, the area of the C-C/C=C band increased from 37.9% (GO) to 42.6% (rGO-GSH). Inversely, the area of the C-O and C=O peaks decreased from 45.8% to 40.2% and from 13.8% to 7.2%, respectively, after GO reacted with GSH (**Figure 3a** and c). Therefore, some of oxygenated functional groups were eliminated by reduction with GSH.<sup>32,33</sup> In addition, we

1  
2  
3  
4 have also evidenced the presence of S-O bonds introduced onto GO surface during the sulfuric  
5 acid treatment used for the preparation of GO from graphite (Figure 3b). Notably, a new small  
6 peak was observed at  $\sim 163.5$  eV, and attributed to S-C bond. The appearance of this peak  
7 confirmed the opening of the ring epoxides by the thiol group of GSH (Figure 3d).<sup>20,34,35</sup>  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Therefore, combined with the results of FT-IR analysis, we can conclude that a little amount  
15  
16  
17 of GSH was covalently bound to the surface of rGO.



43 **Figure 3.** High resolution XPS spectra of GO and rGO-GSH. (a) and (b) high-resolution C1s  
44 and S2p of GO. (c) and (d) high-resolution C1s and S2p of rGO-GSH.


51 **GSH oxidation triggered by GO.** To further confirm the type of products generated during  
52 the reaction between GO and GSH, HPLC spectra of the supernatant were measured.  
53 Comparing the HPLC spectra of starting GSH and GSH maintained at 37 °C for 6 h, the peak  
54 at  $\sim 3.4$  min corresponds indeed to the same molecule (Figure S5a and b). This means that GSH  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 is not oxidized in the absence of GO under the same reaction conditions. However, a strong  
5  
6 new peak at ~5.2 min appeared in the HPLC spectrum of the supernatant after GSH reacted  
7  
8 with GO, corresponding to GSSG (Figure S5c).<sup>36</sup> Meanwhile, the peak intensity of GSH  
9  
10 decreased consistently. Therefore, GSH was oxidized into GSSG by GO. In order to confirm  
11  
12 which functional groups (e.g. SH or NH<sub>2</sub>) are responsible for the reduction of GO, the single  
13  
14 amino acids constituting GSH sequence were reacted with GO. GSH contains glycine, glutamic  
15  
16 acid, and cysteine. GO was mixed with glutamic acid (having one amino and two carboxylic  
17  
18 groups) and cysteine (having one amino, one carboxylic, and one thiol group) at 37 °C for 6 h.  
19  
20 There was almost no color change of GO dispersion after mixed with glutamate (Figure S6).  
21  
22 However, cysteine could reduce GO into rGO, and the color of the GO dispersion turned from  
23  
24 brown to black, which is consistent with a previous study.<sup>18</sup> Meanwhile, the area of the C-  
25  
26 C/C=C increased to 43.1%, and the area of the C-O and C=O decreased to 49.2% and 5.8%,  
27  
28 respectively, after GO reacted with cysteine according to the high resolution XPS spectra of  
29  
30 C1s (Figure S7a). Furthermore, there was also a new peak appeared at ~163.5 eV (S-C bond)  
31  
32 (Figure S7b), which is consistent with the results of rGO-GSH. Therefore, the SH group, rather  
33  
34 than NH<sub>2</sub> or COOH, is involved in the reaction with GO during the redox process.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 **Effect of GO on cell viability and proliferation.** We then decided to study the effect of GO  
49  
50 on viability and proliferation of cancer cells. For this purpose, HeLa cells were cultured with  
51  
52 GO at different concentration for 24 h and 48 h. The viability of HeLa cells was quantified by  
53  
54 MTS test. As shown in **Figure 4a** and **b**, GO displayed low cytotoxicity to HeLa cells. Even at  
55  
56 highest concentrations of 100 µg/mL, HeLa cell viability was still higher than 80% after 48 h.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 According to the bright field images (Figure S8a and b), although GO could inhibit cell  
5 proliferation to a certain extent, cells still kept a good state and displayed a normal morphology.  
6  
7  
8  
9 In addition, there were only a few dead cells both in the control group and GO treated group  
10 according to live/dead staining results (Figure 4c). The relative fluorescence intensity of live  
11 cells stained by calcein AM also confirmed that GO displays low cytotoxicity to HeLa cells  
12 according to live/dead staining results (Figure 4c). The relative fluorescence intensity of live  
13 cells stained by calcein AM also confirmed that GO displays low cytotoxicity to HeLa cells  
14 (Figure S9), consistent with MTS results. This low cytotoxicity could be attributed to the  
15 intracellular self-regulation,<sup>37,38</sup> ensuring the biosafety of GO. Therefore, GO can only slightly  
16 inhibit HeLa cell viability and proliferation. Many reports have demonstrated that GO is able  
17 to inhibit the viability and proliferation of different types of cancer cells, comprising HeLa,  
18 MCF-7, HepG2, SW480, and others, although the inhibition levels were found different.<sup>39-41</sup>  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Consequently, the reaction between intracellular GSH and GO can happen in different cancer cell lines. In this context, we decided to measure the influence of GO on the viability of MCF-7 cells. It is clear that GO reduces MCF-7 cell viability, similarly to HeLa cells (Figure S10).




