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# STABLE RECOVERY OF NON-COMPACTLY SUPPORTED ELECTROMAGNETIC POTENTIALS IN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN 

YAVAR KIAN AND YOSRA SOUSSI


#### Abstract

We consider the inverse problem of determining an electromagnetic potential appearing in an infinite cylindrical domain from boundary measurements. More precisely, we prove the stable recovery of some general class of magnetic field and electric potential from boundary measurements. Assuming some knowledge of the unknown coefficients close to the boundary, we obtain also some results of stable recovery with measurements restricted to some portion of the boundary. Our approach combines construction of complex geometric optics solutions and Carleman estimates suitably designed for our stability results stated in an unbounded domain.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the problem. Let $\Omega$ be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponding to a closed waveguide. More precisely, we assume that there exists $\omega$ a $\mathcal{C}^{3}$ bounded, open and simply connected set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\Omega=\omega \times \mathbb{R}$. For $A \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}$, we define the magnetic Laplacian $\Delta_{A}$ given by

$$
\Delta_{A}=\Delta+2 i A \cdot \nabla+i \operatorname{div}(A)-|A|^{2}
$$

For $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that 0 is not in the spectrum of of the operator $-\Delta_{A}+q$ acting on $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition, we can introduce the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(-\Delta_{A}+q\right) u & =0, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u & =f, \quad \text { on } \Gamma:=\partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Recall that $\Gamma=\partial \omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and that the outward unit normal vector $\nu$ to $\Gamma$ takes the form

$$
\nu\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\left(\nu^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), 0\right), x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \Gamma
$$

where $\nu^{\prime}$ is the outward unit normal vector of $\partial \omega$. In the present paper we consider the simultaneous stable recovery of the magnetic field associated with $A$ and the electric potential $q$ from the full and partial knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DN in short) map

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{A, q}: \quad H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega) & \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega) \\
f & \longmapsto\left(\partial_{\nu}+i A \cdot \nu\right) u_{\mid \partial \Omega}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{\nu}$ is the normal derivative. Let $\Gamma_{0} \subset \partial \omega$ be an arbitrary open set. The restriction $\Lambda_{A, q}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda_{A, q}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{A, q}^{\prime}: \quad H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega) & \longrightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
f & \longmapsto\left(\partial_{\nu}+i A \cdot \nu\right) u_{\mid \Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}
\end{align*}
$$

1.2. Motivations. The problem addressed in this article is connected with the electrical impedance tomography (EIT in short) method as well as its applications in different scientific areas (e.g. medical imaging, geophysical prospection...). We refer to [49] for a review of this problem. Our formulation of this problem in an unbounded closed waveguide can be associated with problems of transmission to long distance or transmission through structures having important ratio length-to-diameter (e.g. nanostructures). The main objective of our study is to determine in a stable way an electromagnetic impurity perturbing the guided propagation (see for instance in [9, 25]).
1.3. Known results. There have been many works so far devoted to the study of the Calderón problem initially stated in [5]. The first positive answer to this problem can be found in 46] where the authors used an approach based on the construction of complex geometric optics (CGO in short) solutions. We refer also to [10, 20, 31] for some alternative constructions of CGO solutions. Motivated by this result, many authors investigated several aspects of this problem. One of the first results devoted to the recovery of electromagnetic potentials can be found in 45]. Here the authors stated a uniqueness result under a smallness assumption of the associated magnetic field. This smallness assumption has been removed by 38 for smooth coefficients and improved in terms of regularity by [35]. Since then, in 47, the author considered magnetic potentials lying in $\mathcal{C}^{1}$, 41 treated the case of magnetic potentials lying in a Dini class and [35] considered this problem with bounded electromagnetic potentials. One of the first results of stability for this problem can be found in 48 and, without being exhaustive, we refer to [4, 8, 39, 40 , for some recent improvements of such results and to the works of [1, 6, 13, 36] for the stable recovery of several classes of coefficients appearing in an elliptic equation.

All the above mentioned results are stated in a bounded domain. There have been only few works devoted to the recovery of coefficients for elliptic equations in an unbounded domain. Among these results several works have been devoted to the recovery of coefficients of an elliptic equation in a Slab (see e.g. [7, 34, 37]) and we refer to the works [14, 15] for the recovery of periodic coefficients in an infinite waveguide. As far as we know, the first results dealing with the unique recovery of general class of non-compactly supported and non-periodic coefficients, appearing in an unbounded cylindrical domain, can be found in [29, 30]. More recently, in 44] the author proved the stable recovery of an electric potential similar to the class of coefficients under consideration in [29]. To the best of our knowledge, the results of 44] correspond to the first proof of stable recovery of coefficients similar to those considered by [29] from full and partial data. We mention also the works [2, 3, 12, 16, 26, 27, 32, 33] dealing with similar problems in a different class of PDEs.
1.4. Statement of the main results. Taking into account the well known obstruction to the recovery of the electromagnetic potentials (see e.g. 30, Section 1.4]), we study the stable recovery of the magnetic field and the electric potential appearing in (1.1). More precisely, for $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$, we consider the recovery of the magnetic field corresponding to the 2 -form valued distribution $d A$ defined by

$$
d A:=\sum_{1 \leqslant j<k \leqslant 3}\left(\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}-\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}\right) d x_{j} \wedge d x_{k}
$$

and the electric potential $q$. In our first result, we prove the stable recovery of the magnetic field.
Theorem 1.1. For $j=1,2$, let $A_{j} \in W^{2,1}(\Omega)^{3} \cap W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{\alpha} A_{1}(x)=\partial_{x}^{\alpha} A_{2}(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3},|\alpha| \leqslant 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume that 0 is not in the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta_{A_{j}}+q_{j}$ acting in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. Assume also that there exist $M>0, s \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $f \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$a decreasing function such
that the following conditions are fulfilled

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle x_{3}\right\rangle^{s}\left|A_{1}(x)-A_{2}(x)\right| d x+\left\|r \mapsto r^{\frac{3}{5}} f(r)\right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(1,+\infty)} \leqslant M \\
\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left[\left\|A_{j}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|A_{j}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|q_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right] \leqslant M  \tag{1.5}\\
\left|A_{1}(x)-A_{2}(x)\right| \leqslant f(|x|), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there exist $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega, s, f$ and $M$ and $s_{1} \in(0,1)$ depending only on such that the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d A_{1}-d A_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-s_{1}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true.
Assuming that the divergence of the magnetic potential under consideration is known, we prove also the stable recovery of the electric potential.
Theorem 1.2. Let the condition of Theorem 1.1 and conditions (1.4)-(1.6) be fulfilled. Assume also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(A_{1}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(A_{2}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, let $q_{j} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega), j=1,2$, satisfy the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle x_{3}\right\rangle^{s}\left|q_{1}(x)-q_{2}(x)\right| d x+\left\|q_{1}-q_{2}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}} \leqslant M \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s \in(0,1)$. Then there exists a constant $s_{2}>0$ depending only on such that the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{1}-q_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C \ln \left[\ln \left(e^{3}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)\right]^{-s_{2}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true, with $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega, s$ and $M$.
Now, we give two partial data results with restriction of the measurements to an arbitrary subset of the boundary. The statement of these results requires some definitions and assumptions that we need to recall first. Let $\mathcal{W}_{0} \subset \omega$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of the boundary $\partial \omega$ such that $\partial \mathcal{W}_{0}=\partial \omega \cup \Gamma^{\sharp}$ with $\partial \omega \cap \Gamma^{\sharp}=\varnothing$. We assume that $\Gamma^{\sharp}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{2}$. Let $\Gamma_{0} \subset \partial \omega \subset \partial \mathcal{W}_{0}$ be an arbitrary (not empty) open set of $\partial \omega$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{0}=\mathcal{W}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. For a given $M>0$, we introduce the admissible sets of coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\left(M, A_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right) & =\left\{A \in \mathcal{C}^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) ;\|A\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} \leqslant M \text { and } A=A_{0} \text { in } \mathcal{O}_{0}\right\} \\
\mathcal{Q}\left(M, q_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right) & =\left\{q \in L^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) ;\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})} \leqslant M \text { and } q=q_{0} \text { in } \mathcal{O}_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.3. For $j=1,2$, let $q_{j} \in \mathcal{Q}\left(M, q_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ and let $A_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(M, A_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Then there exist $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega, s$ and $M$ and $s_{1}$ depending only on $s$ such that the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d A_{1}-d A_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-s_{1}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true.
Theorem 1.4. For $j=1,2$, let $A_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(M, A_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ and $q_{j} \in \mathcal{Q}\left(M, q_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.2. Then there exists a constant $s_{2}>0$ depending only on $s$ such that the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{1}-q_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C \ln \left[\ln \left(e^{3}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}^{-1}\right)\right]^{-s_{2}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true, with $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega, s$ and $M$.

