



HAL
open science

Long-term temporal trajectories to enhance restoration efficiency and sustainability on large rivers: an interdisciplinary study

David Eschbach, Laurent Schmitt, Gwenaël Imfeld, Jan-Hendrik May, Sylvain Payraudeau, Frank Preusser, Mareike Trauerstein, Grzegorz Skupinski

► To cite this version:

David Eschbach, Laurent Schmitt, Gwenaël Imfeld, Jan-Hendrik May, Sylvain Payraudeau, et al.. Long-term temporal trajectories to enhance restoration efficiency and sustainability on large rivers: an interdisciplinary study. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 2018, 22, pp.2717 - 2737. 10.5194/hess-22-2717-2018 . hal-03367970

HAL Id: hal-03367970

<https://hal.science/hal-03367970>

Submitted on 6 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Long-term temporal trajectories to enhance restoration efficiency and sustainability on large rivers: an interdisciplinary study

David Eschbach^{1,a}, Laurent Schmitt¹, Gwenaél Imfeld², Jan-Hendrik May^{3,b}, Sylvain Payraudeau², Frank Preusser³, Mareike Trauerstein⁴, and Grzegorz Skupinski¹

¹Laboratoire Image, Ville, Environnement (LIVE UMR 7362), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, ENGEES, ZAEU LTER, Strasbourg, France

²Laboratoire d'Hydrologie et de Géochimie de Strasbourg (LHyGeS UMR 7517), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, ENGEES, Strasbourg, France

³Institute of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

⁴Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

^acurrent address: Sorbonne Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR 7619 Metis, 75005 Paris, France

^bcurrent address: School of Geography, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Correspondence: David Eschbach (eschbach.pro@gmail.com)

Received: 26 July 2017 – Discussion started: 28 August 2017

Revised: 26 March 2018 – Accepted: 10 April 2018 – Published: 7 May 2018

Abstract. While the history of a fluvial hydrosystem can provide essential knowledge on present functioning, historical context remains rarely considered in river restoration. Here we show the relevance of an interdisciplinary study for improving restoration within the framework of a European LIFE+ project on the French side of the Upper Rhine (Rohrschollen Island). Investigating the planimetric evolution combined with historical high-flow data enabled us to reconstruct pre-disturbance hydromorphological functioning and major changes that occurred on the reach. A deposition frequency assessment combining vertical evolution of the Rhine thalweg, chronology of deposits in the floodplain, and a hydrological model revealed that the period of incision in the main channel corresponded to high rates of narrowing and lateral channel filling. Analysis of filling processes using Passega diagrams and IRSL dating highlights that periods of engineering works were closely related to fine sediment deposition, which also presents concomitant heavy metal accumulation. In fact, current fluvial forms, processes and sediment chemistry around Rohrschollen Island directly reflect the disturbances that occurred during past correction works, and up to today. Our results underscore the advantage of combining functional restoration with detailed knowledge of the past trajectory to (i) understand the functioning of the hydrosystem prior to anthropogenic disturbances, (ii) charac-

terize the human-driven morphodynamic adjustments during the last 2 centuries, (iii) characterize physico-chemical sediment properties to trace anthropogenic activities and evaluate the potential impact of the restoration on pollutant remobilization, (iv) deduce the post-restoration evolution tendency and (v) evaluate the efficiency and sustainability of the restoration effects. We anticipate our approach will expand the toolbox of decision-makers and help orientate functional restoration actions in the future.

1 Introduction

During the last 2 centuries, numerous engineering works (e.g. channelization or damming), aimed at flood control, navigation improvement, expansion of agriculture or hydropower production, have altered the functioning of European large rivers (Brookes, 1988; Petts et al., 1989; Kondolf and Larson, 1995), including aquatic and riparian habitats and biodiversity (Bravard et al., 1986; Amoros and Petts, 1993; Dynesius and Nilsson, 1994). In order to balance these impacts by recovering fluvial processes (Knighton, 1984; Bravard et al., 1986; Naiman et al., 1993, 1988; Corenbliet et al., 2007; Hering et al., 2015) and ecosystem services (Loomis et al., 2000; Acuña et al., 2013; Large and

Gilvear, 2015), an increasing number of restoration projects have been carried out over recent decades (Kondolf and Micheli, 1995; Wohl et al., 2005). In Europe, this trend has been supported by the Water Framework Directive (IKSR-CIPR-ICBR, 2005; WFD, 2000). Restoration activities progressively target hydromorphological processes and functioning rather than “static” fluvial forms (Jenkinson et al., 2006; Beechie et al., 2010; Arnaud et al., 2015; Jones and Johnson, 2015). Numerous studies have shown that current river functioning results from complex long-term trajectories driven by natural and anthropogenic factors at different spatio-temporal scales (e.g. Brown, 1997; Bravard and Magny, 2002; Gregory and Benito, 2003; Starkel et al., 2006; Ziliani and Surian, 2012). These trajectories provide a relevant basis to infer future trends and management principles (Bravard, 2003; Sear and Arnell, 2006; Dufour and Piégay, 2009; Fryirs et al., 2012). In order to understand the complete range of functional changes, a comprehensive understanding of human-driven channel adjustments over recent centuries therefore appears crucial in river restoration. Despite significant research efforts over the last 2 decades, integrating pluri-secular temporal trajectories into restoration projects remains an exception (Sear and Arnell, 2006; Fryirs et al., 2012).

In large modified hydrosystems such as the Upper Rhine River, the current lateral extent of the floodplain results from past disturbances that occurred during engineering works (Herget et al., 2005, 2007). Hydromorphological dynamics, chemical pollution and depositional processes were strongly impacted by diking along the main channel and disconnection of lateral channels since the beginning of the 19th century (Tümmers, 1999). Several studies have focused on the storage and remobilization of heavy metals (Schulz-Zunkel and Krueger, 2009; Ciszewski and Gryar, 2016; Falkowska et al., 2016; Grygar and Popelka, 2016) and/or organic pollutants in major floodplains worldwide (Lair et al., 2009; Zimmer et al., 2010; Berger and Schwarzbauer, 2016). Most studies concerned with the Rhine focused on the industrialized Lower Rhine region including the Rhine–Meuse delta (Evers et al., 1988; Middelkoop, 2000; Gocht et al., 2001; de Boer et al., 2010). In comparison, the Upper Rhine region is 2 times less contaminated than the lower parts of the Rhine with respect to total concentrations of both polychlorinated dibenzo(p)dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) in sediments and tracers of industrial activity (Evers et al., 1988). However, contamination histories are still not well known and reference studies considering functioning or disturbance histories are missing.

