



## Viscous resuspension of droplets

Mehdi Maleki, Clément de Loubens, Hugues Bodiguel

### ► To cite this version:

Mehdi Maleki, Clément de Loubens, Hugues Bodiguel. Viscous resuspension of droplets. *Physical Review Fluids*, 2022, 7, pp.L011602. 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.7.L011602 . hal-03376433v1

**HAL Id: hal-03376433**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03376433v1>**

Submitted on 13 Oct 2021 (v1), last revised 30 Jan 2022 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Viscous resuspension of droplets

Mehdi Maleki, Clément de Loubens,\* and Hugues Bodiguel  
*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, LRP, 38000 Grenoble, France*  
(Dated: October 13, 2021)

Using absorbance measurements through a Couette cell containing an emulsion of buoyant droplets, volume fraction profiles are measured at various shear rates. These viscous resuspension experiments allow a direct determination of the normal stress in connection with the suspension balance model that has been developed for suspensions of solid particles. The results unambiguously show that the normal viscosity responsible for the shear-induced migration of the droplets is the same as for rigid particles, even at moderate capillary numbers where coalescence occurs, and independently of the polydispersity. This implies that neither the particle deformation nor the detail of contact interactions play an important role on shear-induced migration.

Inspired by margination in blood vessels [1–3], there is a growing interest for flow-induced structuration phenomena in suspensions of soft microparticles in order to design cells sorting microfluidic systems for biological analysis [4–6]. Understanding and modelling migration in suspensions of soft particles is considered a veritable challenge because of the non-linear coupling between hydrodynamic interactions and the dynamics of deformation of the particles [7, 8]. In fact, the deformability of the particle is a major ingredient to break the symmetry of inertialess flow. It generates normal forces and particle migration across the streamlines [9, 10]. The role of soft lubrication interactions between particles has also been questioned as a collective mechanism of migration [11, 12]. Up to now, the problem has been addressed by full numerical simulations which consider the interplay between the flow, the dynamics of deformation of the particles and their mechanical properties [8, 13–16]. Even in a simple flow, the dynamic of deformation of soft particles is the complex result between the nature of the flow and the mechanical properties of the particle [17, 18]. These complex dynamics would seem to play a role in particle migration [19]. In contrast, this paper shows that all these sophisticated details do not need to be considered to account for migration in suspension of particles in a large range of volume fractions and shear rates.

The limiting case of rigid particles, where pair trajectories are perfectly reversible is worth discussion [20, 21]. Irreversibility has been discussed to be due to many body hydrodynamic interactions [22–24] but is now recognized to be more likely due to solid frictional contacts between rough particles [20, 25–28]. These are thus likely to play a major role in the shear-induced migration observed in heterogeneous flows of suspensions [29, 30]. Recent experimental results on viscous resuspension [31, 32] support this idea since migration was found to vary non-linearly with respect to the shear rate, which has been interpreted as a manifestation of non-Coulombian friction. Numerical investigations also highlighted the role of frictional contacts on the rheological properties of suspensions, but only at high volume fraction, since lubri-

cation interactions are dominate for intermediate volume fraction [28, 33, 34]. The exact role of contact contribution on particle migration thus remains to be clarified, and has important theoretical implications [35, 36]. When reducing particle stiffness, it is expected that solid contacts between particles will eventually be precluded by a lubrication film. Therefore, studying shear-induced migration of deformable particles should also shed some lights on its physical origin in suspensions of rigid particles. A transition from a contact driven migration to a deformability driven one could thus be expected. It has been evidenced for pair trajectories [21], but remains to be investigated for suspensions of soft particles.

