



HAL
open science

Subcellular Distribution of Dietary Methyl-Mercury in *Gammarus fossarum* and Its Impact on the Amphipod Proteome

Claudia Cosio, Davide Degli-Esposti, Christine Almunia, Véronique Gaillet, Hervé Sartelet, Jean Armengaud, Arnaud Chaumot, Olivier Geffard, Alain Geffard

► **To cite this version:**

Claudia Cosio, Davide Degli-Esposti, Christine Almunia, Véronique Gaillet, Hervé Sartelet, et al.. Subcellular Distribution of Dietary Methyl-Mercury in *Gammarus fossarum* and Its Impact on the Amphipod Proteome. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 2021, 55 (15), pp.10514 - 10523. 10.1021/acs.est.1c02385 . hal-03376460

HAL Id: hal-03376460

<https://hal.science/hal-03376460v1>

Submitted on 18 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Subcellular distribution of dietary methyl-mercury in *Gammarus***
2 ***fossarum* and its impact on the amphipod proteome**

3

4 Claudia Cosio^{a*}, Davide Degli-Esposti^b, Christine Almunia^c, Véronique Gaillet^a, Hervé
5 Sartelet^d, Jean Armengaud^c, Arnaud Chaumot^b, Olivier Geffard^b, Alain Geffard^a

6

7

8 ^a Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, UMR-I 02 INERIS-URCA-ULH SEBIO,
9 Campus du Moulin de la Housse, CEDEX, Reims, BP 1039 51687, France

10 ^b INRAE,UR RiverLy, Laboratoire d'écotoxicologie, F-69625 Villeurbanne , France.

11 ^c Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, INRAE, Département Médicaments et Technologies pour la
12 Santé (DMTS), SPI, 30200 Bagnols-sur-Cèze, France

13 ^d Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, UMR CNRS/URCA 7369, Matrice
14 Extracellulaire et Dynamique Cellulaire (MEDyC), Campus du Moulin de la Housse,
15 CEDEX, Reims, BP 1039 51687, France

16

17

18 *Corresponding author: Claudia.Cosio@univ-reims.fr,

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 The transfer of methyl-Hg (MeHg) from food is central for its effects in aquatic animals, but
24 we still lack knowledge concerning its impact in invertebrate primary consumers. In aquatic
25 environments, cell walls of plants are particularly recalcitrant to degradation and as such
26 remain available as food source for long periods. Here, the impact at the proteomic level of
27 dietary MeHg in *Gammarus fossarum* was established and linked to subcellular distribution of
28 Hg.

29 Individuals of *G. fossarum* were fed with MeHg in cell wall or intracellular compartments of
30 *Elodea nuttallii*. Hg concentrations in subcellular fractions were 2 to 6x higher in animals fed
31 with cell wall than intracellular compartments. At the higher concentrations tested, the
32 proportion of Hg in metal sensitive fraction increased from 30.0±6.1% to 41.0±5.7% for
33 individuals fed with intracellular compartment, while biologically detoxified metals fraction
34 increased from 30.0±6.1% to 50.0±2.8% when fed with cell wall compartment. Data
35 suggested that several thresholds of proteomic response are triggered by increased
36 bioaccumulation in each subcellular fraction in correlation with Hg exclusively bound to the
37 metal sensitive fraction, while the increase of biologically detoxified metal likely had a cost
38 for fitness. Proteomics analysis supported that the different binding sites and speciation in
39 shoots subsequently resulted in different fate and cellular toxicity pathways to consumers.


40 Our data confirmed that Hg bound in cell walls of plants can be assimilated by *G. fossarum*,
41 which is consistent with its feeding strategy, hence pointing cell walls as a significant source
42 for Hg transfers and toxicity in primary consumers. The high accumulation of Hg in
43 macrophytes make them a risk for food web transfer in shallow ecosystems. The present
44 results allowed gaining new insights on the effects and uptake mechanisms of MeHg in
45 aquatic primary consumers.

46
47 Keywords: bioaccumulation; cell walls; cytosol; proteogenomics; subcellular distribution.

48
49 Synopsis: subcellular compartmentalization of MeHg in shoots determines its fate and toxicity
50 in primary consumers

51

52



53

54

55 **Introduction**

56 Mercury (Hg) is a global pollutant seriously threatening ecosystems and eventually health,
57 due to the biomagnification of methyl-Hg (MeHg) through the food chain ^{1, 2, 3}. Past Hg
58 deposits of anthropogenic sources continue to cycle through compartments, forming up to
59 60% of current Hg emissions ⁴. Consequently, even if new emissions of Hg are kept constant,
60 Hg deposition will increase in the future ^{5, 6, 7}. In this context, understanding how Hg is
61 bioaccumulated and biomagnified in food webs is central to increase the effectiveness of
62 environmental management programs for biota and human health. For example, aquatic plants
63 are key species in shallow aquatic environment in which MeHg is formed ⁸. The high
64 accumulation of Hg in aquatic plants make them considered a model species for
65 phytoremediation programs, biomonitoring or to perform ecotoxicological tests ⁹, but also at
66 risk for food web transfer ^{10, 11}. A current research priority is to establish the sources of dietary
67 Hg having a detrimental impact in aquatic plant-based food webs for mitigation programs.

68 Both inorganic Hg (IHg) and MeHg are bioaccumulated in biota. While MeHg generally
69 represents 0.05 to 1% and up to 30% of total Hg (THg = IHg + MeHg) in water, MeHg forms
70 up to 80-100% of the THg in fish muscles, resulting in very high toxic body burdens in fish,
71 therefore threatening humans' health through fish consumption ^{2, 12, 13}. Consequently,
72 although both IHg and MeHg trigger toxicity in biota, in animals dietary MeHg is the main
73 risk of Hg ^{2, 12}. Nonetheless, a major gap in knowledge concerns the impact of dietary Hg
74 uptake in invertebrate primary consumers. Data supported the oxidative stress to be central for
75 MeHg toxicity in aquatic animals ^{14, 15}, but few studies concern effects through diet at
76 environmental concentrations and none concern invertebrates ¹⁶. In this context, the impact of
77 MeHg in realistic environmental exposure scenarios and representative biota need to be
78 studied to better anticipate its potential environmental impact. In aquatic invertebrates,
79 toxicity and tolerance of metal accumulation can be explained by its subcellular partitioning
80 ¹⁷. For example, in biota the sequestration of toxic metal by metallothioneins and granules is
81 known as biologically detoxified metals (BDM), while the partition in the metal sensitive
82 fraction (MSF) is expected to cause the toxicity ^{17, 18}. Moreover, linking intracellular
83 concentration and distribution to molecular and cellular responses is a current research
84 priority in biomonitoring, as it could participate in revealing innovative biomarkers for
85 environmental risk assessment ^{9, 19}.

86 Pioneer studies on diatoms suggested that intracellular MeHg was primarily transferred to
87 copepods, while Hg from cell walls, consisting in silicate frustules, was not assimilated ²⁰. By
88 contrast, we recently demonstrated that IHg and MeHg from cell walls -formed primarily of

89 cellulose, hemicellulose and pectin- in shoots of the macrophyte *Elodea nuttallii* resulted in a
90 higher transfer to gammarids than intracellular Hg¹⁰. Similarly, chironomids fed with
91 chopped macrophytes, to mimic incorporation in sediments of decaying tissues, revealed that
92 MeHg was more transferable to sensitive subcellular targets and bioavailable fraction in
93 primary consumers than IHg found in intracellular or cell wall compartments¹¹. Data point
94 that the composition of cell walls could affect the transfer rate of Hg to consumers^{10, 11, 21}.
95 The proportion of diatoms in phytoplankton is particularly high in nutrient-rich marine coastal
96 and high latitudes ecosystems, but significantly less in freshwater rivers, lakes and other
97 environments^{22, 23, 24}. In this context, data concerning Hg food transfer in marine plankton
98 might not be generalized to other animals with distinct feeding strategies or other ecosystems,
99 including freshwater, brackish water or contaminated sites. Moreover, studies on effect of
100 trophic Hg exposure in primary consumers, i.e. invertebrates are rare^{10, 11, 21}. At
101 environmental concentrations, cell walls appeared as the main bioaccumulation compartment
102 of Hg in macrophytes and because of their low degradability are expected to remain for long
103 periods in the aquatic systems, likely serving as food source^{25, 26, 27}. Here, we hypothesized
104 that the binding of Hg to plant's cell walls results in a higher dietary transfer and subsequently
105 in a higher toxicity in primary consumers than Hg bound in plant's intracellular compartment.
106 Gammarids as generalist herbivores are instrumental in European lotic ecosystems food webs
107 because of their role in the breakdown of the leaf litter. They are also good bioaccumulators
108 of metals and good candidates for ecotoxicological testing of freshwater ecosystems^{19, 28}.
109 *Gammarus* spp are found in *E. nuttallii* beds and were previously reported to feed on the latter
110^{29, 30}. Here we aimed to address how the MeHg localization in *E. nuttallii* shoots (cell wall vs
111 intracellular) defines its subcellular distribution in *G. fossarum*; and how dietary MeHg
112 impacts the proteome of *G. fossarum*. Gammarids were fed for 7 days with either cell wall or
113 intracellular compartment of the plant *E. nuttallii* previously exposed to MeHg and we
114 analyzed the fate and effects of MeHg in animals through subcellular distribution and shotgun
115 proteomics. Extensive proteome profiles further revealed the molecular toxicity pathway of
116 dietary MeHg. This fundamental knowledge contributes to a better knowledge of the fate and
117 impact of dietary MeHg in food webs.