Studying past functioning and disturbance histories as keys to understanding current forms and processes of floodplains can provide insights into current functioning and hydromorphological sensitivity to changes (Kondolf and Larson, 1995; Mika et al., 2010). Historical hydromorphological adjustments should be evaluated on an accurate spatial scale and at a high temporal resolution to identify past evolutionary processes and causal relationships (Bogen et al., 1992;

Horowitz et al., 1999). Interdisciplinary and retrospective studies, however, rarely combine different data sources to obtain a comprehensive view of functional changes (Bravard and Bethemont, 1989; Trimble and Cooke, 1991; Lawler, 1993; Gurnell et al., 2003; James et al., 2009; Rinaldi et al., 2013; Lespez et al., 2015). Furthermore, historical studies rarely consider sediment dating and pollution (Bogen et al., 1992; Garban et al., 1996; Horowitz et al., 1999; Woitke et al., 2003).

Within the framework of a functional geomorphological restoration project on Rohrschollen Island, we embarked on an interdisciplinary and retrospective pluri-secular study to provide a holistic understanding of the functional temporal trajectory of the fluvial hydrosystem, rather than to determine reference states. We hypothesized that long-term temporal trajectories allow us to identify driving factors, amplitude and response time of disturbances, and to provide key information to manage functional restoration actions, notably in terms of potential benefits and limits. This approach has the potential to accompany actions of functional restoration in order to maximize efficiency and sustainability, and infer future evolutionary trends. To test these hypotheses, this study combines horizontal (planimetric) and vertical (thalweg evolution, filling chronology) dynamics to (i) show the functioning of the hydrosystem prior to anthropogenic disturbances, (ii) characterize the human-driven morphodynamic adjustments during the last 2 centuries, including sediment transport and deposition processes as well as geochronology, (iii) assess physio-chemical sediment properties (e.g. heavy metals and organic contaminant concentrations) to trace anthropogenic activities and evaluate the potential impact of the restoration on pollutant remobilization (Middelkoop, 2000; Fedorenkova et al., 2013; IKSR-CIPR-ICBR, 2014), (iv) deduce post-restoration evolutionary trends and (v) propose an operational outlook to improve efficiency and sustainability of the restoration of Rohrschollen Island, and by extension of other river restoration projects (Sear et al., 1994; Grabowski and Gurnell, 2016).

2 Study area

With a total length of 1250 km and a drainage basin of about 185 000 km², the Rhine is the third largest river of Europe. Located between Basel and Bingen (Fig. 1a), the Upper Rhine Graben is 35–50 km wide and 310 km long. Hydrology in the southern part of this sector is characterized by a nivo-glacial regime and a mean discharge of 1059 m³ s⁻¹ (1891–2011; Basel gauging station; Uehlinger et al., 2009). Slope decrease and inherited geomorphological factors explain the longitudinal evolution of the channel pattern from braiding to anastomosing and meandering (Carbiener, 1983; Schmitt et al., 2016; Fig. 1b). Since the middle of the 19th century, three successive engineering works modified drastically the hydrosystem: (i) the correction stabilized the main




Figure 1. (a) Location of the Upper Rhine Graben, (b) channel pattern sectorization and evolution from the 18th century to present (Schmitt et al., 2009), (c) location of the study site and map of Rohrschollen Island, and (d) pictures of the Bauerngrundwasser and evolution of the new channel.

channel between two artificial banks and the floodplain between two high-flow dikes, (ii) the regularization consisted in building alternative in-channel groyne fields to improve navigation and (iii) the canalization by-passed the corrected main channel in many areas south of Strasbourg. Nowadays, the river consists of a single channel which is locally by-passed by artificial canalized sections. North of Strasbourg, the canalization concreted the Rhine bed itself.

The artificial Rohrschollen Island is located 8 km south-east of the city of Strasbourg and owes its existence to the construction of a power plant in 1970. Before engineering works, it was a braiding and anastomosing fluvial hydrosystem. The island is enclosed by the Rhine canal to the west and the Old Rhine River to the east, which corresponds to the by-passed corrected Rhine (Fig. 1c). On the southern part, a diversion dam diverts up to $1550 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for usage by the power plant. When the discharge is less than $1563 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, an instream discharge of $13 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ flows to the Old Rhine. On the northern part of the island, an agricultural dam built in 1984 maintains a constant water level in the Old Rhine at 140 m NN (NormalNull) in order to increase groundwater level for agricultural purposes. When floods exceed $2800 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (2-year instantaneous flood), the agricultural

dam can be raised for flood retention (IKSR-CIPR-ICBR, 2012), but flooding remains static and only a part of the island is flooded. The island is crossed by an anastomosing channel (Bauerngrundwasser), which is disconnected from the Rhine Canal at its upstream extremity and connects to the Old Rhine further downstream (Fig. 1c, d). Further north an additional minor channel flows towards the Rhine Canal. The water level of the entire length of the Bauerngrundwasser is artificially maintained by the hydraulic backwater of the agricultural dam. Classified as a natural reserve since 1997, the island has recently been restored (European LIFE+ project) in order to recover typical alluvial processes and biodiversity, including dynamic floods, bedload transport, active morphodynamics, and hygrophilous tree species. To attain these objectives, a large floodgate was built in 2013 in the southern part of the island and a new upstream channel of 900 m length was excavated (Fig. 1c, d). The downstream end of this channel is connected to the Bauerngrundwasser channel. Water input from the flood gate ranges from $2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (when $Q_{\text{Rhine}} < 1550 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) to $80 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (when $Q_{\text{Rhine}} > 1550 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$). As the bankfull discharge of the new channel is $20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, flooding in the island occurs when the discharge exceeds this threshold. A 3-year monitoring




Figure 2. Methodological approach to analyse the long-term spatio-temporal trajectory of the hydrosystem by combining historical multi-source data with in situ morpho-sedimentary prospections and geochemical investigations.

showed that bedload transport and active lateral and vertical morphodynamics occur along the new channel (active bank erosion, formation of bars and logjams, enhancement of pool-riffle sequences, increase in groundwater–surface water exchange; Eschbach et al., 2017, 2018), but not along the Bauerngrundwasser, which is affected by the hydraulic backwater of the agricultural dam (Eschbach et al., 2017, 2018; see also the pictures of Fig. 1). Our study addresses embedded spatial scales: (i) the entire study site, which corresponds to the fluvial hydrosystem area around the natural reserve (about 1–3 km beyond the perimeter of the latter), (ii) Rohrschollen Island, which corresponds to the natural reserve area, (iii) seven transects on the Bauerngrundwasser used to characterize sediment transport and depositional processes, and (iv) two sediment pits excavated near the new and old channels in order to date sediment deposition and assess sediment pollution.

3 Material and methods

In this study, we have adopted an interdisciplinary approach that combines hydrological retrospective modelling with limnometric, topographic (levelling and DEM) and hydrogeologic data as well as data on sediment filling processes, depositional chronology and geochemical characteristics (Fig. 2).

