



**HAL**  
open science

## **New records of California serogroup viruses in *Aedes* mosquitoes and first detection in simulioidae flies from Northern Canada and Alaska**

Carol-Anne Villeneuve, Kayla J. Buhler, Mahmood Iranpour, Ellen Avard, Antonia Dibernardo, Heather Fenton, Cristina M. Hansen, Géraldine-G. Gouin, Lisa L. Loseto, Emily Jenkins, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Carol-Anne Villeneuve, Kayla J. Buhler, Mahmood Iranpour, Ellen Avard, Antonia Dibernardo, et al.. New records of California serogroup viruses in *Aedes* mosquitoes and first detection in simulioidae flies from Northern Canada and Alaska. *Polar Biology*, 2021, 44 (9), pp.1911-1915. <10.1007/s00300-021-02921-5>. <hal-03371913>

**HAL Id: hal-03371913**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03371913v1>**

Submitted on 18 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 **New Records of California Serogroup Virus in *Aedes* Mosquitoes and First Detection in Simulioidae Flies**  
2 **from Northern Canada and Alaska**

3  
4 Carol-Anne Villeneuve<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kayla J. Buhler<sup>4</sup>, Mahmood Iranpour<sup>5</sup>, Ellen Avard<sup>6</sup>, Antonia Dibernardo<sup>5</sup>, Heather  
5 Fenton<sup>7</sup>, Cristina M. Hansen<sup>8</sup>, Géraldine-G. Gouin<sup>6</sup>, Lisa L. Loseto<sup>9</sup>, Emily Jenkins<sup>4</sup>, Robbin L. Lindsay<sup>5</sup>, Isabelle  
6 Dusfour<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Lecomte<sup>2</sup>, Patrick A. Leighton<sup>1</sup>

- 7  
8 (1) *Groupe de recherche en épidémiologie des zoonoses et santé publique (GREZOSP), Faculté de*  
9 *médecine vétérinaire, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, Québec, Canada*  
10 (2) *Canada Research Chair in Polar and Boreal Ecology, Département de biologie, Université de Moncton,*  
11 *Moncton, Nouveau-Brunswick, Canada*  
12 (3) *Département de santé globale, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France*  
13 (4) *Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University of*  
14 *Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada*  
15 (5) *Zoonotic Diseases and Special Pathogens, National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of*  
16 *Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada*  
17 (6) *Nunavik Research Center, Makivik Corporation, Kuujuaq, Québec, Canada*  
18 (7) *Ross University School of Veterinary Medicine, Basseterre, Saint Kitts and Nevis*  
19 (8) *Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA*  
20 (9) *Freshwater Institute, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada*

21  
22 Correspondence should be addressed to Carol-Anne Villeneuve (carol-anne.villeneuve@umontreal.ca)

23  
24 **Declarations**

- 25 – **Funding:** This study was carried out through the Canadian Arctic One Health Network (CAOHN), with  
26 funding from ArcticNet (Networks of Centres of Excellence of Canada), Polar Knowledge Canada (POLAR),  
27 and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).  
28 – **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.  
29 – **Ethics approval:** Collection of specimens was conducted in accordance with all applicable laws, guidelines,  
30 and regulations of the State of Alaska, the Northwest Territories (Wildlife Research Permit #WL500733),  
31 Nunavut (Nunavut Wildlife Research Permit #2019-042) and Nunavik.  
32 – **Consent to participate:** Not applicable  
33 – **Consent for publication:** All authors read and approved the final manuscript.  
34 – **Availability of data and material:** Not applicable  
35 – **Code availability:** Not applicable  
36 – **Authors' contributions:** All authors contributed to some or all of the study conception and design, material  
37 preparation, data collection, analysis or commented on the manuscript.  
38

