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# Accurate Coupled Vibration Analysis of a Piezoelectric Ceramic Cylinder by the Superposition Method 

Wenxiang Ding ${ }^{1 *}$, Maxime Bavencoffe, Marc Lethiecq<br>GREMAN UMR 7347 CNRS, Université de Tours, INSA Centre Val de Loire, 3 Rue de la Chocolaterie, Blois, France


#### Abstract

The radial and thickness extensional vibration modes in piezoelectric cylinders are always inevitably coupled due to the finite dimension, Poisson's ratio, and piezoelectric effect. In this paper, an analytical model based on the superposition method is developed to obtain the coupled dynamic response of a piezoelectric cylinder under an applied voltage. The problem can be described mathematically by three partial differential equations with mixed boundary conditions in the cylindrical coordinates system. To solve this, the problem is decomposed first into two building block vibrations in radial and thickness directions. In each building block, the expressions of displacements and electric potential are assumed and then the induced dynamic responses, such as in-plane stress and electric displacements, are calculated. Finally, the vibration responses of the two building blocks are superimposed to satisfy the mixed boundary conditions using Fourier and Fourier-Bessel series expansions. Electrical impedance of a typical piezoelectric disk and frequency spectrum of piezoelectric cylinders of different diameter-to-thickness ratios are calculated by the present analytical method as well as by finite element method. Comparison shows an excellent agreement. This analytical model can be applied to material characterization and the design and the optimization of the active elements of piezoelectric devices.
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## 1. Introduction

Piezoceramic cylinders of different diameter-to-thickness ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ) ratio have been widely used as the active element of ultrasonic transducers in many applications such as medical diagnostics, therapy, non-destructive evaluation (NDE), cleaning, atomizing

[^0]liquids, drilling or milling materials, welding plastics as well as for many other purposes [1-4]. Depending on the material properties, the dimensions, the electric field applied and the poling direction, two principal vibration modes can be excited: the thickness extensional and the radial modes. One dimensional (1D) analytical models such as Van Dyke [5], Mason [6], or KLM [7] models have been proposed to characterize the dynamic response of the resonator when the diameter is much larger (i.e. ceramic disks) or much smaller (i.e. ceramic rods) than the thickness. However, these 1D models are not applicable to describe the coupling between radial and thickness modes. To address this, a two-dimensional (2D) or three-dimensional (3D) model is needed.

Due to its axisymmetric structure, the 3D vibration analysis of a piezoceramic cylinder can be reduced to a 2D problem in the cylindrical coordinate and can be described mathematically by a system of three partial differential equations (PDEs). The biggest difficulty of solving this problem lies in finding the appropriate forms for the unknown variables, i.e. mechanical displacements and electric potential, to satisfy both the differential equations and boundary conditions. Different kinds of potential functions were proposed in literature by Saito [8], Rajapakse et al [9,10], Wei and Chau [11,12], and Wang et al [13]. In these works, one or two potential functions were introduced, then the mechanical displacements and electric potential could be represented by a differential form to satisfy the equations of equilibrium and boundary conditions. However, they are only suitable in static regimes, not in dynamics. Recently, Ding et al [14] obtained the general solutions for coupled dynamic equations for a transversely isotropic piezoelectric medium by introducing two potential functions. Although the method was later extended to calculate the fundamental frequencies of a circular plate under open and closed circuit conditions [15], it is limited to the two specific boundary conditions, named as elastic simple support and rigid slipping support.

Approximate methods by virtue of weakening the coupling effect were developed lately by Brissaud [16,17], Iula [18], and Zhang [19]. The displacement along each propagation direction was considered as independent, i.e. only related to the corresponding coordinate, and the electrical quantities in non-polarized directions were assumed to be zero. The shear deformation was always neglected. In some ways, these assumptions did not satisfy the constitutive equations. A set of 2D second order approximate equations were deduced by Lee [20-22]. The calculated dispersion curves and resonance frequencies of piezoelectric crystal plates gave a good prediction. Similar second order equations were also developed by Li [23-25] and were applied to the vibration analysis of thin-film bulk acoustic wave resonators.

The coupling between vibration modes has been widely studied. Satisfactory results have been obtained in static regime for rectangular or cylindrical geometries. To the best of the author's knowledge, the dynamic coupled response of a piezoelectric
ceramic under external electric field has not been established. In the present work, a complete analytical solution for coupled vibration in piezoelectric ceramic cylinders in vacuum is proposed based on the superposition method, which was first proposed by Gorman [26-28]. In section 2, the mathematical formulation is presented. Section 3 shows the coupling effect between radial and thickness modes and compares the results with those calculated by finite element (FE) method. Conclusions are given in section 4.

## 2. Mathematical Formulation

Here, a piezoelectric cylinder of thickness $2 H$ and diameter $2 R$ in vacuum is considered. Fig. 1 shows the coordinate system and dimensions. The poling direction is parallel to $z$-axis. The cartesian coordinates are $x, y$, and $z$. They correspond to $r, \theta$, and $z$ coordinates in a cylindrical system. An AC-voltage is applied between the top and bottom surfaces covered by metallic electrodes. Due to the axisymmetric structure, the 3D vibration analysis can be reduced to a 2D axisymmetric one as shown in Fig. 1(b).


Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the piezoelectric cylinder element. (a) threedimensional (3D) model; (b) two-dimensional (2D) axisymmetric model.

The constitutive equations of a piezoelectric element can be expressed as [29]

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\alpha}=c_{\alpha \beta}^{E} S_{\beta}-e_{i \alpha} E_{i} \quad i, j=1,2,3 \text { and } \alpha, \beta=1, \ldots, 6  \tag{1}\\
& D_{i}=\varepsilon_{i j}^{S} E_{j}+e_{i \alpha} S_{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha \beta}^{E}$ are the elastic stiffness constants under constant electric field, $e_{i \alpha}$ are the piezoelectric constants, $\varepsilon_{i j}^{S}$ are the dielectric constants under constant strain, $T_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}$, $E_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are the stress, strain, electric field and electric displacement, respectively.

