

Transposable Elements and the Evolution of Insects

Clément Gilbert, Jean Peccoud, Richard Cordaux

▶ To cite this version:

Clément Gilbert, Jean Peccoud, Richard Cordaux. Transposable Elements and the Evolution of Insects. Annual Review of Entomology, 2021, 66 (1), pp.355-372. 10.1146/annurev-ento-070720-074650. hal-03376520

HAL Id: hal-03376520 https://hal.science/hal-03376520v1

Submitted on 15 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Transposable elements and the evolution of insects

- 2 Clément Gilbert^{1*}, Jean Peccoud², Richard Cordaux²
- 3

4 ¹ Laboratoire Evolution, Génomes, Comportement, Écologie, Unité Mixte de Recherche 9191 Centre 5 National de la Recherche Scientifique and Unité Mixte de Recherche 247 Institut de Recherche pour 6 le Développement, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France 7 ² Laboratoire Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, Equipe Ecologie Evolution Symbiose, Unité Mixte 8 de Recherche 7267 Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, 9 France 10 11 *Corresponding author : clement.gilbert@egce.cnrs-gif.fr 12 13 14 Abstract

Insects are major contributors to our understanding of the interaction between transposable 15 16 elements (TEs) and their hosts, owing to seminal discoveries, as well as to the growing number of 17 sequenced insect genomes, population genomics and functional studies. Insect TE landscapes are 18 highly variable both within and across insect orders, although phylogenetic relatedness appears to 19 correlate with similarity in insect TE content. This correlation is unlikely to be solely due to 20 inheritance of TEs from shared ancestors and may partly reflect preferential horizontal transfer of 21 TEs between closely related species. The influence of insect traits on TE landscapes remains however 22 unclear. Recent findings indicate that in addition to being involved in insect adaptations and aging, 23 TEs are seemingly at the cornerstone of insect antiviral immunity. Thus, TEs are emerging as essential 24 insect symbionts, which may have deleterious or beneficial consequences on their hosts depending 25 on context.

26

27 Keywords

28 Transposable elements, adaptation, antiviral immunity, aging, evolution, horizontal transfer

29 Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are pieces of DNA able to move from one genomic locus to another, often duplicating themselves in the process (13). The large diversity of TE types is classified in two classes: retrotransposons (Class-I TEs), which move through a replicative process involving reverse transcription of the TE mRNA, and DNA transposons (Class-II TEs), which mobilize through replicative or non-replicative transposition of DNA copies (24, 144). TEs are found in the genomes of virtually all organisms, often in very large amounts. The structure of TEs, their mobility and repeated nature have profoundly shaped the evolution of their hosts (22, 39, 63, 123).

37 TEs were discovered in the 1940s by Barbara McClintock, who showed that some genetic elements 38 could move in the maize genome. This activity would induce chromosome breaks and sometimes 39 modify the expression of neighboring genes with visible changes in maize kernel color (92). These 40 initial studies have been mostly ignored for over three decades until they were finally rewarded by 41 the Nobel prize of Physiology or Medicine (in 1983). TEs then became increasingly considered as 42 important drivers of evolution, notably owing to major discoveries made in insects, mainly in 43 Drosophila flies (8). Examples include the hybrid dysgenesis phenomenon, whereby F1 hybrids 44 between males harboring P elements (class-II TEs) and females lacking them showed several defects such as gonadal atrophy and sterility (17, 70, 72). The molecular underpinnings of hybrid dysgenesis 45 were only deciphered in 2008 through the discovery of the PIWI-associated RNAs (piRNAs)-mediated 46 47 control of TEs (15). In the meantime, molecular cloning and extensive studies of the P element led to 48 the development of this TE as one of the most widely used germline transformation tools in 49 Drosophila (87, 125). Other TE-based transformation systems were developed for non-Drosophila 50 species, including the one using Piggybac, a TE from the cabbage looper moth (Trichoplusia ni) that 51 was discovered because of its ability to jump into a baculovirus (41, 56).

52 Surveys of the distribution of *P* elements in wild and laboratory strains of various *Drosophila* species 53 also revealed that horizontal transfer (HT) of genetic material (here TEs) was not restricted to 54 bacteria but that it could occur in eukaryotes (27, 45). Other landmark TE discoveries made in 55 Drosophila include the so-called P neogenes derived from stationary P element copies, which led to 56 the realization that TE protein domains can be co-opted to fulfill cellular functions (95, 102, 108). 57 Perhaps even more surprising, the finding that the classical telomeres had been replaced in Drosophila flies with HetA/TART/TAHRE retrotransposons, which only transpose at chromosome 58 59 ends, revealed that bona fide TEs could be beneficial to their host (9, 101). Finally, seminal studies 60 mapped the genetic basis of insecticide resistance in the tobacco budworm (Heliothis virescens) and D. melanogaster to TE-driven mutations, which contributed to set TEs as powerful facilitators of
 genome evolution and host adaptation (26, 43, 98, 116).

These case-studies along with more recent multi-species, genome-wide surveys allow painting a general picture of the composition, evolution and potential roles of TEs in insects, which is the topic of this review. We first expose emerging macroevolutionary trends that underlie insect TE genomic landscapes. We then discuss how the latest major discoveries on the impact of TEs in insect adaptation, antiviral immunity and aging are continuously changing the way we view these genomic symbionts.

69

70 **1 - Evolution of TEs within and across insect orders**

71 1 - 1 Diversity and abundance of insect TEs

72 The first comprehensive characterizations of TE abundance, diversity, age and genome distribution in 73 an insect were made possible thanks to analyses of the *D. melanogaster* genome (5, 7, 66, 69). These 74 studies illustrated how estimates of TE abundance and diversity heavily depend on the completeness 75 and quality of assembled genomes as well as on the methods used to annotate TEs. TE content 76 ranged from 2% of the *D. melanogaster* genome in early studies of euchromatic regions relying only 77 on similarity-based approaches, to about 15 % when heterochromatic regions were included and 78 combined de novo annotation pipelines were developed. The D. melanogaster TE landscape is 79 dominated by LTR-retrotransposons, which make up 10% of the genome, with non-LTR 80 retrotransposons and DNA transposons altogether occupying ~5% of the genome. The TE distribution 81 along *D. melanogaster* chromosomes is non-random, with a much lower density in euchromatic 82 regions where TEs generally occur as single full-length copies, than in pericentromeric 83 heterochromatin where TEs are arranged in dense islands of nested and often rearranged copies. 84 Bergman et al. (7) proposed that expression of such TE islands would produce transcripts made of chimeric TEs which could be involved in suppressing the activity of multiple TE families. The 85 hypothesis was later confirmed with the discovery of dozens of pericentromeric TE-rich regions 86 87 called piRNA clusters acting as TE traps, the expression of which initiates piRNA-mediated TE 88 suppression (15, 150). Early studies based on between-copy genetic distances within TE families 89 found that most euchromatic TE copies resulted from very recent transposition events (14, 69). Their 90 recent origin is in agreement with subsequent studies showing that >80% of polymorphic TE copies 91 segregate at low (<0.2) frequency in *D. melanogaster* populations (75).

92 The analysis of other genomes quickly revealed that characteristics of fly TEs were not necessarily 93 representative of those found in other insects and that TE landscapes were highly dynamic in this 94 group (90). For example, although the overall content of classified TEs in the mosquito Anopheles 95 gambiae (about 13%) was close to that of D. melanogaster, LTR retrotransposons occupy about the 96 same amount of genomic space as non-LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons in this species 97 (61, 96). Genome sequencing of another mosquito (Aedes aegypti), a Coleoptera (Tribolium 98 castaneum) and a Lepidoptera (Bombyx mori) further revealed that TE content varies substantially in 99 insects (from 6% in T. castaneum to 48% in A. aegypti) and that both DNA transposons and non-LTR 100 retrotransposons can be the dominant TEs in other species (97, 100, 139). The decrease in 101 sequencing costs was then accompanied by a rapid augmentation of the number of genome papers 102 with detailed sections on TE annotation (e.g. 19, 21, 23, 42, 44). One study reported that the 103 antarctic midge (Belgica antarctica) had both the smallest genome and the lowest TE content among 104 arthropods (71). It is now common to see papers reporting comparative analyses of multiple insect 105 genomes with efforts dedicated to surveying the evolution of TE contents across genomes (e.g. 1, 6, 106 15, 21, 28, 37, 45), as well as studies specifically dedicated to comparisons of TE contents at scales 107 ranging from insect genus to whole arthropods (55, 105, 110, 114, 148). We ourselves performed an 108 automated TE annotation on 195 publicly available insect genomes (103) (Figure 1).

