



HAL
open science

New material extracted from revised Tocharian A texts

Georges-Jean Pinault

► **To cite this version:**

Georges-Jean Pinault. New material extracted from revised Tocharian A texts. Tokharian texts, Dec 2020, Leiden, Netherlands. hal-03381812

HAL Id: hal-03381812

<https://hal.science/hal-03381812v1>

Submitted on 18 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Georges-Jean Pinault

Leiden University, CIEL, EPHE, PSL

December 9, 2020

This publication belongs to the research conducted under the HisTochText project. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 788205).

Handout of a lecture held at Leiden University, Centre for Indo-European Linguistics, December 9, 2020.

New material extracted from revised Tocharian A texts

Complementary methods for understanding texts, and gaining the meaning of words.

- 1) The internal method, based on the structure of the Toch. text, and its internal logic, completed by the combinatorial method, i.e., confrontation of other Toch. texts.
- 2) The external method, resorting to parallel texts in other languages of Buddhism.
- 3) The contextual method, resorting to Indian and Buddhist culture, literature, arts, etc., and/or to the historical and cultural context of Central Asia, especially, but not exclusively, Tarim Basin.

§ 1. Reminder (Pinault 2019). Leaf of the MSN. A 285+281 (THT 918+914), plus little fragment THT 1408.k, giving the left corner of the leaf. OT parallel: MaitrHami V, 2 b14 to 4 a4. Sanskrit parallel: two texts at least, one (MPS) about the division and cult of the relics of the Buddha, and the other about the decadence and improvement of the living beings.

Meaning of the root TA *ok-* (TB *auk-*) ‘to produce, show’, translated by OT *b(ä)lgür-*. Compare Malzahn (2010): ‘to set in motion’, not ‘to give to drink’. Fitting all other uses of

the verb, with the immortality (TA *oñkraci*) or ‘the medicine of immortality’ (TB *sāmtke ... onwaññe*) as direct object. Evident IE etymology: **h₂e- \square g-* ‘to increase, let grow’.

TA *yri* ‘fence, enclosure’ = Skt. *vedikā-*. Standard description of wonderful cities (Ketumatī, Kuśavatī, etc.), see MSP, *Maitreyavyākaraṇa*, etc.

TA *wpāl* = OT *kūl* ‘ashes’; verbal noun of TA *wāp^ā*- ‘to consume’. No gloss in Malzahn (2010).

TA *puṣem*, new title of the Buddha, cognate with TB *puś* and adjective TB *puśamaṣṣe*. Derived from TA/TB *puś* ‘Buddhahood’. Probably of Iranian origin.

N.B. While TA/TB texts are adaptations or translations of Sanskrit texts, several terms of the Buddhist terminology show some degree of Middle Iranian influence.

§ 2. New reading of a well-known text: Tale of the four masters of arts and the lion, part of the *Puṇyavanta-jātaka*. A 11 b2 to 13 a5 (THT 644-646). Sieg 1944: 14-16 first translation by Sieg 1916.

Description of the lion still asleep.

12 b3-5.

kākropu puk ś(twar pe)yu ; lyāṣknaṃ ywārśkā si cacp_uku :

kliso pāccās poṣṣāsā ; to lap śālyiṃ kolyeyac :

ākam yoktsās klośāṃ tsru ; lalku śāsmu śiśri koc :

śāmāṃ śiśkāṣ klisontāṣ ; śoll ats cami wākām ṣeṣ : 1

Translation: The four feet had he all fold up, he had hidden his tail between his thighs; lying on the right side, having placed his head toward the left paw. He let hang a little the ears, provided with hairs on their tips, (but) he had his whiskers raised upwards. Only life made him different from a living sleeping lion.

TA *si*, new noun, found by Itkin, Malyshev & Peyrot 2020. Cf. A 160 a1 (compared to a passage from the *Saundarananda* by Aśvaghōṣa, 10.11) ... *camar(ā) ops(i) siyā(s)///* ‘the yak-bulls (were wagging) their tails’. Skt. *camara-*.