1  
2  
3  
4 **Figure 4.** Cytotoxicity of GO under different concentration. (a) and (b) GO incubated with  
5  
6 HeLa cells for 24 and 48 h, respectively. (c) Live/dead staining of HeLa cells after GO  
7  
8 treatment for 24 h (GO concentration=100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ). The scale bar is 200  $\mu\text{m}$  (\* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p <$   
9  
10 0.01; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ).

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 To evaluate the impact of GO on normal cell lines, human keratinocyte HaCaT cells were  
18  
19 chosen and treated with GO. Due to the low level of GSH and reduced uptake, GO shows  
20  
21 relatively lower toxicity to these cells. After 48 h, we evidenced that GO slightly affected the  
22  
23 viability of HaCaT cells at the highest concentration tested (corresponding to 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ )  
24  
25 (Figure S11). So, GO can also regulate the viability of normal cells by reacting with  
26  
27 intracellular GSH, although the effects are weaker than that on cancer cells.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34  
35 **GO causes GSH depletion and ROS increase.** In the next experiment, the ratio between GSH  
36  
37 and GSSG inside HeLa cells before and after GO treatment was measured to confirm the  
38  
39 intracellular reaction between GO and GSH. As shown in **Figure 5a**, the intracellular  
40  
41 GSH/GSSG ratio decreased after GO treatment. This means that GO reacted with endogenous  
42  
43 GSH after GO penetration into HeLa cells, leading to a decrease of the ratio between GSH and  
44  
45 GSSG. Meanwhile, we measured the content of intracellular ROS to further show the change  
46  
47 of ROS-associated oxidative stress, caused by GO. The ROS level significantly increased after  
48  
49 GO treatment (Figure 5b). These data evidence that GO provoke an intracellular depletion of  
50  
51 GSH and an increase of ROS, leading to a certain degree of cytotoxicity. GSH is the main  
52  
53 reductive substance that regulates the balance of the intracellular reductive/oxidative  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

processes.<sup>42,43</sup> When GSH is depleted, ROS generated during physiological functions cannot be neutralized. As a consequence, an accumulation of ROS occurs. Several previous studies have confirmed that the accumulated ROS can provoke the impairment of DNA, proteins, and lipids, leading to deleterious effects on cell viability, proliferation, and death.<sup>44-47</sup>

In addition, the increased degree of ROS content was higher than the decrease of GSH, meaning that the reaction between GO and intracellular GSH is just one of the reasons for the increase of GO-triggered oxidative stress. Furthermore, the concentration of intracellular GSH is up to ~10 mM,<sup>48</sup> which is comparable with the reaction concentration of GSH in the test tube (~13 mM). Therefore, it can be hypothesized that intracellular GSH reacts with GO in the same way that happened in the test tube. Previous studies showed that GO inhibited implanted B16 tumor in a mouse model,<sup>49</sup> and could inhibit CT26 tumor growth, enhance cell death, autophagy, and immune cell infiltration.<sup>50</sup> Thus, it is reasonable to think that the proposed hypothesis most likely works in tumor models.