109 A recent study also reported automated de novo TE-annotation and comparison of 73 arthropod 110 genomes (including 62 insects) (105). An interesting outcome of this analysis, as well as ours (Figure 111 1), is that the nature of a large fraction of the repetitive content of most insect genomes (11% in D. 112 simulans up to 93% in Ephemera danica; mean 56%, (105)) cannot be assessed using automated 113 procedures. Thus, interpretations on variation in TE content in insects must be taken with caution. 114 Bearing this limitation in mind, broad-scale TE landscape analyses reveal a high diversity of TEs in insects, with most known TE superfamilies present in most insect species (105, 148). They also unveil 115 116 some degree of homogeneity in TE composition among insect groups spanning diverse taxonomic 117 levels (105). For example, in six tsetse fly species (*Glossina* spp), the genome proportion occupied by 118 DNA transposons (12.8-14.7%), LTR (0.4-0.8%) and non-LTR (5.8-2.9%) retrotransposons has 119 remained stable over 25 million years (2). In three Hemipteran species having diverged >200 million 120 years ago (Cimex lectularius, Halyomorpha halys and Oncopeltus fasciatus), genome proportions of 121 TE classes differ between species but non-LTR retrotransposons (7-14%) are always in higher 122 amounts than DNA transposons (1.3-4%) and LTR retrotransposons (0.6-1.4%). The situation is similar 123 in four lepidopteran species which diverged >100 million years ago (Helicoverpa armigera, Bombyx 124 mori, Danaus plexippus, Heliconius melpomene), in which non-LTR retrotransposons (4.2-20.4%) are 125 also always in higher amount than DNA transposons (0.9-3.1%) and LTR retrotransposons (0.3-0.7%).

126 While homogeneity in TE composition exists in some cases, pronounced differences have been found 127 in other cases. In hemipterans for example, SINEs and DNA transposons of the pea aphid 128 (Acyrtosiphon pisum) occupy the largest genome fraction (7.1% and 8.6%, respectively) while they 129 are either present in low amount (mean=1.2% and 2.3%, respectively) and/or occupy the lowest 130 genome fraction in the other six surveyed species (105). In coleopterans, DNA transposons occupy a 131 much larger genome fraction than retrotransposons in T. castaneum and Anoplophora glabripennis 132 while they are in minority compared to retrotransposons in Agrilus plannipenis and Leptinotarsa 133 decemlineata (105). Large differences in TE landscapes also exist at the genus level. Among 16 134 Heliconius butterfly species, TE composition may be similar at the level of TE class (DNA transposons 135 versus retrotransposons), but may differ drastically within each TE Class (110). For example, LTR 136 retrotransposons are almost absent in *H. doris* and *H. burneyi* but they make up 2 to 6% of the 137 genome of the other 14 species. Similarly, eight Heliconius species have a genome proportion of 138 SINEs <1% while SINEs make up 2 to 6% of the genome of the remaining eight species.

139 **1 – 2** The influence of vertical and horizontal transmission on insect TE landscapes

140 The TE content of a genome is an equilibrium between the rate of TE acquisition, the replication 141 dynamics of TEs within the genome and the rate of TE loss by degradation/deletion. TEs are acquired 142 from ancestors (vertical inheritance) and potentially from other organisms (HT). TE replication 143 dynamics reflect rates of TE insertions (TE activity), which may be suppressed by host factors, as well 144 as the fate of these insertions under the influence of natural selection and genetic drift (73, 79, 115, 145 135). While the relative importance of the factors and processes shaping TE landscapes is not well 146 understood, insects are one of the groups in which they have been the most intensely studied so far 147 (see also (129) for a study in nematodes).

148 Multiple large-scale studies indicate a positive correlation between phylogenetic relatedness and 149 similarity of TE landscapes in insects (see above and refs. (105, 148)), although this correlation is not 150 formally tested. Variation in genome size also shows a strong phylogenetic signal and is positively 151 correlated to TE content both at the scale of arthropods (102, 146) and within insect orders: in 152 Drosophila species (40, 122), wood-white butterflies (130) and fireflies (84). This observation is 153 somewhat expected given that TEs, like any other genome component, are inherited from parents to 154 descendants. Yet in several pairs of closely related species showing relatively similar TE landscapes, 155 such as *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, most TE copies have originated from transposition events 156 that are much more recent than the species split (14, 105). Their recent origin is further supported by 157 the fact that TE insertions in *D. melanogaster* are generally polymorphic within the species (75). As a

result, relatively few TE copies may be inherited over millions of years in a context of ongoing TE activity, and species would be expected to diverge quickly with respect to their TE content.

160 On the other hand, a systematic survey of HT of TEs (HTT) between D. melanogaster, D. simulans and 161 D. yakuba, which diverged 11 million years ago, revealed that at least one third of the TE families in 162 these three species have been acquired through HTT, with an overall HTT rate of 0.035 events per TE 163 family per million years (4). It was also found that the number of HTT was higher between closely 164 related species than between distantly related ones, with twice as many events between D. 165 melanogaster and D. simulans as between any of these species and D. yakuba (4). A similar study 166 extended to 195 insect genomes inferred no fewer than 2248 HTT events between species having 167 diverged >40 million years ago (103). In addition to showing that HTT is not infrequent and has had a 168 strong impact on genome evolution in insects, with on average 2% of insect genome content deriving 169 from horizontally transferred TEs (and up to 25%), this study confirmed the role of phylogenetic 170 relatedness in favoring HTT. Preferential HTT between related species may thus explain the 171 phylogenetic signal in insect TE landscape variation in conjunction to inheritance from shared 172 ancestors.

173 In addition to phylogeny, geography was found to partly explain the distribution of HTT along the 174 insect tree. More HTT was detected between species originating from the same biogeographic realm 175 than between species from different ones (103). The observation that species more likely to be in 176 (direct or indirect) contact are also more likely to exchange TEs supports a role for ecological 177 relationships in shaping HTT. This is in line with a series of studies reporting HTT between animal 178 hosts and their parasites (47, 78, 128, 137, 141), but not with a study that did not detect HTT 179 between Drosophila species and two of their parasitoid wasps (99). Given the relatively high rate of 180 HTT in insects (103), it is likely that future studies implementing appropriate taxon sampling will 181 further delineate the types of ecological relationships, including host-parasite relationships, that 182 affect HTT patterns in insects (135). Interestingly, if was recently found that lepidopterans were 183 significantly more prone to HTT than other insect orders (112). The higher susceptibility of 184 lepidopterans to HTT may be explained by a number of different factors, one of which being exposure to large double-stranded DNA viruses belonging to the Baculoviridae family. Most known 185 186 baculoviruses indeed infect lepidopterans and a large number of TEs are able to jump from infected 187 moth species to the genome of several baculoviruses, suggesting a role for these viruses as vectors of 188 HTT (46).

189 1 - 3 Are insect TE landscapes shaped by ecological and life history traits?

190 The replication dynamics of TEs may depend on certain life history traits of their hosts, as these traits 191 may condition the impact of TE insertions on fitness and how these insertions evolve in host 192 populations. One candidate trait is reproductive mode, since reduced recombination rates in asexual 193 taxa may lead to the accumulation of TE insertions, as seen in non-recombinant sex chromosomes 194 (18). However, asexual arthropods (including asexual wasps) do not have more TEs than their sexual 195 counterparts (6, 77, 119). By contrast, social complexity is negatively correlated with TE abundance 196 and TE diversity in bees, which may be explained by increased recombination rates in highly social 197 insects and/or the pronounced hygienic behavior in these insects, leading to a lower exposure to 198 parasites that may act as vectors of HTT (68). Yet, in social ants displaying hygienic behavior, TE 199 content and diversity are similar to non-solitary insects (42). Furthermore, in termites, the socially 200 more complex Macrotermes natalensis has a larger genome (1.31 Gb) and higher TE content (about 201 30%) than Zootermopsis nevadensis (562 Mb and about 16%, respectively) (76). Thus, whether or not 202 TE landscapes are shaped by levels of sociality in bees, the influence of this factor may not hold for 203 other social insects.

