



**HAL**  
open science

## Planck 's Dusty GEMS

R. Cañameras, N. P. H. Nesvadba, R. Kneissl, S. Koenig, C. Yang, A. Beelen,  
R. Hill, E. Le Floc'H, D. Scott, S. König, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

R. Cañameras, N. P. H. Nesvadba, R. Kneissl, S. Koenig, C. Yang, et al.. Planck 's Dusty GEMS. Astronomy & Astrophysics - A&A, 2021, 645, pp.A45. 10.1051/0004-6361/202038979 . hal-03387922

**HAL Id: hal-03387922**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03387922v1>**

Submitted on 20 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Planck's Dusty GEMS

### VIII. Dense-gas reservoirs in the most active dusty starbursts at $z \sim 3^*$

R. Cañameras<sup>1</sup>, N. P. H. Nesvadba<sup>2</sup>, R. Kneissl<sup>3,4</sup>, S. König<sup>5</sup>, C. Yang<sup>3</sup>, A. Beelen<sup>6</sup>, R. Hill<sup>8</sup>,  
E. Le Floc'h<sup>7</sup>, and D. Scott<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany  
e-mail: rcanameras@mpa-garching.mpg.de

<sup>2</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, France

<sup>3</sup> European Southern Observatory, ESO Vitacura, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla, 19001 Santiago, Chile

<sup>4</sup> Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array, ALMA Santiago Central Offices, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla, 763-0355 Santiago, Chile

<sup>5</sup> Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, 43992 Onsala, Sweden

<sup>6</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Bât. 121, 91405 Orsay, France

<sup>7</sup> Laboratoire AIM, CEA/DSM/IRFU, CNRS, Université Paris-Diderot, Bât. 709, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>8</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, 6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver V6S 8S8, British Columbia, Canada

Received 20 July 2020 / Accepted 27 October 2020

#### ABSTRACT

We present ALMA, NOEMA, and IRAM-30 m/EMIR observations of the high-density tracer molecules HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC in three of the brightest lensed dusty star-forming galaxies at  $z \approx 3\text{--}3.5$ , part of the *Planck*'s Dusty Gravitationally Enhanced sub-Millimetre Sources (GEMS), with the aim of probing the gas reservoirs closely associated with their exceptional levels of star formation. We obtained robust detections of ten emission lines between  $J_{\text{up}} = 4$  and 6, as well as several additional upper flux limits. In PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the brightest source at  $z = 3.0$ , the HNC(5–4) line emission at 0.1'' resolution, together with other spatially-integrated line profiles, suggests comparable distributions of dense and more diffuse gas reservoirs, at least over the most strongly magnified regions. This rules out any major effect from differential lensing. This line is blended with CN(4–3) and in this source, we measure a HNC(5–4)/CN(4–3) flux ratio of  $1.76 \pm 0.86$ . Dense-gas line profiles generally match those of mid- $J$  CO lines, except in PLCK\_G145.2+50.8, which also has dense-gas line fluxes that are relatively lower, perhaps due to fewer dense cores and more segregated dense and diffuse gas phases in this source. The HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $\geq 1$  and HNC/HCN  $\sim 1$  line ratios in our sample are similar to those of nearby ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) and consistent with photon-dominated regions without any indication of important mechanical heating or active galactic nuclei feedback. We characterize the dense-gas excitation in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 using radiative transfer models assuming pure collisional excitation and find that mid- $J$  HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC lines arise from a high-density phase with an H<sub>2</sub> density of  $n \sim 10^5\text{--}10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , although important degeneracies hinder a determination of the exact conditions. The three GEMS are consistent with extrapolations of dense-gas star-formation laws derived in the nearby Universe, adding further evidence that the extreme star-formation rates observed in the most active galaxies at  $z \sim 3$  are a consequence of their important dense-gas contents. The dense-gas-mass fractions traced by HCN/[CI] and HCO<sup>+</sup>/[CI] line ratios are elevated, but not exceptional as compared to other lensed dusty star-forming galaxies at  $z > 2$ , and they fall near the upper envelope of local ULIRGs. Despite the higher overall gas fractions and local gas-mass surface densities observed at high redshift, the dense-gas budget of rapidly star-forming galaxies seems to have evolved little between  $z \sim 3$  and  $z \sim 0$ . Our results favor constant dense-gas depletion times in these populations, which is in agreement with theoretical models of star formation.

**Key words.** galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: star formation – galaxies: ISM – submillimeter: galaxies – ISM: molecules

#### 1. Introduction

Observational studies of the bright emission lines of <sup>12</sup>CO have greatly improved our understanding of the global molecular gas content and relationship to star formation in galaxies from low (e.g., Kennicutt 1998) to high redshifts (e.g., Daddi et al. 2010b; Genzel et al. 2010; Carilli & Walter 2013). However, most of these lines are optically thick and do not probe the dense cloud cores that are unstable with regard to gravitational collapse and that directly fuel star formation. Characterizing molecular

gas in this high-density phase is, therefore, fundamental to test star-formation models, as well as to constrain the main (radiative and non-radiative) feedback mechanisms driving turbulence in pre-stellar cores and slowing down the collapse of the gas. Rotational transitions of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC are usually collisionally excited by H<sub>2</sub> in environments with densities above  $10^5\text{--}10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , about three orders of magnitude higher than <sup>12</sup>CO for a given  $J$  level, and have been extensively used to study the dense cores of molecular clouds in the Milky Way (e.g., Helfer & Blitz 1997). Despite their low brightness (with fluxes about one order of magnitude lower than for <sup>12</sup>CO), these emission lines also hold a great potential for tightly constraining

\* Based on IRAM data obtained with programs S15CH and 108–14, and on ALMA data from program 2015.1.01518.

the dense-gas phase, its mass fraction, and the relation to ongoing star formation in external galaxies (e.g., Gao & Solomon 2004a,b; García-Burillo et al. 2012). Recent hydrodynamical simulations of star-forming clouds confirm that these molecules are effective and versatile tracers of dense gas for environments with a range of star-formation rates (see, e.g., Onus et al. 2018, for HCN). In rare cases, ground-level emission of these molecules has also been detected at much lower densities (e.g., down to  $\approx 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in the Orion A cloud, Kauffmann et al. 2017), however, Evans et al. (2020) point out that for starburst galaxies, this component should have a minor contribution to the overall emission line fluxes.

Observational studies of the dense-gas reservoirs in low-redshift star-forming galaxies started about three decades ago (e.g., Nguyen et al. 1992), followed by extended surveys of HCN line emission in luminous and ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) and normal spirals (Gao & Solomon 2004a,b). Since then, HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> detections have been extensively used to characterize the dense interstellar medium (ISM) of local (U)LIRGs (e.g., Graciá-Carpio et al. 2008; Juneau et al. 2009; García-Burillo et al. 2012), including diagnostics for the physical conditions of the gas from line excitation modeling (see, e.g., Papadopoulos et al. 2014, for NGC 6240 and Arp 193) and spatially-resolved studies with the NOthern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) and Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) interferometers (Chen et al. 2017; König et al. 2018; Imanishi et al. 2019). The reliability of each molecule as a proxy of the overall dense-gas content was discussed in Papadopoulos (2007). Recently, valuable constraints on the dense-gas components of galactic outflows have also allowed us to peer into the embedded star-formation activity and its role as a positive or negative feedback mechanism (e.g., Walter et al. 2017; Michiyama et al. 2018; Aladro et al. 2018). Combining sub-arcsec resolution HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> maps with traditional CO lines provides particularly detailed pictures of complex molecular gas flows and triggering mechanisms, as demonstrated in the local barred Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068 (García-Burillo et al. 2014) and the Medusa (König et al. 2018).

Following expectations that HCN is emitted from regions with active star formation, early studies of local ULIRGs found a tight linear correlation between the total IR and HCN(1–0) line luminosities (Gao & Solomon 2004b) and unveiled the impact of variations in dense-gas fractions on the global Schmidt-Kennicutt law (Kennicutt 1998) in galaxies. Wu et al. (2005) demonstrated that this relation holds down to the small scales of dense Galactic cloud cores, thereby extending its validity over at least seven orders of magnitude in infrared luminosity (see also Wu et al. 2010; Stephens et al. 2016). Subsequent studies discussed the behavior of this linear correlation for various high-density tracer molecules, such as HCO<sup>+</sup> (e.g., Papadopoulos 2007), for higher  $J$  levels (e.g., Graciá-Carpio et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2014), and for high-redshift galaxies (e.g., Gao et al. 2007). Most of these extragalactic studies have relied on galaxy-integrated measurements while focusing on central nuclear regions that host most of the dense gas under extreme ISM conditions. Tan et al. (2018) supplemented these results with spatially resolved, sub-kpc scale observations of HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup>  $J = 4-3$  in six of the brightest nearby LIRGs. They showed that lower surface-density regions over the disks are broadly consistent with  $L_{\text{FIR}} - L'_{\text{dense}}$  relations on global scales. Theoretical models have suggested that the linear slopes observed for dense-gas star-formation laws (lower than for CO(1–0)) can be explained for transitions with critical densities much higher than the average ISM density (Krumholz & Thompson 2007). How-

ever, Zhang et al. (2014) later obtained slopes of unity for transitions with very high critical density as well.

These results have been interpreted as evidence that the star-formation activity of galaxies out to high redshift is essentially driven by the mass fraction of their dense molecular gas, with a roughly constant star-formation efficiency (SFE) per free-fall time in the dense-gas phase (Krumholz & Tan 2007). This is consistent with turbulence-regulated star-formation models (Krumholz & McKee 2005). Sub-kpc properties of local star-forming galaxies show that these dense-gas fractions are themselves strongly correlated with the average molecular gas-mass surface density of clouds since both quantities are inherited from the overall gas density distribution (Gallagher et al. 2018).

Furthermore, non-collisional processes can enhance the luminosities of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC lines in regions with low dense-gas fractions and vice versa. For instance, HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> can be excited by IR-pumping (Aalto et al. 1995), and HCO<sup>+</sup> emission drops for a high abundance of free electrons and is therefore highly sensitive to the ambient cosmic-ray fluxes (Papadopoulos 2007). Measuring line ratios helps to identify these processes. The HNC/HCN ratio provides valuable diagnostics of photo-dissociation regions (PDRs, Meijerink et al. 2007), X-ray-dominated regions (XDRs) and IR-pumping (Aalto et al. 2007), and shock-dominated regions (Loenen et al. 2008; Aladro et al. 2015). The HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN line-intensity ratio is also widely used to discriminate between active galactic nuclei- (AGN) and starburst-dominated galaxies, given the apparent dichotomy between both populations (e.g., Krips et al. 2008; Imanishi & Nakanishi 2014; Imanishi et al. 2016; Izumi et al. 2016). Other studies, such as that of Privon et al. (2015), nonetheless find additional dependences on ISM density and the surrounding radiation fields (e.g., IR-pumping, Krips et al. 2008), and demonstrate that HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN can strongly vary in LIRGs and ULIRGs experiencing both AGN and star formation feedback within their unresolved central regions. In NGC 1068, the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratio is even enhanced by the central AGN (García-Burillo et al. 2014).

The dense ISM components remain poorly characterized at high-redshift due to the difficulty in detecting these faint transitions, and most efforts have focused on powerful quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) such as the Cloverleaf (Wilner et al. 1995; Solomon et al. 2003; Riechers et al. 2006, 2010, 2011), or on extremely bright sub-millimeter galaxies (SMGs). Early efforts included upper HCN flux limits measured for two bright SMGs by Greve et al. (2006), and HCN detections in two SMGs and two QSOs from Gao et al. (2007). Many subsequent studies have benefited from the flux boosts due to strong lensing magnifications. In the Cosmic Eyelash, Danielson et al. (2011) obtained a detection of HCN that was combined with other tracers to probe the ISM conditions. Dense-gas diagnostics were also inferred from HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC in two H-ATLAS lensed SMGs at  $z = 1.58$  and  $z = 1.79$  (Oteo et al. 2017), from HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, HNC, and <sup>13</sup>CO in five lensed SMGs at  $2.5 < z < 4$  from the South Pole Telescope (SPT) sample (Béthermin et al. 2018), and from H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> emission lines (Yang et al. 2016). In addition, average line properties of <sup>13</sup>CO, HCN, HNC, HCO<sup>+</sup>, CN, and H<sub>2</sub>O were measured in SPT lensed SMGs using a stacking analysis (Spilker et al. 2014).

Pursuing dense-gas studies of dusty star-forming galaxies (DSFGs) at high-redshift, without dominant AGN heating, is thus vital to improving our understanding of the mode of star formation governing the major phase of galaxy growth. Characterizing the physical properties and SFE of their dense-gas reservoirs addresses the ongoing debate on whether they are the analogues of nearby intensely star-forming galaxies, despite

their much higher gas-mass and star-formation surface densities, or whether there is a distinct mode of star formation at high redshift (Daddi et al. 2010b; Genzel et al. 2010).

In this paper, we study the dense-gas components of *Planck*'s Dusty Gravitationally Enhanced subMillimetre Sources (GEMS) – a sample of 11 of the brightest gravitationally lensed DSFGs on the extragalactic sky, with (at most) minor AGN contributions to the far-IR (FIR) luminosity (Cañameras et al. 2015). Our extensive CO and [C I] emission-line survey with the Eight MIXer Receiver (EMIR) on the IRAM 30-m telescope from Cañameras et al. (2018, hereafter C18) and Nesvadba et al. (2019, hereafter N19) revealed a range of gas excitations, with CO spectral line energy distributions (SLEDs) peaking in the range of  $J_{\text{up}} = 4\text{--}7$ , and with a plateau up to  $J_{\text{up}} = 10$ , and demonstrated that the GEMS contain vast amounts of warm, dense molecular gas. Detailed excitation models have also shown evidence for an additional, fainter, and lower density gas phase in some sources. Here, we use observations of mid- $J$  HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC lines in eight GEMS with IRAM-30 m/EMIR, NOEMA, and ALMA to probe their densest ISM phase, which is more representative of cloud cores than previous CO line detections. In particular, the primary focus of this paper is on the three brightest GEMS, namely, PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (“the Ruby”), the latter being a maximal starburst<sup>1</sup> at  $z = 3$  with local star-formation intensities of up to  $2000 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ , but rather moderate SFEs of 1–10%<sup>2</sup> akin to giant molecular clouds in the Milky Way (Cañameras et al. 2017a). Our high-resolution PdBI, SMA, and ALMA <sup>12</sup>CO and dust continuum interferometry indicate that PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 form giant arcs (Cañameras et al. 2015), while PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 forms a partial Einstein ring (Cañameras et al. 2017b). These three GEMS are aligned with massive foreground galaxies at intermediate redshifts and our detailed strong-lensing models with LENSTOOL (Jullo et al. 2007) show that they are strongly magnified by factors of  $\mu \approx 10\text{--}22$  (C18, Cañameras et al., in prep.).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we summarize the observations and describe the data reduction procedures. In Sect. 3, we present the line properties. In Sect. 4, we discuss the diagnostics we use for the dense-gas reservoirs from line ratios and we then analyze the dense-gas star-formation law in Sect. 5. The detections and upper limits of <sup>13</sup>CO from contiguous spectral windows will be presented in a future paper. In addition to  $v = 0$  lines of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC, our observing setups generally cover the  $v = 1$  line of vibrationally-excited HCN, but the latter is not seen and also not expected to contribute significantly in non-AGN sources and, thus, we ignore it in our analysis. We adopt the best-fit flat  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology from Planck Collaboration XIII (2016), with  $H_0 = 67.81 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_M = 0.308$ , and  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 1 - \Omega_M$ .