To the best of our knowledge Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 correspond to the first results of stable recovery of the magnetic field and the electric potential associated with non-compactly supported electromagnetic potentials from boundary measurements. Indeed, while the uniqueness of this problem can be found in 30, the stability issue for this problem has not been treated so far. We mention that in contrast to bounded domains, in unbounded domains the transition from a uniqueness result to a stability estimate requires some careful analysis and one has to deal with several difficulties strongly related to the lost of compactness of the closure of the domain. For instance, in order to obtain such stability estimates we need to impose the extra assumptions (1.5)-(1.6) and (1.9) to the electromagnetic potentials under consideration. Roughly speaking conditions (1.5)-(1.6) and (1.9) claim that the difference of the electromagnetic potentials under consideration admit some decay at infinity. It is not clear how one can get a stability result associated with the results of [30] without assuming such extra assumptions.

In the spirit of 4, in Theorem 1.3 we treat the stable recovery of electromagnetic potentials that are known close to the boundary from some suitable sub-boundary of $\partial \Omega$. Our approach requires both results of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 and some extension of the arguments of [4] to an unbounded cylindrical domain. This includes a weak unique continuation result, stated in Lemma 4.1 that we derive for unbounded cylindrical domains.

In contrast to similar results stated in bounded domains (see e.g. [48), in Theorem 1.2 we assume the knowledge of the divergence of the magnetic potentials under consideration in order to prove the recovery of the electric potentials. This is related to the fact that, in contrast to bounded domains, it is not clear how one can exploit the gauge invariance associated with our problem, introduced in [30, Section 1.4], for showing the stable recovery of the electric potential without assuming the knowledge of the divergence of the magnetic potential.
1.5. Outlines. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some class of CGO solutions, suitably designed for our problem, that we build by mean of Carleman estimates. In Section 3, we complete the proof of the results with full boundary measurements stated in Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 Section 4 will be devoted to the results stated in Theorem 1.3 using partial boundary measurements. Finally, in the appendix we prove several intermediate results including an interpolation result, a Carleman estimate and a weak unique continuation property.

## 2. CGO solutions

In this section we introduce a class of CGO solutions suitably designed for our problem stated in an unbounded domain for magnetic Schrödinger equations. More precisely, we consider CGO solutions $u_{j} \in$ $H^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right), j=1,2$, satisfying $\Delta_{A_{1}} u_{1}+q_{1} u_{1}=0, \Delta_{A_{2}} u_{2}+q_{2} u_{2}=0$ in $\Omega$ for $A_{j} \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3} \cap H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ and $q_{j} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.4)-(1.6). In a similar way to [29, 30], we consider first $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{1}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|y|=1\right\}$, $\xi^{\prime} \in \theta^{\perp} \backslash\{0\}$, with $\theta^{\perp}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y \cdot \theta=0\right\}, \xi:=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, with $\xi_{3} \neq 0$. Then, we define $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{2}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|y|=1\right\}$ by

$$
\eta=\frac{\left(\xi^{\prime},-\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\xi_{3}}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{4}}{\xi_{3}^{2}}}}
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \cdot \xi=(\theta, 0) \cdot \xi=(\theta, 0) \cdot \eta=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We fix also $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}((-2,2) ;[0,1])$ satisfying $\psi=1$ on $[-1,1]$ and, for $\rho>1$, we introduce solutions $u_{j} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ of $\Delta_{A_{1}} u_{1}+q_{1} u_{1}=0, \Delta_{A_{2}} u_{2}+q_{2} u_{2}=0$ in $\Omega$ of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=e^{\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x}+w_{1, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.14}\\
u_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=e^{-\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{2} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta}+w_{2, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, for $j=1,2, b_{j} \in W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)$ and the remainder term $w_{j, \rho} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the decay property

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{-1}\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
& \rho^{-1}\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C>0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $\left\|A_{j}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|q_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, j=1,2$. We summarize this construction as follows.

Theorem 2.1. For $j=1,2$ and for all $\rho>\rho_{2}$, with $\rho_{2}$ the constant of Proposition 2.4, the equations $\Delta_{A_{1}} u_{1}+q_{1} u_{1}=0$ and $\Delta_{A_{2}} u_{2}+q_{2} u_{2}=0$ admit a solution $u_{j} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ of the form (2.14)-(2.15) with $w_{j, \rho}$ satisfying the decay property (2.16).
2.1. Principal parts of the CGO. In this section, we consider $A_{j} \in W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}, j=1,2$ satisfying (1.4). From now on, for all $r>0$, we define $B_{r}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x|<r\right\}$ and $B_{r}^{\prime}:=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x^{\prime}\right|<r\right\}$. In order to define $b_{j}, j=1,2$, we start by introducing a suitable extension of the coefficients $A_{j}, j=1,2$. Following (3), Lemma 3.1.], we define $\tilde{A}_{j} \in W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}, j=1,2$, and we fix $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{A}_{j}(x)=A_{j}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{A}_{j}\right) \subset B_{r_{0}}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R} \\
\tilde{A}_{1}(x)=\tilde{A}_{2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega  \tag{2.17}\\
\left\|\tilde{A}_{j}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}} \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

with $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega$. Here $r_{0}$ will only depends on $\Omega$.
Following 30, we fix $\tilde{\theta}=(\theta, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}(x):=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \tilde{A}_{1}\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)}{s_{1}+i s_{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2} \\
& \Phi_{2}(x):=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{(-\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \tilde{A}_{2}\left(x+s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)}{s_{1}+i s_{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

According to [30, one can check that $\Phi_{j} \in W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)$. Assuming that condition (1.6) is fulfilled, we can even prove the following estimates.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that condition (1.6) is fulfilled. Then, for all $R \geqslant r_{0}$, there exists $C>0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $R$ such that the following estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\Phi_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(B_{R}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\left\|\Phi_{2}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(B_{R}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
\left\|\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\|r \mapsto r f(r)\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(1,+\infty)}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold true.
Proof. We will prove the estimate (2.19) only for $\Phi_{1}$, the proof for $\Phi_{2}$ being similar. For this purpose, we fix $R \geqslant r_{0}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n},|\alpha| \leqslant 2$, we have

$$
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \Phi_{1}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{1}\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)\right|}{\left|s_{1}+i s_{2}\right|} d s_{1} d s_{2}
$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{A}_{1}\right) \subset B_{r_{0}}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}$, one can check that, for all $x \in B_{R}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{1}\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)\right|=0, \quad\left|\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{2 R}{\left|\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right|}, x \in \Omega
$$

where $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \Phi_{1}(x)\right| & \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\|\tilde{A}_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}}\left(\int_{\left|\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{2 R}{\left|\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right|}} \frac{1}{\left|s_{1}+i s_{2}\right|} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right)  \tag{2.21}\\
& \leqslant C\left\|\tilde{A}_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}}\left|\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right|^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling that

$$
\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)=\frac{\xi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{4}}{\xi_{3}^{2}}}}
$$

and applying (2.17), we deduce (2.19) from (2.21).
Now let us consider (2.20). Let us first observe that, according to (2.17), we have

$$
\Phi_{1}(x)+\overline{\Phi_{2}}(x)=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot A\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)}{s_{1}+i s_{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2}
$$

where $A=A_{1}-A_{2}$ is extended by zero to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Therefore, applying (1.6) and the fact that $f$ is a decreasing function, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Phi_{1}(x)+\overline{\Phi_{2}}(x)\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right) \int_{B_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left|s_{1}+i s_{2}\right|} d s_{1} d s_{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{\left|f\left(\left|x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right|\right)\right|}{\left|s_{1}+i s_{2}\right|} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{1}^{\prime}}\left|f\left(\left|x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right|\right)\right|^{\frac{5}{3}} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{1}^{\prime}}\left|s_{1}+i s_{2}\right|^{-\frac{5}{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|f\left(\left|(x \cdot \xi) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}+s_{1} \tilde{\theta}+s_{2} \eta\right|\right)\right|^{\frac{5}{3}} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|f\left(\left|s_{1} \tilde{\theta}+s_{2} \eta\right|\right)\right|^{\frac{5}{3}} d s_{1} d s_{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \int_{1}^{+\infty} r|f(r)|^{\frac{5}{3}} d r d \omega_{1}\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|r \mapsto r^{\frac{3}{5}} f(r)\right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(1,+\infty)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Fixing