204 The ecological niche has been proposed as a potential factor shaping insect TE landscapes. For 205 example, the low TE content of the antarctic midge B. antartica (0.12%) is thought to result from the 206 paucity of contacts this extreme specialist has with other organisms in the species-poor polar 207 environment, combined to strong selective pressures due to pronounced physiological and ecological 208 constraints (71). In apparent agreement with a link between ecological niche and TE content, another 209 extreme specialist, the fig wasp Ceratosolen solmsi also has one of the lowest TE contents of all 210 insects (6.4%) (149). Yet, when comparing TE landscapes between Drosophila species in a 211 phylogenetic context, no significant difference was found between specialists and generalists (40). 212 The level of ecological specialization of Drosophila species, which is not as extreme as in B. antartica 213 and C. solmsi, may not be high enough to impact TE content. Thus, reevaluating TE content in a 214 controlled phylogenetic framework including related specialist and generalist species is required to 215 properly test the influence of ecological niche on this variable. More generally, how host physiology 216 and ecology govern TE replication dynamics deserves further studies. Such works may help to assess 217 how the similarity in TE contents among related species, despite sustained TE activity, reflects some 218 form of stabilizing/parallel evolution under similar constraints.

219

220 **2 – The fate and impact of TEs in insect genomes**

221 The development of next-generation sequencing technologies and analytical tools have enabled

studies of the population genomics of insect TEs, many of which have focussed on *D. melanogaster*

223 (3, 12). These studies have shown that a large fraction of the TEs inserted in the *D. melanogaster*

reference genome are not fixed in the species (106). In addition, thousands of non-reference TE

insertions segregate in *D. melanogaster* populations (25, 74, 80, 83, 109).

226 The majority of polymorphic TE insertions are present at lower frequencies than expected for neutral 227 variants, suggesting that purifying selection (deleterious effects) plays a major role in TE population 228 dynamics in *D. melanogaster* (10). A similar pattern of TE dynamics has been found in *D. simulans* 229 (75). The deleterious effect of TEs can in part be explained by insertional mutagenesis upon 230 integration in the genome. Consistently, more than half of spontaneous mutant phenotypes in D. 231 melanogaster are caused by TE insertions disrupting gene function (33) and very few TE insertions 232 are found in protein-coding genes in natural populations (74, 106). At the post-insertional level, an 233 important cause for TE deleteriousness is ectopic recombination between non allelic, homologous TE 234 insertions, which can generate deleterious genomic rearrangements (74, 106). It will be interesting to 235 assess to what extent TE insertions are deleterious for insects other than Drosophila.

236 2 - 1 Insect adaptation

237 Even though most TE insertions are deleterious or neutral, some insertions are expected to be 238 beneficial to their carriers. This is the case of several TE-mediated mutations underlying resistance to 239 insecticides in the pink bollworm Pectinophora gossypiella, a major pest of cotton, and in D. 240 melanogaster (116). In P. gossypiella, several independent TE insertions disrupting the PgCad1 gene 241 confer resistance to the widely used Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ac toxin (37, 138). In D. melanogaster, 242 cis-regulatory sequences of an Accord LTR retrotransposon inserted in the 5' regulatory region of the 243 *Cyp6q1* gene are responsible for *Cyp6q1* upregulation and increased resistance to DDT (21, 26). 244 Furthermore, an adaptive allelic series in Cyp6g1 involving successive mutations (including P and 245 HMS-Beagle TE insertions) was later found to be associated with increasing DDT resistance levels 246 (120). Similarly, resistance to organophosphate insecticide is caused by a Doc1420 LINE 247 retrotransposon that truncates the CHKov1 gene and produces altered transcripts (1). Interestingly, 248 the new variant may have initially been selected as a defense against viral infections, thereby pre-249 adapting flies to insectides (86). Yet another mechanism underlies TE-mediated increased resistance 250 to cyclodiene dieldrin: Rdl gene duplication through ectopic recombination between Roo LTR 251 retrotransposons (113). DNA transposons can also be involved in xenobiotic resistance, as 252 examplified by the Pogo TE affecting polyadenylation signal choice in the CG11699 gene and

conferring resistance to carbofuran and benzaldehyde (89). These examples serve to highlight the
diversity of TE families and molecular mechanisms through which TEs can be adaptive to their hosts,
which is all the more spectacular with respect to insecticide resistance in *D. melanogaster*, when
considering that this species is not a pest and has not been targeted by insecticides.

257 The contribution of TEs to D. melanogaster adaptation is not restricted to insecticide resistance, as 258 genome-wide studies of TE insertion polymorphisms have identified dozens of candidate adaptive TE 259 insertions (50, 51, 74, 80, 111). Population frequency distributions of TE insertions suggest that some 260 may play a role in adaptation to temperate climates (D. melanogaster has originated in the 261 afrotropics), often by induction of regulatory changes in nearby genes (50, 51). Functional gene 262 categories such as stress response, behavior and development appear to be particularly prone to TE-263 mediated adaptation (111). In some cases, ecologically-relevant fitness benefits have been clearly 264 connected to specific TE insertions, such as a Bari1 DNA transposon mediating oxidative stress 265 response (52, 54), P, Jockey and Roo elements involved in thermotolerance (81, 93) and an invader4 266 retrotransposon inducing faster development time (133). Interestingly, negative fitness effects of 267 such TE insertions have sometimes been identified, which may represent costs of selection and may 268 explain why the TE insertions have not reached fixation (52, 133).

269 Adaptive TE insertions have also been found in various insects other than D. melanogaster. For 270 example, in the peppered moth *Biston betularia*, industrial melanism is caused by a *carb-TE* DNA 271 transposon in the first intron of the cortex gene which affects gene expression (60). This mutation 272 underlies the replacement of a pale form by a black form driven by the interplay between bird 273 predation and coal pollution during the Industrial Revolution in the UK. Wing color polymorphism is 274 also associated with a TE insertion in the Colias crocea butterfly, confering developmental and 275 reproductive advantages (147). In Chinese populations of the migratory locust (Locusta migratoria), 276 an Lm1 SINE insertion in the third exon of the Hsp90 gene produces an alternative splicing form 277 associated with faster development and higher developmental synchrony (20). Remarkably, only 278 heterozygotes are present in natural populations, suggesting there is an heterozygote advantage that 279 is maintained by balancing selection. It has also been proposed that TEs may be powerful agents of 280 rapid adaptation in invasive species (126), an hypothesis that has received empirical support from 281 several insects such as the ant Cardiocondyla obscurior (121) and the Asian tiger mosquito Aedes 282 albopictus (53).

283 While the aforementioned examples of adaptive TEs consider individual copies, the repetitive nature 284 of TEs and existence of multiple homologous sequences scattered in genomes offer the perspective 285 for TEs to collectively impact cellular processes. For example, *G2/Jockey-3* retrotransposons appear to contribute to the structure and function of centromeres in *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* (19).
TEs may also rewire regulatory networks by supplying *cis* regulatory elements at chromosomal scale,
as shown with the recruitment of *Helitron* elements to regulate dosage compensation in *D. miranda*sex chromosomes (34, 35). There is however no formal demonstration that TEs have actually
improved these cellular processes from their ancestral state. The involvement of TEs in these
processes could represent neutral or even slightly deleterious mutational changes.

292 **2 – 2 Antiviral immunity**

293 In addition to their role in adaptation of insect populations, recent studies are setting TEs as an 294 important component of insect antiviral immunity. In insects, viruses are mainly restricted by RNA 295 interference (RNAi) (134). This pathway relies on the recognition and cleavage of intracellular long 296 viral double-stranded (ds) RNAs by the endoribonuclease Dicer2, yielding 21-nucleotide small 297 interfering RNAs (siRNAs). One strand of siRNAs is then used by the endoribonuclease Argonaute 2 to 298 target and cleave complementary long single-stranded viral RNAs, resulting in viral restriction. While 299 this pathway confers immunity to infected insect cells, it has long remained unclear whether such 300 immunity could spread systemically. In plants and nematodes, systemic RNAi spread relies on 301 amplification of dsRNA molecules by RNA-dependent RNA-polymerases (RdRp) (59, 124), which are 302 absent in insects (82). Yet, Goic et al. (48, 49) showed that antiviral RNAi can be amplified through a 303 hitherto unknown mechanism in Drosophila flies and Aedes mosquitoes, which relies on the 304 formation of viral DNA (vDNA). Remarkably, vDNAs are produced through reverse transcription of 305 viral RNAs by insect retrotransposon proteins, instead of an RdRp. This process primarily takes place 306 in hemocytes, in which viral dsRNAs released by infected cells accumulate (107, 131). vDNAs are then 307 transcribed and they trigger the formation of secondary viral siRNAs which are loaded into exosome-308 like vesicles. They are then secreted in the extracellular environment and spread over the entire 309 insect body, conferring systemic antiviral immunity (131). vDNAs are generated as both circular and 310 linear molecules, some of which may end up being integrated into the host genome (132). Isolation 311 and sequencing of episomal circular DNA from infected mosquito cells and Drosophila flies revealed that many circular vDNAs (cvDNAs) correspond to virus-LTR retrotransposon chimeras. Strikingly, the 312 313 amount of circular DNA containing virus-LTR retrotransposon chimeras produced by mosquito cells is 314 typically much higher than that containing chimeras of non-virus host sequence and 315 retrotransposons (132). Furthermore, the viral portion of virus-LTR retrotransposon chimeras is 316 enriched for defective viral genomes (107). Together, these observations suggest that viral defective 317 RNAs are recognized and preferentially reverse-transcribed over non-viral RNAs by LTR 318 retrotransposon-encoded reverse transcriptases, through a process involving copy-choice 319 recombination and seemingly depending on Dicer2 (107, 131, 132). Importantly, inhibition of reverse

transcriptase activity in flies and mosquitoes leads to accelerated death of infected individuals (48,