3.1 Planimetric analysis

The historical planimetric analysis covers a period of about 260 years (from 1743 to 2010) and was carried out in ArcMap (ESRI v.10.3) using six historical maps and two sets of aerial photographs. The map from 1828 compiled during the demarcation of the Franco–German border was georeferenced on the IGN BD ortho 2007 base map and used as a base layer for georeferencing of the 1743, 1778, 1838, 1872 and 1926 maps. Aerial photographs were georeferenced using the 2007 orthophotograph as a base layer. Fixed position objects such as churches, road crossings or bank protection structures were used as control points. Between 9 and 12 control points were selected for each historical map, and between 5 and 7 for the aerial photographs. Total root mean square error (RMSE) ranged from 0.94 to 25 m and increased with the distortion and the imprecision of the oldest maps, especially the 1743 and 1778 maps (Fig. 4c). However, the RMSE distortion is satisfactory considering the inherent relative imprecision of these maps and the difficulty in determining anchor points between old maps and 2007 orthophotography. Aerial photographs were selected at low-flow water level to enable comparison between morpho-ecological surfaces and active channel and gravel bar surfaces which are particularly sensitive to discharge variations (Rollet et al., 2014). Morpho-ecological units were then manually digitized at the detailed scale of 1 : 1000 to 1 : 2000 based on eight maps. According to the typology developed by Dufour (2006), 4 classes and 14




Figure 3. (a) Example of a transect (Tr 2) based on levelling and bathymetric data. Levelling data are compared to lidar DEM data. The location of corings and pit 2 is also given. (b) The location of Tr 2, the two excavation pits (red squares) and topographical profiles crossing the two pits. (c) Overlaying of the two topographical profiles.

subclasses were determined. Surface areas of each class and time slice were calculated to quantify the planimetric evolution. The results of the surface analyses were converted to a percentage ratio to facilitate regional interpretation of the morpho-ecological evolution. Two scales were considered: the study area of Rohrschollen Island with a total surface of 2181 ha and the area of the natural reserve with a total surface area of 314 ha.

3.2 Analysis of vertical data

3.2.1 Linnimetric and piezometric analysis

The vertical evolution of the Rhine thalweg was studied based on historical limnimetric data and bibliographic references (Bensing, 1966; Bull, 1885; CECR, 1978). Limnimetric data were compiled for low water discharge ($\sim 540 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) at the Marlen gauging station (Kilometre Point 295; Jeanpierre, 1968; Felkel, 1969). The piezometric analysis was achieved using a German database (LUBW: Landesanstalt für Umwelt, Messungen und Naturschutz Baden-Württemberg) and the French regional groundwater database (APRONA, Association pour la PROtection de la Nappe phréatique de la plaine d’Alsace), by selecting datasets close to the Rohrschollen reach.

3.2.2 Palaeochannel corings

Seven coring transects were distributed along the Bauerngrundwasser to cover the different morphological characteristics of the study area. Six sediment cores per transect were hand-augered on both channel banks to measure the thickness of the post-correction deposits (Fig. 3a). From the 42 cores, a total of 81 sediment samples were taken at different depths of the filling layer. Two additional samples were extracted from the channel bottom at transects two and four with a piston sampler (e.g. Fig. 3a, sample named “C_{channel}”).

3.2.3 Pit excavations

In addition to the transect-based prospection, two pits were excavated up to the gravel bottom, on the right bank of the two channels. Locations of the pits were determined by (i) identifying the main filling sectors revealed by old maps and the corings survey, and (ii) the proximity to the potential future erodible banks (concave banks; Fig. 3b). Stratigraphical units (SUs) were defined in the field on the basis of colour and textural differences. Two large topographical cross sections (600 m for pit 1 and 800 m for pit 2) intersecting both pits were extracted from the DEM to interpret the dynamics of fine sediment deposition in relation to the initial elevation

of each pit, the thickness of the stratigraphical units and the flooding regime (Fig. 3b, c).

3.3 Characteristics of the sediments

3.3.1 Grain-size analysis

Depending on the width and thickness of the filling, 31 samples from three coring transects distributed along the entire length of the Bauerngrundwasser (2, 4 and 5 in Fig. 1) and two samples from the channel bottom (2 and 4 in Fig. 1) were selected for grain-size analysis. In addition, one sample per SU was taken from each excavated pit (Fig. 7). Munsell colour and qualitative SU description were completed in the field. Cumulative grain-size distribution and a sorting index were obtained from measurements with a Beckman Coulter laser diffraction particle size analyser. Then, the soil organic carbon ratio was determined by the loss on ignition method (375 °C during 16 h). We characterized transport and depositional processes by plotting the median (D_{50}) and the coarsest percentile (D_{99}) of the grain-size distributions in the CM diagram according to Passega (1964, 1977) and Bravard and Peiry (1999).

3.3.2 Geochemical and organic pollutant analyses

The 10 samples from the two pits (Fig. 7) were air-dried at 20 °C and sieved (<2 mm). Dried sediments were pulverized (<63 µm) using an agate disk mill prior to alkaline fusion and total dissolution by acids. Measurement of elemental concentrations was done as described previously (Duplay et al., 2014) by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer and mass spectrometer analysis (ICP-AES and ICP-MS) using the geological standards BCR-2 (US Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA) and SCL-7003 (Analytika, Prague, Czech Republic) for quality control. An enrichment factor (EF) was used to compare changes of Zn, Cr, Ni, Cu, Pb and Cd concentrations in the pit 1 and pit 2 profiles with the reference soil collected in the deepest SU of the considered pit:

$$EF_{HM} = \frac{\left(\frac{HM_{\text{sample}}}{Ti_{\text{sample}}}\right)}{\left(\frac{HM_{\text{reference}}}{Ti_{\text{reference}}}\right)}, \quad (1)$$

where HM and Ti are, respectively, the concentrations of the considered heavy metal and Ti (mg kg⁻¹ d.w.) in the SU sample of the pit and the reference soil. Concentrations of heavy metals were normalized relative to titanium (Ti) as a conservative element to limit EF variations due to local heterogeneities as it displays a low relative standard deviation (<0.03) over both pits (Reimann and de Caritat, 2005).

Based on previous studies dedicated to the contamination of the Rhine sediments (Fedorenkova et al., 2013; van Helvoort et al., 2007), 38 legacy and modern organic pollutants (including 30 pesticides, the hexachlorobenzene

and 7 polychlorinated biphenyls) were analysed by liquid chromatography and gas chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS and GC-MS) with quantification limits ranging from 0.015 to 0.05 µg g⁻¹ (see Table S1 in the Supplement).