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(x)=e^{\Phi_{1}(x)}, \quad b_{2}(x)=e^{\Phi_{2}(x)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \nabla b_{1}+i\left[(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \tilde{A}_{1}(x)\right] b_{1}=0, \quad(-\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \nabla b_{2}+i\left[(-\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \tilde{A}_{2}(x)\right] b_{2}=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, using the fact that $\bar{\omega} \subset B_{r_{0}}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{j}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}\left(B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\left\|A_{2}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right), j=1,2 . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these properties of the expressions $b_{j}, j=1,2$, we will complete the construction of the solutions $u_{j}$ of the form (2.14)-(2.15). For this purpose, we will use some suitable Carleman estimates which will extend the one introduced in [30] (see also [18, 43]).
2.2. General Carleman estimate. Let us first introduce a weight function depending on two parameters $s, \rho \in(1,+\infty)$ with $\rho>s>1$. Following [30, Section 2.1], for $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ we consider the perturbed weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{ \pm, s}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right):= \pm \rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}-s \frac{\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)^{2}}{2}, \quad x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \Omega \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce also the weighted operator

$$
P_{A, q, \pm, s}:=e^{-\varphi_{ \pm, s}}(\Delta+2 i A \cdot \nabla+q) e^{\varphi_{ \pm, s}}
$$

Then we can consider the following Carleman estimate.
Proposition 2.3. (Proposition 2.1, [30]) Let $A \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}$ and $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then there exist $s_{1}>1$ and, for $s>s_{1}, \rho_{1}(s)$ such that for any $v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \int_{\partial \omega_{ \pm, \theta} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} v\right|^{2}|\theta \cdot \nu| d \sigma(x)+s \rho^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\Delta v|^{2} d x+s \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla v|^{2} d x+s \rho^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|v|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant C\left[\left\|P_{A, q, \pm, s} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{2}+\rho \int_{\partial \omega_{\mp, \theta} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} v\right|^{2}|\theta \cdot \nu| d \sigma(x)\right] \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

holds true for $s>s_{1}, \rho \geqslant \rho_{1}(s)$ with $C$ depending only on $\Omega$ and $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}$.
In this subsection we will apply Proposition 2.3 in order to derive Carleman estimates in negative order Sobolev space required for the construction of the CGO solutions. For this purpose, we recall some preliminary tools. Following [28, 30] (see also [18, 43]), for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the space $H_{\rho}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ defined by

$$
H_{\rho}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right):\left(|\xi|^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \hat{u} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H_{\rho}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\xi|^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{m}|\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi
$$

In the above formula, for all tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \hat{u}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $u$ which, for $u \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, corresponds to

$$
\hat{u}(\xi):=\mathcal{F} u(\xi):=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} u(x) d x
$$

From now on, for $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we fix

$$
\langle\xi, \rho\rangle=\left(|\xi|^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and $\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{m} u$ given by

$$
\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{m} u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\xi, \rho\rangle^{m} \mathcal{F} u\right) .
$$

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce also the class of symbols

$$
S_{\rho}^{m}=\left\{c_{\rho} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right):\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} c_{\rho}(x, \xi)\right| \leqslant C_{\alpha, \beta}\langle\xi, \rho\rangle^{m-|\beta|}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{3}\right\}
$$

In light of [21, Theorem 18.1.6], for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{\rho} \in S_{\rho}^{m}$, we define $c_{\rho}\left(x, D_{x}\right)$, with $D_{x}=-i \nabla$, by

$$
c_{\rho}\left(x, D_{x}\right) y(x)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} c_{\rho}(x, \xi) \hat{y}(\xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} d \xi, \quad y \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

For all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we set also $O p S_{\rho}^{m}:=\left\{c_{\rho}\left(x, D_{x}\right): c_{\rho} \in S_{\rho}^{m}\right\}$ and

$$
O p S_{\rho}^{-\infty}=\bigcap_{m<0} O p S_{\rho}^{m}
$$

We introduce also

$$
P_{A, q, \pm}:=e^{\mp \rho x^{\prime} \cdot \theta}\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) e^{ \pm \rho x^{\prime} \cdot \theta}
$$

According to [30, Proposition 2.4], there exists $C>0, \rho_{*}>1$, depending only on $\Omega$ and $\|q\|_{\left.L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)}+$ $\|A\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}$, such that, for all $v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, the following estimate

$$
\rho^{-1}\|v\|_{H_{\rho}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|P_{A, q, \pm} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}, \quad \rho>\rho_{*},
$$

holds true. Using this estimate we can build CGO solutions lying in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. However, in order to improve the smoothness of our CGO solutions into functions lying in $H^{2}(\Omega)$, we will consider the following extension of [30, Proposition 2.4].
Proposition 2.4. Let $A \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}$ and $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists $\rho_{2}>1$, depending only on $\Omega$ and $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}$, such that for all $v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-1}\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|P_{A, q, \pm} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}, \quad \rho>\rho_{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we will only show this result for $P_{A, q,+} v$. We fix

$$
S_{A, q,+, s}:=e^{-\varphi_{+, s}}\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) e^{\varphi_{+, s}}
$$

and we split $S_{A,+, s}$ into three terms

$$
S_{A, q,+, s}=P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}
$$

where we recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1}=\Delta+\rho^{2}-2 s \rho\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)+s^{2}\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)^{2}+s, \quad P_{2}=2\left(\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right) \theta \cdot \nabla-2 s, \\
P_{3}=2 i A \cdot \nabla+2 i A \cdot \nabla \varphi_{+, s}+q-|A|^{2}+i \operatorname{div}(A)=2 i A \cdot \nabla+2\left(\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right) i A^{\prime} \cdot \theta+q-|A|^{2}+i \operatorname{div}(A) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We choose $\tilde{\omega}$ a bounded $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ open set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\bar{\omega} \subset \tilde{\omega}$ and we extend the function $A$ and $q$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $q=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega$ and $A \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ satisfying

$$
\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}} \leqslant C\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}
$$

where $C>0$ depends only on $\Omega$. We consider also $\tilde{\Omega}=\tilde{\omega} \times \mathbb{R}$. We prove first the following the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-1}\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|S_{A, q,+, s} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}, \quad v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose, we set $w \in H^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(w) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and we consider

$$
\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w
$$

In all this proof $C>0$ denotes a constant depending on $\Omega$ and $\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}+\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. According to the properties of composition of pseudoddifferential operators (e.g. [21, Theorem 18.1.8]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2}=P_{1}+P_{2}+R_{\rho}\left(x, D_{x}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\rho}$ is defined by

$$
R_{\rho}(x, \xi)=\nabla_{\xi}\langle\xi, \rho\rangle^{-2} \cdot D_{x}\left(p_{1}(x, \xi)+p_{2}(x, \xi)\right)\langle\xi, \rho\rangle^{2}+\underset{\langle\xi, \rho\rangle \rightarrow+\infty}{o}(1)
$$

with

$$
p_{1}(x, \xi)=-|\xi|^{2}+\rho^{2}-2 s \rho\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)+s^{2}\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)^{2}+s, \quad p_{2}(x, \xi)=2 i\left[\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right] \theta \cdot \xi^{\prime}-2 s, \quad \xi=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Therefore, one can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{\rho}\left(x, D_{x}\right) w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C s^{2}\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in view of (2.26) applied to $w$, with $\Omega$ replaced by $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $A=0, q=0$, we obtain

$$
\left\|P_{1} w+P_{2} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \geqslant C\left(s^{1 / 2} \rho^{-1}\|\Delta w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+s^{1 / 2}\|\nabla w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+s^{1 / 2} \rho\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right)
$$