49). Thus, in the context of viral infections, the presence of LTR retrotransposons capable of

producing active reverse transcriptases is crucial for fly and mosquito survival. In principle, as long as

323 sources of reverse transcriptase activity are available throughout the evolutionary history of insects,

324 this antiviral protection system does not require co-option of a specific TE copy that would become

325 stationary and evolve under purifying selection like a regular gene. Thus, while in conflict with their

- 326 hosts because of the overall deleterious consequences of transposition, insect LTR retrotransposons
- 327 may become beneficial when host individuals incur viral infections.

328 Even more remarkably, insect retrotransposons have recently been shown to catalyse vDNA 329 integration into piRNA clusters of an Aedes aegypti cell line, leading to formation of endogenous viral 330 elements (EVE) (132, 143). Such EVEs are co-transcribed with other TE remnants from piRNA clusters, 331 leading to the production of anti-sense viral piRNAs that provide antiviral immunity. Thus, in addition 332 to their role in the amplification and systemic spread of antiviral immunity, insect retrotransposons 333 and their piRNA-mediated host surveillance system have the potential to confer transgenerational 334 antiviral immunity to their hosts (132, 143). The finding that LTR retrotransposons may be essential 335 to insect antiviral immunity opens new perspectives on the way we conceive insect/TE relationships. 336 Much like other TEs such as TBE DNA transposons in the ciliate Oxytricha trifillax (136) or the 337 telomeric non-LTR retrotransposons in Drosophila flies (118), and much like cellular endosymbionts 338 such as Wolbachia and Cardinium (44, 65, 142), the position of insect LTR retrotransposons on the 339 continuum of host/symbiont interactions may vary in unexpected ways between conflict and 340 cooperation, depending on context (23).

341 2 – 3 Aging

342 Aging is typically associated with the progressive alteration of genome regulation, notably due to the 343 loss of repressive heterochromatin marks (145). Several studies have shown that this dysregulation is 344 associated with an increase of TE expression in various eukaryotic organisms including yeasts (91), 345 nematodes (30), mice (29) and human cell lines (28). Thus, it has been proposed that expression and 346 transposition of TEs that are silenced in young individuals may strongly affect cell and genome 347 integrity in old individuals, triggering or reinforcing aging symptoms (31, 145). A series of elegant 348 experiments performed in D. melanogaster seemingly support this model. In particular, it was shown 349 that Dicer2-mutant individuals had more DNA double-strand breaks, presumably caused by activated TEs, than controls in the nuclei of fat body cells (146). This is consistent with the known role of Dicer2 350 351 in the formation of repressive heterochromatin around repeated DNA (including TEs) via the 352 recruitment of the Su(var)3-9 histone methyl-transferase (38, 104). Importantly, decreasing TE

activity in these Dicer2 mutants through the addition of a reverse-transcriptase inhibitor led to
reduced transposition and increased lifespan. Thus, TE activity rather than the mere deterioration of
chromatin structure could be directly implicated in aging in *D. melanogaster* (146). In apparent
agreement, the lower lifespan of male versus female *D. melanogaster* flies has been attributed to a
higher amount of heterochromatin in males, which possess a highly repeat- and heterochromatinrich Y chromosome (16, 88).

359 The finding that TEs largely contribute to aging in *D. melanogaster* has recently been complemented 360 by studies in social insects. These insects are ideal models in aging research because individuals from 361 a given colony sharing an identical genotype show large differences in lifespan, depending on the 362 caste they belong to. In the termite Macrotermes bellicosus for example, reproductive castes (kings 363 and queens) can live for as long as 20 years whereas the lifespan of major and minor workers never 364 exceeds a few months. Elsner et al. (36) have shown that, while overall TE and gene expression levels 365 in heads were stable through the lifetime of kings and queens, several hundreds of TE copies were 366 expressed at higher levels in old versus young major workers. The higher TE expression in old 367 workers was associated with down-regulation of four genes involved in the piRNA pathway, 368 suggesting that aging in major workers may be due to a decrease in TE suppression. Since these 369 genes were expressed at equal levels in old and young reproductive castes, it was proposed that the 370 longer lifespan of kings and queens evolved thanks to cooption of the piRNA pathway (36) to 371 suppress TEs in their somatic tissues (here heads). Though interesting, this hypothesis does not 372 explain why piRNA genes are expressed (and TEs suppressed) in heads of young major workers (85). 373 In fact, somatic expression of the piRNA pathway has been inferred to be ancestral to all arthropods, 374 with only few species (including D. melanogaster), having lost this pathway in the soma (82). Thus, 375 rather than cooption of the piRNA pathway in heads of *M. bellicosus* reproductives, observations 376 may be more consistent with a secondary loss of this pathway in heads of old major workers.

377

378 3 – Conclusions and perspectives

Major breakthroughs in our understanding of eukaryote genome regulation and evolution have been made through the study of insect TEs. Our knowledge of TE landscapes and their impact on insect genomes and phenotypes has rapidly increased over the last ten years thanks to the availability of an increasing number of genome sequences. Current large-scale surveys of insect TE landscapes must be interpreted cautiously as TEs have been thoroughly mined only in a handful of insect genomes and new TE families are still being discovered even in the best annotated genomes (148). Yet, global trends emerge, suggesting that while TE landscapes can be highly variable both within and across insect orders, phylogenetic relatedness generally correlates with similarity in TE content overall (84,

- 105, 122, 130, 148), even in cases where most extant TEs are not inherited from shared ancestors.
- Vertical inheritance, horizontal transfer and the ecology/physiology of hosts are likely involved in
 shaping insect TE landscapes, and it will be interesting to assess their relative contribution along the
- insect phylogeny.

391 Because of their high diversity and the wide spectrum of molecular mechanisms through which they

392 can generate evolutionary innovations (including insertion, deletion, rearrangement, dispersion of

393 cis-regulatory elements and TE protein sequences), TEs appear to be involved in a variety of adaptive

394 events in many insect species. Thus, insects may be good models to quantify the respective

395 contribution of TE-mediated genomic change versus other mutations in adaptation.

396 The finding that retrotransposons are at the heart of antiviral response in some insects emerges as 397 one of the most important discoveries made in invertebrate immunity in the last few years (94). Yet, 398 the range of viruses that are targeted by TE-mediated small RNA responses in the wild remains to be 399 defined and it is unclear to what extent retrotransposons play a role in suppressing viruses beyond D. 400 melanogaster and Aedes mosquitoes. Whether and how retrotransposons involved in this response 401 vary among insects is also unknown. In addition, it will be interesting to further decipher the 402 mechanisms by which insect retrotransposons selectively recognize and reverse-transcribe viral RNAs 403 over cellular RNAs.

404 Monitoring and manipulating insect TE expression in old versus young insects uncovered a likely 405 causal role for TE activity in aging, in agreement with the retrotransposon theory of aging (31, 145). 406 In social insects, it will be interesting to assess whether TE activity in old workers is associated with a 407 deterioration of heterochromatin structure, as observed in *D. melanogaster*. Furthermore, a general 408 prediction of the retrotransposon theory of aging is that individuals with higher heterochromatin 409 loads should be shorter lived than individuals with low amounts of heterochromatin. While this 410 hypothesis seems to hold in *D. melanogaster* (16), testing it could also take advantage of species in 411 which B chromosomes, known to be largely heterochromatic, are present at substantial frequencies 412 (57). More generally, these results call for a detailed evaluation of the impact of somatic 413 transposition on insect biology.

