

Copper Content and Export in European Vineyard Soils Influenced by Climate and Soil Properties

Boris Droz, Sylvain Payraudeau, José Antonio Rodríguez Martín, Gergely Tóth, Panos Panagos, Luca Montanarella, Pasquale Borrelli, Gwenael Imfeld, José Antonio, Rodríguez Martín

▶ To cite this version:

Boris Droz, Sylvain Payraudeau, José Antonio Rodríguez Martín, Gergely Tóth, Panos Panagos, et al.. Copper Content and Export in European Vineyard Soils Influenced by Climate and Soil Properties. Environmental Science and Technology, 2021, 55 (11), pp.7327-7334. 10.1021/acs.est.0c02093 . hal-03378939

HAL Id: hal-03378939 https://hal.science/hal-03378939v1

Submitted on 18 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Copper Content and Export in European Vineyards
2	Soils Influenced by Climate and Soil Properties
3	Boris Droz, ^{†*} Sylvain Payraudeau, [†] José Antonio Rodríguez Martín, [‡] Gergely Tóth, [§]
4	Panos Panagos, [®] Luca Montanarella, [®] Pasquale Borrelli ^{□, ¥} & Gwenaël Imfeld ^{†*}
5	[†] Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, ENGEES,
6	CNRS, UMR 7063, 5 rue Descartes, Strasbourg F-67084, France
7	[‡] Department of the Environment, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología
8	Agraria y Alimentaría (INIA), Carretera de la Corunã 7.5, 28040 Madrid, Spain
9	[§] Institute of Advanced Studies, Chernek str. 14., 9730 Kőszeg, Hungary
10	^{II} European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Institute for Environment and
11	Sustainability, Via E. Fermi 2749, 21027 Ispra, Italy
12	^D Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pavia, Via Ferrata, 1,
13	27100 Pavia, Italy
14	[¥] Department of Biological Environment, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon
15	24341, Republic of Korea

Copper-based fungicides (Cu_f) are used in European (EU) vineyards to prevent fungal 18 19 diseases. Soil physicochemical properties govern locally the variation of total copper 20 content (Cu_t) in EU vineyards. However, variables controlling Cu_t distribution at larger 21 scale are poorly known. Here machine learning techniques were used to identify 22 governing variables and to predict the Cut distribution in EU vineyards. Precipitation, 23 aridity and soil organic carbon are key variables explaining together 45% of Cut 24 distribution across EU vineyards. This underlines the effect of both climate and soil 25 properties on Cu_t distribution. The average net export of Cu at the EU scale is 0.29 kg Cu ha⁻¹ which is two orders of magnitude less than the net accumulation of Cu (24.8 kg 26 Cu ha⁻¹). Four scenarios of Cu_f application were compared. The current EU regulation 27 with a maximum of 4 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ may increase by 2% the EU vineyard area 28 29 exceeding the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) in soil in the next 100 years. 30 Overall, our results highlight vineyard areas requiring specific remediation measures 31 and strategies of Cu_f use to manage a trade-off between pest control and soil and water 32 contamination.

33 INTRODUCTION

Copper-based fungicides (Cu_f) are intensively used in European vinevards since the 34 end of the 19th century to prevent fungal disease such as 'Downy Mildew'.¹ Cu_f 35 36 application doses and timing vary across regions depending on practices, regulation, hydro-climatic conditions and vine variety. The European Union (EU 2018/1981) 37 regulation recently decreased from 6 to 4 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ the maximal dose allowed.² 38 However, doses as high as 50 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ were applied frequently for decades in 39 the mid-20th century.³ Today, the total copper content in topsoil (Cu_t) exceeds 100 mg 40 Cu kg⁻¹ in 15% of the European vineyard area. This corresponds to the average 41 proposed threshold values for which soil remediation is needed.⁴⁻⁶ European vinevards 42 represent more than 50% of the total vineyard surface worldwide.⁷ Although vine 43 44 growing accounts for only 3.3% of the agricultural area in Europe, it uses 86% of total fungicides consumed in Europe.⁸ Hence, vineyard soil is often more contaminated by 45 Cu_f than soils of any other agricultural soils. Cu_f accumulates in soil and can impact soil 46 organisms and plants,⁹ thereby reducing soil fertility¹⁰ and productivity.¹¹ In contrast, 47 leaching¹² and surface runoff^{13,14} export Cu_f from vineyard soil, which can contaminate 48 aquatic ecosystems¹⁵ and drinking water resources.¹⁶ 49

50 Cu_f mobility in topsoil controls Cu_t accumulation and off-site export. Cu mobility in soil 51 is mainly controlled by (i) sorption on organic matter,¹⁷ and to a lesser extent on clay 52 and Fe-, Mn-(hydr)oxide and carbonate,¹⁸ (ii) pH-redox dependency since alkaline soil 53 increase the proportion of available binding sites on organic matter.^{17,19} Cu sorbed on 54 fine particle matter may result in Cu export in runoff. ^{13,14} Hydro-climatic and field 55 conditions indirectly control Cu export by (i) affecting soil properties,²⁰ (ii) mediating

dissolved and particulate Cu_f transport, and (iii) modifying preferential transport.²¹ 56 57 Accordingly, presumed or established processes governing Cut mobility in vineyard soil 58 may co-occur and/or may be interrelated (Table 1). Variables governing Cut and 59 dynamics in vineyard soil are, however, examined in laboratory and field studies separately. As a result, the understanding of variables governing Cut in soil remains 60 61 study- or site-specific. The identification of variables governing Cut in vineyard soil and 62 leading to Cu accumulation and/or off-site export over time is necessary to evaluate the 63 current and future distribution of Cut at the European scale.

In this context, a major issue is to predict the spatial variation of Cu_t in the European vineyards, to quantify Cu accumulation and export and to identify priority areas requiring soil remediation. The purpose of this study was (i) to identify key variables potentially governing Cu_t in vineyard topsoil (0 – 30 cm), (ii) to predict average Cu_t at European vineyards scale using machine learning technics, and (iii) to estimate Cu_t accumulation, export and associated toxicity for terrestrial trophic levels, for historical, current and future scenarios of Cu_t application (i.e, 0, 2, 4 or 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ y⁻¹).