118

119 **Material and Methods**

120 *Labware cleaning*

121 Glasswares and plastic consumables for exposures and sampling were washed for once in
122 detergent, twice in 10% HNO₃, once in 10% HCl, rinsed after each washing step with MilliQ

123 water (Merck Millipore) and kept in a laminar flow hood until dry. Glass material was in
124 addition heated overnight at 200°C.

125

126 *Elodea nuttallii* and *Gammarus fossarum* exposure

127 Exposure protocols of *E. nuttallii* and *G. fossarum* were described in ¹⁰. Briefly, shoots were
128 collected in Etournels ponds and acclimated 48h in laboratory conditions. Shoots (10 cm)
129 without roots were exposed to MeHg (CH₃HgCl; Alfa Aesar) or not exposed (control). The
130 exposure concentrations in water were chosen based on previous studies to result in
131 concentrations in shoots after 2h-long exposure spanning lowly to highly contaminated
132 environments (Table S1) ^{2, 31}. After the exposure, shoots were washed to conserve the shoot
133 morphology and retain Hg either from intracellular or cell wall compartment. Briefly,
134 intracellular Hg was conserved in shoots by rinsing with 10⁻³ M EDTA (AppliChem) and 10⁻³
135 M cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich) to remove the extracellular loosely bound Hg⁸. Hg bound in the
136 cell wall were obtained by soaking shoots in methanol-chloroform 3d (Sigma), resulting in
137 lipids solubilization and consequently allowing to recover an intact insoluble cell wall matrix
138 with a conserved structure ³². This latter method affects cell integrity and organic solvents
139 could cause IHg and MeHg redistribution. As such, concentrations might not be strictly
140 representative of initial concentrations in shoots but was performed to allow feeding animals
141 with purified cell walls.

142 *Gammarus fossarum* were sampled in the Bourbre River (France) ^{33, 34}, and acclimatized three
143 weeks to laboratory conditions. Nine 1 cm-long males were fed during 7 days with *E. nuttallii*
144 intracellular (I) or the cell wall (CW) compartments pre-exposed to 4 and 20 µg L⁻¹ MeHg
145 concentrations, thereafter referred as ‘low’ and ‘high’ MeHg treatments in line with their
146 concentrations corresponding to lowly and highly contaminated environments, respectively ²
147 ^{10, 11, 31}. To ensure exclusive exposure of gammarids through plant consumption, water
148 renewal and daily removal of dead individuals was done to avoid exposure to Hg through
149 water or cannibalism, respectively. We observed a weak (15%) mortality of gammarids
150 during exposure in all conditions ¹⁰. This rate agrees with the criteria from the Afnor standard
151 (XP T 90-722-3) for feeding rate measurement in the sentinel species *G. fossarum* ³⁵. It was
152 not correlated with MeHg concentration, or with the plant compartment (intracellular/cell
153 wall) and supported an absence or a sublethal toxicity in these experimental conditions ¹⁰. No
154 depuration was applied here, based on a former study, using the same experimental exposure
155 conditions showed that a 7 day-long depuration didn’t varied Hg concentrations ¹⁰.

156

157 *Subcellular fractionation of Hg in Gammarus fossarum*

158 The fractionation procedure of the whole body (Figure 1) adapted from ^{17, 36} was described
159 elsewhere ³⁷. Analyses were done in triplicates. Two frozen gammarids per conditions were
160 homogenized in 1 mL of ice-cold buffer (0.02M Tris-HCl pH 7.5; Sigma-Aldrich, purity
161 99%). The homogenate (H) was centrifuged 30 min at 4°C at 10,000g. The pellet (P1) was
162 hydrolyzed at 100°C 2 min and 1h with 1M NaOH 65°C and centrifuged 10 min at 10°C at
163 10,000g, resulting in a supernatant including gut content, cellular debris and likely part of
164 mitochondria (S2), while the pellet contained metal-rich granules and exoskeleton (P2). The
165 supernatant (S1) was ultracentrifuged 30 min at 4°C at 100,000 g forming a pellet (P3)
166 including lysosomes and microsomes and a supernatant (S3) with the cytosol. However, no
167 marker enzymes (i.e. ^{38, 39}) was used to confirm further the nature of each subcellular fraction
168 besides operational fractions according to ¹⁷. All S3 was further filtered with a sterivex filter
169 (millipore) at 0.45 µm, and thereafter named S4.

170 High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed to fractionate S4 with a
171 column using steric exclusion allowing (BIOSEP-SEC-S 2000; 1 - 300 kDa; Figure S1).
172 Eluting fractions of 0.5 mL were collected up 18 mL, acidified at 0.5% with suprapur HCl
173 (Merx) and kept at 4°C until analyzed. Three pools of metal-ligand were separated: (i) high-
174 molecular weight (HMW; 20-255 kDa) eluting 9 to 15 min after injection, (ii)
175 metallothionein-like protein eluting 16 to 24 min after injection (MTLPs-MW; 1.8-20 -kDa)
176 and (iii) low-molecular weight (LMW; <1.8 kDa) eluting 25 to 35 min after injection. Using
177 this protocol, MTLPs-MW are biologically detoxified metal in cytosol, while the heat
178 sensitive protein (HSeP) or proteins in cytosol is the sum of HMW and LMW ³⁶. We also
179 summed the area of peaks at 280 nm in these three fractions and normalized them by the
180 biomass used in H. We eventually compared the sum of Hg amounts found in the different
181 subcellular fractions with the fraction H, using $H = P2 + S2 + P3 + HMW + MMW + LMW$ as
182 a quality control, and observed a good agreement ($n = 18: 93.4 \pm 4.9\%$). We also injected
183 periodically 1 mM EDTA using the same mobile phase to wash the HPLC column and to
184 verify that Hg concentrations in eluting fractions remained at background level. For the
185 biological interpretation, metal sensitive fraction (MSF) was calculated as $P3 + HSeP$ and
186 biologically detoxified metals (BDM) as $P2 + MTLPs-MW$. As such, $MSF + BDM + S2 = H$.

187

188 *Determination of Hg in gammarids*

189 Pellets, i.e. P2 and P3 fractions, were resuspended in 1 mL water and mineralized 12h at 60°C
190 30% HNO₃ (suprapur, Merck). THg concentration in P2, S2, P3, S4, MTPLs and HSeP were
191 measured with a MERX-T analytical system (Brooks Rand instrumentsA) using THg method
192 ⁴⁰ showing a limit of detection (DL) of 0.03 ng·THg·L⁻¹, an error of 2% using certified
193 reference materials (CRMs) ORMS-5 (95.0 ± 1.7 % recovery) and TORT-2 (100 ± 0.1%
194 recovery). MeHg was analyzed in P2, S2, P3, S4, MTPLs and HSeP samples with a MERX-
195 M (Brooks Rand instruments) using MeHg method ^{41,42} showing a DL of 0.002 ng·MeHg·L⁻¹,
196 an error of 15% using the CRM TORT-2 (102.5 ± 2.4 % recovery).

197 Data are presented as arithmetic means ± standard deviations (SD). Normality and
198 homoscedasticity were tested. An analysis of variance (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) with a post-hoc
199 Tukey's HSD test ($p < 0.05$) was used to perform pairwise comparisons of bioaccumulation in
200 the software R version 3.1.3 ⁴³.