TA *śiśri*, not ‘mane’ as per Sieg (1944, with question mark); dual of **śiśār* ‘whisker’, alias ‘nose-tail’ (Lat. *vibrissae*, Skt. *gumpha-* lex.), an organ which is typical of felines: pair of long hairs on both sides of the nose, which are highly sensitive. The noun for ‘mane’ was borrowed from Skt. *kesara-*, cf. *kesār-śiśāk*, calque of *kesari-simha-*.

Other duals in *-i* from *-r-*stems : TA *pratri* ‘two brothers’ from *pracar*, TA *yusāri* from *yusār* ‘season’, cf. TB *pwāri* from *puwar* ‘fire’.

IE etymology: < **de \square k \square -ero-* ‘indicator, indicative, pointer’; zero grade **dik \square -* of this root in Toch. > **tsāk-*, cf. denominative verb TB *tskāññ-* ‘to mark, characterize’.

Sūtra Pāli about the four types of lying posture. One is the *sīhaseyya-* ‘lying posture of the lion’ (PED: 714a). *Aṅguttara-nikāya* II, 245, transl. Bodhi 2012: 612.

katamā ca, bhikkhave, sīhaseyya? sīho bhikkhave migarājā dakkhiṇena passena seyyaṃ kappeti, pāde pādaṃ accādhāya, antarasatthimhi naṅguṭṭhaṃ anupakkhipitvā. so paṭibujjhitvā purimaṃ kāyaṃ abhunnāmetvā pacchimaṃ kāyaṃ anuviloketi. sace, bhikkhave, sīho migarājā kiñci passati kāyassa vikkhittaṃ vā visaṭaṃ vā, tena, bhikkhave, sīho migarājā anattamano hoti. sace pana, bhikkhave, sīho migarājā na kiñci passati kāyassa vikkhittaṃ vā visaṭaṃ vā.

‘And what is the lion’s posture? The lion, the king of beasts, lies down on his right side, having covered one foot with the other and tucked his tail between his thighs. When he awakens, he raises his front quarters and looks back at his hind quarters. If he sees any disorderliness or distension of his body, he is displeased. If he does not see any disorderliness or distension of his body, he is pleased.’

Confirmation: *lyṣäk**, dual *lyṣäkäm**, in the locative: ‘thigh’ = Skt. *sakthi-*. TB match would be **lyṣäke*.

List of further body parts: **11 b6-12 a1** *āyāntu śwāl /ysār yats krām yokyō*

12 b2 *āyāntu śwāl ysār krām yokyō*

‘die Knochen mit Fleisch, Blut, Haut, Fell (?) und Haar’ (Schmidt 1974: 95)

‘the bones with flesh, blood, skin, outer skin, and hair’ (CEToM)

TA *yats*: TB *yetse* ‘(outer) skin’ (Adams 2013 : 549). = *chavi-* according to a bilingual ms. Plus A 217 b6 *wsā-yok yats* ‘gold-colored skin’ = Skt. *suvarṇa-cchaviḥ*. Cf. Ved. *hīranya-tvac*, *-tvacas-*, same image.

TA *krām* ‘(outer) skin’ (?) (Carling 2019: 171a). Probably not related to TB *krāñi(ye)** ‘neck’ (= Skt. *manyā-*), cf. Adams 2013: 230.

New possible item: TB *krāna*, THT 1224 (+ 1213) b1 *krāna auloṃ* ‘k. [and] blood vessels’, oblique plural. Broken context: the preceding line ended with *yamaṣate*. Ogihara 2014: 246.

