

Fate of floating plastic debris released along the coasts in a global ocean model

Fanny Chenillat, Thierry Huck, Christophe Maes, Nicolas Grima, Bruno Blanke

▶ To cite this version:

Fanny Chenillat, Thierry Huck, Christophe Maes, Nicolas Grima, Bruno Blanke. Fate of floating plastic debris released along the coasts in a global ocean model. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2021, 165, pp.112116. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112116 . hal-03373319

HAL Id: hal-03373319

https://hal.science/hal-03373319

Submitted on 11 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fate of floating plastic debris released along the coasts in a global ocean model Chenillat F.1*, T. Huck 1, C. Maes1, N. Grima 1, B. Blanke1 ¹Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale (UMR 6523 LOPS), Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, IUEM, Plouzané, France *Corresponding author: fanny.chenillat@univ-brest.fr submitted to Marine Pollution Bulletin – revised version with notes

Abstract

Marine plastic pollution is a global issue, from the shores to the open ocean. Understanding the pathway and fate of plastic debris is fundamental to manage and reduce plastic pollution. Here, the fate of floating plastic pollution discharged along the coasts is studied by comparing two sources, one based on river discharges and the other on coastal populations, using a Lagrangian numerical analysis in a global ocean circulation model. About 1/3 of the particles end up in the open ocean and 2/3 on beaches. The input scenario largely influences the accumulation of particles toward the main subtropical convergence zones, with the South Pacific and North Atlantic being mostly fed by the inputs of the coastal population. The input scenario influences the number of beached particles that end up in several coastal areas. Beaching occurs mainly locally, although a significant number of particles travel long distances, allowing for global connectivity.

1. Introduction

Marine pollution from plastics is a global issue and challenge (persistence of plastics at sea, consequences for marine life and potentially human health) that infests the ocean from coastal

30 regions (e.g., Bergmann et al., 2017; Napper and Thompson, 2020) to the open sea (Barnes et 31 al., 2009; Law et al., 2010; Cozar et al., 2014; van Sebille et al., 2015; Lebreton et al., 2018). 32 According to Geyer et al. (2017), about half of the plastic debris produced is less dense than 33 seawater and, consequently, is expected to float at the sea surface. This floating pollution 34 either accumulates in the center of subtropical gyres (e.g., the Pacific Garbage Patch) or is 35 discharged onto coasts and beaches. Transport of plastic is affected by a variety of physical 36 processes (van Sebille et al., 2020; Dobler et al., 2019) characterized by different temporal and 37 spatial variability. The pathways and fate of plastic debris in the oceans are still uncertain for 38 many reasons, including a misperception of their sources, both in terms of quantity and 39 distribution (Viatte et al., 2020). Indeed, observations are still limited, and the origins of the 40 plastic collected at sea and along coasts remain completely impossible a challenge to identify or 41 assess. 42 Most of the projects on this issue are nowadays oriented toward a particular region or theme 43 (Black et al., 2020), whereas plastic pollution must be considered as a global concern (Maes et 44 al., 2019). Understanding the main pathway and fate of plastic debris remains fundamental to 45 better manage and reduce plastic pollution from an environmental and economic perspective. 46 Indeed, Lau et al. (2020) have shown that if no plastic pollution reduction strategy is 47 undertaken, plastic pollution will triple by 2040. Despite the multiplicity of plastic pollution 48 sources and the large uncertainties about the contribution of land-based plastic pollution 49 (Horton and Dixon, 2017), according to van Sebille et al. (2020), it is today recognized that 50 coastal pollution is one of the largest sources of ocean plastic waste globally, with 5 to 12 million tons year⁻¹ (Jambeck et al., 2015). As estimated by Faris and Hart (1994), 80% of marine 51 52 litter enters the ocean by land, with the remaining 20% assumed to come from marine activities 53 such as commercial and recreational fishing, cruises, and shipping (Lebreton et al., 2012). Given 54 the scarcity of available data and observations on marine litter and plastic pollution (Cozar et 55 al., 2014), numerical models appear to be one of the most adequate tools for understanding 56 the transport and dispersion of plastic in the ocean (Hardesty et al., 2017), especially in a 57 Lagrangian framework (van Sebille et al., 2018). Given the scarcity of available data and 58 observations on marine litter and plastic pollution (Galgani et al., 2021), numerical simulation

59 can be used 'to fill in the gap' between these observations, and to test hypotheses about how 60 plastic particles behave in the ocean" as explained in van Sebille et al. (2020). Indeed, numerical 61 models are proper tools for understanding the transport and dispersion of plastic in the ocean 62 (Hardesty et al., 2017), especially in a Lagrangian framework (van Sebille et al., 2018). 63 For instance, Lebreton et al. (2012) studied the relative contributions of plastics from 64 impervious watersheds, coastal population and shipping inputs to different accumulation 65 zones. In their study, they estimated that between 28% and 40% of the released particles were 66 beached, depending on the input scenario. 67 Using a similar numerical methodology, we study hereafter the fate of floating plastic pollution 68 in the ocean as discharged along the coasts. We compare two different types scenario of 69 sources in the global ocean: one based on river inputs, and the other based on population 70 density along the coasts and waste management. We use a Lagrangian numerical analysis in 71 conjunction with surface currents from a reanalysis of a global ocean circulation model with a 72 horizontal resolution of 1/12°. In both scenarios, we find the five persistent convergence zones 73 located in the subtropical gyres, also known as garbage patches (Kubota, 1994; Maximenko et 74 al., 2012), but with different characteristics. More generally, particles ending up at sea 75 represent less than half of the particles released (and less than 20 % in the convergence zones), 76 whereas more than 50% end up at the coast. We discuss how the use of different scenarios 77 helps to understand ocean connectivity and plastic pollution on a global scale. This study also 78 highlights the importance of considering accurate coastal inputs or sources, in particular 79 littering from coastal populations, and provides insight into future strategies for monitoring and 80 mitigating plastic debris. This study fits well within the main research priorities on marine 81 plastic litter raised by the scientific community (Maximenko et al., 2019), in response to the G7 82 Science Ministers meeting in Berlin in October 2015 (Williamson et al., 2016), such as 83 understand the pathways "establishing connections between sources and sinks for different 84 types of debris", and understand the sinks, "including accumulation in remote locations". 85 Section 2 presents the material and methods. Results for particles ending up at sea and in the 86 convergence zones are given in section 3, whereas the specific analysis of particles ending up 87 along the coast (beaching) is presented in section 4. Section 5 is the concluding section.