201

202 *Protein extraction and Tandem mass spectrometry*

203 Proteins were extracted (n= 6) from individuals of *G. fossarum* and identified following the
204 same protocol as formerly described ⁴⁴. Briefly, each animal was subjected to a bead beating
205 homogenization using a single 3.2 mm steel bead per tube in LDS buffer (20 µl·mg⁻¹;
206 Invitrogen). Cellular debris were eliminated after centrifugation for 3 min at 10,000 g.
207 Proteins from the supernatant were denatured for 5 min at 99 °C. An aliquot of 20 µL was
208 then loaded onto a SDS-PAGE gel for a brief (5 min) electrophoresis as recommended ⁴⁵.
209 Each proteome sample was processed and proteolyzed with trypsin (Roche) in ProteaseMAX
210 surfactant (0.01% , Promega) as recommended ⁴⁶. Peptides were analyzed with a Q-Exactive
211 HF mass spectrometer (Thermo) operated in data-dependent mode with the same parameters
212 as previously described ⁴⁷. Peptides were resolved at a flow rate of 0.2 µL·min⁻¹ along a
213 90 min gradient of CH₃CN in presence of 0.1% formic acid.

214

215 *Peptide identification and proteomic data analysis*

216 MS/MS spectra were interpreted against the GFOSS database, that is available through
217 PRIDE (accession PXD024583 as GFOSS_db01.fasta) with the MASCOT software (Matrix
218 Science) and the same parameters as those previously described ⁴⁸. Proteins were validated on
219 the basis of at least two identified peptides, resulting in a false discovery rate (FDR) lower
220 than 1% as estimated with the decoy database search option. Abundance of each peptide was

221 measured by their Spectral Count (SC), which corresponds to the count of MS/MS spectra
222 attributed to the same peptide. The protein abundance is the sum of the SC of all the peptides
223 assigned to the protein. Protein abundances were compared between organisms fed with
224 control plants or plants pre-exposed to MeHg. Abundance of Methionine and Cysteine residue
225 content in proteins from each sample was calculated by extracting spectral counts of these
226 residues as previously proposed ⁴⁹, *i.e.* multiplying the spectral counts of each protein by its
227 number of S-containing residues. The weighted relative abundance in S-containing residues
228 was then obtained by dividing the sum of S-spectral counts by the sum of spectral counts of
229 all residues.

230 Proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via PRIDE ⁵⁰ with
231 the dataset identifier PXD024583 and project DOI 10.6019/ PXD024583

232

233 *Gene Ontology (GO term) functional annotation, classification and analysis*

234 Identified proteins were annotated in April 2018 using Swissprot (<https://www.uniprot.org/>)
235 and NCBIInr (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/>) as databases explored with Diamond, a
236 free high-throughput sequence aligner ⁵¹. The NCBIInr database was used to find the most
237 probable protein homolog of the closest species to *Gammarus fossarum* for each protein with
238 an e-value below 10^{-10} . The Swissprot database was used to find the top 5 closest proteins in
239 terms of sequence identity to define for each identified protein their biological processes and
240 molecular functions as previously described ⁵², selecting a bit score alignment above 20 and
241 an e-value below 10^{-4} .

242

243 *Differential proteomics*

244 The quantities of each protein in animals exposed to treated intracellular or cell wall
245 compartments vs non-treated intracellular or cell wall compartments respectively were
246 compared using Patternlab version 4.0 ⁵³. The L-stringency was set at 0.6. At least four
247 measurements out of the five replicates per condition were taken into account. The selected
248 data were normalized with the total signal. Proteins were distinguished into 4 groups: the first
249 one corresponds to proteins that satisfied both the F-stringency (<0.30) and statistical criterion
250 (FDR < 0.05); the second one was filtered out by their L-stringency because of the low
251 protein abundance; the third one groups proteins that meet only the fold criterion, and the
252 fourth one comprises proteins that did not satisfy both criteria. Proteins from the first group
253 were selected for the Gene Ontology (GO) slim differential analysis. The average percentage

254 of S-containing residues in proteins significantly modulated, either over-detected or less-
255 detected, for each comparative test was calculated without specific weight.

256

257 *GO slim differential analysis*

258 GO slim analysis was performed taking the sum of SC of each GO slim related proteins for
259 each sample. Univariate statistical analysis was performed to define, with the Ttest pValue,
260 the reliability between conditions taking into account the six replicates. The ratio R for each
261 GO slim was calculated for comparing treated conditions and non-treated conditions, either
262 for the intracellular or the cell wall compartment, as the sum of SC of all replicates in the
263 treated samples divided by the sum of SC of all replicates in the control samples. The ratio
264 was compared using “prcomp” from the basic stats package of R to perform a Principal
265 Component Analysis (PCA). From the PCA, significant GOSlim contributing for more than
266 50 % to distinguish each condition (contrib > 0.5) were extracted.

267

268 **Results and discussion**

269 *Accumulation and subcellular distribution of Hg in G. fossarum*

270 THg concentrations in subcellular fractions were 2 to 6x higher in animals fed with cell wall
271 compartments than intracellular compartment of MeHg pre-exposed plants, and these
272 differences were significant ($p < 0.05$; Figure 2). For the high and low MeHg treatment
273 respectively, THg in MSF was 2.9x and 2.0x higher, while BDM 5.8x and 2.3x higher for
274 individuals fed with cell wall than intracellular compartments. To gain insights into transfer
275 dynamics of MeHg in *G. fossarum*, we analyzed by differential centrifugation the subcellular
276 distribution of THg and MeHg (see below) in 3 fractions (Figure 2). In controls and low
277 MeHg treatments, $42.5 \pm 6.5\%$ of THg was found in S2 and an equal concentration in MSF and
278 BDM fractions ($30.0 \pm 6.1\%$). For gammarids fed with the high MeHg intracellular
279 compartment, the MSF increased ($41.0 \pm 5.7\%$), while for the high MeHg cell wall treatment
280 the proportion of THg significantly increased in the BDM ($50.0 \pm 2.8\%$; $p < 0.05$). We analyzed
281 the spectrograms obtained by HPLC (Figure S1). For example, 5 peaks appeared visually
282 increased and 4 decreased in high MeHg vs ctl cell wall compartments (Figure S1). Because
283 individual peaks are poorly defined by HPLC method used here because bias are caused by
284 the overlapping of peaks, we compared the area of peaks measured at 280 nm in HPLC
285 eluting fractions normalized by the biomass of animals: the area of MTLPs-MW was
286 increased significantly ($p > 0.05$) by 1.7 ± 0.1 vs controls in both cell wall treatments and low
287 intracellular treatments. Despite visual differences in peaks (Figure S1), no significant

288 differences were found for the sum of areas in HMW and LMW between treatments and
289 control. Sequestration of toxic metal by MTs and granules is a very common strategy in biota
290 to control intracellular metal speciation and thus toxicity^{17, 18}. MeHg in bivalves and several
291 fish preys was hypothesized to be bound in the intracellular fraction to heat-stable-protein⁵⁴,
292⁵⁵. THg partition between the sensitive (HeSP; 40%) and detoxified (MTLPs-MW; 60%)
293 fractions was previously observed in the cytosol of *C. riparius* exposed to IHg spiked
294 sediments³⁷. In the same line, during a 7 day-long depuration phase, both IHg and MeHg
295 concentrations in *G. fossarum* feeding on IHg or MeHg pre-exposed *E. nuttallii* did not
296 significantly vary¹⁰, supporting that in gammarids the accumulated MeHg was bound
297 strongly to biological ligands, i.e. MTs and granules^{56, 57, 58}. Here, this detoxification strategy
298 could allow gammarids to reduce the toxicity of the MeHg entering their cytosol when the
299 concentration increased.

300 Ratio of MeHg as THg was 111±17 % in S2 and S4, 54.3±3.7% in P2 and 60.0±3.8% in P3.
301 Consequently, MeHg proportion as THg increased from 68 to 95% similarly in BDM and
302 MSF in control to high MeHg treatments, respectively (n=3; Table S2). In our previous study
303 with similar feeding of gammarids with *E. nuttallii*¹⁰, bioaccumulation measured on whole
304 individuals hindered to determine where the Hg demethylation took place, e.g. in plant tissues
305 before feeding (for instance, because of plant material decay or biotransformation by
306 microbes), or during digestion or metabolization in gammarids. Here, data supported the
307 second hypothesis, in line with observations made in *C. riparius* of an intracellular
308 demethylation in the insoluble fraction¹¹. These observations are consistent with a
309 generalized demethylation of MeHg in experimental food chains formed by algae (70%) and
310 zooplankton (13%)⁵⁹, but contrast in natural food chains with observed MeHg
311 biomagnification^{2, 31}.