Confrontation with standard lists from Pāli. *Paṭisambhidāmagga* II, 232: *iddhekacco āpokāyaṃ ... pe ... tejokāyaṃ... vāyokāyaṃ ... kesakāyaṃ ... lomakāyaṃ ... chavikāyaṃ ... cammakāyaṃ ... maṃsakāyaṃ ... rudhirakāyaṃ ... nhārukāyaṃ ... aṭṭhikāyaṃ ... aṭṭhimiñjakāyaṃ aniccato anupassati, no niccato.*

DN II, 164: *jhāyamānassa kho pana bhagavato sarīrassa yam ahosi chavīti vā cammanti vā maṃsanti vā nhārūti va lasikāti va, tassa neva chārikā paññāyittha, na masi.*

DN II, 336: ‘*tena hi bho, imaṃ purisam anupahacca chaviñca cammañca maṃsañca nhāruñca aṭṭhiñca aṭṭhimiñjañca jīvitā voropetha, appeva nāmassa jīvaṃ nikkhamantaṃ passeyāmā*’ ti.

In all these lists, from outside to inside, the order of terms for the skin is always: P. *chavica-* = Skt. *chavi-* ‘skin’ vs. *carman-* ‘hide, skin, leather’. Then TA *yats* (B *yetse*) = *chavi-* vs. TA *krām* (TB *krāna*) = *carman-*. Skt. *chavi-* refers to the surface of the skin, ‘outer skin’, to the complexion, see the evolution inside Sanskrit towards ‘color’.

In such binomial phrases, it was always possible to reduce the pair to a single item, for instance TB *yetse* or TA *krām*.

Etymologies. TB *krāna*, possibly plurale tantum, cf. *mīsa* ‘flesh’, hence **krānā*. Collective shifted to singular in TA. CToch. **kārān-* < **krH-n-* or levelling of **kH-ōn-*, cf. Lat. *carō*, gen. sg. *carnis* ‘flesh’ (de Vaan 2008: 94). < **‘piece’*. Root **(s)ker-* ‘to cut’, etc, basis of Ved. *cārman-*.

TB *yetse*, TA *yats* < **yætsæ* < **H-ot-o-*, cf. Ved. *éta-* ‘of varying color, shimmering’ (vs. fem. *éñī-*, noun *eñ-* fem. ‘antelope’) < **He-t-o-*. Basis : **Hé-ot-* ‘(shimmering) color’ or the like. **H* = **h₁* or **h₂*, depending on other comparanda.

Remark about the composition of Tocharian narrative texts: the collage. Inserting pieces of different texts of Sanskrit origin in the main text of the story.

Further instances, see the tale of the painter and the mechanical girl (Pinault 2008: 263-268): the comparison of human bodies with puppets; the list of women with whom a wise man should avoid forming any relationship.

Recurrent use of lists of notions, of Sanskrit origin, alternatively inspired by Sanskrit or Middle Indic. Pervasive use of clichés and metaphors of Indian origin.

§ 3. Extract of the *Maitreyāvadāna-vyākaraṇa*. New reading of A 222 (THT 855), completed with A 239 (THT 872), belonging to 2 different manuscripts (219-238 and 239-242). A 239 overlaps partially with A 222. The end of the leaf 222 finds a decisive parallel in *Maitrisimit nom bitig*, Turfan (Sängim/Murtuq) recension, chapter XIX, Taf. 57 and 58 (BT IX, I: 160-162), where some details are somewhat more developed, according to habits of the Uighur translators.

Meter 4 x 14 syllables: 7 (4+3)/7 (4+3).