## 39 Abstract

40 An expected consequence of climate warming is an expansion of the geographic distribution of biting insects  
41 and associated arthropod-borne diseases (arboviruses). Emerging and reemerging arboviruses that can affect human  
42 health are likely to pose significant consequences for Northern communities where access to health resources is  
43 limited. In the North American Arctic, little is known about arboviruses. Thus, in 2019, we sampled biting insects in  
44 Nunavik (Kuujuuaq), Nunavut (Igloolik, Karrak Lake and Cambridge Bay), Northwest Territories (Igloolik and  
45 Yellowknife) and Alaska (Fairbanks). The main objective was to detect the presence of California serogroup viruses  
46 (CSGv) – a widespread group of arboviruses across North America and that is known to cause a wide range of  
47 symptoms, ranging from mild febrile illness to fatal encephalitis. Biting insects were captured twice daily for a 7-day  
48 period in mid-summer, using a standardized protocol consisting of 100 figure-eight movements of a sweep net.  
49 Captured specimens were separated by genus (mosquitoes) or by superfamily (other insects), and then grouped into  
50 pools of 75 by geographical locations. In total, 5079 *Aedes* mosquitoes and 1014 Simuliidae flies were caught. We  
51 report the detection of CSGv RNA in mosquitoes captured in Nunavut (Karrak Lake) and Nunavik (Kuujuuaq). We  
52 also report, for the first time in North America, the presence of CSGv RNA in Simuliidae flies. These results highlight  
53 the potential of biting insects for tracking any future emergence of arboviruses in the North, thereby providing key  
54 information for public health in Northern communities.

## 55 Key words

56 Mosquitoes, Biting insects, California serogroup viruses, Arctic, Vector-borne

## 57 Introduction

58 Rapid climate warming is altering ecological communities in the Arctic at an alarming rate (IPCC 2007; Post  
59 et al. 2009), thereby creating new habitats for biting insects and the diseases that they can carry (Bartlow et al. 2019).  
60 In spite of our increased awareness that the Arctic is experiencing climate warming twice as fast as the rest of the  
61 world (Jansen et al. 2020), studies documenting arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) in Northern regions are  
62 outdated (McLean et al. 1975, 1976, 1977a; McLean 1983), or in some cases, non-existent (i.e. vector species and  
63 arbovirus prevalence).

64 The most commonly reported arboviruses associated with biting insects in the Arctic are California serogroup  
65 viruses (CSGv; family *Bunyaviridae*, genus *Orthobunyavirus*) (Kurstak et al. 1979; Calisher 1996). They include the  
66 Snowshoe hare (SSH) and Jamestown Canyon (JC) viruses, which are occasionally associated with febrile and  
67 neuroinvasive disease in humans (LeDuc 1987). Their predominant arthropod vectors are mosquitoes of the genera  
68 *Aedes* and *Culiseta* (LeDuc 1987). For the SSH virus, the primary amplification hosts are snowshoe hares, squirrels  
69 and other small mammals, while for the JC virus, they are believed to be wild free-ranging ungulates (Drebot 2015).  
70 Even though serological studies have shown that antibodies of both SSH and JC viruses are present in wildlife and  
71 people in the Arctic (Zarnke et al. 1983; Walters et al. 1999; Miernyk et al. 2019), there have only been a few reports  
72 documenting these viruses in mosquitoes captured in the field (McLean et al. 1975, 1977a, b).

73 There is less information available about other possible vectors for the CSGv, such as black flies (Simuliidae)  
74 and biting midges (Ceratopogonidae), both of which are present in Northern regions. Here, we report the results from  
75 our 2019 sampling effort aimed at detecting the presence of California serogroup viruses in Northern biting insects.  
76 For simplicity, the term *biting flies* will be used hereafter to describe biting insects that are not mosquitoes.

## 77 Materials and methods

78 Insects were captured in the summer of 2019 in Northern Canada and Alaska (**Figure 1**). Locations were  
79 chosen based on a set of cities, villages or remote field sites identified by the Canadian Arctic One Health Network  
80 and the presence of local research partners willing to participate in surveillance activities. Sampling took place in  
81 Alaska (Fairbanks: 64.9152, -147.966), the Northwest Territories (Hendrickson Island: 69.8405, -133.975;  
82 Yellowknife: 62.5183, -114.320), Nunavut (Cambridge Bay: 62.1204, -105.045; Karrak Lake: 67.2359, -100.257;  
83 Igloolik: 69.3940, -81.3894) and the Nunavik region of Northern Québec (Kuujuuaq: 58.1272, -68.3848).

84 Manual capture, using a standardized protocol consisting of 100 figure-eight movements with an 18” sweep  
85 net (~46 cm), was carried out twice daily (dawn and dusk) for 7 consecutive days in mid-summer of 2019. This  
86 technique is well-adapted to remote field site sampling where low equipment volume/weight and short sampling times  
87 are ideal (Silver 2008). It is also suitable for citizen scientists. Insects collected in the field were placed in a labeled  
88 plastic container and frozen at -18 °C until they were shipped to the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de  
89 Montréal, in Saint-Hyacinthe, QC.