71

72 MATERIALS AND METHODS

73 **Topsoil total Copper Datasets.**

Cu_t datasets (Cu_{t, dat}; i.e., soils within 0–30 cm) were obtained from several soil surveys across European vineyards (–9.4° to 42° E; 35° to 53° N; SI, Figure S1) delineated by the Corine Land Cover (CLC 2012; v18.5.1; http://land.copernicus.eu). In total, 1,202 Cu_{t, dat} measurements (average: 27.8 mg Cu kg⁻¹; min–max: 0.54–774 mg Cu kg⁻¹) were sampled between 1992 and 2016 (median = 2008, standard deviation

79 (SD) = 5) and used in our study. Summary of the studied Cu_{t dat} are provided in Table 80 S1 of the Supporting Information (SI). Although the Cu quantification methods differ 81 among datasets, inter-comparability between datasets has been demonstrated previously for the methods used in our study.²² The impact of topsoil sampling depth 82 83 (first 20 or 30 cm) and sampling time span on the average prediction of Cu_t (Cu_{pred}) at 84 the European scale remains low (SI, section Influence of heterogeneous sampling 85 depths and time on the Cu prediction). The heterogeneity of topsoil sampling depth and 86 time span did not significantly (within 2σ) impact the average predictions of Cu_t at the 87 European scale.

88

89 **Predictive Variables.**

90 Soil properties, topology, climate and land cover were selected and evaluated as 91 hypothetic predictive variables of Cut (Table 1 & SI, Table S2). Geogenic, i.e., from bed rocks,²³ and atmospheric non-agricultural anthropogenic inputs of Cu, i.e., mining, 92 93 smelter, sewage sludge and vehicle brake pads are sources of Cu contributing from 5 to 100 g Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ whereas about 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ years⁻¹ of Cu_f are applied on 94 vineyards.²⁴ Topsoils surrounding Cu mining or smelter, that may reach up to 6 g Cu kg⁻ 95 ¹,^{25,26} were not identified close to vineyard areas. Consequently, geogenic and industrial 96 97 sources of Cu were not considered in this study.

98

99

100

Table 1. Hypothetic Predictive Variables Governing the Total Copper Content in

103 Vineyard Topsoil (Cu_t) Leading to Cu_t Accumulation and/or off-site Export.

Variables ^a	Processes
Soil properties	
Soil physicochemical properties affect Cu _t mobility	Cu _t correlates positively to soil organic matter since Cu preferentially sorbs to organic matter in soil, ^{13,17} which affects Cu _t mobility. Higher pH increases sorption sites (e.g. negative charge) for Cu _t on clay, organic matter and sand containing carbonate, ¹⁹ and reduces Cu _t leaching. ¹²
Soil moisture influences soil biogeochemistry	Soil moisture directly affects microbial respiration and activities in soil resulting in biogeochemical gradients. ^{27,28} Cu occurs as Cu(II) in oxic soils. In contrast, Cu(II) may potentially precipitate to less mobile Cu(I) forms in anoxic soils. ^{17,29}
Topography/climate	
Topography and climate conditions drive soil erosion	Greater rainfall depth and higher slope length enhance soil erosion by runoff. ²¹ Cu _t is mostly bound to suspended particles matter (SPM) in topsoil (~85% ^{13, 14}) where SPM-bound Cu can account for 84.4% of the total Cu _t exported by runoff. ¹⁴
Hydro-climatic conditions influence Cu application doses	Intense rainfalls during warm conditions favor the development of 'Downy Mildew'. This increases the frequency and amount of Cu_t use in some areas to control it. ^{30,31}
Hydro-climatic conditions influence (i) soil chemistry	Arid environments (with higher AI values), characterized by higher soil pH and oxidizing soils, ²⁰ favor Cu _t accumulation (see soil physicochemical properties above). In contrast, arid soils tend to lose more soil organic matter and associated Cu _t since Cu is preferentially associated to soil organic matter. ^{13,17}
(ii) Vegetation growth	Optimal conditions for vegetation growth depend on temperature, soil moisture and solar radiation, and vary across plant growth stages. ³² Vegetation growth controls Cu_t mobility indirectly by limiting erosion via surface runoff and thus can be related to Cu_t .

	Cu uptake from soil by plants remains limited: 0.1–7.2%
(iii) Plant uptake	by wild ³³ and 0.1–3.8% by the vineyard ³⁴ plants of the
	Cut. Hence, plants only marginally decrease Cut in soil.

Plant cover

Plant cover affects	Plant cover, i.e., vine and grass in vineyards, can
surface runoff and	stabilize the vineyard soil and thus limit erosion via
organic matter	surface runoff. ³⁵ In addition, plant decomposition can
contont in tonsoil	slightly increase organic matter content in topsoil, ³⁶
	thereby reducing Cu mobility.

^a Hypothetic predictive variables governing Cu_t in vineyard soil. Variables associated with each process are provided in the SI, Table S2. Processes were identified based on experimental studies in vineyard or related soil.

107

108 Predictive variables were computed or directly obtained on a grid cell of 250x250 m 109 for the study area. Details concerning building spatial variables are provided in the in SI, 110 Table S2 & S3. Cut, dat coordinates were used to extract values of each predictive 111 variable in the corresponding grid cells. Extracted data were then used to select 112 variables and calibrate the model (details in SI). Extracted data represented adequately 113 the range of predictive variables within the prediction domain (details given in SI; paired 114 t-test; p-value_{average} >0.01) and did not display any spatial correlation (Moran's test; $I_{average} = -0.211 \pm 0.09$; p-value >0.01). The selection of variables was carried out from 115 116 the 24 original predictive variables (SI, Table S2) to limit collinearity between predictive variables and optimize model predictions as described elsewhere:³⁷ (i) Pearson 117 118 correlation was used to discard variables providing the similar information and (ii) 119 principal component analysis (PCA) was used to discard noise variables containing the least information.³⁸ 120