88

89

2. Material and methods

90 91

2.1. Global surface ocean circulation model

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

For this study, we use the sea surface current of the Global Oceanic Circulation Model GLORYS12V1, a leading global reanalysis of ocean circulation and physics (Lellouche et al., 2018). This reanalysis is part of the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) with a new global eddy-resolving resolution and an ocean model with 50 vertical levels. The model component is the NEMO platform, forced at its surface by the ERA-Interim atmospheric reanalysis of the European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecast. These products are part of international efforts to give a better estimate of the global state of the oceans (von Schuckmann et al., 2016). This reanalysis covers the 1993-2018 altimetry era with a daily frequency, and provides not only a higher horizontal resolution compared to previous versions, but also improvements and corrections (Lellouche et al., 2018). In the following, we use the daily mean surface currents from the upper layer of the model with a thickness of 1 m, from 1 January 1993 to 31 December 2015 (GLOBAL REANALYSIS PHY 001 030 product downloaded from https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu). The products of the Copernicus reanalysis being provided on a regular grid (A-grid in Arakawa and Lamb (1977) classification), we interpolated the velocities on the ORCA 1/12° native C-grid to run the Lagrangian experiments.

109

2.2. Coastal plastic source scenarios

111112

113

114

115

116

110

In this study, we compare two distinct scenarios of coastal sources of plastic particles: one based on inputs from the world's main rivers and the other based on the coastal population (Fig. S1), which we will identify as the river scenario and population scenario hereafter.

The *river scenario* comes from the model developed by Lebreton et al. (2017). This global model of plastic inputs from rivers into the oceans is based on waste management, population

117 density and hydrological information. It estimates that about 2 million tons of plastic waste 118 enters the ocean every year from 40,760 rivers. The 20 most polluting rivers are mainly located 119 along the western North Pacific and account for 71% of the total (Fig. 1). The North Indian and 120 North Atlantic basins account for 13% and 12% of the river inputs, respectively. Data were 121 downloaded from the global model inputs for annual midpoint estimates in Lebreton et al. 122 (2017) (data are available at figshare.com at doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.4725541). 123 The second scenario is the mismanaged waste from coastal population scenario that we refer 124 to as *population scenario* hereafter. It is actually a proxy of the mismanaged waste released by 125 the coastal population entering the ocean, as described in van Sebille et al. (2015): it is 126 computed as the human population within 200 km of the coast scaled by the amount of 127 mismanaged plastic waste available to enter the ocean by country in 2010 (as referenced in 128 Jambeck et al. (2015) by 'mismanaged waste', dependent on the economic level per country) 129 The population scenario is described in van Sebille et al. (2015): the coastal source is a proxy of 130 the human population within a radius of 200 km from the coast scaled by the amount of plastic 131 waste available to enter the ocean by country in 2010, as referenced in Jambeck et al. (2015) by 132 'mismanaged waste'. In this scenario, plastic debris entering the ocean is more widely 133 distributed (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1) over 2633 coastal input positions. The west coast of the North 134 Pacific accounts for 37% of the total population input, i.e., a relative contribution half less than 135 in the river input scenario. The North Atlantic shorelines represent the second-highest source of 136 plastic inputs with 21% of total inputs (43% more than river inputs). The North Indian basin 137 represents 18% of the total input. The Eastern Pacific, South Atlantic (east and west) and the 138 Mediterranean Sea represent larger sources of plastic (5%, 5% and 10%, respectively) than in 139 the river scenario (<1%, 1% and <1%, respectively). Data were provided by Erik van Sebille 140 (pers. comm., 2018) based on the estimate of Jambeck et al. (2015) that 4.8-12.7 million tons of 141 land-based plastic debris entered the ocean in 2010, which is 2-6 times more than the river 142 input on average. 143 Both scenarios are projected and discretized on our model grid. The finite number of total 144 particles released along the experiment, and the rounding-of to an integer number of particles 145 released each month in sources grid cells, reduces the effective number of source points as

follows. For the rivers scenario, the finite number of particles released each month (20,000) reduces the effective number of source points to 522 grid cells (Fig. S1). There are very large sources, with about 10 rivers releasing more than 500 particles per month (representing altogether more than 58% of the total), with the Yangtze River peaking at about 5000 particles (25% of the total). For the population scenario, out of the 2633 source points provided by Erik van Sebille (pers. comm., 2018) on a 1°x1° grid, the finite number of particles released each month reduces the effective number of source grid cells to 1196 in our experiment (i.e., more than twice that of the rivers scenario). There are no sources as extreme as in the rivers scenario, the peak values are about 350 particles per month (barely 2% of the total), with 23 sources releasing more than 100 particles per month (representing altogether 19% of the total).

Our objective is to diagnose how differences in input scenarios affect the fate of floating plastic debris on a global scale. Thus, to make the two scenarios comparable, we choose to ignore the difference in the total amount of plastic mass released in each scenario. For simplicity, we also

choose to ignore the temporal variability of coastal inputs in the two scenarios (e.g., river

positions (see next section) in both scenarios (river and population).

discharge depends on rainfall variability). Thus, we consider that a mean equivalent amount of

plastic is released every month over the 23 years of simulation (1993-2015) from their coastal

2.3. Lagrangian analysis

To study the fate and pathway of floating plastic debris in the global ocean, we use a Lagrangian approach with the Ariane methodology (Blanke and Raynaud, 1997). As detailed in Maes et al. (2018) or Dobler et al. (2019), the Ariane tool has been used so that the numerical particles are horizontally advected by surface currents and do not experience vertical motion. The plastic input data for both scenarios were gridded on the ORCA native grid at a resolution of 1/12° at the nearest ocean grid point, i.e. each source point is associated with a single grid cell of the model. The initial particle positions are determined randomly within the grid cell. Note that the population density proxy data set was available at a resolution of 1°x1°; for this reason, some

final positions on the 1/12° grid are not initialized exactly at the coast (as strictly defined by the land-sea mask of the model) but near the coast. Two experiments are run according to the coastal input scenario (see previous section) with equivalent total particle numbers: 5,589,080 particles for the river scenario, and 5,571,720 particles for the population scenario (the particle numbers are slightly different due to rounding to an integer number of particles released each month). About 20,000 particles are thus released each month during the 23-year period from 1993 to 2015 (i.e., about 240,000 particles released per year). Particles released at the same location are subject to turbulent, seasonal and interannual variability of the surface current. Particles released at close locations within the same grid cell are subject to turbulent, seasonal and interannual variability of the surface current that will lead to dispersion in their trajectories. The positions of the particles are recorded with a monthly frequency. There are no explicit sinks in our approach i.e., the released particles stay indefinitely at the surface in the model, still moving or stuck along the coasts.

2.4. Particle behavior

- We have diagnosed that particles can experience a different fate depending on their position and trajectory in the ocean:
 - case a: the particle leaves the coastline and travels within the ocean domain until the end of the experiment;
 - case b: the particle leaves the coastline, travels in the open ocean but ends up along the coast: we will define these particles as "beached";
- case c: the particle never leaves the coastline but rather travels alongshore;
 - case d: the particle never leaves its initial grid cell. More precisely, the particle can barely move but never leaves the grid point associated to its initial position. Such behavior results from a conjunction between the initial positioning (indented coastline) and the dynamics (convergence), which creates unfavorable conditions for moving to another grid cell. Note that this behavior concerns a very small fraction of the initialized particles (<1%, see section 3) and will be considered as a "rare cases" category.