90 All sorting of collected insects was conducted by a single observer (C.-A.V.) using a dissecting microscope  
91 and a chill tray. Mosquitoes and biting flies were separated from other insects. Subsequently, mosquitoes were sorted  
92 on a chill tray by genus using dichotomous keys (Wood et al. 1979; Thielman and Hunter 2004). Mosquitoes and  
93 biting flies were further grouped into pools by geographical location. Pools were stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

94 The pooled specimens were sent to the National Microbiology Laboratory in Winnipeg, MB for RNA  
95 extraction and real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis. A sterile copper-coated  
96 steel bead (BB) and 1 ml of BA (made up of 10 ml of 10x M199 medium with Earls salts, 5 ml 1 M Tris buffer pH  
97 7.6, 13.3 ml 7.5 % bovine serum albumin Fraction V and 1ml 100X penicillin/streptomycin) were added to each pool  
98 of mosquitoes and flies. Pools were homogenized using a TissueLyser (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) for 1 min at  
99 30 Hz, and then centrifuged for 30 s at 13,000 rpm. RNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer’s  
100 protocol for a RNeasy 96 Kit (QIAGEN). For each pool, 5 µl of eluted RNA was used for the RT-PCR reaction testing.  
101 The eluted RNA was combined with Applied Biosystems™ TaqMan™ Fast Virus 1-step master mix (ThermoFisher,  
102 Waltham, MA, USA). The target regions for the CSG, JC and SSH viruses were amplified using their respective  
103 primer pairs and probe (Wang et al. 2009) (**Table 1**). Positive controls were also used to test for CSG, JC and SSH  
104 viruses. Results were given as quantification cycle (Cq) units, i.e., the number at which the fluorescence of the probes  
105 increases, which is inversely related to the amount of RNA present (Williams 2009). Pools were considered positive  
106 when Cq units were  $\leq 40$ .

107 Positive pools were expressed as frequencies and 95% confidence interval calculated with R (R Core Team  
108 2020) using *prop.test* (Newcombe 1998).

## 109 **Results**

110 Between June 28<sup>th</sup> and August 2<sup>nd</sup> 2019, a total of 5079 mosquitoes and 1014 biting flies were caught (**Table**  
111 **2**). Mosquitoes were collected across the seven sampling sites, forming 70 pools with an average of 75 specimens/pool  
112 (range: 12-86). Kuujuaq was the only site where enough biting flies were collected to allow pooled analysis, forming  
113 6 pools with an average of 169 specimens/pool (range: 114-237).

114 All collected mosquitoes were *Aedes* spp. females. This observation is consistent with our capture techniques,  
115 as sweep netting predominately captures females seeking a blood meal (Silver 2008). Biting flies appear to be  
116 predominantly black flies (Simuliidae) because of their morphological characteristics: small, dark coloured with short  
117 legs, broad wings and a humpback appearance. A few smaller flies, probably biting midges, were also observed.  
118 Morphological observations were not made beyond the sorting process. Since biting flies were all pooled together, we  
119 decided to extend their identification to the superfamily level, Simulioidae, which consists of black flies and biting  
120 midges (Ceratopogonidae). For biting flies, 6 pools were made with an average of 169 specimens/pool (range: 114-  
121 237).

122 We tested each pool for CSG viruses, and then further tested CSG-positive pools specifically for JC and  
123 SSH viruses (**Table 2**). None of the 42 pools of mosquitoes collected in Fairbanks, Hendrickson Island, Yellowknife,  
124 Cambridge Bay or Igloolik tested positive for CSG viruses (**Table 2**). One of the 16 (6.2 %; 95 % CI [1.1, 28.3])  
125 mosquito pools from Karrak Lake tested positive for CSG viruses. However, the quantity of viral RNA (cq of 40) in  
126 this sample was too low to further test for JC and SSH viruses with RT-PCR. For mosquitoes collected in Kuujuaq,  
127 six of the eight (75 %; 95 % CI [40.9, 92.9]) pools tested positive for CSG viruses. Of these six pools, one (16.6 %;  
128 95 % CI [3.0, 56.3]) also tested positive for both JC and SSH viruses, while the five others (83.3 %; 95 % CI [43.7,

129 97.0] tested positive only for JC virus. We detected CSG viruses in biting flies in Kuujuaq. Four of the six (66.6 % ;  
130 95 % CI [30.0, 90.3]) pools of biting flies tested positive for CSG viruses, which subsequently tested positive for JC  
131 virus.