414

415 Figure legend

416 Figure 1. Proportions of DNA transposons, LTR, LINE and SINE retrotransposons in insect genomes.

417 TEs were automatically annotated in 195 insect species (103). Because of space constraints, only one

13

- 418 species per clade that are \leq 45 My old is shown here (to the exception of the pair *D. melanogaster*
- 419 and *D. simulans*). The "Unclassified" category of TEs represents elements that were not classified
- 420 (and categorized as "unknown") by the procedure. These exclude satellites and other repeat
- 421 elements that are unlikely to be TEs. The design of the figure is inspired from figure 1 of ref. (105).
- 422 The insect phylogeny on the left is that used in ref. (103). The data used to build the figure is
- 423 available upon request.
- 424

425 **References**

- Aminetzach YT. 2005. Pesticide Resistance via Transposition-Mediated Adaptive Gene Truncation
 in Drosophila. *Science*. 309(5735):764–67
- 428 2. Attardo GM, Abd-Alla AMM, Acosta-Serrano A, Allen JE, Bateta R, et al. 2019. Comparative
- genomic analysis of six Glossina genomes, vectors of African trypanosomes. *Genome Biol.*20(1):187
- 431 3. Barrón MG, Fiston-Lavier A-S, Petrov DA, González J. 2014. Population Genomics of Transposable
 432 Elements in *Drosophila*. *Annual Review of Genetics*. 48(1):561–81
- 433 4. Bartolome C, Bello X, Maside X. 2009. Widespread evidence for horizontal transfer of
 434 transposable elements across Drosophila genomes. *Genome Biol.* 10:R22
- 435 5. Bartolomé C, Maside X, Charlesworth B. 2002. On the abundance and distribution of
 436 transposable elements in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 19(6):926–37
- Bast J, Schaefer I, Schwander T, Maraun M, Scheu S, Kraaijeveld K. 2016. No Accumulation of
 Transposable Elements in Asexual Arthropods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33(3):697–706
- 439 7. Bergman CM, Quesneville H, Anxolabéhère D, Ashburner M. 2006. Recurrent insertion and
 440 duplication generate networks of transposable element sequences in the Drosophila
 441 melanogaster genome. *Genome Biol.* 7(11):R112

8. Biémont C. 2010. A Brief History of the Status of Transposable Elements: From Junk DNA to
Major Players in Evolution: Figure 1.—. *Genetics*. 186(4):1085–93

- 444 9. Biessmann H, Valgeirsdottir K, Lofsky A, Chin C, Ginther B, et al. 1992. HeT-A, a transposable
- 445 element specifically involved in "healing" broken chromosome ends in Drosophila melanogaster.
 446 *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 12(9):3910–18
- 447 10. Blumenstiel JP, Chen X, He M, Bergman CM. 2014. An age-of-allele test of neutrality for
 448 transposable element insertions. *Genetics*. 196(2):523–38
- 11. Bonasio R, Zhang G, Ye C, Mutti NS, Fang X, et al. 2010. Genomic comparison of the ants
- 450 Camponotus floridanus and Harpegnathos saltator. *Science*. 329(5995):1068–71

- 451 12. Bourgeois Y, Boissinot S. 2019. On the Population Dynamics of Junk: A Review on the Population
 452 Genomics of Transposable Elements. *Genes*. 10(6):419
- 453 13. Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, et al. 2018. Ten things you should
 454 know about transposable elements. *Genome Biology*. 19(1):
- 455 14. Bowen NJ, McDonald JF. 2001. Drosophila euchromatic LTR retrotransposons are much younger
 456 than the host species in which they reside. *Genome Res.* 11(9):1527–40
- 457 15. Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, et al. 2007. Discrete small RNA-generating loci
 458 as master regulators of transposon activity in Drosophila. *Cell*. 128(6):1089–1103
- 459 16. Brown EJ, Nguyen AH, Bachtrog D. 2019. The Y chromosome contributes to sex-specific aging in
 460 Drosophila. *bioRxiv*
- 461 17. Bucheton A, Paro R, Sang HM, Pelisson A, Finnegan DJ. 1984. The molecular basis of I-R hybrid
- 462 Dysgenesis in drosophila melanogaster: Identification, cloning, and properties of the I factor.
 463 *Cell*. 38(1):153–63
- 464 18. Chalopin D, Volff J-N, Galiana D, Anderson JL, Schartl M. 2015. Transposable elements and early
 465 evolution of sex chromosomes in fish. *Chromosome Research*. 23(3):545–60
- 466 19. Chang C-H, Chavan A, Palladino J, Wei X, Martins NMC, et al. 2019. Islands of retroelements are
 467 major components of Drosophila centromeres. *PLOS Biology*. 17(5):e3000241
- 468 20. Chen B, Zhang B, Xu L, Li Q, Jiang F, et al. 2017. Transposable Element-Mediated Balancing
- Selection at *Hsp90* Underlies Embryo Developmental Variation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
 p. msx062
- 471 21. Chung H, Bogwitz MR, McCart C, Andrianopoulos A, ffrench-Constant RH, et al. 2007. Cis -
- 472 Regulatory Elements in the *Accord* Retrotransposon Result in Tissue-Specific Expression of the
- 473 *Drosophila melanogaster* Insecticide Resistance Gene *Cyp6g1. Genetics.* 175(3):1071–77
- 474 22. Cordaux R, Batzer MA. 2009. The impact of retrotransposons on human genome evolution. *Nat*475 *Rev Genet*. 10:691–703
- 476 23. Cosby RL, Chang N-C, Feschotte C. 2019. Host-transposon interactions: conflict, cooperation, and
 477 cooption. *Genes Dev.* 33(17–18):1098–1116

478 24. Craig, Chandler, Gellert, Lambowitz, Rice, Sandmeyer, eds. 2015. *Mobile DNA III*. American
479 Society of Microbiology

- 480 25. Cridland JM, Macdonald SJ, Long AD, Thornton KR. 2013. Abundance and Distribution of
- Transposable Elements in Two Drosophila QTL Mapping Resources. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 30(10):2311–27
- 26. Daborn PJ, Yen JL, Bogwitz MR, Le Goff G, Feil E, et al. 2002. A single p450 allele associated with
 insecticide resistance in Drosophila. *Science*. 297(5590):2253–56

- 27. Daniels SB, Peterson KR, Strausbaugh LD, Kidwell MG, Chovnick A. 1990. Evidence for horizontal
 transmission of the P transposable element between Drosophila species. *Genetics*. 124:339–55
- 487 28. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peckham EJ, Hillenmeyer S, Hamm EA, et al. 2013. Genomes of
- replicatively senescent cells undergo global epigenetic changes leading to gene silencing and
 activation of transposable elements. *Aging Cell*. 12(2):247–56
- 490 29. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peterson AL, Neretti N, Sedivy JM, Kreiling JA. 2013. Transposable
- 491 elements become active and mobile in the genomes of aging mammalian somatic tissues. *Aging*492 (*Albany NY*). 5(12):867–83
- 30. Dennis S, Sheth U, Feldman JL, English KA, Priess JR. 2012. C. elegans Germ Cells Show
 Temperature and Age-Dependent Expression of Cer1, a Gypsy/Ty3-Related Retrotransposon. *PLoS Pathogens*. 8(3):e1002591
- 496 31. Driver CJ, McKechnie SW. 1992. Transposable elements as a factor in the aging of Drosophila
 497 melanogaster. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 673:83–91
- 498 32. Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium, Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, Bergman CM, et al. 2007.
 499 Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny. *Nature*. 450(7167):203–18
- 500 33. Eickbush T. 2002. Fruit flies and humans respond differently to retrotransposons. *Current* 501 *Opinion in Genetics & Development*. 12(6):669–74
- 502 34. Ellison CE, Bachtrog D. 2013. Dosage Compensation via Transposable Element Mediated
 503 Rewiring of a Regulatory Network. *Science*. 342(6160):846–50
- 504 35. Ellison CE, Bachtrog D. 2015. Non-allelic gene conversion enables rapid evolutionary change at
 505 multiple regulatory sites encoded by transposable elements. *eLife*. 4:
- 36. Elsner D, Meusemann K, Korb J. 2018. Longevity and transposon defense, the case of termite
 reproductives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 115(21):5504–9
- 508 37. Fabrick JA, Mathew LG, Tabashnik BE, Li X. 2011. Insertion of an intact CR1 retrotransposon in a
 509 cadherin gene linked with Bt resistance in the pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella: CR1

```
510 retrotransposon and Bt resistance. Insect Molecular Biology. 20(5):651–65
```