Note that, in absolute terms, cases b and c could refer to a similar category of beaching and thus to the same local pollution by plastic debris. However, we choose to distinguish these two cases because of the possible role of river mouth dynamics in such behavior.

207

208

204

205

206

3. Open ocean convergence zones

209

210

211

217

218

219

220

221

222

224

227

228

229

230

231

232

The particles are released continuously in both experiments. After a few years of Lagrangian advection, the particles spread almost everywhere in the global ocean, from the coast to the 212 open ocean. After a few years of Lagrangian advection, the particles have spread almost all over 213 the global ocean, from the coast to the open ocean. Only a few regions remain free of particles: 214 the Southern Ocean (because of the strong northward Ekman transport), the Atlantic and 215 Pacific equatorial region (because of the strong Ekman transport divergence), and also the 216 northern North Pacific and Chukchi Sea in the Arctic. Figure 2 represents what could be roughly observed in terms of relative surface plastic pollution from space at any given time. The two scenarios have similarities and differences (Fig. 2). In both scenarios, surface plastics cover a large portion of the ocean between 45°S and 45°N. Particles seem to accumulate in bays, gulfs and seas surrounded by high-flow rivers (river inputs) and densely populated coastlines (population inputs), e.g., in the Bay of Bengal, Gulf of Guinea and China Sea (Reisser et al., 2013; Hinojosa and Thiel, 2009; Collignon et al., 2012; Ryan, 2013), similarly in both scenarios. 223 Other regions of accumulation are in the centers of the subtropical gyres, regions known as CVZ (Convergence Zones), where plastic accumulates through Ekman transport (Kubota, 1994; 225 Maximenko et al., 2012; van Sebille, 2015), mainly in the North Pacific and South Indian basins. 226 Concentrations differ strongly between scenarios in the South Atlantic, North Atlantic, South Pacific, and Arctic, but also in some coastal regions (e.g., off Europe and Brazil). Another difference between the scenarios is the lower concentration of particles in the equatorial Pacific and equatorial Atlantic in the river scenario compared to the population scenario. These discrepancies between the scenarios are only due to the relative input of particles (as the dynamics are the same in both experiments). Compared to the in situ observations (see figure 1 "standardized data" of van Sebille et al., 2015), the population scenario seems to show better

agreement than the river scenario in terms of relative amplitude and global distribution of surface plastic debris, mostly because of the higher concentrations in the North Atlantic, South Atlantic and South Pacific CVZs. It should be mentioned that no scenario exactly satisfies the relative concentrations of particles in the different regions, especially since the syntheses of the observations do not agree with each other. This must might be due to the fact that particles inputs are more widely distributed along the coast in the population scenario than in the river scenario, as described in section 2.2.

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

To determine the origins of these discrepancies and to untangle the fate and pathways of the particles, now we modify the standard way of analyzing the fate of our particles. Instead of merely looking at the position of particles at time t, which mixes particles of different ages (such as Fig. 2), we choose to focus on the position of particles as a function of their age, i.e., the time elapsed since their release. We can thus follow a cohort of particle traveling from their release position to their final position (Fig. 3). For this section, we focus on particles from case a, i.e., particles ending up at sea. Since particles may be released at the same location at different times, they may experience different dynamics; thus, such an analysis provides much more consistent statistics on the plastic fate at the ocean surface. Figure 3 shows both the quasi-initial position of the particles (i.e., one month after their release at the coast), and their position after 22 years. The one-month-old particles have experienced one month of dynamics and are still relatively close to their release position: such a representation gives a good approximation of the initialization of particles in terms of position and concentration. It also explicitly illustrates the main input differences between the scenarios: with the exception of the tropical areas of the West Pacific and Northeast Indian Oceans, all other shores show significant differences. As explained in section 2b, the population scenario is more widely spread, especially in relation to the American, European and African population. Most of the older particles, which have been drifting with the currents for 20 years, aggregate in the centers of the gyres (while a few others are still drifting in highly dynamical regions such as the Agulhas Current or between the subtropical region of the South Indian Ocean and the southwestern Pacific Ocean). After drifting with the currents for 20 years, particles aggregate in the centers of

the gyres (while others are still drifting in highly dynamical regions such as the Agulhas Current or between the subtropical region of the South Indian Ocean and the southwestern Pacific Ocean).

Figure 3 highlights the importance of sources for accumulation in CVZs and, specifically, the local influence of plastic pollution in the main gyres. By the term "local" we define the particles that originate and end in the same region, as defined in figure S2. Whereas the North Pacific and South Indian CVZs show quite similar concentrations and positions to the first order in both scenarios, the concentration of particles in the South Pacific, North and South Atlantic CVZs is much lower in the river scenario than in the population scenario. Indeed, the sources around the latter basins are much lower in the river scenario (Fig. 1) indicating that particles have mostly a local origin in many regions: particles initialized in one region are likely to stay in this region (e.g., North and South Atlantic, Southeast Pacific and Mediterranean). Outside the CVZs, particle concentrations are much lower (e.g., Maximenko et al., 2012; Law et al., 2014). In all basins, there is a very intense divergence of particles around the equator, due to the poleward Ekman transport associated with trade winds, such that particles from a sub-basin (North or South) are very likely to remain in their region of origin. As already highlighted by Lebreton et al. (2012), because (i) there are little exchanges between hemispheres across the equator (except in few coastal regions), and (ii) the particles are mostly released in the northern hemisphere in the river scenario, there are significantly less particles that end up in the South Atlantic and South Pacific gyres compared to their northern hemisphere counterparts. In the Indian basin, however, there is a seasonal north-south flush of particles along the eastern boundary (van der Mheen et al., 2020).

