



HAL
open science

Variation and multilingual practices as linguistic resources

Isabelle Léglise

► **To cite this version:**

Isabelle Léglise. Variation and multilingual practices as linguistic resources. Sociolinguistics Circle 2019, Apr 2019, Utrecht, Netherlands. hal-03370392

HAL Id: hal-03370392

<https://hal.science/hal-03370392v1>

Submitted on 8 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Variation and multilingual practices as linguistic resources

Isabelle Léglise (CNRS, SeDyL)

Research on linguistic variation, language contact or codeswitching mostly assumes bounded languages or repertoires. Most studies presuppose the identification of specific languages in bilingual (sometimes plurilingual) corpora. Similarly, language annotation in corpus linguistics is based on the principle of univocity of items belonging to specific languages. On the other hand, in the last years, in an attempt to avoid referring to bounded languages, a lot of terms have been coined in sociolinguistics or anthropological linguistics – as *transidiomatic practices*, *heteroglossia*, *polylinguaging*, *translinguaging*, *linguaging* etc. Heterogeneous and hard to classify, multilingual language practices are due to, and at the same time reveal linguistic diversity and the multiplicity of self-identification and positionings linked to processes of homogenization and differentiation.

In this talk, I will address the notion of language boundaries, constructed both by linguists and by language users and will consider variation and heterogeneity as linguistic resources for speakers in their everyday multilingual language practices. It needs first a “shift in focus from linguistic systems toward language users [... and their] repertoires drawn from lived experiences that may disrupt presumed connections between language, community, and spaces” (Hall & Nilep, 2015: 615). Second, it needs also a solid methodology to reveal the heterogeneity of language practices through the annotation of corpora.

I will show how ambivalence or a play on boundaries is a common characteristic of communication in highly multilingual contexts. Language users make use of all kinds of linguistic resources in order to communicate and, when they share more or less the same language(s) background (ie in endolingual settings (Lüdi 1987), they sometimes choose ambivalent elements, attributable to various languages or varieties. In doing so, they choose, in a way, not to perform language boundaries but to ‘float’ instead in mid-water. It is particularly obvious in postcolonial contexts (involving for example close language varieties or a Creole and its lexifier in decreolization contexts). The use of bivalent elements may be politicized and controversial (Woolard 1998) or may represent a linguistic resource that is strategically marshaled by language users in their everyday interaction to position themselves, linked to processes of homogenization and differentiation.