## 2. Observations, data reduction, and line extraction

### 2.1. Single-dish IRAM-30 m/EMIR spectroscopy

We observed high-density tracer molecules in the GEMS with EMIR on the IRAM 30-m telescope (project 108–14, PI Nesvadba). Observations were carried out in June and September

<sup>1</sup> Forming most of its stellar mass within one to a few dynamical times, with star-formation intensities approaching the highest values expected for a vertically-supported, self-gravitating gas disk (e.g., Thompson et al. 2005).

<sup>2</sup> Defined as the fraction of the available gas mass converted into stars per free-fall time.

2014, mostly with average weather conditions. We inspected all individual scans by eye, in particular, those taken with the highest atmospheric opacity and precipitable water vapor (PWV) above 6 mm. We flagged scans with spikes near the line frequency or with unstable baselines. Most scans that were eventually discarded corresponded to high PWV values, but those taken at PWV > 6 mm that had stable baselines were included.

We also searched for dense-gas emission lines falling in one of the sidebands during our previous observing runs with EMIR, which were mainly designed to survey the CO and [C I] emission lines in the E090 and E150 bands (see C18 and N19). We performed an automated search using the best source redshifts and the local oscillator frequencies listed in the file headers. The resulting additional scans cover numerous mid- $J$  lines of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC; these are listed in Table 1 together with the corresponding project IDs. We resampled scans from different observing runs onto a common frequency grid and, finally, we coadded them.

The tuning frequencies, integration times, and rms noise levels per spectral channel are listed in Table 1. We mainly used the Wideband Line Multiple Autocorrelator (WILMA) backend, which offers more stable baselines<sup>3</sup>, apart from lines exclusively observed with the Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS; e.g., HNC(5–4) and HNC(7–6) in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9). We subtracted the baselines with first-order polynomials. For non-detections, we computed the  $3\sigma$  upper limits on the flux, taking  $\sigma$  as the uncertainty on the line intensity defined in Eq. (1) of Young et al. (2011). In these cases, WILMA provided systematically more constraining upper limits than FTS thanks to the availability of better baselines. For each source, the fiducial full width at half maximum (FWHM) assumed for this calculation was the unweighted averaged FWHM of all detected CO transitions (see C18). The number of line channels was obtained as  $2 \times FWHM/v_{\text{res}}$ , with  $v_{\text{res}}$  the channel width. We measured the spectrum rms in line-free regions, chosen using the best-fitting redshift of the mid- $J$  CO lines, and after masking baseline channels not covered by all frequency setups. We list the flux upper limits in Table 2 for all transitions with a total integration time above two hours, except for those with more constraining upper limits from NOEMA.

All lines detected at  $>3\sigma$  were fitted with single Gaussian functions using the CLASS software package from GILDAS (Gildas Team 2013)<sup>4</sup>. The marginal detection of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 at signal-to-noise ratio,  $S/N \approx 2.2$ , gives a velocity-integrated flux of  $1.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$ , which is consistent with NOEMA. Most detections in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 have moderate S/N values of 3–5, and HNC(6–5) only reaches  $S/N = 2.2$ . These detections are, nevertheless, considered significant because they result from careful baseline subtractions and channel masking, and because they are systematically recovered when using subsets of the available spectral scans based on polarization or PWV. We also repeated the fit in spectral regions that were expected to be line-free and did not detect any line at similar levels. Reduced EMIR spectra are shown in Fig. 1. Finally, we also stacked EMIR spectra covering emission lines of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC for the eight GEMS in Table 1 to determine whether or not this might provide more significant detections than for individual sources. The complete procedure is described in Appendix A. Only HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(6–5) have been robustly detected in the stacks and, consequently, we focus on line properties from individual sources in the rest of the paper.

<sup>3</sup> In most cases, baseline breaks between the FTS units required us to apply baseline corrections and led to an increase in the spectrum rms.

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS>



**Table 1.** Details of the NOEMA, ALMA, and IRAM-30 m/EMIR observations.

Source	Line(s)	Project ID	Observing dates	Beam size	$\nu_{\text{tuning}}$ (GHz)	$t_{\text{obs}}$ (h)	rms (mK)	$S/T_{\text{a}}^*$ (Jy K <sup>-1</sup> )
<i>NOEMA</i>								
PLCK_G092.5+42.9	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 5-4$	S15CH	09/15	6.1'' × 4.0''	105.03	3.9	0.83 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
PLCK_G145.2+50.9	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 5-4$	S15CH	10/15	5.6'' × 4.3''	98.25	3.9	0.75 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 5-4$	S19CU	02/12/19	2.9'' × 1.2''	112.20	6.0	0.66 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
<i>ALMA</i>								
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	HCN $J = 5-4$	2015.1.01518	21/07/16	0.78'' × 0.67''	110.63	1.2	≈0.2 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
	HNC $J = 5-4$ (LR)	2015.1.01518	21/07/16	0.78'' × 0.67''	113.12	1.2	≈0.2 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
	HNC $J = 5-4$ (HR)	2015.1.01518	23/10/15	0.12'' × 0.08''	112.90	1.2	≈0.8 <sup>(*)</sup>	...
<i>IRAM-30 m/EMIR</i>								
PLCK_G092.5+42.9	HNC $J = 4-3$	094-13	10/04/13	28'' × 28''	89.40	2.4	1.03	5.9
	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 5-4$	108-14	20, 21, 22, 23/06 & 17/09/14	23'' × 23''	104.70	10.9	0.33	5.9
	HNC $J = 5-4$	108-14	20, 21, 22, 23/06 & 17/09/14	23'' × 23''	104.70	10.9	0.42	6.0
	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 7-6$	108-14	20, 21, 22, 23/06/14	17'' × 17''	147.00	15.1	0.34	6.2
	HNC $J = 7-6$	108-14	20, 21, 22, 23/06/14	17'' × 17''	147.00	13.5	0.38	6.3
PLCK_G145.2+50.9	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 5-4$	223-13 & 108-14	18/04 & 19, 20/06 & 18/09/14	25'' × 25''	97.80	13.2	0.31	5.9
	HNC $J = 5-4$	108-14	19, 20/06 & 18/09/14	25'' × 25''	97.80	9.2	0.36	5.9
	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 7-6$	108-14	19, 20/06 & 18/09/14	18'' × 18''	137.50	11.2	0.35	6.1
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 4-3$	108-14	17, 18, 19/06/14	27'' × 27''	89.00	16.8	0.21	5.9
	HNC $J = 5-4$	094-13 & 223-13	06/06/13 & 30, 31/01/14	21'' × 21''	114.80	3.2	1.78	6.0
	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 6-5$	108-14	17, 18, 19/06/14	18'' × 18''	134.00	16.2	0.24	6.1
PLCK_G045.1+61.1	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 5-4$	094-13 & 223-13	07, 10/06/13 & 21, 22/04/14	24'' × 24''	101.90	2.2	1.00	5.9
PLCK_G113.7+61.0	HCN $J = 4-3$	094-13	09/04/13	24'' × 24''	101.90	4.8	0.95	5.9
	HCO <sup>+</sup> , HNC $J = 4-3$	094-13	09/04/13	24'' × 24''	101.90	2.4	1.12	5.9
PLCK_G138.6+62.0	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 4-3$	094-13	09/04/13	24'' × 24''	101.90	3.2	1.23	5.9
PLCK_G165.7+67.0	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 6-5$	223-13	31/01, 01, 03, 04/02/14	15'' × 15''	164.57	2.5	0.53	6.5
	HNC $J = 6-5$	223-13	31/01, 01, 03, 04/02/14	15'' × 15''	164.57	5.2	0.43	6.5
PLCK_G200.6+46.1	HCN, HCO <sup>+</sup> $J = 4-3$	094-13	08, 10/06/13	28'' × 28''	89.40	3.0	0.63	5.9

**Notes.** Here,  $\nu_{\text{tuning}}$  is the frequency setup of the corresponding receiver and  $t_{\text{obs}}$  the total integration time on source. For EMIR spectra, total exposure times  $t_{\text{obs}}$  only include scans selected for the optimal reduction. The HNC(5-4) line in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 was observed both at lower (LR) and higher (HR) spatial resolution with ALMA (see details in the text). The rms noise levels are measured on line-free spectral channels of source-integrated spectra and given for channels binned to 50–55 km s<sup>-1</sup>, 100 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and 35–40 km s<sup>-1</sup> for IRAM-30 m/EMIR, NOEMA, and ALMA spectra, respectively. Telescope efficiencies  $S/T_{\text{a}}^*$  listed for EMIR observations are extrapolated from the calibration tables and used to convert the line fluxes to Jy km s<sup>-1</sup>. The rms values marked with an asterisk are given in mJy rather than mK.

## 2.2. Spectral-line interferometry

### 2.2.1. NOEMA

The two GEMS, PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, were observed with NOEMA in September and October 2015 (project S15CH, PI Cañameras). For each track, six antennas were included in the D configuration observations, with baselines between 24 m and 97 m. The phase centers of the observations were located at  $\alpha = 16:09:17.76$  and  $\delta = +60:45:21.0$  for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, and at  $\alpha = 10:53:22.56$  and  $\delta = +60:51:49.0$  for PLCK\_G145.2+50.9. The 3-mm receivers were tuned to cover the sky frequencies of the HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup>  $J = 5-4$  lines for both GEMS. The WideX correlator was used as the backend, with its 3.6-GHz bandwidth at a spectral resolution of 1.95 MHz.

For PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, MWC 349 and LkHa 101 were used for bandpass calibration, while the bright quasars 3C454.3, 0851+202, 1749+096, and 2013+370 were observed as primary flux calibrators, and we regularly observed the nearby quasars 1642+690 and 1637+574 for gain and phase calibration. For PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, LkHa 101 and 3C84 were used for bandpass and flux calibration, while 1030+611 and 1044+719 were used for gain and phase calibration.

The data were calibrated and imaged within GILDAS/CLIC and MAPPING. To image the data, we applied natural weighting, resulting in beamsizes of 6.1'' × 4.0'' at a position angle

of 67.6° for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, and 5.6'' × 4.3'' at a position angle of 118.9° for PLCK\_G145.2+50.9. We produced data cubes of 35-MHz spectral resolution, with channel spacings of 99.9 km s<sup>-1</sup> and 106.8 km s<sup>-1</sup> for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, respectively.

In addition,  $J = 5-4$  lines of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC for PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 were observed in December 2019, with a beamsize of 2.9'' × 1.2'' at a position angle of 14.2°, as part of a broader spectral window (project S19CU, PI Nesvadba). The corresponding line fluxes were added to our current analysis, while the overall data will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

We derived spatially integrated spectra by coadding spatial pixels above  $3\sigma$  in velocity-integrated maps to maximize the S/N (see Fig. 2). All lines detected at more than  $3\sigma$  were fitted simultaneously with Gaussian profiles using the `mpfit` algorithm, together with the continuum. Their properties are listed in Table 2. We computed uncertainties using Monte Carlo simulations, by randomly drawing the flux in each spectral channel assuming flux uncertainties of 10% and reproducing the fitting procedure. Errors on the line parameters correspond to the mean and standard deviation of the distributions obtained after 1000 iterations.

Defining the S/Ns as  $I_{\text{peak}}/\Delta I_{\text{peak}}$ , with  $I_{\text{peak}}$  the best-fitting peak flux density and  $\Delta I_{\text{peak}}$  its uncertainty, the detections of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5-4) and <sup>13</sup>CO(4-3) in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 have S/N values of 9.5 $\sigma$  and 9.0 $\sigma$ , respectively. In PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, HNC(5-4) is detected at 3.8 $\sigma$  and HCO<sup>+</sup>(5-4) at 3.5 $\sigma$ . These