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

In addition to the local contribution of plastic pollution in the main gyres, there is a strong remote influence due to there is also a remote contribution allowed by the connectivity between sub-basins. This connectivity depends on the strength and extent of the attraction basins (Froyland et al., 2014). To study this connectivity from coastal regions to the open ocean, we determine the temporal accumulation of particles in the main gyres (Fig. 4) and establish a connectivity matrix from the coastal inputs of particles - i.e., the initial position of the particles -

to their final position at sea (Fig. 5) between the sub-regions defined in Fig. S2 (see also the mapped initial positions given in Figs. S3 and S4). To better capture the open-ocean signal of the particles attracted (Fig. 4), we limit the extension of the CVZs to their core - where particles accumulate over time (van Sebille et al., 2020) - and focus on the five main CVZs (see the colored boxes in Fig. 3). The slope of the curve indicates whether particles accumulate mostly in an attractive CVZ (positive slope), whether particles escape mostly from a leaky CVZ (negative slope), or whether an equilibrium is reached between sources and sinks in an attractive but still leaky CVZ (null slope). Sinks may represent particles that move to other regions or that beach. The rate at which a CVZ attracts particles provides an indication of the origin of the particles: the faster the early rate, the younger the particles are, the less they travel (and vice versa for a slower rate). In both rivers and population scenarios, the Indian CVZ is the region where plastics accumulate the most and very rapidly: in 10 years up to 5.0% and 5.9% accumulate in the river and population scenarios, respectively, with concentrations that continue to increase up to 15 years of simulation. This results from the multiplicity of large sources converging to the Indian basin (see IND.S in Figs. 5, S3 and S4), from local sources (all Indian) to remote sources (from the Pacific and Atlantic shores). This is in line with Lebreton et al. (2012) who found that in the Indian CVZ, the main contributors are Southeast Asia/Indonesia, Africa and India. Overall, the South Indian is the most heterogeneously and widely impacted region, with particles coming from all origins with the population inputs (except the Mediterranean) and from all over the North Pacific and South Atlantic with the river inputs (Fig. 5). In this case, particles likely crossed the equator, e.g. between the South and the North Indian during the intermonsoon season as recently documented by van der Mheen et al. (2020). This result contrasts with that of Lebreton et al. (2012) who identified the greatest diversity of particle origins in the Southeast Pacific. This discrepancy must might be due to differences in input scenarios. Interestingly, the North Indian feeds the Southeast Pacific (Fig. 5). This connection has already been documented as the surface "superconvergence" pathway linking the south Indian Ocean to the subtropical south Pacific gyre through the Great Australian Bight (Maes et al., 2018).

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

The North Pacific is the second region where plastics are accumulating the most and very rapidly. The North Pacific CVZ starts to significantly attract particles after 2 years of simulation and accumulates approximately the same number of particles in both scenarios, up to ~4% in about 5 years (Fig. 4). Particles traveled for 2 years from the Pacific and Indian shores before ending up in the CVZ (see PAC.NE in Figs. 5, S3 and S4). An equilibrium is reached between sources and sinks in the population scenario. However, in the river scenario, the equilibrium shows a dip from year 7 to year 15 (i.e., 2000 to 2008), which is not observed in the population scenario. This difference may be due to interannual variability in the dynamics linking one of the sources to the CVZ. Indeed, in the river scenario, some of the sources involved in the feeding of the North Pacific CVZ in the population scenario must be missing (e.g., from the Eastern Pacific). Toward the end of the simulation, after 15 years (i.e., from 2008), there is a second increase in both scenarios, showing that the dynamics have favored the accumulation of common sources – i.e., from North Pacific or South Indian - in the North Pacific CVZ. Interestingly, the period 2000 to 2015 corresponds to a cool phase of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and a positive phase of the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO). Such interannual variability is beyond the scope of this paper, but additional attention could be given to linking particle accumulation to different modes of climate indices in future research projects. In the South Atlantic, there is a rapid accumulation of particles, followed by a slower increase over the rest of the simulation with the population scenario (Fig. 4), due to the larger sources all around the basin, mostly from Southeastern America (Figs. 3, S1 and S3). However, with river inputs, the particle concentration in the South Atlantic CVZ increases slowly over the whole period because particles come from very remote sources, from all over the Indian and NW Pacific (see ATL.S. in Figs. 5 and S4). Accumulations in the North Atlantic CVZ vary significantly according to the input scenario, as in Lebreton et al. (2012). In the river scenario, very few particles accumulate, and an equilibrium of ~0.1% is rapidly reached (Fig. 4), with particles being attracted only from the local shores (see ATL.N in Fig. 5). In the population scenario, a maximum is rapidly reached (1% in less than a year), followed by a decrease and a further increase toward an equilibrium of ~1.1% in 5 years

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

(Fig. 4). In this case, there is a clear balance between the sources (North and South Atlantic shores) and the open waters of the North Atlantic (Fig. 5), with the dispersion of particles from the core in the North Atlantic waters. In the South Pacific CVZ, particles accumulate very slowly and the maximum concentration of about 0.1 and 1% is reached in 15 years (ten times slower than in the North Atlantic CVZ) with rivers and population inputs, respectively. This is consistent with Lebreton et al. (2012) who identified that "particles originating from South Atlantic and identified in the South Pacific Gyre took more than 15 years to make the journey". In both scenarios, the locations of sources are similar, but the concentration of inputs from the Eastern and Southwestern Pacific shores is higher in the population scenario (see the PAC.SE position in Fig. 5), as in Lebreton et al. (2012). In terms of the contribution of coastal sources to open ocean pollution, we evaluate that 28% (~470,000 particles) and 49% (~1,200,000 particles) of the particles ending at sea have a local origin in the river and population scenarios, respectively (these figures are computed as the sum of the diagonal terms in the connectivity matrix, Fig. 5). Thus, 72% and 51% of the particles ending at sea have a remote origin in the river and population scenarios, respectively, with our definition of regions. In terms of open ocean pollution (particles in case a, ending at sea), we evaluate that 28% (~470,000 particles) have a local origin in the river scenario, against 49% (~1,200,000 particles) in the population scenario (these numbers are computed as the sum of the diagonal terms in the connectivity matrix, Fig. 5). Thus, the remaining portions of the particles have a remote origin (with our definition of regions), respectively 72% in the river and 51% in population scenarios.-The NW Pacific shores represent the largest source of pollution at sea in both scenarios (Fig. 1): particles reach mostly the South Indian (4.5 10⁵ and 3.8 10⁵ particles, i.e., 8% and 6.8% of released particles) and the NE Pacific (4.0 10⁵ and 3.0 10⁵ particles, i.e., 7.2% and 5.4% of released particles), then the South Atlantic (6.0 10⁴ and 5.8 10⁴ particles, i.e., 1.1% and 1.0% of released particles) (numbers are given for river and population inputs, respectively). Within these regions are the three main CVZ in terms of total number of particles in cores. The NW Pacific is also a significant source of local pollution with 1.9 10⁵ and 1.2 10⁵ particles (i.e., 3.4% and 2.2% of released particles) for the river and population inputs,

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

respectively. The remaining number of particles (3.7 10^4 and 1.8 10^4 particles, i.e., 0.7% and 0.3% of released particles) ends up in the South Pacific (E and W) and North Indian.