Combining this with (2.29)-(2.30) and choosing $\frac{\rho}{s^{2}}$ sufficiently large, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& =\left\|\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \geqslant C s^{1 / 2}\left(\rho^{-1}\|\Delta w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\|\nabla w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\rho\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Meanwhile, since $w \in H^{2}(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\tilde{\Omega})$, the elliptic regularity (e.g. [12, Lemma 2.2]) implies

$$
\|w\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=\|w\|_{H^{2}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leqslant C\left(\|\Delta w\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{\Omega})}+\|w\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{\Omega})}\right)
$$

In view of the previous estimate, for $s$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \geqslant C s^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{3}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left[2 i\left(\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right) A \cdot \theta+\left(q-|A|^{2}\right)\right]\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+2\left\|A \cdot \nabla\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}  \tag{2.32}\\
& \quad+\left\|i \operatorname{div}(A)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

For the first term on the right hand side of this inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left[2 i\left(\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right) A \cdot \theta+\left(q-|A|^{2}\right)\right]\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leqslant \rho^{-2}\left\|\left[2 i\left(\rho-s\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \theta\right)\right) A \cdot \theta+\left(q-|A|^{2}\right)\right]\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \rho^{-1} C\left\|\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C$ depending only on $\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{3}}+\|q\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}$. For the second term on the right hand side of (2.32), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A \cdot \nabla\langle D, \rho\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leqslant \rho^{-1}\left\|A \cdot \nabla\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \rho^{-1}\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{3}}\left\|\nabla\langle D, \rho\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}  \tag{2.34}\\
& \leqslant \rho^{-1}\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{3}}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, for the last term on the right hand side of (2.32), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|i \operatorname{div}(A)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leqslant \rho^{-2}\left\|i \operatorname{div}(A)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}}  \tag{2.35}\\
& \leqslant 3 \rho^{-1}\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{3}}\|w\|_{\left.H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the estimates (2.32)-(2.35), we obtain

$$
\left\|P_{3}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
$$

and applying (2.31) for $s>1$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{A, q,+, s}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \geqslant C s^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us fix $\omega_{j}, j=1,2$ two open subsets of $\tilde{\omega}$ such that $\bar{\omega} \subset \omega_{1}, \overline{\omega_{1}} \subset \omega_{2}$ and $\overline{\omega_{2}} \subset \tilde{\omega}$. We consider $\psi_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\tilde{\omega})$ satisfying $\psi_{0}=1$ on $\overline{\omega_{2}}, v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), w\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} v\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)$ and $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ satisfying $\psi_{1}=1$ on $\omega$. Then, we have

$$
\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-1} v=\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} \psi_{1} v
$$

where $\psi_{1} v:=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \mapsto \psi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) v\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)$. In view of to [21, Theorem 18.1.8], using the fact that $1-\psi_{0}$ is vanishing in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{1}\right)$, we have $\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-1} \psi_{1} \in O p S_{\rho}^{-\infty}$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1}\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & =\rho^{-1}\left\|\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\rho^{-1}\left\|\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} \psi_{1} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \rho^{-1}\|w\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\frac{C\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}}{\rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{A,-, s} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \geqslant\left\|P_{A,-, s}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}-\left\|P_{A,-, s}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2}\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} \psi_{1} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \geqslant\left\|P_{A,-, s}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}-C\left\|\left(1-\psi_{0}\right)\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{-2} \psi_{1} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \geqslant\left\|P_{A,-, s}\left\langle D_{x}, \rho\right\rangle^{2} w\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}-\frac{C\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}\right)}}{\rho^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these estimates with (2.36), we deduce that (2.28) holds true for a sufficiently large value of $\rho$. Then, fixing $s$, we deduce (2.27).
2.3. Remainder term of the CGO solutions. In this subsection we will construct the remainder term $w_{j, \rho}, j=1,2$, appearing in (2.14)-(2.15) and satisfying the decay property (2.16). For this purpose, we will combine the Carleman estimate (2.27) with the properties of the expressions $b_{j}, j=1,2$, in order to complete the construction of these solutions. In this subsection, we assume that $\rho>\rho_{2}$ with $\rho_{2}$ the constant introduced in Proposition 2.4 and we fix $A_{j} \in\left(W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, j=1,2$, satisfying (1.4)-(1.5). The proof for the existence of the remainder term $w_{1, \rho}$ and $w_{2, \rho}$ being similar, we will only show the existence of $w_{1, \rho}$. Let us first remark that $w_{1, \rho}$ should be a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A_{1}, q_{1},+} w=e^{-\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\left(-\Delta_{\tilde{A}_{1}}+q_{1}\right) e^{\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}} w=e^{i \rho \eta \cdot x} F_{1, \rho}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F_{1, \rho}$ defined, for all $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}$ (we recall that $B_{r}^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x^{\prime}\right|<r\right\}$ ), by

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1, \rho}(x)= & -e^{-\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}-i \rho \eta \cdot x}\left(\Delta_{\tilde{A}_{1}}+q_{1}\right)\left[e^{\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}+i \rho \eta \cdot x} \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1} e^{-i \xi \cdot x}\right] \\
= & -\left(\left(|\xi|^{2}+q_{1}\right) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)-2 i \eta_{3} \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} \psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)-2 i \xi_{3} \rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} \psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\right) b_{1} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} \\
& -\left[-\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}-2 \partial_{x_{3}} b_{1} \rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} \psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)+\left[i 2 \xi \cdot \nabla b_{1}-\Delta_{\tilde{A}_{1}} b_{1}\right] \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\right] e^{-i \xi \cdot x} \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used $\left(\frac{2.23)}{B^{\prime}}\right.$ and we consider $q_{1}$ as a function extended by zero to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} ;[0,1]\right)$ satisfying $\varphi=1$ on $B_{r_{0}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\prime}$, and we define

$$
G_{\rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right):=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{1, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

It is clear that $G_{\rho} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and in view of (2.19) and the fact that

$$
\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{0}+1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} \leqslant C \rho^{\frac{1}{8}}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}}, \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $M$. From now on we denote by $C>0$ a constant depending only on $\Omega$ and $M$ that may change from line to line. Applying (2.27), we will complete the construction of the remainder term $w_{1, \rho}$ by using a classical duality argument. More precisely, applying (2.27), we consider the linear form $T_{\rho}$ defined on $\mathcal{Q}:=\left\{P_{A_{1}, \overline{q_{1}},-} w: w \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\right\}$ by

$$
T_{\rho}\left(P_{A_{1}, q_{1},-} v\right):=\overline{\left\langle G_{\rho}, e^{-i \rho \eta \cdot x} v\right\rangle_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Here and from now on we define the duality bracket $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$ in the complex sense, which means that

$$
\langle v, w\rangle_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=\langle v, w\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v \bar{w} d x, \quad v \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), w \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Applying again (2.27), for all $v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{\rho}\left(P_{A_{1}, q_{1},-} v\right)\right| & \leqslant\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\left\|e^{-i \rho \eta \cdot x} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C \rho\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \rho^{-1}\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C \rho\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\left\|P_{A_{1}, \overline{q_{1}},-} v\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C>0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $\left\|q_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}$. Thus, applying the Hahn-Banach theorem, we deduce that $T_{\rho}$ admits an extension as a continuous linear form on $H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ whose norm will be upper bounded by $C \rho\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$. Therefore, there exists $w_{1, \rho} \in H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle P_{A_{1}, \overline{q_{1}},-} v, w_{1, \rho}\right\rangle_{H_{\rho}^{-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}= & T_{\rho}\left(P_{A_{1}, \overline{q_{1}},-} v\right)=\overline{\left\langle G_{\rho}, e^{-i \rho \eta \cdot x} v\right\rangle_{H_{\rho}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), H_{\rho}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)  \tag{2.40}\\
& \left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C \rho\left\|G_{\rho}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.40) and the fact that, for all $x \in \Omega, G_{\rho}(x)=F_{1, \rho}(x)$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle P_{A_{1}, q_{1},+} w_{1, \rho}, v\right\rangle_{D^{\prime}(\Omega), \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)}=\left\langle e^{i \rho \eta \cdot x} F_{1, \rho}, v\right\rangle_{D^{\prime}(\Omega), \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)} .
$$

It follows that $w_{1, \rho}$ solves $P_{A_{1}, q_{1},+} w_{1, \rho}=e^{i \rho \eta \cdot x} F_{1, \rho}$ in $\Omega$ and $u_{1}$ given by (2.14) is a solution of $\Delta_{A_{1}} u+q_{1} u=0$ in $\Omega$ lying in $H^{2}(\Omega)$. In addition, from (2.41), we deduce that

$$
\rho^{-1}\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}}
$$

which implies the decay property (2.16). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1

## 3. Stability results on the whole boundary

This section is devoted to the proof of our results with full boundary measurements stated in Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 .
3.1. Recovery of the magnetic field. In this subsection we will prove Theorem 1.1 In all this proof $C$ and $c$ will be two positive constants depending only on $\Omega$ and $M$ that may change from line to line.