For the 2D axisymmetric model, the interaction between $\theta$ and $r / z$-axis is omitted, thus $S_{r \theta}=S_{\theta z}=0$ and $T_{r \theta}=T_{\theta z}=0$. Based on this, the constitutive equations can be simplified and rewritten in the cylindrical coordinate system as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{r}=c_{11}^{E} S_{r}+c_{12}^{E} S_{\theta}+c_{13}^{E} S_{z}-e_{31} E_{z} \\
& T_{\theta}=c_{12}^{E} S_{r}+c_{11}^{E} S_{\theta}+c_{13}^{E} S_{z}-e_{31} E_{z} \\
& T_{z}=c_{13}^{E} S_{r}+c_{13}^{E} S_{\theta}+c_{33}^{E} S_{z}-e_{33} E_{z} \\
& T_{r r}=c_{55}^{E} S_{r z}-e_{15} E_{r}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{r}=e_{15} S_{r z}+\varepsilon_{11}^{S} E_{r} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{z}=e_{31} S_{r}+e_{31} S_{\theta}+e_{33} S_{z}+\varepsilon_{33}^{S} E_{z}
\end{align*}
$$

For axisymmetric structures, the strain and displacements are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}, S_{\theta}=\frac{u}{r}, S_{z}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, S_{r z}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric fields are related to electric potential by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}, E_{z}=-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coupled motion equations and Maxwell's equation for electrostatic field are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial T_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial T_{r z}}{\partial z}+\frac{T_{r}-T_{\theta}}{r}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial T_{r z}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial T_{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{T_{r z}}{r}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{\partial D_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial D_{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{D_{r}}{r}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the material.
Substituting Eqs. (2)-(4) into Eq. (5) yields three coupled differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{11}^{E} \nabla^{2} u-\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+c_{55}^{E} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}+\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r \partial z}+\left(e_{15}+e_{31}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial r \partial z}=0 \\
& \left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta u+c_{55}^{E} \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}-\rho \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+c_{33}^{E} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}+e_{15} \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}+e_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z^{2}}=0  \tag{6}\\
& \left(e_{15}+e_{31}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Delta u+e_{15} \Delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+e_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}-\varepsilon_{11}^{S} \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}-\varepsilon_{33}^{S} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z^{2}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, w$ are the displacements along $r$ and $z$ axes and $\varphi$ is the electric potential. The operators $\Delta$ and $\nabla^{2}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r}, \quad \nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there are no electrodes on the lateral surface, the electric displacement is equal to zero. In addition, the piezoelectric element is stress free and connected to an ACvoltage of angular frequency $\omega$, therefore, the boundary conditions can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
T_{r}=T_{r z}=D_{r}=0 & \text { at } & r=R  \tag{8}\\
T_{z}=T_{r z}=0, \varphi= \pm \varphi_{0} e^{j \omega t} & \text { at } & z= \pm H
\end{array}
$$

Owing to the piezoelectric effect, a symmetric constraint is imposed on the sample when an AC-voltage is applied. This leads to the displacement $u(r, z)$ being an even
function versus $z$ coordinate while $w(r, z)$ and $\varphi(r, z)$ are odd functions of $z$. It can be summarized as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, z)=u(r,-z) \\
& w(r, z)=-w(r,-z)  \tag{9}\\
& \varphi(r, z)=-\varphi(r,-z)
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1 General solution for the vibration responses

Since the piezoelectric element is axisymmetric with respect to $z$-axis and symmetric with respect to $r$-axis, a half part is chosen to be studied, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Two pairs of small circles indicate the symmetry condition along each side. As mentioned above, the major difficulty of solving the PDEs is to find an appropriate expression of the variables such that the PDEs and boundary conditions can be satisfied simultaneously. To address this, the model is divided into two building blocks as shown schematically in Fig. 2(b). In fact, the superposition method adopted here is a modified version of the method of separation of variables in mathematics. This separation process is tightly related to normal vibration modes in radial and thickness directions. The radial $k_{m}$ and thickness $k_{n}$ wavenumbers of vibration modes are induced in the first and second building blocks respectively (Fig 2.(b)). After that, their vibration responses are superimposed to satisfy the boundary conditions.


Fig. 2. Schematic representation of a half of the 2D axisymmetric piezoelectric element (a) and two divided building blocks (b) for the general solution.

Let's now consider the first building block on the right of Fig. 2(b). Supposing that only the radial vibration modes are induced, the displacements and electric potential are expressed as follows [10,30]

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}(r, z)=U_{m}(z) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right) \\
& w_{m}(r, z)=W_{m}(z) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) \quad(m=1,2, \ldots,+\infty)  \tag{10}\\
& \varphi_{m}(r, z)=\psi_{m}(z) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)$ and $J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)$ are Bessel functions of the first kind of order zero and one, respectively. The wavenumber in the radial direction, $k_{m}$, is the $m$-th root of $J_{0}\left(k_{m} R\right)=0$. For simplicity, the time component $e^{j \omega t}$ is omitted.

By substituting Eq. (10) into the coupled PDEs Eq. (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{55}^{E} U_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\left(\rho \omega^{2}-c_{11}^{E} k_{m}^{2}\right) U_{m}(z)-\left(c_{55}^{E}+c_{13}^{E}\right) k_{m} W_{m}^{\prime}(z)-k_{m}\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) \psi_{m}^{\prime}(z)=0  \tag{11a}\\
& \left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) k_{m} U_{m}^{\prime}(z)+c_{33}^{E} W_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\left(\rho \omega^{2}-c_{55}^{E} k_{m}^{2}\right) W_{m}(z)+e_{33} \psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)-e_{15} k_{m}^{2} \psi_{m}(z)=0  \tag{11b}\\
& \left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{m} U_{m}^{\prime}(z)+e_{33} W_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)-e_{15} k_{m}^{2} W_{m}(z)-\varepsilon_{33}^{S} \psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+\varepsilon_{11}^{S} k_{m}^{2} \psi_{m}(z)=0 \tag{11c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the single apostrophe implies differentiation with respect to variable $z$ once, double apostrophe implies twice, and so on. We can see that the variable $r$ is separated from the PDEs in Eq. (6).

Solving Eqs. (11b) and (11c), the quantities $\psi_{m}(z)$ and $\psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)$ can be expressed in terms of $U_{m}^{\prime}(z), W_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)$, and $W_{m}(z)$. Combining this with Eq. (11a), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m 1} U_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+a_{m 2} U_{m}(z)+a_{m 3} W_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)+a_{m 4} W_{m}^{\prime}(z)=0  \tag{12a}\\
& b_{m 1} U_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)+b_{m 2} U_{m}^{\prime}(z)+b_{m 3} W_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+b_{m 4} W_{m}(z)=0 \tag{12b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{m i}$ and $b_{m i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ are constants related to material properties, $\omega$, and $k_{m}$. They are listed in Appendix (Eq. (A. 1)).