123 Prediction of Total Copper Content in European Vineyard Topsoil.

124 The variable selection procedure highlight that the following variables were predictive 125 of the average Cu_t in European vineyards: clay and silt fractions (%), soil organic carbon 126 content (C_{org}; %), soil pH (unitless), aridity index (AI; unitless), annual average 127 temperature (T_{av}; °C), annual rainfall (rainfall; mm), soil moisture content (moisture; %), 128 the enhanced vegetation index (EVI; unitless) and slope (degree; SI, Table S3). This 129 selection is consistent with predictive variables reported previously (Table 1). Three 130 independent machine learning techniques, i.e., neural networks (R package nnet v 7.3-131 12), random forest (R package randomForest v.4.6-12) and bagging tree (R package 132 ipred v.0.9-5), were used in parallel and were averaged into an ensemble model (ENS; details in SI) for the final prediction, as described elsewhere.³⁹ The ENS resulted in 133 134 fewer false predictions and higher predictive accuracy than a single model. Consistency 135 of Cu_{pred} was evaluated for each grid cell on a SD of 1,000 predictions for each machine 136 learning technique. Model performance was evaluated using a 10-fold cross-validation (CV). Model spatial transferability was evaluated using a spatially constrained 10-fold 137 138 CV (details in SI). For each model, the averaged relative importance (ARI) of each 139 variable in the model was calculated with an input permutation technique and one-140 factor-at-a-time (OFAT) sensitivity analysis (details in SI). The complete modelling 141 framework to select variables, calibrate the model and predict Cut is available for 142 download at https://github.com/Boris-Droz/ML soil predi conc.

143

146 **Copper Accumulation and Export.**

147 Cu_t net mass accumulation since the beginning of the Cu_f application was estimated 148 assuming that Cu_t is distributed similarly across a homogenous topsoil layer, following 149 eq. 1,

150 net acc. =
$$(Cu_{Pred} - Cu_{bgd}) \times BD \times h_{topsoil}$$
 (1)

where *net acc.* stands for the Cu_t net mass accumulation (kg Cu ha⁻¹), Cu_{bgd} the background of geogenic and atmospheric non-agricultural anthropogenic Cu_t (mg Cu kg⁻¹; SI, Figure S2), *BD* is the soil bulk density (https://soilgrids.org/, series M_sI1, v18.4.2017; in kg m⁻³)⁴⁰ and the average topsoil thickness where Cu mainly accumulates ($h_{topsoil} = 30$ cm; SI, Table S1). The net mass export of Cu_t (*net exp.*; kg of Cu ha⁻¹) was assumed to be the sum of Cu_t exported from topsoil via runoff and leaching, and was calculated yearly following eq. 2,^{13, 14}

158 net
$$exp. = (\dot{q}_{RUSLE} + f_{sol} \times BD \times h_{topsoil}) \times Cu_{Pred}$$
 (2)

where \dot{q}_{RUSLE} is the soil loss rate (kg ha⁻¹) as defined elsewhere²¹ and f_{sol} the soluble fraction of Cu_{Pred} estimated from soil properties (both details in SI).

161

162 Scenarios of Copper-Based Fungicides Application Doses.

Four scenarios of Cu_f application doses were compared: (i) an historical scenario with 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ inferred from sold Cu_f amount before the 2002 European regulation,² and/or speculated illegal usage in some areas,⁴¹ (ii) current regulation scenarios, with either (iii) 2 or (iii) 4 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ as average and maximum doses, respectively,^{2, 42} and (iv) a scenario of Cu_f substitution, i.e., no Cu_f application or 0 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹.

For the scenario with 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and current regulation scenarios, a stepwise mass balance calculation based on a year t was established (eq. 3 and detail in SI) assuming no temporal variation of the topsoil volume and a homogeneous topsoil layer (0–30 cm),

173
$$Cu_{pred,t+1} = Cu_{pred,t} + (APP_{Cu,f} - Cu_{pred,t} \times net exp. - Cu_{input} \times f_{sol})/(BD \times h_{topsoil})$$
(3)

where Cu_{pred} is the average predictions of Cu_t (mg Cu kg⁻¹), $APP_{Cu,f}$ the yearly 174 application dose of Cu_f (kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) and f_{sol} the soluble fraction as calculated in 175 eq S3-6. The net exp. was previously calculated in eq. 2. $Cu_{pred,t+1}$ accounted for the 176 yearly Cu application dose to which the soluble fraction of $Cu_f(f_{sol})$ and the net exp. 177 were deducted. For each, pixel, the scenario was applied until Cu_{pred} at year t + 1178 179 reaches for the given pixel the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC; SI, building 180 spatial variables). In contrast, the time required to export the accumulated Cu_f via runoff 181 and associated suspended solids and reach back Cu_{bad} , i.e., assuming the existence of 182 alternatives to Cu_f, was estimated by dividing eq. 1 by eq. 2.

The uncertainty associated with each scenario was estimated by numerical propagation of uncertainties across the entire modelling framework. Briefly, each variable was associated with lower and an upper uncertainty limits accounting for the analytical or monitoring uncertainty or calculation steps, depending on the variable (SI, Table S4). All combinations of lower and upper uncertainty limits for the selected variables were then included to propagate uncertainties and estimate the lower and upper uncertainty limits associated to each scenario for each pixel independently.

191

192 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

193 **Prediction of Total Copper in Topsoil.**

194 Ten variables governing Cut in vineyard topsoil were considered (see above Materials 195 and Methods and SI, Figure S4. b). Using these climate and soil variables, the ENS 196 accurately predicted Cut (slope of 1.13, intercept of 0.01; SI, Figure S4. a), and predictions were fairly precise (averaged $R^2 = 0.61$). Comparison between ENS and CV 197 (averaged R^2 -CV = 0.58 ± 0.06) indicates no over-fitting of the ENS. For the three 198 199 machine learning technics, Cu_{pred} fit $Cu_{t, dat}$ (SD $Cu_{pred}/Cu_{t, dat} \times 100 = 13 \pm 2\%$), 200 indicating a good overall agreement of predictions between the three technics. Average uncertainty of the Cu_{pred} falls within \pm 11 mg Cu kg⁻¹ for 75% of the data (RMSE of 0.63) 201 202 \pm 0.06). The scattering observed in the predictions (SI, Figure S4) mainly concerns data above 130 mg Cu kg⁻¹, corresponding to 6.3% of the dataset only. ENS predictions 203 failed to reproduce observed Cut in the corresponding vineyard areas, which potentially 204 205 received larger historical Cu_f applications than other areas under similar climatic and 206 soil conditions. Additional model performances and limits are provided in SI.

