



HAL
open science

Protein corona modulates interaction of spiky nanoparticles with lipid bilayers

Xavier Le Guével, Jean-Baptiste Fleury, Marco Werner, Xavier Le Guével,
Vladimir A Baulin

► To cite this version:

Xavier Le Guével, Jean-Baptiste Fleury, Marco Werner, Xavier Le Guével, Vladimir A Baulin. Protein corona modulates interaction of spiky nanoparticles with lipid bilayers. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2021, 603, pp.550-558. 10.1016/j.jcis.2021.06.047 . hal-03373499

HAL Id: hal-03373499

<https://hal.science/hal-03373499>

Submitted on 11 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Protein corona modulates interaction of spiky nanoparticles with lipid bilayers

Jean-Baptiste Fleury,^{*,†} Marco Werner,[‡] Xavier Le Guével,[¶] and Vladimir A. Baulin^{*,§}

[†]*Experimental Physics and Center for Biophysics, Universitat des Saarlandes, 66123 Saarbruecken, Germany*

[‡]*Leibniz-Institut für Polymerforschung Dresden e.V., 16 Hohe Strasse 6, 01069 Dresden, Germany*

[¶]*Cancer Targets & Experimental Therapeutics, Institute for Advanced Biosciences (IAB), University of Grenoble Alpes - INSERM U1209 - CNRS UMR 5309- 38000 Grenoble, France*

[§]*Departament Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona, Spain*

E-mail: jean-baptiste.fleury@physik.uni-saarland.de; vladimir.baulin@urv.cat

Abstract

The impact of protein corona on the interactions of nanoparticles (NPs) with cells remains an open question. This question is particularly relevant to NPs which sizes, ranging from tens to hundreds nanometers, are comparable to the sizes of most abundant proteins in plasma. Protein sizes match with typical thickness of various coatings and ligands layers, usually present at the surfaces of larger NPs. Such size match may affect the properties and the designed function of NPs. We offer a direct demonstration of how protein corona can dramatically change the interaction mode between NPs and

lipid bilayers. To this end, we choose the most extreme case of NP surface modification: nanostructures in the form of rigid spikes of 10-20 nm length at the surface of gold nanoparticles. In the absence of proteins we observe the formation of reversible pores when spiky NPs adsorb on lipid bilayers. In contrast, the presence of bovine serum albumin (BSA) proteins adsorbed at the surface of spiked NPs, effectively reduce the length of spikes exposed to the interaction with lipid bilayers. Thus, protein corona changes qualitatively the dynamics of pore formation, which is completely suppressed at high protein concentrations. These results suggest that protein corona can not only be critical for interaction of NPs with membranes, it may change their mode of interaction, thus offsetting the role of surface chemistry and ligands.

Introduction

Gold nanoparticles (NPs) are used in many biomedical applications ranging from drug delivery^{1,2} and medical imaging³ to cancer treatment.⁴⁻⁶ The size, shape and surface of gold NPs define most of their physico-chemical properties.^{7,8} The behavior of gold NPs is well controlled by their physico-chemical properties. While NPs are dispersed in biological fluids, their behavior is more ambiguous.⁹ Proteins and biopolymers, abundantly present in plasma and other biological fluids, tend to aggregate and adsorb at NPs surface, forming a layer of water-soluble proteins (or biopolymers), called protein corona (PC).^{10,11} This protein layer is not static, but dynamically exchanging proteins with the bulk while its complex kinetics depends on the size and the structure of proteins present in the bulk.¹² As a result, PC may change properties of NPs, for example, PC can suppresses most of the functional properties of ligand-functionalized well-controlled NPs and even trigger immune reaction.¹³ Thus, PC may screen, alter and suppress the contribute prepared in the lab and validated *in vitro*, NPs may not work *in vivo*: more than 95 % of the administered NPs end up at sites other than targeted tumors.¹⁴ Thus, PC plays an important role in cellular uptake, targeting, clearance and possible nanotoxicity of these NPs.^{15,16}

Many strategies have been adopted to prevent the formation of PC and their interactions with the bio/nano-interface during the last decade.¹⁷ The difficulties reside in the dynamic nature of PC and that in biological media it consists of a myriad of different biomolecules.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Large number of proteins, lipids or ligands (such as carbohydrates) that can cover NPs to prevent the PC formation were explored.^{10,18,19} However, prevention of PC may also alter or inhibit the NPs functionality.^{10,18,19} Some of these strategies even lead to paradoxical results, for example, coating NPs surfaces with hydrophilic polymers can prevent proteins from adsorbing, but, in turn, it can enhance the recognition of the NPs by the immune system.^{17,20,21}

It is usually considered that the effect of PC is directly proportional to the NP surface area available for the adsorption,^{22,23} while the surface area strongly depends on the size and shape of NPs. With this, apart from the surface modification strategy, the shape of NPs has been also considered as a possible way to modulate the PC formation. Recent results show that rod-like NPs can accommodate significantly larger amounts of serum proteins compared to spherical NPs or specific nanostar-shaped NPs.^{22,23}

To demonstrate significant change of properties of NPs due to adsorption of proteins and even qualitative change of the behavior when interacting with lipid bilayers, in this manuscript we adopt the most radical form of surface modification of NPs, namely NPs with the shape in form of rigid spikes, so called spiky nanoparticles (SNs). These objects are of particular importance for interaction with proteins, since the sizes of spikes of few nanometers are of the order of magnitude of the sizes of most proteins in plasma.^{24,25} Thus, these objects are at the crossover between large NPs covered with protein corona and small NPs or nanoclusters with high curvatures and sizes comparable to protein sizes. Due to a particular shape, SNs have recently attracted interest as potential candidate to prevent the PC formation^{23,26} and the shape of spikes can be very broad.^{23,26,27} This makes SNs the ideal objects to study the effects of adsorbed proteins also in other systems. It is noteworthy, that SNs have the shape similar viral particles.^{28,29} Surprisingly, viruses share many biophysical

properties with artificial SNs in extracellular environments.²⁹ In particular, PC formation is occurring on the viral particle surface and this layer is critical for viral-host interactions.²⁹ Thus, it is interesting to investigate how the shape of a SN could prevent, or affect, the formation of a protein corona on the viral particle surface as well as study interaction of NPs with model cell membranes.^{30,31}