In order to shed light on the collective mechanisms governing the migration of soft particles, we experimentally studied viscous resuspension of buoyant droplets, which are the simplest model of deformable particles, in a Taylor-Couette geometry, Fig. 1-c. In this configuration, migration is mainly the result of collective interactions between the droplets without interfering with other migration mechanisms such as wall effects and gradients of shear rate. An elegant way of interpreting migration in flow of suspension is to refer to a two-phase momentum balance model, the suspension balance model (SBM) [37, 38], which had been developed for rigid particles. The interest of the SBM, compared to diffusive models [39–41], that it connects the particle migration to the suspension rheology. More specifically, the flux of particles is given by a momentum balance between the divergence of the particle stress tensor, buoyancy and the viscous drag. For rigid particles, constitutive relations between particle stress, volume fraction and shear rate have been determined [29–32, 39, 41–44]. For emulsions, earlier theoretical work [45, 46] predicted that migration and normal stress depends on both volume fraction and capillary number  $Ca = \eta_0 \dot{\gamma} a / \sigma$  ( $a$  being the droplet radius,  $\eta_0$  the suspending liquid viscosity and  $\sigma$  the surface tension), which is verified in the dilute case [47]. However, there is a serious lack of experimental data about droplet migration in semi-dilute regime, despite a few observations suggesting that shear-induced migration in emulsions is effective [48, 49]. Here, by filling this gap, we




FIG. 1. (a) Monodisperse and (b) polydisperse suspensions of oil-in-water droplets. (c) The suspension was sheared between the two concentric cylinders of a Taylor-Couette cell and visualized by light transmission in the region of interest (ROI) according to  $x_2$ .  $h$  is the height of the resuspended suspension. (d) Transmitted light intensity  $I$  in the ROI. (e) Volume fraction of the droplets  $\phi \propto \log_{10}(I_0/I)$  in the ROI.

show that the SBM fully accounts for the viscous resuspension in emulsions, and unexpectedly, that the normal particle stress is linear with respect to the shear rate and independent of  $Ca$ , similarly to the rigid case.

Emulsions of non-Brownian droplets were made by dispersing a medium chain triglyceride oil (Nestlé, Switzerland) in an aqueous solution of glycerol (84 % w/w, CAS number 56-81-5, VWR) and were stabilized with 1% w/w sorbitan trioleate 85 (CAS number 26266-58-0, Sigma-Aldrich). Monodisperse emulsions were produced with a microfluidic T-junction, radius  $a = 143 \pm 5 \mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 1-a), whereas a membrane emulsification device [50, 51] (Micropore LDC-1, Micropore Technologies Ltd, UK) was used to produce polydisperse suspensions,  $\bar{a} = 47 \mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 1-b, see Supp. Mat.). The viscosity and density of both phases were measured with a rotational rheometer (DHR3, TA instruments) and a densimeter (DMA 4500M, Anton Paar) and were  $\eta_d = 50 \text{ mPa}\cdot\text{s}$ ,  $\eta_c = 85 \text{ mPa}\cdot\text{s}$  and  $\rho_d = 943.42 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ,  $\rho_c = 1218.52 \text{ kg/m}^3$  at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The surface tension  $\sigma$  between the two phases was measured by the pendant drop method and was  $5 \text{ mN/m}$ .

Resuspension experiments were carried out in a homemade transparent Taylor-Couette cell, 50 mm high and made from PMMA. (Fig. 1 -c) driven by a DHR3 rheometer (TA instruments). The inner and outer radii of the cell were  $R_1 = 20$  and  $R_2 = 24 \text{ mm}$ , respectively. The gap was large enough to accommodate at least 10 droplets, while minimizing the variations of shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ . The suspension of droplets was poured in the cell and left to cream for several hours to obtain a layer of creamed droplets of height  $h_0$ . The emulsion was sheared at different increasing steps of  $\dot{\gamma}$  from 6 to  $260 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . For each step, we waited until that the concentration profile reached a steady state. The maximal value of  $\dot{\gamma}$  was chosen to

keep the Reynolds number  $Re = \rho\Omega R_1(R_2 - R_1)/\eta_0$  sufficiently low to avoid the emergence of Taylor vortices, i.e.  $Ta = Re^2 2(R_2 - R_1)/(R_1 + R_2) < 900$ . It also made it possible to avoid the secondary currents which arise at higher shear rates from the combination of the centrifugal force and buoyancy [31]. This range of shear rate corresponds to a variation of  $Ca$  between  $10^{-3}$  and 0.4. Beyond this value, break-up of droplets was expected [52]. To ensure the absence of droplet break-up and/or coalescence, we tested the repeatability of the measurement at the smaller shear rate. Coalescence was furthermore avoided using surface treatment of the cell. Although these precautions were sufficient for the two monodisperse emulsions studied, we were only able to limit coalescence for the polydisperse emulsions. However, we quantified it and corrected the  $h_0$  values (see Supp. Mat.).