207

208 Climate Variables rather than Soil Variables Determine Total Copper in Topsoil.

209 Climate variables (i.e., rainfall and aridity index) mainly determined Cu_{pred} at the 210 European scale, possibly by controlling physicochemical processes, including Cu 211 leaching and the redox state of soil. Hydro-climatic conditions likely determined regional 212 Cu application practices as 'Downy Mildew's' pressure increases in more humid and

warmer areas in early summer.^{30,31} In contrast, soil physicochemical properties (i.e., pH) 213 214 predominantly governed Cut on the local scale and under homogeneous climatic 215 conditions. The averaged relative importance (ARI) from the ENS and the sensitivity 216 analyses confirmed the relationship between climate variables and Cupred. Cupred correlated positively with both the annual rainfall (rain; $R^2 = 0.71$) and the aridity index 217 (AI; potential evapotranspiration divided by rainfall; $R^2 = 0.79$), while the combined 218 219 effect of rainfall and AI accounted for 32.6% of the Cupred ARI (rainfall = 17.4 ± 0.9%, AI 220 = 15.2 ± 1.3%; SI, Figure S4. b).

221 Although rainfall was negatively correlated with AI, both variables were positively 222 correlated to Cupred, suggesting that two different mechanisms control Cut in vineyard 223 soil. First, rainfall could increase temporally soil water content and reduce oxygen diffusion in water, leading to reducing soil conditions.⁴³ Under anoxic conditions, 224 biological activity may decrease Cu mobility by precipitating soluble Cu(II) to Cu(I),^{44,45} 225 which is strongly complexed and stabilized with reduced organic sulfur.⁴⁶ Additionally, 226 227 depending on the solutes present in soil, e.g., carbonate, sulphide, biotic and abiotic processes may form minerals and further reduce the Cu_t mobility.^{33, 47, 48} 228

In contrast, higher AI leads to drier soil,⁴⁹ which facilitates the formation of soil macropores favoring oxygen transfer.⁵⁰ As a result, Cu(I) may partly re-oxidize into mobile Cu(II) in oxic soil. However, an opposite trend is observed as AI may indirectly increase the Cu-binding affinity of soil organic matter (ARI of $13.1 \pm 0.1\%$). Indeed, the oxidation of organic matter under oxic conditions increases the contribution of carboxylic and hydroxylic groups as preferential binding partners for Cu in organic matter.⁵¹ In

addition, pH (ARI of 7.5 \pm 1.3%) directly controls the deprotonation of sorption sites on soil constituent. Hence, higher pH increases available sorption sites for Cu binding.¹⁹

Distribution of the Total Copper in Topsoil Across European Vineyards.

Cu_{pred} in European vineyards were successfully made as the datasets covered the range of predictive variables within the domain of prediction. The ENS also displays good model transferability in space evaluated on four equivalent geographical subdomains (average $R^2 = 0.84 \pm 0.07$, details in SI). This suggests a homogenous influence of predictive variables within the European vineyards. Overall, Cu_{pred} for vineyards is 25.4 mg Cu kg⁻¹ which is 30.5% higher than Cu_{bgd} including geogenic and atmospheric non-agricultural anthropogenic Cu (Figure 1. a–b).



247

Figure 1. Distribution of the total copper content in vineyard topsoil (Cu_t), accumulation and net export of Cu in European Vineyards. (a) Observed background Cu_t. (b) Predicted Cu_t (Cu_{pred}). (c) Estimated net accumulation of Cu_t. (d) Estimated net export of Cu_t. Dark grey: Area of the European Corine Land Cover (CLC). Pixels depict average predictive values at a spatial resolution of 20 km². Net accumulation was not computed for Turkey due to absence of background data. Overall, Cu_{pred} were higher for vineyards

in the alpine regions (Figure 1. b; average = 57 mg Cu kg⁻¹) than in other European biogeographic regions (SI, Table S5).

256

The threshold of contamination (>100 mg Cu kg⁻¹)⁵ was reached for 2% of Cu_{pred} 257 258 within the prediction domain. Contaminated areas were mainly located in central 259 Western Europe, i.e., northern Italy, eastern France, Switzerland and Slovenia. In those regions, C_{org} is medium (up to 14%) while $Cu_{pred} > 100 \text{ mg Cu kg}^{-1}$ accounted for 70% of 260 vineyard soil.⁵² Data points above the contamination threshold in those regions are 261 characterized by high AI, soil moisture and annual rainfall, although only Cora was 262 263 significantly higher (>9.0%) than in other regions of southern Europe (t-test; p-value 264 <0.01). This suggests that higher annual rainfall correlates with higher temperature in 265 central Western Europe. This may favor 'Downy Mildew' emergence and concomitant 266 use of higher doses and more frequent applications of Cu_f. In central Western Europe, soil with higher Corg may influence the Cupred predominantly and locally, although Corg 267 268 accounted in total for 13.1% ARI of the Cupred.

269

270 Accumulation and Export of Copper in Topsoil.

The estimated average net accumulation and net export of Cu in topsoil in European vineyards are 24.8 (SD = 42.7) and 0.29 kg Cu ha⁻¹ (SD = 0.64), respectively (Figure 1 c-d). This corresponds to 112,400 tons of Cu accumulated over time, and a yearly Cu export from vineyards of 1,466 tons across Europe. The distribution of Cu net export fitted with that of Cu_{pred} (R² = 0.65). On average, predicted loss rate of vineyard soil (9.47 tons ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) is 3.5 times greater than any other European arable land due to 277 low vegetation and sloping area in vineyards. Consequently, net Cu export is 278 particularly large in the Southern Alps due to intense soil erosion. Large soil loss is 279 associated to higher slope (>25°) and greater rainfall amounts (>1,600 mm year⁻¹) in 280 the Southern Alps than in other vineyard areas.²¹

281

282 **Potential Future Copper Accumulation in European Vineyards.**

283 Overall, the proportion of European vineyard area exceeding PNEC values (i.e., ranged from 30 to 290 mg Cu kg⁻¹ according to soil properties calculate elsewhere,^{53, 54} 284 285 details in SI) may largely vary in the future depending on the application dose of Cu_f (Figure 2). Cu accumulation due to Cu_f application doses of 2, 4 and 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ vear⁻¹ 286 287 may be balanced with Cu export after 1,200, 750 and 150 years, with 75, 89, 97% of 288 European vineyards areas exceeding PNEC values, respectively. Assuming constant 289 soil properties, we speculate that the current European regulation with a maximum application of 4 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ will increase by only 2% the proportion of vineyard 290 291 areas exceeding PNEC in the next 100 years.

