



HAL
open science

**Synthesis of (R,R) and (S,S)
bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione interactions between
non-conjugated chromophores**

Joelle Pérard-Viret, André Rassat

► **To cite this version:**

Joelle Pérard-Viret, André Rassat. Synthesis of (R,R) and (S,S) bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione interactions between non-conjugated chromophores. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1994, 5 (1), pp.1-4. 10.1016/S0957-4166(00)80469-3 . hal-03376775

HAL Id: hal-03376775

<https://hal.science/hal-03376775>

Submitted on 13 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Synthesis of (R,R) and (S,S) Bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione Interactions Between Non-Conjugated Chromophores


Joëlle Pérard-Viret, André Rassat*

URA CNRS 1679, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Département de chimie
24 rue Lhomond 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France.

Abstract : Optically pure bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione is easily obtained with a yield of 4% for each enantiomer from 1,5-cyclooctadiene in 5 steps, by resolution of the intermediate diol with menthylxyacetic acid. Its CD is 60 % larger than the CD of a corresponding monoketone indicating interactions between non-conjugated chromophores.

Interactions between non conjugated chromophores have been characterized in bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione **1** by ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)¹. Similar interactions have also been observed by chiroptical methods, optical rotatory dispersion (ORD)² and circular dichroism (CD)³, when the Cotton effect ($\Delta\epsilon$ in CD or amplitude a in ORD) is larger than the sum of the Cotton effects of the corresponding monoketonic moieties², the UV spectrum being only weakly perturbed. Since diketone **1** has C_2 symmetry, it may be expected that the previously reported interactions could also be observed by chiroptical methods.


Optically active diketone **1** and monoketonic derivatives **2** have been prepared⁴⁻⁶ in various degrees of optical purity and their absolute configuration established by chemical correlation⁷, NMR⁶ and CD⁵.




[(1*S*,5*S*) **1**: 88% ee, $[\alpha]_D$ (corr. for 100% ee) = +401 ($c=2$, EtOH)⁴; (1*R*,5*R*) **2a**: 100% ee, $[\alpha]_D = -105$ ($c = 3$, CHCl_3)⁵; 76% ee, $[\alpha]_D$ corr. = -87 ($c=3$, CHCl_3)⁴; (1*S*,2*R*,5*S*) **2b**: 99% ee, $[\alpha]_D = +103$ ($c=1.4$, CHCl_3)⁶].

Their ORD data have been published^{3,4}. As shown in figure 1, the ORD of diketone **1** (1*S*,5*S*)⁴ (corrected for 100% optical purity) is larger than twice the ORD of monoketone **2a** (1*R*,5*R*) (optically pure or corrected to 100% optical purity). Unfortunately, this data does not permit the determination of the corresponding amplitude a .

Figure 1 : Optical rotatory dispersion of
1 (EtOH) : ○—○—○ (ref. 3)
2a (CDCl_3) : ●—●—● (ref. 3)
2a (CHCl_3) : △—△—△ (ref. 4)
 (corrected for 100% optical purity)




Since enantiomerically pure diketone **1** could be a useful synthon⁸⁻¹¹, we thought it would be worthwhile to develop an expedient chemical way to obtain both enantiomers. This could allow us to measure its CD and compare it to the CD of monoketonic derivatives. A combination of several reported procedures was chosen^{10,12,13} (alternatively **1** is accessible via another synthesis¹⁴):



Thus, 1,5-cyclooctadiene **3** (6.6g) was treated for 36 hours with catalytic amounts of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (400mg, 0.02 eq) in the presence of 1M $\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_4$ (39g) in acetic acid at room temperature. The main product was the *diendo* diastereoisomer **4a** (8.5g 63%, colourless crystals, from pentane, mp 40°C, lit⁹ 36°C). Saponification of **4a** (1.43g in 5 ml of MeOH) (KOH:MeOH 1.33M (4 ml), 45min 0°C, then 30min 20°C) gave 0.8g of the *diendo* diol **4b** (90%, colourless oil)¹¹, 0.85g oxidized to dione **1** (0.6g, 70%) (Jones reagent (2.7M), acetone (20ml), 1h 0°C, 15h rt) (mp 45°C, lit^{12,45-46} 46°C). The use of palladium acetate suppresses the formation of 6-*exo*-chloro-2-*endo*-acetoxy[3.3.0] octane, a by-product of the palladium chloride catalysed reaction¹⁵.