In the first section we describe the physico-chemical properties and the geometry of SNs. Then we study the interaction of SNs of different shapes and sizes with lipid bilayers. The spikes at the surfaces of SNs which are comparable in length with the thickness of the bilayers induce pores in the bilayers, which are studied with patch-clamp technique and theoretically with bond-fluctuation model within Monte Carlo simulations. Next chapter describes the effect of protein corona adsorbed on SNs, which is manifested in the effective screening of spikes length, that change qualitatively the behavior of SNs and suppresses pore formation.

Characterization of spiky nanoparticles

SNs were prepared by a standard seed-mediated growth method³² starting from either 3 nm (Types A and B) or 15 nm (Types C and D) gold seeds (see Materials and Methods for details). This protocol results in SNs with gradually changing sizes and variable spike numbers from Type A to D. We chose this synthesis protocol because it provides SNs at a high yield, high monodispersity and with a precise control of spike numbers and spike lengths.³³ The sizes and geometry of the spikes were determined from TEM images at the same magnifications for a large number of SNs (see Fig. 1A-D and additionally Fig. S1-S4). All dimensions of the four types of SNs are reported in Table 1. [Full size corresponding to the average size of SNs including the metal core and the spikes.](#)

SNs of Type A and B were obtained from 3 nm seeds, resulting in full sizes of 44 ± 4.7 nm for Type A and 66.5 ± 8.9 nm for Type B (Fig. 1 and Table 1). SNs of Type A are not only smaller, they have less spikes in average 7 ± 2 compared to 10 ± 2 for Type B and




Figure 1: A), B), C), D): TEM images of SNs of types A, B, C, D and their 3D reconstructions, correspondingly. E) The calculated volume of each 3D model of SNs, F) Excess area of each 3D model, the total surface area, divided by the projected area, A/A_0 . G) Surface-area-to-volume ratio of SNs of types A, B, C, D.

smaller lengths of the spikes, 9.7 ± 2.0 nm compared to 17.4 ± 4.0 nm for Type B. The angle between spikes is smaller (spikes are sharper) for Type A, 51.6 ± 1.0 compared to 35.4 ± 6.9 for Type B.

SNs of Type C and Type D were obtained from the 15 nm gold seeds and result in full sizes of 103 ± 11.9 nm and 140.9 ± 7.7 nm, respectively. We observed an increase of spike numbers but no significant changes of spike lengths from Type C to D. The angle between spikes and the distance between spikes have similar values as SNs of Type B. Noteworthy,

Table 1: Measured geometrical parameters of SNs obtained from an average of 100 NPs TEM images.

	Core size (nm)	Full size (nm)	Number of spikes	Spike length (nm)	Spike angles ($^\circ$)	Radius for spikes angle ($^\circ$)	Angles between spikes ($^\circ$)	Distance between spikes (nm)	Spikes thickness at half-height (nm)
Type A	16 ± 2	44 ± 4	7 ± 2	9.7 ± 2	51.6 ± 1	5.1 ± 1	120.8 ± 18	23.4 ± 5	9 ± 1
Type B	27.8 ± 4	66.5 ± 9	10 ± 2	17.4 ± 4	35.4 ± 7	5.4 ± 2	71.9 ± 15	21.8 ± 4	10.2 ± 1
Type C	42.4 ± 4	103.9 ± 12	14 ± 2	18.2 ± 3	40.1 ± 9	6.2 ± 2	87.6 ± 20	19 ± 3	11.1 ± 2
Type D	58 ± 5	140.9 ± 8	19 ± 3	21 ± 4	38.7 ± 7	5.9 ± 2	60.5 ± 15	17.4 ± 3	12.1 ± 1

that gold core has constantly increased for all types of SNs during the synthesis. This could be explained by the reaction of gold salt that reacted on gold seeds to produce bigger sizes and also to form spikes due to the presence of PVP.³⁴ In addition, we observed that SNs exhibit a broad near-infrared plasmon band typical of anisotropic gold NPs³² (Fig. S5). The synthesized SNs were functionalized with thioctic bidentate sulfobetaine zwitterionic molecule (see Materials and Methods) to prevent aggregation and insure colloidal stability in the solution. Non-homogeneous distribution of the coating results in hydrophilic interiors of the SN between the spikes and hydrophobic tips of the spikes. The hydrophobicity of the tips allows for spikes to anchor the lipid bilayer and affects the protein adhesion locally.

The detailed geometrical parameters of each type of SNs obtained from TEM images, allow for the 3D reconstruction of an average SN of each type, as illustrated in Fig. 1A-D. The reconstruction, in turn, allows to estimate additional geometrical parameters: the total volume of an average SN of each type is gradually growing from Type A to D, Fig. 1E; the excess area, defined as the total area of SN divided by the projected area of the core, is smaller for Type A, while for B, C, D has similar values, Fig. 1F; the surface-area-to-volume ratio is gradually decreasing from Type A to D, Fig. 1G. This data is further used for Monte Carlo simulations and for the model of protein adsorption.

Pore formation

In this section we study the interaction between SNs and a free-standing bilayer and demonstrate that SNs with spikes long enough to pierce the lipid bilayer can induce reversible pores.