312

313 ***Impact of dietary MeHg on proteome in G. fossarum***

314 *Number and pattern of modulated proteins*

315 We analyzed the whole proteome extracted from animals by shotgun proteomics and
316 interpreted the high-resolution tandem mass spectra using a *G. fossarum* RNA-seq derived
317 database. This proteogenomic approach led to 375,286 peptide-to-spectrum matches
318 corresponding to 12,719 unique peptide sequences, resulting in 3,261 proteins identified with
319 at least 2 peptides (Table S3). Globally, a total of 151 gammarid proteins were significantly
320 modulated for the 4 treatment groups vs the control (Table S3). Among them, 78 were found
321 more abundant, 67 were less abundant and 4 showed a higher abundance in intracellular but

322 were less abundant in cell wall treatments. Four distinct profiles of differentially abundant
323 proteins were identified for each treatment (Figure 3). However, a higher overlap (6-8% of
324 proteins) was observed in the same compartment, while only few proteins were shared
325 between intracellular and cell wall fed gammarids (Figure 3A). Despite inter-individual
326 variability is the main component of proteome variability in the organism analyzed in this
327 study (Supplementary Figure 1) and as previously discussed ⁴⁴, the differential analysis
328 allowed the identification of rather distinct protein profiles for each treatment (Figure 3B).

329 The treatment affecting the higher number of proteins was the high MeHg intracellular
330 treatment that modulated the abundance of 71 proteins, in line with the higher % of THg
331 found in MSF. The low MeHg cell wall and intracellular compartments modulated 45 and 44
332 proteins respectively. The high MeHg cell wall treatment modulated 36 proteins, suggesting
333 together with the higher proportion of THg in BDM, the occurrence of a higher detoxification.

334 *Functional analysis of modulated proteins*

335 The highest measured upregulation (Fold change 55x and 52x) and downregulation (-17x, -
336 28x) were observed for proteins involved in 'cytoskeleton' for both cell wall treatments
337 (Table 1). The Mass of these proteins are predicted between 42-35 kDa and could be involved
338 in the visual increase of peaks in HMW on HPCL spectrograms (Figure S1), although because
339 the area of HPLC eluting fractions is not strictly quantitative this comparison has to be made
340 with caution. However, these proteins are known targets of both MeHg and IHg toxicity
341 across taxa. For example, in copepods exposed to 50 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ IHg in water, authors proposed
342 that proteins assigned to this GO term (e.g. myosin) were involved in the cell reorganization
343 to withstand Hg toxicity ⁶⁰. Proteins involved in cytoskeleton (actin, tubulin, keratin) that are
344 essential for vesicle trafficking were significantly modified in fish and mammals exposed to
345 IHg and MeHg supporting cytoskeleton disruption due to metal exposures ^{61, 62, 63, 64, 65}. In
346 tuna muscle, binding of Hg to Beta-actin encompassed approximately 34% of Hg in the fish
347 muscles⁶⁶. Authors hypothesized that Hg binds to thiols in proteins. Here we observed that S
348 represented 4.27 ± 0.08 % of residues in all samples (Table S4, Figure S3), not pointing to an
349 increase of thiols in proteome of animals fed with Hg treatments.

350 Several modulated GO terms such as development/growth, energy metabolism, reproduction,
351 locomotion/motility were common in all treatments (Table 2). To compare the impact of
352 treatments on *G. fossarum* at a higher system level, we identified the most influent GOslim in
353 the PCA as being autophagy (148), mitochondrion organization (18), ribosome biogenesis
354 (164) and sulfur compound metabolic processes (1; Figure 4). Indeed, MeHg cell wall and

355 intracellular treatment decreased and increased the level of those GO terms respectively
356 (Table 3), suggesting a different impact of the cell wall treatment than intracellular treatment
357 on *G. fossarum* cell metabolism. GOslim identified for gammarids fed with MeHg from the
358 intracellular compartment supported the induction of the cellular machinery likely for
359 replacement of damaged proteins and warranting cell functions, while in animals fed with the
360 cell wall compartment GOslim pointed mitochondrial perturbations and the induction of
361 autophagy, ligase and nuclease activities supporting a perturbation of cell organization
362 triggering repair pathways. In the same line, the contrasted modulation of GO terms related to
363 gene expression (ribosome, RNA, DNA), protein maturation (ligase and transferase activities)
364 and growth/development supports a higher modulation of proteome by intracellular MeHg,
365 but a more detrimental impact to the cell metabolism of the cell wall MeHg. As such, the
366 tolerance mechanisms triggered by the high-MeHg cell wall treatment resulting in a higher
367 proportion of THg in BDM appeared to have a cost on fitness for those animals. Data are
368 congruent with studies on one wild *G. fossarum* population exposed historically to Cd ,
369 evidencing the fitness costs and modification of toxicological sensitivities ⁶⁷.

370 Here, differentially detected GO terms are in line with several known effects of MeHg. As
371 mentioned above, the cytoskeleton participates in the transport of molecules and signaling by
372 vesicular trafficking involved in autophagy ^{68, 69}. Autophagy is involved in elimination of
373 aberrant or obsolete cellular structures. Mitochondria are known target of MeHg in animals ⁶³,
374 ⁷⁰. For example, in shrimps ²⁰³Hg exposure by diet resulted in Hg bioaccumulation in
375 organelles (10%) and HeSP (40%), while in *Crassostrea virginica* a 4 week-long exposure to
376 0.056 μM Hg through water resulted in 16x higher concentration in organelles than MTLPs
377 ^{71,72}. Besides, MeHg was shown to depolarize mitochondrial membrane in synaptosomes ⁷³.
378 Here, bioaccumulation in mitochondria was not investigated, but this GO term supported an
379 interaction of Hg with this organelle in the high MeHg cell wall treatment. The GO term
380 “gene regulation” was also abundant in copepods exposed to 50 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ IHg in water and in
381 Medaka exposed to 1 and 10 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ IHg in water ^{60, 64}.

382 On the other hand, some expected GO terms were not found as significantly different, notably
383 proteins involved in reduction-oxidation (redox) metabolism and MTs in opposition with
384 observation of a predominant BDM distribution for the high MeHg cell wall treatment.
385 MeHg, IHg and other metals induce ROS production. Here, antioxidant enzymes that are
386 often observed in response to IHg exposure, e.g. superoxide dismutases, peroxiredoxins and
387 catalases ^{63, 64}, were not significantly modulated at the protein level. Similarly, a proteomic

388 analysis of rats exposed to IHg by diet did not identify GO terms directly linked to redox,
389 despite the fact that they measured significantly increased lipid peroxidation by classical
390 biochemical analyses⁶³. Nevertheless, here the GO term sulfur compound metabolic process
391 related to all chemical reactions and pathways involving sulfur-containing compounds (e.g.
392 methionine, cysteine, glutathione) strongly influenced the distribution in the PCA among
393 intracellular samples, while the proportion of Cysteine and Methionine residues in modulated
394 proteins didn't changed among treatments. This suggests the preexistence in the cytosol of a
395 sufficient pool of MTLP-MW proteins that could be involved in Hg-chelation in cytosol, as
396 also supported by the quite stable S proportion in residues of proteins for all treatments (Table
397 S4, Figure S3). Similarly, *G. fossarum* specimens collected *in situ* from a clean and a
398 contaminated river in Croatia showed increased soluble metal levels in gammarids in the
399 contaminated site, but similar MT concentrations in both sites⁷⁴. In the same line,
400 *Caenorhabditis elegans* chronically exposed to 60 mg·L⁻¹ MeHg showed no increase in mt
401 gene expression level after 15h exposure, while *mt*-gene loss-of-function mutants were
402 markedly more sensitive than wildtype to MeHg⁷⁵. Nevertheless, previous studies in fish
403 showed that MTs correlated to Hg concentration in liver, but not muscles and gills⁷⁶. Here the
404 proteomic analysis was conducted on whole organisms, potentially masking putative organ-
405 specific regulation. More investigation, outside the scope of the current study would be
406 necessary to conclude on the role of MTs in the tolerance to dietary MeHg in our
407 experimental conditions.