In contrast, 94% of vineyard areas may exceed PNEC in the historical application scenario of 8 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. With an application of 2 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, vineyards areas exceeding PNEC values may increase by less than 0.5% for the next 100 years. In contrast, a substitution of Cu_f (0 kg Cu ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) may decrease the proportion of vineyard areas exceeding the background Cu_{bgd} from 48 to 38% after 100 years. Such long-term indicative estimation for different Cu application dose provides critical temporal trend to support the reduction of Cu application in vineyard.



Figure 2. Proportion of European vineyards area exceeding predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) values of total Cu in topsoil (scenarios with Cu_f application dose of 2, 4, 8 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) or equal to or lower than the background (bgd) Cu content (scenario with no Cu_f application). Predictions account for the balance between yearly Cu_f application at a given application dose and Cu export over time. Dashed lines indicate the upper and lower uncertainties of predictions.

306

Our back-envelope is a first attempt to evaluate Cu accumulation and export at the European scale and the proposed mass balance approach may lead to inaccurate estimations of Cu_t export. For instance, freshly applied Cu_f is a soluble Cu pool that can be directly exchanged with the labile cation present in vineyard soil following Cu_f

311 application. Depending on the time following Cu_f application, Cu_f may be partly mobilized during rainfall-runoff events¹⁴ and thus bias estimations of Cu_t in soil. Since rainfall 312 313 erosivity is predicted to increase by 18% in Europe over time, Cuf export may also increase.⁵⁵ In addition, estimations using the semi empirical model account for Cu aging 314 315 in contaminated soil and rely on realistic desorption experiments, which may underestimate Cu_f export.¹² However, Cu_f accumulation over time may be also 316 317 underestimated. Climate change models predict an average of 10% increase of soil organic matter content by 2100 in regions of European vineyards,⁵⁶ which may in turn 318 319 reduce Cu_t mobility and increase the amount of Cu accumulated in the vineyard soil.

320

321 Implications for Winegrowing Practices.

322 Cu is an element that cannot be degraded in soil and soil remediation processes, such as phyto-extraction⁵⁷ or enhanced mobilization by plants,⁵⁸ have limited 323 efficiencies. The most efficient strategies to limit the increase of Cut is thus to reduce 324 325 application doses of Cu_f. Reducing strategies consist in applying Cu_f during long humid periods only⁴² and in reducing preventively the use of Cu_f. Forecast models of 326 grapevine 'Downy Mildew'59 relying on climate variables can help to improve the 327 328 efficiency of Cu_f reduction strategies. Alternatives to Cu_f include replacing current grape varieties with new grape varieties with a higher resistance to fungus pests,⁶⁰ using 329 microorganisms for biocontrol,⁶¹ introducing plant defense stimulators⁶² and/or applying 330 plant extracts to substitute Cu_f.⁶³ Alternative scenarios without Cu_f are still debated, but 331 332 are currently used in some Biodynamic approaches accounting for only ca. 1% of vineyards worldwide (http://www.demeter.net). Additional benefits of biodynamic wine 333

growing include the higher soil biodiversity and microbiological activity¹⁰ and potentially
 greater resilience to climatic and pathogenic threats in vineyards⁶⁴ as opposed to most
 conventional wine growing.⁶⁵

337 Cu export is mainly driven by soil erosion with concomitant loss of solid-bound Cu, 338 which should be prevented and lessened in regions prone to soil loss to reduce 339 contamination of connected aquatic ecosystems. In fact, 10% of the vineyards are located close to a river (<500 m)⁶⁶ and the associated catchment may act as a point 340 341 source of contamination. The transport of solid-bound Cu into aquatic ecosystems may 342 also affect the downstream areas due to the progressive release of toxic Cu(II) resulting 343 from a change in pH and/or redox conditions. Anti-erosion soil management strategies 344 should thus be prioritized and designed to retain Cu on the vineyard plots. In particular, 345 traditional soil management strategies, including grass or mulch cover, reduced tillage, 346 contour planting and terraced vineyards are most effective in limiting soil erosion and associated Cu runoff.³⁵ Complementarily, stormwater wetlands may be deployed at the 347 348 outlet of vineyard catchments. Stormwater wetlands have the potential to retain >68% of 349 the dissolved Cu and >92% of the solid-bound Cu, the principal contributions to Cu export in runoff.¹⁴ 350

351

352 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

353 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: XXX/XXXXX.

Texts, tables, and figures provide detailed methods (total copper in the topsoil dataset, spatial variable building, data pre-processing, variable selection, machine learning building, performance and evaluation, scenario of copper-based fungicide application) and supporting results and discussion (model performance, regional prediction, limit of the approach).

361

362 AUTHOR INFORMATION

363 * Corresponding author. e-mail: bodroz@bluewin.ch; imfeld@unistra.fr phone: + 33 3

364 **6885 0474**

- 365 ORCID
- 366 Boris Droz: 0000-0002-3942-704X
- 367 Sylvain Payraudeau: 0000-0003-2546-7857
- 368 José Antonio Rodríguez Martín: 0000-0002-9158-9564
- 369 Gergely Tóth: 0000-0002-4201-1678
- 370 Panos Panagos: 0000-0003-1484-2738
- 371 Luca Montanarella: 0000-0002-4176-3878
- 372 Pasquale Borrelli: 0000-0002-4767-5115
- 373 Gwenaël Imfeld: 0000-0002-7054-4666
- 374
- 375 Author contributions
- B.D., S.P. and G.I. designed research, B.D. performed research; S.P., J.A., R.M., G.T.,
- 377 P.P., L. M. and P.B. contributed to the acquisition of data/soil samples; B.D. and S.P.