**Figure 5.** Change of intracellular ratio between GSH and GSSG (a) and ROS content (b) before and after GO (50  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) treatment for 24 h (\* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, GSH could be oxidized to GSSG by GO, along with the formation of rGO-GSH at 37 °C. Correspondingly, *in vitro* results uncovered that the intracellular GSH/GSSG ratio decreased and ROS content increased after GO treatment. As the main reductive substance, the depletion of GSH would further lead to an increase of ROS caused by GO, subsequently reducing cell viability and proliferation. Therefore, the redox reaction between GO and GSH shows a new viewpoint on the origin of the cytotoxicity of GO. We also believe that GO could act as adjuvant in enhancing cancer therapy by depleting intracellular GSH, although not proved yet.

## EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials.** GO was prepared using the modified Hummers method and purified by dialysis at least for 10 days. GSH, glutamate and cysteine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without purification.

**Reaction between GO and GSH.** Five mL of GO dispersion (1 mg/mL) and 20 mg of GSH were mixed at 37 °C for 6 h. The concentration of GSH is about 13 mM. Then, the obtained rGO-GSH was washed by deionized water for at least 6 times by centrifugation. The reactions between GO and glutamic acid and cysteine were performed under the same conditions. To measure absorbance spectra of rGO-GSH, rGO-GSH was sonicated for 60 min to get a homogeneous dispersion.

**Characterization.** The absorbance spectra were obtained using a Varian Cary winUV 50 Bio-spectrophotometer. Raman spectra were measured by a Renishaw inVia microscope

1  
2  
3  
4 equipped with 532 nm laser. FT-IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One  
5  
6 ATR-FT-IR spectrometer. TGA analysis was performed by TGA1 (Mettler Toledo) apparatus  
7  
8 from 30 to 800 °C with a ramp of 10 °C/min under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere using a flow rate of 50  
9  
10 mL/min and platinum pans. Morphological analysis was obtained by transmission electron  
11  
12 microscope (TEM, Hitachi 7500, Hitachi High Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). XPS  
13  
14 spectra were measured by a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with  
15  
16 a basic chamber pressure of 10<sup>-8</sup> to 10<sup>-9</sup> bar with an anode using Al K $\alpha$  radiation ( $h\nu= 1486.6$   
17  
18 eV).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 **Cell Viability.** HeLa (human cervical adenocarcinoma) cells were cultured in high-glucose  
26  
27 Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (H-DMEM) with 10  $\mu$ g/mL gentamycin (Lonza  
28  
29 BioWhittaker), 10 mM N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-N'-ethanesulfonic acid (Lonza  
30  
31 BioWhittaker), 0.05 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (Lonza BioWhittaker) and 10% fetal bovine  
32  
33 serum (FBS). MCF-7 (human breast cancer) cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with  
34  
35 10  $\mu$ g/mL gentamycin (Lonza BioWhittaker), 10 mM N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-piperazine-N'-  
36  
37 ethanesulfonic acid (Lonza BioWhittaker), 0.05 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (Lonza BioWhittaker)  
38  
39 and 10% FBS. HaCaT (human keratinocyte) cells were cultured in H-DMEM with the addition  
40  
41 of 10% FBS, 10<sup>-2</sup> M L-glutamine, 10<sup>-4</sup> g/mL penicillin and 10<sup>-4</sup> g/mL streptomycin. All cells  
42  
43 were maintained under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C, and the culture medium  
44  
45 was changed every 2 days. Cell viability was measured by MTS Assay Kit following  
46  
47 manufacturer's instructions. Live/dead staining were performed by live/dead staining Kit  
48  
49 following manufacturer's instructions. Bright field images were obtained by an inverted  
50  
51 microscope.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 **Intracellular GSH/GSSG Ratio and ROS Content.** The measurement of intracellular  
5  
6 GSH/GSSG ratio and ROS content was performed by GSH/GSSG-Glo™ assay Kit (Promega  
7  
8 Corporation) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) molecular probes™ CM-H2DCFDA  
9  
10 (Thermal Fisher Scientific) according to the corresponding manufacturer's instructions. For  
11  
12 GSH/GSSG ratio measurement, old medium in 96-well plate containing GO with different  
13  
14 concentration was removed, and cells were washed by PBS. Fifty  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of Total GSH  
15  
16 Lysis Reagent or GSSG Lysis Reagent was added. Then, the plate was shaken at room  
17  
18 temperature for 5 min. The cell lysis solution was centrifuged at 4 °C for 20 min, and the  
19  
20 supernatant was transferred into opaque 96-well plate. Fifty  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of Luciferin  
21  
22 Generation Reagent was added to all wells. After shaking plate briefly, the plate was incubated  
23  
24 at room temperature for 30 min. Finally, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of Luciferin Detection Reagent was  
25  
26 added and the luminescence was measured after 15 min. For ROS content measurement, HeLa  
27  
28 cells was stained with 10  $\mu\text{M}$  CM-H2DCFDA work solution for 30-60 min at 37 °C. After  
29  
30 washing by PBS, the fluorescence was measured by Varioskan™ LUX multimode microplate  
31  
32 reader at Ex485 nm/Em525 nm.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 **Statistical Analysis.** The statistical significance of the differences was determined by one-  
44  
45 way ANOVA. Values with  $P < 0.05$  were considered statistically significant (\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $P <$   
46  
47 0.01, and \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ ).