Attempts to resolve directly the dione by inclusion in β -cyclodextrin, in 1,6-*bis*-(2-chlorophenyl)-1,6-diphenyl-2,4-hexadiyne-1,6-diol, or via covalent derivatives with dimethyl tartrate or (S)-1-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)pyrrolidine (SAMP) failed. The resolution was done on diol **4b**. Esterification of **4b** (1.7g) with (-) menthylxyacetic acid (5.5g) (DCC (5.8g)/DMAP (0.3g), diethyl ether, 2h 0°C, 15h rt) (scheme 1) gave a mixture of the two diastereoisomers **5A** and **5B** (5g, 78%, after chromatography on SiO_2 , pentane/ether (8:2)). After two recrystallisations in pentane, an optically pure product¹⁶ **5A** (15%) was obtained. Its optical purity (99%) was confirmed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)¹⁷. Saponification of **5A** (0.35g in 2ml MeOH) (KOH/MeOH (0.34 M, 3ml), 90%), gives (1S,2R,5S,6R) **4b** (85mg), $[\alpha]_D = -39$ (c=0.5, CHCl_3), lit⁴: $[\alpha]_D = -48$ (c=2, CHCl_3); vapor phase chromatography of its corresponding *o*-acetylacetyl diester¹⁸ shows an enantiomeric excess greater than 99%. **4b** was oxidized as previously to afford the dione (1S,5S) **1** (50-70%, colourless needles, mp 43°C; $[\alpha]_D = +394$ (c=0.25, EtOH), $[\alpha]_D = +474$ (c=0.05, CH_2Cl_2), lit⁴ $[\alpha]_D = +401$ (c=2, EtOH)). (+) Menthylxyacetic acid gave the dione (1R,5R) **1**, $[\alpha]_D = -457$ (c=1, CH_2Cl_2).


Scheme 1



Monoketonealcohol **2b** (*endo*-2-hydroxy-6-oxobicyclo[3.3.0]octane) was prepared by enzymatic reduction¹⁹ (7 days, rt) with horse liver alcohol dehydrogenase (HLADH) (12mg, 2U/mg) of **1** (40mg), 11mg were obtained (30%, colourless oil²⁰, $[\alpha]_D = +113$ (c = 0.35, CHCl_3), lit⁶ for (1S,2R,5S) **2b**:

$[\alpha]_D = +103$ ($c = 1.38$, CHCl_3). It has a $\Delta\epsilon = 1.4 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ in CH_2Cl_2 . Figure 2 shows the octant diagram of (1S,2R,5S) **2b** obtained after energy minimization²¹: this leads to a positive Cotton effect in full agreement with experiments.

Figure 2 :
Octant diagram of **2b**



We are now in a position to compare the circular dichroism of diketone **1** and its monoketonic derivative **2b**. The UV absorption of **1** (fig. 3) shows a hypsochromic shift relative to **2b** from 302 nm to 292 nm ($\Delta\nu = 1134 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), ϵ of the diketone **1** being almost exactly twice that of the monoketone **2b**. Its CD is much larger than twice the CD of **2b** (fig. 4). Without any interaction between




Figure 3 : UV spectrum of **2b** : - - - -
and of **1** : ——— in CH_2Cl_2 ,
(molar concentration of **2b** is twice that of **1**)
(ϵ of **1** = 46 ; ϵ of **2b** = 23)




Figure 4 : Circular Dichroism of
monoketone **2b**, diketone **1** in CH_2Cl_2

chromophores, we could expect a $\Delta\epsilon$ of $2.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for (1S,5S) **1** in CH_2Cl_2 . The measured value ($\Delta\epsilon = 4.671 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is larger by 60%. This large increase can be taken as a manifestation in the CD of the effect previously detected in **1** by ^{13}C NMR¹. The 1134 cm^{-1} shift in the UV absorption may be taken as the order of magnitude of the splittings of the n and π^* levels²² in diketone **1**. It is probable that a quantitative treatment of these interactions should also take into account the C_2 symmetry of **1**.

Acknowledgments : We thank Liliane Leroy (Univ. PARIS 6) for circular dichroism measurements, and Claudine Fouquey (Collège de France) for DSC measurements.