An horizontal free-standing bilayer was formed in a microfluidic chip at a desired location (see Materials and Methods). SNs of each type A, B, C, D were dispersed on one side in a buffer phase. SNs can be visualized and tracked by dark-field microscopy thanks to their plasmonic and scattering properties: the SNs are diffusing and bouncing on the bilayer

surface, Fig. 2. The observation of SNs at the surface of the bilayer shows that none of these SNs are able to translocate through the lipid bilayer whatever the geometry of SNs. The average contact time of a SN with the bilayer is around a few seconds before SN detaches from the bilayer and moves in the solution. The SNs do not aggregate on the bilayer surface and are homogeneously distributed at the surface except at the edges of the bilayer, where we observe accumulation of SNs with non-negligible number of immobile SNs. These are clusters of SNs, which becomes much more visible for large concentrations of SNs, forming a golden ring at the edges of the bilayer, which is clearly seen under the microscope. The clustering at the edges demonstrates that the SNs are slightly hydrophobic, even if they are fully water-soluble and insoluble in oil. Such an effect can be related either to the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone on the surface of SN or to the Van der Waals interaction between the hydrophilic zwitterionic ligands coated on SNs (see Materials and Methods).




Figure 2: A) Schematic illustration of the bilayer formation from a mixture of lipid+oil (DOPC + Squalene) sandwiched between two water droplets: the bilayer forms after drainage of the oil, in 10-15 minutes.^{35,36} The resulting bilayer is stable for at least $\approx 0.5 - 2$ hours. B) Visualization under dark-field microscopy of an horizontal lipid bilayer in the presence of spiky nanoparticles (100 nm diameter). SNs are depicted in orange, due to their plasmonic properties.

Microfluidic setup allows not only to directly visualize the interaction of nanoparticles with lipid bilayers, it permits simultaneously measure electrical signals across the membrane

that are associated with interactions of individual nanoparticles.³⁷ A planar lipid bilayer without inclusions and in the absence of nanoparticles represents a planar dielectric sheet, where its hydrophobic core is not permeable for ions from the buffer. Thus, if the voltage is applied between two sides of the bilayer, it represents a planar capacitor with nanometer-size thickness. Using a patch-clamp setup, one can measure a specific capacitance C_s of this capacitor, which yields $C_s \approx 3.9 \text{ mF/m}^2$ for a DOPC free standing bilayer. Once the nanoparticles put in contact with the surface of the bilayer, the mechanical interaction changes the capacitance of the bilayer, thus providing a measurable electric signature of this interaction.

It is noteworthy to mention the influence on the measurements of the electric capacity of a golden ring formed at large concentrations of SNs ($100 \mu\text{g gold/mL}$). For that purpose, SNs were dispersed via the bottom channel of the microfluidic device. Once the golden ring was formed at the edge of the bilayer, the bilayer was rinsed by diluting the surrounding phase around the bilayer by adding a buffer solution until the bulk concentration of SNs drops to zero, while SNs are present only at the bilayer edge. It turns out that the difference of the measured specific capacity C_s with that of a pure DOPC lipid bilayer is negligible. Thus, one can conclude that the ring practically does not contribute to the measurements of the capacitance and the presence of the ring can be neglected. Nevertheless, the following part of our study corresponds to low concentrations of SNs where the ring does not form.

Following the dispersion of SNs in the bottom channel at $\approx 30 \text{ min}$ after the bilayer formation, the capacitance and the conductance of the lipid bilayer in the presence of the SNs was measured as a function of time for different types of SNs, Fig. 3A-D). The specific capacitance of the bilayer is drastically reduced in presence of SNs, while the conductance of the bilayer exhibits massive increase, which is attributed to the poration of the bilayer by individual SNs. It is noteworthy that no significant conductance traces were measured without SNs. The size of the resulting nanopores formed by individual SN in contact with the bilayer can be determined by performing highly sensitive conductance measurements.

To this end, the conductance of the bilayer in the presence of SNs was measured at ultra-low concentrations, 1 ng/ml. The corresponding conductance trace is showing well-defined conductance jumps with a characteristic conductance amplitude. A characteristic time of the jumps corresponds to the pore opening and closing events and indicates that the poration induced by SNs are reversible, while the amplitude is related to the pore size. Indeed, the radius of the pore (in nm) is $r = \sqrt{Gd/(\pi k)}$, where $d \sim 4$ nm is the DOPC bilayer thickness, $k = 1, 15$ S/m is the bulk electrolyte conductivity (measured for 100 mM NaCl at 30°C), and G is the conductance (in nS).




Figure 3: A)-D) Conductance traces from the poration of a DOPC bilayer in contact with SNs of Types A, B, C, D, correspondingly.

We find that the size of the resulting pore r and its lifetime depend on the particular geometry of the SNs. For example, the biggest SNs of Type D induce long-lived (from 100 ms to a few seconds) conductance jumps with an amplitude corresponding to a pore radius of around ≈ 1.7 nm. Smaller SNs induce shorter-lived and smaller pores. The average radius

of the pores is 0.88 for Type A, 1.52 for Type B, 0.95 for Type C, 1.7 nm for Type D. The size of the pores is not in the order of the size of SNs, which is gradually increasing from A to D, see Table 1, but most probably corresponds to the geometry of the spikes at the surface of the SNs. The 3D reconstruction of an average SN of each type suggests that Types A may contact with the bilayer with 3 or 4 spikes, while Types B and C may contact with 4 spikes and Type D with more than 4 spikes. This would suggest a gradual increase of pore sizes, however, the observed discrepancy in the order of the sizes could be attributed to the polydispersity of spike shapes that are formed randomly at the surfaces of the gold cores and spike sharpness. Nevertheless, the differences in the sizes is less than 1 nm, which is close to detection limit.