For $j=1,2$, we fix $u_{j} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ a solution of $\Delta_{A_{1}} u_{1}+q_{1} u_{1}=0, \Delta_{A_{2}} u_{2}+\overline{q_{2}} u_{2}=0$ in $\Omega$ of the form (2.14)-(2.15) with $\rho>\rho_{2}$ and with $w_{j, \rho}$ satisfying (2.16). These solutions satisfy the following property

Lemma 3.1. There exists $C>0$ such that the following estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right), \\
& \left\|u_{2}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hold true for any solutions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ given by (2.14) and (2.15).
Here $D:=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \bar{\omega}}\left|x^{\prime}\right|$.
Proof. Using the expression of $u_{1}$, we can easily deduce that

$$
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant\left\|e^{\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1} e^{i \rho \eta \cdot x-i \xi \cdot x}+w_{1, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

Setting $D:=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \bar{\omega}}\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ and using (2.16) and (2.24), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leqslant C e^{D \rho}\left(\rho^{\frac{1}{8}}\left\|A_{1}\right\|_{W^{2, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)+\rho^{-\frac{1}{8}}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By simple computations of $\nabla u_{1}$ and $\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}}$, for $i, j=1,2,3$ and by the same arguments used previously, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant & e^{D \rho}\left((\rho+|\xi|)\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) \nabla b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3} b_{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right. \\
& \left.+\rho\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\nabla w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) \\
\leqslant & C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}} u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant & e^{D \rho}\left((\rho+|\xi|)^{2}\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}(\rho+|\xi|)\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right. \\
+ & (\rho+|\xi|)\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) \nabla b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left\|\psi^{\prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) \nabla b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) \partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}} b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \left.+\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\rho^{2}\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|\nabla w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}} w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) \\
\leqslant & C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we get

$$
\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C e^{(c+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C e^{(c+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.

Fixing $q=q_{1}-q_{2}$ extended by zero to an element of $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and applying a classical integration by parts argument, we deduce the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right) u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)}=i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(A \cdot \nabla u_{1}\right) \overline{u_{2}} d x-i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{1}\left(\overline{A \cdot \nabla u_{2}}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \tilde{q} u_{1} \overline{u_{2}} d x \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{q}=\left|A_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|A_{1}\right|^{2}+q$.

By simple computations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla u_{1} \bar{u}_{2} & -u_{1} \nabla \bar{u}_{2} \\
& =2 \rho(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} b_{1} \bar{b}_{2} e^{-i x \cdot \xi}+\rho(2 \tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\left(b_{1} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x} w_{2, \rho}+\bar{b}_{2} e^{-i \rho x \cdot \eta} w_{1, \rho}\right) \\
& -i \xi \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) \bar{b}_{2} e^{-i x \cdot \xi}+e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x} w_{2, \rho}\right)+\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} e^{-i x \cdot \xi}\left(\bar{b}_{2} \nabla b_{1}-b_{1} \nabla \bar{b}_{2}\right) \\
& +\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\left[e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x}\left(\nabla b_{1} w_{2, \rho}-b_{1} \nabla w_{2, \rho}\right)+e^{-i \rho x \cdot \eta}\left(\bar{b}_{2} \nabla w_{1, \rho}-\nabla \bar{b}_{2} w_{1, \rho}\right)\right] \\
& +\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{x_{3}} \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\left(b_{1} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x} w_{2, \rho}-b_{2} e^{-i \rho x \cdot \eta} w_{1, \rho}\right)+2 \rho \tilde{\theta} w_{1, \rho} w_{2, \rho}+\nabla w_{1, \rho} w_{2, \rho}-w_{1, \rho} \nabla w_{2, \rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (2.16), (2.19), Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $A \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, multiplying this expression by $-i \rho^{-1} 2^{-1}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \\
& \leqslant C \rho^{-1}\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x}+w_{1, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right)\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{2} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta}+w_{2, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad+C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]  \tag{3.43}\\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
c=2\left(\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \omega}\left|x^{\prime}\right|+1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, using (1.5), (2.20) and the fact that $\psi=1$ on $[-1,1]$ and $0 \leqslant \psi \leqslant 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|A|\left(1-\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\left|x_{3}\right| \geqslant \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left\langle x_{3}\right\rangle^{-s}\left(\left\langle x_{3}\right\rangle^{s}|A|\right) d x_{3} d x^{\prime} \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|-C \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle x_{3}\right\rangle^{s}\left(\left|A_{1}\right|+\left|A_{2}\right|\right) d x \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|-2 C M \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.43), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|+2 C M \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}  \tag{3.44}\\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]+2 C M \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us observe that

$$
\Phi:=\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot A\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)}{s_{1}+i s_{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) e^{\Phi} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]
$$

Applying [30, Lemma 4.1], we deduce from the above estimate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot \mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right] \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, replacing $\eta$ by $-\eta$ in the construction of the CGO $u_{j}, j=1,2$, we obtain

$$
|(\tilde{\theta}-i \eta) \cdot \mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]
$$

Combining these two estimates with the fact that $(\tilde{\theta}, \eta)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\xi^{\perp}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: y \cdot \xi=0\right\}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta \cdot \mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)| \leqslant C|\zeta|\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right], \quad \zeta \in \xi^{\perp} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $1 \leqslant j<k \leqslant 3$, fixing $\zeta=\xi_{k} e_{j}-\xi_{j} e_{k}$, with

$$
e_{j}=(0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{\text {position } j}, 0, \ldots 0), \quad e_{k}=(0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{\text {position } k}, 0, \ldots 0),
$$

(3.46) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{k} \mathcal{F}\left(a_{j}\right)(\xi)-\xi_{j} \mathcal{F}\left(a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right] \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$. Recall that so far, we have proved (3.47) for any $\xi=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\xi^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $\xi_{3} \neq 0$. Then, we deduce from (3.47) that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]
$$

From now on we fix $R>1, \gamma:=\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}$ and we consider the set

$$
D_{R}=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|\xi| \leqslant R,\left|\xi_{3}\right| \geqslant R^{-4}\right\}
$$

We obtain the estimate

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\left(R^{13} \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+R^{13} \gamma e^{c \rho}\right), \quad \xi \in D_{R}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{R}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leqslant C\left(R^{29} \rho^{-\frac{s}{2}}+R^{29} \gamma^{2} e^{2 c \rho}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $A_{1}-A_{2} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)^{3}$ satisfies (1.4), we obtain

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant 2\|A\|_{W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}} \leqslant 2\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)^{3}} \leqslant 2 M .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R} \backslash D_{R}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leqslant 4 M^{2} \int_{-R^{-4}}^{R^{-4}} \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} d \xi^{\prime} d \xi_{3} \leqslant C R^{-2} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, using the fact that $A_{j} \in H^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ and applying (1.4), we deduce that $A \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ and (1.5) implies that

$$
\|A\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}} \leqslant 2 M
$$

Applying this estimate we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{R}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi & \leqslant C R^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi  \tag{3.50}\\
& \leqslant 2 C M R^{-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.48)-3.50), we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leqslant C\left(R^{-2}+R^{29} \rho^{-\frac{s}{2}}+R^{29} \gamma^{2} e^{2 c \rho}\right)
$$

and by Plancherel formula, it follows

$$
\|d A\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(R^{-1}+R^{29 / 2} \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+R^{29 / 2} \gamma e^{c \rho}\right)
$$