Differentiating Eq. (12b) once with respect to $z$ and combining with Eq. (12a), the quantities $W_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)$ and $W_{m}^{\prime}(z)$ can be expressed in terms of $U_{m}^{i v}(z), U_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)$, and $U_{m}(z)$. Let $W_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)$ be equal to $W_{m}^{\prime}(z)$ differentiating twice with respect to z , a sixth order homogeneous differential equation involving only $U_{m}(z)$ and its derivatives is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}^{v i}(z)+b_{1} U_{m}^{i v}(z)+c_{1} U_{m}^{\prime \prime}(z)+d_{1} U_{m}(z)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{1}=\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 2}+a_{m 4} b_{m 1}-a_{m 1} b_{m 3}\right) / a_{m 3} b_{m 1}, c_{1}=\left(a_{m 4} b_{m 2}-a_{m 1} b_{m 4}-a_{m 2} b_{m 3}\right) / a_{m 3} b_{m 1} \\
d_{1}=-a_{m 2} b_{m 4} / a_{m 3} b_{m 1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting the exponential function $e^{\lambda z}$ into Eq. (13), the characteristic equation related to the quantity $U_{m}(z)$ is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{6}+b_{1} \lambda^{4}+c_{1} \lambda^{2}+d_{1}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $\omega$ and $k_{m}$, three roots with respect to $\lambda^{2}$ are given by the roots of a general cubic equation [31,32]. The roots of the characteristic equation are represented by three sets of square roots, denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}= \pm k_{m i}(i=1,2,3) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency $\omega$ and wavenumber $k_{m}$ are real, but $\lambda_{i}^{2}$ may be either real-positive, real-negative, or complex, which leads to several cases for the roots $k_{m i}$. In the present work, roots are considered as complex for the sake of generalization. Although we may
have $+k_{m i}$ and $-k_{m i}$ results, only the $+k_{m i}$ need to be considered since they give the same solution after the conversion from exponential functions to hyperbolic ones [33].

The general solution $U_{m}(z)$, being an even function of $z$, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{m i} \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{m i}$ are arbitrary constants.
Turning back to Eqs. (11) and (12), the quantities $W_{m}(z)$ and $\psi_{m}(z)$ can be expressed by $U_{m}(z)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{m}(z)=b_{2} U_{m}^{v}(z)+c_{2} U_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)+d_{2} U_{m}^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{m i} U_{m i} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \psi_{m}(z)=b_{3} U_{m}^{v}(z)+c_{3} U_{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)+d_{3} U_{m}^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} C_{m i} U_{m i} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{m i}=b_{2} k_{m i}^{5}+c_{2} k_{m i}^{3}+d_{2} k_{m i} \\
& b_{2}=-b_{m 1} a_{m 3} b_{m 3}\left(-a_{m 3} b_{m 4}+a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4}, \\
& c_{2}=-b_{m 1} / b_{m 4}+\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 2}-a_{m 1} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 3} /\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 4}-a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4} \\
& d_{2}=-b_{m 2} / b_{m 4}-a_{m 2} b_{m 3}^{2} /\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 4}-a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4} \\
& C_{m i}=b_{3} k_{m i}^{5}+c_{3} k_{m i}^{3}+d_{3} k_{m i} \\
& b_{3}=a_{m 3} b_{m 1}\left(b_{m 3} c_{m 3}-b_{m 4} c_{m 2}\right) /\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 4}-a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4} \\
& c_{3}=-c_{m 3} b_{m 1} / b_{m 4}+\left(c_{m 3} b_{m 3}-b_{m 4} c_{m 2}\right)\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 2}-b_{m 3} a_{m 1}\right) /\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 4}-a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4} \\
& d_{3}=c_{m 1}-c_{m 3} b_{m 2} / b_{m 4}+a_{m 2} b_{m 3}\left(c_{m 2} b_{m 4}-b_{m 3} c_{m 3}\right) /\left(a_{m 3} b_{m 4}-a_{m 4} b_{m 3}\right) b_{m 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the coefficients $c_{m i}(i=1,2,3)$ are given in Appendix (Eq. (A. 2)).
Now let's turn to the second building block on the right of Fig. 2(b). The derivation is similar to the one of the first building block but slightly more complicated because of the cylindrical coordinate system. Therefore, for completeness sake, the derivation process is briefly presented here. In the second building block, the thickness vibrations are induced. To avoid confusion, a $n$-index is used for the notations of the displacements and electric potential $[27,34]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}(r, z)=U_{n}(r) \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) \\
& w_{n}(r, z)=W_{n}(r) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) \quad k_{n}=(2 n-1) \frac{\pi}{2 H} \text { and }(n=1,2, \ldots,+\infty)  \tag{19}\\
& \varphi_{n}(r, z)=\psi_{n}(r) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting this into Eq. (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{11}^{E} \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+\left(\rho \omega^{2}-c_{55}^{E} k_{n}^{2}\right) U_{n}(r)+\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) k_{n} W_{n}^{\prime}(r)+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n} \psi_{n}^{\prime}(r)=0 \tag{20a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) k_{n} \Delta U_{n}(r)+c_{55}^{E} \Delta W_{n}^{\prime}(r)+\left(\rho \omega^{2}-c_{33}^{E} k_{n}^{2}\right) W_{n}(r)+e_{15} \Delta \psi_{n}^{\prime}(r)-e_{33} k_{n}^{2} \psi_{n}(r)=0 \\
-\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n} \Delta U_{n}(r)+e_{15} \Delta W_{n}^{\prime}(r)-e_{33} k_{n}^{2} W_{n}(r)-\varepsilon_{11}^{S} \Delta \psi_{n}^{\prime}(r)+\varepsilon_{33}^{S} k_{n}^{2} \psi_{n}(r)=0 \tag{20c}
\end{array}
$$

where the apostrophe implies differentiation with respect to variable $r$. By combining the equations following a procedure similar to the previous case, we can eliminate the quantity $\psi_{n}(r)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n 1} \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+a_{n 2} U_{n}(r)+a_{n 3} \nabla^{2} W_{n}^{\prime}(r)+a_{n 4} W_{n}^{\prime}(r)=0 \\
& b_{n 1} \Delta \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+b_{n 2} \Delta U_{n}(r)+b_{n 3} \Delta W_{n}^{\prime}(r)+b_{n 4} W_{n}(r)=0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