Noteworthy, the measured pores sizes correspond to the minimum pores sizes induced by SNs. Bigger pores lead to bilayer rupture and thus are not observed. Thus, these pore sizes can be considered pre-critical, *i.e.* before the bilayer rupture: the measured pore radii are close to the critical pore radius of rupture of the bilayer $r_c = \sigma/\Gamma \approx 2.5$ nm.³⁷ $\sigma \approx 20$ pN is the line tension of the pore edge corresponding to $\Gamma \approx 8$ mN/m (see Materials and Methods). The pore line tension for a DOPC bilayer is estimated from Akimov et al.³⁸

Recently, the interaction of a spherical gold NPs coated with ZwBuEta with a lipid bilayer was reported.³⁶ Based on electrophysiological experiments, it appears that these NPs do not create spontaneous pores for NPs diameters between 20 nm to 100 nm. This result can be used as a control for no spikes nanoparticles.

The difference between pores and the ability of an individual SN to break the bilayer is related to nanospikes geometry and hydrophobicity of the spikes. If the spikes are long enough and attractive, *e.g.* uniformly hydrophobic or hydrophilic, the bilayer would adsorb to reach the core of the spike and thus, then it has bigger chances to induce a rupture of the bilayer by area consumption. In contrast, if the spike is hydrophobic only at the tip or the spikes are not long, the SNs only anchoring the bilayer, making small pores without rupturing it. This was confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations using Bond Fluctuation Model^{39,40} of

a lipid bilayer interacting with three rigid spikes. The model of a lipid bilayer and the corresponding parameters are similar to Refs 41–43, where hydrophilic hydrophobic effects are mediated by short-range interactions. A hydrophobicity scale, H_X , for a component "X" is defined relative to the lipid tail- and head group repulsion according Ref. 43 (Eq. (5) there). For a value of $H_X = 0$, the component is hydrophilic, whereas for $H = 1$ the component is hydrophobic. while—The spikes are modeled as rigid and attractive truncated cones with spherical caps at the tips and variable distances between the centers, Fig. 4A). We define a total spike height of $h = 52a$ with a being the lattice constant, a radius of the cap $R_c = 15a$, and an opening angle $\alpha = 25^\circ$. The height of the corresponding full cone, h_0 , and the boundary height h_b between conic and spherical part are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_0 &= h + R_c \left[\frac{1}{\sin(\alpha)} - 1 \right] \\
 h_b &= h + R_c [\sin(\alpha) - 1]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

Above the cap boundary height, h_b , monomers are defined as hydrophobic ($H_A = 1$). Below h_b , monomers are defined super-hydrophilic $H_B = -0.16$, i.e. only weakly attractive for the lipid head groups according to Eq. (5) in Ref. 43. Spikes are generated in the simulation box of cubic size $128a$ by occupying the volume with immobile monomers. Three spikes are positioned in an equilateral distance of $60a$ between the tips. The spikes are grafted to a substrate layer (immobile) with the same hydrophilic property H_A . A number of 1200 lipids has been arranged in the simulation box in form of a bilayer above the spikes as well as an explicit solvent such that the volume occupancy in the mobile zones of the simulation box is 0.5. A fluctuation mode analysis for patches of 300 lipids with the same area per lipid revealed that the membrane is under slight tension of $\gamma = 0.0142 k_B T / (a^2)^{44}$. Using an estimate, $a \sim 0.154 \text{ nm}^{42}$ for the lattice constant, the tension can be referred to an order of magnitude near $\gamma \sim 2.5 \text{ mN/m}$.

Since the diameter of the spikes tips is much larger than the bilayer thickness, the spikes do not pierce the bilayer, but due to adsorption of the bilayer on the spikes, the bilayer

eventually becomes ~~over~~-stretched in the zone between the spikes depending on the size of membrane-spike contact area. In addition, since pillar tips are hydrophobic, they are typically covered by lipid monolayer patches, that are connected to the remaining bilayer fraction between the tips. A defect in form of a boundary between monolayer and bilayer may act as a nucleation point for pores. ~~If the stretching is large, it can induce a pore that is formed~~ Depending on the interplay between stretching, induced defects and bilayer fluctuations, a pore may form in the zone between spikes, Fig. 4B). In that respect, this mechanism is similar to the mechanism of pore formation described for nanostructured surfaces.⁴⁵ Similarly, strong adsorption leads to the rupture of the lipid bilayer, see Fig. 4(D), where a larger surface-head attraction was applied by using $H_B = -0.32$.




Figure 4: A) Spike geometry and notations in the coarse grained simulation model. Monte Carlo simulation snapshot of a bilayer for hydrophilicity $H_B = -0.16$ (B,C) and $H_B = -0.32$ (D) of the B-type substrate: B) initial contact with spikes, side view; C) pore formation (red arrow), top- and side views, typical pore radius for a period $8 \times 10^7 \dots 2 \times 10^8$ MCS was $r = (22 \pm 4)a$; D) rupture of the bilayer due to full adsorption on the spikes for the stronger head-substrate attraction. The simulation time is given below each snapshot in units of Monte Carlo Steps (MCS).

Screening of spikes by protein corona

Once nanoparticles or biomaterials are introduced into biological media, within seconds they get coated by proteins.⁴⁶ Dynamic exchange of proteins between the media and the surface of nanoparticles leads to the formation of PC, which is also changing with time.⁴⁷ This process is very complex due to large diversity of proteins present in media, variability in kinetics and physico-chemical characteristics. PC not only interacts with the surfaces, it can also

drastically modify the surface properties, screen the biomolecules present at the surfaces and screen or alter the action of ligands and coatings. To illustrate significant changes provoked by the adsorption of proteins in a well controlled microfluidic system, SNs of four types were placed in media with a model protein, bovine serum albumin, BSA. The coating with the protein lead to quantitative change of the interactions with lipid bilayers shown in previous section. Later, to confirm our findings that the protein effect is found not only for BSA protein, SNs were placed in blood serum media, containing large variety of proteins.