The resuspension process was visualized with a color camera in a region of interest (ROI), which was centered along the axis of rotation of the inner cylinder (Fig. 1-c), see Supp. Mat for details. The concentration profile  $\phi(x_3)$  was inferred by light absorption technique. The optical indexes of both phases of the emulsion were precisely matched. A non-fluorescent colorant (E122, Breton) was added to the continuous phase to provide light absorbance contrast (Fig. 1-d).  $\phi = KA$  was then inferred from the measurement of the absorbance  $A = \log_{10}(I_0/I)$  for each pixel, where  $I$  is the light intensity,  $I_0$  the intensity of the background and  $K$  the coefficient of attenuation (Fig. 1-d,e).

Fig. 2 shows the concentration profiles obtained on one of the emulsions used. At rest, the front of  $\phi$  showed a sharp transition between  $\phi = 0$  and 0.6. However, contrary to hard spheres,  $\phi$  was not constant in the dense-packed zone and increased from 0.6 to 0.8. It is an indication of droplets deformation, because the droplets at




FIG. 2. Steady state concentration profiles  $\phi(\hat{x}_3)$  for each shear rate step  $\dot{\gamma}$  (colored dots) and Eq. 4 with  $\phi_m = 0.8$  and  $\lambda_3 = 1$  (colored dashed lines) for mono-dispersed suspension. In the insert, the relative height increment of the resuspended layer is plotted as a function of the Acrivos number,  $A = aSh/h_0$ . The solid lines are the SBM predictions.

the top of the layer underwent the Archimede's pressure of the layers below [53]. We defined a local Laplace number  $La$ , as the ratio of this pressure over the Laplace pressure, i.e.  $La = a \int \phi \Delta \rho g dz / \sigma$  and showed (see Supp. Mat. ) that  $\phi$  is, at rest, a unique function of  $La$  up to 0.3.

The increasing shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ , the height  $h$  of the suspended layer increased as the mean value of  $\phi$  decreased, due to volume conservation. Integration of  $\phi$  showed that the volume of droplets was conserved throughout the experiment, which validated its measurement (see Supp. Mat.). In the SBM framework, the steady state volume fraction profiles result from the momentum balance in the particle phase, i.e.  $\phi \Delta \rho g + \nabla \cdot \Sigma_p$ . Under the hypothesis of linearity with respect to the shear rate, the particle normal stress could be written as  $\Sigma_{p,ii} = \eta_0 \eta_{n,i}(\phi) |\dot{\gamma}|$  where  $\eta_n$  is the non-dimensional normal viscosity [37, 38]. If the momentum balance is simplified, we easily obtain

$$\frac{\phi}{Sh} = -\frac{d\eta_{n,3}}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{d\hat{x}_3} \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{x}_3 = x_3/a$  and  $Sh = \eta_0 \dot{\gamma} / \Delta \rho g a$  is the Shields number. Thus, integrating Eq. 1 along the resuspended height provides a measurement of  $\eta_{n,3}$  over a range of  $\phi$  which depends on  $Sh$  (or  $\dot{\gamma}$ )

$$\eta_{n,3} = \frac{1}{Sh} \int_{\hat{x}_3}^{h/a} \phi(u) du. \quad (2)$$

The results of this integration are presented in Fig. 3. Unambiguously, most of the data fall on the same master-curve. Deviations observed for the smallest shear rates at low  $\phi$  are not relevant since the corresponding volume fraction profiles tend towards zero very sharply, over a distance that is about the size of a droplet. For the highest shear rates, a small deviation could also be seen at the very top of the resuspended layer. It can have several origins. While it is difficult to exclude a potential contribution of Ca, inertia might also start to play a role, as the particle Reynolds number exceeds 0.1.