In summary, these results emphasize the importance of the input of coastal sources in the total accumulation and composition of the 5 CVZ, and the possible exchanges between these regions. Our results show similarities and differences with those of Lebreton et al. (2012) (see above for more details) who performed a similar analysis. Although we found the same 5 CVZ, one of the most divergent results is that they find that northern CVZs accumulate more particles than southern CVZs (~25% in northern hemisphere CVZs compared to ~10% in southern hemisphere CVZs), which is not our case (we find that 5% of the particles accumulates in northern hemisphere CVZs versus ~8% in southern hemisphere CVZs). This discrepancy may be due to differences in the input scenarios, the numerical tools (from surface current products to Lagrangian experiments), and the methodology (definition of regions). However, it remains difficult to validate the most realistic solution due to the lack of in situ observations in these regions, especially in the southern hemisphere.

In total, in both scenarios, CVZs do not attract more than 20% of the total particles released at the coast after a few years of simulation (Fig. 4). While the defined CVZs cover only a fraction of the patches in the gyres, i.e., the core, we found that only 29/45% of the particles end up in the open ocean, away from the coast, for the river and population scenarios, respectively (Fig. 6). The majority of the particles thus end up along the coast, 71/55% respectively, and we now examine in detail the behavior of these beached particles.

4. Beaching

As noted in many previous studies (e.g., Maximenko et al., 2012; van Sebille et al., 2015), coastal deposit of plastic debris represents an important reservoir in the total budget. In the present estimation of the model dispersion, a significant proportion of the particles released at the coast does not end up in the open ocean (*case a*). Indeed, 36 and 43 % of them end up on beaches (*case b*) while 34 and 11 % travel alongshore (*case c*), in the river and population scenarios, respectively (Fig. 6). In total, 70% and 54% of the particles end up on the coasts (sum

405 of case b and case c) in the river and population scenarios, respectively. This is in good 406 agreement with Lebreton et al. (2019) who showed that 67% of the world's plastic washed up 407 on the coasts. Note that a small proportion of particles, ~1%, do not move from the grid cell 408 where they were released. Details on these categories are given hereafter. Overall, the broad 409 spatial spreading of beachings along the coasts (Fig. 7) is not strikingly different between the 410 two scenarios (except for a few areas), especially when compared to the very contrasted input 411 functions (Fig. S1). 412 In terms of sources, in both scenarios, coastal pollution originates mainly from the NW Pacific, 413 North Indian and North Atlantic shorelines, mainly because these are the main sources of 414 particles (Fig. 6). In the population scenario, the Mediterranean also represents a major source 415 of beached particles. In the population scenario, most of particles released from the 416 Mediterranean shores actually beached. Depending on the region, the balance of open-ocean-417 fate (case a) and coastal-fate (case b and c) is variable (Fig. S5). Some regions are mainly 418 sources of coastal pollution for cases b and c (i.e., they contribute to >50% of the total 419 pollution) The following regions contribute to more than 50% of the total coastal pollution, as 420 diagnosed in cases b and c: North Indian (56%), NW and SE Pacific (70% and 96%), North 421 Atlantic (93%) and Mediterranean (97%) with the river scenario; and NW Pacific (57%), North 422 Atlantic (65%) and Mediterranean (96%) with the population scenario (Fig. S5). 423 The origin of the particles that accumulate along the coast is mostly local (Figs. 7, 8, S6 and S7), 424 i.e., the initial and final positions are in the same region (this is also true for the particles that 425 stay on the coast in case c, Fig. S8). In both scenarios, we estimate that 85% (~2,000,000 426 particles) of the beached particles have a local origin (this number is computed as the sum of 427 the diagonal terms of the connectivity matrix, Fig. 8) likely due to coastal retention and coastal 428 recirculation. That is especially true for the NW Pacific, North Indian and North Atlantic in both 429 scenarios, and additionally for the Mediterranean in the population scenario. It is not surprising 430 that in the river scenario, the positioning of local beaching pollution corresponds to the river 431 areas, i.e., the Niger, the Amazon, the Ganges and rivers of the NW Pacific region (Mekong, 432 Yangtze, etc.) (Figs. 7 and S6). Rivers also appear to be hotspots for particle retention on coasts 433 (with 34% of particles in case c, Fig. 6 and S8). With regard to the population scenario, where

434 sources are more widely distributed, beaching locations appear to be widespread along the 435 shores and, to a lesser extent, even in divergent regions such as coastal upwelling areas like 436 California, Peru or NW Africa (Fig. 7). 437 Coastal pollution is not, exclusively and totally, local, and the beaching process may in fact 438 occur after a long distance traveled. In both scenarios, we find that ~27% of the beached 439 particles traveled less than 500 km, ~66% between 500 km and 5000 km, and ~7% more than 440 5000 km (Fig. 9). This highlights the shore-to-shore connectivity between remote regions (Fig. 8 441 and S9). For example, particles from the NW Pacific, which is the main source of coastal 442 pollution, can reach the Pacific, Indian or South Atlantic. Conversely, in the population scenario, 443 particles from the Atlantic shores can reach the West Pacific, South Indian, and also Arctic 444 shores. The Indian shores are also a source of beaching for older particles in the West Pacific, in 445 both scenarios. 446 To summarize, the impact of local pollution on beaching is even greater with population inputs 447 rather than with river inputs. This result deserves more attention and, due to uncertainties and gaps in the observations of plastic waste, it remains challenging to predict plastic sources and 448 449 fate in coastal systems as mentionned recently by Galgani et al. (2021). As for the particles at 450 sea in the CVZs, the differences between the two scenarios appear mainly in the North Atlantic 451 and SE Pacific, where the sources are very different (Fig. S1). In the North Atlantic, river inputs 452 tend to stay locally on the coast (mostly from the Amazon and Niger), whereas population 453 inputs represent a high source of beached and offshore pollution (from Europe and North 454 America). In the SE Pacific, this local pollution is represented by a significant proportion of 455 offshore pollution with population inputs. The Mediterranean and NE Atlantic are largely 456 affected by beaching and coastal retention (Fig. 6 and Fig. S8) with population inputs. 457 Interestingly, these results highlight the disparity between regions in terms of plastic pollution: 458 some regions are strongly affected by coastal pollution (e.g., the North Pacific), due to coastal 459 retention and coastal recirculation, while others have a significant proportion of particles 460 staying offshore (e.g., North Indian and NW Pacific). In contrast to local pollution, there are a 461 significant number of beached particles that have traveled long distances in both scenarios and 462 this study highlights the main pathways of plastic debris between coastal regions and their