511 38. Fagegaltier D, Bougé A-L, Berry B, Poisot É, Sismeiro O, et al. 2009. The endogenous siRNA

- pathway is involved in heterochromatin formation in Drosophila. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 106(50):21258–63
- 514 39. Feschotte C, Pritham EJ. 2007. DNA transposons and the evolution of eukaryotic genomes. *Annu*515 *Rev Genet*. 41:331–68
- 40. Fonseca PM, Moura RD, Wallau GL, Loreto ELS. 2019. The mobilome of Drosophila incompta, a
 flower-breeding species: comparison of transposable element landscapes among generalist and
 specialist flies. *Chromosome Res.* 27(3):203–19

- 41. Fraser MJ, Smith GE, Summers MD. 1983. Acquisition of Host Cell DNA Sequences by
- Baculoviruses: Relationship Between Host DNA Insertions and FP Mutants of Autographa
 californica and Galleria mellonella Nuclear Polyhedrosis Viruses. *Journal of Virology*. 47:287–300
- 42. Gadau J, Helmkampf M, Nygaard S, Roux J, Simola DF, et al. 2012. The genomic impact of 100
 million years of social evolution in seven ant species. *Trends Genet.* 28(1):14–21
- 43. Gahan LJ, Gould F, Heckel DG. 2001. Identification of a gene associated with Bt resistance in
 Heliothis virescens. *Science*. 293(5531):857–60
- 44. Gavotte L, Mercer DR, Stoeckle JJ, Dobson SL. 2010. Costs and benefits of Wolbachia infection in
 immature Aedes albopictus depend upon sex and competition level. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*. 105(3):341–46
- 529 45. Gilbert C, Feschotte C. 2018. Horizontal acquisition of transposable elements and viral
 530 sequences: patterns and consequences. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*. 49:15–24
- 46. Gilbert C, Peccoud J, Chateigner A, Moumen B, Cordaux R, Herniou EA. 2016. Continuous Influx
 of Genetic Material from Host to Virus Populations. *PLoS Genetics*. 12:e1005838
- 47. Gilbert C, Schaack S, Pace JK, Brindley PJ, Feschotte C. 2010. A role for host-parasite interactions
 in the horizontal transfer of transposons across phyla. *Nature*. 464:1347–50
- 48. Goic B, Stapleford KA, Frangeul L, Doucet AJ, Gausson V, et al. 2016. Virus-derived DNA drives
 mosquito vector tolerance to arboviral infection. *Nat Commun*. 7:12410
- 49. Goic B, Vodovar N, Mondotte JA, Monot C, Frangeul L, et al. 2013. RNA-mediated interference
 and reverse transcription control the persistence of RNA viruses in the insect model Drosophila. *Nature Immunology*. 14:396–403
- 50. González J, Karasov TL, Messer PW, Petrov DA. 2010. Genome-Wide Patterns of Adaptation to
 Temperate Environments Associated with Transposable Elements in Drosophila. *PLoS Genetics*.
 6(4):e1000905
- 543 51. González J, Lenkov K, Lipatov M, Macpherson JM, Petrov DA. 2008. High Rate of Recent
 544 Transposable Element–Induced Adaptation in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Biology*. 6(10):e251

545 52. Gonzalez J, Macpherson JM, Petrov DA. 2009. A Recent Adaptive Transposable Element Insertion

546 Near Highly Conserved Developmental Loci in Drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and*547 *Evolution*. 26(9):1949–61

- 548 53. Goubert C, Henri H, Minard G, Valiente Moro C, Mavingui P, et al. 2017. High-throughput
- sequencing of transposable element insertions suggests adaptive evolution of the invasive Asian
 tiger mosquito towards temperate environments. *Molecular Ecology*. 26(15):3968–81
- 551 54. Guio L, Barrón MG, González J. 2014. The transposable element *Bari-Jheh* mediates oxidative
- 552 stress response in Drosophila. *Molecular Ecology*. 23(8):2020–30

- 55. Han M-J, Zhou Q-Z, Zhang H-H, Tong X, Lu C, et al. 2016. iMITEdb: the genome-wide landscape of 554 miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements in insects. *Database*. 2016:baw148
- 555 56. Handler AM, McCombs SD, Fraser MJ, Saul SH. 1998. The lepidopteran transposon vector,
- piggyBac, mediates germ-line transformation in the Mediterranean fruit fly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 95(13):7520–25
- 558 57. Hanlon S, Hawley R. 2018. B Chromosomes in the Drosophila Genus. *Genes*. 9(10):470
- 559 58. Harrison MC, Jongepier E, Robertson HM, Arning N, Bitard-Feildel T, et al. 2018. Hemimetabolous
 genomes reveal molecular basis of termite eusociality. *Nat Ecol Evol*. 2(3):557–66
- 59. Himber C, Dunoyer P, Moissiard G, Ritzenthaler C, Voinnet O. 2003. Transitivity-dependent and independent cell-to-cell movement of RNA silencing. *EMBO J.* 22(17):4523–33
- 563 60. Hof AE van't, Campagne P, Rigden DJ, Yung CJ, Lingley J, et al. 2016. The industrial melanism
- 564 mutation in British peppered moths is a transposable element. *Nature*. 534(7605):102–5
- 61. Holt RA, Subramanian GM, Halpern A, Sutton GG, Charlab R, et al. 2002. The genome sequence
 of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *Science*. 298(5591):129–49
- 567 62. Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium. 2006. Insights into social insects from the genome
 568 of the honeybee Apis mellifera. *Nature*. 443(7114):931–49
- 569 63. Hua-Van A, Le Rouzic A, Boutin TS, Filee J, Capy P. 2011. The struggle for life of the genome's
 570 selfish architects. *Biol Direct*. 6:19
- 571 64. International Aphid Genomics Consortium. 2010. Genome sequence of the pea aphid
 572 Acyrthosiphon pisum. *PLoS Biol.* 8(2):e1000313
- 573 65. Joshi D, McFadden MJ, Bevins D, Zhang F, Xi Z. 2014. Wolbachia strain wAlbB confers both fitness
 574 costs and benefit on Anopheles stephensi. *Parasites & Vectors*. 7(1):336
- 575 66. Kaminker JS, Bergman CM, Kronmiller B, Carlson J, Svirskas R, et al. 2002. The transposable
- 576 elements of the Drosophila melanogaster euchromatin: a genomics perspective. *Genome Biol.*577 3(12):research0084.1
- 578 67. Kanost MR, Arrese EL, Cao X, Chen YR, Chellapilla S, et al. 2016. Multifaceted biological insights
 579 from a draft genome sequence of the tobacco hornworm moth, Manduca sexta. *Insect Biochem*580 *Mol Biol.* 76:118–47
- 68. Kapheim KM, Pan H, Li C, Salzberg SL, Puiu D, et al. 2015. Social evolution. Genomic signatures of
 evolutionary transitions from solitary to group living. *Science*. 348(6239):1139–43
- 583 69. Kapitonov VV, Jurka J. 2003. Molecular paleontology of transposable elements in the Drosophila
 584 melanogaster genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100(11):6569–74
- 585 70. Kelleher ES. 2016. Reexamining the *P* -Element Invasion of *Drosophila melanogaster* Through the
 586 Lens of piRNA Silencing. *Genetics*. 203(4):1513–31