**Table 2.** Emission line properties and flux upper limits.

Source	Line	Telescope	$\nu_{\text{obs}}$ (GHz)	Redshift	$FWHM_{\text{line}}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mu I_{\text{line}}$ (Jy km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mu L_{\text{line}}$ (10 <sup>7</sup> L <sub>⊙</sub> )	$\mu L'_{\text{line}}$ (10 <sup>10</sup> K km s <sup>-1</sup> pc <sup>2</sup> )
PLCK_G092.5+42.9	HCN(5–4)	NOEMA	...	...	(500)	<0.79	<7.1	<2.5
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (5–4)	NOEMA	104.75 ± 0.05	3.257 ± 0.007	742 ± 68	1.86 ± 0.16	16.8 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 0.5
	HNC(4–3)	IRAM/EMIR	...	...	(500)	<4.48	<32.7	<21.5
	HNC(5–4) <sup>(*)</sup>	"	...	...	(500)	<1.84	<16.8	<5.6
	HCN(7–6)	"	...	...	(500)	<1.52	<19.0	<2.5
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (7–6)	"	...	...	(500)	<1.52	<19.1	<2.5
	HNC(7–6)	"	...	...	(500)	<1.81	<23.1	<2.8
PLCK_G145.2+50.9	HCN(5–4)	NOEMA	...	...	(500)	<0.71	<7.3	<2.6
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (5–4)	NOEMA	98.02 ± 0.05	3.549 ± 0.008	271 ± 135	0.84 ± 0.20	8.7 ± 2.1	3.1 ± 0.7
	HNC(5–4) <sup>(*)</sup>	NOEMA	99.60 ± 0.05	3.551 ± 0.008	429 ± 134	0.63 ± 0.19	6.6 ± 2.0	2.3 ± 0.7
	HCN(7–6)	IRAM/EMIR	...	...	(500)	<1.57	<22.6	<3.0
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (7–6)	"	...	...	(500)	<1.57	<22.7	<2.9
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	HNC(7–6)	"	...	...	(500)	<1.59	<23.4	<2.9
	HCN(5–4)	NOEMA	110.61 ± 0.01	3.006 ± 0.001	759 ± 47	2.40 ± 0.20	18.9 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 0.6
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (5–4)	NOEMA	111.31 ± 0.01	3.006 ± 0.001	768 ± 33	2.41 ± 0.16	19.0 ± 1.3	6.9 ± 0.5
	HNC(5–4) <sup>(†)</sup>	ALMA	(113.16)	(3.005)	535 ± 101	2.24 ± 0.49	18.0 ± 3.9	6.0 ± 1.3
	CN(4–3) <sup>(†)</sup>	ALMA	(113.25)	(3.005)	668 ± 70	1.27 ± 0.34	10.1 ± 2.7	3.4 ± 0.9
	HCN(4–3)	IRAM/EMIR	88.52 ± 0.03	3.005 ± 0.004	631 ± 157	1.73 ± 0.47	10.8 ± 2.9	7.6 ± 2.1
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (4–3)	"	88.99 ± 0.04	3.009 ± 0.005	822 ± 376	2.25 ± 0.70	14.2 ± 4.4	9.8 ± 3.0
	HNC(4–3)	"	90.51 ± 0.04	3.007 ± 0.005	769 ± 252	1.63 ± 0.53	10.4 ± 3.4	6.9 ± 2.2
	HCN(6–5)	"	...	...	(650)	<1.80	<17.2	<3.1
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (6–5)	"	...	...	(650)	<1.80	<17.2	<3.1
PLCK_G045.1+61.1	HNC(6–5)	"	(135.79)	(3.005)	(650)	1.34 ± 0.61	12.8 ± 5.8	2.4 ± 1.1
	HCN(5–4)	IRAM/EMIR	...	...	(650)	<4.54	<44.0	<15.8
PLCK_G113.7+61.0	HCO <sup>+</sup> (5–4)	"	...	...	(650)	<4.54	<44.3	<15.6
	HCN(4–3)	"	...	...	(500)	<4.19	<18.2	<12.8
PLCK_G138.6+62.0	HCO <sup>+</sup> (4–3)	"	...	...	(500)	<4.74	<20.7	<14.3
	HNC(4–3)	"	...	...	(500)	<4.74	<21.0	<13.8
	HCN(4–3)	"	...	...	(500)	<5.35	<23.6	<16.6
PLCK_G165.7+67.0	HCO <sup>+</sup> (4–3)	"	...	...	(500)	<5.35	<23.8	<16.4
	HCN(6–5)	"	...	...	(600)	<3.02	<17.2	<3.6
PLCK_G200.6+46.1	HCO <sup>+</sup> (6–5)	"	...	...	(600)	<3.02	<17.3	<3.5
	HNC(6–5)	"	...	...	(600)	<2.27	<13.2	<2.6
	HCN(4–3)	"	...	...	(450)	<2.60	<16.0	<11.2
	HCO <sup>+</sup> (4–3)	"	...	...	(450)	<2.60	<16.1	<11.1


**Notes.** The emission line fluxes and luminosities were obtained by fitting the spectra with single Gaussians, either with CLASS or our own fitting routines. We list the  $3\sigma$  flux upper limits for all transitions observed in one of the sidebands for more than two hours. Columns are: source name; transition; telescope; observed frequency; redshift of the line or spectral component; line FWHM; observed velocity-integrated flux density  $\mu I_{\text{line}}$  used for the line excitation analysis; and observed line luminosity in solar luminosities and in K km s<sup>-1</sup> pc<sup>2</sup> units. The values of line fluxes and luminosities are not corrected for lensing magnification ( $\mu I_{\text{line}}$  refers to the intrinsic source-plane flux times the magnification factor). Asterisks indicate that HNC(5–4) is blended with CN(4–3), with a velocity offset of about 300 km s<sup>-1</sup>, and that listed fluxes and upper limits do not distinguish these two components. Daggers indicate values corrected for 30% missing flux due to the small 0.14" × 0.06" beam. The FWHM values in brackets are the averaged FWHM of all detected CO transitions from C18.

values are consistent with line fluxes measured on low S/N EMIR detections. Finally, in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, HCN(5–4), HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4), and HNC(5–4) have S/N values of 14.3 $\sigma$ , 15.5 $\sigma$ , and 19.5 $\sigma$ , respectively. We also measure a 3-mm dust continuum of (2.26 ± 0.04) mJy at  $\approx$  104 GHz in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, (4.42 ± 0.09) mJy at  $\approx$  98 GHz in PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and (2.51 ± 0.05) mJy at  $\approx$  112 GHz in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9.


## 2.2.2. ALMA

For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, we also used ALMA data obtained in Cycle 3 (2015.1.01518.S, PI Nesvadba). These correspond to two science goals in Band 3, with lower and higher spatial resolution, respectively.

The lower-resolution data were taken on 21 July 2016, with 39 antennas (configuration C40-5) and specifically targeted dense-gas line tracers in three basebands, that is, HNC(5–4) centered at a redshifted frequency of 113.115 GHz, HCN(5–4) at 110.635 GHz, and <sup>13</sup>CO(4–3) at 110.046 GHz. We used narrower basebands, of  $\lesssim$ 1200 km s<sup>-1</sup>, than we had for the higher-resolution data. For the reduction, we used standard manual scripts with the Common Astronomy Software Application (CASA, McMullin et al. 2007). The bandpass and amplitude/flux calibrator was J1058+0133 and the phase calibrator was J1041+0610, 3.1° from PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. Continuum subtraction was complicated by the fact that the ALMA bandwidth is almost completely covered by line emissions. We identified a part of the fourth ALMA baseband centered on 111.616 GHz



**Fig. 1.** Spatially integrated EMIR spectra of emission lines from high-density tracer molecules observable using a single setup in the 3-mm band. The spectra were continuum-subtracted, binned to  $50\text{--}55\text{ km s}^{-1}$  resolution, and lines detected at  $>3\sigma$  were fitted with single Gaussian functions using the CLASS software package from GILDAS (blue curves). Additional tests were performed to confirm that these detections are real (see details in Sect. 2.1).



**Fig. 2.** Spatially integrated NOEMA spectra of emission lines from dense-gas tracers binned to  $100\text{ km s}^{-1}$  resolution. *Left:* best-fit Gaussian functions obtained with the mpfit algorithm for all transitions detected at  $>3\sigma$  (blue curves), together with the continuum. *Middle and right:* profiles of individual lines compared with those of  $^{12}\text{CO}(4\text{--}3)$  (blue lines). CO spectra are rescaled arbitrarily and shifted according to the best spectroscopic redshifts from C18. For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the continuum-subtracted spectra of HCN(5–4) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) were also fitted with two Gaussian components by fixing the peak velocities to those measured for CO lines (C18). The best-fit line profiles are plotted as red curves and individual spectral components are overlaid as gray curves.

as the most weakly contaminated range and used it as a spatial template with lowest line emission. However, we needed to correct the amplitude of the continuum template map by a factor 0.67 to account for the integrated line flux density of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}(4_{2,3}\text{--}3_{2,0})$  at 111.84 GHz based on the NOEMA spectrum of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 in Fig. 2. We produced the data

cubes for the final analysis using CLEAN “Briggs” weighting with robust = 1.0 and the synthesized beamsize is  $0.78'' \times 0.67''$  at a position angle of  $-83.6^\circ$ .

We used these lower angular resolution, continuum-subtracted line cubes to derive spatially-integrated spectra and line maps of HCN(5–4) and HNC(5–4), with a channel width of

15 MHz. We coadded frequency channels covering these emission lines to produce velocity-integrated maps and summed up pixels above  $3\sigma$  to obtain integrated spectra. Emission lines were fitted with single Gaussians and errors computed with flux uncertainties per spectral channel of 10%. The HCN(5–4) line flux of  $(2.05 \pm 0.25) \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$  is consistent within  $1\sigma$  with that from NOEMA, and the HNC(5–4) flux matches those from the higher-resolution data cube and from NOEMA within  $1\sigma$ . Line profiles are also comparable. Consequently, given that these uncertainties and the limited width of the HCN(5–4) and HNC(5–4) basebands ( $<2 \times \text{FWHM}$ ) are insufficient for producing a robust characterization of the baseline levels and line profiles, we focus the following discussion on the highest S/N detections of HCN(5–4) and HNC(5–4) from NOEMA and from the higher-resolution ALMA data cube, respectively.


These higher resolution observations targeted the redshifted CO(4–3) line centered at 114.888 GHz (i.e.,  $z = 3.005$ ) with high spectral resolution (see C17a); it also covered the HNC(5–4) line in a baseband centered at 112.897 GHz, with a channel width of 15.6 MHz. These data were taken on 23 October 2015, with 35 antennae (configuration C36-8) in good conditions with PWV of around 0.6–1.0 mm and high phase stability (95–100  $\mu\text{m}$  rms on baselines of 6500 m). We applied automatic and manual flagging of visibilities, calibrating bandpass and amplitude/flux with J1058+0133, and phase with J1044+0655,  $2.4^\circ$  from PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. We used a ‘‘Briggs’’ weighting with  $\text{robust} = 1.0$  and obtained a synthesized beam of  $0.119'' \times 0.081''$  at a position angle of  $45.3^\circ$ . Another source, J1038+0512, which is  $2.3^\circ$  from J1044+0655, was also included in the scan sequence to allow us to verify the quality of the transfer of the phase solutions from the phase calibrator. The rms in the data cube is  $0.18 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$ , with a spectral channel width of  $42 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

We created continuum and HNC(5–4) line-flux maps from the higher-resolution data cube by fitting the line profile in spectra extracted over circular apertures of  $0.08''$  diameter, which is slightly lower than the beamsize, in order to maximize the S/N without losing spatial information. For each pixel with  $S/N > 3$  on the velocity-integrated line map, we fitted a single Gaussian component using `mpfit`. Given the relatively low S/N per aperture, we fitted only the peak flux by keeping the line centroid and FWHM fixed to values measured on the integrated spectrum (see Table 2), and the continuum fixed to the average over the line-free channels. The resulting maps are shown in Fig. 3.

The HNC(5–4) line profile is characterized using a  $(u, v)$  tapered version of the higher-resolution data cube, with beamsize of  $0.21'' \times 0.16''$  at  $\text{PA} = 53.7^\circ$ . This cube improves the spectrum S/N, spatially resolves the partial Einstein ring, and has an rms of  $0.24 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$  per spectral channel. We created a velocity-integrated line map and summed up pixels above  $3\sigma$  from this map. The resulting source-integrated spectrum is shown in Fig. 4 and has a rms level of  $0.5 \text{ mJy}$ . Similarly to C17a, we recover about 70% of the source-integrated continuum flux density at 3-mm expected from our modified blackbody fit of the FIR/sub-mm spectral energy distribution in C15. The missing emission is likely from extended regions filtered out with this higher-resolution configuration and has been added to the observed HNC(5–4) line flux in Table 2.

### 3. Emission line properties

In Table 2, we list properties of all the emission lines from high-density tracer molecules observed with EMIR, NOEMA, and ALMA, including the line FWHMs, velocity-integrated fluxes,



**Fig. 3.** *Left:* velocity-integrated HCN(5–4) map of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 from ALMA (red contours) with a beamsize of  $0.78'' \times 0.67''$  at  $\text{PA} = -83.6^\circ$  (red ellipse in the lower-right corner), compared with the CO(4–3) line emission (white contours) and 3-mm dust emission (grey background) at  $0.1''$  resolution from C17a. Contour levels are  $4\sigma$ ,  $6\sigma$ ,  $8\sigma$  (red) and  $3\sigma$ ,  $6\sigma$ ,  $9\sigma$  (white). *Right:* zoom onto the morphology of the velocity-integrated HNC(5–4) line emission blended with CN(4–3) (see Sect. 3.3). The black contours correspond to the white ones in the left panel. Local velocity-integrated flux densities of the blended HNC(5–4) line are shown as a color map, with the same beamsize of  $0.119'' \times 0.081''$  as CO(4–3) (grey ellipse in the lower-left corner).

and luminosities obtained with single Gaussian fits (or the  $3\sigma$  upper limits). In the subsequent analysis and discussion, we only consider line properties and line ratios in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (which are the GEMS with the deepest integrations), while the others are not detected individually in dense-gas tracers. For these three sources,  $\text{rms} < 0.4 \text{ mK}$  on EMIR spectra provide particularly tight flux upper limits.


#### 3.1. Line profiles

Figures 1, 2, and 4 show the profiles of emission lines detected at more than  $3\sigma$  with the IRAM-30 m/EMIR, NOEMA, and ALMA. All spectra except those showing the complete NOEMA spectral windows have been continuum subtracted using first-order polynomials fitted to line-free spectral channels. Due to their limited S/N, the EMIR spectra of dense-gas tracers cannot distinguish individual velocity components. Those for which we fitted the HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC line FWHMs suggest comparable line widths with those of <sup>12</sup>CO (CO hereafter) in C18, despite large uncertainties. Line widths in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 are large but remain consistent with the range of  $580\text{--}750 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  of high S/N lines across the CO ladder ( $J_{\text{up}} = 3\text{--}11$ )<sup>5</sup>, suggesting that these tracers probe highly turbulent gas reservoirs with similar spatial distributions.

Emission lines detected with NOEMA and ALMA have higher S/N and better resolution than those observed with EMIR, and most of them are well fitted by single Gaussians. They are overplotted in Figs. 2 and 4 with the mid- $J$  CO transition from C18 with the highest S/N, shifted to the same frequency, rescaled arbitrarily, and rebinned to spectral resolutions of 100 and  $40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , respectively (rightmost panels of Fig. 2 and bottom panel of Fig. 4). The widths and centroids of dense-gas and CO transitions are similar in most cases, in line with previous findings for high-redshift SMGs (Oteo et al. 2017; Béthermin et al. 2018). For instance, the profiles of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) and CO(4–3) in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 match reasonably well. For PLCK\_G145.2+50.8, the HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) profile seems asymmetric

<sup>5</sup> For single Gaussian fits not listed in C18.