3.3.3 Depositional chronology

Dating of sediments sampled from both pits was carried out using infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL), as no other alternative approach is achievable in this context (Preusser et al., 2016). The detailed procedures and methodological aspects are discussed in Preusser et al. (2016). In summary, IRSL (stimulated at 50 °C) of sand-sized feldspar grains was measured by applying both small-aliquot (ca. 100 grains) and single-grain techniques. When applying the Minimum Age Model (MAM) to single-grain data sets, the estimated ages coincide with the expected age of the sediment. At the same time, MAM ages calculated for the small-aliquot data sets overestimate the known age by up to 200 years (>100%). This is explained by partial resetting of the IRSL signal prior to deposition and masking effects when measuring several grains at the same time. Presented here are the results previously published by Preusser et al. (2016) from pit 1 together with additional samples taken from pit 2 (Table S1). For the new data, we again observed significant older ages when measuring several grains at the same time for most of the samples. Only for sample IDEX2-107 did multiple and single-grain approaches give the same result. However, for this sample overly small grains (100–150 µm) were measured using Risø single-grain discs. This results in accumulation of a few (ca. five) grains being measured at the same time and likely similar averaging effects as observed for larger aliquots. Hence, we consider these ages as maximum estimates.

3.3.4 Flooding frequency assessment

To determine the depositional chronology of the two pits and reconstruct flooding frequency over time, we developed a simple flooding model based on (i) the results of the vertical evolution of the corrected Rhine thalweg close to Rohrschollen Island, (ii) the thickness of fine sediments at both pits, and (iii) IRSL dating. Elevation of the pits at each time slice was determined by the gradual sediment accumulation and the corresponding elevation increase between the two adjacent IRSL dates. Active channel width in the vicinity of the pits was measured from the 1828, 1838, and 1872 maps. Flooding water depth was determined by subtracting the elevation of the pits from the thalweg elevation for each time slice (Fig. 5c). For the three dates corresponding to the 1828, 1838, and 1872 maps, we determined the maximum discharge using the hydrogram (Q_{max}) at Basel and the limnimetric data at the Kehl bridge, which is located about 7 km downstream from the study area. We calculated for these dates and the corresponding bankfull discharges

the sections S (m^2), the mean water slopes I (m m^{-1}), the hydraulic radius R_h (m), and the roughness k ($\text{m}^{1/3} \text{s}^{-1}$) close to the pits. This allowed us to estimate flood discharges (Q_{flooding}) for both pits during the entire period from 1828 to 1970 (end of the canalization) using the Manning–Strickler equation (Eq. 2):

$$Q_{\text{flooding pit}_x \text{ at date}_n} = S_{\text{date}_n} \times I_{\text{date}_n}^{1/2} \times R_{h_{\text{date}_n}}^{2/3} \times k. \quad (2)$$

Finally, we compared these results with the limnometric variations (historical hydrogram) to determine the frequency and the intensity of historical floods in each pit (Fig. S2 in the Supplement; Fig. 5c).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Hydromorphological dynamics before the beginning of the correction works (up to ca. 1833)

Analysis of the three earliest historical maps (Fig. 4a, 1743, 1778 and 1828) at the scale of the entire study site documents the natural morphodynamics along the Upper Rhine before the beginning of the correction works. At that time, the Rhine was a wide and braiding channel system (width ranging from 500 to 1500 m) characterized by numerous in-channel gravel bars, which is consistent with the descriptions from Schäfer (1973) and Herget et al. (2005). Multiple anastomosing channels also existed along the floodplain (Carbener, 1983), at a maximum distance of about 5 km from the thalweg. The braiding and anastomosing index ranged between 7.9 and 5.4 (Fig. 8). The period 1743–1828 is characterized by marked changes and strong channel shifting of about 1 to 2 km. Across the entire study area, gravel bar surface areas increased (+100 ha; +128 %), while vegetated areas changed only slightly (low vegetation: –45 ha; –18.4 %; high vegetation: +124 ha; +11 %; Fig. 4b). In 1828, more than 70 % of the present natural reserve area was occupied by the active channel (running water and gravel bars). The high morphodynamic activity may be caused by the high frequency of flood events (four 10-year floods from 1810 to 1828) characterizing the beginning of the 19th century (Fig. 5c). These dynamics could be an effect of the Little Ice Age (Martin et al., 2015; Schmitt et al., 2016), which may have had a considerable impact on discharge, bed-load transport and flood regime intensifying lateral dynamics (Schirmer, 1988; Rumsby and Macklin, 1996). A similar phenomenon has been observed previously, for example, by Bonnefont and Carcaud (1997) on the River Moselle, or by Bravard (2003) for the Rhône Basin. However, this hypothesis has not been validated for the Rhine yet and awaits further testing (Wetter et al., 2011; Schmitt et al., 2016).

At the scale of the natural reserve, the reach was located on the left bank of the Rhine in 1743. It was almost completely occupied by the thalweg from 1778 to 1828 as it

shifted towards the western direction. According to the location of pit 1 (Fig. 4a, central bar on the 1828 map) and the depositional history deduced from historical maps, the resetting of the IRSL signal in basal sediments of pit 1 resulted from this major lateral migration (Preusser et al., 2016). In accordance with the IRSL dates, deposition of fine-grained sediments in the lower part of pit 1 took place between 1778 and 1806 (Fig. 5a) after the Rhine thalweg had moved over the area. The maximum age of the investigated sediments in pit 1 is therefore 238–210 years (i.e. 1777–1805; Preusser et al., 2016). The 1828 map in Fig. 4a shows that pit 2 is located in the main channel and accumulation of fine sediments must have commenced after this time. Despite local diking on the floodplain and across some lateral channels as revealed by the planimetric analyses (essentially in 1828), it seems that fluvial morphodynamics and lateral channel shifts before 1828 were not influenced by anthropogenic disturbances.

4.2 Hydromorphological disturbances during the correction works (1833–1876)

4.2.1 Main channel adjustments

The correction works commenced between 1828 and 1838 (around 1833) and induced major changes to the hydrosystem (Tulla, 1825; Herget et al., 2005). A detailed map based comparison of the 1828 and 1838 maps shows that the left bank was eroded in the southern part of the study area (maximum of about 50 m) after 1828 and before (possibly during?) the building of the *perpendicular in-channel dike* (Fig. 4a, 1838). This is in agreement with the current position of the upstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser (Fig. 9, period A). Subsequently, the Rhine thalweg was artificially shifted towards a western direction by the *right bank dike*, and then to the east by the *perpendicular in-channel dike* and the *high-flow dike*. A large flood ($Q \sim 3800 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; 10-year floods; Fig. 5c) occurred in 1831, which was thoroughly documented due to the important damages it caused (Champion, 1863). We hypothesize that this event intensified the morphological adjustments during this period. In 10 years (1828–1838) the surface of running water decreased by 20 ha (5 %) in the entire study area, while the surface area of stagnant water increased correspondingly (plus 75 ha equal to 85 %).