## 48 49 50 51 52 53 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

### 54 55 56 **Supporting Information**

57  
58 The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/>  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Absorbance spectra of GO after reacted with GSH at different pH and temperature (Figure  
5  
6 S1), magnification region of FT-IR spectra (Figure S2), size distribution of GO (Figure S3),  
7  
8 XPS survey full spectra (Figure S4), HPLC analysis (Figure S5), color change of GO after  
9  
10 reaction with cysteine (Figure S6), high resolution XPS spectra of rGO after reacted with  
11  
12 cysteine (Figure S7), bright field images of HeLa cells after GO treatment (Figure S8), the  
13  
14 relative fluorescence intensity of live cells (Figure S9), viability of MCF-7 cells after GO  
15  
16 treatment (Figure S10), viability and bright filed images of HaCaT cells after GO treatment  
17  
18 (Figure S11). (PDF)  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 AUTHOR INFORMATION

### 28 29 Corresponding Author

30  
31  
32 **Alberto Bianco** – *CNRS, Immunology, Immunopathology and Therapeutic Chemistry,*  
33  
34 *UPR3572, University of Strasbourg, ISIS, Strasbourg, 67000, France; E-mail:*  
35  
36 [37 \*a.bianco@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr\*](mailto:a.bianco@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr)  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 Authors

44  
45 **Baojin Ma** – *CNRS, Immunology, Immunopathology and Therapeutic Chemistry, UPR3572,*  
46  
47 *University of Strasbourg, ISIS, Strasbourg, 67000, France*  
48  
49

50 **Shi Guo** – *CNRS, Immunology, Immunopathology and Therapeutic Chemistry, UPR3572,*  
51  
52 *University of Strasbourg, ISIS, Strasbourg, 67000, France*  
53  
54

55  
56 **Yuta Nishina** – *Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University,*  
57  
58 *Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama, 700-8530, Japan; Research Core for Interdisciplinary*  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Sciences, Okayama University, Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama, 700-8530, Japan  
5  
6  
7  
8

## 9 **Notes**

10  
11 The authors declare no competing financial interest.  
12  
13

## 14 15 16 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

17  
18 We gratefully acknowledge the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) through  
19 the International Research Project MULTIDIM between I2CT Unit and Okayama University,  
20 the International Center for Frontier Research in Chemistry (icFRC), and financial support  
21 from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) through the LabEx project Chemistry of  
22 Complex Systems (ANR-10-LABX-0026\_CSC). We wish to thank Cathy Royer and Valérie  
23 Demais for help with TEM analyses at the “Plateforme Imagerie in vitro” at the Center of  
24 Neurochemistry (INCI, Strasbourg, France).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