Figure 5: A) Blocking function of BSA proteins adsorbed on a spike of model SNs of types A-D, with the spike geometries described in Table 1. Inset: Illustration of a maximum load of BSA on Type A spike. B) Blocking function of BSA proteins per SN of Types A-D. They are deduced from A) and the number of spikes per partice. C) Specific capacitance of a DOPC bilayer in contact with the solution of SNs with BSA (blue) or with serum (red) as a function of BSA or serum concentration.

Addition of water-soluble BSA proteins to the solution of SNs leads to the formation of a protein corona. It is manifested in effective reduction of the length of spikes exposed to the interaction with lipid bilayers. To estimate the effect of BSA on a complex nanostructured surface of SNs of each type and calculate the maximal load of proteins per spike and per SN, we used the same approach that was applied for protein adsorption on planar nanostructured

surfaces with spikes and pillars.⁴⁶ The kinetics of protein adsorption was modeled assuming irreversible adsorption of BSA protein, represented as a sphere of 7 nm diameter, on a shape of spike geometry given in Table 1 for each type of SN. Within Random Sequential Adsorption (RSA) approximation,⁴⁸ the adsorption kinetics is expressed as a fraction of covered area θ (occupancy) as a function of time t via

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = k_a n B(\theta) - k_d \theta \quad (2)$$

In this equation the blocking function $B(\theta)$ is defined as the probability to adsorb a protein to the surface for a given occupancy θ , while k_a and k_d are the rates of adsorption and desorption, respectively and n is the concentration of proteins in the bulk. The pristine surface $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the blocking function $B(0) = 1$. Assuming irreversible adsorption of proteins within RSA approximation, the blocking function for a given geometry can be accessed directly with Monte Carlo simulations as $B(\theta) = N_{succ}/N_{tot}$, where N_{succ} is the number of potentially successful attempts and N_{tot} is the total number of attempts.⁴⁶ Using Gaussian approximation for the spikes shape

$$f(x, y) = L \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2w}\right) \quad (3)$$

where L is the length of a spike, w is the Gaussian variance, related with the width of the spike. The results are present in Fig. 5A) for spikes and 5B) for SNs using the geometrical parameters of Table 1. It gives an estimate of a maximal number of proteins per spike and per SN. The protein load follow the order A-B-C-D, which is also consistent with their sizes and number of spikes per SN. This load leads to effective reduction of the spikes lengths and makes the SNs more round-shaped.

Microfluidic experiment confirms that addition of sufficiently high concentration of BSA proteins to the solution with SNs suppresses the pore formation. The formation of PC on the surface of SNs hinders the spikes and this results in the recovery of the bilayer original

insulator properties similar to a pure lipid bilayer, regardless the presence of SNs on its surface. As expected, the screening effect of PC depends on both the geometry of the SNs and the BSA concentration. At a high protein concentration, above a threshold value for each type of SN, the pore formation is suppressed for all types of SNs. Nevertheless, the geometry of the spikes strongly influences the threshold value of the BSA concentration when the pores are no longer observed, Fig. 5C).

Another observation is that the pore suppression happens in a narrow concentration range close to the threshold value. This is probably due to the fact that the pores are created when the SNs are rolling on the surface and only by spikes in direct contact with the bilayer. Thus, although the accumulation of proteins can be a gradual process as a function of the bulk protein concentration, the suppression is happening only when all spikes are covered on all sides, which corresponds to the threshold value. The values of threshold concentrations follow the order A-B-C-D, which is consistent with the evaluation of maximal protein load from RSA. SNs of Type A do not induce pores in the bilayer at BSA bulk concentrations slightly below 20% and the threshold concentration for SNs of Type B is 25%. This is supported by electrophysiological measurements as no conductance traces are measured. SNs of Type C and Type D require higher concentrations of BSA in solutions, 40% for Type C and 85% for Type D since they have larger areas and larger number of spikes to cover with proteins and these values are very high.

Thus, RSA estimations together with this result indicates that formation of PC around such nanoparticles is mainly determined by the geometry of nanoparticles, namely by the surface area and the number of spikes.

However, the threshold concentrations are much lower for serum proteins, red curves in Fig. 5C). This is due to the fact that serum contains large number of proteins of different types, that can fill the gaps between the spikes and at the surface of SNs more efficiently than globular-shaped BSA. Slightly hydrophobic surfaces of water-soluble SNs may also diminish serum protein adsorption. SNs of Type A and Type C, suppress pores formation when the

buffer is containing 10% of serum. SNs of Types B and Type D, suppress pores at 20% and 30% of serum, correspondingly. It results that the spike geometry may hinder proteins adsorption and, thus, the formation of protein corona.

The geometry of the spikes strongly influences the threshold values of serum concentration leading to pores suppression. In particular, the sharpest spikes, *i.e.* SNs of type B and D, require higher serum concentration to recover the standard bilayer dielectric properties and thus, the interaction between the SNs and the bilayer is highly dependant on serum concentration. If we use the analogy of SNs with virus particles, that have the same sizes, one may expect that the serum and other proteins can screen the viruses from the interaction with bilayers, which may lead to various effects, *e.g.* escape from recognition by immune cells. It would be interesting to explore such effects with viral particles in separate experiments.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of nanoparticles. 4 types of gold spiky nanoparticles (SNs) with different core size and spike lengths (Types A, B,C, D, Fig. 1) were synthesized following a modification of the protocol described elsewhere.³² SNs of types A and B were made with 3 nm gold seeds, which were first formed by adding 22 μL of $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (100 mM) to a PVP (MW 10.000; 36 μM ; 47.5 mL) solution prepared in the mixture DMF/water (v/v= 18/1). After 5 min under vigorous stirring, a freshly prepared NaBH_4 aqueous solution (10 mM; 2.5 mL) was added quickly to the previous solution, which changed quickly from yellowish to pale pink color and kept stirred for another 2 hours. Solution was stored 24 hours before use. Type A and B differed only by the amount of 3 nm gold seeds added to the growth solution. In a typical synthesis, 82 μL of $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (50 mM) was added to 15 mL of PVP solution (Mw 10.000; 10 mM) in DMF. Following this procedure, either 20 μL or 5 μL of the 3 nm gold seeds sol was added to the growth solution to prepare Au SNs type A and type B respectively. SNs were obtained within 2 hours with color change from yellowish to dark