From 1840 onwards, the corrected Rhine channel began to incise (1 cm year^{-1} on average; Fig. 5a) in response to channel narrowing (250 m wide), slope increase and bank stabilization (Fig. 4c, 1872). In addition, areas of gravel bars, flowing water and low vegetation surfaces decreased (by 110 ha = 70 %; 194 ha = 50 %; 121 ha = 67 %, respectively), while areas of high vegetation and agricultural surfaces increased (by 218 ha = 18 %; 183 ha = 700 %, respectively; Fig. 4b). This is interpreted as the consequence of sediment deposition in the disconnected parts of the main channel, inducing channel narrowing, and forest and agricul-




Figure 4. (a) Diachronic evolution of the whole study area. The black boxes are zooms (scale 1 : 2) of the surroundings of the two pits. (b) Surface evolutions of the morpho-ecological units in the natural reserve (1743–2010) and in the whole study area (1743–1949). (c) Number of anchor points used to georeference old maps and aerial photographs (dotted line) and values of RMSEs (continuous line).

ture expansion, as intended by the correction works (Bernhardt, 2000). These types of morphodynamic disturbances driven by channel correction were also observed by David et al. (2016) on the River Garonne, Magdaleno et al. (2012) on the River Ebro and Habersack et al. (2013) on the River Danube.

4.2.2 Lateral channel adjustments and filling processes

At the scale of the natural reserve, from 1828 to 1838, the braiding and anastomosing index decreased from 5.36 to 2.45, surface areas of running water decreased (by 21 ha = 13%), and stagnant water and high-vegetation areas increased. In particular, vegetation populated central bars (Fig. 4a). This general trend became even more marked during the 1838–1872 period (Fig. 4b; the braiding and anas-




Figure 5. (a) Vertical evolution of the Rhine thalweg from 1815 to 1960 based on low water levels ($Q \sim 540 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) recorded at the Marlen gauging station (Bull, 1885; Bensing, 1966; CECR, 1978). Vertical evolution of the pits linked to the age–depth model and number of floods which attained each pit (triangle), (b) location of the two pits and of the ancient gauging station of Marlen, and (c) discharge of the Rhine at the gauging station of Basel. The period 1810–1870 corresponds to maximum instantaneous annual flows. The period 1870–2015 corresponds to the highest mean daily flow (OFEV: Office Fédéral de l’Environnement). The dates with arrows correspond to old maps or aerial photographs (see Fig. 4). The red and green lines correspond to the submersion discharges for pits 1 and 2, respectively. (d) Flood return periods at Basel between 1870 and 2015 ($\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; adjustment of Pearson III, OFEV).




Figure 6. (a) Floodplain CM diagram and patterns according to Passega (1964, 1977). Numbers between brackets help in the presentation of the results and the discussion; (b) isolated samples with the indication of the sample depths for transect 2, pit 1 (c) and pit 2 (d). Red arrows show the deposition chronology.

tomozing index decreased to 1 in 1872), running water and gravel bar areas declined (by 65 ha = 47 % and 45 ha = 71 %, respectively), and high-vegetation and stagnant water areas increased (by 90 ha = 101 % and 19 ha = 166 %, respectively). This supports the idea that the sequential impacts induced by the correction works along the floodplain (i.e. channel narrowing, expansion of vegetation) were especially dynamic in the upstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser. The channel filling dynamics are detailed in a CM diagram (Fig. 6a), which shows that graded suspension deposition (QR segment) of sandy loam occurred at transect 2. In addition, Fig. 6a shows a general and concomitant decrease in grain size and sediment sorting from the bottom to the surface, which reveals a decline in flood energy likely induced by channel diversion following the correction. Furthermore, residual T2 samples are located on the RS segment (uniform suspension). They correspond to fine sediments (silt) with sorting and depths are not correlated. These have been deposited on the left bank of the Bauerngrundwasser in low-turbulence conditions likely due to site-specific factors such as topography or vegetation, whose general importance has been underlined by Bravard et al. (2014), Toonen et al. (2015) and Riquier et al. (2015). Such kinds of depositional filling processes in newly by-passed channels have also been documented by Passega (1964, 1977) and Bravard and Peiry (1999).

The analysis of the evolution of the middle part of the natural reserve from 1838 to 1872 revealed that a large gravel

bar was deposited behind the left dike of the corrected Rhine channel (Fig. 4a). This clearly resulted from an extreme hydrological event which occurred during the Rhine diking and probably corresponds to the 1852 flood (above 300-year flood; Figs. 5c and 9, period B). This flood event, referred to as the “flood of the century” (6.63 m at the Basel gauging station, i.e. 5.78 m higher than the mean low-flow water level), has been documented in detail, especially by Wittmann (1859), Champion (1863), Eisenmenger (1907) and Pardé (1928). After this flood event, embankment of the Rhine continued but local dike apertures remained open in order to feed some old channels and to enhance filling dynamics (Fig. 4a, 1872). In addition, the downstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser (middle part of the natural reserve) presented an important connection to the Rhine, until its probable disconnection in 1876 (Fischbach, 1878; Casper, 1959). This specific hydrological condition impacted the depositional filling processes, as shown by the processes observed at the downstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser which are mainly characterized by graded suspension (Fig. 6a; T4 and T5 transects). Indeed, the CM diagram shows that energy is higher at T5 than at T4, although T4 is located upstream. Furthermore, the complexity is reinforced by the absence of upward fining in grain size at the surface as shown in the upstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser (Fig. 6b). Similar to the T2 samples, the position of the other T4 samples in the CM pattern corresponds to the mean level of turbulence in the uniform suspension (RS seg-

ment) and are located on the left bank (Fig. 6a). These T4 samples depend on the great distance from the main channel, as previously observed (Bravard and Peiry, 1999). Generally, the disconnection of the Bauerngrundwasser with the Rhine was reinforced by the total closure of the dike apertures after 1876 (Fig. 4a; 1872, 1926).

In Fig. 6a, square tag 6 corresponds to two overlapped samples from pit 1 – SU 3 – and pit 2 – SU 2 (Fig. 7). These samples are composed of the same grain-size characteristics. Square tag 6 is reported in Fig. 6c, d. Planimetric results combined with the CM diagram and IRSL ages imply that cohesive sediments were likely deposited during the same flood, probably the 1831 flood. Pit 1 is located on a former large gravel bar in 1828 (Fig. 4b, 1828). According to our chronology, pit 1 – SU 2 – was already deposited at this time (Preusser et al., 2016). This SU is composed of silt deposited during low-energy conditions (tag 6; Fig. 6c). It means that pit 1 likely consists of two depositional filling periods: the first started before 1828 (probably in 1778 for SUs 2, 3 and 4; Fig. 7), while the second started with the beginning of the correction works (for SUs 5 and 6; Fig. 7; Preusser et al., 2016). Conversely, pit 2 is located on the 1828 main channel (Fig. 4b, 1828), which became an overbank area characterized by low-energy depositional environments in close vicinity to the main channel in 1838 (Fig. 4a, 1838). Thus, if any deposits of fine sediments existed in 1828, the filling period in pit 2 began after 1828, as also shown by the IRSL ages (min: 1828; Fig. 5a), and was relatively regular. The end of the depositional filling periods at both pits occurred around 1872 as shown by the IRSL ages and is reflected in a grain-size refinement and sorting decrease (Fig. 5a). It coincides with a clear increase in vegetation areas shown by the 1872 map (Fig. 4a, 1872). Depositional filling differences (periods and processes) between the two pits are mainly controlled by the elevation and the location of the pits in the floodplain (Fig. 3c), which in turn determine the frequency of flooding (Fig. 5a, c) and mean sedimentation rates (from 0.9 cm year^{-1} in pit 1 and 6 cm year^{-1} in pit 2; Figs. 5a and 7). This may also explain the heterogeneity of depositional filling processes at pit 1 (Fig. 6c), in contrast to pit 2, where grain size generally decreases with increasing elevation; Fig. 6d). This phenomenon has been observed for disconnected lateral channels by several studies (e.g. Bravard et al., 1986; Hooke, 1995; Riquier et al., 2015).