## 40 **REFERENCES**

- 41  
42  
43 (1) Yang, K.; Feng, L.; Shi, X.; Liu, Z. Nano-graphene in Biomedicine: Theranostic  
44 Applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 530–547.  
45  
46  
47 (2) Reina, G.; González-Domínguez, J. M.; Criado, A.; Vázquez, E.; Bianco, A.; Prato, M.  
48 Promises, Facts and Challenges for Graphene in Biomedical Applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*  
49  
50  
51 **2017**, *46*, 4400–4416.  
52  
53  
54 (3) Ma, B.; Martín, C.; Kurapati, R.; Bianco A. Degradation-by-design: How Chemical  
55 Functionalization Enhances the Biodegradability and Safety of 2D Materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 **2020**, *49*, 6224–6247.

5  
6 (4) Martín, C.; Ruiz, A.; Keshavan, S.; Reina, G.; Murera, D.; Nishina, Y.; Fadeel, B.; Bianco,  
7  
8 A. A Biodegradable Multifunctional Graphene Oxide Platform for Targeted Cancer Therapy.  
9  
10 *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1901761.

11  
12 (5) Khoshfetrat, S. M.; Bagheri, H.; Mehrgardi, M. A. Visual Electrochemiluminescence  
13  
14 Biosensing of Aflatoxin M1 Based on Luminol-functionalized, Silver Nanoparticle-decorated  
15  
16 Graphene Oxide. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2018**, *100*, 382–388.

17  
18 (6) Bianco, A. Graphene: Safe or Toxic? The Two Faces of the Medal. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
19  
20 **2013**, *52*, 4986–4997.

21  
22 (7) Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E. Toxicity of Graphene and Graphene Oxide Nanowalls against  
23  
24 Bacteria. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 5731–5736.

25  
26 (8) Zhang, H.; Peng, C.; Yang, J.; Lv, M.; Liu, R.; He, D.; Fan, C.; Huang, Q. Uniform  
27  
28 Ultrasmall Graphene Oxide Nanosheets with Low Cytotoxicity and High Cellular Uptake. *ACS*  
29  
30 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 1761–1767.

31  
32 (9) Ali-Boucetta, H.; Bitounis, D.; Raveendran-Nair, R.; Servant, A.; Van den Bossche, J.;  
33  
34 Kostarelos, K. Purified Graphene Oxide Dispersions Lack in vitro Cytotoxicity and in vivo  
35  
36 Pathogenicity. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2013**, *2*, 433–441.

37  
38 (10) Hu, X.; Ouyang, S.; Mu, L.; An, J.; Zhou, Q. Effects of Graphene Oxide and Oxidized  
39  
40 Carbon Nanotubes on the Cellular Division, Microstructure, Uptake, Oxidative Stress, and  
41  
42 Metabolic Profiles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 10825–10833.

43  
44 (11) Zhang, W.; Yan, L.; Li, M.; Zhao, R.; Yang, X.; Ji, T.; Gu, Z.; Yin, J.-J.; Gao, X.; Nie, G.  
45  
46 Deciphering the Underlying Mechanisms of Oxidation-state Dependent Cytotoxicity of  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Graphene Oxide on Mammalian Cells. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2015**, *237*, 61–71.

5  
6 (12) Li, Y.; Liu, Y.; Fu, Y.; Wei, T.; Le Guyader, L.; Gao, G.; Liu, R.-S.; Chang, Y.-Z.; Chen,  
7  
8  
9 C. The Triggering of Apoptosis in Macrophages by Pristine Graphene through the MAPK and  
10  
11 TGF-beta Signaling Pathways. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 402–411.

12  
13 (13) Tang, Z.; Zhao, L.; Yang, Z.; Liu, Z.; Gu, J.; Bai, B.; Liu, J.; Xu, J.; Yang, H. Mechanisms  
14  
15 of Oxidative Stress, Apoptosis, and Autophagy Involved in Graphene Oxide Nanomaterial  
16  
17 Anti-osteosarcoma Effect. *Inter. J. Nanomed.* **2018**, *13*, 2907.