## 132 Discussion

133 In 2019, we detected CSGv RNA in mosquitoes at two of seven field sites across Northern Canada and  
134 Alaska. For the first time in North America, we also report CSGv in biting flies (Simuliidae).

135 All captured mosquitoes were from the genus *Aedes*, which is not surprising since *Aedes impiger*, *Aedes*  
136 *nigripes*, and *Aedes hexodontus* are the three main Arctic mosquito species (Ward and Darsie 2005). Moreover *Aedes*  
137 mosquitoes, like *Aedes communis*, are common vectors of CSGv, even in a sub-arctic environment (McLean et al.  
138 1976, 1977b; Kurstak et al. 1979). However, species-specific results were not reported in this study, mainly because  
139 captured mosquitoes were too damaged for morphological identification past the genus level. There are alternatives  
140 to traditional identification methods, such as barcodes targeting the COI gene (Meier et al. 2006), but those methods  
141 have not yet been proven to be reliable for Arctic species. Reliable DNA databases from morphologically confirmed  
142 specimens are required before using these methods.

143 We detected CSGv (JC and SSH viruses) in mosquitoes captured in Nunavut (Karrak Lake) and Nunavik  
144 (Kuujuaq). However, viral detection can be affected by multiple factors. For instance, temperature is known to  
145 influence vector, host, and arboviral distribution (Ciota and Keyel 2019). Since Kuujuaq is the most southern and  
146 eastern site sampled (58.127277, -68.384854), its warmer summer could explain why positive pools were  
147 predominantly found there. Viral detection is also closely linked to species captured (Andreadis et al. 2008). For  
148 example, negative results in Hendrickson Island can simply mean that caught mosquitoes were not vectors for CSGv  
149 or that vectors were not active at the sampling time. More importantly, viral detection is highly dependant on pool  
150 size (Huang et al. 2001). For example, in Fairbanks, even if CSGv were present in one of the 17 mosquitoes caught,  
151 it might not have been detected due to low test sensitivity. Furthermore, 2019 results were not typical for Alaska, a  
152 state known for its abundance of mosquitoes. Without any baseline information about CSGv vectors in these regions,  
153 our ability to extrapolate results is therefore limited.

154 For the first time in North America, we detected CSGv (JC virus) in biting flies (Simuliidae) captured in  
155 Kuujuaq. To the best of our knowledge, only one other study has detected CSGv in biting flies, which was in another  
156 biome, i.e., the former Czechoslovakia (Halouzka et al. 1991). Also, viral detection in biting flies (Simuliidae) does  
157 not necessarily indicate vector competence. It could simply indicate the ingestion of a viremic bloodmeal. Their role  
158 as potential vectors for needs further examination (Sick et al. 2019).

159 To conclude, results from this pilot year suggest that field collection of biting insects has the potential to  
160 provide useful data to monitor the changing distribution and local risk associated with vector-borne zoonoses across  
161 Northern regions. The sampling protocol used in this study provides a simple and cost-effective method for sampling  
162 biting insects in remote Northern communities, with potential for widespread application across the Arctic. Building  
163 reliable DNA databases for potential vectors as well as subsequent monitoring years will be useful to establish a  
164 baseline on current species' ranges and infective status. Such studies are crucial to anticipate and track any future  
165 emergence of arboviruses in the North, thereby providing key information for public health in Northern communities.

## 166 Acknowledgments

167 We would like to thank Mathieu Archambault (Université de Moncton), Ashley Elliott (Fisheries and Oceans  
168 Canada), Camille Guillot (Université de Montréal), Robin Owsiacki and Adrián Hernández Ortiz (University of  
169 Saskatchewan), Megan Wardekker (Fisheries and Oceans Canada), Jordan Bertagnolli (Government of the Northwest  
170 Territories) and the Centre for Biodiversity Genomics (University of Guelph) for their help in collecting mosquitoes  
171 in the field. We would like to thank Scott G. Harroun (Université de Montréal) for his help in editing this manuscript.

172 We would also like to thank Patricia Lacroix (Government of the Northwest Territories) and Malik Awan  
173 (Government of Nunavut) for their help with our Wildlife Research Permit applications, as well as all of the  
174 organizations and communities who supported our project: Olokhaktomiut Hunter's And Trapper's Committee,  
175 Tuktoyaktuk Hunters and Trappers Committee, Wildlife Management Advisory Council (Northwest Territories),  
176 Environmental Impact Screening Committee (Inuvialuit Settlement Region), North Slave Metis Alliance, Aklavik  
177 Hunters and Trappers committee, Inuvik Hunters & Trappers, the Tłı̨cho Government Department of Culture and  
178 Lands Protection as well as the Hunter and Trapper Organisations of Igloodik.