4.2.3 Geochemistry of the sediment filling

Geochronological data combined with geochemical data confirmed changes in the hydrosystem and sediment deposition dynamics of Rohrschollen from the beginning of the correction works. Quartz (SiO_2) is the dominant mineral (ranging from 54 to 63 %; Fig. 7) and does not show any particular trend down the profile in pit 1 and pit 2. MnO , TiO_2 and P_2O_5 are least abundant in both pits. This shows that the patterns of mineral composition are not directly related

to grain size and likely reflect a common sediment source to both pits (Grygar and Popelka, 2016). However, lower ratios of Al / Si , especially in the lower sediment layers of SUs 2 and 3 in both pits 1 and 2, reflect a general low clay content with dominant sandy (pit 1) or silty (pit 2) sediment textures. This suggests relatively weak soil development and chemical weathering. The uppermost sediment layers of pit 1 and pit 2 differed in organic carbon (C) (16.4 and 27.0 g kg^{-1} , respectively), while organic matter content and organic carbon gradually decrease with depth in both pits. This suggests different temporal trajectories of deposition of organic-rich sediments on the two sites, i.e. the gravel bar (pit 1) and the Bauerngrundwasser (pit 2) until 1838.

Regarding pollution histories, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB), hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCH) and pesticides (Table S1) could not be detected in both pits. This is in agreement with the proposed chronology of pits filling because the first massive use of modern organic pollutants in the whole Rhine catchment gradually increased in the Rhine after 1940, followed by a strong reduction of these pollutants due to the Rhine Action Plan since 1970 (Evers et al., 1988; Middlekoop, 2000; Gocht et al., 2001). Similarly, the normalized REE patterns did not show any significant changes with depth in both pits (Fig. S3). Massive use of REE started after 2000 (Klaver et al., 2014), when sedimentation rates were low on Rohrschollen compared to the period during and immediately after the correction works (Fig. 5).

To evaluate the potential metal pollution resulting from anthropogenic activity, the relative enrichment in minor element concentrations in the sediments was evaluated in the pits accounting for the geochemical background. Depth distribution of minor elements in both pits follows a $\text{Sr} > \text{Ba} > \text{Zr} > \text{Cr} > \text{REE} > \text{Zn}$ pattern. Heavy metal concentrations in pit 1 and pit 2 range from 31 to 196 mg kg^{-1} for Zn, 64 to 91 mg kg^{-1} for Cr, 10 to 46 mg kg^{-1} for Cu, 6 to 42 mg kg^{-1} for Ni, 12 to 35 mg kg^{-1} for Pb, and 0.23 to 1.24 mg kg^{-1} for Cd. Cu, Ni, and Cr enrichment factors in pit 1 and pit 2 remained within the natural background (i.e. normalized concentration profiles showed no enrichment in either profile). This suggests low industrial use of these heavy metals upstream from the study site in the 19th century, which is in agreement with previous results (Middlekoop, 2000; Gocht et al., 2001).

In contrast, Zn enrichment factors decrease with depth in pit 2 (from about 4 to 1), which probably reflects anthropogenic inputs of Zn in SUs 4 to 6 (up to 196 mg kg^{-1} in SU 6). This is in agreement with previous observations (Ciszewski and Gryar, 2016) insofar as metal pollution is expected to be greater at a shorter distance from the main channel thalweg (as for pit 2 until 1838) or from secondary channels that are hydrologically connected (as for the Bauerngrundwasser after 1838 for pit 2), compared to a site that is further and less frequently flooded (as for pit 1 after 1828). From about 1860 to the early 1930s, heavy metal concentra-




Figure 7. Stratigraphical log of the two pits including datings, organic contents, and sedimentological and geochemical results.

tions in the Rhine gradually increased in relation to the progressive industrialization (Middlekoop, 2000). Heavy metal enrichment, which is particularly marked for Zn, is therefore likely caused by changes in both heavy metal pollution of the Rhine over the past centuries and sedimentation rates. Although the main depositional filling at pit 2 ended around 1872, it was still flooded at least four times after this date (1876, 1881, 1882 and probably 1910), whereas pit 1 was more rarely and intensively flooded (1876 and probably 1881; Fig. 5c). Moreover, flow patterns during flooding from 1872 onwards likely controlled sedimentation rates of heavy metal-bound to suspended solids. Overall, our results suggest that Zn may be a proxy of anthropogenic deposition histories

in the Rhine floodplain, as previously shown in large fluvial systems (Grygar and Popelka, 2016; Lintern et al., 2016).

In addition, water table fluctuation and regular water saturation by flooding may have changed Zn speciation and mobilization in SUs 1–3 of pit 2, whereas pit 1 remained non-saturated (Fig. 8). In the period between floods, under non-saturated conditions and in the presence of oxygen, oxidation of metal sulfur, organic carbon and Fe–Mn oxyhydroxide in the upper SU may release heavy metals. High flood frequency possibly increased vertical transport of suspended solids and chemical re-distribution in pit 2, which smoothed changes in Zn concentrations with depth (Middlekoop, 2000). During flood events, heavy metals can thus not only move between the SU, but also be partly transported

into the river water in association with suspended solids, by local surface erosion (Tao et al., 2005). This emphasizes that, in a restoration context, Zn may be mobilized and transported into the Rhine by groundwater table elevation (Ciszewski and Gryar, 2016) linked to flooding frequency increase as well as by bank and surface erosion.