blue. SNs were washed 3 times to remove the PVP in excess and resuspended in water at 250 $\mu\text{g Au/mL}$.

SNs Types C and D were made with 15 nm gold seeds, which were prepared by the citrate method adding 5 mL of citrate solution (1 wt%) to a boiling aqueous solution of HAuCl_4 (100 mL, 0.5 mM) and allowed to react for 15 min and then cooled down at room temperature. Following this procedure, 5 mL of PVP (Mw 10.000; 0.1 mM) was added drop-wise to the previous solution and allowed to react overnight. The particles were then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 30 min and redispersed in ethanol. Using the same protocol to prepare SNs type A and B, 82 μL of $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (50 mM) was added to 15 mL of PVP solution (Mw 10.000; 10mM) in DMF. Following this, either 50 μL or 15 μL of the 15 nm gold seeds was added to the growth solution to prepare SNs Type C and Type D, respectively. SNs were obtained within 2 hours with color change from yellowish to blue/grey. SNs were washed 3 times to remove the PVP in excess and resuspended in water at 250 $\mu\text{g Au/mL}$.

Nanoparticle coating. All type of gold nanoparticles were coated with a thioctic bidentate sulfobetaine zwitterionic molecule⁴⁹ (Zw, 412 $\mu\text{g/mol}$) to provide the same surface chemistry and known to enhance colloidal stability.^{50,51} Briefly, in 10 mL of gold particles (100 $\mu\text{g Au/mL}$), 40 μL of NaOH (1 M) was added following by 100 μL of Zw (0.5 mM) and stirred for 6 hours. Zw-coated particles were washed three times using centrifugation method (10.000 rpm for 20 min) to remove the free Zw. Sols were stored in water at 250 $\mu\text{g Au/mL}$.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). Au SNs images were obtained on an FEI Tecnai G2 Twin TEM at 200 kV. TEM samples were prepared by placing a drop of SNs solution onto a copper grid covered with holey carbon films. Absorption spectra over a 190-900 nm range were collected using a Cary 100Bio UV-visible spectrophotometer (Varian).

Microfluidic setup for horizontal bilayer formation. The formation of DOPC free-standing lipid bilayer in squalene oil was done as following. A PDMS 3D-chip was produced with a thin rectangular channel connected with a conical hole, made with a 3D printed

method as detailed in.^{35,36,52} The bottom micro-channel of the PDMS chip was filled with a drop of oil+lipid followed by the delay of 5 min for spreading. Then a water droplet was added to the conical hole. The oily phase into the bottom channel was replaced by a water phase following the delay for the bilayer formation. The time to form the bilayer depends on the volume of the oil that separate the two water phases. Drainage of the oil happens in 1-2 hours, after that period of time the bilayer forms. Bilayer ideally forms at the intersection point where the upper conical wall and the bottom channel meet. The resulting formed bilayer is stable at least for 2 hours. The μ -chip was then placed under a dark-field microscope (Leica DM2700) for visualization with the camera DFC450 (Leica).

Surface tension and bilayer tension measurements. Surface tensions of the various lipid monolayers at the oil/water interfaces were measured with the pendant drop method using a commercial measurement device (OCA 20, DataPhysics Instruments GmbH, Filderstadt, Germany). An oil solution with a concentration of 5 mg/ml DOPC was produced by introducing a droplet from a steel needle into the surrounding oil phase. The shapes of all droplets were fitted with the Young–Laplace equation to obtain their interfacial tension. After the initial formation of a droplet, the DOPC lipids adsorb to the interface, leading to a reduction in interfacial tension. This decrease was recorded over several minutes until a plateau was reached. From the values of the surface tension and the bilayer contact angle θ , which were obtained from pendant drop measurements or optical micrographs, respectively, the bilayer tension can be calculated using the Young equation:

$$\Gamma = 2\gamma \cos(\theta). \quad (4)$$

For a DOPC bilayer formed at a squalene oil/water interface, the associated bilayer tension could be estimated between $\Gamma \approx 8$ mN/m. Nevertheless, it is worth to mention that this value may vary from a DOPC batch to another.

Patch Clamping. Ag/AgCl electrodes were prepared by inserting a platinum electrode

(HEKA, germany) in a borosilicate glass pipette (outer diameter 1.5 mm, inner diameter 0.86 mm, Vendor) containing an electrolyte agarose solution. Lipid membrane conductance was measured using the standard function provided by the patch clamp amplifier EPC 10 USB (Heka-Electronics). A 10 mV sinusoidal wave with a frequency of 20 kHz was used as an excitation signal. The electrodes are carefully introduced into the aqueous compartment of the Sylgard 184 device using micromanipulator. The specific capacitance $C_s = C/A$ is define by the ratio between the total capacitance C and the bilayer area A .