18  
19 (14) Li, R.; Guiney, L. M.; Chang, C. H.; Mansukhani, N. D.; Ji, Z.; Wang, X.; Liao, Y.-P.;  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Jiang, W.; Sun, B.; Hersam, M. C. Surface Oxidation of Graphene Oxide Determines  
25  
26 Membrane Damage, Lipid Peroxidation, and Cytotoxicity in Macrophages in a Pulmonary  
27  
28 Toxicity Model. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 1390–1402.

29  
30 (15) Pieper, H.; Chercheja, S.; Eigler, S.; Halbig, C. E.; Filipovic, M. R.; Mokhir, A.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35 Endoperoxides Revealed as Origin of the Toxicity of Graphene Oxide. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
36  
37  
38 **2016**, *55*, 405–407.

39  
40 (16) Wang, A.; Pu, K.; Dong, B.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Duan, W.; Zhu, Y. Role of  
41  
42  
43 Surface Charge and Oxidative Stress in Cytotoxicity and Genotoxicity of Graphene Oxide  
44  
45 towards Human Lung Fibroblast Cells. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2013**, *33*, 1156–1164.

46  
47 (17) Zhang, W.; Wang, C.; Li, Z.; Lu, Z.; Li, Y.; Yin, J. J.; Zhou, Y. T.; Gao, X.; Fang, Y.;  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 Nie, G. Unraveling Stress-induced Toxicity Properties of Graphene Oxide and the Underlying  
53  
54 Mechanism. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 5391–5397.

55  
56 (18) Wang, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Gao, G.; Chen, J.; Hou, R.; Wang, Q.; Liu, L.; Fu, J. Conductive  
57  
58  
59 Graphene Oxide Hydrogels Reduced and Bridged by L-cysteine to Support Cell Adhesion and  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Growth. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2017**, *5*, 511–516.

5  
6 (19) Pham, T. A.; Kim, J. S.; Kim, J. S.; Jeong, Y. T. One-step Reduction of Graphene Oxide  
7 with L-glutathione. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2011**, *384*, 543–548.

8  
9  
10 (20) Guo, S.; Nishina, Y.; Bianco, A.; Ménard-Moyon, C. A Flexible Method for Covalent  
11 Double Functionalization of Graphene Oxide. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1542-1547.

12  
13 (21) Wang, Y.; Basdogan, Y.; Zhang, T.; Lankone, R. S.; Wallace, A.; Fairbrother, D. H.; Keith,  
14 J. A.; Gilbertson, L. M. Unveiling the Synergistic Role of Oxygen Functional Groups in the  
15 Graphene-Mediated Oxidation of Glutathione. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**. DOI:  
16 10.1021/acsami.0c11539.

17  
18 (22) Gurunathan, S.; Han, J. W.; Park, J. H.; Eppakayala, V.; Kim, J.-H. Ginkgo Biloba: a  
19 Natural Reducing Agent for the Synthesis of Cytocompatible Graphene. *Inter. J. Nanomed.*  
20 **2014**, *9*, 363.

21  
22 (23) Gurunathan, S.; Han, J. W.; Dayem, A. A.; Eppakayala, V.; Kim, J.-H. Oxidative Stress-  
23 Mediated Antibacterial Activity of Graphene Oxide and Reduced Graphene Oxide in  
24 *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*. *Inter. J. Nanomed.* **2012**, *7*, 5901.

25  
26 (24) Wang, H.; Robinson, J. T.; Li, X.; Dai, H. Solvothermal Reduction of Chemically  
27 Exfoliated Graphene Sheets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9910–9911.

28  
29 (25) Moon, I. K.; Lee, J.; Ruoff, R. S.; Lee, H. Reduced Graphene Oxide by Chemical  
30 Graphitization. *Nat. Commun.* **2010**, *1*, 1–6.