**Fig. 4.** Spatially integrated ALMA spectrum of HNC(5–4) in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, with a spectral channel width of  $42 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . *Top*: dark blue line shows the best-fit profile, with the deblended HNC(5–4) and CN(4–3) emission lines plotted in grey and green, respectively (see Sect. 3.3). *Bottom*: comparison of the line profile with those of CO(4–3) and [C I](2–1).

and shifted by about  $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to CO(4–3) (akin to HCN(3–2) in the Cosmic Eyelash, Danielson et al. 2011), with a low FWHM of  $(271 \pm 135) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . These variations might be intrinsic, suggesting more compact dense-gas emitting regions than the bulk of the CO gas reservoirs, but we caution that the deficit of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) emission near the CO(4–3) peak needs to be confirmed with a higher S/N spectrum.

The HCN(5–4) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) emission lines in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 also have similar widths and centroids to those of mid- and high- $J$  CO, for single Gaussian fits; Fig. 2 illustrates how well-matched these profiles are. It is worth noting that these spatially-integrated spectra also closely resemble that of HNC(5–4) from ALMA in Fig. 4, when excluding the blue emission tail from  $-400$  to  $-250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (likely due to contamination of HNC(5–4) by CN(4–3), as demonstrated in Sect. 3.3). HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC should therefore probe nearly co-spatial regions in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, which is consistent with recent studies on the inner regions of local star-forming galaxies (e.g., HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup>  $J = 4-3$  in the central few kpc of NGC 253, NGC 1068, IC 342, M 82, M 83, and NGC 6946, Tan et al. 2018). Moreover, the similarity between dense-gas and CO lines in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 suggests that the strongly magnified region of  $\sim 1 \text{ kpc}$  source-plane size (C17a) is fairly homogeneous in line properties, in spite of its outstanding star formation and gas-mass surface densities. This region likely hosts enough dense gas to sample the same velocity profile as seen in CO. This argues for a genuine multiphase medium, with ongoing mass exchange between dense and more diffuse gas driven by turbulence.

Overall, we obtained the lowest dense-gas line fluxes and the most significant differences between line profiles in PLCK\_G145.2+50.8. This could mean that gas phases are better mixed in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (and perhaps in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9) than in PLCK\_G145.2+50.8, where our data may cover fewer dense cores and more diffuse gas.

### 3.2. Individual spectral components

For the three GEMS in the current analysis, CO lines covered in C18 exhibit double-peaked profiles with individual spectral components offset by 300 to  $450 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and with  $<50\%$  variations in their flux ratios (see description in C18). We cannot directly measure the dense-gas emission associated with each of these components for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 using EMIR and NOEMA. However, for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, Figure 2 qualitatively suggests that intensity ratios between dense tracers and CO are comparable in the red and blue spectral features separated by  $350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, NOEMA spectra point towards lower HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4)/CO(4–3) and HNC(5–4)/CO(4–3) in the blue kinematic component, but these have low S/N.

For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the NOEMA spectra of HCN(5–4) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) are reasonably well fitted with single Gaussians but show a common excess around  $-300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2). This suggests double-peaked profiles with line components separated by  $\lesssim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , akin to all spatially integrated CO spectra with  $J_{\text{up}} = 3-10$  (C18) for this source and to the CO(4–3) line profiles in multiple images across the source from our high-resolution study (C17a). We fitted these two kinematic components by fixing their central velocity to the average CO line centroids over the SLED of  $-170$  and  $+190 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The blue and red spectral components of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) have  $FWHM = (283 \pm 36) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $I = (0.84 \pm 0.13) \text{ mJy}$ , and  $FWHM = (449 \pm 91) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $I = (1.32 \pm 0.16) \text{ mJy}$ , respectively. The red component is broader by a factor of approximately 1.6, which is in excellent agreement with FWHM ratios in the range 1.4–1.9 measured on single-dish spectra of mid- and high- $J$  CO lines (C18); the red component is also brighter. The blue spectral component of HCN(5–4) has  $FWHM = (385 \pm 85) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $I = (0.98 \pm 0.18) \text{ mJy}$ , while the red component is 1.3 times broader, with  $FWHM = (486 \pm 107) \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $I = (1.27 \pm 0.28) \text{ mJy}$ . We did not attempt to fit the components separately for HNC(5–4), which is blended with CN(4–3). The similar FWHM and flux ratios found between these individual Gaussians indicate that mid- $J$  CO lines, HCN, and HCO<sup>+</sup> do not trace gas reservoirs with fundamentally different kinematics in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. This also suggests that line-emitting regions are nearly collocated in the source plane within the rotating disk probed in CO(4–3) (C17a).

### 3.3. Deblending HNC(5–4) and CN(4–3)

The HNC(5–4) emission line is blended with the  $N = 4-3$  transition of the cyanide radical CN (CN(4–3) hereafter), with a velocity offset of about  $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Here, CN(4–3) has multiple components due to fine and hyperfine splitting, and its two most prominent components are within  $150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and have comparable intensities (Guélin et al. 2007). At high redshift, HNC(5–4) and CN(4–3) have been detected in the stacked spectrum of SPT lensed SMGs (Spilker et al. 2014), albeit with spectral resolution that was too limited to directly measure the individual line fluxes. While HNC(5–4) is expected to be brighter (see also below), significant contamination was previously measured

in a few high-redshift sources, including the lensed quasar APM 08279+5255 (Guélin et al. 2007) and two high- $z$  lensed SMGs (Béthermin et al. 2018). This demonstrates the need to perform a careful deblending of these lines.

For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the HNC(5–4) line shown in Fig. 4 is broad and exhibits an excess of blueshifted emission around  $-400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which is not observed in the [C I](2–1) and CO(4–3) line profiles and does not match the expected velocities of the independent red and blue spectral components (offset by around  $400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  from each other, see C18). The flux excess rather corresponds to the redshifted frequency of CN(4–3), similarly to Guélin et al. (2007), suggesting that CN(4–3) makes a non-negligible contribution to the combined line flux.

We deblended the two emission lines using our highest S/N ALMA spectrum (Fig. 4) by fitting them simultaneously, with peak frequencies fixed to our best redshift estimate from CO (C18)<sup>6</sup>. To derive robust constraints on the line FWHMs and fluxes, we fitted each transition with a single Gaussian without considering neither independent velocity components in this source, nor the individual CN(4–3) components. The resulting line FWHMs are similar to those of  $J = 5-4$  and  $J = 4-3$  transitions of high-density tracers in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (see Table 2), and we obtained flux uncertainties of about 20%. The HNC(5–4)/CN(4–3) integrated flux ratio is  $1.76 \pm 0.86$ , remarkably similar to measurements from the literature, including the APM 08279+5255 quasar (around 1.7, Guélin et al. 2007), and individual strongly lensed SPT SMGs at  $z \approx 2.5-3.0$  (around 1.6, Béthermin et al. 2018). Given that for PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, the spectrum S/N is too low to estimate individual line fluxes, we used the same ratio to infer an HNC(5–4) flux of  $0.40 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$  from the blended line flux given in Table 2.

### 3.4. Discussion on differential lensing

High-density tracer molecules are expected to reside in the inner core of giant, star-forming molecular clouds and could potentially probe an ISM component that is distinct from that probed in the low-to-mid- $J$  CO, or [C I] lines. Different spatial distributions have been observed in low-redshift (U)LIRGs (see, e.g., Scoville et al. 2015, 2017; König et al. 2018), where dense regions are located within the starburst cores and embedded in diffuse, colder gas reservoirs. High-redshift lensed SMGs could also have such configurations and, in this case, spatially integrated emission line fluxes and profiles could be strongly affected by differential magnification (Hezaveh et al. 2012; Serjeant 2012), introducing high uncertainties on the diagnostics from line ratios.

We used the high-spatial resolution HNC(5–4) cube from ALMA for PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 to compare the intensity-weighted magnification factor of this line with that of CO(4–3) (from C17a). These exquisite data allow us to directly study the spatial distribution of molecular gas phases probed by the two transitions, for the first time at high redshift, thanks to the extraordinary brightness of this maximal starburst (C17a). Since those lines were observed at the same time with the same array configuration, they are perfectly suited to measure magnification factors, independent of beamsize variations. Figure 3 shows that CO(4–3) and HNC(5–4) (blended with CN(4–3)) are nearly cospatial in the image plane, with only small spatial offsets of  $0.1-0.2''$  between the emission peaks. Line emission on the southern arc presents similar clumpiness in both

tracers. Finding similar distributions of both tracers along the partial Einstein ring already gives strong evidence that they probe nearly the same regions in the source plane. As in C18, we used the amplification maps from our best-fit lens model of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (Cañameras et al. 2017b) and the ALMA line-imaging in Fig. 4 to compute the luminosity-weighted magnification factor of HNC(5–4). We found  $\mu = 16.3 \pm 1.8$ , lower than the factor  $\mu = 22.0 \pm 1.3$  for CO(4–3) (C18). This difference is higher than the  $1\sigma$  noise uncertainties and the  $<5\%$  systematics expected from the strong lensing model (C18), but remains comparable to other measurement uncertainties. The different values might correspond to the small spatial offsets seen in Fig. 3. Alternatively,  $\mu_{\text{HNC}}$  could also be subject to other systematics from the line fitting (see Sect. 2.2) or from local variations of the CN(4–3) line contamination, rather than differential magnification.

Moreover, the aforementioned FWHM and flux ratios of the blue and red line components in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 provide further evidence that differential lensing does not strongly affect these velocity components, which are likely to be tracing rotational motions in a fragmenting gaseous disk (C17a). As shown previously, we measured similar line widths for these velocity components, over CO transitions from  $J = 3-2$  to  $J = 10-9$  and HCN(5–4), which span four orders of magnitude in critical densities,  $n_{\text{crit}}$ . This would not be the case in the presence of strong differential magnification between CO-emitting gas and higher-density gas phases traced by  $\text{HCO}^+(5-4)$  and HCN(5–4). In that scenario, variations in the line FWHMs would indicate that each tracer probes distinct regions in the source that sample the velocity fields differently. On the contrary, our component separation procedure suggests that we probe intrinsic regions with similar levels of turbulence with both emission lines. Although we cannot rule out different spatial distributions on galaxy-wide scales, this favors a multi-phase ISM over regions most strongly magnified by gravitational lensing that dominate the observed line emission. Our finding of dense-gas line FWHMs within  $1\sigma$  of CO in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 (see Table 2) is also consistent with this scenario. Together with small differences in our direct estimates of  $\mu_{\text{HNC}(5-4)}$  and  $\mu_{\text{CO}(4-3)}$ , this leads us to ignore the impact of differential lensing in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, as well as in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9.

## 4. Properties of the dense-gas reservoirs

### 4.1. Diagnostics from line ratios

In this section, we compare the line ratios involving high-density tracer molecules in the GEMS with samples of low- and high-redshift sources in the literature.

#### 4.1.1. Ratios between dense gas and $^{12}\text{CO}$


We computed the flux ratio of dense gas to CO lines presented in C18 using the same rotational level for both transitions as is traditionally done in the literature. For sources without CO line measurements at the corresponding  $J$  level, we used extrapolated fluxes from the best-fit large velocity gradient (LVG) models from C18. Our measurements are listed in Table 3 and plotted in Fig. 5, together with values from the literature. Comparison samples include nearby LIRGs and ULIRGs (Baan et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2014) and high-redshift lensed SMGs (Oteo et al. 2017; Béthermin et al. 2018), either in the ground or mid- $J$  transitions. In particular, the line ratios of SPT SMGs from

<sup>6</sup> We obtained consistent results using the HNC(5–4)+CN(4–3) detection from NOEMA with lower S/N.

**Table 3.** Flux ratios of dense-gas tracers to CO and [C I] lines.

Source	$I_{\text{HCN}}/I_{\text{CO mid-}J}$	$I_{\text{HCO}^+}/I_{\text{CO mid-}J}$	$I_{\text{HNC}}/I_{\text{CO mid-}J}$	$I_{\text{HCN}}/I_{\text{CO}10}$	$I_{\text{HCO}^+}/I_{\text{CO}10}$	$I_{\text{HCN}}/I_{\text{[C I]}10}$	$I_{\text{HCO}^+}/I_{\text{[C I]}10}$
PLCK_G092.5+42.9	<0.022	$0.052 \pm 0.007$	<0.031	<0.10	$0.24 \pm 0.09$	<0.059	$0.14 \pm 0.04$
PLCK_G145.2+50.9	<0.015	0.018	0.008	<0.11	0.12	<0.05	$0.06 \pm 0.03$
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	$0.064 \pm 0.023$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.084 \pm 0.034$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.061 \pm 0.025$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.40 \pm 0.23$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.52 \pm 0.30$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.07$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.09$ <sup>(†)</sup>
	$0.071 \pm 0.009$	$0.072 \pm 0.008$	$0.066 \pm 0.018$	$0.56 \pm 0.22$	$0.56 \pm 0.21$	0.10	0.10

**Notes.** Dense-gas to CO and [C I] flux ratios derived from values in Table 2, from the line measurements of C18 and N19, and from Harrington et al. (2018). All rotational levels are  $J = 5-4$ , except for PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 where ratios marked with a dagger correspond to  $J = 4-3$ . We do not list uncertainties when the [C I] or CO line flux is converted from another transition (see Sect. 4.1). CO(1-0) in PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 is extrapolated using the average ratio  $I_{\text{CO}(4-3)}/I_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \approx 5.1$  in the GEMS.



**Fig. 5.** Line ratios between dense-gas tracers and CO as a function of the intrinsic  $L_{\text{IR}}$ . Comparison samples are shown with the same symbols as in Fig. 6. In particular, the line ratios of local LIRGs and ULIRGs are taken from Baan et al. (2008,  $J = 1-0$  transitions, blue triangles). The additional filled squares show HCN(3-2) in the Cosmic Eyelash and other upper flux density limits presented in Danielson et al. (2011). The CO(4-3) fluxes of the local star-forming galaxies and ULIRGs of Zhang et al. (2014, yellow squares) are taken from Rosenberg et al. (2015).

Béthermin et al. (2018) are given in terms of  $J = 5-4$ , by converting the CO(4-3) fluxes to CO(5-4) using the average ratio over this sample (see the stacking analysis in Spilker et al. 2014).