analyzed data; and B.D., S.P. and G.I. wrote the manuscript with critical review from all
authors. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

380

381 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

382 Boris Droz was supported by a fellowship of the Region Grand Est and the Rhine-383 Meuse Water Agency (AERM). Pasquale Borrelli was funded by the EcoSSSoil Project, 384 Korea Environmental Industry & Technology Institute (KEITI), Korea (Grant No. 385 2019002820004). We acknowledge the copper dataset owners for sharing their data: 386 Holger Tülp (Mosel, Germany), Denis Rusjan (Slovenia), Maria Concepcion Ramos 387 (Spain), Nikolai Dinev (Bulgaria), several Swiss state environmental agencies (Schaffhausen, Neuchâtel, Genève, Tecino, Aargau, Valais), Central Institute for 388 Supervising and Testing in Agriculture (ÚKZÚZ, Czech Republic), Bundesanstalt für 389 390 Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe (Germany), le Réseau de Mesures de la Qualité des 391 Sols (RMQS) de l'Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et 392 l'Environnement (INRAE, France). We acknowledge Koens Oorts to sharing the 393 toxicological Model. The LUCAS topsoil dataset, CORINE land cover and Copernic data 394 were funded by the European Environment Agency (EEA). MODIS data was supported 395 by the NASA EOSDIS Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC). 396 USGS/Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS). We acknowledge Dimitri 397 Rambourg, Raphaël di Chiara, Marwan Fahs, Gerhard Schäfer and Benoit Masson-398 Bedeau for enable computing time. We gratefully acknowledge Margaret Johnson for

enabling significant improvement of the manuscript. Finally, we thank the twoanonymous reviewers and Guillaume Drouin for useful comments.

401 REFERENCES

- 402 1. Borkow, G.; Gabbay, J., Copper, an ancient remedy returning to fight microbial,
 403 fungal and viral infections. *Curr. Chem. Biol.* **2009**, *3*, (3), 272–278.
- 2. Commission Implementing regulation (EU), 2018/1981 renewing the approval of
 the active substances copper compounds, as candidates for substitution, in
 accordance with regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the european parliament and
 of the council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market,
 and amending the annex to commission implementing regulation (EU) No
 540/2011. *OJEU* 2018, *61*, 16–20.
- 410 3. Lamichhane, J. R.; Osdaghi, E.; Behlau, F.; Köhl, J.; Jones, J. B.; Aubertot, J.-N.,
 411 Thirteen decades of antimicrobial copper compounds applied in agriculture. A
 412 review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **2018**, *38*, (3), 28–45.
- 4. Ballabio, C.; Panagos, P.; Lugato, E.; Huang, J.-H.; Orgiazzi, A.; Jones, A.;
 Fernández-Ugalde, O.; Borrelli, P.; Montanarella, L., Copper distribution in
 European topsoils: An assessment based on LUCAS soil survey. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 636, 282–298.
- 417 5. Ministry of the Environment Finland Government decree on the assessment of
 418 soil contamination and remediation needs; Finland, 2007.
- 419
 6. SCHER Voluntary risk assessment report on copper and its compounds,
 420 environmental part; 2009.

- 421 <u>http://echa.europa.eu/chem_data/transit_measures/vrar_en.asp</u> (14th January
 422 2021).
- 423 7. OIV World vitiviniculture situation, OIV statistical report on world vitiviniculture;
 424 Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin: Paris, France, 2016; p 16.
- 425 8. Nadin, P.; Eurostat, *The use of plant protection products in the European Union*426 *data 1992–2003.* Office for Official Publications of the European Communities:
 427 Luxembourg, 2007.
- 428 9. Flemming, C. A.; Trevors, J. T., Copper toxicity and chemistry in the 429 environment: a review. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* **1989**, *44*, (1), 143–158.
- 430 10. Maeder, P.; Fliessbach, A.; Dubois, D.; Gunst, L.; Fried, P.; Niggli, U., Soil fertility
 431 and biodiversity in organic farming. *Science* 2002, *296*, (5573), 1694–1697.
- 432 11. Hendgen, M.; Hoppe, B.; Döring, J.; Friedel, M.; Kauer, R.; Frisch, M.; Dahl, A.;
- Kellner, H., Effects of different management regimes on microbial biodiversity in
 vineyard soils. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, (1), 9393–9406.
- 435 12. McBride, M.; Sauve, S.; Hendershot, W., Solubility control of Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb
 436 in contaminated soils. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **1997**, *48*, (2), 337–346.
- 437 13. Xue, H.; Sigg, L.; Gächter, R., Transport of Cu, Zn and Cd in a small agricultural
 438 catchment. *Water Res.* 2000, 34, (9), 2558–2568.
- 439 14. Babcsányi, I.; Imfeld, G.; Granet, M.; Chabaux, F., Copper stable isotopes to
 440 trace copper behavior in wetland systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48, (10),
 441 5520–5529.

442	15. Roussiez, V.; Probst, A.; Probst, JL., Significance of floods in metal dynamics
443	and export in a small agricultural catchment. J. Hydrol. 2013, 499, 71–81.

- 16. Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Egli, T.; Hofstetter, T. B.; von Gunten, U.; Wehrli, B.,
 Global water pollution and human health. *Annu. Rev. Env. Resour.* 2010, *35*,
 109–136.
- 447 17. Strawn, D. G.; Baker, L. L., Speciation of Cu in a contaminated agricultural soil
 448 measured by XAFS, mu-XAFS, and mu-XRF. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, *42*,
 449 (1), 37–42.
- 450 18. Bradl, H. B., Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents. *J.*451 *Colloid Interface Sci.* 2004, 277, (1), 1–18.
- 452 19. Sposito, G., *The chemistry of soils*. second ed.; Oxford University Press: 2008.
- 20. Silver, W. L.; Lugo, A. E.; Keller, M., Soil oxygen availability and biogeochemistry
 along rainfall and topographic gradients in upland wet tropical forest soils. *Biogeochemistry* 1999, 44, (3), 301–328.
- 456 21. Borrelli, P.; Robinson, D. A.; Fleischer, L. R.; Lugato, E.; Ballabio, C.; Alewell, C.;
 457 Meusburger, K.; Modugno, S.; Schütt, B.; Ferro, V.; Bagarello, V.; Oost, K. V.;
 458 Montanarella, L.; Panagos, P., An assessment of the global impact of 21st
 459 century land use change on soil erosion. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, (1), 2013.
- 22. Reimann, C.; de Caritat, P., New soil composition data for Europe and Australia:
 Demonstrating comparability, identifying continental-scale processes and