463 ability to travel long distances before ending up at the coast. Note that the geographic 464 differences found in the final positions of particles between the two scenarios are directly 465 related to the location of input sources and differences in concentration. Given these 466 differences between the input scenarios, the particles may encounter different oceanographic 467 features and dynamics that are likely to influence their final positions. However, the statistical 468 robustness of our approach relies on the use of several million particles to diagnose the main 469 pathways from the initial positions to the final positions, overcoming the effect of small scales. 470 Moreover, Note, however, that in our simulations, the particles do not sink, whereas in reality, 471 such old particles would most likely fall down the water column (Egger et al., 2020; Pabortsava 472 and Lampitt, 2020) under the action of biology (biofouling, ingestion, or aggregation) (e.g., Kooi 473 et al., 2017; van Sebille et al., 2020). 474 A qualitative comparison with global beaching patterns, as compiled for instance in the 475 LITTERBASE database (https://litterbase.awi.de/litter, Tekman et al., 2018), generally shows 476 relatively good agreement, except for some regions. For instance, the database reports no 477 beachings along the east coast of Africa from Somalia to Mozambique, and along the coasts of 478 Oman and Yemen, probably due to a lack of observations. 479 A striking difference between the 2 scenarios is the complete absence of beachings along the 480 Pacific coast of South America. Coastal plastic and other debris reported along the Chilean coast 481 suggest that the river input scenario is not sufficient to supply plastic particles to the South 482 Pacific, and in this respect the population scenario is more satisfactory (as reported in 483 LITTERBASE from Thiel et al. 2003; Hinojosa and Thiel, 2009; Hinojosa et al., 2011; Thiel et al., 484 2013; Miranda-Urbina et al., 2015, Hidalgo-Ruiz et al., 2018). Similarly, the 2 scenarios differ 485 greatly along the East coast of America, where the river scenario leads to almost no beaching 486 north of Florida. This is not the case in LITTERBASE, confirming once again the need for 487 population inputs. Beaching patterns around the Indian basin and along the West Pacific coasts 488 are not significantly different between the 2 scenarios, and are in good agreement with 489 previously published results (van der Mheen et al., 2020). Beaching patterns around Australia 490 (PAC. SW in Fig. 8) differ from the 2 scenarios and the population scenario is in better

agreement with recent studies (Galaiduk et al. 2020) with significant input from local and northwest Pacific shores.

493

494

491

492

5. Conclusions

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

The aim of this study is to investigate the pathway and fate of floating plastic debris, a key issue that remains fundamental to better manage and reduce plastic pollution. We diagnose the fate of plastic pollution discharged along the coasts by comparing two different types of sources in the global ocean: one based on rivers and the other on the population density along the coasts. We use a Lagrangian numerical analysis (forward particle tracking) based on surface currents from reanalysis of a global ocean circulation model with a resolution of 1/12°. Our results highlight the importance of the input scenario for the concentration of dispersed particles in the open ocean, in specific subtropical convergence zones for instance, and the number of particles beaching around oceanic basins, such as the Mediterranean Sea. The concentration of particles at sea in certain convergence zones is particularly sensitive to the input scenario. More precisely, population-related inputs are critical to feed convergence zones of the South Pacific and North Atlantic. Connectivity between coastal sources and open ocean regions also indicates that the Indian region is the most heterogeneous in terms of pollution with population-related inputs. More generally, particles ending up at sea represent less than half of the particles released (and less than 20 % in the convergence zones), whereas more than 50% end up at the coast. A large fraction of the total particles released ends up along the coast, between 54% in the population scenario and 70% in the river scenario. The number of particles that beach in certain areas also depends particularly on the input scenario, such as the European West Coast, the Mediterranean Sea, and African East Coast with the population input. Rivers represent a large source of local coastal pollution, probably due to the retention and recirculation of coastal waters. Regardless the input scenario, some regions are more subject to offshore pollution such as the South Atlantic and the NE Pacific, while others are more largely affected by coastal pollution (beaching) such as the NW Pacific, North Atlantic and Mediterranean shores. We have

520 found that particles can travel up to several thousand kilometers, allowing remote connectivity 521 between coastal regions. This property is of interest for the application to other types of 522 floating pollution or any conservative biogeochemical properties, or viruses and pathogens. 523 Our study remains an idealized case from several aspects, and from our point of view, the main 524 approximations are the "oversimplified" beaching process and the related dynamical processes. 525 Indeed, beaching of plastics is a complex process that is strongly influenced by small-scale 526 coastal ocean dynamics (Isobe et al., 2014), and by the local morphology of the coastline 527 (Zhang, 2017). Including Stokes-drift, waves or tides can also influence the number of particles 528 stuck to the coast, and increase it by more than three times (Dobler et al., 2019). Another key 529 point is the definition that can be given to the term "beaching". Using a 1/12° eddy-resolving 530 ocean model, our definition is purely probabilistic since we define as beached particles those 531 that are at a certain distance from the coast (i.e., one grid point) (as similar studies e.g., van der 532 Mheen et al. (2020)). 533 Although this study is still based on available scenarios for plastic sources, it provides new 534 insights on connectivity between regions, on offshore pollution with CVZ composition and on 535 coastal pollution in terms of beaching. There are many ways to add complexity to these 536 processes. Indeed, for the sake of simplicity, we have neglected many key factors such as the 537 temporal/seasonal variability of coastal inputs that could change with rainfall (e.g., Lebreton et 538 al., 2017; van der Mheen et al., 2020), and also the significant worldwide increase in plastic 539 inputs to the sea in relation to population growth and the rapid increase in plastic production 540 (Ostle et al., 2019). We have also ignored sources contribution of of pollution from maritime 541 inputs on global shipping route or fishery activities (e.g., Lebreton et al., 2012). With the 1/12° 542 eddy-resolving ocean model used, one might have expected to find particles crossing the 543 Antarctic Polar Front and reaching the Southern Ocean (Fraser et al., 2018), but it is likely that 544 the absence of extreme events and Stokes drift (driven by surface winds) does not allow such 545 connectivity. Finally, we focus on floating debris that could experience vertical motion in 546 response to physical or biological processes (van Sebille et al., 2020). It could be interesting to 547 implement models that allow interaction with the marine ecosystem – e.g., processes such as 548 ingestion by plankton and fish, sedimentation by biofouling (Kooi et al., 2017) which could

549 represent an important sink for particles toward the deep ocean (van Sebille et al., 2020). 550 Indeed, it has recently been documented by Egger et al. (2020) that we can find below the 551 surface (5 m depth) to 2,000 meters about 56%-80% of what is seen at the surface. 552 Marine plastic pollution represents an increasing threat to the environment. Because of their 553 serious detrimental effects on marine ecosystems (see examples in Napper and Thomson, 554 (2020)) and given the huge cost of removing this pollution from beaches (e.g., Burt et al., 2020; 555 Cruz et al., 2020; Napper and Thomson, 2020), it is today fundamental to understand the fate 556 and pathway of marine plastic debris. Such studies are needed to better inform and guide the 557 stakeholders involved in the reduction of plastic pollution and waste management decision 558 makers. However, a consensus is needed among researchers and a major step forward will be 559 to improve the quality of information available on beached marine debris, which would require 560 standardization of data sets (e.g., reporting metrics and sampling methods) (Serra-Gonçalves et 561 al., 2019; Galgani et al., 2021).