(nasluneṣi retwe)[a1]ṣi ; kleśāṣi pālkāntwāṣi :
praṣṭaṣi śkaṃ kāṣāyyo ; spārko pāltsä(k) wrasaśsi (: 102)
(cem yāsluṣ yo) naṣuṣ ; omāskeññi wośsi ṣeñc :
omāske(nāṣ ṇāñārkuṣ) ; (mā pe wra) [a2] (sa)ñ p_ukaṃn atsam :
lāñc āmāśāñ ṣoṣṭāñkāñ ; sne-pāltikāñ prakṣāntāñ (:)
(āpṣātrikāñ ṣukṣeñi) ; kenpar entsuṣ märkampal : 10<3>
rapurñe(yo) (cem kaknuṣ) ; [a3] (pārko) kälpä rināntāñ :
sne-palṣīnām rīteyo ; rutoṣ wrasa(ñ) (ypeyāntwam) (:)
(tmāṣ śa)mma(m)tr-ām opṣlyāntu ; māsāmśāt-ām want swase :
mā cesmi śkaṃ ṣtām-kwreyunt ; [a4] praṣṭā pkāmśānt mā kāmśānt : 104
mā kālymeyā pakkunt cam ; (oko pākār ypamām) ṣtām :
spārksā-m pāltsäk tmäk särki ; arnam mäs<k>är umparñe :
kleśāñ ṇātkā(r) ś_uk tkā(lune) ; [a5] (nakāt ā)ttsek wsokone :
skeyasyo pe māski ṣeṣ ; mrosk_ulune(yo) sākrone : 105)
sne-kaś ske ypā pātāmñkāt ; cesām wrasas mroskāssi :
aryu praṣṭam ṣom (kṣanam ; mā [a6] pe) ontam mrosāmśānt :

camik śpālmem śākyeṣim ; riṣakyāp ṣeṣ nānde ñom (:)
(kāwālte yom praca)r ; ṣoma-pācār (riṣa)kyāp : 106
tsopatsām ske spaltākyo ; tskāt cam (wa)ṣ(tāṣ [a7] pāttāmñkāt :)
k_al(e)yaṃ pāltsāk cacrānku mrosānkātsi mā nwiññāt :
wāt-ām (riṣaksac) ; (a)ntuṣ w(ā)t-ā(ṃ ñāktasac) :
wāt-ām antuṣ ñareyaṃ : kuppre ontam mroskat sām : (107)
(devadatte) [b1] (mārkampal) ; āklu ysomo tri-pārās :
śamkum menāk śāk we pi ; puklā pāṣā(t pāp)ṣūn(e) :
ly(ā)m plyask(enam m)usāt sām ; rāddhiṣim cam yātlun(e) :
ynāñmūneyo yārkantyo ; trīka(ṃ) (pā)ltsā(k) (puk ken[b2]par) (10)8
mārkampalṣim lāc wāknāṣ ; skāy śkaṃ kossī pāttāmñkāt :
yāmtsā(t ānantārsāntu) ; klā sārki sām avīs ñareyaṃ :
gokālik ñom ṣeṣ camik ; waṣtāṣ laltu ā(kālṣāl :) [b3]
(casā)s nākām naṣṣmi sām ; śāris seyā ślak penu : 109
maudgalyāyaṃnā pe śkaṃ ; pram ñkāt māttak āltsāt-ām :
kāṣṣī penu pāttāmñkāt ; tri-lkwār nunak [ā]ltsāt-ām (:)
(wāknāṣ mā) sām nārkāssi [b4] ; antuṣ nwāt klā mahāpadūm :
āresāsyō pān kāt ṣ(ñ)i ; (kāntu pāto) ñareyaṃ : 110
sunakṣatre lyāklyam l(wā) ; malywā yonam (pāttāmñkte :
ktorāṣ) [b5] cranās k_acāṣ caṣi ; buddhiṣparām kāswone :
koluneṣim prayo(kyo) ; (śrigupte ñom waṣt-lmo ṣeṣ) (:)
(k)ñāsyo yṣam wāla-ṃ eṣāk wās ; rarittwā-ṃ św(ā)tsintwam : (111)
(aṅgulimāl wra[b6]sas ko)s ; ṣom atsam tmānaṃ wārce :
pkāt nuṃ kossī ṣñi mācār ; yeṣāmn anac pātt(āmñkāt :)
(cāmpluneyo) tām praṣtam ; stāt nuṃ kossī pāttāmñkāt :
mā ye(ṣṣ ākac) (tmāṣṣ ārānt) ; (sām [b7] pyockä)s ko(c tāk) kāṣṣi (: 112)
ajātaśatru ñomā ṣeṣ ; wāl tām (praṣt) omāsk(eṃ :)
(sām kākorāṣ ṣñi pācā)r ; kenpar spārttu pāt(t)āmñktaṃ :
yāmt(s)āt sār(k)i (nu deṣit) ; ----- :