179 This study was carried out through the Canadian Arctic One Health Network (CAOHN), with funding from  
180 ArcticNet (Networks of Centres of Excellence of Canada), Polar Knowledge Canada (POLAR), and the Natural  
181 Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC)

## 182 **References Cited**

- 183 Andreadis TG, Anderson JF, Armstrong PM, Main AJ (2008) Isolations of Jamestown Canyon Virus (Bunyaviridae:  
184 Orthobunyavirus) from Field-Collected Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Connecticut, USA: A Ten-Year  
185 Analysis, 1997–2006. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis* 8:175–188. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2007.0169>
- 186 Bartlow AW, Manore C, Xu C, et al (2019) Forecasting Zoonotic Infectious Disease Response to Climate Change:  
187 Mosquito Vectors and a Changing Environment. *Vet Sci* 6:. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci6020040>
- 188 Calisher CH (1996) History, Classification, and Taxonomy of Viruses in the Family Bunyaviridae. In: Elliott RM  
189 (ed) *The Bunyaviridae*. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 1–17
- 190 Ciota AT, Keyel AC (2019) The Role of Temperature in Transmission of Zoonotic Arboviruses. *Viruses* 11:1013.  
191 <https://doi.org/10.3390/v11111013>
- 192 Drebot MA (2015) Emerging Mosquito-Borne Bunyaviruses in Canada. *Can Commun Dis Rep* 41:117–123.  
193 <https://doi.org/10.14745/ccdr.v41i06a01>
- 194 Halouzka JJ, Pejcoch M, Hubalek Z, Knoz J (1991) Isolation of Tahyna Virus from Biting Midges (Diptera,  
195 Ceratopogonidae) in Czecho-Slovakia. *ACTA Virol* 35:247–251
- 196 Huang C, Slater B, Campbell W, et al (2001) Detection of arboviral RNA directly from mosquito homogenates by  
197 reverse-transcription–polymerase chain reaction. *J Virol Methods* 94:121–128.  
198 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-0934\(01\)00279-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-0934(01)00279-8)
- 199 IPCC (2007) *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth*  
200 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press,  
201 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA
- 202 Jansen E, Christensen JH, Dokken T, et al (2020) Past Perspectives on the Present Era of Abrupt Arctic Climate  
203 Change. *Nat Clim Change* 10:714–721. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0860-7>
- 204 Kurstak E, Arboviruses IC on A, Montréal U de (1979) *Arctic and tropical arboviruses*. Academic Press
- 205 LeDuc JW (1987) Epidemiology and Ecology of the California Serogroup Viruses. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 37:60–68.  
206 <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1987.37.60s>
- 207 McLean DM (1983) Yukon Isolates of Snowshoe Hare Virus, 1972–1982. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 123:247–256
- 208 McLean DM, Bergman SKA, Gould AP, et al (1975) California Encephalitis Virus Prevalence Throughout the  
209 Yukon Territory, 1971–1974. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 24:676–684. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1975.24.676>