562

563

564

Acknowledgements

- FC was supported by postdoctoral funding from CNRS/INSU. We thank gratefully Laurent
- Lebreton and Erik van Sebille for providing their data sets for the source scenarios.

566

567

References

568

- Arakawa, A. and V.R. Lamb, 1977: Computational Design of the Basic Dynamical Process of the
- 570 UCLA General Circulation Model. Methods Computational Physics, 17, 173-265.
- 571 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-460817-7.50009-4

572

- Barnes, D. K. A., F. Galgani, R.C. Thompson, and M. Barlaz, 2009: Accumulation and
- 574 fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
- 575 *Society B: Biological Sciences* 364, 1985–1998.

- 577 Bergmann, M., B. Lutz, M. B. Tekman, L. Gutow, 2017: Citizen scientists reveal: Marine litter
- 578 pollutes Arctic beaches and affects wild life, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 125, 1–2, 535-540,
- 579 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.055.

580

- 581 Blanke, B., and S. Raynaud, 1997: Kinematics of the Pacific equatorial undercurrent: An eulerian
- and lagrangian approach from GCM results. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 27, 1038-1053.

583

- 584 Black J.E., D.E. Holmes and L.M. Carr, 2020: A Geography of Marine Plastics, *Irish Geography*,
- 585 Vol. 53, No. 1, DOI: 10.2014/igj.v53i1.1411.

586

- 587 Brach, L., P. Deixonne, M.-F. Bernard, A. ter halle, 2018: Anticyclonic eddies increase
- accumulation of microplastic in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. Marine Pollution Bulletin,
- 589 126:191-196, DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.10.077.

590

- 591 Cruz, C.J., J. J. Muñoz-Perez, M. I. Carrasco-Braganza, P. Poullet, P. Lopez-Garcia, A. Contreras,
- R. Silva, 2020: Beach cleaning costs, Ocean & Coastal Management, Volume 188, 105118,
- 593 doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105118.

594

- 595 Collignon A, Hecq J, Galgani F, Voisin P, Collard F, et al., 2012: Neustonic microplastic and
- zooplankton in the North Western Mediterranean Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64: 861–864.

597

- 598 Cózar, A., F. Echevarría, J. I. González-Gordillo, X. Irigoien, B. Úbeda, S. Hernández-León, Á. T.
- 599 Palma, S. Navarro, J. García-de-Lomas, A. Ruiz, M. L. Fernández-de-Puelles, and C. M. Duarte,
- 600 2014: Plastic debris in the open ocean. PNAS 2014 111 (28) 10239-10244,
- 601 doi:10.1073/pnas.1314705111.

- Dobler, D., T. Huck, C. Maes, N. Grima, B. Blanke, E. Martinez, F. Ardhuin, 2019: Large impact of
- Stokes drift on the fate of surface floating debris in the South Indian Basin. Mar. Pollut. Bull.,
- 605 148, 148, 202-209, doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.07.057.

Egger, M., Sulu-Gambari, F. & Lebreton, L. 2020: First evidence of plastic fallout from the North Pacific Garbage Patch. Sci Rep 10, 7495. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64465-8. Faris, J., Hart, K., 1994: Seas of Debris: A Summary of the Third International Conference on Marine Debris. N.C. Sea Grant College Program and NOAA. Froyland, G., R. M. Stuart, and E. van Sebille, 2014: How well connected is the surface of the global ocean? Chaos, 24, 033 126. Galgani, F., Brien, A.So., Weis, J. et al., 2021. Are litter, plastic and microplastic quantities increasing in the ocean?. *Micropl.* & Nanopl. 1, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43591-020-00002-8 Geyer, R., J.R. Lambeck, K. Lavender Law, 2017: Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Science Advances, 3, 1-5, 19July2017. Hardesty B D, Harari J, Isobe A, Lebreton L C M, Maximenko N A, Potemra J, van Sebille E, Vethaak A D and Wilcox C, 2017: Using numerical model simulations to improve the understanding of micro-plastic distribution and pathways in the marine environment. Front. Mar. Sci. 4:30, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00030. Hidalgo-Ruz, V., Honorato-Zimmer, D., Gatta-Rosemary, M., Nuñez, P., Hinojosa, I.A. and Thiel, M., 2018: Spatio-temporal variation of anthropogenic marine debris on Chilean beaches. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 126, 516-524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.014. Hinojosa I, Thiel M, 2009: Floating marine debris in fjords, gulfs and channels of southern Chile. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 341-350.

- 635 Hinojosa, I. A., Rivadeneira, M.M., Thiel, M., 2011: Temporal and spatial distribution of floating
- objects in coastal waters of central-southern Chile and Patagonian fjords, Continental Shelf
- 637 Research, 31, Issues 3–4, 172-186, doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2010.04.013.

638

- 639 Isobe, A., Kubo, K., Tamura, Y., Kako, S., Nakashima, E., and Fujii, N., 2014: Selective transport of
- 640 microplastics and mesoplastics by drifting in coastal waters, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 89, 324-
- 330, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.09.041.

642

- Jambeck, J. R., R. Geyer, C. Wilcox, T. R. Siegler, M. Perryman, A. Andrady, R. Narayan, and K. L.
- 644 Law, 2015: Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science, 347, (6223) 768-771, DOI:
- 645 10.1126/science.1260352.

646

- 647 Kooi M., E.H. van Nes, M. Scheffer and A.A. Koelmans, 2017: Ups and Downs in the Ocean:
- 648 Effects of Biofouling on Vertical Transport of Microplastics. Environ. Sci. Technol., 51,
- 649 7963-7971. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b04702.

650

- 651 Kubota, M., 1994: A Mechanism for the Accumulation of Floating Marine Debris North of
- 652 Hawaii. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 24, 1059-1064, doi: 10.1175/1520-0485.

653

- 654 Lau W.W.Y, Y. Shiran, R.M. Bailey et al., 2020: Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic
- 655 pollution. Science, 369, 6510, 1455-1461, DOI: 10.1126/science.aba9475.

656

- Law K. L., S. E. Morét-Ferguson, D. S. Goodwin, E. R. Zettler, E. DeForce, T. Kukulka and G.
- 658 Proskurowski, 2014: Distribution of surface plastic debris in the Eastern Pacific Ocean from an
- 659 11-year data set. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 4732–4738.

- 661 Law K. L., S.E. Morét-Ferguson, N. A. Maximenko, G. Proskurowski, E. E. Peacock, J. Hafner and
- 662 C. M. Reddy, 2010: Plastic accumulation in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. Science, 329
- 663 1185–1188.