408 In conclusion, our analysis supported a sublethal stress likely coped by *G. fossarum* according
409 to the relative low number of modulated proteins in our experimental conditions. The analysis
410 revealed a correlation between protein abundance and Hg subcellular bioaccumulation in
411 MSF, but a different protein profile for each concentration, suggesting the occurrence of
412 several thresholds of regulation of the proteome. Data also suggested that in response to
413 increasing doses of MeHg, *G. fossarum* activated and/or deactivated some biochemical
414 processes to compensate the loss or impairment of other pathways caused by the toxicity of
415 MeHg. As such, defense mechanisms triggered by dietary MeHg appeared to have a cost for
416 the fitness of animals. Our experiment brought thus new insights on the specific effects of
417 MeHg in diet at sublethal concentration.

418 Our data in addition confirmed that Hg bound in cell walls of plants can be assimilated by *G.*
419 *fossarum*, which is consistent with its feeding strategy. The cell wall constituents are expected
420 to remain for a long period in the environment because of their recalcitrant nature. Here, data
421 point cell walls as a potential source of Hg transfers and toxicity to primary consumers.

422 Moreover, proteomic analysis revealed contrasted responses for intracellular and cell wall
423 treatments, congruent with detoxification mechanisms and supporting that the different
424 binding sites in shoots consequently caused different toxicity to consumers. In this context
425 feeding behaviour of consumers appears instrumental for the fate and impact of Hg in food
426 chains. In particular, the abundance of species and their feeding strategy need to be
427 considered to adequately protect aquatic systems, as both will significantly influence the fate
428 of Hg in local food webs. For example, the abundance of macrophytes in shallow water
429 systems might favor the transfer of MeHg to food webs.

430

431 **Aknowledgements**

432 This research received financial support by Grand Reims in the frame of the Aquasurv chair.
433 Authors thanks Dr Rebecca Beauvais-Flück and Mr Hervé Quéau for their help during
434 exposures. The authors also benefitted from the French GDR “Aquatic Ecotoxicology”
435 framework which aims at fostering stimulating scientific discussions and collaborations for
436 more integrative approaches.

437

438 **Supporting Information.**

439 Table S1: Hg concentrations in water, *E. nuttallii* and *G. fossarum*; Table S2: Hg
440 concentration in subcellular fractions; Table S3: list of identified proteins; Tables S4 to S6:
441 proportion of thiols in proteins; Figure S1: HPLC chromatograms, Figure S2: Principal
442 Component Analysis and Hierarchical clustering of samples, Figure S3: proportion of thiols in
443 proteins against fold changes.

444

445 **References**

- 446 1. Boening, D. W., Ecological effects, transport, and fate of mercury: a general review.
447 *Chemosphere* **2000**, *40*, 1335-1351
- 448 2. Bravo, A. G.; Cosio, C.; Amouroux, D.; Zopfi, J.; Chevalley, P.-A.; Spangenberg, J.
449 E.; Ungureanu, V.-G.; Dominik, J., Extremely elevated methyl mercury levels in water,
450 sediment and organisms in a Romanian reservoir affected by release of mercury from a chlor-
451 alkali plant. *Wat Res* **2014**, *49*, 391-405
- 452 3. Lawrence, A. L.; Mason, R. P., Factors controlling the bioaccumulation of mercury
453 and methylmercury by the estuarine amphipod *Leptocheirus plumulosus*. *Env Poll* **2001**, *111*,
454 217-31

- 455 4. UNEP, Global Mercury Assessment 2018: Sources, Emissions, Releases and
456 Environmental Transport. Chemicals, Geneva, Switzerland. **2018**
- 457 5. Amos, H. M.; Jacob, D. J.; Streets, D. G.; Sunderland, E. M., Legacy impacts of all-
458 time anthropogenic emissions on the global mercury cycle. *Glob Biogeochem Cyc* **2013**, *27*, 410-
459 421
- 460 6. Obrist, D.; Kirk, J. L.; Zhang, L.; Sunderland, E. M.; Jiskra, M.; Selin, N. E., A
461 review of global environmental mercury processes in response to human and natural
462 perturbations: Changes of emissions, climate, and land use. *Ambio* **2018**, *47*, 116-140
- 463 7. Branfireun, B. A.; Cosio, C.; Poulain, A. J.; Riise, G.; Bravo, A. G., Mercury cycling
464 in freshwater systems - An updated conceptual model. *Sci Tot Env* **2020**, *745*, 140906
- 465 8. Regier, N.; Larras, F.; Bravo, A. G.; Ungereanu, V. G.; Cosio, C., Hg bioaccumulation
466 in the macrophyte *Elodea nuttallii* in the field and in microcosm: Hg in shoots accumulated
467 from the water might involve Cu transporters. *Chemosphere* **2013**, *90*, 595-602
- 468 9. Regier, N.; Baerlocher, L.; Munsterkotter, M.; Farinelli, L.; Cosio, C., Analysis of the
469 *Elodea nuttallii* transcriptome in response to mercury and cadmium pollution: development of
470 sensitive tools for rapid ecotoxicological testing. *Env Sci Tech* **2013**, *47*, 8825-8834
- 471 10. Beauvais-Fluck, R.; Chaumot, A.; Gimbert, F.; Queau, H.; Geffard, O.; Slaveykova,
472 V. I.; Cosio, C., Role of cellular compartmentalization in the trophic transfer of mercury
473 species in a freshwater plant-crustacean food chain. *J Haz Mat* **2016**, *320*, 401-407
- 474 11. Beauvais-Fluck, R.; Gimbert, F.; Mehault, O.; Cosio, C., Trophic fate of inorganic and
475 methyl-mercury in a macrophyte-chironomid food chain. *J Haz Mat* **2017**, *338*, 140-147
- 476 12. Lavoie, R. A.; Bouffard, A.; Maranger, R.; Amyot, M., Mercury transport and human
477 exposure from global marine fisheries. *Sci Rep* **2018**, *8*, 6705
- 478 13. Wu, P.; Kainz, M. J.; Bravo, A. G.; Akerblom, S.; Sonesten, L.; Bishop, K., The
479 importance of bioconcentration into the pelagic food web base for methylmercury
480 biomagnification: A meta-analysis. *Sci Tot Env* **2019**, *646*, 357-367
- 481 14. Graves, S. D.; Kidd, K. A.; Batchelar, K. L.; Cowie, A. M.; O'Driscoll, N. J.;
482 Martyniuk, C. J., Response of oxidative stress transcripts in the brain of wild yellow perch
483 (*Perca flavescens*) exposed to an environmental gradient of methylmercury. *Comp Bioch*
484 *Physiol Part C Tox Pharm* **2017**, *192*, 50-58
- 485 15. Lee, Y. H.; Kang, H. M.; Kim, D. H.; Wang, M.; Jeong, C. B.; Lee, J. S., Adverse
486 effects of methylmercury (MeHg) on life parameters, antioxidant systems, and MAPK
487 signaling pathways in the copepod *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Aq Tox* **2017**, *184*, 133-141

- 488 16. Gentes, S.; Maury-Brachet, R.; Feng, C.; Pedrero, Z.; Tessier, E.; Legeay, A.;
489 Mesmer-Dudons, N.; Baudrimont, M.; Maurice, L.; Amouroux, D.; Gonzalez, P., Specific
490 effects of dietary methylmercury and inorganic mercury in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) determined
491 by genetic, histological, and metallothionein responses. *Env Sci Tech* **2015**, *49*, 14560-14569
- 492 17. Wallace, W. G.; Lee, B.-G.; Luoma, S. N., Subcellular compartmentalization of Cd
493 and Zn in two bivalves. I. Significance of metal-sensitive fractions (MSF) and biologically
494 detoxified metal (BDM). In *Mar Ecol Prog Series*, 2003; *249*, 183-197.
- 495 18. Monteiro, F.; Lemos, L. S.; de Moura, J. F.; Rocha, R. C. C.; Moreira, I.; Di
496 Benedetto, A. P.; Kehrig, H. A.; Bordon, I. C. A. C.; Siciliano, S.; Saint'Pierre, T. D.; Hauser-
497 Davis, R. A., Subcellular metal distributions and metallothionein associations in rough-
498 toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) from Southeastern Brazil. *MarPoll Bull* **2019**, *146*, 263-
499 273
- 500 19. Gouveia, D.; Chaumot, A.; Charnot, A.; Almunia, C.; Francois, A.; Navarro, L.;
501 Armengaud, J.; Salvador, A.; Geffard, O., Ecotoxicoproteomics for aquatic environmental
502 monitoring: first *in situ* application of a new proteomics-based multibiomarker assay using
503 caged amphipods. *Env Sci Tech* **2017**, *51*, 13417-13426
- 504 20. Mason, R. P.; Reinfelder, J. R.; Morel, F. M. M., Uptake, toxicity, and trophic transfer
505 of mercury in a coastal diatom. *Env Sci Tech* **1996**, *30*, 1835-1845
- 506 21. Lee, C. S.; Fisher, N. S., Bioaccumulation of methylmercury in a marine copepod. *Env*
507 *Tox Chem* **2017**, *36*, 1287-1293
- 508 22. Malviya, S.; Scalco, E.; Audic, S.; Vincent, F.; Veluchamy, A.; Poulain, J.; Wincker,
509 P.; Iudicone, D.; de Vargas, C.; Bittner, L.; Zingone, A.; Bowler, C., Insights into global
510 diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* **2016**, *113*, E1516
- 511 23. Tadonleke, R. D.; Lazzarotto, J.; Anneville, O.; Druart, J.-C., Phytoplankton
512 productivity increased in Lake Geneva despite phosphorus loading reduction. *J Plankton Res*
513 **2009**, *31*, 1179-1194
- 514 24. Descy, J.-P.; Leitao, M.; Everbecq, E.; Smits, J. S.; Deliege, J.-F., Phytoplankton of
515 the River Loire, France: a biodiversity and modelling study. *J Plankton Res* **2012**, *34*, 120-
516 135
- 517 25. Castro, R.; Pereira, S.; Lima, A.; Corticeiro, S.; Valega, M.; Pereira, E.; Duarte, A.;
518 Figueira, E., Accumulation, distribution and cellular partitioning of mercury in several
519 halophytes of a contaminated salt marsh. *Chemosphere* **2009**, *76*, 1348-1355