Choosing $R=\rho^{\frac{s}{62}}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|d A\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leqslant C\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{62}}+\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{62}}\right)^{29 / 2} \gamma e^{c \rho}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{62}}+\gamma e^{(c+1) \rho}\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let us recall a classical result already stated in 44.
Lemma 3.2. Let $a \in(0,1]$ and $b>0$. Then, there exists $C>0$ depending only on $b$, such that

$$
\inf _{\rho>1} \quad \rho^{-\frac{s}{62}}+a e^{b \rho} \leqslant C\left(\log \left(3+a^{-1}\right)\right)^{-\frac{s}{62}}
$$

Combining (3.51) with Lemma 3.2, for $\gamma \leqslant 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|d A\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\log \left(3+\gamma^{-1}\right)\right)^{-\frac{s}{62}} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, for $\gamma \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|d A\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leqslant 2 M \log (4)^{\frac{s}{62}}\left(\log \left(3+\gamma^{-1}\right)\right)^{-\frac{s}{62}} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\log \left(3+\gamma^{-1}\right)\right)^{-\frac{s}{62}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this estimate with (3.52), we deduce that (1.7) holds true for $\gamma>0$.
For $\gamma=0$, (3.51) implies that $\|d A\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leqslant C \rho^{-\frac{s}{62}}$. Since $\rho>1$ is arbitrary, we can send $\rho$ to $+\infty$ and deduce (1.7) for $\gamma=0$. This completes the proof.
3.2. Recovery of the electric potential. In this subsection we assume that (1.4)-(1.8) hold true and we will show (1.10). In all this proof $C$ and $c$ will be two positive constants depending only on $\Omega$ and $M$ that may change from line to line. For this purpose, we start by proving the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}} \leqslant C \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-r_{1}} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r_{1}>0$ depending only on $s$. For this purpose, let us fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ and consider $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ such that $\left\{\xi /|\xi|, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Using the notation of the previous section, we deduce that

$$
\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)=\frac{(\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \xi) \xi}{|\xi|^{2}}+\left(\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \eta_{1}\right) \eta_{1}+\left(\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \eta_{2}\right) \eta_{2}
$$

However, from condition (1.4), we deduce that $A \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ and condition (1.8) implies that

$$
\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \xi=-i \mathcal{F}(\operatorname{div}(A))(\xi)=-i \int_{\Omega}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(A_{1}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(A_{2}\right)\right] e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x=0
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)=\left(\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \eta_{1}\right) \eta_{1}+\left(\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi) \cdot \eta_{2}\right) \eta_{2}
$$

and applying (3.46), we deduce that

$$
|\mathcal{F}(A)(\xi)| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right]
$$

Combining this estimate with the arguments used at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1 we deduce (3.53).
Applying estimate (A.1) (see Lemma A.1 in the Appendix) and (3.53), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} & \leqslant C\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^{3}}^{\frac{3}{5}}\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{\frac{2}{5}} \\
& \leqslant C(2 M)^{\frac{3}{5}}\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{\frac{2}{5}}  \tag{3.54}\\
& \leqslant C \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-r_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $r_{2}>0$ depending only on $s$. Using the above estimate, we will now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 For this purpose, applying (3.42) and the estimates (2.19), (2.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-r_{2}}\right.  \tag{3.55}\\
& \left.\quad+\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling that

$$
\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta) \cdot\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)\left(x-s_{1} \tilde{\theta}-s_{2} \eta\right)}{s_{1}+i s_{2}} d s_{1} d s_{2}
$$

and repeating the arguments used in Lemma 2.2, we deduce that

$$
\left\|\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left|\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right|^{-1} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}
$$

Moreover, applying the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$
\left|\exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right)-1\right| \leqslant e^{\left.c\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}}\left\|\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant e^{2 c M} C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}} . \text {. } n \text {. }{ }^{2}\right)}
$$

Combining this with (3.54), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}-1 \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-r_{2}}\right. \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} d x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi^{2}\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)\left(\exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right)-1\right) e^{-i \xi \cdot x} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} e^{-i \xi \cdot x} d x\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} q(x) \psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right|+\|q\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\left\|\exp \left(\Phi_{1}+\overline{\Phi_{2}}\right)-1\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho \ln \left(3+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)}^{-1}\right)^{-r_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}}+\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right)} e^{c \rho}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this estimate with the arguments used in the proof Theorem 1.1 and in 44, Theorem 1.1], one can check that the estimate (1.10) holds true.

## 4. Stability results from measurements on some subset of the boundary

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 by using an approach inspired by Ben Joud in [4]. We will only prove Theorem [1.3] and we refer the reader to [44, Theorem 1.2] for the proof of Theorem 1.4. For this purpose, for $j=1,2$, we fix $A_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(M, A_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ and $q_{j} \in \mathcal{Q}\left(M, q_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ and we consider again CGO solutions taking the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=e^{\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{1} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta-i \xi \cdot x}+w_{1, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, \\
u_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=e^{-\rho \theta \cdot x^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_{3}\right) b_{2} e^{i \rho x \cdot \eta}+w_{2, \rho}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $w_{j, \rho} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies the decay property

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho^{-1}\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|w_{1, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
\rho^{-1}\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+\rho\left\|w_{2, \rho}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right) \rho^{\frac{7}{8}}
\end{gathered}
$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right) ; \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D:=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \bar{\omega}}\left|x^{\prime}\right|$.
We recall also that since $q:=q_{1}-q_{2}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$, we can extend $q$ to $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by assigning it the value 0 outside of $\Omega$ and we denote by $q$ this extension. In this part, We need to set $\mathcal{W}_{j} ; j=1,2,3$ such that

$$
\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{j+1} \subset \mathcal{W}_{j}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{j} \subset \mathcal{W}_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial \omega \subset \partial \mathcal{W}_{j}
$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{j}=\mathcal{W}_{j} \times \mathbb{R}$ for $j=0,1,2,3$. The main idea of the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 is to combine the estimate of the Fourier transform of $d A$ and $q$ with the weak unique continuation property which is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A_{1} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega}), q_{1} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $M>0$ such that $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant M$ and let $w \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ solve

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) w(x)=F(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.58}\\
w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, there exist positive constants $C, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\lambda_{0}$ such that we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)} \leqslant C\left(e^{-\lambda \alpha_{1}}\|w\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+e^{\lambda \alpha_{2}}\left(\left\|\partial_{\nu} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\|F\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_{0}$. Here, the constants $C, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ depend on $\Omega, M, \lambda_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{j}$ and they are independent of $A_{1}$, $q_{1}, F, w$ and $\lambda$.

### 4.1. Recovery of the magnetic field.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $w \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{A_{1}} w+q_{1} w=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.60}\\ w=u_{2}:=h & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Then, $u=w-u_{2}$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{A_{1}} u+q_{1} u=2 i A(x) \cdot \nabla u_{2}+V(x) u_{2}(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.61}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $V(x)=i \operatorname{div}(A)-\tilde{q}(x)$. Let $\Theta$ be a cut-off function satisfying $0 \leqslant \Theta \leqslant 1, \Theta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { in } \omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{2}  \tag{4.62}\\ 0 & \text { in } \mathcal{W}_{3}\end{cases}
$$

We set

$$
\tilde{u}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We remark that $\tilde{u}$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=2 i \Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) A(x) \cdot \nabla u_{2}+\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) V(x) u_{2}(x)+P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u(x) & \text { in } \Omega \\ \tilde{u}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with $P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)$ is given by

$$
P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u=-\left[\Delta^{\prime}, \Theta\right] u-i A_{1}[\nabla, \tilde{\Theta}] u-i[\nabla, \tilde{\Theta}] A_{1} u
$$

where $\nabla^{\prime}=\left(\partial_{x_{1}}, \partial_{x_{2}}\right)^{T}, \Delta^{\prime}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2}$ and

$$
\tilde{\Theta}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Moreover, for an arbitrary $\tilde{v} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$, an integration by parts leads to

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}(x) \overline{\tilde{v}(x)} d x=\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}(x) \overline{\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) \tilde{v}(x)} d x
$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}(x) \overline{\tilde{v}(x)} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\omega}\left(2 i \Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) A(x) \cdot \nabla u_{2}+\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) V(x) u_{2}(x)+P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u(x)\right) \overline{\tilde{v}(x)} d x^{\prime} d x_{3} \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\tilde{v}=u_{1}$, we have $\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) \tilde{v}=0$ in $\Omega$ and by the fact that $A=0$ and $q=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
i \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(A u_{2}\right) \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x+i \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \overline{u_{1}(x)} \nabla u_{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(A_{1}^{2}-A_{2}^{2}\right) u_{2} \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} q u_{2} \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x \\
=-\int_{\Omega} P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u(x) \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x \tag{4.64}
\end{array}
$$