Tentative translation: (102) Through the decay (*kāṣāya-*) pertaining to being (*sattva-*), rule (*kalpa-*), afflictions (*kleśa-*), views (*dṣṭi-*), and time (*āyus-*), the mind of the living beings got lost. (103) They were, friends as well as enemies, bound to evil [and] wicked. No living beings at all indeed did refrain from evil. Kings, ministers, clerks, depraved men, judges, (people) belonging to suburbs and villages, did take wrongly the law. (104) They were avid from birth, searching for profit [and] gain. Through

the greed linked to injustice, the beings in the countries were deluded. Then [if] ceremonies happen to be performed by them, wind [and] rain made troubles for them. And for these ones the canes did not ripen nor develop at right time. (105) The tree (was) producing that (weird) fruit, not having matured correctly. Following immediately that their mind got lost. They become ugly in their aspect. The afflictions held off the touching of the viaticum. Joyfulness disappeared for sure. Even by making efforts, the blessing (obtained) through the feeling of disgust was difficult (to reach). (106) The Buddha-lord made continuously unlimited effort in order to make these beings feeling disgust. For a long time, they were not feeling disgust, even for a single moment. This excellent sage from the Śākya-clan (= Śākyamuni) had a brother called Nanda, the beautiful one (*saundara-*), the younger brother of the sage from the same father. (107) Through huge effort [and] zeal the Buddha lord took him away from home (= from householder-life). His mind was clung to the woman, he did not consent to feel disgust. He (the Buddha) led him to the sages, thereafter he led him to the gods, afterwards, whenever he led him in hell, this one (Nanda) felt disgust. (108) Devadatta had learned the Law, the three baskets (*tri-piṭa-*) completely. Like the scallop (lit. having shell) during twelve years he observed the observance. He sat in meditation; this one rose up to the controlling of supernatural power (□*ddhi-*). Through the admiration, through the honors (which he received), the mind of that one turned wholly astray [and] perverse. (109) He went far from the way of the Law, and he tried to kill the Buddha-lord. He committed the deadly sins (*anantariya-*); finally he fell in the Avīci hell. This one had a pupil named Kokālika, having become a monk (lit. having left home). He expressed (lit. set) blame [and] slander, in addition even on Śāriputra (lit. the son of Śāri), (110) and even on Maudgalyāyana. The god Brahmā himself restrained him. Even the Buddha-lord, the teacher restrained him again three times. From his way this one did not consent finally to refrain. He fell in the Mahāpadma (hell). By five hundred plowmen his own (tongue was plowed) in the hells. (111) Sunakṣatra crushed tiny animals; after having strewn them on the footprint of the Buddha-lord, he kept saying: ‘From where has this one his virtue consisting in Buddhahood?’ There was a householder called Śrīgupta, provided with means of killing. He covered for him a ditch with carpets above. He mixed poison in his (i.e., of the Buddha) meals. (112) Aṅgulimāla killed living beings, little less than 10.000 at once. Now he intended to kill his own mother. Through his power, the Buddha-lord went towards him at that time. Now, he decided to kill the Buddha-lord. He did not go to his goal. Then he became an Arhat: higher was the teacher. (113) There was at that time a king called Ajātaśatru, an evil one. This one, after having killed his own father, turned perversely in presence of the Buddha-lord. But finally he made the confession (*deśanā-*).

§ 4. A 381 (THT 1015). Preparation for the confession (*deśanā*) of a nun (*bhikṣuṇī*) before the assembly of monks and nuns. To be compared (partly) to A 371 (THT 915). Complete text.