When measured on the ground rotational level, ratios of high-density tracer molecules to CO are valuable proxies of the mass

fraction of dense gas over the bulk of the molecular gas reservoirs. Several studies in the past decade have characterized these ratios for populations of active star-forming galaxies, LIRGs, and ULIRGs at low redshift, finding significant variations of the ratios HCN/CO, HCO<sup>+</sup>/CO, and HNC/CO, over a broad range from 0.01 to 0.15 (Graciá-Carpio et al. 2008; Baan et al. 2008; Costagliola et al. 2011). Ratios inferred for local normal spiral galaxies are significantly lower (Jiménez-Donaire et al. 2019), even when focusing on their inner regions, with galactocentric radii <2 kpc, which host more massive dense-gas reservoirs. On average, Jiménez-Donaire et al. (2019) obtain HCN/CO  $\approx$  0.025, HCO<sup>+</sup>/CO  $\approx$  0.018, and HNC/CO  $\approx$  0.011 over nine spiral disks, while Baan et al. (2008) find about 0.078, 0.052, and 0.043 for these ratios in LIRGs and ULIRGs.

These line ratios decrease gradually when using higher energy levels for both molecules (e.g., Juneau et al. 2009). For nearby LIRGs and ULIRGs, the average HCN/CO  $J = 1-0$  ratios of Baan et al. (2008), when both transitions are detected, are around 0.078, while the fluxes from Zhang et al. (2014) and Rosenberg et al. (2015) lead to HCN/CO  $\approx$  0.025 in  $J = 4-3$ . PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 have comparably low HCN/CO and HNC/CO ratios in the  $J = 5-4$  transition (Table 3) and their dense-gas SLEDs likely peak at lower  $J$  levels than those of CO. However, for PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the  $J = 4-3$  and  $J = 5-4$  ratios are consistent within  $1\sigma$ , suggesting very excited SLEDs in this extreme source. Variations in the line ratios demonstrate the need to carefully account for the excitation of high-density tracer molecules in order to obtain a deep understanding of the gas phase directly fueling star formation. We emphasize that since line emissions are not resolved in our dataset, these ratios are consistently probing source-averaged quantities.

Figure 5 shows that for each rotational level, line ratios in the three GEMS broadly agree with previous studies of lensed SMGs. In general, HCN/CO and HCO<sup>+</sup>/CO for  $J_{\text{up}} = 4$  and 5 are slightly higher than the stack of SPT SMGs (Spilker et al. 2014). Only upper limits for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 approach the low HCN/CO(5-4) ratio of 0.013 in the stack. Danielson et al. (2011) and Oteo et al. (2017) obtain higher HCN/CO and HCO<sup>+</sup>/CO ratios in the Cosmic Eyelash, SDP9, and SDP11 than for the GEMS; this is not surprising since these studies use  $J = 3-2$  and  $J = 1-0$  ratios, which, in low-redshift ULIRGs, are generally higher than for  $J \geq 4$  due to the rapid decrease of the dense-gas SLEDs at  $J \geq 3$  (Papadopoulos et al. 2014). The spatially-integrated HNC/CO ratio in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 is remarkably similar to the SPT stack, and it drops in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 closer to the value of 0.01 in SPT0551-50 (uncorrected for the CN(4-3) contribution,



**Table 4.** Line-flux ratios used as diagnostics.

Source	$I_{\text{HCO}^+/\text{HCN}}$	$I_{\text{HNC}/\text{HCN}}$	$I_{\text{HCO}^+/\text{HNC}}$
PLCK_G092.5+42.9	>2.35	$\emptyset$	>1.68
PLCK_G145.2+50.9	>1.18	>0.54	$2.21 \pm 1.19$
PLCK_G244.8+54.9	$1.30 \pm 0.76$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$0.94 \pm 0.56$ <sup>(†)</sup>	$1.38 \pm 0.88$ <sup>(†)</sup>
	$1.00 \pm 0.15$	$0.93 \pm 0.28$	$1.08 \pm 0.31$

**Notes.** Flux ratios and  $3\sigma$  lower limits inferred from the line properties listed in Table 2. All rotational levels are  $J = 5-4$ , except for PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 where ratios marked with a dagger correspond to  $J = 4-3$ . The  $\emptyset$  symbols indicates that line fluxes are not available in either transition.

B  thermin et al. 2018). For each tracer, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 exhibits the lowest ratios among the GEMS.

In PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the blue and red spectral components exhibit HCN/CO(5-4) ratios of  $0.074 \pm 0.020$  and  $0.062 \pm 0.019$ , respectively, using the CO line fitting from C18, which is consistent with the ratio of  $0.071 \pm 0.009$  obtained from integrated line fluxes. These minor variations suggest similar distributions of gas phases traced by CO and HCN across the gaseous disk of this maximal starburst. The velocity-integrated HCN(5-4) map of Fig. 3 broadly confirms that nearly 100% of the dense-gas emission arises from the southern arc, which also emits 65% of the CO(4-3) emission. In addition, the HNC(5-4) (Fig. 3) and CO(4-3) (C17a) maps at a common resolution of  $0.1''$  are well suited to compare both phases. The emission line regions have very similar distributions, with  $0.1-0.2''$  offsets between local peaks. Assuming a constant CN(4-3) line contamination, the local variations of HNC/CO along the Einstein ring remain within a factor of two for all clumps identified in CO. The ratios are therefore comparable over star-forming regions that are strongly magnified in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, despite nearly four orders of magnitude difference in critical density between the two transitions. Finally, we also find higher HCN/CO and HCO<sup>+</sup>/CO integrated  $J = 4-3$  ratios in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 compared to the low-redshift galaxies from Zhang et al. (2014), which could either indicate higher dense-gas fractions or different excitations of the gas reservoirs probed by each molecule. We explore both hypotheses in Sects. 4 and 5 by using low-density ISM tracers to better constrain the dense-gas fraction and probe its impact on extreme star formation.

#### 4.1.2. Ratios between high-density tracer molecules

Table 4 and Fig. 6 illustrate the variations of HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN and HNC/HCN in the GEMS and other samples of low- and high-redshift sources in the literature, covering four orders of magnitude in  $L_{\text{IR}}$ . For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9,  $J = 4-3$  and  $J = 5-4$  ratios are consistent within  $1\sigma$ , as expected in the presence of collisional excitation, given the similar critical densities of  $J = 4-3$  and  $J = 5-4$  transitions for both molecules. This similarity also suggests that our deblending of HNC(5-4) and CN(4-3) in Sect. 3 is robust. Our comparison includes nearby gradually or more intensely star-forming galaxies from different surveys that also include LIRGs and ULIRGs (Graci  -Carpio et al. 2008; Baan et al. 2008; Costagliola et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2014), as well as high-redshift lensed SMGs (with  $L_{\text{IR}}$  corrected for lensing magnifications), together with the Cloverleaf QSO (Riechers et al. 2006). These populations do not show obvious systematic variations of the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN line ratio for different rotational levels over the range  $J_{\text{up}} = 1-5$  (color-

coded in Fig. 6), implying that both molecules have similar spatially-integrated SLEDs over a large variety of active galaxies. Evidence remains scarce for the HNC/HCN ratio, which has been little studied for  $J > 1$  levels in the local Universe. The HNC/HCN  $\leq 1$  ratio found by Costagliola et al. (2011) for the ground level in a sample of Seyferts, starbursts, and LIRGs, may suggest significant differences in both SLEDs when compared with the HNC/HCN  $> 1$  ratio measured in Arp 220, Mrk 231, and NGC 4418 for  $J = 3-2$  (Aalto et al. 2007). However, this would benefit from a more systematic study of HNC excitation for a larger sample.


Firstly, we measure an HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN(5-4) ratio of  $1.00 \pm 0.15$  in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the only one of the GEMS with both lines detected, and HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $> 1$  for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, observed in  $J = 5-4$ . These values are compatible with the regime of luminous star-forming galaxies in the local Universe. They are generally higher than HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $\leq 1$  at  $z > 1.5$ , although PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 remains within  $1\sigma$  of some high-redshift sources in Fig. 6. Lower limits are near the upper regime of SMGs, and more comparable to the elevated ratio in G09v1.97 (Yang 2017), a strongly lensed major merger of two ULIRGs at  $z = 3.634$ , characterized at high angular resolution by Yang et al. (2019). Secondly, we obtain similar brightness levels for HNC and HCN lines in the GEMS. In PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 where both lines are detected, the HNC/HCN ratio is consistent with unity both for  $J = 4-3$  and  $J = 5-4$ , which is particularly close to the values for the SPT stack (Spilker et al. 2014) and for SPT0551-50 (B  thermin et al. 2018). Other high-redshift sources have lower ratios, down to the upper limit HNC/HCN(3-2)  $< 0.2$  in SDP11 (Oteo et al. 2017). HNC/HCN in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 is higher than average values over the sample of Costagliola et al. (2011) for  $J = 1-0$ , while for PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, we measure HNC/HCN(5-4)  $> 0.54$ .

Both HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN and HNC/HCN line ratios in the GEMS are also higher than the average values of  $0.7 \pm 0.2$  and  $0.4 \pm 0.2$  obtained for more gradually star-forming, spiral galaxies in the local Universe ( $J = 1-0$  line detections, Jim  nez-Donaire et al. 2019). If there is indeed no dependence on the  $J$  level, together with the scaling relations in Sect. 5.1, this adds further evidence for lower HCN line fluxes in the GEMS relative to local galaxies. In Sect. 4.3, we use these two ratios to probe the main heating mechanisms within the densest molecular gas phase in the GEMS.

#### 4.2. Excitation of high-density tracers at high-redshift

Detailed analyses of high-density tracer molecule SLEDs are very rare, and most studies of the dense-gas ladder have focused on bright local starbursts or (U)LIRGs (e.g., Papadopoulos et al. 2014; Saito et al. 2018). At high-redshift, the most detailed excitation analyses have been conducted for the brightest QSOs, where HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> rotational lines maintain exceptional fluxes up to transitions with upper levels  $J_{\text{up}} = 5$  to 6, due to the competition of radiative and collisional excitation mechanisms. For instance, Riechers et al. (2010) used the  $J = 6-5$  transitions of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC in APM 08279+5255 at  $z = 3.91$ , combined with HCN(5-4) and CO line fluxes (Wei   et al. 2007), to highlight the major contribution of IR-pumping to the gas excitation in this strongly lensed quasar. The diagnostics remain hardly accessible for SMGs at  $z \simeq 2-4$ , even for the brightest and most strongly magnified samples. Spilker et al. (2014) obtained  $>3\sigma$  detections for one or two different mid- $J$  levels by stacking ALMA spectra of 22 strongly lensed DSFGs from the SPT survey and found elevated gas densities and temperatures





**Fig. 6.** Line ratios of high-density tracer molecules measured in the GEMS as a function of the intrinsic IR luminosity. The quantity  $L_{\text{IR}}$  is integrated over the 8–1000  $\mu\text{m}$  range and, for gravitationally lensed sources, error bars include uncertainties on the magnification factors. *Left:*  $\text{HCO}^+$  to HCN flux ratios, with the GEMS shown as large hexagons. Symbols are color-coded according to the rotational levels of both transitions. The comparison samples include both low- ( $z < 0.1$ , empty symbols) and high-redshift ( $z \geq 1.5$ , filled symbols) sources. We show the local samples of LIRGs and ULIRGs from Graciá-Carpio et al. (2008, brown circles), Baan et al. (2008, blue upside-down triangles), and Costagliola et al. (2011, blue upside-up triangles), as well as the normal star-forming galaxies and ULIRGs from Zhang et al. (2014, yellow squares). Local ULIRGs with strong energy contributions from dust-obscured AGN of Imanishi & Nakanishi (2014) appear as yellow crosses. High-redshift line ratios include individual lensed SMGs from the H-ATLAS (triangles, Oteo et al. 2017; Yang 2017) and SPT (circles, Béthermin et al. 2018) surveys, the stack of SPT SMGs (stars, Spilker et al. 2014, taking the average magnification factor over the sample), and the Cloverleaf QSO (blue cross, Riechers et al. 2006). *Right:* HNC to HCN flux ratios, using similar samples and symbols as above. In this panel, the brown hexagons show a few local starbursts from Aalto et al. (2007).

using LVG models. In general, gas excitation for individual SMGs remains accessible only for the most extreme lensed sources, such as the GEMS.

We derived radiative transfer models of HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC line emission in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, the only GEMS with multiple rotational levels detected for these molecules. Figure 7 shows the corresponding SLEDs, together with mid- $J$  to  $J = 1-0$  line ratios in Arp 220 (Imanishi et al. 2007; Greve et al. 2009), an intense local starburst with comparable but slightly lower CO excitation (C18). The fluxes and upper limits in  $J = 6-5$  suggest that our dense-gas data cover the turnover in the SLEDs around  $J = 5-4$ , at lower energy levels than CO (which is at  $J_{\text{up}} \approx 6-7$ , C18). This corresponds to higher dense-gas excitation than in the well-studied low-redshift merger NGC 6240 (Papadopoulos et al. 2014), one of the rare local starbursts with detailed analyses of the HCN and  $\text{HCO}^+$  ladders peaking at  $J_{\text{up}} \approx 3$  (shock heating also plays a major role, Wang et al. 2014).


We inferred the average gas properties over star-forming cloud cores by assuming pure collisional excitation and ignoring other processes (discussed in Sect. 4.3). Observed line ratios were compared with those predicted by the RADEX code (van der Tak et al. 2007), which solves the statistical equilibrium equations for a uniform medium. RADEX is a non-LTE code using the LVG method to compute the escape probability of emitted photons as a function of gas physical conditions and to predict the line intensities. Constraints were exclusively taken from the  $J_{\text{up}} = 4$  to 6 HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC lines, which have comparable  $n_{\text{crit}}$  and can be assumed to be cospatial<sup>7</sup>. We produced grids of line-intensity ratios with RADEX for a range of molecular hydrogen number densities  $n = 10^3-10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and

<sup>7</sup> In contrast, the  $J_{\text{up}} = 6-10$  CO transitions have  $\approx 10^3$  times lower  $n_{\text{crit}}$  and can arise from warmer environments with different conditions (e.g., the outer layers of giant molecular clouds, where HCN is dissociated, Boger & Sternberg 2005). High- $J$  CO emission could also be influenced by mechanical feedback and not directly related to the star formation rate (Greve et al. 2014). Including these transitions in the excitation analysis would thus induce major uncertainties.

gas kinetic temperatures  $T_{\text{kin}} = T_{\text{CMB}} - 10^3 \text{ K}$ , for an expanding sphere geometry, and using  $\text{H}_2$  as the main collision partner of high-density tracers with collision rates from Flower (1999) and Dumouchel et al. (2010). The column densities per unit velocity gradient were fixed to  $N_{\text{dense}}/dv = 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ km}^{-1} \text{ s}$ . This is consistent with our expectations that PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 is highly obscured, with  $N_{\text{H}} > 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  akin to local ULIRGs such as Arp 220 (e.g., Wilson et al. 2014), and hosts HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC abundances relative to  $\text{H}_2$  of  $10^{-9}$  to a few  $\times 10^{-8}$ , comparable to local galaxies (Omont 2007) and Galactic giant molecular clouds (GMCs, Bergin et al. 1996; Helfer & Blitz 1997). This is also consistent with the linewidths in Table 2. Moreover, these dense-gas column densities agree with the best-fit  $N_{\text{CO}}/dv$  values of  $10^{17.5}-10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ km}^{-1} \text{ s}$  in C18 for  $[\text{HCN}/\text{CO}] \sim 10^{-4}$  (e.g., Omont 2007). The models adopt a single gas excitation component and a background radiation temperature of 10.9 K, equal to  $T_{\text{CMB}}$  at  $z = 3.0$ . We then computed the goodness-of-fit  $\chi^2$  values between the measured and predicted line ratios for each combination of  $n$  and  $T_{\text{kin}}$ .