- 587 71. Kelley JL, Peyton JT, Fiston-Lavier A-S, Teets NM, Yee M-C, et al. 2014. Compact genome of the
 588 Antarctic midge is likely an adaptation to an extreme environment. *Nat Commun.* 5:4611
- 589 72. Kidwell MG, Kidwell JF. 1975. Cytoplasm–chromosome interactions in Drosophila melanogaster.
 590 *Nature*. 253(5494):755–56
- 591 73. Kofler R. 2019. Dynamics of Transposable Element Invasions with piRNA Clusters. *Molecular* 592 *Biology and Evolution*. 36(7):1457–72
- 74. Kofler R, Betancourt AJ, Schlötterer C. 2012. Sequencing of Pooled DNA Samples (Pool-Seq)
 Uncovers Complex Dynamics of Transposable Element Insertions in Drosophila melanogaster.
 PLoS Genetics. 8(1):e1002487
- 596 75. Kofler R, Nolte V, Schlötterer C. 2015. Tempo and Mode of Transposable Element Activity in
 597 Drosophila. *PLOS Genetics*. 11(7):e1005406
- 598 76. Korb J, Poulsen M, Hu H, Li C, Boomsma JJ, et al. 2015. A genomic comparison of two termites
 599 with different social complexity. *Frontiers in Genetics*. 6:
- Kraaijeveld K, Zwanenburg B, Hubert B, Vieira C, De Pater S, et al. 2012. Transposon proliferation
 in an asexual parasitoid. *Mol. Ecol.* 21(16):3898–3906
- Kuraku S, Qiu H, Meyer A. 2012. Horizontal transfers of Tc1 elements between teleost fishes and
 their vertebrate parasites, lampreys. *Genome Biol Evol*. 4:929–36
- Final Strain Stra
- 80. Lerat E, Goubert C, Guirao-Rico S, Merenciano M, Dufour A, et al. 2019. Population-specific
 dynamics and selection patterns of transposable element insertions in European natural
 populations. *Molecular Ecology*. 28(6):1506–22
- 81. Lerman DN, Feder ME. 2005. Naturally occurring transposable elements disrupt hsp70 promoter
 function in Drosophila melanogaster. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 22(3):776–83
- 611 82. Lewis SH, Quarles KA, Yang Y, Tanguy M, Frézal L, et al. 2018. Pan-arthropod analysis reveals
- somatic piRNAs as an ancestral defence against transposable elements. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. 2(1):174–81
- 83. Linheiro RS, Bergman CM. 2012. Whole Genome Resequencing Reveals Natural Target Site
 Preferences of Transposable Elements in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS ONE*. 7(2):e30008
- 616 84. Lower SS, Johnston JS, Stanger-Hall KF, Hjelmen CE, Hanrahan SJ, et al. 2017. Genome Size in
- 617 North American Fireflies: Substantial Variation Likely Driven by Neutral Processes. *Genome*
- 618 *Biology and Evolution*. 9(6):1499–1512
- 619 85. Lucas ER, Keller L. 2018. New explanation for the longevity of social insect reproductives:
- 620 Transposable element activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115(21):5317–18

- 621 86. Magwire MM, Bayer F, Webster CL, Cao C, Jiggins FM. 2011. Successive Increases in the
- Resistance of Drosophila to Viral Infection through a Transposon Insertion Followed by a
 Duplication. *PLoS Genetics*. 7(10):e1002337
- 87. Majumdar S, Rio DC. 2015. P Transposable Elements in Drosophila and other Eukaryotic
 Organisms. *Microbiol Spectr*. 3(2):MDNA3-0004–2014
- 88. Marais GAB, Gaillard J-M, Vieira C, Plotton I, Sanlaville D, et al. 2018. Sex gap in aging and
 longevity: can sex chromosomes play a role? *Biol Sex Differ*. 9(1):33
- 89. Mateo L, Ullastres A, González J. 2014. A Transposable Element Insertion Confers Xenobiotic
 Resistance in Drosophila. *PLoS Genetics*. 10(8):e1004560
- 630 90. Maumus F, Fiston-Lavier AS, Quesneville H. 2015. Impact of transposable elements on insect
 631 genomes and biology. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*. 7:30–36
- 632 91. Maxwell PH, Burhans WC, Curcio MJ. 2011. Retrotransposition is associated with genome
- 633 instability during chronological aging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
 634 108(51):20376–81
- 635 92. McClintock B. 1950. The origin and behavior of mutable loci in maize. *Proceedings of the*636 *National Academy of Sciences*. 36(6):344–55
- 637 93. Merenciano M, Ullastres A, de Cara MAR, Barrón MG, González J. 2016. Multiple Independent
- 638 Retroelement Insertions in the Promoter of a Stress Response Gene Have Variable Molecular and
- 639 Functional Effects in Drosophila. *PLOS Genetics*. 12(8):e1006249
- 640 94. Miesen P, Joosten J, van Rij RP. 2016. PIWIs Go Viral: Arbovirus-Derived piRNAs in Vector
 641 Mosquitoes. *PLOS Pathogens*. 12(12):e1006017
- 642 95. Miller WJ, McDonald JF, Nouaud D, Anxolabéhère D. 1999. Molecular domestication--more than
 643 a sporadic episode in evolution. *Genetica*. 107(1–3):197–207
- 644 96. Neafsey DE, Waterhouse RM, Abai MR, Aganezov SS, Alekseyev MA, et al. 2015. Mosquito
- genomics. Highly evolvable malaria vectors: the genomes of 16 Anopheles mosquitoes. *Science*.
 347(6217):1258522
- 97. Nene V, Wortman JR, Lawson D, Haas B, Kodira C, et al. 2007. Genome sequence of Aedes
 aegypti, a major arbovirus vector. *Science*. 316(5832):1718–23

649 98. Oliver KR, Greene WK. 2012. Transposable elements and viruses as factors in adaptation and

- evolution: an expansion and strengthening of the TE-Thrust hypothesis. *Ecology and Evolution*.
- 651 2(11):2912–33
- 99. Ortiz MF, Wallau GL, Graichen DA, Loreto EL. 2015. An evaluation of the ecological relationship
- between Drosophila species and their parasitoid wasps as an opportunity for horizontal
- 654 transposon transfer. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*. 290:67–78

- 655 100. Osanai-Futahashi M, Suetsugu Y, Mita K, Fujiwara H. 2008. Genome-wide screening and
- 656 characterization of transposable elements and their distribution analysis in the silkworm,
- 657 Bombyx mori. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 38(12):1046–57
- Pardue M-L, DeBaryshe PG. 2011. Retrotransposons that maintain chromosome ends. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108(51):20317–24
- 660 102. Paricio N, Pèrez-Alonso M, Martinez-Sebastián maria J, de Frutos R. 1991. P sequences of
- *Drosophilla Subobscura* lack exon 3 and may encode a 66 kd repressor-like protein. *Nucleic Acids Research.* 19(24):6713–18
- 103. Peccoud J, Loiseau V, Cordaux R, Gilbert C. 2017. Massive horizontal transfer of transposable
 elements in insects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114(18):4721–26
- 665 104. Peng JC, Karpen GH. 2007. H3K9 methylation and RNA interference regulate nucleolar

organization and repeated DNA stability. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 9(1):25–35

- Petersen M, Armisén D, Gibbs RA, Hering L, Khila A, et al. 2019. Diversity and evolution of the
 transposable element repertoire in arthropods with particular reference to insects. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 19(1):11
- Petrov DA, Fiston-Lavier A-S, Lipatov M, Lenkov K, Gonzalez J. 2011. Population Genomics of
 Transposable Elements in Drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*.
- 672 28(5):1633–44
- 673 107. Poirier EZ, Goic B, Tomé-Poderti L, Frangeul L, Boussier J, et al. 2018. Dicer-2-Dependent
 674 Generation of Viral DNA from Defective Genomes of RNA Viruses Modulates Antiviral Immunity
 675 in Insects. *Cell Host & Microbe*. 23(3):353-365.e8
- 676 108. Quesneville H, Nouaud D, Anxolabehere D. 2005. Recurrent Recruitment of the THAP DNA677 Binding Domain and Molecular Domestication of the P-Transposable Element. *Molecular Biology*678 *and Evolution*. 22(3):741–46
- 679 109. Rahman R, Chirn G, Kanodia A, Sytnikova YA, Brembs B, et al. 2015. Unique transposon
 680 landscapes are pervasive across *Drosophila melanogaster* genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*.
 681 43(22):10655–72
- Ray DA, Grimshaw JR, Halsey MK, Korstian JM, Osmanski AB, et al. 2019. Simultaneous TE
 Analysis of 19 Heliconiine Butterflies Yields Novel Insights into Rapid TE-Based Genome
- 684 Diversification and Multiple SINE Births and Deaths. *Genome Biol Evol*. 11(8):2162–77
- 685 111. Rech GE, Bogaerts-Márquez M, Barrón MG, Merenciano M, Villanueva-Cañas JL, et al. 2019.
- 686 Stress response, behavior, and development are shaped by transposable element-induced
- 687 mutations in Drosophila. *PLOS Genetics*. 15(2):e1007900