- 520 26. Larras, F.; Regier, N.; Planchon, S.; Poté, J.; Renaut, J.; Cosio, C., Physiological and
521 proteomic changes suggest an important role of cell walls in the high tolerance to metals of
522 *Elodea nuttallii*. *J Haz Mat* **2013**, *263*, 575-583
- 523 27. Valega, M.; Lima, A. I.; Figueira, E. M.; Pereira, E.; Pardal, M. A.; Duarte, A. C.,
524 Mercury intracellular partitioning and chelation in a salt marsh plant, *Halimione*
525 *portulacoides* (L.) Aellen: strategies underlying tolerance in environmental exposure.
526 *Chemosphere* **2009**, *74*, 530-6
- 527 28. Chaumot, A.; Geffard, O.; Armengaud, J.; Maltby, L.; Amiard-Triquet, C.; Amiard, J.-
528 C.; Mouneyrac, C., Chapter 11 - Gammarids as reference species for freshwater monitoring.
529 In *Aq Ecotox*, Academic Press: 2011; 253-280.
- 530 29. Boiche, A.; Lemoine, D. G.; Barrat-Segretain, M.-H.; Thiebaut, G., Resistance to
531 herbivory of two populations of *Elodea canadensis* Michaux and *Elodea nuttallii* Planchon
532 St. John. *Plant Ecol* **2011**, *212*, 1723-1731
- 533 30. Thiebaut, G.; Boiche, A.; Lemoine, D.; Barrat-Segretain, M.-H., Trade-offs between
534 growth and defence in two phylogenetically close invasive species. *Aq Ecol* **2017**, *51*, 405-
535 415
- 536 31. Cosio, C.; Fluck, R.; Regier, N.; Slaveykova, V. I., Effects of macrophytes on the fate
537 of mercury in aquatic systems. *Env Tox Chem* **2014**, *24*, 1225-1237
- 538 32. Hart, J. J.; Di Tomaso, J. M.; Linscott, D. L.; Kochian, L. V., Characterization of the
539 transport and cellular compartmentation of paraquat in roots of intact maize seedlings. *Pest*
540 *Bioch Physiol* **1992**, *43*, 212-222
- 541 33. Coulaud, R.; Geffard, O.; Xuereb, B.; Lacaze, E.; Queau, H.; Garric, J.; Charles, S.;
542 Chaumot, A., *In situ* feeding assay with *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea): Modelling the
543 influence of confounding factors to improve water quality biomonitoring. *Wat Res* **2011**, *45*,
544 6417-29
- 545 34. Besse, J. P.; Coquery, M.; Lopes, C.; Chaumot, A.; Budzinski, H.; Labadie, P.;
546 Geffard, O., Caged *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea) as a robust tool for the characterization
547 of bioavailable contamination levels in continental waters: towards the determination of
548 threshold values. *Wat Res* **2013**, *47*, 650-60
- 549 35. Qualité de l'eau - Mesures moléculaires, physiologiques et comportementales chez le
550 gammare (crustacé amphipode) - Partie 3 : mesure du taux d'alimentation.
551 [https://norminfo.afnor.org/consultation/xp-t90-722-3/qualite-de-leau-mesures-moleculaires-](https://norminfo.afnor.org/consultation/xp-t90-722-3/qualite-de-leau-mesures-moleculaires-physiologiques-et-comportementales-chez-le-gammare-crustace-amphipode-partie-3/93355)
552 [physiologiques-et-comportementales-chez-le-gammare-crustace-amphipode-partie-3/93355](https://norminfo.afnor.org/consultation/xp-t90-722-3/qualite-de-leau-mesures-moleculaires-physiologiques-et-comportementales-chez-le-gammare-crustace-amphipode-partie-3/93355)

- 553 36. Geffard, A.; Sartelet, H.; Garric, J.; Biagianti-Risbourg, S.; Delahaut, L.; Geffard, O.,
554 Subcellular compartmentalization of cadmium, nickel, and lead in *Gammarus fossarum*:
555 Comparison of methods. *Chemosphere* **2010**, *78*, 822-829
- 556 37. Gimbert, F.; Geffard, A.; Guedron, S.; Dominik, J.; Ferrari, B. J. D., Mercury tissue
557 residue approach in *Chironomus riparius*: Involvement of toxicokinetics and comparison of
558 subcellular fractionation methods. *Aq Tox* **2016**, *171*, 1-8
- 559 38. Rosabal, M.; Hare, L.; Campbell, P. G. C., Assessment of a subcellular metal
560 partitioning protocol for aquatic invertebrates: preservation, homogenization, and subcellular
561 fractionation. *Limnol Ocean Met* **2014**, *12*, 507-518
- 562 39. Cardon, P.-Y.; Caron, A.; Rosabal, M.; Fortin, C.; Amyot, M., Enzymatic validation of
563 species-specific protocols for metal subcellular fractionation in freshwater animals. *Limnol*
564 *Ocean Met* **2018**, *16*, 537-555
- 565 40. USEPA, Method 1631: Mercury in water by oxidation, purge and trap, and CVAFS.
566 *Office of Water, Revision E* **2002**,
- 567 41. USEPA, Method 1630: Methyl mercury in water by distillation, aqueous ethylation,
568 purge and trap, and CVAFS. *Office of Water, draft* **2001**,
- 569 42. Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Fitzgerald, W. F., Methylmercury in mosquitoes related to
570 atmospheric mercury deposition and contamination. *Env Sci Technol* **2005**, *39*, 3034-9
- 571 43. R Development Core Team, R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
572 R Foundation for Statistical Computing. **2013**, 12
- 573 44. Cogne, Y.; Almunia, C.; Gouveia, D.; Pible, O.; François, A.; Degli-Esposti, D.;
574 Geffard, O.; Armengaud, J.; Chaumot, A., Comparative proteomics in the wild: Accounting
575 for intrapopulation variability improves describing proteome response in a *Gammarus pulex*
576 field population exposed to cadmium. *Aq Tox* **2019**, *214*, 105244
- 577 45. Hartmann, E. M.; Allain, F.; Gaillard, J. C.; Pible, O.; Armengaud, J., Taking the
578 shortcut for high-throughput shotgun proteomic analysis of bacteria. *Meth Mol Biol* **2014**,
579 *2014*, 275-85
- 580 46. Gouveia, D.; Cogne, Y.; Gaillard, J.-C.; Almunia, C.; Pible, O.; François, A.; Degli-
581 Esposti, D.; Geffard, O.; Chaumot, A.; Armengaud, J., Shotgun proteomics datasets acquired
582 on *Gammarus pulex* animals sampled from the wild. *Dat Brief* **2019**, *27*, 104650
- 583 47. Gouveia, D.; Grenga, L.; Pible, O.; Armengaud, J., Quick microbial molecular
584 phenotyping by differential shotgun proteomics. *Env Microbiol* **2020**, *22*: 2996-3004