Figure 8 shows the resulting  $\chi^2$  distribution as a function of  $n$  and  $T_{\text{kin}}$  and illustrates the strong parameter degeneracies that prevent us measuring the exact gas conditions. The minimum  $\chi^2 = 9.1$  (for four degrees of freedom) is obtained for  $n \approx 10^{6.5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_{\text{kin}} \approx 50 \text{ K}$ , which would correspond to  $T_{\text{kin}} \sim T_{\text{dust}}$  (C15), but similar fits are also obtained for solutions with  $n > 10^{5.5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_{\text{kin}} \approx 50-100 \text{ K}$ , or with  $n < 10^{5.5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_{\text{kin}} \approx 100-600 \text{ K}$ . Figure 7 shows the SLEDs for representative solutions, and suggests that, even though the lines are moderately optically thick (see, e.g., local ULIRGs, Scoville et al. 2015), lowering flux uncertainties and covering additional transitions around and above the peak would significantly reduce parameter degeneracies<sup>8</sup>. Our diagnostics combining all line ratios are in agreement with those for individual

<sup>8</sup> More than adding  $J = 1-0$  line fluxes, if our simple modeling assumptions hold over the entire energy range. In any case, ground-level observations of HCN and  $\text{HCO}^+$  remain essential to firmly constrain the mass fraction of molecular gas in the highest density phase.



**Fig. 7.** HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC SLEDs of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (black points). Gray triangles in the lower left of the first two panels refer to the dense-gas mid- $J$  to  $J = 1-0$  flux ratios in the local ULIRG Arp 220 (Imanishi et al. 2007; Greve et al. 2009), after normalizing to the HCN(4–3) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(4–3) line fluxes in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. Colored curves show a range of solutions to our collisional excitation models with RADEX, combining the spatially-integrated intensity ratios of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC. These curves fit the three SLEDs reasonably well and illustrate the  $n$ - $T_{\text{kin}}$  parameter degeneracies shown in more detail in Fig. 8. Lowering flux uncertainties and covering additional dense-gas transitions around the peak would improve the constraints.

molecules, showing no evidence that HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, or HNC trace very different gas conditions (in contrast to Arp 220, Imanishi et al. 2010). Overall, the models robustly exclude average molecular gas densities of  $n \ll 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and confirm that PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 hosts significant reservoirs of very dense gas with  $n \sim 10^5$ – $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . This is similar to the value  $2 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  estimated in the dense cores of the Arp 220 nuclei (Scoville et al. 2015), as also shown in Fig. 7 through the agreement between our LVG model extrapolations and line ratios in Arp 220.


Interestingly, we obtain a common solution when adding the  $J_{\text{up}} \geq 7$  CO lines (dominated by the warm and compact CO-emitting phase identified in C18) to the excitation analysis. This demonstrates that at least part of the high-excitation CO gas emission in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 arises from environments dense enough to be detected in HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC. This could correspond to a multiphase ISM, with one or multiple very dense ( $n > 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and spatially-concentrated nuclear cores emitting HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC lines and hosting the Eddington-limited starburst identified in C17a, which is distributed over an extended, gas-rich and lower surface brightness disk-like component dominating the low- to mid- $J$  CO emission. Such ISM structures are common in low-redshift ULIRGs and a similar scenario has been recently proposed by Yang et al. (2020) for a  $z = 3.6$  SMG with dense-gas conditions probed by H<sub>2</sub>O lines.

#### 4.3. Constraints on individual heating mechanisms

Non-collisional processes can enhance the HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC line emissions in regions with moderate dense-gas fractions, and vice versa, and can have important roles on the heating of gas deeply embedded within star-forming clouds. This complicates our interpretation of the dense-gas star-formation law. In this section, we determine whether intrinsic line ratios of HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC in the GEMS indicate an influence of such excitation mechanisms, from X-ray heating and IR-pumping (e.g. Krips et al. 2008), to mechanical heating from gas outflows or supernova explosions (e.g., Loenen et al. 2008).

##### 4.3.1. Diagnostics from HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN

Recent studies of nearby galaxies have highlighted that HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN is sensitive to the presence of XDRs, which are generally produced by a nearby AGN rather than star formation.



**Fig. 8.** Results of collisional excitation models in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 with RADEX combining HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC multi- $J$  line ratios. The color map shows the goodness-of-fit  $\chi^2$  values (for four degrees of freedom), for each combination of  $n$  and  $T_{\text{kin}}$  and illustrates the parameter degeneracies.

Radiative transfer models suggest that HCO<sup>+</sup> emission is enhanced in XDRs while HCN remains unaffected by the elevated ionization rates in these environments, making the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $J = 1-0$  and  $J = 4-3$  ratios higher in XDRs than in PDRs (Meijerink & Spaans 2005; Meijerink et al. 2007). In NGC 1068, García-Burillo et al. (2014) indeed measure a factor two increase of the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratio close to the nucleus compared to the circumnuclear disk. In reality, these dense regions are nonetheless more complex than simple XDRs and other processes certainly contribute (e.g., mechanical heating through shocks, Tafalla et al. 2010).

Low-redshift studies show that spatially-integrated HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratios actually tend to be lower in AGN-dominated than in star-formation dominated sources (e.g., Imanishi et al. 2016; Izumi et al. 2016), suggesting that the increase due to XDRs is rather a local effect in the most extreme AGN environments. For instance, Tan et al. (2018) obtained lower ratios for galaxies with strong AGN contributions in their sample of six nearby star-forming galaxies. Using  $\lesssim 500$ -pc resolution ALMA line interferometry of nearby ULIRGs, Imanishi et al. (2019) found a 15–20% decrease of HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $J = 3-2$  ratios over compact

regions located mainly in the central nuclear cores, and systematically lower integrated HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratios  $\lesssim 1$  for those galaxies showing hints of strong dust-obscured AGN. Generally, HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN  $\lesssim 1$  therefore seems to indicate AGN-dominated systems (in agreement with ratios of García-Burillo et al. 2014, integrated over large radii)<sup>9</sup>.

In this context, HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratios and lower limits measured in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 (see Table 4) are consistent with star-formation dominated systems, as expected from the lack of a strong AGN contribution to dust heating (C15). The ratios of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 are more ambiguous as they lie just at the limit between the two regimes. While this also corroborates the lack of XDR imprints on the high-to-low- $J$  CO line ratios in C18, we emphasize that an enhanced HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN is not sufficient to firmly rule out the presence of XDRs (see Privon et al. 2015). The elevated cosmic-ray fields expected from the intense star-formation activity of the GEMS may also play an important role in setting the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratios in dense cloud cores shielded from UV fields, as argued for instance by Schirm et al. (2016) for the Antennae overlap region. The abundance of HCO<sup>+</sup> is most strongly affected by variations in the cosmic-ray ionization rates and the resulting abundance of free electrons, but could be either enhanced or decreased according to the literature (e.g., Papadopoulos 2007). Given this ongoing debate and the possible influence of metallicity (Braine et al. 2017) or additional mechanisms (see discussion in Oteo et al. 2017), the HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN ratio unfortunately cannot provide definitive ISM diagnostics for the GEMS.

#### 4.3.2. Diagnostics from HNC/HCN

The characterization of HNC/HCN provides additional constraints, in particular, regarding the identification of PDRs and XDRs. Detailed analyses of Galactic molecular cloud cores acting as typical PDRs find HNC/HCN  $\approx 0.1$ – $1.0$  (Hirota et al. 1998) and, more recently, Hacar et al. (2020) obtained a similar range in Orion and highlighted that this line ratio strongly decreases for higher gas kinetic temperatures. Interestingly, mid- $J$  HNC/HCN ratios are systematically below unity in classical PDR models (Meijerink et al. 2007). Loenen et al. (2008) and Costagliola et al. (2011) proposed that additional mechanical heating from supernova explosions might be needed to explain the lowest range, HNC/HCN  $< 0.5$ . The lower limit HNC/HCN  $> 0.54$  in PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 and the ratios in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 are thus consistent with the regime where PDRs dominate (see Fig. 6). We compared these values with the PDR models of Kazandjian et al. (2015) that predict strong variations in the presence of mechanical heating, especially for a highly enriched ISM and strong incident far-UV fields. We find that ratios in the GEMS are close enough to unity to match expectations for classical PDR models without significant mechanical heating. Additional transitions, ideally from the ground level, would be required to quantify the influence of this process.

To explain the ratio HNC/HCN  $\gtrsim 1$  seen in Arp 220, NGC 4418, and Mrk 231, Aalto et al. (2007) invoked the influence of XDRs with enhanced HNC abundance, or mechanisms such as IR-pumping affecting HNC excitation more

efficiently than HCN<sup>10</sup>. Following this interpretation, finding HNC/HCN  $\approx 1$  in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 for  $J = 4$ – $3$  and  $J = 5$ – $4$  does not necessarily indicate XDRs, a scenario that has already been disfavored on the basis of HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN and high-to-low- $J$  CO line ratios in this source. Observations of the Galactic circumnuclear disk show that both molecules can be excited by mid-IR radiation fields, but only HCN in star-forming clumps with elevated dust temperatures above 100 K (Mills et al. 2013). High-redshift DSFGs with  $T_{\text{dust}} = 50$  K, such as PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, therefore cover an intermediate regime where only HNC transitions could be efficiently pumped, thereby increasing the overall HNC/HCN ratios. Similarly, Riechers et al. (2010) suggested that radiative excitation from infrared pumping contributes to setting extreme ISM conditions in the quasar APM 08279+5255.

## 5. Probing the dense-gas star-formation law

### 5.1. Scaling relations between dense-gas line and infrared luminosities


At low redshift, the star-formation rates of galaxies are tightly correlated with their total dense-gas content, with a power-law index of 1.0 (Gao & Solomon 2004b), lower than the index of 1.4 obtained from CO measurements (Kennicutt 1998). The linear relation extends to Galactic dense cores (Wu et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2016), down to  $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 10^3$ – $10^6 L_{\odot}$  and to other high-density tracer molecules (Zhang et al. 2014), suggesting that they are better proxies of the SFE than CO. Previous studies proposed this indicates that the star-formation rates of low-redshift galaxies are driven by their dense-gas fractions, with a roughly constant SFE. The nature of the dense-gas star-formation law nonetheless remains debated, with some studies finding shallow sub-linear relations (e.g., Bussmann et al. 2008). Further diagnostics at high-redshift are particularly important to pursue the characterization of this  $L_{\text{IR}}$ – $L'_{\text{dense}}$  relation across various galaxy classes.

Given the rarity of HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> detections at high redshift, we place the GEMS on extrapolations of the scaling relations inferred in the local Universe. These scaling relations are directly compared for  $J = 4$ – $3$  to avoid relying on uncertain conversion factors between mid- $J$  and ground rotational levels and to take direct advantage of the recent line surveys covering this transition in low-redshift star-forming galaxies and ULIRGs. Due to the scarcity of active galaxies with detailed constraints on the dense-gas SLEDs, we converted the  $J = 3$ – $2$  or  $J = 5$ – $4$  line luminosities of sources without  $J = 4$ – $3$  observations, using ratios measured in the Milky Way. Mills et al. (2013) present the most comprehensive excitation analysis of these molecules in the Galactic central circumnuclear disk by fitting three transitions up to  $J_{\text{up}} = 9$  with non-LTE radiative transfer models. The luminosities are taken as the average over the four regions across the gaseous disk modeled by Mills et al. (2013) and, for HCN, this corresponds to  $r_{\text{HCN},54} = L'_{\text{HCN}(5-4)}/L'_{\text{HCN}(4-3)} \approx 0.78$  and  $r_{\text{HCN},43} = L'_{\text{HCN}(4-3)}/L'_{\text{HCN}(3-2)} \approx 0.86$ . We emphasize that uncertainties in applying these ratios to high-redshift environments are likely significant, but comparable to alternative conversions, and that  $r_{\text{HCN},54}$  is consistent with the value  $0.91 \pm 0.33$  measured in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. The line luminosities in Table 2 are derived following the method of Solomon et al. (1997), and  $L_{\text{IR}}$  are integrated over 8–1000  $\mu\text{m}$  in the rest-frame, from the

<sup>9</sup> On average, since a few individual nearby AGNs exhibit integrated HCO<sup>+</sup>/HCN close to or even above unity (Imanishi & Nakanishi 2014; Imanishi et al. 2016) as seen in Fig. 6.

<sup>10</sup> Because HNC can be pumped by continuum radiation at 21.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , making the process more efficient in environments with intermediate gas temperatures than for HCN, which is pumped by 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  photons.