For rigid particles, the normal viscosity is given by

$$\eta_{n,i} = \lambda_i \phi^n (1 - \phi/\phi_m)^{-n}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are anisotropy coefficients,  $\phi_m$  the maximal volume fraction, and where the exponent  $n$  has been found to be 2 [30, 31, 38] or 3 [32, 43]). The evolution of  $\eta_{n,3}(\phi)$  is very well fitted by Eq. 3 with  $n = 2$ . The main difference with rigid particles concerns the value of  $\phi_m$  which is comprised between 0.53-0.63, whereas it is 0.8 for the emulsions.  $\lambda_3$  is of the order of unity, similar to the rigid case. The validity of these fits was also tested directly on  $\phi(\hat{x}_3)$  which are more sensitive to the values of the coefficients. For  $n = 2$ , the concentration profile is given by [31]

$$\frac{\phi(\hat{x}_3)}{\phi_m} = 1 - \left[ 1 + \frac{\phi_m}{\lambda_3 Sh} (\hat{h} - \hat{x}_3) \right]^{-1/2} \quad (4)$$

where the normalized height of the suspension in the steady state  $\hat{h}$  is

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h}_0 + 2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_3 \hat{h}_0}{\phi_m} Sh}. \quad (5)$$

The experimental and analytical profiles of  $\phi(\hat{x}_3)$  are in very good agreement (see Fig. 2, and supp. mat.), except for the highest value of  $\dot{\gamma}$ . The relative height increments, (insert in Fig. 2) also show a very good agreement with Eq. 5.

These results shows that a simple constitutive equation of  $\eta_{n,3}$  for a suspension of deformable droplets is sufficient to catch migration phenomena without further sophistication of the SBM. Strikingly, even when droplet deformation cannot be neglected at rest, i.e. for Laplace number above 0.05, it does not affect the normal viscosity under shear up to  $La \sim 0.3$ . The linear dependency with respect to the shear rate rules out some significant contribution of migration mechanisms due to drop deformability. This result is consistent with some simulation results showing a weak dependence of the normal forces when varying  $Ca$  [54].

The polydisperse emulsion exhibited a very similar behavior, with only small differences in the coefficients. This result is rather surprising as some size segregation of the droplet under shear could be expected. Our results




FIG. 3. Relative normal viscosity  $\eta_{n,3}$  as a function of the local droplet concentration  $\phi$  for shear rates in the range of  $\dot{\gamma} = 6$  to  $260 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  $\eta_{n,3}$  is inferred by integration of the concentration profile, Eq. 2. The solid lines are the best fit of Eq. 1 (using  $n = 2$ ). **Left:** Monodisperse emulsion with  $a = 185 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $h_0 = 3.6 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\phi_m = 0.8$  and  $\lambda_3 = 1$  **Middle:** Monodisperse emulsion with  $a = 143 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $h_0 = 5.4 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\phi_m = 0.8$  and  $\lambda_3 = 1$  **Right:** Polydisperse emulsion with  $\bar{a} = 47 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $h_0 = 4$  to  $6 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\phi_m = 0.76$  and  $\lambda_3 = 0.69$ . The color represents the Laplace number which denotes particle deformation.




FIG. 4. Variation of the total height  $h$  of resuspended layer, when the shear rate is suddenly changed from  $\dot{\gamma}_1$  to  $\dot{\gamma}_2$ . The corresponding steady state heights are  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , respectively. The time is normalized by  $\tau = \eta_0 h_0 / \Delta \rho g a^2$ . Several experiments are plotted together for each system ( $\dot{\gamma}$  ranges from 10 and  $100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), and collapse on a single curve. The solid lines are the calculated solutions of the SBM (see text).

indicate this kind of behaviour does not affect the macroscopic particle viscosity or the maximal volume fraction.

Let us now discuss the dynamics of resuspension. Momentum balance in the direction  $x_3$  and mass conservation of the particulate phase read

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{p,33}}{\partial x_3} - \frac{9 \eta_0}{2 a^2} \frac{\phi}{f(\phi)} (u_{p,3} - u_3) + \Delta \rho g \phi = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi u_{p,3}}{\partial x_3} = 0 \quad (7)$$

where  $u_p$  and  $u$  are the velocities of the particle phase and suspension phase, respectively. The second term in Eq. 7 corresponds to the viscous drag on the particle phase.