After combining these equations, we can eliminate the quantity $W_{n}(r)$ and obtain a differential equation involving only $U_{n}(r)$ and its derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{6} U_{n}(r)+b_{1} \nabla^{4} U_{n}(r)+c_{1} \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+d_{1} U_{n}(r)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the definition of the operator $\nabla^{2}$ is given in Eq. (7).
For given $\omega$ and $k_{n}$, the three roots for $\nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)$ are denoted as $k_{n i}^{2}$, then, the solution of Eq. (22) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{n i} I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{n i}$ are arbitrary constants and $I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order one. The solutions for $W_{n}(r)$ and $\psi_{n}(r)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{n}(r)=b_{2} \Delta \nabla^{4} U_{n}(r)+c_{2} \Delta \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+d_{2} \Delta U_{n}(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{n i} U_{n i} I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \psi_{n}(r)=b_{3} \Delta \nabla^{4} U_{n}(r)+c_{3} \Delta \nabla^{2} U_{n}(r)+d_{3} \Delta U_{n}(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} C_{n i} U_{n i} I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero.
The coefficients $a_{n i}, b_{n i}$ and $c_{n i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ for the second building block are detailed in the Appendix (Eq. (A. 3)). The other coefficients, such as $b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $d_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, are exactly the same as those that appeared in the first building block. To obtain these coefficients, we need only to replace symbol $m$ by $n$. For clarity, they are also given in the Appendix (Eq. (A. 4)).

With the solutions of the two building blocks above, the general solution for mechanical displacements and electric potential can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r, z)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} U_{m}(z) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n}(r) \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} U_{m i} \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n i} I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \cos \left(k_{n} z\right)\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
w(r, z) & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} W_{m}(z) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{n}(r) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m i} U_{m i} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n i} U_{n i} I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right)\right\}
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\varphi(r, z)= & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(z) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(r) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m i} U_{m i} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n i} U_{n i} I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right)\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The unknown coefficients $U_{m i}$ and $U_{n i}$ can be obtained after substituting the solution Eq. (26) into the boundary conditions Eq. (8). Once these coefficients are determined, the following expressions can be used to evaluate the dynamic vibration responses of stress, electric field, and electric displacements inside the element:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{r}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left[\left(c_{13}^{E} B_{m i}+e_{31} C_{m i}\right) k_{m i}+c_{11}^{E} k_{m}\right] J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\left(c_{12}^{E}-c_{11}^{E}\right) \frac{J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)}{r}\right\} \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left[\left(c_{13}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{31} C_{n i}\right) k_{n}+c_{11}^{E} k_{n i}\right] I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right)+\left(c_{12}^{E}-c_{11}^{E}\right) \frac{I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right)}{r}\right\} \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \\
T_{\theta}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left[\left(c_{13}^{E} B_{m i}+e_{31} C_{m i}\right) k_{m i}+c_{12}^{E} k_{m}\right] J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)+\left(c_{11}^{E}-c_{12}^{E}\right) \frac{J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)}{r}\right\} \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left[\left(c_{13}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{31} C_{n i}\right) k_{n}+c_{12}^{E} k_{n i}\right] I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right)+\left(c_{11}^{E}-c_{12}^{E}\right) \frac{I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right)}{r}\right\} \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \\
T_{z}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(c_{33}^{E} B_{m i}+e_{33} C_{m i}\right) k_{m i}+c_{13}^{E} k_{m}\right] J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(c_{33}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{33} C_{n i}\right) k_{n}+c_{13}^{E} k_{n i}\right] I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \\
T_{r z}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(-c_{55}^{E} B_{m i}-e_{15} C_{m i}\right) k_{m}+c_{55}^{E} k_{m i}\right] J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right) \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(c_{55}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{15} C_{n i}\right) k_{n i}-c_{55}^{E} k_{n}\right] I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{r}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m i} k_{m} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right) U_{m i}-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n i} k_{n i} I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \\
E_{z}(r, z)= & -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m i} k_{m i} \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) U_{m i}-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n i} k_{n} I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) U_{n i} \\
\mathrm{D}_{r}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(-e_{15} B_{m i}+\varepsilon_{11}^{S} C_{m i}\right) k_{m}+e_{15} k_{m i}\right] \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(e_{15} B_{n i}-\varepsilon_{11}^{S} C_{n i}\right) k_{n i}-e_{15} k_{n}\right] \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) U_{n i} \\
\mathrm{D}_{z}(r, z)= & \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(e_{33} B_{m i}-\varepsilon_{33}^{S} C_{m i}\right) k_{m i}+e_{31} k_{m}\right] \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) U_{m i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(e_{33} B_{n i}-\varepsilon_{33}^{S} C_{n i}\right) k_{n}+e_{31} k_{n i}\right] \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) U_{n i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Contrary to the common assumption $[16,18,19]$, the electric filed in radial direction $E_{r}$ is not equal to zero. In addition, the symmetry property versus $z$-axis, shown in Eq. (9), can be verified here.

### 2.2 Determination of unknown coefficients

Assuming the number of vibration modes induced in the radial and thickness directions are $K_{1}\left(m=1,2, \ldots, K_{1}\right)$ and $K_{2}\left(n=1,2, \ldots, K_{2}\right)$, there will be $3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)$ linear equations for the $3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)$ unknown coefficients of $U_{m i}$ and $U_{n i}$ by substituting the solutions into the boundary conditions of Eq. (8). The $3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)$ linear equations can be presented in a concise way as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} F_{1}^{m i} U_{m i} J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)=0 & \text { as } \quad T_{z}(z= \pm H)=0 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{K_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{F_{1}^{n i} U_{n i}+\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} G_{1}^{m i} U_{m i}\right\} \cos \left(k_{n} z\right)=0 & \text { as } \quad T_{r}(r=R)=0 \\
\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{F_{2}^{m i} U_{m i}+\sum_{n=1}^{K_{2}} G_{2}^{n i} U_{n i}\right\} J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)=0 & \text { as } \quad T_{r z}(z= \pm H)=0 \\
\sum_{n=1}^{K_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{F_{2}^{n i} U_{n i}+\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} G_{2}^{m i} U_{m i}\right\} \sin \left(k_{n} z\right)=0 & \text { as } \quad T_{r z}(r=R)=0  \tag{28}\\
\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{F_{3}^{m i} U_{m i}+\sum_{n=1}^{K_{2}} G_{3}^{n i} U_{n i}\right\} J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)=\varphi_{0}^{m i} & \text { as } \quad \varphi(z= \pm H)= \pm \varphi_{0} \\
\sum_{n=1}^{K_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{F_{3}^{n i} U_{n i}+\sum_{m=1}^{K_{1}} G_{3}^{m i} U_{m i}\right\} \sin \left(k_{n} z\right)=0 & \text { as } \quad D_{r}(r=R)=0
\end{array}
$$