4.3 Adjustments since the end of the correction works (after 1876)

Results of the CM pattern (Fig. 6a) show one sample in group 3 and three samples in group 4 that correspond to the left bank of the Bauerngrundwasser (surface layer). This last depositional period is linked to several large floods (1876, 1882; >300- and 100-year return periods, respectively; Fig. 5c) of high transport energy leading to suspension (Fig. 6a, group 4) and rolling processes (Fig. 6a, group 3-P). The 1876 flood, which was the major hydrological event during this period, fed the Bauerngrundwasser from upstream. The flood induced relative high-flow conditions with increased sediment transport capacities, which caused grain-size decrease along the channel. This process was particularly pronounced in the coarser P sample, which was deposited on the left bank of the channel close to T2 (Fig. 4a, 1926). As identified on the 1926 map, the flood probably opened a dike near the upstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser (Fig. 4a), which increased flow through the channel. During the same period (1872–1926), the last major planimetric and geomorphic changes occurred downstream from the Bauerngrundwasser close to transect 6 (Fischbach, 1878). In this area, the 1876 flood breached the downstream part of the high-flow dike (Fig. 4a, 1872, 1926), and widened and accentuated channel bends (Fig. 9, period C). The present morphology of the Bauerngrundwasser results from this event. After this flood, all dike apertures were closed, thereby reinforcing the disconnection between the floodplain and the main channel. This situation was exacerbated by the progressive incision of the corrected Rhine channel (Casper, 1959). Gravel bar surfaces were progressively covered by high vegetation (more than 60 % of the natural reserve area in 1926; Fig. 4b).

The regularization works (1930–1936) induced a second phase of incision (Casper, 1959; Marchal and Delmas, 1959), accentuating the gradual conversion of the Bauerngrundwasser into a wetland (+7 ha). At the same time gravel bars appeared on the groyne fields which extended into the corrected Rhine channel (+13 ha between 1926 and 1949; Fig. 4b). In 1970, this Rhine channel was by-passed by the Rhine canal on which a power plant was constructed. A continuous discharge of up to $1550 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is diverted towards the Rhine canal, altering the hydrology of the Old Rhine River drastically (Fig. 5c, blue hydrogram). Therefore, groundwater level was lowered by about 0.8 m (Fig. 8). In 1984, the construction of the agricultural dam raised and stabilized the water level of the Old Rhine River at 140.00 m

NN, and the groundwater level around 139.60–140.00 m NN, while the amplitude of groundwater fluctuation decreased suddenly from 1.5 to 0.4 m (Fig. S3). The entire Bauerngrundwasser was influenced by this backwater effect, which increased the stagnant water surface (+7 ha in 2010; Fig. 4b). To avoid drying of the Bauerngrundwasser during low-flow and drought periods along the Old Rhine River, an input of $1.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ from the Rhine canal feeds the channel by a siphon (Fig. 4b, 2010). This explains the specific modern dynamics of sediment suspension at the bottom of the Bauerngrundwasser (group 5; Fig. 6a). Energy decreases along the channel as shown by the differences between T2-Cbs ($C = 500$; $M = 7$) and T4-Cbs ($C = 100$; $M = 6$). Similar dynamics were also observed by Peiry (1988) and underscore that in-channel deposition and filling was a current process until the start of the restoration.

4.4 Using Rhine long-term trajectory to enhance efficiency and sustainability: learning from the past to infer restoration guidelines

Combining spatial and temporal scales by overlapping multiple data sources in an interdisciplinary approach appears of crucial importance to (i) determine pre-disturbance dynamics of the hydrosystem, (ii) improve the understanding of the history of the hydrosystem in fine spatio-temporal scales (Brierley and Fryirs, 2008; Belletti et al., 2014; Bouleau and Pont, 2014), and (iii) provide key information to manage restoration projects in efficient and sustainable ways. Indeed, these results allow validation of our three working hypotheses.

4.4.1 Long-term temporal trajectories allow us to identify the driving factors, amplitude and response time of disturbances

The pre-disturbance functioning of the Rhine hydrosystem in our study area, as identified by historical map analysis, and sedimentological and geochronological data, was characterized by a high-energy depositional environment with active braiding–anastomosing, lateral channel mobility and important surface areas of gravel bars and pioneer vegetation. In part, this functioning has been targeted by recent restoration efforts. More specifically, the restoration induced, in the new channel, the recovery of bedload transport, lateral and vertical dynamics, as well as groundwater–surface water exchanges. Our results also highlight that the system has been drastically disturbed from the beginning of the correction works. In addition, the flood regime is an additional and crucial driving factor, inducing important and rapid changes in morphodynamics and sediment deposition, especially in low-elevation areas where floods are relatively frequent, long and intense (palaeochannels; e.g. pit 2). The hydrosystem remained morphologically sensitive to floods throughout the duration of the correction works. From the end of the correction, changes were less marked and also related to a decrease




Figure 8. Hydrogeological variation between 1965 and 2006. After 1984, the lowest peaks correspond to periods during which the Old Rhine is empty.

in flood energy and frequency. The volume of fine sediment deposition is higher in the upstream part of the Bauerngrundwasser, with a maximum thickness of 1.8 m and a volume of about 400 000 m³ (estimated by combining diachronic planimetric analysis with the thickness of the sediment layer close to the Bauerngrundwasser). A volume of about 800 000 m³ of fine sediments with a mean thickness of about 0.4 m was deposited in the entire Rohrschollen Island up to today. This highlights the fact that impacts of correction works and further engineering works are irreversible because the removal of very large amounts of fine sediments seems unthinkable or extremely difficult. Furthermore, the strong hydrological alteration by the canalization works makes the functional alteration of the hydrosystem irreversible as well. In this constrained context, the main challenge of the restoration was to recover processes as dynamic floods (on the whole island) and an active morphodynamic gravel bed channel in a relatively restricted environment (new channel; see also below). On the basis of an environmental monitoring conducted during 3 years after the end of the restoration works, it appears that these restoration objectives are attained (Eschbach et al., 2017, 2018) and that the restoration choices were relevant.

4.4.2 Assessing potential benefits and limits of the restoration

Fine sediments are mainly located along the Bauerngrundwasser and represent a limitation for restoration purposes, because of the risk of their remobilization from both banks and the channel bottom. As shown by Richards and Bacon (1994) and Boulton et al. (1998), fine sediments (e.g. sand) are a limiting factor for many aquatic biological species. This point is important because fine sediments were relatively scarce in the braiding–anastomosing Rhine hydrosystem prior to the initiation of correction works (Ochsenbein, 1966). Furthermore, another risk concerns potential re-

mobilization of pollutants bound to fine sediments. This risk has also been identified and characterized on the Rhône River by Desmet et al. (2012), Provansal et al. (2012) and Bravard and Gaydou (2015). However, backwater effects induced by the agricultural dam control the water level on the Bauerngrundwasser, even during ecological floods (partly), and thus limit bank erosion. Thus, in the specific case of Rohrschollen Island, both risks are drastically lowered by this local hydraulic constraint. This demonstrates once again the relevancy of the principles of Rohrschollen’s restoration.

Conversely, the new channel dug on a large former in-channel gravel bar (Fig. 1d) exposes a thinner layer of fine sediments along its banks, which are mainly composed of coarse sediments (gravels, pebbles) inherited from the pre-correction Rhine. The backwater effect of the agricultural dam does not affect this channel (except the 100 downstream meters; Eschbach et al., 2017). Consequently, the restoration of this channel induces a recovery of bedload dynamics, lateral channel mobility, and morphodynamic and habitat diversification (Eschbach et al., 2018), which notably stimulate key processes such as downwelling/upwelling hydrological exchanges (Eschbach et al., 2017).