By integrating by parts and using the fact that $A=0$ and $q=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot\left[\overline{u_{1}(x)} \nabla u_{2}-u_{2} \nabla \overline{u_{1}(x)}\right] d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(A_{1}^{2}-A_{2}^{2}\right) u_{2} \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} q u_{2} \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u(x) \overline{u_{1}(x)} d x \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, using the fact that $P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u$ is supported on $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{2}} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|P_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) u \overline{u_{1}(x)}\right| d x & \leqslant\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)}\left\|\overline{u_{1}(x)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right) e^{\rho(D+1)}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way to Theorem 1.1 using (4.65), we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(A \cdot(\tilde{\theta}+i \eta)) e^{\Phi} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{\rho(D+1)}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)}\right] .
$$

Applying [30, Lemma 4.1] and following the same steps of the proof of Theorem 1.1] we deduce that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{\rho(D+1)}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)}\right]
$$

Combining this last estimate with (4.59), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{2}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)\left[\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{\rho(D+1)}\left(e^{-\lambda \alpha_{1}}\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}+e^{\lambda \alpha_{2}}\left(\left\|\partial_{\nu} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}+\left\|\left(\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial_{\nu} u=\left(\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right)(h)$, where $h$ is given by (4.60), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{\nu} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} & \leqslant C\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}\|h\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega)} \\
& \leqslant C e^{(D+1) \rho}\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $A_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(M, A_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right)$ and $q_{j} \in \mathcal{Q}\left(M, q_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}\right), j=1,2$, we have $\left(\Delta_{A_{1}}+q_{1}\right) u=0$ on $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ and it follows by 4.57)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \\
\leqslant & C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{3}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{2 \rho(D+1)-\lambda \alpha_{1}}+e^{2 \rho(D+1)+\lambda \alpha_{2}}\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}\right) \tag{4.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D^{\prime}:=D+1$ and $\lambda=\tau \rho$. Choosing $\tau$ sufficiently large, it becomes easy to find constants $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 D^{\prime} \rho-\lambda \alpha_{1}}=e^{\rho\left(2 D^{\prime}-\tau \alpha_{1}\right)} \leqslant e^{-\alpha_{3} \rho} \quad \text { and } \quad e^{2 D^{\prime} \rho+\lambda \alpha_{2}}=e^{\rho\left(2 D^{\prime}+\tau \alpha_{2}\right)} \leqslant e^{\alpha_{4} \rho} \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.66) and (4.67), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \\
& \qquad \leqslant\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{3}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{-\alpha_{3} \rho}+e^{\alpha_{4} \rho}\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi_{3}\right|}\right)^{3}\left(1+|\xi|^{3}\right)^{2}\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+e^{\alpha_{4} \rho}\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}\right) . \tag{4.68}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on we fix $R>1, \gamma^{\prime}:=\left\|\Lambda_{A_{1}, q_{1}}^{\prime}-\Lambda_{A_{2}, q_{2}}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial \Omega), H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times R\right)\right)}$ and we consider the set

$$
D_{R}=\left\{\xi \in B_{R}:\left|\xi_{3}\right| \geqslant R^{-4}\right\}
$$

We obtain the estimate

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\left(R^{21} \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+R^{21} \gamma^{\prime} e^{\alpha_{4} \rho}\right), \quad \xi \in D_{R}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{R}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leqslant C\left(R^{45} \rho^{-\frac{s}{2}}+R^{45} \gamma^{\prime 2} e^{2 \alpha_{4} \rho}\right) \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.69)-(3.50), we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{x_{k}} a_{j}-\partial_{x_{j}} a_{k}\right)(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi \leqslant C\left(R^{-2}+R^{45} \rho^{-\frac{s}{2}}+R^{45} \gamma^{\prime 2} e^{2 \alpha_{4} \rho}\right)
$$

and by Plancherel formula, it follows

$$
\|d A\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\left(R^{-1}+R^{45 / 2} \rho^{-\frac{s}{4}}+R^{45 / 2} \gamma^{\prime} e^{\alpha_{4} \rho}\right)
$$

Choosing $R=\rho^{\frac{s}{94}}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|d A\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} & \leqslant C\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{94}}+\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{94}}\right)^{45 / 2} \gamma^{\prime} e^{\alpha_{4} \rho}\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\rho^{-\frac{s}{94}}+\gamma^{\prime} e^{\left(\alpha_{4}+1\right) \rho}\right) \tag{4.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, repeating the arguments used at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1 we can deduce (1.3) from (4.70).

## Appendix A.

In this appendix, we consider the following interpolation result.
Lemma A.1. Let $h \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|h\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{5}}\|h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{5}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us first observe that this result is well known for $\Omega$ bounded (see e.g. [11, Lemma Appendix B.1.]), but we have not find any proof of it for unbounded domains. For this reason, we decided do give the full proof of this result. Let us fix $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(-2,2)$ satisfying $\psi=1$ on $[-1,1]$ and fix $y_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote also by $\mathcal{O}$ a smooth open bounded subset of $\Omega$ such that $\omega \times[-2,2] \subset \mathcal{O}$. Now let us consider the function $h_{y_{3}}: x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \mapsto \psi\left(y_{3}+x_{3}\right) h\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}+y_{3}\right)$. Applying (A.1) for $\Omega=\mathcal{O}$ and $h=h_{y_{3}}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})} \leqslant C\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathcal{O})}^{\frac{3}{5}}\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{O})}^{\frac{2}{5}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$ depending only on $\mathcal{O}$. In the same way, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathcal{O})} \leqslant\|\psi h\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})}\|h\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}, \\
\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{O})}^{2} \leqslant\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \int_{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|h\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}+y_{3}\right)\right|^{2} d x^{\prime} d x_{3}=\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\|h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Combining these two estimates with A.2), we obtain

$$
\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})} \leqslant C\|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})}\|h\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{5}}\|h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{5}}
$$

Since the right hand side of the above identity is independent of $y_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$, we can take the sup with respect to $y_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ in order to deduce that

$$
\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant \sup _{y_{3} \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|h_{y_{3}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})} \leqslant C\|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})}\|h\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{5}}\|h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{5}}
$$

This estimate clearly implies A.1).

## Appendix B. Carleman's estimate

The main goal of this appendix is to prove a Carleman's estimate for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $-\Delta_{A}+q$ in an infinite cylindrical domain in order to deduce the weak unique continuation property given in Lemma 4.1. As we are dealing with weighted inequalities, we borrow, from 44] (see also [24, Lemma 2.3], [23, Lemma 1.2] and [36, Theorem 2.4]), the following result that guarantees the existence of the weigh function.

Lemma B.1. There exists a function $\psi_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{3}\left(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{0}\right)$ such that
(i) $\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}_{0}$,
(ii) There exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that $\left|\nabla^{\prime} \psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant \alpha_{0}$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{0}$,
(iii) $\partial_{\nu^{\prime}} \psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 0$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \partial \mathcal{W}_{0} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$,
(iv) $\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \partial \mathcal{W}_{0} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.