Verso, not in TochSprR(A), also ruled, but only part of the line 1 has been written, by a different hand: b1. /// *ntām āṣānikām āmpe pissankāmtwe • ñuk tāṣne ñomā aśi • śoṣi saṅkāvaśeṣāmtu* (blank), four next lines not written: kind of rough draft.

Transcription and restoration of the recto.

- a1 (*yārkā yāmluneyaśśāl ārāntām*) *āṣā(nikām)* *āmpe pissankāmtwe • ñuk (tā)ṣne ñomā aśi • śoṣi saṅk(ā)vaśeṣāmtu(ṣi śikṣāpa)t*
- a2 (*caṃ kātkos tām ṣomaṃ wāwluṃt ṣo*)*maṃ mā wāwluṃt avasrut tāpluneṣinās avasrut tāpluneyaṃ ritwāṣlune-na(ndṣi)nās ā-*
- a3 (*ppārmāt rakeṣinās ku*) *⊗ladh(v)amsaṃṣinās leṣu-mātrārṣinās ykoṃ o(ṣeñi) ywārśkā snāki n(aslune)ṣī-*
- a4 (*nās*) (*snāki wār āsuk*) *⊗kātkāluneṣinās ṣmac ṣtmā(lu)neṣinās s(ne)-s(e)m ñuk tāṣne ño(mā) a(śi) • to-*
- a5 (*sām śikṣāpatāntu kātkos tām*) *saṅkāvaśeṣ maṅkāmtwāśśi • ṣomaṃ wāwluṃt ṣomaṃ mā wāwluṃ(tā)śśi • āmpe pissankāmtwenüṣ (kṣānti tākiṣ-ñi)*

Tentative translation:

[a1] With treating with homage the Arhat, the venerable one of both communities, I, the so-named nun, (I say:) O people! [a2] (If) I have transgressed any prescription pertaining to the Saṃghāvaśeṣas, some concealed, some not concealed, (such as) pertaining to lustful enjoyment, pertaining to passion [and] making connection for lustful enjoyment, [a3] pertaining to disdainful speech, pertaining to injuring of families, pertaining to only trifling matter (in accusing monks or nuns), pertaining to being alone amidst day [and] night, [a4] pertaining to going alone across a river, pertaining to standing for the suspension without refuge, I, the so-named nun, (if) [a5] I have transgressed those prescriptions, belonging to the Saṃghāvaśeṣa-sins, some concealed, some not concealed, may I have the pardon from both communities!

Skt. *saṃghāvaśeṣa-* (SA), part of the *Prātimokṣa-sūtra* rules. P. *saṃghādiseṣa-* ‘a class of offences which can be decided only by a formal conclave’ (*saṃgha-kamma*) (PED: 667b). “wobei der Rest [d.h. das Strafmaß] bei der Mönchsgemeinde liegt” (v. Hinüber 1999: 17) BHSD: 549b. 12 for the monks, 19 for the nuns. Skt. *saṃghātiśeṣa-* (Mahāsāṃghika). Mūlasarvāstivādin : 13 for the monks, 20 for the nuns, cf. Rockhill 1884 (= R.).

Confession formula: TB *kṣānti tākoy-ñ*, TA *k_uṣānti tākiṣ-ñi* (230 b4).
TA *śikṣāpat kātḱ-*: TB *śikṣapātānta kātḱ-*.

Loans and calques from Skt.

ñuk tāṣne ñomā (a1.4): Skt. *aham itthaṃnāmā*, used in the *Karmavācanā*.

avasrut : Skt. *avasruta-* (P. *avassuta-*) ‘foul, rotten’, here matching rather *avaśruta-* ‘sexually lustful’ (BHSD: 76a), special use in Vinaya. PED: 84b.

tāplune, lit. ‘eating’ : Skt. *bhojana-* ‘enjoyment, sexual intercourse’ (BHSD: 412b). P. *bhoga-nand** << Skt. *nandī*, P. *nandī-/nandī-* ‘joy’ (BHSD: 280a, PED: 346b). P. *nandī-rāga-* ‘pleasure and lust, passionate delight’.

ritwāṣlune, cf. Skt. *saṃcaritra-*, P. *saṃcaritta-* ‘procuring, acting as go-between in liaisons’ (BHSD: 350a).