$f$  is the hindered settling factor which is given by  $(1 - \phi)^5$  [55]. For suspensions of droplets,  $f$  also depends on the viscosity ratio between the dispersed and continuous phases  $\kappa$ . Several expressions were proposed in Zichenko *et al.* [56] and Ramachandran *et al.* [46] in the limit of small  $\phi$  and  $Ca$ . The simplest expression was  $f_0 = (2\kappa + 2) / (9\kappa + 6)$ . We extend their results finite values of  $\phi$  and  $Ca$  by writing  $f = f_0 (1 - \phi)^5$ . The system of equation 7 was solved for  $u_3 = 0$  and using the normal viscosity determined in steady state. They are compared to the experimental results shown in Fig. 4. An excellent agreement is found for monodisperse emulsions. In the range of parameters investigated, the kinetics is governed by a single characteristic time,  $\tau = \eta_0 h_0 / \Delta \rho g a^2$ , and does not significantly depend on the shear rate. For the polydisperse case, the kinetics is about two times faster, but this is not surprising as it is very sensitive to the particle size.

We conclude that the SBM quantitatively accounts for the viscous resuspension of droplets. Moreover, the particle normal stress is linear with respect to the shear rate, and is strikingly very similar to that of rigid particles, but with a higher maximal volume fraction. This result has important consequences. The first of which is the role of the droplet deformability. The normal stress, which is in principle a function of  $\phi$  and  $Ca$ , does not depend on  $Ca$  up to 0.4. This implies that collective effects, which are well accounted by a  $\phi^2$  scaling down to  $\phi$  of 5%, prevails over the coupling between particle shape and flow. Particle deformability only induces a larger value of  $\phi_m$ . The second consequence relates to the role of contact forces in shear-induced migration of particles. Leaving aside the small shear-thinning that has recently been reported for suspension of rigid particles [31, 32], it is striking to observe that switching from rigid particles where frictional contacts dominate the rheology to droplets where short range interactions are very different

does not have any other impact than modifying the maximal volume fraction. Thus, the SBM, together with a normal viscosity proportional to  $\phi^2/(1-\phi/\phi_m)^2$ , appears to be very robust to account for shear induced migration in very different systems, independently of the details of interparticle interactions.

LRP is part of the LabEx Tec21 (ANR-11-LABX-0030) and of the PolyNat Carnot Institute (ANR-11-CARN-007-01). The authors acknowledge funding from Univ. Grenoble Alpes and from ANR (ANR-17-CE7-0040).