- 210 McLean DM, Grass PN, Judd BD, et al (1976) Natural Foci of California Encephalitis Virus Activity in the Yukon  
211 Territory. *Can J Public Health* 68:69–73
- 212 McLean DM, Grass PN, Judd BD, et al (1977a) Bunyavirus Isolations from Mosquitoes in the Western Canadian  
213 Arctic. *J Hyg (Lond)* 79:61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022172400052852>
- 214 McLean DM, Grass PN, Judd BD (1977b) California Encephalitis Virus Transmission by Arctic and Domestic  
215 Mosquitoes. *Arch Virol* 55:39–45
- 216 Meier R, Shiyang K, Vaidya G, Ng PKL (2006) DNA Barcoding and Taxonomy in Diptera: A Tale of High  
217 Intraspecific Variability and Low Identification Success. *Syst Biol* 55:715–728.  
218 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150600969864>
- 219 Miernyk KM, Bruden D, Parkinson AJ, et al (2019) Human Seroprevalence to 11 Zoonotic Pathogens in the U.S.  
220 Arctic, Alaska. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis* 19:563–575. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2018.2390>
- 221 Newcombe RG (1998) Interval Estimation for the Difference Between Independent Proportions: Comparison of  
222 Eleven Methods. *Stat Med* 17:873–890. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-  
223 0258\(19980430\)17:8<873::AID-SIM779>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19980430)17:8<873::AID-SIM779>3.0.CO;2-I)
- 224 Post E, Forchhammer M, Bret-Harte M, et al (2009) Ecological Dynamics Across the Arctic Associated With  
225 Recent Climate Change. *Science* 325:1355–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1173113>
- 226 R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical  
227 Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 228 Sick F, Beer M, Kampen H, Wernike K (2019) Biting Midges: Underestimated Vectors for Arboviruses of Public  
229 Health and Veterinary Importance. *Viruses-Basel* 11:376–376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v11040376>
- 230 Silver JB (ed) (2008) Sampling Adults by Animal Bait Catches and by Animal-Baited Traps. In: *Mosquito Ecology:  
231 Field Sampling Methods*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 493–675
- 232 Thielman AC, Hunter FF (2004) A Photographic Key to Adult Female Mosquito Species of Canada  
233 (Diptera:Culicidae). Brock University, Department of Biological Sciences
- 234 Walters LL, Tirrell SJ, Shope RE (1999) Seroepidemiology of California and Bunyamwera serogroup  
235 (Bunyaviridae) virus infections in native populations of Alaska. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 60:806–821.  
236 <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1999.60.806>
- 237 Wang H, Nattanmai S, Kramer LD, et al (2009) A Duplex Real-Time Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain  
238 Reaction Assay for the Detection of California Serogroup and Cache Valley Viruses. *Diagn Microbiol  
239 Infect Dis* 65:150–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2009.07.001>
- 240 Ward RD, Darsie RF (2005) Identification and Geographical Distribution of the Mosquitoes of North America,  
241 North of Mexico, 2nd edn. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL
- 242 Wood DM, Dang PT, Ellis RA (1979) The Mosquitoes of Canada: Diptera, Culicidae. In: *The Insects and Arachnids  
243 of Canada*. Canadian Government Publishing Centre, Hull, Quebec, pp 390–390
- 244 Zarnke RL, Calisher CH, Kerschner JA (1983) Serologic evidence of arbovirus infections in humans and wild  
245 animals in Alaska. *J Wildl Dis* 19:175–179
- 246  
247

248 **Table 1.** Mosquitoes and other biting flies collected from the North American Arctic, listed by geographical  
 249 location from west to east (collected in mid-summer of 2019) and tested for CSG, JC and SSH viral RNA by RT-  
 250 PCR

Location	No. specimens	No. pools	No. CSG+ pools	CSG+ CQ value	No. JC+ pools	JC+ CQ value	No. SSH+ pools	SSH+ CQ value
<i>Mosquitoes</i>								
Fairbanks	12	1						
Hendrickson Island	209	4						
Yellowknife	1160	16						
Cambridge Bay	253	4						
Karrak Lake	1163	16	1	40.4				
Igloolik	1641	21						
Kuujuaq	566	8	6	12.8; 31.5; 32.4; 28.1; 33.6; 37.5	6	14.3; 34.9; 35.5; 30.8; 36.9; 39.7	1	27.9
<i>Biting Flies (Simulioidae)</i>								
Kuujuaq	1014	6	4	31.6; 30.7; 34.5; 34.6	4	34.4; 33.6; 37.5; 39.7		

251

252 **Table 3.** Probes and primer pairs for CSG, JC and SSH viruses

Target virus	Name	Sequence
CSG viruses	CE-NC-F1	5'-GTGTTTATGATGTCGCATCA-3'
	CE-NC-R1	5'-CATATACCCTGCATCAGGATCAA-3'
	CE-NC-F2	5'-GTTTCTATGATGATGCATCC-3'
	CE-NC-R2	5'-CACAAACCCTGCATCTGGATCAA-3'
	CE-NC-FAM/MGB	5'-FAM-CAGGTGCAAATGGA-MGB-3'
JC virus	CE-NC-F2	5'-GTTTCTATGATGATGCATCC-3'
	CE-NC-R2	5'-CACAAACCCTGCATCTGGATCAA-3'
	CE-NC-FAM/MGB	5'-FAM-CAGGTGCAAATGGA-MGB-3'
SSH virus	CE-NC-F1	5'-GTGTTTATGATGTCGCATCA-3'
	CE-NC-R1	5'-CATATACCCTGCATCAGGATCAA-3'
	CE-NC-FAM/MGB	5'-FAM-CAGGTGCAAATGGA-MGB-3'

253

254



255

256 **Figure 1** Sampling locations in 2019