where $F_{j}^{m i}$ and $F_{j}^{n i}(j=1,2,3)$ can be easily obtained from Eq.(27); they are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{m i}=\left[\left(c_{33}^{E} B_{m i}+C_{m i} e_{33}\right) k_{m i}+c_{13}^{E} k_{m}\right] \cosh \left(k_{m i} H\right) \\
& F_{1}^{n i}=\left[\left(c_{13}^{E} B_{n i}+C_{n i} e_{31}\right) k_{n}+c_{11}^{E} k_{n i}\right] I_{0}\left(k_{n i} R\right)+\left(c_{12}^{E}-c_{11}^{E}\right) I_{1}\left(k_{n i} R\right) / R \\
& F_{2}^{m i}=\left[\left(-c_{55}^{E} B_{m i}-e_{15} C_{m i}\right) k_{m}+c_{55}^{E} k_{m i}\right] \sinh \left(k_{m i} H\right)  \tag{29}\\
& F_{2}^{n i}=\left[\left(c_{55}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{15} C_{n i}\right) k_{n i}-c_{55}^{E} k_{n}\right] I_{1}\left(k_{n i} R\right) \\
& F_{3}^{m i}=C_{m i} \sinh \left(k_{m i} H\right) \\
& F_{3}^{n i}=\left[\left(-\varepsilon_{11}^{S} C_{n i}+e_{15} B_{n i}\right) k_{n i}-e_{15} k_{n}\right] I_{1}\left(1, k_{n i} R\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $G_{j}^{m i}, G_{j}^{n i}$, and $\varphi_{0}^{m i}(j=1,2,3)$ are obtained using the Projection method [35]

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}^{m i}=\left(c_{12}^{E}-c_{11}^{E}\right) J_{1}\left(k_{m} R\right) 2 \int_{0}^{H} \cos \left(k_{n} z\right) \cosh \left(k_{m i} z\right) d z / R H \\
& G_{2}^{n i}=\left[\left(c_{55}^{E} B_{n i}+e_{15} C_{n i}\right) k_{n i}-c_{55}^{E} k_{n}\right] \sin \left(k_{n} H\right) \int_{0}^{R} r I_{1}\left(k_{n i} r\right) J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right) d r /\left\|J_{1}\left(k_{m} r\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& G_{2}^{m i}=\left[\left(-c_{55}^{E} B_{m i}-e_{15} C_{m i}\right) k_{m}+c_{55}^{E} k_{m i}\right] J_{1}\left(k_{m} R\right) 2 \int_{0}^{H} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) d z / H \\
& G_{3}^{n i}=C_{n i} \sin \left(k_{n} H\right) \int_{0}^{R} r I_{0}\left(k_{n i} r\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) d r /\left\|J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& G_{3}^{m i}=\left[\left(\varepsilon_{11}^{S} C_{m i}-e_{15} B_{m i}\right) k_{m}+e_{15} k_{m i}\right] J_{1}\left(k_{m} R\right) 2 \int_{0}^{H} \sinh \left(k_{m i} z\right) \sin \left(k_{n} z\right) d z / H \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (28) shows that the stress $T_{z}, T_{r z}$, and electric potential $\varphi$ at $z= \pm H$ can be expanded with the first kinds of Bessel functions of order 0,1 , and 0 , respectively. The stress $T_{r}, T_{r z}$, and electric displacement $D_{r}$ at $r=R$ can be expanded with cosine, sine, and sine functions, respectively. The boundary conditions are satisfied in the form of Fourier series and Fourier-Bessel series expansions. The summation of the expanded coefficients should be equal to zero except for the electric potential at $z= \pm H$.

The nonhomogeneous linear system in matrix notation can be described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a $3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \times 3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)$ coefficient matrix, $\mathbf{x}$ is the unknown variable of $U_{m i}$ and $U_{n i}$, and b is a $3\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \times 1$ vector with $K_{1}$ non-zero elements related to $\varphi_{0}$.

For clarity, an example is shown here, where $K_{1}=2$ and $K_{2}=3$. The augmented matrix of the linear system is assembled and depicted schematically in Fig. 3. The elements denoted by an asterisk (*) are $F_{j}^{m i}$ and $F_{j}^{n i}$, those denoted by a short solid $\operatorname{bar}(-)$ are $G_{j}^{m i}, G_{j}^{n i}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{m i}$, and the rest are zeros. Once the constant $\varphi_{0}$ is known, all the coefficients can be determined.

| $U_{m 1}$ |  | $U_{n l}$ | $U_{m 2}$ | $U_{n 2}$ | $U_{m 3}$ | $U_{n 3}$ | $\varphi$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 23 | 12 | 123 | 12 | 123 |  |  |
| * |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | $T_{z}(z= \pm H)$ |
|  | * | * |  | * ${ }^{*}$ * |  | *  <br>   <br>   <br>   |  | $T_{r}(r=R)$ |
| * * |  |  |  |  |  | - - - |  | $T_{r z}($ |
| - | * | * |  | * ${ }^{*}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ * |  | $T_{r z}(r=$ |
| * * | - |  | * | $-\quad-\quad-$ | * |  |  | $\varphi(z= \pm H)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | * | * | - - | * ${ }^{*}$ * | - - <br> - - | * ${ }^{*}$ |  | $D_{r}(r=R)$ |

Fig. 3. Schematic representation of assembled augmented matrix of the system (* : $F_{j}^{m i}$ and $F_{j}^{n i} ;-: G_{j}^{m i}, G_{j}^{n i}$, and $\left.\varphi_{0}^{m i}\right)$.

### 2.3 Electrical impedance

The electrical impedance of piezoelectric material is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{V}{I} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the voltage applied between the electrodes and $I$ is the electric current flowing through the sample.

Since the electric potential on the top and bottom electrodes are $\pm \varphi_{0} e^{j \omega t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\int_{-H}^{H} E_{z} d z=-\int_{-H}^{H} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} d z=-2 \varphi_{0} e^{j \omega t} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric current is defined by the differential of charge versus time. The charge on electrodes can be calculated by integrating the electric displacement density $D_{3}$ over the surface. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{S} D_{3}(r, H, t) d S=2 \pi j \omega e^{j \omega t} \int_{0}^{R} D_{z}(r, H) r d r \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to Eq. (19) and Eq. (27), $D_{z}(r, H)$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{z}(r, H)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(e_{33} B_{m i}-\varepsilon_{33}^{S} C_{m i}\right) k_{m i}+e_{31} k_{m}\right] \cosh \left(k_{m i} H\right) J_{0}\left(k_{m} r\right) U_{m i} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

After obtaining all the unknown coefficients in Section 2.2, we can substitute them
into Eqs. (32)-(35), then the electrical impedance is determined. The time component $e^{j \omega t}$ and the constant $\varphi_{0}$ vanish in the results.