Conclusions

On the example of spiky nanoparticles interacting with lipid bilayer we demonstrate how protein corona can change qualitatively the behavior of nanoparticles. Four types of water-soluble gold SNs coated with zwitterionic molecules with different core size and spikes geometries were synthesized. The geometry of synthetized SNs has a high a large surface-area-to-volume ratio and high curvature such that the nano-spikes. As a result, SNs dispersed near a lipid bilayer induce nanopores, which dimensions appear to be directly linked to SNs geometry. Monte Carlo simulations show that the pores are formed between the spikes anchoring into the bilayer, while the adsorption of the lipids at the surface of the spikes leads to the stretching of the bilayer between the spikes open a pore.

The presence of proteins in the solution change the behavior of SNs. PC formed around SNs hinders the effect of nanoparticle shape by reducing effectively the length of spikes and when the protein concentration reaches the threshold value, SNs do not induce pores. The threshold concentration appears to be directly linked to spikes geometry, which have dimensions comparable with the size of the proteins.

Authors Contribution

All the authors designed the research. J.-B.F performed the microfluidic experiments. X.L.G

performed the particles synthesis and their characterization. M.W. performed the Monte-Carlo numerical simulations. V.A.B. developed random sequential adsorption theory and performed the 3D reconstitution. All the authors analyzed their data and discussed the results. All the authors wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

J.-B.F acknowledges funding from SFB 1027 (DFG), project B4.

References

- (1) Patra, J. K.; Das, G.; Fraceto, L. F.; Campos, E. V. R.; Rodriguez-Torres, M. d. P.; Acosta-Torres, L. S.; Diaz-Torres, L. A.; Grillo, R.; Swamy, M. K.; Sharma, S.; Habtemariam, S.; Shin, H.-S. Journal of Nanobiotechnology **2018**, 16, 71.
- (2) Singh, R.; Lillard, J. W. Experimental and Molecular Pathology **2009**, 86, 215–223.
- (3) Nune, S. K.; Gunda, P.; Thallapally, P. K.; Lin, Y.-Y.; Forrest, M. L.; Berkland, C. J. Expert Opin Drug Deliv **2009**, 6, 1175–1194.
- (4) Brigger, I.; Dubernet, C.; Couvreur, P. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews **2012**, 64, 24–36.
- (5) Tran, S.; DeGiovanni, P.-J.; Piel, B.; Rai, P. Clin Trans Med **2017**, 6, 44.
- (6) Peer, D.; Karp, J. M.; Hong, S.; Farokhzad, O. C.; Margalit, R.; Langer, R. Nature Nanotechnology **2007**, 2, 751–760, Number: 12 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (7) De, M.; Ghosh, P. S.; Rotello, V. M. Advanced Materials **2008**, 20, 4225–4241, eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/adma.200703183>.

- (8) Werner, M.; Auth, T.; Beales, P. A.; Fleury, J. B.; Höök, F.; Kress, H.; Van Lehn, R. C.; Müller, M.; Petrov, E. P.; Sarkisov, L.; Sommer, J.-U.; Baulin, V. A. Biointerphases **2018**, 13, 028501, Publisher: American Vacuum Society.
- (9) Lundqvist, M.; Stigler, J.; Elia, G.; Lynch, I.; Cedervall, T.; Dawson, K. A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **2008**, 105, 14265–14270.
- (10) Oh, J. Y.; Kim, H. S.; Palanikumar, L.; Go, E. M.; Jana, B.; Park, S. A.; Kim, H. Y.; Kim, K.; Seo, J. K.; Kwak, S. K.; Kim, C.; Kang, S.; Ryu, J.-H. Nature Communications **2018**, 9, 4548, Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (11) Mahmoudi, M.; Lynch, I.; Ejtehadi, M. R.; Monopoli, M. P.; Bombelli, F. B.; Laurent, S. Chem. Rev. **2011**, 111, 5610–5637, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (12) Barbero, F.; Russo, L.; Vitali, M.; Piella, J.; Salvo, I.; Borrajo, M. L.; Busquets-Fité, M.; Grandori, R.; Bastús, N. G.; Casals, E.; Puntès, V. Seminars in Immunology **2017**, 34, 52–60.
- (13) Cedervall, T.; Lynch, I.; Lindman, S.; Berggård, T.; Thulin, E.; Nilsson, H.; Dawson, K. A.; Linse, S. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **2007**, 104, 2050–2055.
- (14) Park, K. ACS Nano **2013**, 7, 7442–7447, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (15) Corbo, C.; Molinaro, R.; Parodi, A.; Toledano Furman, N. E.; Salvatore, F.; Tasciotti, E. Nanomedicine (Lond) **2016**, 11, 81–100.
- (16) Digiacomo, L.; Pozzi, D.; Palchetti, S.; Zingoni, A.; Caracciolo, G. WIREs Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology **2020**, 12, e1615, eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/wnan.1615>.
- (17) Ke, P. C.; Lin, S.; Parak, W. J.; Davis, T. P.; Caruso, F. ACS Nano **2017**, 11, 11773–11776, Publisher: American Chemical Society.