References and notes

1. T. Doerner, R. Gleiter, T.A. Robbins, P. Chayangkoon, D.A. Lightner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 3235. In fact the NMR effect is much larger than reported : instead of $\Delta\delta = -0.6$ reported between the C_7/C_6 chemical shifts, we found $\Delta\delta = -2.9$, as in J.K. Whitesell, R.S. Matthews, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1977, **42**, 3878.
2. C. Djerassi, "Optical Rotatory Dispersion", Mc Graw-Hill, 1960, p71.
3. T.A. Robbins, V.V. Toan, J.W. Givens, III, D.A. Lightner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 10799.
4. M.A. Djadchenko, V.I. Mel'nikova, K.K. Pivnitsky, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1986, **56**, 2143.
5. J.K. Whitesell, M.A. Minton, S.W. Felman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 2193.
6. Z.F. Xie, H. Suemune, K. Sakai, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 1990, 1,395.
7. H. Kuritani, Y. Takaska, K. Shingu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1979, **44**, 452.
8. A.A. Hagedorn III, D.G. Farnum, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1977, **42**, 3765; S.Wawzonek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1943, **65**, 839; C.T. Blood, R.P. Linstead, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1952, 2255; D.G. Farnum, A.A. Hagedorn III, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 3987; Y Tobe, K. Terashima, Y. Sakai, Y Odaira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 230715; M. Tanaka, H. Suemune, K. Sakai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **29**, 1988, 1733.
9. M.A. Mc Kervey, P. Vibuljan, G. Ferguson, P.Y. Siew, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1981, 912.
10. K. Yu. Chernyuk, V.I. Mel'nikova, K.K. Pionitsky, *Zh. Org. khim.*, 1982, **18**, 577.
11. M.A. Djadchenko, K.K. Pivnitsky, F. Theil, H. Schick, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I*, 1989, 2001.
12. R.N. Moriarty, M.P. Duncan, R.K. Vaid, O. Prakash, *Org. Synth.*, 1990, **68**, 175.
13. P.M. Henry, M. Davies, G. Ferguson, S. Phillips, R. Restivo, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1974, 112.
14. L. Ruzicka, A. Borges de Almeida, A. Brack, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1934, **17**, 183; H. Quast, R. Janiah, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1991, 1305; T.S. Cantrell, B.L. Strasser, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1971, **36**, 670; M. Julia, E. Colomer, *An. Quim.*, 1971, **67**, 199; P.H. Mc Cabe, C.R. Nelson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1978, 2819; J.K. Crandall, C.F. Mayer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 4374.
15. S.K. Chung, A.I. Scott, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 49.
16. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250MHz, δ ppm) : 0.8 (m, d, $J=7\text{Hz}$; 8H), 0.9 (m, dd, 16H), 1.3 (m, 4H), 1.6 (m, 8H), 1.75(m, 4H), 2.05 (m, 2H), 2.3 (m, 2H), 2.75(m, 2H), 3.15 (m, 2H), 4.1(dd AB $J=16\text{Hz}$), 5.18 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 62.9MHz, δ ppm) : 16.22(q), 20.09 (q), 22.2 (q), 22.7 (t), 23.2 (t), 25.4 (d), 31.4 (d), 32.1 (t), 34.3 (t), 39.9 (t), 44.6 (d), 48 (d), 66 (t), 77.4 (d), 80.2 (d), 170.4 (s); $F=84.24^\circ\text{C}$ (DSC); $[\alpha]_D = -129.7$ ($c=0.15$, CH_2Cl_2)
17. J. Jacques, A. Collet, S.H. Wilen, "Enantiomers, Racemates and Resolutions", J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981, p151.
18. A. Mosandl, M. Gessner, C. Günther, W. Deger, G. Singer, *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr. Chromatogr. Commun.*, 1987, **10**, 67.
19. D.R. Dodds, J.B. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 577.
20. ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 62.9MHz, δ ppm) : 20.05 (t), 25.15 (t), 35.09 (t), 38.40 (t), 45.73 (d), 50.44 (d), 75.64 (d), 223.69 (s)
21. Quanta 3.3, Silicon Graphics IRIS workstation, Charm, minimization method : Adopted-Basis Newton Raphson.
22. H.D. Martin, B. Mayer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1983, **22**, 283.