31  
32 (26) Liu, C.; Qiu, S.; Du, P.; Zhao, H.; Wang, L. An Ionic Liquid–Graphene Oxide Hybrid  
33 Nanomaterial: Synthesis and Anticorrosive Applications. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 8115–8124.

34  
35 (27) Satheesh, K.; Jayavel, R. Synthesis and Electrochemical Properties of Reduced Graphene  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Oxide via Chemical Reduction Using Thiourea as a Reducing Agent. *Mater. Lett.* **2013**, *113*,  
5  
6 5–8.

7  
8  
9 (28) Wang, S.; Wang, X. Microwave-assisted One-pot Synthesis of Metal/Metal Oxide  
10  
11 Nanoparticles on Graphene and Their Electrochemical Applications. *Electrochim. Acta* **2011**,  
12  
13 *56* (9), 3338–3344.

14  
15  
16 (29) Naji-Tabasi, S.; Razavi, S. M. A.; Mehditabar, H. Fabrication of Basil Seed Gum  
17  
18 Nanoparticles as a Novel Oral Delivery System of Glutathione. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2017**, *157*,  
19  
20 1703–1713.

21  
22  
23 (30) Huang, H.; Li, H.; Feng, J.-J.; Feng, H.; Wang, A.-J.; Qian, Z. One-pot Green Synthesis  
24  
25 of Highly Fluorescent Glutathione-stabilized Copper Nanoclusters for Fe<sup>3+</sup> Sensing. *Sens.*  
26  
27 *Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *241*, 292–297.

28  
29  
30 (31) Farrag, M., Preparation, Characterization and Photocatalytic Activity of Size Selected  
31  
32 Platinum Nanoclusters. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A* **2016**, *318*, 42–50.

33  
34  
35 (32) Zhou, Y.; Bao, Q.; Tang, L. A. L.; Zhong, Y.; Loh, K. P. Hydrothermal Dehydration for  
36  
37 the “green” Reduction of Exfoliated Graphene Oxide to Graphene and Demonstration of  
38  
39 Tunable Optical Limiting Properties. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 2950–2956.

40  
41  
42 (33) Yu, M.; Zhang, S.; Chen, Y.; Jin, H.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, L.; Shu, Z.; Hou, S.; Xie, B.; Cui, H.  
43  
44 A Green Method to Reduce Graphene Oxide with Carbonyl Groups Residual for Enhanced  
45  
46 Electrochemical Performance. *Carbon* **2018**, *133*, 101–108.

47  
48  
49 (34) Mellon, M.; Mangadlao, J.; Advincula, R.; Pentzer, E. The pH Dependent Reactions of  
50  
51 Graphene Oxide with Small Molecule Thiols. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 18388–18395.

52  
53  
54 (35) Luong, N. D.; Johansson, L. S.; Campell, J.; Seppälä, J. Functional Graphene by Thiol-ene  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Click Chemistry. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21* (8), 3183–3186.

5  
6 (36) Zitka, O.; Skalickova, S.; Gumulec, J.; Masarik, M.; Adam, V.; Hubalek, J.; Trnkova, L.;  
7  
8 Kruseova, J.; Eckschlager, T.; Kizek, R. Redox status expressed as GSH: GSSG ratio as a  
9  
10 marker for oxidative stress in paediatric tumour patients. *Oncol. Lett.* **2012**, *4*, 1247–1253.

11  
12 (37) Valko, M.; Leibfritz, D.; Moncol, J.; Cronin, M. T.; Mazur, M.; Telser, J. Free Radicals  
13  
14 and Antioxidants in Normal Physiological Functions and Human Disease. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell*  
15  
16 *B.* **2007**, *39*, 44–84.

17  
18 (38) Nie, H.; Jing, J.; Tian, Y.; Yang, W.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, X. Reversible and Dynamic  
19  
20 Fluorescence Imaging of Cellular Redox Self-Regulation using Fast-responsive Near-infrared  
21  
22 Ge-Pyronines. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 8991–8997.