## 3. Results and Discussion

To verify the validity of the analytical model proposed here, the results are compared with those computed by a FE method. The FE analysis is carried out with COMSOL Multiphysics software in vacuum. Quadratic Lagrange rectangular elements with nine nodes are used and a frequency domain analysis is performed using 8 elements per shear wavelength for the highest frequency. The validation is performed in two parts: the electrical impedance and mode shape, represented by the spatial distribution of the mechanical displacements and electric potential, given in section 3.1. The predicted frequency spectrum comparison of different diameter-to-thickness ratios is shown in section 3.2.

Soft PZT materials provide high coupling factors and piezoelectric charge coefficients. They are widely used in applications such as medical diagnostic transducers, doppler flow meters, accelerometers and NDE. A soft PZ27 (Ferroperm Piezoceramics [36]) ceramic disk is used here, with diameter of 16 mm and thickness of 1.13 mm . An AC-voltage of $\pm 1 \mathrm{~V}$ is applied between the top and bottom electrodes. Material properties are listed in Table 1, where $\rho$ is the density, $c_{\alpha \beta}^{E}$ are the elastic stiffness constants under constant electric field, $e_{i \alpha}$ are the piezoelectric constants, $\varepsilon_{i j}^{S}$ are the dielectric constants under constant strain, $\delta_{m}$ and $\delta_{e}$ are respectively the mechanical loss factor and dielectric loss factor.

Table 1
Soft PZ27 piezoelectric material properties [36]

| $\rho\left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | $c_{11}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $c_{12}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $c_{13}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $c_{33}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $c_{44}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $c_{66}^{E}(\mathrm{GPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7800 | 147.4 | 104.9 | 93.7 | 112.6 | 23.0 | 21.25 |
| $e_{31}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | $e_{33}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | $e_{15}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | $\varepsilon_{r 11}^{S}$ | $\varepsilon_{r 33}^{S}$ | $\delta_{m}(\%)$ | $\delta_{e}(\%)$ |
| -3.1 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 913.7 | 1129.7 | 1.35 | 1.7 |

### 3.1 Electrical impedance and mode shape of a ceramic disk

Since the analytical model is based on Fourier and Fourier-Bessel series expansions, any desired degree of accuracy could be achieved by increasing the number of terms $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. To determine the values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, a convergence test for resonance frequency $\left(f_{r}\right)$ is performed. Fig. 4 shows the variation of resonance frequency with
number of terms $K_{1}$, for all vibration modes in the frequency range between 0 and 2.4 MHz . The number of terms $K_{2}$ is set at 2 and the frequency resolution is set at 1 kHz .

One can see that as the number of terms $K_{1}$ increases, more and more radial or coupling modes appear - in other words, to characterize high order radial harmonics and the coupling between thickness and radial modes in higher frequency ranges, $K_{1}$ needs to increase. One can also see that the calculated values of $f_{r}$ converge vertically more or less to an asymptotic value as $K_{1}$ increases. The upper left area in Fig. 4, separated by a symbolic oblique solid line, can be considered as the area of convergence. It should be noted that in the case presented here (from 0 MHz to 2.4 MHz ), $21 K_{1}$ terms and $2 K_{2}$ terms are sufficient to allow this asymptotic value to be obtained with good reproducibility.


Fig. 4. The variation of resonance frequency with the number of terms $K_{1}$.
The electrical impedances for three different cases $-K_{1}=K_{2}=1$ (Fig. 5(a)), $K_{1}=5$, $K_{2}=2\left(\right.$ Fig. 5(b)), and $K_{1}=21, K_{2}=2$ (Fig. 5(c)) - are shown and compared with those of the FE method in Fig. 5. To measure the discrepancy, a mean absolute percentage deviation (MAPD) metric [37] is introduced and defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MAPD}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\frac{Z_{\text {Analytical }}\left(f_{i}\right)-Z_{F E}\left(f_{i}\right)}{Z_{F E}\left(f_{i}\right)}\right| \times 100 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z\left(f_{i}\right)$ is the electrical impedance value at frequency $f_{i}$ and $N$ is the number of points evaluated.

The agreement between the analytical and the FE model improves and the MAPD decreases from Fig. 5(a) to Fig. 5(c). The MAPDs of the three sets of results are close to $55 \%, 15 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively. For a better illustration, the resonance and antiresonance frequencies in the third case are extracted and compared with those of the FE model. They are listed in Table 2, including the discrepancies in parentheses. The values are very close: the maximum discrepancy is inferior to 3 kHz for the frequency range calculated and the maximum deviation is under $2 \%$, which occurs at the lower frequencies.

## Table 2

Resonance and antiresonance frequencies of the piezoelectric disk from 0 to 2.4 MHz

| Model | Resonance frequency (MHz) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FE | 0.124 | 0.315 | 0.485 | 0.636 | 0.762 | 0.864 | 0.949 | 1.018 | 1.062 | 1.300 |
| Analytical | 0.126 | 0.315 | 0.486 | 0.634 | 0.762 | 0.864 | 0.949 | 1.020 | 1.065 | 1.300 |
| (deviation (\%)) | $(1.61)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.21)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.20)$ | $(0.28)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| FE | 1.392 | 1.481 | 1.560 | 1.649 | 1.736 | 1.825 | 1.930 | 2.051 | 2.187 | $/$ |
| Analytical | 1.392 | 1.482 | 1.561 | 1.650 | 1.736 | 1.824 | 1.929 | 2.050 | 2.187 | $/$ |
| (deviation (\%)) | $(0.00)$ | $(0.07)$ | $(0.06)$ | $(0.06)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.05)$ | $(0.05)$ | $(0.05)$ | $(0.00)$ | $/$ |
| Model |  |  |  | Antiresonance frequency $(\mathrm{MHz})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FE | 0.146 | 0.324 | 0.494 | 0.645 | 0.772 | 0.875 | 0.961 | 1.032 | 1.075 | 1.308 |
| Analytical | 0.147 | 0.325 | 0.494 | 0.645 | 0.772 | 0.875 | 0.961 | 1.033 | 1.078 | 1.308 |
| (deviation (\%)) | $(0.68)$ | $(0.31)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.10)$ | $(0.28)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| FE | 1.404 | 1.512 | 1.578 | 1.667 | 1.804 | 1.891 | 1.953 | 2.070 | 2.201 | $/$ |
| Analytical | 1.404 | 1.512 | 1.578 | 1.668 | 1.804 | 1.891 | 1.953 | 2.068 | 2.199 | $/$ |
| (deviation (\%)) | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.06)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.10)$ | $(0.10)$ | $/$ |


(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig. 5. The modulus of electrical impedance of the piezoelectric disk obtained by using different number of terms. (a) $K_{1}=1, K_{2}=1$; (b) $K_{1}=5, K_{2}=2$; (c) $K_{1}=21, K_{2}=2$. Solid lines refer to the results of the FE model and chain dotted lines refer to the results of the analytical model.