---

\* clement.de-loubens@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

- [1] R. Fahraeus and T. Lindqvist, The viscosity of the blood in narrow capillary tubes, *American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content* **96**, 562 (1931).
- [2] L. L. Munn and M. M. Dupin, Blood cell interactions and segregation in flow, *Annals of biomedical engineering* **36**, 534 (2008).
- [3] A. R. Pries, T. W. Secomb, P. Gaehtgens, and J. Gross, Blood flow in microvascular networks. experiments and simulation., *Circulation research* **67**, 826 (1990).
- [4] H. L. Goldsmith and S. Spain, Margination of leukocytes in blood flow through small tubes, *Microvascular research* **27**, 204 (1984).
- [5] S. S. Shevkoplyas, T. Yoshida, L. L. Munn, and M. W. Bitensky, Biomimetic autoseparation of leukocytes from whole blood in a microfluidic device, *Analytical chemistry* **77**, 933 (2005).
- [6] E. Henry, S. H. Holm, Z. Zhang, J. P. Beech, J. O. Tegenfeldt, D. A. Fedosov, and G. Gompper, Sorting cells by their dynamical properties, *Scientific reports* **6**, 34375 (2016).
- [7] A. Kumar and M. D. Graham, Margination and segregation in confined flows of blood and other multicomponent suspensions, *Soft Matter* **8**, 10536 (2012).
- [8] J. B. Freund, Numerical simulation of flowing blood cells, *Annual review of fluid mechanics* **46**, 67 (2014).
- [9] N. Callens, C. Minetti, G. Coupier, M.-A. Mader, F. Dubois, C. Misbah, and T. Podgorski, Hydrodynamic lift of vesicles under shear flow in microgravity, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **83**, 24002 (2008).
- [10] B. Kaoui, G. Ristow, I. Cantat, C. Misbah, and W. Zimmermann, Lateral migration of a two-dimensional vesicle in unbounded poiseuille flow, *Physical Review E* **77**, 021903 (2008).
- [11] P.-Y. Gires, A. Srivastav, C. Misbah, T. Podgorski, and G. Coupier, Pairwise hydrodynamic interactions and diffusion in a vesicle suspension, *Physics of Fluids* **26**, 013304 (2014).
- [12] X. Grandchamp, G. Coupier, A. Srivastav, C. Minetti, and T. Podgorski, Lift and down-gradient shear-induced diffusion in red blood cell suspensions, *Physical review letters* **110**, 108101 (2013).
- [13] P. Bagchi and R. M. Kalluri, Rheology of a dilute suspension of liquid-filled elastic capsules, *Physical Review E* **81**, 056320 (2010).
- [14] J. R. Clausen, D. A. Reasor, and C. K. Aidun, The rheology and microstructure of concentrated non-colloidal suspensions of deformable capsules, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **685**, 202 (2011).
- [15] H. Zhao, E. S. Shaqfeh, and V. Narsimhan, Shear-induced particle migration and margination in a cellular suspension, *Physics of Fluids* **24**, 011902 (2012).
- [16] D. A. Fedosov and G. Gompper, White blood cell margination in microcirculation, *Soft matter* **10**, 2961 (2014).
- [17] J. Deschamps, V. Kantsler, and V. Steinberg, Phase diagram of single vesicle dynamical states in shear flow, *Physical review letters* **102**, 118105 (2009).
- [18] C. de Loubens, J. Deschamps, F. Edwards-Levy, and M. Leonetti, Tank-treading of microcapsules in shear flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **789**, 750 (2016).
- [19] A. R. Malipiedi and K. Sarkar, Shear-induced diffusivity of a red blood cell suspension: effects of cell dynamics from tumbling to tank-treading, *Soft Matter* (2021).
- [20] F. Da Cunha and E. Hinch, Shear-induced dispersion in a dilute suspension of rough spheres, *Journal of fluid mechanics* **309**, 211 (1996).
- [21] W. Chèvrement, H. Bodiguel, and B. Chareyre, Lubricated contact model for numerical simulations of suspensions, *Powder Technology* **372**, 600 (2020).
- [22] M. Marchioro and A. Acrivos, Shear-induced particle diffusivities from numerical simulations, *Journal of fluid mechanics* **443**, 101 (2001).
- [23] A. Sierou and J. F. Brady, Shear-induced self-diffusion in non-colloidal suspensions, *Journal of fluid mechanics* **506**, 285 (2004).
- [24] D. J. Pine, J. P. Gollub, J. F. Brady, and A. M. Leshansky, Chaos and threshold for irreversibility in sheared suspensions, *Nature* **438**, 997 (2005).
- [25] M. Popova, P. Vorobieff, M. S. Ingber, and A. L. Graham, Interaction of two particles in a shear flow, *Physical Review E* **75**, 066309 (2007).
- [26] F. Blanc, F. Peters, and E. Lemaire, Experimental signature of the pair trajectories of rough spheres in the shear-induced microstructure in noncolloidal suspensions, *Physical review letters* **107**, 208302 (2011).
- [27] P. Pham, B. Metzger, and J. E. Butler, Particle dispersion in sheared suspensions: Crucial role of solid-solid contacts, *Physics of Fluids* **27**, 051701 (2015).
- [28] S. Gallier, E. Lemaire, F. Peters, and L. Lobry, Rheology of sheared suspensions of rough frictional particles, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **757**, 514 (2014).
- [29] M. Lyon and L. Leal, An experimental study of the motion of concentrated suspensions in two-dimensional channel flow. part 1. monodisperse systems, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **363**, 25 (1998).
- [30] F. Boyer, O. Pouliquen, and É. Guazzelli, Dense suspensions in rotating-rod flows: normal stresses and particle migration, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **686**, 5 (2011).
- [31] B. Saint-Michel, S. Manneville, S. Meeker, G. Ovarlez, and H. Bodiguel, X-ray radiography of viscous resuspension, *Physics of Fluids* **31**, 103301 (2019).
- [32] E. d'Ambrosio, F. Blanc, and E. Lemaire, Viscous resuspension of non-brownian particles: determination of the concentration profiles and particle normal stresses, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **911**, A22 (2021).
- [33] R. Mari, R. Seto, J. F. Morris, and M. M. Denn, Shear thickening, frictionless and frictional rheologies in non-brownian suspensions, *Journal of Rheology* **58**, 1693 (2014).
- [34] W. Chèvrement, B. Chareyre, and H. Bodiguel, Quan-