- 462 learning lessons for global geochemical mapping. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2012, *416*,
 463 239–252.
- 464 23. Ander, E. L.; Johnson, C. C.; Cave, M. R.; Palumbo-Roe, B.; Nathanail, C. P.;
 465 Lark, R. M., Methodology for the determination of normal background
 466 concentrations of contaminants in English soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2013, 454–
 467 455, 604–618.
- 468 24. Panagos, P.; Ballabio, C.; Lugato, E.; Jones, A.; Borrelli, P.; Scarpa, S.; Orgiazzi,
 469 A.; Montanarella, L., Potential sources of anthropogenic copper inputs to
 470 european agricultural soils. *Sustainability* 2018, *10*, 2380–2397.
- 25. Chopin, E. I. B.; Alloway, B. J., Distribution and mobility of trace elements in soils
 and vegetation around the mining and smelting areas of Tharsis, Ríotinto and
 Huelva, Iberian Pyrite Belt, SW Spain. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* 2007, 182, (1),
 245–261.
- 475 26. Wilson, B.; Pyatt, F. B., Heavy metal dispersion, persistance, and bioccumulation
 476 around an ancient copper mine situated in Anglesey, UK. *Ecotoxicol. Environ.*477 Saf. 2007, 66, (2), 224–231.
- 478 27.Orchard, V. A.; Cook, F. J., Relationship between soil respiration and soil
 479 moisture. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1983**, *15*, (4), 447–453.
- 28. Borch, T.; Kretzschmar, R.; Kappler, A.; Cappellen, P. V.; Ginder-Vogel, M.;
 Voegelin, A.; Campbell, K., Biogeochemical redox processes and their impact on
 contaminant dynamics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, (1), 15–23.

486	2011 , <i>45</i> , (17), 7249–7257.
485	investigation of copper and zinc speciation in biosolids. Environ. Sci. Technol.
484	R.; Lombi, E., X-ray absorption and micro X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy
483	29. Donner, E.; Howard, D. L.; Jonge, M. D. d.; Paterson, D.; Cheah, M. H.; Naidu,

- 30. Besnard, E.; Chenu, C.; Robert, M., Influence of organic amendments on copper
 distribution among particle-size and density fractions in Champagne vineyard
 soils. *Environ. Pollut.* 2001, *112*, (3), 329–337.
- 31. Komárek, M.; Čadková, E.; Chrastný, V.; Bordas, F.; Bollinger, J.-C.,
 Contamination of vineyard soils with fungicides: A review of environmental and
 toxicological aspects. *Environ. Int.* **2010**, *36*, (1), 138–151.
- 32. Mora, C.; Caldwell, I. R.; Caldwell, J. M.; Fisher, M. R.; Genco, B. M.; Running,
 S. W., Suitable days for plant growth disappear under projected climate change:
 potential human and biotic vulnerability. *PLoS Biol.* 2015, *13*, (6), e1002167.
- 33. Brun, L. A.; Maillet, J.; Richarte, J.; Herrmann, P.; Remy, J. C., Relationships
 between extractable copper, soil properties and copper uptake by wild plants in
 vineyard soils. *Environ. Pollut.* **1998**, *102*, (2–3), 151–161.
- 34. Miotto, A.; Ceretta, C. A.; Brunetto, G.; Nicoloso, F. T.; Girotto, E.; Farias, J. G.;
 Tiecher, T. L.; De Conti, L.; Trentin, G., Copper uptake, accumulation and
 physiological changes in adult grapevines in response to excess copper in soil. *Plant Soil* **2014**, *374*, (1), 593–610.

503	35. Durán Zuazo, V. H.; Rodríguez Pleguezuelo, C. R., Soil-erosion and runoff
504	prevention by plant covers. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2008, 28, (1), 65–86.
505	36. Schmidt, M. W. I.; Torn, M. S.; Abiven, S.; Dittmar, T.; Guggenberger, G.;
506	Janssens, I. A.; Kleber, M.; Kogel-Knabner, I.; Lehmann, J.; Manning, D. A. C.;
507	Nannipieri, P.; Rasse, D. P.; Weiner, S.; Trumbore, S. E., Persistence of soil
508	organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 2011, 478, (7367), 49–56.
509	37. Araujo, M. B.; Guisan, A., Five (or so) challenges for species distribution
510	modelling. J. Biogeogr. 2006, 33, (10), 1677–1688.
511	38. Press, W. H.; Teukolsky, S. A.; Vetterling, W. T.; Flannery, B. P., Numerical
512	recipes in C: The art of scientific computing. Cambridge University Press: 2002.
513	39. Dietterich, T. G., Ensemble methods in machine learning. In Proceedings of the
514	first international workshop on multiple classifier systems, Springer-Verlag: New
515	York, NY, 2000.
516	40. Hengl, T.; Mendes de Jesus, J.; Heuvelink, G. B. M.; Ruiperez Gonzalez, M.;
517	Kilibarda, M.; Blagotić, A.; Shangguan, W.; Wright, M. N.; Geng, X.; Bauer-
518	Marschallinger, B.; Guevara, M. A.; Vargas, R.; MacMillan, R. A.; Batjes, N. H.;
519	Leenaars, J. G. B.; Ribeiro, E.; Wheeler, I.; Mantel, S.; Kempen, B.,
520	SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine learning. PLoS
521	<i>One</i> 2017, <i>12</i> , (2), e0169748.
522	41. Mackie, K. A.; Müller, T.; Kandeler, E., Remediation of copper in vineyards – A

523 mini review. *Environ. Pollut.* **2012**, *1*67, 16–26.

524	42. Speiser, B.; Mieves, E.; Tamm, L., Utilisation de cuivre par les paysans bio
525	suisses dans différentes cultures. Rech. Agr. Suisse 2015, 6, (4), 160–165.