*kuladhvaṃsam** < Skt. *kuladhvaṃsana-*, re-formulation of *kula-dūṣaka-* ‘injurer or spoiler of families’ (BHSD : 188a), P. *kula-dūsaka-* ‘one who brings a family into bad repute’ (PED: 223a). See Nolot 1999: 433-438.

*leśu-mātrār** << *laiśa-mātra-*, cf. *laiśamātraṃ dharmam*, Prāt., P. *lesamattam*, quoted BHSD : 463b. Keyword *laiśikaṃ* ‘concerning a trifling thing’ (of accusing a monk of a *pārājika* sin [involving expulsion from the order of monks] on the basis of some trifling matter but afterwards repenting and confessing).

N.B. The TA term presupposes the analysis of the compound via MI transmission, hence borrowed (and not re-Sanskritized) **leśā*, either enlarged with TA suffix *-u* (thus transposing Skt. *laiśika-*) or with *ä > u* in bilabial context, see late TB forms *kaucu-wär*, *ñoru-wär* (PK AS 18B) < **kaucä-*, **ñorä-*. *-mātrār**, with redundant notation in interior position of final syllabic liquid after re-Sanskritization < **māttār/*māträ* < **mātra* at word-end, crossed with MI *matta*.

sne-sem: Skt. *a-śaraṇa-*, P. *a-saraṇa-* ‘without refuge’ (PED: 697b).

ṣmac : allative sg. of *ṣām**, ‘remaining’ (separate, i.e., from the community), root noun of *ṣām-* ‘to sit, remain’.

The list of offences is not complete, nor exactly in the same order as in parallel texts:

a2. sexual passion (R. 4), go-between for rendez-vous (R. 1),

- a3. injuring or deprecatory speech, quarrel (R. 14, R.16, R.17, R.18), corrupter of families (R. 19), accusing for a pretext (R.3),
a4. being/travelling alone, day and night (R. 6-7 + R.8), crossing a river alone (R.9), renouncing the community and the 3 refuges (R. 13, R. 20).

References

- Adams, Douglas Q. 2013. *A Dictionary of Tocharian B*. Second edition. Revised and greatly enlarged (Leiden Studies in Indo-European 10). Amsterdam & New York: Rodopi.
- BHSD = Edgerton, Franklin. 1953. *Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary*. Vol. II: *Dictionary*. New Haven (Conn.): Yale University Press.
- BT IX = Şinasi Tekin. 1980. *Maitrisimit nom bitig. Die uigurische Übersetzung eines Werkes der buddhistischen Vaibhāṣika-Schule*. 1. Teil: Transliteration, Übersetzung, Anmerkungen. 2. Teil: Analytisches und rückläufiger Index. (Berliner Turfantexte IX). Berlin: Akademie-Verlag
- Carling, Gerd. 2009. *Dictionary and Thesaurus of Tocharian A*. Vol. 1. *a–j*. Compiled by Gerd Carling in collaboration with Georges-Jean Pinault and Werner Winter. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Carling, Gerd & Georges-Jean Pinault. 2020. *Dictionary and Thesaurus of Tocharian A*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- CDIAL = Ralph L. Turner. 1966. *A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages*. Vol. I: *Text*. London: Oxford University Press.
- CEToM = A Comprehensive Edition of Tocharian Manuscripts.
<http://www.univie.ac.at/tocharian>.
- DN = *Dīghanikāya*, ed. T.W. Rhys Davids & J.E. Carpenter, 1890-1903-1911. 3 vols. London: Luzac.
- von Hinüber, Oskar. 1999. *Das Pātimokkhasutta der Theravādin. Studien zur Literatur des Theravāda-Buddhismus*. II. Stuttgart: Steiner.
- Itkin, Ilya B. and Sergey V. Malyshev, Michaël Peyrot. 2020. Tocharian A *si* ‘tail’. *TIES* 20: 107-112.
- Lévi, Sylvain. 1932. Maitreya le consolateur. In *Études d’orientalisme publiées par le Musée Guimet à la mémoire de Raymonde Linossier*, 355–402. Paris: Ernest Leroux.
- Malzahn, Melanie. 2010. *The Tocharian Verbal System*. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- MPS = *Mahāparinirvāṇa-sūtra*. See Waldschmidt 1950–1951.
- MSN = *Maitreyasamiti-nāṭaka* in Tocharian A.