**Fig. 9.** Scaling relations between the total infrared luminosities and the line luminosities of dense-gas tracers. The positions of the GEMS (red points) are compared with the low-redshift relations inferred for a diverse sample of normal blue star-forming galaxies, starbursts, and ULIRGs, and some AGN-dominated sources (black squares, Zhang et al. 2014). Solid and dashed-dotted black lines show their best-fit relations and the  $\pm 1\sigma$  scatter, respectively. The relations obtained in Tan et al. (2018) for six nearby star-forming galaxies resolved at sub-kpc (gray points) appear as gray dotted lines. The HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> luminosities of the GEMS are plotted for  $J = 4-3$ , after correcting  $L_{\text{IR}}$  for  $\mu_{\text{dust}}$  and  $L'_{\text{dense}}$  for  $\mu_{\text{gas}}$ , the magnification factors of the dust and gas components listed in C18. For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, we plot the measured  $J = 4-3$  line luminosities, while for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, we convert the  $J = 5-4$  line luminosities to  $J = 4-3$  using measurements in the circumnuclear disk near the Galactic center (see text, Mills et al. 2013). Previous detections and upper limits of high-redshift sources are plotted as filled symbols and include dusty star-forming galaxies from a subset of the SPT sample (orange circles, Béthermin et al. 2018), the stacking analysis of SPT sources (orange stars, Spilker et al. 2014), four H-ATLAS lensed SMGs (SDP9 and SDP11 as orange triangles, Oteo et al. 2017 and Yang 2017, NCv1.143 and G09v1.97 as upside-down triangles), and the Cosmic Eyelash (orange squares, Danielson et al. 2011). We also show the Cloverleaf QSO (green circle, Riechers et al. 2011). Measurements taken from the literature for  $J = 5-4$  or  $J = 3-2$  were converted to  $J = 4-3$  in the same way as for the GEMS, and strongly lensed sources were corrected for the magnification factors.

best-fit mid-infrared to submillimetre composite template of each GEMS (see C15)).

The high-redshift comparison sample is dominated by the bright strongly lensed sub-millimeter galaxies from SPT (Spilker et al. 2014; Béthermin et al. 2018) and H-ATLAS surveys (Oteo et al. 2017; Yang 2017)<sup>11</sup>, in addition to the Cosmic Eyelash (Danielson et al. 2011), and spans  $1.5 < z < 4.0$ . The published line luminosities were converted to  $L'_{\text{HCN}(4-3)}$  and  $L'_{\text{HCO}+(4-3)}$ , and corrected for the magnification factors listed in the corresponding papers (for the SPT stack we used the average  $\mu \approx 12.5$  over the sample). In addition, we added the Cloverleaf at  $z = 2.56$ , the only high-redshift quasar with high-density tracer molecules detected for  $J = 4-3$  (Riechers et al. 2011). The GEMS fall on the upper envelope of luminosities measured in these high-redshift sources, close to H-ATLAS strong lenses.


In Fig. 9, we set PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (corrected for  $\mu_{\text{CO}}$  from C18) relative to extrapolations of  $L_{\text{IR}} - L'_{\text{dense}}$  relations for nearby galaxies. Firstly, the GEMS are compared to relations from Zhang et al. (2014) drawn from  $J = 4-3$  line detections in the central regions of diverse nearby galaxies, from normal blue star-forming galaxies to starbursts and ULIRGs, including a fraction of sources with significant AGN contribution. Secondly, we juxtapose the scaling relations in the central regions of nearby star-forming galaxies at 0.2–1.0 kpc resolution from the MALATANG survey (also for HCN(4–3) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(4–3), Tan et al. 2018). For HCO<sup>+</sup>, Tan et al. (2018) find good agreement with lower resolution observations, both at low and high redshift, but for HCN, they find significantly lower, sublinear slopes (see Fig. 9).

<sup>11</sup> HCN and HCO<sup>+</sup> data for NCv1.143 and G09v1.97 are taken from C. Yang's PhD thesis (Yang 2017) available at <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01661478/document>

The GEMS fall near the best-fitting relations from Zhang et al. (2014), and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 is fully consistent with their  $1\sigma$  scatter. However, upper limits of  $2.1$  and  $4.3 \times 10^9 \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$  for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, respectively, suggest a significant deficit of HCN(4–3) emission compared to expectations from the local Universe. Interestingly, we found minor, but non-zero AGN contributions to dust heating for these two GEMS in C15. Correcting for this possible contribution and using purely star-formation-driven  $L_{\text{IR}}$  would bring these points closer to the low-redshift relation. If not intrinsic, this HCN deficit in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 could well be due to differences in  $r_{\text{HCN},54}$  between Galactic environments and more extreme starbursts (see, e.g., Papadopoulos et al. 2014). The relation of Tan et al. (2018) better reproduces the elevated HCN line luminosity in the Cosmic Eyelash (Danielson et al. 2011), but not the position of the GEMS, probably because it is drawn from less active galactic regions covering a smaller range in  $L_{\text{IR}}$ .

The three GEMS fall below the best-fit  $L_{\text{IR}} - L'_{\text{HCO}+(4-3)}$  relation, near the two H-ATLAS sources of Yang (2017). These sources and other SMGs suggest there could be a trend towards lower values of  $\log(L_{\text{IR}})/\log(L'_{\text{HCO}+})$  for the brightest high-redshift galaxies. Offsets for the GEMS even exceeded the  $1\sigma$  scatter of the Zhang et al. (2014) relation when replacing the  $L_{\text{IR}}$  values in Fig. 9 by purely star-formation-driven  $L_{\text{IR}}$ . This suggests that the GEMS favor slightly lower slopes than  $n = 1.10 \pm 0.05$  from Zhang et al. (2014). Although we could not rule out radiative excitation via IR pumping or mechanical heating in PLCK\_G244+54.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 in Sect. 4.3, it is also possible that elevated  $L'_{\text{HCO}+}$  traces variations in the degree of ionization of molecular gas at high-redshift. HCO<sup>+</sup> abundances depend on the formation of H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and the abundance of free electrons in dense cloud cores, which are mainly affected





**Fig. 10.** Flux ratio between  $\text{HCO}^+(4-3)$  and  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$ , a proxy of the global dense-gas fractions, as a function of  $L_{\text{HCO}^+}/L_{\text{IR}}$ , tracing the depletion time of dense-gas reservoirs. The GEMS (red points) are compared with the local star-forming galaxies from Zhang et al. (2014) (black squares) and with the stack of SPT lensed SMGs (orange star).

by cosmic-ray ionization (Papadopoulos 2007). Enhanced fields should in principle increase the abundance of free electrons and reduce the abundance of  $\text{HCO}^+$  molecules. Hence, Fig. 9 could possibly indicate lower cosmic-ray fields than expected in highly star-forming environments as in the GEMS.

In summary, given the larger scatter of low-redshift relations and uncertainties in interpreting  $\text{HCO}^+$  emission, the GEMS are consistent with a unique dense-gas star-formation law, suggesting that their extreme star-formation rates are primarily driven by massive reservoirs of dense gas. We did not attempt to characterize  $L_{\text{IR}} - L'_{\text{HNC}}$  since this relation remains hardly constrained at low redshift and it would be affected by uncertainties in deblending HNC(5–4) and CN(4–3) in the GEMS on local and global scales.

## 5.2. The dense-gas fractions

An increase of the mass fraction of gas in the dense phase has been previously invoked to explain the outstanding star-formation activities of high-redshift starbursts such as the GEMS (Daddi et al. 2010a). To study the evolution of this fraction at high redshift, we use the ratios of mid- $J$  dense-gas emission lines from the current analysis to CO(1–0) from Harrington et al. (2018) or  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$  from N19. Molecular gas masses derived from these two emission lines in PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 are roughly consistent with each other and suggest that these are two reliable tracers of the bulk of the gas reservoirs in the GEMS (C18, N19). Directly using the  $J = 4-3$  or  $J = 5-4$  emission lines of HCN and  $\text{HCO}^+$  allows us to compare their line ratios to CO(1–0) and  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$  with those obtained in low-redshift active galaxies for the same transitions; this should be independent of the conversion factors to the ground rotational levels, which are not well constrained by our LVG models (see Sect. 4.2 and the range of acceptable models in Fig. 7). These modeling uncertainties and the subthermal excitation of HCN and  $\text{HCO}^+$  at mid- $J$  prevent us from estimating dense-gas masses from extrapolations to  $J = 1-0$ , as usually done for local ULIRGs (e.g., Gao & Solomon 2004b); however,

we can still infer valuable diagnostics on the dense-gas fractions in the GEMS.

The line-intensity ratios are summarized in Table 3. For PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, we used the average  $[\text{C I}](2-1)$  to  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$  ratio of 0.86 in three other GEMS from N19 to predict the  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$  line flux. For PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, no CO(1–0) detection is available and we converted from mid- $J$  CO using the average ratio  $I_{\text{CO}(4-3)}/I_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \approx 5.1$  in the GEMS from C18. The flux ratios listed in Table 3 cover the range 0.05–0.50 and do not list uncertainties when the  $[\text{C I}]$  or CO line flux is converted from another transition. In Fig. 10, we plot the  $\text{HCO}^+(4-3)/[\text{C I}](1-0)$  ratios, which are available both for the three GEMS (after converting from  $J = 5-4$  to  $J = 4-3$  for PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G145.2+50.9 as above), and for the large sample of low-redshift star-forming galaxies and (U)LIRGs of Zhang et al. (2014). This ratio is plotted as a function of  $L_{\text{HCO}^+}/L_{\text{IR}}$ , a proxy of the depletion time of the dense-gas reservoirs.

The line-intensity ratios in the GEMS fall near the upper range of values in local LIRGs, taken from the  $\text{HCO}^+(4-3)$  and  $[\text{C I}](1-0)$  line detections from Zhang et al. (2014) and Rosenberg et al. (2015), and near the stack of SPT lensed SMGs from Spilker et al. (2014). As expected, such ratios between dense and total gas tracers are 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than in more gradually star-forming environments in the local Universe (see comparison with Tan et al. 2018, in CO(1–0)). Provided that the GEMS and their most active low-redshift equivalents have comparable  $\text{HCO}^+$  excitations, as already suggested by our analysis of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 in Sect. 4.2, and that  $\text{HCO}^+([\text{C I}])$  is free of other systematics, obtaining similar ratios in Fig. 10 rules out very different dense-gas budgets in these two populations. Consequently, the dense-gas fraction in galaxies hosting the most violent starburst episodes seems to have moderately evolved between  $z \sim 3$  and  $z \sim 0$ , despite much higher global gas fractions and local gas-mass surface densities at high redshift. Measurements of a few other high-redshift SMGs (Oteo et al. 2017; Béthermin et al. 2018) have led to marginally higher ratios, insufficient to establish a systematic difference. Finding important but not outstanding dense-gas reservoirs in the GEMS could indicate they host GMC-like environments, over larger regions than the very compact nuclear cores of low-redshift galaxies (e.g.,  $\sim 10\%$ , Bemis & Wilson 2019). This scenario is entirely consistent with the similar spatial distributions of HNC(5–4) gas reservoirs and less dense, CO-bright reservoirs in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (Fig. 4). Further tests with a thorough analysis of local line ratios over clump and intraclump regions in the GEMS would be beneficial, but are beyond the scope of this paper.

In the local Universe, the dense-gas depletion time shows little variation over a large range in  $L_{\text{IR}}$ , for galaxies on global (Gao & Solomon 2004a) and sub-kpc scales (Tan et al. 2018), and for Milky Way GMCs (Wu et al. 2005). In theoretical models, a range of processes are usually invoked for interpreting the positions of sources in the Schmidt-Kennicutt diagram, which do not require intrinsic variations in the dense-gas depletion time (Krumholz et al. 2012). Moreover, concerning the GEMS, our high-resolution study of the star-formation law and cloud stability in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 (C17a) did not show any evidence for an increase in the local SFE as traced by CO. The lack of significant horizontal offset for the GEMS in Fig. 10 is not, therefore, surprising and further suggests that the SFE in the dense-gas phase remains roughly constant over cosmic history. These conclusions would remain globally unchanged for HCN and CO(1–0), despite small differences in the relative positions

of the three individual GEMS. Finally, we note that Fig. 10 is consistent with the lack of a significant correlation between the dense-gas fraction and the dense-gas depletion time in local populations of star-forming galaxies (Tan et al. 2018, also for mid- $J$  HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$  levels).

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we use the high-density tracer molecules HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC to characterize the ISM phase closely associated with on-going star formation in three of the brightest dusty starburst galaxies at  $z = 3\text{--}3.5$ , part of *Planck*'s Dusty GEMS sample. Our detections of ten mid- $J$  lines in these sources with ALMA, NOEMA, and IRAM-30 m/EMIR, together with additional upper flux limits, significantly extend current diagnostics of dense molecular cloud cores at high redshift.

With ALMA, we resolve HNC(5–4) line emission down to  $0.1''$  in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, which allows us to infer unprecedented constraints on the spatial distribution of dense molecular gas at high redshift and to rule out a major impact of differential lensing between HNC and mid- $J$  CO in this extreme starburst. The similarity between these line morphologies in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, and between spatially-integrated profiles of HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , HNC, [C I], and mid- $J$  CO lines in the three sources suggests comparable distributions of very dense and more diffuse gas reservoirs in  $z \sim 3$  starbursts, at least over the regions that are most strongly magnified by gravitational lensing.

In two sources, PLCK\_G092.5+42.9 and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9, we obtain a good match between profiles of dense-gas and mid- to high- $J$  CO lines. The lowest dense-gas line fluxes and the most significant differences in line profiles arise in the third source, PLCK\_G145.2+50.8, although at lower S/N. This suggests that dense and more diffuse gas are better mixed in the first two GEMS than in PLCK\_G145.2+50.8, where our line detections may probe fewer dense cores and more intraclump gas.

The measured line ratios of  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{HCN} \gtrsim 1$  and  $\text{HNC}/\text{HCN} \approx 1$  in the GEMS are akin to nearby ULIRGs and can be used to characterize the gas-heating mechanisms. Despite unavoidable degeneracies in theoretical models, similar diagnostics in Milky Way GMCs and nearby galaxies show consistency with PDRs in star-formation-dominated environments. The measured ratios do not require us to invoke significant mechanical heating or AGN feedback in the GEMS. Moreover, the dense-gas to CO line ratios differ in our sample. These variations highlight the importance of constraining the excitation of high density tracer molecules to improve our understanding on the gas phase directly fueling star formation.

The extraordinary brightness of PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 offers a unique opportunity to characterize the HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC excitation from  $J_{\text{up}} = 4$  to 6 lines covering the turnover in the SLEDs. Radiative transfer LVG models of integrated line emission show that these transitions arise from a high-density phase with  $\text{H}_2$  number densities  $n \sim 10^5\text{--}10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , although important degeneracies prevent us measuring the exact ISM conditions in these environments. Mid- $J$  to  $J = 1\text{--}0$  dense-gas excitation in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9 is not very different from the intense local ULIRG Arp 220, which could indicate similar ISM structures and density distributions, as already proposed for other high-redshift SMGs.