43. Liptzin, D.; Silver, W. L.; Detto, M., Temporal dynamics in soil oxygen and
greenhouse gases in two humid tropical forests. *Ecosystems* 2011, *14*, (2), 171–
182.

- 44. Hofacker, A. F.; Behrens, S.; Voegelin, A.; Kaegi, R.; Losekann-Behrens, T.;
 Kappler, A.; Kretzschmar, R., Clostridium species as metallic copper-forming
 bacteria in soil under reducing conditions. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 2015, 32, (2), 130–
 139.
- 45. Weber, S. D.; Hofmann, A.; Pilhofer, M.; Wanner, G.; Agerer, R.; Ludwig, W.;
 Schleifer, K. H.; Fried, J., The diversity of fungi in aerobic sewage granules
 assessed by 18S rRNA gene and ITS sequence analyses. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*2009, 68, (2), 246–254.
- 46. Fulda, B.; Voegelin, A.; Maurer, F.; Christl, I.; Kretzschmar, R., Copper redox
 transformation and complexation by reduced and oxidized soil humic acid. 1. Xray absorption spectroscopy study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, *47*, (19), 10903–
 10911.
- 47. Ponizovsky, A. A.; Allen, H. E.; Ackerman, A. J., Copper activity in soil solutions
 of calcareous soils. *Environ. Pollut.* 2007, *145*, (1), 1–6.
- 48. Pattrick, R. A. D.; Mosselmans, J. F. W.; Charnock, J. M.; England, K. E. R.;
 Helz, G. R.; Garner, C. D.; Vaughan, D. J., The structure of amorphous copper

- sulfide precipitates: An X-ray absorption study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1997,** *61*, (10), 2023–2036.
- 49. Jarvis, N. J., A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil
 macropores: principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 2007, 58, (3), 523–546.
- 550 50. Katuwal, S.; Arthur, E.; Tuller, M.; Moldrup, P.; de Jonge, L. W., Quantification of
 551 soil pore network complexity with X-ray computed tomography and gas transport
 552 measurements soil physics & hydrology. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 2015, *79*, (6),
 553 1577–1589.
- 554 51. Manceau, A.; Matynia, A., The nature of Cu bonding to natural organic matter.
 555 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2010, 74, (9), 2556–2580.
- 556 52. European commission CO-FREE: Innovative strategies for copper-free low input
 and organic farming systems; 2016. <u>https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/289497</u>
 (17 December 2019).
- 559 53. ECHA, Characterisation of dose [concentration]-response for environment. In
 Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment,
 European Chemicals Agency: Helsinki, Finland, 2008.
- 562 54. Smolders, E.; Oorts, K.; Van Sprang, P.; Schoeters, I.; Janssen, C. R.; McGrath,
 563 S. P.; McLaughlin, M. J., Toxicity of trace metals in soil as affected by soil type
 564 and aging after contamination: Using calibrated bioavailability models to set
 565 ecological soil standards. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2009**, *28*, (8), 1633–1642.

566	55. Panagos, P.; Ballabio, C.; Meusburger, K.; Spinoni, J.; Alewell, C.; Borrelli, P.,
567	Towards estimates of future rainfall erosivity in Europe based on REDES and
568	WorldClim datasets. J. Hydrol. 2017, 548, 251–262.
569	56. Gottschalk, P.; Smith, J. U.; Wattenbach, M.; Bellarby, J.; Stehfest, E.; Arnell, N.;
570	Osborn, T. J.; Jones, C.; Smith, P., How will organic carbon stocks in mineral
571	soils evolve under future climate? Global projections using RothC for a range of
572	climate change scenarios. <i>Biogeosciences</i> 2012, 9, (8), 3151–3171.
573	57. Tack, F. M. G.; Meers, E., Assisted phytoextractions helping plants to help us.
574	Elements 2010, <i>6</i> , 383–388.
575	58. Schmidt, U., Enhancing phytoextraction: The effect of chemical soil manipulation
576	on mobility, plant accumulation, and leaching of heavy metals. J. Environ. Qual.
577	2003, <i>3</i> 2, (6), 1939–1954.
578	59. Thomas, C. S.; Gubler, W. D.; Leavitt, G., Field testing of a powdery mildew
579	disease forecast model on grapes in California Phytopathology 1994, 84, 1070.
580	60. Pedneault, K.; Provost, C., Fungus resistant grape varieties as a suitable
581	alternative for organic wine production: Benefits, limits, and challenges. Sci.
582	Hortic. 2016, 208, 57–77.
583	61. Ongena, M.; Jacques, P., Bacillus lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant
584	disease biocontrol. Trends Microbiol. 2008, 16, (3), 115–125.

585	62. Harm, A.; Kassemeyer, HH.; Seibicke, T.; Regner, F., Evaluation of chemical
586	and natural resistance inducers against Downy Mildew (Plasmopara viticola) in
587	grapevine. <i>Am. J. Enol. Viticult.</i> 2011, <i>6</i> 2, (2), 184–192.

- 63. Abdu-Allah, G. A. M.; Abo-Elyousr, K. A. M., Effect of certain plant extracts and
 fungicides against powdery mildew disease of grapevines in upper Egypt. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protect.* **2017**, *50*, (19–20), 957–969.
- 64. Soustre-Gacougnolle, I.; Lollier, M.; Schmitt, C.; Perrin, M.; Buvens, E.;
 Lallemand, J. F.; Mermet, M.; Henaux, M.; Thibault-Carpentier, C.; Dembele, D.;
 Steyer, D.; Clayeux, C.; Moneyron, A.; Masson, J. E., Responses to climatic and
 pathogen threats differ in biodynamic and conventional vines. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*,
 16857–16871.
- 65. Karimi, B.; Cahurel, J.-Y.; Gontier, L.; Charlier, L.; Chovelon, M.; Mahé, H.;
 Ranjard, L., A meta-analysis of the ecotoxicological impact of viticultural
 practices on soil biodiversity. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *18*, (6), 1947–1966.
- 66. Andreadis, K. M.; Schumann, G. J.-P.; Pavelsky, T., A simple global river bankfull
 width and depth database. *Water Resour. Res.* 2013, *49*, (10), 7164–7168.
- 601

602 FOR TOC ONLY