- (18) Gulati, N. M.; Stewart, P. L.; Steinmetz, N. F. Mol Pharm **2018**, 15, 2900–2909.
- (19) Pino, P. d.; Pelaz, B.; Zhang, Q.; Maffre, P.; Nienhaus, G. U.; Parak, W. J. Mater. Horiz. **2014**, 1, 301–313, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (20) Pelaz, B.; del Pino, P.; Maffre, P.; Hartmann, R.; Gallego, M.; Rivera-Fernández, S.; de la Fuente, J. M.; Nienhaus, G. U.; Parak, W. J. ACS Nano **2015**, 9, 6996–7008, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (21) Schöttler, S.; Becker, G.; Winzen, S.; Steinbach, T.; Mohr, K.; Landfester, K.; Mailänder, V.; Wurm, F. R. Nat Nanotechnol **2016**, 11, 372–377.
- (22) Visalakshan, R. M.; García, L. E. G.; Benzigar, M. R.; Ghazaryan, A.; Simon, J.; MierczynskaVasilev, A.; Michl, T. D.; Vinu, A.; Mailänder, V.; Morsbach, S.; Landfester, K.; Vasilev, K. Small **2020**, 16, 2000285, eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/sml.202000285>.
- (23) García-Álvarez, R.; Hadjidemetriou, M.; Sánchez-Iglesias, A.; Liz-Marzán, L. M.; Kostarelos, K. Nanoscale **2018**, 10, 1256–1264, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (24) Vinluan, R. D.; Zheng, J. Nanomedicine (Lond) **2015**, 10, 2781–2794.
- (25) Nguyen, V. H.; Meghani, N. M.; Amin, H. H.; Tran, T. T. D.; Tran, P. H. L.; Park, C.; Lee, B.-J. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces **2018**, 170, 179–186.
- (26) Sloan-Dennison, S.; Schultz, Z. D. Chem Sci **2018**, 10, 1807–1815.
- (27) Chang, Y.-X. et al. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2019**, 10, 4505–4510, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (28) Bosch, B. J.; Zee, R. v. d.; Haan, C. A. M. d.; Rottier, P. J. M. Journal of Virology **2003**, 77, 8801–8811, Publisher: American Society for Microbiology Journals Section: STRUCTURE AND ASSEMBLY.

- (29) Ezzat, K. et al. Nat Commun **2019**, 10, 2331.
- (30) Gunawan, C.; Lim, M.; Marquis, C. P.; Amal, R. J. Mater. Chem. B **2014**, 2, 2060–2083, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- (31) Hadjidemetriou, M.; Kostarelos, K. Nature Nanotechnology **2017**, 12, 288–290, Number: 4 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (32) Barbosa, S.; Agrawal, A.; Rodríguez-Lorenzo, L.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Alvarez-Puebla, R. A.; Kornowski, A.; Weller, H.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Langmuir **2010**, 26, 14943–14950.
- (33) Kumar, P. S.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Rodríguez-González, B.; Abajo, F. J. G. d.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Nanotechnology **2007**, 19, 015606, Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- (34) Kedia, A.; Senthil Kumar, P. J. Phys. Chem. C **2012**, 116, 1679–1686, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (35) Heo, P.; Ramakrishnan, S.; Coleman, J.; Rothman, J. E.; Fleury, J.-B.; Pincet, F. Small **2019**, 15, 1900725, _eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/sml.201900725>.
- (36) Linklater, D. P.; Baulin, V. A.; Guével, X. L.; Fleury, J.-B.; Hanssen, E.; Nguyen, T. H. P.; Juodkasis, S.; Bryant, G.; Crawford, R. J.; Stoodley, P.; Ivanova, E. P. Advanced Materials **2020**, 32, 2005679, _eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/adma.202005679>.
- (37) Guo, Y.; Terazzi, E.; Seemann, R.; Fleury, J. B.; Baulin, V. A. Science Advances **2016**, 2, e1600261, Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science Section: Research Article.
- (38) Akimov, S. A.; Volynsky, P. E.; Galimzyanov, T. R.; Kuzmin, P. I.; Pavlov, K. V.;

- Batishchev, O. V. Scientific Reports **2017**, 7, 12509, Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (39) Carmesin, I.; Kremer, K. Macromolecules **1988**, 21, 2819–2823.
- (40) Deutsch, H. P.; Binder, K. The Journal of Chemical Physics **1991**, 94, 2294–2304.
- (41) Werner, M.; Sommer, J. U. Eur. Phys. J. E **2010**, 31, 383–392.
- (42) Pogodin, S.; Werner, M.; Sommer, J.-U.; Baulin, V. A. ACS Nano **2012**, 6, 10555–10561.
- (43) Werner, M.; Sommer, J.-U. Biomacromolecules **2015**, 16, 125–135.
- (44) Werner, M. Dissertation **2016**, Technische Universität Dresden.
- (45) Pogodin, S.; Hasan, J.; Baulin, V.; Webb, H.; Truong, V.; PhongNguyen, T.; Boshkovikj, V.; Fluke, C.; Watson, G.; Watson, J.; Crawford, R.; Ivanova, E. Biophysical Journal **2013**, 104, 835–840.
- (46) Manzi, B. M.; Werner, M.; Ivanova, E. P.; Crawford, R. J.; Baulin, V. A. Scientific Reports **2019**, 9, 4694, Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (47) Vroman, L. Bull N Y Acad Med **1988**, 64, 352–357.
- (48) Schaaf, P.; Talbot, J. J. Chem. Phys. **1989**, 91, 4401–4409.
- (49) Park, J.; Nam, J.; Won, N.; Jin, H.; Jung, S.; Jung, S.; Cho, S.-H.; Kim, S. Advanced Functional Materials **2011**, 21, 1558–1566, eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/adfm.201001924>.
- (50) Susumu, K.; Oh, E.; Delehanty, J. B.; Blanco-Canosa, J. B.; Johnson, B. J.; Jain, V.; Hervey, W. J.; Algar, W. R.; Boeneman, K.; Dawson, P. E.; Medintz, I. L. J Am Chem Soc **2011**, 133, 9480–9496.

- (51) Porret, E.; Sancey, L.; Martín-Serrano, A.; Montañez, M. I.; Seeman, R.; Yahia-Ammar, A.; Okuno, H.; Gomez, F.; Ariza, A.; Hildebrandt, N.; Fleury, J.-B.; Coll, J.-L.; Le Guével, X. Chem. Mater. **2017**, 29, 7497–7506, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (52) Tawfik, H.; Puza, S.; Seemann, R.; Fleury, J.-B. Front Cell Dev Biol **2020**, 8, 531229.

Graphical TOC Entry