- 585 48. Trapp, J.; Geffard, O.; Imbert, G.; Gaillard, J.-C.; Davin, A.-H.; Chaumot, A.;
586 Armengaud, J., Proteogenomics of *Gammarus fossarum* to document the reproductive system
587 of amphipods. *Mol Cell Prot* **2014**, *13*, 3612
- 588 49. Gallais, F.; Pible, O.; Gaillard, J. C.; Debroas, S.; Batina, H.; Ruat, S.; Sandron, F.;
589 Delafoy, D.; Gerber, Z.; Olasso, R.; Gas, F.; Bellanger, L.; Deleuze, J. F.; Grenga, L.;
590 Armengaud, J., Heterogeneity of SARS-CoV-2 virus produced in cell culture revealed by
591 shotgun proteomics and supported by genome sequencing. *Anal Bioanal Chem* **2021**, *20*, 1-11
- 592 50. Perez-Riverol, Y.; Csordas, A.; Bai, J.; Bernal-Llinares, M.; Hewapathirana, S.;
593 Kundu, D. J.; Inuganti, A.; Griss, J.; Mayer, G.; Eisenacher, M.; Perez, E.; Uszkoreit, J.;
594 Pfeuffer, J.; Sachsenberg, T.; Yilmaz, S.; Tiwary, S.; Cox, J.; Audain, E.; Walzer, M.;
595 Jarnuczak, A. F.; Ternent, T.; Brazma, A.; Vizcaino, J. A., The PRIDE database and related
596 tools and resources in 2019: Improving support for quantification data. *Nucl Ac Res* **2019**, *47*,
597 D442-D450
- 598 51. Buchfink, B.; Xie, C.; Huson, D. H., Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
599 DIAMOND. *Nat Meth* **2014**, *12*, 59-60
- 600 52. Trapp, J.; Gouveia, D.; Almunia, C.; Pible, O.; Degli Esposti, D.; Gaillard, J.-C.;
601 Chaumot, A.; Geffard, O.; Armengaud, J., Digging deeper into the pyriproxyfen-response of
602 the amphipod *Gammarus fossarum* with a next-generation ultra-high-field orbitrap analyser:
603 new perspectives for environmental toxicoproteomics. *Front Env Sci* **2018**, *6*, 54
- 604 53. Carvalho, P. C.; Lima, D. B.; Leprevost, F. V.; Santos, M. D. M.; Fischer, J. S. G.;
605 Aquino, P. F.; Moresco, J. J.; Yates, J. R.; Barbosa, V. C., Integrated analysis of shotgun
606 proteomic data with PatternLab for proteomics 4.0. *Nat Protocols* **2016**, *11*, 102-117
- 607 54. Znidaric, M. T.; Falnoga, I.; Skreblin, M.; Turk, V., Induction of metallothionein-like
608 proteins by mercury and distribution of mercury and selenium in the cells of hepatopancreas
609 and gill tissues in mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Biol Trace El Res* **2006**, *111*, 121-135
- 610 55. Dang, F.; Wang, W. X., Subcellular controls of mercury trophic transfer to a marine
611 fish. *Aq Tox* **2010**, *99*, 500-506
- 612 56. Park, J.; Song, W. Y.; Ko, D.; Eom, Y.; Hansen, T. H.; Schiller, M.; Lee, T. G.;
613 Martinoia, E.; Lee, Y., The phytochelatin transporters AtABCC1 and AtABCC2 mediate
614 tolerance to cadmium and mercury. *Plant J* **2012**, *69*, 278-288
- 615 57. Gupta, M.; Tripathi, R. D.; Rai, U. N.; Chandra, P., Role of glutathione and
616 phytochelatin in *Hydrilla verticillata* (Lf) Royle and *Vallisneria spiralis* L. under mercury
617 stress. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *37*, 785-800

- 618 58. Tai, H. C.; Lim, C., Computational studies of the coordination stereochemistry,
619 bonding, and metal selectivity of mercury. *J Phys Chem A* **2006**, *110*, 452-462
- 620 59. Pickhardt, P. C.; Folt, C. L.; Chen, C. Y.; Klaue, B.; Blum, J. D., Impacts of
621 zooplankton composition and algal enrichment on the accumulation of mercury in an
622 experimental freshwater food web. *Sci Tot Env* **2005**, *339*, 89-101
- 623 60. Xu, X.; Shi, L.; Wang, M., Comparative quantitative proteomics unveils putative
624 mechanisms involved into mercury toxicity and tolerance in *Tigriopus japonicus* under
625 multigenerational exposure scenario. *Env Poll* **2016**, *218*, 1287-1297
- 626 61. Keyvanshokoh, S.; Vaziri, B.; Gharaei, A.; Mahboudi, F.; Esmaili-Sari, A.;
627 Shahriari-Moghadam, M., Proteome modifications of juvenile beluga (*Huso huso*) brain as an
628 effect of dietary methylmercury. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genom Prot* **2009**, *4*, 243-
629 248
- 630 62. Berg, K.; Puntervoll, P.; Valdersnes, S.; Goksoyr, A., Responses in the brain proteome
631 of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) exposed to methylmercury. *Aq Tox* **2010**, *100*, 51-65
- 632 63. Correa, M. G.; Bittencourt, L. O.; Nascimento, P. C.; Ferreira, R. O.; Aragao, W. A.
633 B.; Silva, M. C. F.; Gomes-Leal, W.; Fernandes, M. S.; Dionizio, A.; Buzalaf, M. R.; Crespo-
634 Lopez, M. E.; Lima, R. R., Spinal cord neurodegeneration after inorganic mercury long-term
635 exposure in adult rats: Ultrastructural, proteomic and biochemical damages associated with
636 reduced neuronal density. *Ecotox Env Saf* **2020**, *191*, 110159
- 637 64. Wang, M.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Wang, J.; Hong, H.; Wang, D., Quantitative
638 proteomic analysis reveals the mode-of-action for chronic mercury hepatotoxicity to marine
639 medaka (*Oryzias melastigma*). *Aq Tox* **2013**, *130-131*, 123-131
- 640 65. Quintá, H. R.; Galigniana, N. M.; Erlejman, A. G.; Lagadari, M.; Piwien-Pilipuk, G.;
641 Galigniana, M. D., Management of cytoskeleton architecture by molecular chaperones and
642 immunophilins. *Cell Sign* **2011**, *23*, 1907-1920
- 643 66. Nong, Q.; Dong, H.; Liu, Y.; Liu, L.; He, B.; Huang, Y.; Jiang, J.; Luan, T.; Chen, B.;
644 Hu, L., Characterization of the mercury-binding proteins in tuna and salmon sashimi:
645 Implications for health risk of mercury in food. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *263*, 128110.
- 646 67. Vigneron, A.; Geffard, O.; Coquery, M.; Francois, A.; Queau, H.; Chaumot, A.,
647 Evolution of cadmium tolerance and associated costs in a *Gammarus fossarum* population
648 inhabiting a low-level contaminated stream. *Ecotox* **2015**, *24*, 1239-1249
- 649 68. Amaya, C.; Fader, C. M.; Colombo, M. I., Autophagy and proteins involved in
650 vesicular trafficking. *FEBS Letters* **2015**, *589*, 3343-3353

- 651 69. Kast, D. J.; Dominguez, R., The cytoskeleton-autophagy connection. *Cur biol CB*
652 **2017**, *27*, R318-R326
- 653 70. Ferreira, F. F.; Nazari, E. M.; Muller, Y. M. R., MeHg causes ultrastructural changes
654 in mitochondria and autophagy in the spinal cord cells of chicken embryo. *J Toxicol* **2018**,
655 *2018*, 8460490
- 656 71. Seebaugh, D. R.; Wallace, W. G., Assimilation and subcellular partitioning of
657 elements by grass shrimp collected along an impact gradient. *Aq Tox* **2009**, *93*, 107-115
- 658 72. Mass Fitzgerald, A.; Zarnoch, C. B.; Wallace, W. G., Examining the relationship
659 between metal exposure (Cd and Hg), subcellular accumulation, and physiology of juvenile
660 *Crassostrea virginica*. *Env Sci Poll Res* **2019**, *26*, 25958-25968
- 661 73. Hare, M. F.; Atchison, W. D., Comparative action of methylmercury and divalent
662 inorganic mercury on nerve terminal and intraterminal mitochondrial membrane potentials. *J*
663 *Pharmacol Exp Ther* **1992**, *261*, 166-72
- 664 74. Filipovic Marijic, V.; Dragun, Z.; Sertic Peric, M.; Matonickin Kepcija, R.; Gulin, V.;
665 Velki, M.; Ecimovic, S.; Hackenberger, B. K.; Erk, M., Investigation of the soluble metals in
666 tissue as biological response pattern to environmental pollutants (*Gammarus fossarum*
667 example). *Chemosphere* **2016**, *154*, 300-309
- 668 75. Helmcke, K. J.; Aschner, M., Hormetic effect of methylmercury on *Caenorhabditis*
669 *elegans*. *Tox Appl Pharmacol* **2010**, *248*, 156-164
- 670 76. Bebianno, M. J.; Santos, C.; Canario, J.; Gouveia, N.; Sena-Carvalho, D.; Vale, C., Hg
671 and metallothionein-like proteins in the black scabbardfish *Aphanopus carbo*. *Food Chem Tox*
672 **2007**, *45*, 1443-1452
- 673
- 674

Table 1. List of the five most up and down-detected proteins in each treatment. Abundance vs control (Log2 fold change), annotation, % identities and e-value are listed. Values in bold are significantly different to control (p-value <0.05 calculated with univariate statistic: Ttest).