The mode shapes of total displacement $U$, defined as $\sqrt{u^{2}+w^{2}}$, and electric potential $\varphi$ are calculated by Eq. (26). Results at the antiresonance frequency of the fundamental radial (R1) and thickness (T1) modes, as labelled in Fig. 5, are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Comparison shows an excellent agreement, in accordance with [38], including not only the spatial distribution but also the amplitudes (i.e. total displacement and electric potential respectively). The vibration pattern of R1 mode can be easily recognized from Fig. 6(a) \& (c): the piezoceramic disk extends in the radial direction while keeping close to constant in the thickness direction. The electric potential distribution, as shown in Fig. 6(b) \& (d), is uniform in the radial direction but linear in its poling direction, i.e. the thickness direction. From Fig. 7(a) \& (c) we can see that the vibration of T1 mode is predominant in the thickness direction but is greatly influenced by the higher harmonics of radial modes. Additionally, the displacement is higher at the surface center than at the periphery. Owing to the piezoelectric effect, the distribution of electric potential of T1 mode (Fig. 7(b) \& (d)) is similar to that of displacement. Besides, although an ACvoltage of $\pm 1 \mathrm{~V}$ is applied between the top and bottom electrodes in the poling direction, the electric potential inside some locations of the element exceeds this range and is more than $\pm 2 \mathrm{~V}$.

In addition, compared to the FE method, the analytical method shows a great advantage in terms of computational efficiency: the calculation time is reduced from the FE method's 181.3 s to only 2.8 s for the third case. Thus, a comparable accuracy is attained 64 times faster.


Fig. 6. The total displacement and electric potential of the piezoelectric ceramic disk at the antiresonance frequency of the first radial (R1) mode. (a)-(b) indicate results of the FE model; (c)-(d) indicate results of analytical model with $K_{1}=21$ and $K_{2}=2$.


Fig. 7. The total displacement and electric potential of the piezoelectric ceramic disk at the antiresonance frequency of the first thickness (T1) mode. (a)-(b) indicate results of the FE model; (c)-(d) indicate results of analytical model with $K_{1}=21$ and $K_{2}=2$.

### 3.2 Frequency spectrum

The present analytical model has been extended to predict the frequency spectrum of PZ27 piezoelectric disks, that is, relations between resonance frequencies and diameter-to-thickness ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ) ratios, as shown in Fig. 8. Here, the material is assumed to be lossless. The $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratio varies from 0.2 to 20 with a step of 0.05 while the thickness is kept constant as 1.13 mm . This range covers most of the piezoelectric element geometries used in ultrasonic transducers. Since the electrical impedance is inversely proportional to the electrode area, the amplitude is first normalized to a unit area and then normalized between 0 and 1 . The resonance amplitude of the vibration modes is represented by the color scale in Fig. 8. Besides, to avoid a convergence analysis in each
case, $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are set at 30 and 2 for $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratios larger than 5 and are both set at 10 for the other cases.

The overall vibration pattern shown in Fig. 8 is similar to the measured or calculated frequency spectrum by FE model [38-41]. Results from the FE model, marked by black circles, are superimposed over analytical ones in Fig. 8. An excellent agreement can be observed. Several features are also clearly shown in the frequency spectrum. The three "terraces", as mentioned in [40], are noted as edge modes (E), thickness shear modes (TS), and thickness extensional modes (TE). Their locations are also indicated by the arrows on the right of the plot area. The TS terrace is the most obvious in Fig. 8. It is close to flat and the number of TS modes increases as $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratio increases. The TE terrace is obviously characterized by its high resonance amplitude, i.e. the lighter color. The E terrace can be speculated because the rate of change of resonance frequency with $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratio is reduced in this region.


Fig. 8. Resonance frequencies vs diameter-to-thickness ratios D/T of PZ27 disks with constant thickness 1.13 mm . Gray points, scaled by normalized amplitude, are results of the analytical model and black circle are results of the FE model.

The two horizontal dotted lines, labeled as $k_{33}$ and $k_{t}$ in Fig. 8, are results from the one-dimensional (1D) KLM model [7]. As D/T ratio approaches 0 , the piezoelectric cylinder turns into a long rod with small section poled along its largest dimension, which can be modelled by KLM model with electromechanical coupling coefficient equal to $k_{33}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow 0)$. As $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratio approaches 20 or even higher, the cylinder turns into a thin disk poled along its thickness. It can be modelled by KLM with coupling
coefficient $k_{t}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow+\infty)$. The electrical impedances of these two extreme cases calculated by two analytical models are shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9(a), only the length expander (LE) mode exists in the frequency range ( 0 to 2.4 MHz ), and the resonance frequency is close to 1.1 MHz . In Fig. 9(b), the TE mode is highly perturbated by other modes, and the resonance frequency is around 1.7 MHz . These two resonance frequencies, calculated by KLM model, could be considered as two asymptotic bounds for real situation: an upper bound $(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow 0)$ and a lower bound $(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow+\infty)$. They are found by our analytical model with a discrepancy of less than $1 \%$. This small discrepancy is linked to the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ratio: contrary to KLM model, the radial contribution is taken into account in our analytical model by means of a finite value of D/T. Plus, compared with KLM model, the analytical model proposed here can describe not only the two fundamental vibrations in radial and thickness directions but also the coupled modes such as E and TS modes.


Fig. 9. The modulus of electrical impedance of a long piezoelectric rod (a) and a thin piezoelectric disk (b). Solid lines refer to the results of the present analytical model and chain dotted lines refer to the results of KLM model.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, accurate analytical solutions have been obtained for coupled vibrations in piezoceramic cylinders based on superposition method. The problem has been divided into two building blocks - vibrations in radial and thickness directions - and solution in each building block are superimposed to form the final solution. The mixed boundary conditions are satisfied using Fourier and Fourier-Bessel series expansions.