23  
24 (39) Kutwin, M.; Sawosz, E.; Jaworski, S.; Wierzbicki, M.; Strojny, B.; Grodzik, M.; Ewa  
25  
26 Sosnowska, M.; Trzaskowski, M.; Chwalibog, A. Nanocomplexes of Graphene Oxide and  
27  
28 Platinum Nanoparticles against Colorectal Cancer Colo205, HT-29, HTC-116, SW480, Liver  
29  
30 Cancer HepG2, Human Breast Cancer MCF-7, and Adenocarcinoma LNCaP and Human  
31  
32 Cervical Hela B Cell Lines. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 909.

33  
34 (40) Wang, X.; Zhou, W.; Li, X.; Ren, J.; Ji, G.; Du, J.; Tian, W.; Liu, Q.; Hao, A., Graphene  
35  
36 Oxide Suppresses the Growth and Malignancy of Glioblastoma Stem Cell-like Spheroids via  
37  
38 Epigenetic Mechanisms. *J. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *18*, 1–14.

39  
40 (41)Gurunathan, S.; Han, J. W.; Eppakayala, V.; Kim, J.-H. Green Synthesis of Graphene and  
41  
42 its Cytotoxic Effects in Human Breast Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2013**, *8*, 1015–1027.

43  
44 (42)Aquilano, K.; Baldelli, S.; Ciriolo, M. R. Glutathione: New Roles in Redox Signaling for  
45  
46 an Old Antioxidant. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *5*, 196.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (43) Ferguson, G. D.; Bridge, W. J. The Glutathione System and the Related Thiol Network in  
5  
6 Caenorhabditis Elegans. *Redox Biol.* **2019**, *24*, 101171.  
7  
8  
9 (44) Ma, B.; Wang, S.; Liu, F.; Zhang, S.; Duan, J.; Li, Z.; Kong, Y.; Sang, Y.; Liu, H.; Bu,  
10  
11 W.; Li, L. Self-Assembled Copper–Amino Acid Nanoparticles for in Situ Glutathione “AND”  
12  
13 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Sequentially Triggered Chemodynamic Therapy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 849–857.  
14  
15  
16 (45) Zhu, X.; Shah, P.; Stoff, S.; Liu, H.; Li, C. A Paper Electrode Integrated Lateral Flow  
17  
18 Immunosensor for Quantitative Analysis of Oxidative Stress Induced DNA Damage. *Analyst*  
19  
20 **2014**, *139*, 2850–2857.  
21  
22  
23 (46) Zhong, H.; Yin, H. Role of Lipid Peroxidation Derived 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) in  
24  
25 Cancer: Focusing on Mitochondria. *Redox Biol.* **2015**, *4*, 193–199.  
26  
27  
28 (47) Valko, M.; Izakovic, M.; Mazur, M.; Rhodes, C. J.; Telser, J. Role of Oxygen Radicals in  
29  
30 DNA Damage and Cancer Incidence. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **2004**, *266*, 37–56.  
31  
32  
33 (48) Yin, X.; Feng, S.; Chi, Y.; Liu, J.; Sun, K.; Guo, C.; Wu, Z. Estrogen-functionalized  
34  
35 Liposomes Grafted with Glutathione-responsive Sheddable Chotoooligosaccharides for the  
36  
37 Therapy of Osteosarcoma. *Drug Deliv.* **2018**, *25*, 900–908.  
38  
39  
40 (49) Yin, D.; Li, Y.; Lin, H.; Guo, B.; Du, Y.; Li, X.; Jia, H.; Zhao, X.; Tang, J.; Zhang, L.  
41  
42 Functional Graphene Oxide as a Plasmid-based Stat3 siRNA Carrier Inhibits Mouse Malignant  
43  
44 Melanoma Growth in vivo. *Nanotechnology* **2013**, *24*, 105102.  
45  
46  
47 (50) Chen, G. Y.; Chen, C. L.; Tuan, H. Y.; Yuan, P. X.; Li, K. C.; Yang, H. J.; Hu, Y. C.  
48  
49 Graphene Oxide Triggers Toll-like Receptors/autophagy Responses in vitro and Inhibits  
50  
51 Tumor Growth in vivo. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2014**, *3*, 1486–1495.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## TOC