Functional annotation	% sequence identity	Expect value	I-low	I-high	C-low	C-high
Cell structure						
actin, cytoplasmic 1	51.3	1.5e-58	1.1	-1.8	55.2	53.0
myosin light chain alkali-like	53.8	5.9e-23	-2.3	-5.3	2.2	1.7
tubulin alpha-3 chain	75.2	7.1e-135	5.1	18.1	-1.7	-1.7
myosin heavy chain, muscle-like isoform X18	76.1	1.7e-70	1.1	6.1	-11.5	-4.9
myosin heavy chain type b	58.7	2.6e-69	-1.0	5.9	-7.1	-7.0
collagen alpha-1, IV, chain precursor, putative	63.5	1.2e-61	-1.7	-2.7	-2.3	-7.9
myosin heavy chain, partial	83.8	2.9e-79	2.2	17.1	-17.3	-28.3
Energy metabolism						
ADP/ATP translocase 3-like	94	1.4e-44	-8.0	-2.2	7.2	5.7
fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	85.7	5.8e-31	7.0	2.7	1.1	-1.0
sucrase-isomaltase, intestinal	59.8	9,00E-102	-6.4	-2.6	-2.1	-1.1
glycogen phosphorylase	67.4	2.5e-98	6.4	8.6	-1.9	-1.2
aconitate hydratase, mitochondrial	91.6	2.4e-168	3.9	6.5	1.4	-1.2
endoglucanase E-4	72	5.1e-147	-4.4	-5.4	-3.0	-1.2
malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic	87.8	4.1e-161	3.9	6.0	-1.1	-1.6
enolase isoform X1	77.7	1.7e-113	-14.1	-3.0	-6.0	-5.1
family 7 cellobiohydrolase	77.9	6.7e-61	1.4	-8.9	-2.1	-7.9
Response to stress						
endoplasmin-like isoform X1	78.5	5.2e-177	3.4	2.2	6.8	7.1
oplophorus-luciferin 2-monooxygenase non-catalytic subunit	34	4.4e-46	2.1	1.7	5.3	3.3
glucosylceramidase-like	73.6	4.5e-56	-4.1	-6.2	2.1	2.1
phosphate carrier protein, mitochondrial-like	81.3	2.5e-119	-2.0	-7.5	1.2	1.5
hemolymph clottable protein-like isoform X1	31.6	1.1e-06	4.3	3.1	2.8	1.3
putative glucosylceramidase 3	63.8	7.9e-42	-1.3	-1.2	4.5	1.0

dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family member 4	56.1	3.5e-45	4.4	-1.3	1.8	-1.0
beta-1,3-glucan binding protein	38	3.7e-41	-1.5	3.1	-6.5	-8.6
endoplasmin-like isoform X2	70	5.5e-135	-1.0	2.4	-8.8	-8.7
Others						
putative glucosylceramidase 3	55.8	6.2e-115	1.1	-2.5	2.5	6.2
putative ferric-chelate reductase 1 homolog	30.3	1.7	1.3	-3.1	4.3	5.2
uncharacterized protein	49.5	9.2e-43	1.1	4.0	2.3	15.3
uncharacterized protein	29.9	7.9e-55	1.1	-1.2	4.5	2.9
uncharacterized protein	56	4.2e-61	6.7	7.5	3.2	1.7
uncharacterized protein	61.9	1.4e-78	-1.9	-4.7	-1.7	1.1

Table 2. Ratio of GOslim terms for molecular function (MF), biological process (BP) and cellular compartment (CC) showing opposite trends for *G. fossarum* feeding on the low or high MeHg contaminated intracellular (I) or cell wall (C) compartment vs respective controls of *E. nuttallii*. Values in bold are significantly different to control (Log2 fold change, *p-value <0.05 calculated with univariate statistic: Ttest).

		I-low	I-high	C-low	C-high
GOslim_MF	cytoskeletal protein binding	3.7*	1.7*	-3.0	-1.1
GOslim_MF	enzyme binding	2.0*	1.7	-1.8	-2.0
GOslim_MF	DNA binding	3.0*	1.9	-2.2*	-1.1*
GOslim_MF	ATPase activity	3.0*	1.3	-2.0	-1.2
GOslim_BP	plasma membrane organization	3.0*	1.7*	2.5*	-2.8
GOslim_BP	anatomical structure development	1.1*	1.1	-2.0	-1.1*
GOslim_BP	anatomical structure formation involved in morphogenesis	3.7*	1.6*	-1.4	-1.4*
GOslim_BP	circulatory system process	2.9*	1.5	-3.6	-2.3
GOslim_BP	embryo development	3.6*	1.5	-3.2	-1.8*
GOslim_BP	reproduction	1.7*	1.5	-1.9	-1.5*
GOslim_BP	growth	1.1*	2.0*	-2.8	-2.4
GOslim_BP	locomotion	1.7*	1.4	-1.3	-1.4*
GOslim_BP	cell motility	3.8*	1.4	-2.2	-1.5*
GOslim_CC	chromosome	4.2*	1.1	-3.7	-1.2
GOslim_CC	cytosol	1.0*	1.3*	-1.5	-1.3
GOslim_CC	nucleoplasm	1.4*	1.8	-1.3*	-2.3*
GOslim_CC	microtubule organizing center	5.5*	6.0	2.9	-2.8
GOslim_CC	protein-containing complex	1.1*	1.3	-1.2	-1.1*

Table 3. Ratio of GO terms responding to concentration for *G. fossarum* feeding on the low and high MeHg contaminated intracellular (I) and cell wall compartment (C) vs respective controls of *E. nuttallii* (Log2 fold change; *p-value <0.05; #N/A GO term not found for the treatment).

		GO Term # on PCA	I-low	I-high	C-low	C-high
GOslim_BP	autophagy	148	-5.1*	0.8	-0.2	4.8
GOslim_BP	mitochondrion organization	18	-2.9*	0.6	-4.4	4.8
GOslim_BP	ribosome biogenesis	164	#N/A	2.4	-5.6	#N/A
GOslim_CC	microtubule organizing center	198	5.5*	6.0	2.9	-2.8
GOslim_CC	ribosome	207	#N/A	2.4	-5.6	-4.9*
GOslim_MF	transferase activity, transferring glycosyl groups	5	5.9*	5.8*	-5.1	#N/A
GOslim_MF	ligase activity	99	0.3	0.7	-4.4	4.8
GOslim_MF	rRNA binding	101	#N/A	2.1	-4.8	-4.9*
GOslim_MF	structural constituent of ribosome	75	#N/A	2.4	-5.6	-4.9*




Figure 1. main steps of differential centrifugation protocol.




Figure 2. Concentration (ng·g⁻¹; mean ± sd; A) and proportion (%; B) of THg measured in MSF, BDM and debris (S2) for *G. fossarum* feeding on the MeHg contaminated intracellular (I) or cell wall (C) compartment of *E. nuttallii* (**p*<0.05 vs control; n = 3).




Figure 3: Venn diagram (A) and heatmap (Log₂ fold change) (B) of differentially abundant proteins in *G. fassarum* feeding 7 days on the low or high MeHg contaminated intracellular (I) or cell wall (C) vs control (p-value <0.05). Maximum of heatmap is set to 4 for visibility of smaller fold changes (see Table 1 for identification of most modulated proteins).




Figure 4. PCA of GOslim terms according to biological processes in *G. fossarum* feeding 7 days on the low or high MeHg contaminated intracellular (I) or cell wall (C) vs control.