- Reiss D, Mialdea G, Miele V, de Vienne DM, Peccoud J, et al. 2019. Global survey of mobile
 DNA horizontal transfer in arthropods reveals Lepidoptera as a prime hotspot. *PLOS Genetics*.
 15(2):e1007965
- Remnant EJ, Good RT, Schmidt JM, Lumb C, Robin C, et al. 2013. Gene duplication in the
 major insecticide target site, *Rdl*, in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proceedings of the National*

693 Academy of Sciences. 110(36):14705–10

- Rius N, Guillen Y, Delprat A, Kapusta A, Feschotte C, Ruiz A. 2016. Exploration of the
 Drosophila buzzatii transposable element content suggests underestimation of repeats in
 Drosophila genomes. *BMC Genomics*. 17:344
- 697 115. Robillard É, Le Rouzic A, Zhang Z, Capy P, Hua-Van A. 2016. Experimental evolution reveals
- hyperparasitic interactions among transposable elements. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences. 113(51):14763–68
- Rostant WG, Wedell N, Hosken DJ. 2012. Transposable Elements and Insecticide Resistance.
 In Advances in Genetics. 78:169–201. Elsevier
- 117. Sadd BM, Barribeau SM, Bloch G, de Graaf DC, Dearden P, et al. 2015. The genomes of two
 key bumblebee species with primitive eusocial organization. *Genome Biol.* 16:76
- 118. Saint-Leandre B, Nguyen SC, Levine MT. 2019. Diversification and collapse of a telomere
 elongation mechanism. *Genome Res.* 29(6):920–31
- Schaack S, Pritham EJ, Wolf A, Lynch M. 2010. DNA transposon dynamics in populations of
 Daphnia pulex with and without sex. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 277(1692):2381–87
- 120. Schmidt JM, Good RT, Appleton B, Sherrard J, Raymant GC, et al. 2010. Copy Number
- Variation and Transposable Elements Feature in Recent, Ongoing Adaptation at the Cyp6g1
 Locus. *PLoS Genetics*. 6(6):e1000998
- 711 121. Schrader L, Kim JW, Ence D, Zimin A, Klein A, et al. 2014. Transposable element islands
- facilitate adaptation to novel environments in an invasive species. *Nature Communications*. 5(1):
- 122. Sessegolo C, Burlet N, Haudry A. 2016. Strong phylogenetic inertia on genome size and
 transposable element content among 26 species of flies. *Biology Letters*. 12(8):20160407
- 715 123. Siguier P, Gourbeyre E, Chandler M. 2014. Bacterial insertion sequences: their genomic
- 716 impact and diversity. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 38(5):865–91
- 717 124. Sijen T, Fleenor J, Simmer F, Thijssen KL, Parrish S, et al. 2001. On the Role of RNA
- 718 Amplification in dsRNA-Triggered Gene Silencing. *Cell*. 107(4):465–76
- 719 125. Spradling A, Rubin G. 1982. Transposition of cloned P elements into Drosophila germ line
 720 chromosomes. *Science*. 218(4570):341–47
- 126. Stapley J, Santure AW, Dennis SR. 2015. Transposable elements as agents of rapid adaptation
 may explain the genetic paradox of invasive species. *Molecular Ecology*. 24(9):2241–52

127. Suen G, Teiling C, Li L, Holt C, Abouheif E, et al. 2011. The genome sequence of the leaf-cutter
ant Atta cephalotes reveals insights into its obligate symbiotic lifestyle. *PLoS Genet*.

725 7(2):e1002007

- 128. Suh A, Witt CC, Menger J, Sadanandan KR, Podsiadlowski L, et al. 2016. Ancient horizontal
- transfers of retrotransposons between birds and ancestors of human pathogenic nematodes.
 Nat Commun. 7:11396
- 129. Szitenberg A, Cha S, Opperman CH, Bird DM, Blaxter ML, Lunt DH. 2016. Genetic Drift, Not
 Life History or RNAi, Determine Long-Term Evolution of Transposable Elements. *Genome Biol Evol*. 8(9):2964–78
- 130. Talla V, Suh A, Kalsoom F, Dincă V, Vila R, et al. 2017. Rapid Increase in Genome Size as a
- 733 Consequence of Transposable Element Hyperactivity in Wood-White (Leptidea) Butterflies.

734 *Genome Biology and Evolution*. 9(10):2491–2505

- Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Andino R. 2017. Circulating Immune Cells Mediate a Systemic RNAi Based Adaptive Antiviral Response in Drosophila. *Cell*. 169(2):314-325.e13
- Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Sánchez-Vargas I, et al. 2019. Control of RNA
 viruses in mosquito cells through the acquisition of vDNA and endogenous viral elements. *eLife*.
 8:
- 133. Ullastres A, Petit N, González J. 2015. Exploring the Phenotypic Space and the Evolutionary
- History of a Natural Mutation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*.
 32(7):1800–1814
- 134. van Rij RP, Saleh M-C, Berry B, Foo C, Houk A, et al. 2006. The RNA silencing endonuclease
 Argonaute 2 mediates specific antiviral immunity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genes & Development*. 20(21):2985–95
- 135. Venner S, Miele V, Terzian C, Biémont C, Daubin V, et al. 2017. Ecological networks to unravel
- the routes to horizontal transposon transfers. *PLOS Biology*. 15(2):e2001536
- 748 136. Vogt A, Goldman AD, Mochizuki K, Landweber LF. 2013. Transposon domestication versus
 749 mutualism in ciliate genome rearrangements. *PLoS Genet.* 9(8):e1003659

137. Walsh AM, Kortschak RD, Gardner MG, Bertozzi T, Adelson DL. 2013. Widespread horizontal
 transfer of retrotransposons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110(3):1012–16

- 138. Wang L, Wang J, Ma Y, Wan P, Liu K, et al. 2019. Transposon insertion causes cadherin mis-
- splicing and confers resistance to Bt cotton in pink bollworm from China. *Scientific Reports*. 9(1):
- 139. Wang S, Lorenzen MD, Beeman RW, Brown SJ. 2008. Analysis of repetitive DNA distribution
 patterns in the Tribolium castaneum genome. *Genome Biol.* 9(3):R61
- 140. Wang X, Fang X, Yang P, Jiang X, Jiang F, et al. 2014. The locust genome provides insight into
 swarm formation and long-distance flight. *Nat Commun*. 5:2957

- 141. Wang X, Liu X. 2016. Close ecological relationship among species facilitated horizontal
 transfer of retrotransposons. *BMC Evol Biol*. 16:201
- 760 142. White JA, Kelly SE, Cockburn SN, Perlman SJ, Hunter MS. 2011. Endosymbiont costs and
- benefits in a parasitoid infected with both Wolbachia and Cardinium. *Heredity*. 106(4):585–91
- 762 143. Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Kunitomi M, Tassetto M, Seetin MG, et al. 2017. The Diversity,
- 763 Structure, and Function of Heritable Adaptive Immunity Sequences in the Aedes aegypti
 764 Genome. *Current Biology*. 27(22):3511-3519.e7
- Wicker T, Sabot F, Hua-Van A, Bennetzen JL, Capy P, et al. 2007. A unified classification
 system for eukaryotic transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 8(12):973–82
- 767 145. Wood JG, Helfand SL. 2013. Chromatin structure and transposable elements in organismal
 768 aging. *Front Genet.* 4:274
- 146. Wood JG, Jones BC, Jiang N, Chang C, Hosier S, et al. 2016. Chromatin-modifying genetic
 interventions suppress age-associated transposable element activation and extend life span in
 Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113(40):11277–82
- 147. Woronik A, Tunström K, Perry MW, Neethiraj R, Stefanescu C, et al. 2019. A transposable
 element insertion is associated with an alternative life history strategy. *Nature Communications*.
 10(1):
- 148. Wu C, Lu J. 2019. Diversification of Transposable Elements in Arthropods and Its Impact on
 Genome Evolution. *Genes (Basel)*. 10(5):
- 149. Xiao J-H, Yue Z, Jia L-Y, Yang X-H, Niu L-H, et al. 2013. Obligate mutualism within a host drives
 the extreme specialization of a fig wasp genome. *Genome Biol.* 14(12):R141
- 779 150. Zanni V, Eymery A, Coiffet M, Zytnicki M, Luyten I, et al. 2013. Distribution, evolution, and
- diversity of retrotransposons at the flamenco locus reflect the regulatory properties of piRNA
 clusters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110(49):19842–47
- 782

783 Figure 1