- titative study of the rheology of frictional suspensions: Influence of friction coefficient in a large range of viscous numbers, *Physical Review Fluids* **4**, 064302 (2019).
- [35] D. Lhuillier, Migration of rigid particles in non-brownian viscous suspensions, *Physics of Fluids* **21**, 023302 (2009).
- [36] P. R. Nott, E. Guazzelli, and O. Pouliquen, The suspension balance model revisited, *Physics of Fluids* **23**, 043304 (2011).
- [37] P. R. Nott and J. F. Brady, Pressure-driven flow of suspensions: simulation and theory, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **275**, 157 (1994).
- [38] J. F. Morris and F. Boulay, Curvilinear flows of noncolloidal suspensions: The role of normal stresses, *Journal of rheology* **43**, 1213 (1999).
- [39] D. Leighton and A. Acrivos, Viscous resuspension, *Chemical engineering science* **41**, 1377 (1986).
- [40] R. J. Phillips, R. C. Armstrong, R. A. Brown, A. L. Graham, and J. R. Abbott, A constitutive equation for concentrated suspensions that accounts for shear-induced particle migration, *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics* **4**, 30 (1992).
- [41] A. Acrivos, R. Mauri, and X. Fan, Shear-induced resuspension in a couette device, *International journal of multiphase flow* **19**, 797 (1993).
- [42] B. Snook, J. E. Butler, and É. Guazzelli, Dynamics of shear-induced migration of spherical particles in oscillatory pipe flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **786**, 128 (2016).
- [43] I. E. Zarraga, D. A. Hill, and D. T. Leighton Jr, The characterization of the total stress of concentrated suspensions of noncolloidal spheres in newtonian fluids, *Journal of Rheology* **44**, 185 (2000).
- [44] F. Boyer, É. Guazzelli, and O. Pouliquen, Unifying suspension and granular rheology, *Physical Review Letters* **107**, 188301 (2011).
- [45] W. Schowalter, C. Chaffey, and H. Brenner, Rheological behavior of a dilute emulsion, *Journal of colloid and interface science* **26**, 152 (1968).
- [46] A. Ramachandran, M. Loewenberg, and D. T. Leighton Jr, A constitutive equation for droplet distribution in unidirectional flows of dilute emulsions for low capillary numbers, *Physics of Fluids* **22**, 083301 (2010).
- [47] M. R. King and D. T. Leighton Jr, Measurement of shear-induced dispersion in a dilute emulsion, *Physics of Fluids* **13**, 397 (2001).
- [48] K. Hollingsworth and M. Johns, Droplet migration in emulsion systems measured using mr methods, *Journal of colloid and interface science* **296**, 700 (2006).
- [49] M. Abbas, A. Pouplin, O. Masbernat, A. Liné, and S. Décarre, Pipe flow of a dense emulsion: Homogeneous shear-thinning or shear-induced migration?, *AIChE Journal* **63**, 5182 (2017).
- [50] S. R. Kosvintsev, G. Gasparini, R. G. Holdich, I. W. Cumming, and M. T. Stillwell, Liquid-liquid membrane dispersion in a stirred cell with and without controlled shear, *Industrial & engineering chemistry research* **44**, 9323 (2005).
- [51] M. Maleki, C. de Loubens, K. Xie, E. Talansier, H. Bodiguel, and M. Leonetti, Membrane emulsification for the production of suspensions of uniform microcapsules with tunable mechanical properties, *Chemical Engineering Science* **237**, 116567 (2021).
- [52] B. Bentley and L. G. Leal, An experimental investigation of drop deformation and breakup in steady, two-dimensional linear flows, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **167**, 241 (1986).
- [53] M. Henschke, L. H. Schlieper, and A. Pfennig, Determination of a coalescence parameter from batch-settling experiments, *Chemical Engineering Journal* **85**, 369 (2002).
- [54] O. Aouane, A. Scagliarini, and J. Harting, Structure and rheology of suspensions of spherical strain-hardening capsules, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **911** (2021).
- [55] J. Richardson and W. Zaki, Sedimentation and fluidisation: Part i, *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **75**, S82 (1997).
- [56] A. Zinchenko, Effect of hydrodynamic interactions between the particles on the rheological properties of dilute emulsions, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* **48**, 198 (1984).