Here $\nabla^{\prime}$ denotes the gradient with respect to $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\partial_{\nu^{\prime}}$ is the normal derivative with respect to $\partial \mathcal{W}_{0}$, that is $\partial_{\nu^{\prime}}:=\nu^{\prime} \cdot \nabla^{\prime}$ where $\nu^{\prime}$ stands for the outward normal vector to $\partial \mathcal{W}_{0}$.
Thus, putting $\psi(x)=\psi\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right):=\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for all $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}}$, it is apparent that the function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{3}\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}}\right)$ satisfies the three following conditions:
(C1) $\psi(x)>0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_{0}$,
(C2) $|\nabla \psi(x)| \geqslant \alpha_{0}$ for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}}$,
(C3) $\partial_{\nu} \psi(x) \leqslant 0$ for all $x \in \partial \mathcal{O}_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$,
(C4) $\psi(x)=0$ for all $x \in \Gamma^{\sharp} \times \mathbb{R}$.
Here $\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector to the boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}_{0}$. Evidently $\nu=\left(\nu^{\prime}, 0\right)$ so we have $\partial_{\nu} \psi=\partial_{\nu^{\prime}} \psi_{0}$ as the function $\psi$ does not depend on $x_{3}$.
Next, for $\beta \in(0,+\infty)$, we introduce the following weigh function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=e^{\beta \psi(x)} ; \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_{0} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi$ satisfies some properties given in the following result.
Lemma B.2. There exists a constant $\beta_{0} \in(0,+\infty)$ depending only on $\psi$ such that the following statements hold uniformly in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ for all $\beta \in\left[\beta_{0},+\infty\right)$.
(a) $|\nabla \varphi| \geqslant \alpha:=\beta_{0} \alpha_{0}$,
(b) $\nabla|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \cdot \nabla \varphi \geqslant C_{0} \beta|\nabla \varphi|^{3}$,
(c) $\mathcal{H}(\varphi) \xi \cdot \xi+C_{1} \beta|\nabla \varphi||\xi|^{2} \geqslant 0 \quad ; \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,
(d) $|\Delta| \nabla \varphi\left|\left|\leqslant C_{2}\right| \nabla \varphi\right|^{3}$,
(e) $\Delta \varphi \geqslant 0$.

Here, $C_{0}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are positive constants depending only on $\psi$ and $\alpha_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\varphi)$ denotes the Hessian matrix of $\varphi$ with respect to $x \in \mathcal{O}_{0}$.

Now, we may state the following Carleman's estimate for the operator $\Delta_{A}+q$.
Theorem B.3. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right) \cap H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right), M_{1}, M_{2}>0$ and let $A \in W^{1, \infty}$ and $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy $\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)} \leqslant M_{1}$ and $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)} \leqslant M_{2}$. Then, there exists $\beta_{0} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that for every $\beta \geqslant \beta_{0}$, there is $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}(\beta) \in(0,+\infty)$ depending only on $\beta$, $\alpha_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{0}, M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$, such that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\lambda^{2}|u|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) u\right|^{2} d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} d \sigma_{x}\right) \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_{0}$ and some positive constant $C$ that depends only on $\alpha_{0}, \omega, \Gamma_{0}, \beta$ and $\lambda_{0}$.
Proof. For the proof, we can simply show the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\lambda^{2}|u|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|\Delta u|^{2} d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} d \sigma_{x}\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have

$$
\Delta_{A}+q=\Delta+P_{0}
$$

with $P_{0}$ is a first order operator given by

$$
P_{0}=2 i A \cdot \nabla+i \operatorname{div}(A)-A \cdot A+q
$$

As $\|A\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)} \leqslant M_{1}$ and $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)} \leqslant M_{2}$, we get:

$$
\left|P_{0} u\right| \leqslant C(|u|+|\nabla u|)
$$

By (B.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\lambda^{2}|u|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\left|\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) u\right|^{2}+\left|P_{0} u\right|^{2}\right) d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) u\right|^{2} d x+C \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(|u|^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x+C \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x} \\
& \quad \forall \lambda \geqslant \tau_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{3}\left(1-\frac{C}{\lambda^{3}}\right) \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|u|^{2} d x+\lambda\left(1-\frac{C}{\lambda}\right) \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant C \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) u\right|^{2} d x+C \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $\forall \lambda \geqslant \lambda_{0}^{\prime}, 1-\frac{C}{\lambda^{3}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $1-\frac{C}{\lambda} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. For any $\lambda \geqslant \max \left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{3} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leqslant C \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|\left(\Delta_{A}+q\right) u\right|^{2} d x+C \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}
$$

The proof of the estimate $(B .3)$ is stated in 44 .

## Appendix C. Weak unique continuation property

This appendix is devoted to the proof of the weak unique continuation property stated in Lemma 4.1 Let $\psi_{0}$ be the function defined in Lemma.B.1 Since $\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)>0$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}_{0}$, there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 2 \kappa ; \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{3} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, as $\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0, x^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\sharp}$, there exist $\mathcal{W}^{\sharp}$ a small neighborhood of $\Gamma^{\sharp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \kappa ; \quad x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}^{\sharp}, \quad \mathcal{W}^{\sharp} \cap \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{1}=\varnothing . \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\sharp} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\sharp}$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of $\Gamma^{\sharp}$. To apply (B.2), it is necessary to introduce a function $\Theta$ satisfying $0 \leqslant \Theta \leqslant 1, \Theta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { in } \mathcal{W}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{W}^{\sharp},  \tag{C.3}\\ 0 & \text { in } \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\sharp}\end{cases}
$$

Let $w$ be a solution to (4.58). Setting

$$
w_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\Theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) w\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in \omega, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\left(-\Delta_{A_{1}, q_{1}}+q_{1}\right) w_{1}(x)=\Theta(x) F(x)+Q_{1}(x, D) w & \text { in } \mathcal{O}_{0} \\
w_{1}=0 & \text { on } \partial \mathcal{O}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Q_{1}(x, D)$ is a first order operator supported in $\overline{\mathcal{W}^{\sharp}} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\sharp}$ and given by

$$
Q_{1}(x, D) w=-\left[\Delta^{\prime}, \Theta\right] w-i A_{1}[\nabla, \tilde{\Theta}] w-i[\nabla, \tilde{\Theta}] A_{1} w
$$

By applying Carleman estimate (B.2) to $w_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\lambda^{2}\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla w_{1}\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& \leqslant C\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\left|Q_{1}(x, D) w\right|^{2}+|F(x)|^{2}\right) d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} w_{1}\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}\right) \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{O}^{\sharp}=\mathcal{W}^{\sharp} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}=\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\sharp} \times \mathbb{R}$. Using the fact that $Q_{1}(x, D)$ is a first order operator supported in $\overline{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp}} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}$ and by (C.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left|Q_{1}(x, D) w\right|^{2} d x & \leqslant \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda e^{\beta \psi(x)}}\left|Q_{1}(x, D) w\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant e^{2 \lambda e^{\beta \kappa}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}}\left|Q_{1}(x, D) w\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant C e^{2 \lambda e^{\beta \kappa}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}}\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by using the definition of $\Theta$ given by (C.3), the estimate (C.4) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{0} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}\left(\lambda^{2}|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(e^{2 \lambda e^{\beta \kappa}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}}\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|F(x)|^{2} d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} w\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3} \subset \mathcal{O}_{0} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}$ and by (C.1), we easily obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{2 \lambda e^{2 \beta \kappa}} \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}}\left(\lambda^{2}|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(e^{2 \lambda e^{\beta \kappa}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp} \backslash \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}}\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}} e^{2 \lambda \varphi}|F(x)|^{2} d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} w\right|^{2} e^{2 \lambda \varphi} d \sigma_{x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}}\left(\lambda^{2}|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(e^{-2 \lambda\left(e^{2 \beta \kappa}-e^{\beta \kappa}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{O}^{\sharp} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\sharp}}\left(|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x\right. \\
&\left.\quad+e^{2 \lambda\left(e^{2 \beta\left\|\psi_{0}\right\| \infty}-e^{2 \beta \kappa}\right)}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}}|F(x)|^{2} d x+\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} w\right|^{2} d \sigma_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}=\left(e^{2 \beta \kappa}-e^{\beta \kappa}\right)>0$ and $\alpha_{2}=\left(e^{2 \beta\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}}-e^{2 \beta \kappa}\right)>0$. We conclude that for any $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}}\left(\lambda^{2}|w|^{2}+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x \leqslant C\left(e ^ { - 2 \lambda \alpha _ { 1 } } \int _ { \mathcal { O } ^ { \sharp } \backslash \tilde { \mathcal { O } } ^ { \sharp } } \left(|w|^{2}\right.\right. & \left.+|\nabla w|^{2}\right) d x \\
& \left.+e^{2 \lambda \alpha_{2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}_{0}}|F(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{\nu} w\right|^{2} d \sigma_{x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\|w\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)}^{2} \leqslant C\left(e^{-2 \lambda \alpha_{1}}\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+e^{2 \lambda \alpha_{2}}\left(\|F\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{\nu} w\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}\right)}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

which completes the demonstration.
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