664 665 Lebreton, L.C.M., S. Greer, and J. Borrero, 2012: Numerical modelling of floating debris in the 666 World's Ocean. Mar. Pollut.Bull., 64, 653-661. 667 668 Lebreton, L.C.M, B. Slat, F. Ferrari, B. Sainte-Rose et al., 2018: Evidence that the Great Pacific 669 Garbage Patch is rapidly accumulating plastic, Nature Scientific report, 8:4666, 670 DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-22939-w. 671 672 Lebreton, L.C.M., J. van der Zwet, J.-W. Damsteeg, B. Slat, A. Andrady, J. Reisser, 2017: River 673 plastic emissions to the world's oceans. Nature Communications, 8, 15611, DOI: 674 10.1038/ncomms15611. 675 676 Lellouche, J.-M.; Greiner, E.; Le Galloudec, O.; Garric, G.; Regnier, C.; Drevillon, M.; Benkiran, 677 M.; Testut, C.-E.; Bourdalle-Badie, R.; Gasparin, F.; et al., 2018: Recent updates to the 678 Copernicus Marine Service global ocean monitoring and forecasting real-time 1/12 high-679 resolution system. Ocean Sci., 14, 1093–1126, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-1093-2018. 680 681 Maes, C., and B. Blanke, 2015: Tracking the origins of plastic debris across the Coral Sea: A case 682 study from the Ouvéa Island, New Caledonia. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 97, (1-2) 16-168. 683 684 Maes, C., N. Grima, B. Blanke, E. Martinez, T. Paviet-Salomon, T. Huck, 2018: A surface "super-685 convergence" pathway connecting the South Indian Ocean to the subtropical South Pacific gyre. 686 Geophysical Research Letters, 45, (4) 1915-1922, 2017GL076366, doi: 10.1002/2017GL076366. 687 688 Maes T., J. Perry, K. Alliji, C. Clarke, S.N.R. Birchenough, 2019: Shades of grey: Marine litter

research developments in Europe, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 146, 274-281, DOI:

10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.06.019.

689

690

- 692 Maximenko, N.A., J. Hafner, and P. Niiler, 2012: Pathways of marine debris from trajectories of
- 693 Lagrangian drifters. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 65 (1-3), 51-62,
- 694 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.04.016.

695

- 696 Maximenko Nikolai, Corradi Paolo, Law Kara Lavender, Van Sebille Erik, Garaba
- 697 Shungudzemwoyo P., et al., 2019: Toward the Integrated Marine Debris Observing System.
- 698 Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 447. DOI=10.3389/fmars.2019.00447

699

- 700 Miranda-Urbina, D., Thiel, M., Luna-Jorquera, G., 2015: Litter and seabirds found across a
- 701 longitudinal gradient in the South Pacific Ocean, Marine Pollution Bulletin, Volume 96, Issues 1–
- 702 2, Pages 235-244, doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.05.021.

703

- Napper, I. E. and R. C. Thompson, 2020: Plastic Debris in the Marine Environment: History and
- 705 Future Challenges. Global Challenges 4,1900081. DOI:10.1002/gch2.201900081.

706

- Ostle, C., Thompson, R.C., Broughton, D. et al., 2019: The rise in ocean plastics evidenced from
- 708 a 60-year time series. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1622. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09506-1

709

- Pabortsava, K., Lampitt, R.S., 2020: High concentrations of plastic hidden beneath the surface of
- 711 the Atlantic Ocean. Nat Commun 11, 4073, doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17932-9.

712

- 713 Reisser J, Shaw J, Wilcox C, Hardesty B, Proietti M, 2013: Marine plastic pollution in the waters
- 714 around Australia: Characteristics, concentrations and pathways. PloS one 8,
- 715 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080466.

716

- Ryan, P.G., 2013: A simple technique for counting marine debris at sea reveals steep litter
- 718 gradients between the Straits of Malacca and the Bay of Bengal. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 69, 128–136,
- 719 doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.016.

- 721 Serra-Gonçalves, C., Lavers, J. L., & Bond, A. L., 2019: Global Review of Beach Debris Monitoring
- 722 and Future Recommendations. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *53*(21), 12158–12167.
- 723 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01424

724

- 725 Tekman, M. B.; Gutow, L.; Macario, A.; Haas, A.; Walter, A.; Bergmann, M. 2018: LITTERBASE;
- 726 Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research: Bremerhaven, Germany, 2018.
- 727 http://litterbase.awi. de/interaction_detail (accessed September 2020).

728

- 729 Thiel, M., Hinojosa, I. A., Miranda, L., Pantoja, J. F., Rivadeneira, M. M., Vásquez, N., 2013:
- 730 Anthropogenic marine debris in the coastal environment: A multi-year comparison between
- 731 coastal waters and local shores, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 71, Issues 1–2, 307-316,
- 732 doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.005.

733

- Thiel, M., Hinojosa, I., Vásquez, N., Macaya, E., 2003: Floating marine debris in coastal waters of
- the SE-Pacific (Chile), Marine Pollution Bulletin, 46, Issue 2, 224-231, doi.org/10.1016/S0025-
- 736 326X(02)00365-X

737

- van der Mheen, M., E. van Sebille, C. Pattiaratchi, 2020: Beaching patterns of plastic debris
- 739 along the Indian Ocean rim. Ocean Science Discussions, DOI: 10.5194/os-2020-50.

740

van Sebille E., 2015: The oceans' accumulating plastic garbage. Phys. Today, 68, 60–1.

742

- van Sebille, E., M. H. England, and G. Froyland, 2012: Origin, dynamics and evolution of ocean
- 744 garbage patches from observed surface drifters. Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 044040, 6pp.
- 745 http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/044040.

- van Sebille, E., C. Wilcox, L. Lebreton, N. Maximenko, B.D. Hardesty, J.A. Van Francker, M.
- 748 Eriksen, D. Siegel, F. Galgani, and K. L. Law, 2015: A global inventory of small floating plastic
- 749 debris. Environ. Res. Lett., 10, 124006, 12pp, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124006.

van Sebille, E., et al., 2020: The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris. Environmental Research Letters, 15, 023003, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d. Karina von Schuckmann, Pierre-Yves Le Traon, Enrique Alvarez-Fanjul, Lars Axell, et al., 2016: The Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service Ocean State Report, Journal of Operational Oceanography, 9:sup2, s235-s320, DOI: 10.1080/1755876X.2016.1273446 Viatte, C., Clerbaux, C., Maes, C., Daniel, P., Garello, R., Safieddine, S., Ardhuin, F., 2020: Air Pollution and Sea Pollution Seen from Space. Surv. Geophys., doi:10.1007/s10712-020-09599-0. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-020-09599-0. Williamson, P., Smythe-Wright, D., and Burkill, P., Eds., 2016: Future of the Ocean and its Seas: a non-governmental scientific perspective on seven marine research issues of G7 interest. ICSU-IAPSO-IUGG-SCOR, Paris. Zhang, H., 2017: Transport of microplastics in coastal seas, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 199, 74–86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.09.032.