The solutions for the in-plane dynamic responses, such as stress, electric field, and electric displacements, are formulated. Electrical impedance and mode shape of a typical size PZ27 piezoelectric disk, as well as the frequency spectrum of the same material but with different diameter-to-thickness ratios are calculated and compared to those of the FE method. An excellent agreement is observed. The mean absolute percentage deviation (MAPD) of the electrical impedance curve is inferior to $2 \%$. In addition, the analytical method shows a great advantage in terms of computational efficiency - it is over 64 times faster than FE one for the same level of precision. Furthermore, comparison shows that the present analytical method is more accurate than KLM model: not only the radial and thickness modes but also the other coupled modes can be characterized using the analytical model proposed here.

The present analytical model can be used to help with the characterization of some material parameters but also for the design and the optimization of the active elements of piezoelectric devices, such as ultrasonic transducers.
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## Appendix

The constants $a_{m i}$ and $b_{m i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ appearing in Eq. (12) are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{m 1}=\frac{\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) e_{33}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{m}}-\frac{c_{55}^{E}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{m}} \\
& a_{m 2}=\frac{c_{11}^{E} k_{m}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{\omega^{2} \rho}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m 3}=\frac{c_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+e_{33}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right)} \\
& a_{m 4}=\frac{c_{55}^{E}+c_{13}^{E}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{e_{15} e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}+\frac{\left(\rho \omega^{2}-c_{55}^{E} k_{m}^{2}\right) \varepsilon_{33}^{S}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{m}^{2}}  \tag{A.1}\\
& b_{m 1}=\frac{e_{33} c_{55}^{E}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{m}} \\
& b_{m 2}=\left(-\frac{e_{33} c_{11}^{E}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{\left(c_{55}^{E}+c_{13}^{E}\right) e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) e_{15} e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}\right) k_{m}+\frac{e_{33} \rho \omega^{2}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{m}} \\
& b_{m 3}=c_{33}^{E}-\frac{e_{33}\left(c_{55}^{E}+c_{13}^{E}\right)}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{e_{15}\left(c_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+e_{33}^{2}\right)}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}} \\
& b_{m 4}=\frac{\left(c_{55}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15}^{2}\right) e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}} k_{m}^{2}-\frac{e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}} \omega^{2} \rho
\end{align*}
$$

The constants $c_{m i}(i=1,2,3)$ appearing in Eq. (18) are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{m 1}=\frac{\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) e_{33}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{m}} \\
& c_{m 2}=\frac{c_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+e_{33}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right)}  \tag{A.2}\\
& c_{m 3}=-\frac{c_{55}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+e_{15} e_{33}^{S}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}+\frac{\omega^{2} \rho \varepsilon_{33}^{S}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{m}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{n i}, b_{n i}$ and $c_{n i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ for the second building block (on the right of Fig. 2(b))) are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n 1}=\frac{\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) e_{15}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{n}}+\frac{c_{11}^{E}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n}} \\
& a_{n 2}=-\frac{c_{55}^{E} k_{n}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}+\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n}} \\
& a_{n 3}=-\frac{c_{55}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15}^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right)} \\
& a_{n 4}=\frac{c_{33_{11}}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15} e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}+\frac{c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{\omega^{2} \rho \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{n}^{2}} \\
& b_{n 1}=-\frac{e_{15} c_{11}^{E}}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{n 2}=\left(\frac{e_{15} c_{55}^{E}}{e_{31}+e_{15}}-\frac{\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}+\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) e_{15} e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}\right) k_{n}-\frac{e_{15} \omega^{2} \rho}{\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right) k_{n}}  \tag{A.3}\\
& b_{n 3}=c_{55}^{E}+\frac{e_{33}\left(c_{55}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15}^{2}\right)}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}-\frac{e_{15}\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right)}{e_{31}+e_{15}} \\
& b_{n 4}=\frac{\left(c_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33}^{2}\right) e_{15}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}} k_{n}^{2}+\frac{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}} \omega^{2} \rho \\
& c_{n 1}=\frac{\left(c_{13}^{E}+c_{55}^{E}\right) \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15}\left(e_{31}+e_{15}\right)}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{n}} \\
& c_{n 2}=-\frac{c_{55}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15}^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right)} \\
& c_{n 3}=\frac{c_{33}^{E} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}+e_{15} e_{33}}{e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}-\frac{\omega^{2} \rho \varepsilon_{11}^{S}}{\left(e_{15} \varepsilon_{33}^{S}-e_{33} \varepsilon_{11}^{S}\right) k_{n}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and the other coefficients $b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $d_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\left(-a_{n 1} b_{n 3}+a_{n 3} b_{n 2}+a_{n 4} b_{n 1}\right) / b_{n 1} a_{n 3} \\
& c_{1}=\left(-a_{n 1} b_{n 4}-a_{n 2} b_{n 3}+a_{n 4} b_{n 2}\right) / b_{n 1} a_{n 3} \\
& d_{1}=-a_{n 2} b_{n 4} / a_{n 3} b_{n 1} \\
& B_{n i}=b_{2} k_{n i}^{5}+c_{2} k_{n i}^{3}+d_{2} k_{n i} \\
& b_{2}=b_{n 1} a_{n 3} b_{n 3} / b_{n 4}\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) \\
& c_{2}=-b_{n 1} / b_{n 4}+\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 2}-a_{n 1} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 3} /\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 4}  \tag{A.4}\\
& d_{2}=-b_{n 2} / b_{n 4}-a_{n 2} b_{n 3}^{2} /\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 4} \\
& C_{n i}=b_{3} k_{n i}^{5}+c_{3} k_{n i}^{3}+d_{3} k_{n i} \\
& b_{3}=a_{n 3} b_{n 1}\left(b_{n 3} c_{n 3}-b_{n 4} c_{n 2}\right) /\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 4} \\
& c_{3}=-c_{n 3} b_{n 1} / b_{n 4}+\left(c_{n 3} b_{n 3}-b_{n 4} c_{n 2}\right)\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 2}-b_{n 3} a_{n 1}\right) /\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 4} \\
& d_{3}=c_{n 1}-c_{n 3} b_{n 2} / b_{n 4}+a_{n 2} b_{n 3}\left(c_{n 2} b_{n 4}-b_{n 3} c_{n 3}\right) /\left(a_{n 3} b_{n 4}-a_{n 4} b_{n 3}\right) b_{n 4}
\end{align*}
$$
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