The three GEMS are consistent with extrapolations of dense-gas star-formation laws derived in the nearby Universe, adding further evidence that the exceptional star-formation rates observed in the most active galaxies at  $z \sim 3$  are a consequence of their massive reservoirs of dense gas. This extends diagnostics

of  $L_{\text{IR}} - L'_{\text{dense}}$  relations beyond the luminosities of previous high-redshift samples. Moreover, dense-gas-mass fractions in the GEMS inferred from mid- $J$  dense-gas emission lines to [C I](1–0) are not exceptional, but are close to other lensed SMGs and near the upper envelope of local ULIRGs. Hence, despite the higher global gas fractions and local gas-mass surface densities observed at high redshift, the dense-gas budget of rapidly star-forming galaxies seems to have evolved little between  $z \sim 3$  and  $z \sim 0$ . Our results do not suggest important variations in the dense-gas depletion times of these populations, as also predicted by theoretical models of star formation, and consistent with the local ISM conditions in PLCK\_G244.8+54.9.

This study highlights the need for pursuing investigations of the dense-gas properties and star-formation law near the peak of the cosmic star-formation history. In particular, further observations of HCN,  $\text{HCO}^+$ , and HNC lines are needed to determine which molecule best traces the overall dense-gas contents of DSFGs at high redshift and to derive precise diagnostics of the densities, temperatures, and chemical abundances in cloud cores that are directly fueling star formation. Extending the coverage of dense-gas SLEDs and probing larger samples will be highly valuable and achievable with ALMA for the brightest  $z \sim 2\text{--}4$  galaxies.

*Acknowledgements.* We would like to thank the anonymous referee for comments that helped improve the paper. We thank A. Omont for useful discussions and feedback about this work, and the staff at the IRAM 30-m telescope for their excellent support during observations. C.Y. acknowledges support from an ESO Fellowship. This paper is based on observations carried out under project number 108–14 with the IRAM 30-m telescope, and project S15CH with the IRAM NOEMA Interferometer. IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany), and IGN (Spain). This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.01518.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ.

## References

- Aalto, S., Booth, R. S., Black, J. H., & Johansson, L. E. B. 1995, *A&A*, 300, 369  
Aalto, S., Spaans, M., Wiedner, M. C., & Hüttemeister, S. 2007, *A&A*, 464, 193  
Aladro, R., Martín, S., Riquelme, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A101  
Aladro, R., König, S., Aalto, S., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 617, A20  
Baan, W. A., Henkel, C., Loenen, A. F., Baudry, A., & Wiklind, T. 2008, *A&A*, 477, 747  
Bemis, A., & Wilson, C. D. 2019, *AJ*, 157, 131  
Bergin, E. A., Snell, R. L., & Goldsmith, P. F. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, 343  
Béthermin, M., Greve, T. R., De Breuck, C., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 620, A115  
Boger, G. I., & Sternberg, A. 2005, *ApJ*, 632, 302  
Braine, J., Shimajiri, Y., André, P., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 597, A44  
Bussmann, R. S., Narayanan, D., Shirley, Y. L., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 681, L73  
Cañameras, R., Nesvadba, N. P. H., Guery, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 581, A105  
Cañameras, R., Nesvadba, N., Kneissl, R., et al. 2017a, *A&A*, 604, A117  
Cañameras, R., Nesvadba, N. P. H., Kneissl, R., et al. 2017b, *A&A*, 600, L3  
Cañameras, R., Yang, C., Nesvadba, N. P. H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 620, A61 (C18)  
Carilli, C. L., & Walter, F. 2013, *ARA&A*, 51, 105  
Chen, H., Braine, J., Gao, Y., Koda, J., & Gu, Q. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 101  
Costagliola, F., Aalto, S., Rodríguez, M. I., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 528, A30  
Daddi, E., Elbaz, D., Walter, F., et al. 2010a, *ApJ*, 714, L118  
Daddi, E., Bournaud, F., Walter, F., et al. 2010b, *ApJ*, 713, 686  
Danielson, A. L. R., Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 1687  
Dumouchel, F., Faure, A., & Lique, F. 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 2488  
Evans, N. J., Kim, K. T., Wu, J., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 894, 103  
Flower, D. R. 1999, *MNRAS*, 305, 651  
Gallagher, M. J., Leroy, A. K., Bigiel, F., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 868, L38  
Gao, Y., & Solomon, P. M. 2004a, *ApJS*, 152, 63  
Gao, Y., & Solomon, P. M. 2004b, *ApJ*, 606, 271  
Gao, Y., Carilli, C. L., Solomon, P. M., & Vanden Bout, P. A. 2007, *ApJ*, 660, L93

- García-Burillo, S., Usero, A., Alonso-Herrero, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **539**, A8
- García-Burillo, S., Combes, F., Usero, A., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **567**, A125
- Genzel, R., Tacconi, L. J., Gracia-Carpio, J., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **407**, 2091
- Gildas Team, 2013, *GILDAS: Grenoble Image and Line Data Analysis Software*, Astrophys. Source Code Libr.
- Graciá-Carpio, J., Graciá-Burillo, S., Planesas, P., Fuente, A., & Usero, A. 2008, *A&A*, **479**, 703
- Greve, T. R., Hainline, L. J., Blain, A. W., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 1938
- Greve, T. R., Papadopoulos, P. P., Gao, Y., & Radford, S. J. E. 2009, *ApJ*, **692**, 1432
- Greve, T. R., Leonidaki, I., Xilouris, E. M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **794**, 142
- Guélin, M., Salomé, P., Neri, R., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **462**, L45
- Hacar, A., Bosman, A. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2020, *A&A*, **635**, A4
- Harrington, K. C., Yun, M. S., Magnelli, B., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, **474**, 3866
- Helfer, T. T., & Blitz, L. 1997, *ApJ*, **478**, 233
- Hezaveh, Y. D., Marrone, D. P., & Holder, G. P. 2012, *ApJ*, **761**, 20
- Hirota, T., Yamamoto, S., Mikami, H., & Ohishi, M. 1998, *ApJ*, **503**, 717
- Imanishi, M., & Nakanishi, K. 2014, *AJ*, **148**, 9
- Imanishi, M., Nakanishi, K., Tamura, Y., Oi, N., & Kohno, K. 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 2366
- Imanishi, M., Nakanishi, K., Yamada, M., Tamura, Y., & Kohno, K. 2010, *PASJ*, **62**, 201
- Imanishi, M., Nakanishi, K., & Izumi, T. 2016, *AJ*, **152**, 218
- Imanishi, M., Nakanishi, K., & Izumi, T. 2019, *ApJS*, **241**, 19
- Izumi, T., Kohno, K., Aalto, S., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **818**, 42
- Jiménez-Donaire, M. J., Bigiel, F., Leroy, A. K., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, **880**, 127
- Jullo, E., Kneib, J. P., Limousin, M., et al. 2007, *New J. Phys.*, **9**, 447
- Juneau, S., Narayanan, D. T., Moustakas, J., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **707**, 1217
- Kauffmann, J., Goldsmith, P. F., Melnick, G., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **605**, L5
- Kazandjian, M. V., Meijerink, R., Pelupessy, I., Israel, F. P., & Spaans, M. 2015, *A&A*, **574**, A127
- Kennicutt, R. C. 1998, *ApJ*, **498**, 541
- König, S., Aalto, S., Muller, S., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **615**, A122
- Krips, M., Neri, R., García-Burillo, S., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **677**, 262
- Krumholz, M. R., & McKee, C. F. 2005, *ApJ*, **630**, 250
- Krumholz, M. R., & Tan, J. C. 2007, *ApJ*, **654**, 304
- Krumholz, M. R., & Thompson, T. A. 2007, *ApJ*, **669**, 289
- Krumholz, M. R., Dekel, A., & McKee, C. F. 2012, *ApJ*, **745**, 69
- Liu, T., Kim, K.-T., Yoo, H., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **829**, 59
- Loenen, A. F., Spaans, M., Baan, W. A., & Meijerink, R. 2008, *A&A*, **488**, L5
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in *CASA Architecture and Applications*, eds. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, **376**, 127
- Meijerink, R., & Spaans, M. 2005, *A&A*, **436**, 397
- Meijerink, R., Spaans, M., & Israel, F. P. 2007, *A&A*, **461**, 793
- Michiyama, T., Iono, D., Sliwa, K., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **868**, 95
- Mills, E. A. C., Güsten, R., Requena-Torres, M. A., & Morris, M. R. 2013, *ApJ*, **779**, 47
- Nesvadba, N. P. H., Cañameras, R., Kneissl, R., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **624**, A23 (N19)
- Nguyen, Q., Jackson, J. M., Henkel, C., Truong, B., & Mauersberger, R. 1992, *ApJ*, **399**, 521
- Omont, A. 2007, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **70**, 1099
- Onus, A., Krumholz, M. R., & Federrath, C. 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 1702
- Oteo, I., Zhang, Z.-Y., Yang, C., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **850**, 170
- Papadopoulos, P. P. 2007, *ApJ*, **656**, 792
- Papadopoulos, P. P., Zhang, Z.-Y., Xilouris, E. M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **788**, 153
- Planck Collaboration XIII. 2016, *A&A*, **594**, A13
- Privon, G. C., Herrero-Illana, R., Evans, A. S., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **814**, 39
- Riechers, D. A., Walter, F., Carilli, C. L., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **645**, L13
- Riechers, D. A., Weiß, A., Walter, F., & Wagg, J. 2010, *ApJ*, **725**, 1032
- Riechers, D. A., Walter, F., Carilli, C. L., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **726**, 50
- Rosenberg, M. J. F., van der Werf, P. P., Aalto, S., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **801**, 72
- Saito, T., Iono, D., Espada, D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **863**, 129
- Schirm, M. R. P., Wilson, C. D., Madden, S. C., & Clements, D. L. 2016, *ApJ*, **823**, 87
- Scoville, N., Sheth, K., Walter, F., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **800**, 70
- Scoville, N., Murchikova, L., Walter, F., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **836**, 66
- Serjeant, S. 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 2429
- Solomon, P. M., Downes, D., Radford, S. J. E., & Barrett, J. W. 1997, *ApJ*, **478**, 144
- Solomon, P., Vanden Bout, P., Carilli, C., & Guélin, M. 2003, *Nature*, **426**, 636
- Spilker, J. S., Marrone, D. P., Aguirre, J. E., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **785**, 149
- Stephens, I. W., Jackson, J. M., Whitaker, J. S., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, **824**, 29
- Tafalla, M., Santiago-García, J., Hacar, A., & Bachiller, R. 2010, *A&A*, **522**, A91
- Tan, Q.-H., Gao, Y., Zhang, Z.-Y., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **860**, 165
- Thompson, T. A., Quataert, E., & Murray, N. 2005, *ApJ*, **630**, 167
- van der Tak, F. F. S., Black, J. H., Schöier, F. L., Jansen, D. J., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 627
- Walter, F., Bolatto, A. D., Leroy, A. K., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **835**, 265
- Wang, J., Nardini, E., Fabbiano, G., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **781**, 55
- Weiß, A., Downes, D., Neri, R., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **467**, 955
- Wilner, D. J., Zhao, J. H., & Ho, P. T. P. 1995, *ApJ*, **453**, L91
- Wilson, C. D., Rangwala, N., Glenn, J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **789**, L36
- Wilson, D., Cooray, A., Nayyeri, H., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, **830**, 30
- Wu, J., Evans, N. J., Gao, Y., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **635**, L173
- Wu, J., Evans, N. J., Shirley, Y. L., & Knez, C. 2010, *ApJS*, **188**, 313
- Yang, C. 2017, PhD Thesis, Université Paris-Saclay, France; Chinese Academy of Sciences, PR China
- Yang, C., Omont, A., Beelen, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **595**, A80
- Yang, C., Gavazzi, R., Beelen, A., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **624**, A138
- Yang, C., González-Alfonso, E., Omont, A., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **634**, L3
- Young, L. M., Bureau, M., Davis, T. A., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **414**, 940
- Zhang, Z.-Y., Gao, Y., Henkel, C., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **784**, L31

## Appendix A: Stacking analysis of EMIR spectra

We stacked EMIR spectra of each individual HCN, HCO<sup>+</sup>, and HNC transition in order to probe the average dense-gas properties and excitation over the sample, at a level below what is possible for individual galaxies. The eight GEMS listed in Table 1 were included, with continuum-subtracted spectra either from the WILMA or FTS backends. We performed the stacking following the method described in Spilker et al. (2014) and Wilson et al. (2017). Spectra were shifted to a common redshift of  $z = 3.0$  according to the best source redshift from CO (C18) because the dense-gas line redshifts are poorly constrained in most cases. Although using common redshifts might be problematic in the presence of separate components with strong velocity offsets, this assumption seems to hold true for dense-gas lines with centroids fitted independently (see Table 2). We then rescaled the flux densities per spectral channel of each source to the values they would have at  $z = 3.0$  using Eq. (1) from Spilker et al. (2014). For each transition, we created a reference velocity grid covering  $-1500$  to  $+1500$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and with 80 km s<sup>-1</sup> resolution. The individual EMIR spectra were smoothed and interpolated to this new grid.

We first created mean stacks by computing the average flux density in each velocity bin. Between two and four GEMS were used in the stacks, depending on the transition, with a uniform coverage over the complete velocity range. The resulting baseline rms varies from 1.5 to 2.5 mJy per 80 km s<sup>-1</sup> wide channel. We only obtained robust ( $>4\sigma$ ) detections of HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) and HCO<sup>+</sup>(6–5), with fluxes of about 1.6 and 1.2 Jy km s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G045.1+61.1 were used to stack HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) and, for the  $J = 6-5$  transition, we combined PLCK\_G165.7+67.0 and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9. Computing median stacks for the same transitions provided fluxes and upper limits consistent with those from the mean stacks, showing that the previous results were not dominated by a few outliers. In addition, the average line properties over the sample are better described by a weighted mean stack, where individual spectra are scaled to a common luminosity. We normalized the spectra to the average total infrared luminosity of the GEMS using the source far-infrared luminosities  $L_{\text{FIR}}$  obtained from modified blackbody fits in C15 (not corrected for lensing magnification), and created the weighted mean stacks. The results and number of detections are stable, except a  $\sim 30\%$  lower HCO<sup>+</sup>(5–4) line flux than in the mean stack. Given the low fraction of lines detected in these three versions of the stacks, we rather focus our analysis on line emission from the three brightest individual sources (PLCK\_G092.5+42.9, PLCK\_G145.2+50.9, and PLCK\_G244.8+54.9).