- Nolot, Edith. 1991. *Règles de discipline des nonnes bouddhistes*. Paris : ICI. Translation of the *Bhikṣuṇī-vinaya* of Mahāsāṃghika-school.
- Ogihara, Hirotoši. 2014. Fragments of the “Chujia Gongde Jing” in Tocharian B. *Tokyo University Linguistic Papers* 35: 233-261.
- OT = Old Turkic.
- PED = T.W.Rhys Davids & William Stede. 1921–1925. *The Pāli Text Society’s Pāli-English Dictionary*. London: Luzac.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 2008. *Chrestomathie tocharienne. Textes et grammaire* (Collection linguistique de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 95). Leuven & Paris: Peeters.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 2015. The formation of Buddhist languages, as exemplified by the Tocharian evidence. In Melanie Malzahn, Michaël Peyrot et al. (eds.), *Tocharian Texts in Context. International conference on Tocharian manuscripts (Vienna, June 25–29th, 2013)*, 159–185. Bremen: Hempen.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 2019. Revision of the fragments A 285+281 from the fifth act of the *Maitreyasamiti-Nāṭaka*. *TIES* 19 : 93-142.
- Poucha, Pavel. 1955. *Thesaurus linguae Tocharicae dialecti A*. Praha: Státní Pedagogické Nakladatelství.
- Prebish, Charles S. 1975. *Buddhist Monastic Discipline. The Sanskrit Prātimokṣa Sūtras of the Mahāsāṃghikas and Mūlasarvāstivādins*. Philadelphia: Pennsylvania University Press.
- Rockhill, W.W. 1884. Le Traité d’émancipation ou Pratimoksha Sutra. Traduit du tibétain. *Revue de l’histoire des religions* 9 : 3-26, 167-201.
- Sieg, Emil. 1916. Die Geschichte von den Löwenmachern in tocharischer Version. In *Aufsätze zur Kultur- und Sprachgeschichte vornehmlich des Orients, Ernst Kuhn zum 70. Geburtstag gewidmet*, 147-151. Breslau.
- Sieg, Emil. 1944. *Übersetzungen aus dem Tocharischen*. I. Abhandlungen der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Jg. 1943. Phil.-hist. Klasse, Nr. 16. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- TEB = Wolfgang Krause & Werner Thomas. 1960–1964. *Tocharisches Elementarbuch*. 2 volumes. Bd. I: *Grammatik*.- Bd. II. *Texte und Glossar*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- TG = Emil Sieg & Wilhelm Siegling, in Gemeinschaft mit Wilhelm Schulze. 1931. *Tocharische Grammatik*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

THT = Tocharische Handschriften der (Berliner) Turfansammlung: current standard inventory system for all Tocharian texts from the Berlin collection, Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin – Preußischer Kulturbesitz, Orientabteilung.

TochSprR(A) = Emil Sieg & Wilhelm Siegling. 1921. *Tocharische Sprachreste*. I. Band: *Die Texte*. A. *Transcription*. B. *Tafeln*. Berlin & Leipzig: Walter de Gruyter. Consultation of the personal copy of Wilhelm Siegling (1880-1946)

During the preparation of this paper, I have much benefited from discussions with Timothée Chamot-Rooke and Athanaric Huard, both PhD students (EPHE, PSL) and members of the HisTochText team. I remain alone responsible for the content of these pages, including the errors.