4.4.3 Provide key information to manage functional restoration actions in order to maximize efficiency and sustainability, and infer future evolutionary trends

Efficiency and sustainability are key issues in restoration projects (Bouleau and Pont, 2014; Loomis et al., 2000). For management strategies, knowledge of temporal trajectories is relevant for targeting pre-disturbance processes (Cairns, 1991) and performing hydromorphological process-based restorations (Mika et al., 2010; Rinaldi et al., 2015). It also allows us to identify floodplain areas with high hydromorphological functional potentials, i.e. sectors with thin lay-




Figure 9. Rhine thalweg evolution from 1743 to the end of the correction works in 1876. (A) Area of lateral channel mobility during the 1828–1837 period. (B) Area of lateral channel mobility during the 1851–1852 flood. (C) Area impacted by the 1876 flood. BAI is a *Braiding and Anastomosing Index* which corresponds to the mean number of these two types of channels (channels showing stagnant water have been excluded).

ers of fine sediments located outside palaeochannels, notably on former gravel bars, as is the case on Rohrschollen Island (new channel). In such geomorphological areas, where the efficiency of restored lateral channels may be the highest, managers are encouraged to excavate new channels and enhance morphodynamics by floods (as has been performed on Rohrschollen Island), which may even erode self-formed lateral channels in some cases, rather than reconnecting filled palaeochannels directly. However, the latter restoration measure has dominantly been carried out on large rivers including the Rhine, which raises the question regarding its wider relevance and sustainability (Schmitt et al., 2009, 2012; see also below).

Concerning the floodplain compartment, the sedimentation rate is relatively low on Rohrschollen Island (about 0.1 cm year^{-1}), but it is higher (by about 1 order of magnitude) in areas where flood intensities and frequencies have been less impacted than Rohrschollen Island, along the non-canalized section of the Upper Rhine (Carbiener et al., 1993; Dister et al., 1990; Frings et al., 2014) and in the Rhine delta (Hudson et al., 2008). This floodplain geomorphological evolution reduces flood retention capacities. It probably will require in the future innovative flood management strategies (Hudson et al., 2008) that may notably be based on floodplain artificial excavations of fine sediments (which could be made more difficult if sediments are polluted) and/or natural fine sediment removal by the restoration of active bank erosion in lateral channels. This also should allow recovery of coarse sediments in the floodplains, a texture which is currently lacking. The long-term (> 100 years) sustainability of the hydro-geomorphological management of the Upper Rhine appears as a key question which is becoming more and more important and is made complex by possible fine sediment pollution.

Morphodynamic (and ecological) fluvial functionality requires relatively high, intense and long flood events superimposed on the mean hydrological regime (Bayley, 1991; Dister, 1992; Gurnell et al., 2012). This is the case on Rohrschollen Island, but following the first flooding events after restoration, a further question arises, among others: how to manage such a highly dynamic environment in the medium/long term? For example, it will probably be necessary to balance a relative sediment deficit in the upstream section of the new channel by artificial gravel augmentations, in the next years/decades (Eschbach et al., 2018).

The sustainability of functional restoration efforts and their management remains an open question: on which timescale, for which compartment and over which spatial scales should they be considered? In this context, it appears crucial to continue the post-restoration monitoring over short (3–5 years) and median (> 5–10 years) timescales to evaluate restoration success (Kondolf and Micheli, 1995; Palmer et al., 2005; Jähnig et al., 2011). This opens up avenues for developing integrative methodological approaches to improve pre-restoration knowledge and to implement post-restoration monitoring and modelling, both in the frame of fluvial hydrosystem temporal trajectories.

5 Conclusions

In this study we show the relevance of considering temporal trajectories in process-based river restoration. An interdisciplinary approach deployed at different spatio-temporal scales has been developed by combining planimetric data with sedimentological, chemical and geochronological analysis, as well as a hydrological model. Prior to anthropogenic disturbances, the hydrosystem was mostly characterized by a high-

energy depositional environment of braiding channels with high lateral mobility and important surfaces of gravel bars and pioneer vegetation. Correction works provoked a drastic temporal trajectory change, by intensifying filling of fine and polluted (Zn) sediments in palaeochannels and decreasing flood frequency, though some intense floods occurred. In contrast, the floodplain recorded lower deposition rates by quasi-unpolluted sediments. More recently, canalization resulted in very low sedimentation rates but strong hydrological and hydrogeological disturbances.

Our results highlight potential risks that restoration projects may face and need to mitigate along large rivers, for example the removal of fine and potentially polluted sediments by reactivating erosion/deposition processes in former channels. On Rohrschollen Island, this risk is reduced by the backwater effect of the agricultural dam which limits lateral erosion in the palaeochannel. By contrast, floodplain areas outside palaeochannels show thin layers of fine sediments and appear more relevant to restore dynamic lateral channels. Managers may benefit from excavating new channels in such areas, as has been performed on Rohrschollen Island. They are even encouraged to develop self-erosion of lateral channels by dynamic floods.

Finally, this research underscores the necessity to base functional river restorations on an interdisciplinary knowledge of hydrosystem past trajectories to maximize restoration efficiency and sustainability. On a practical level, we recommend managers conduct such studies in geomorphological restoration projects, even if they are less detailed than our study presented in this article, for example in the case of financial constraints. They should at least be based on (i) planimetric analysis (old maps and photographs), (ii) sedimentological prospection (hand auger) combined with both a lidar DEM analysis and a rapid study of former large floods and (iii) a physico-chemical analysis of sediments, especially these filling palaeo-channels.

Data availability. In order to access the data, we ask researchers to contact the data hosting (live-contact-donnees@live-cnrs.unistra.fr), (eschbach.pro@gmail.com, or laurent.schmitt@unistra.fr).

The Supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-2717-2018-supplement>.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This study has been funded by the European Community (LIFE08 NAT/F/00471), the City of Strasbourg, the University of Strasbourg (IDEX-CNRS 2014 MODELROH project), the French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), the ZAEU (Zone Atelier Environnementale Urbaine – LTER), the Water Rhine-Meuse Agency, the DREAL Grand Est, the Région Alsace, the Département du Bas-Rhin and the company Électricité de France. We acknowledge Arthur Zimmermann for the GIS implementation, Jérôme Houssier and Erni Dillmann for use of the historical maps, Martine Trautmann for the grain-size analyses (EOST-UMS 830), Pascal Finaud-Guyot for reviewing the flooding frequency assessment, Claire Rambeau for field assistance, and Ferréol Salomon and Christian Damm for fruitful scientific discussions.

Edited by: Hubert H. G. Savenije

Reviewed by: one anonymous referee

References

- Acuña, V., Díez, J. R., Flores, L., Meleason, M., and Elosegi, A.: Does it make economic sense to restore rivers for their ecosystem services?, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 50, 988–997, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12107>, 2013.
- Amoros, C. and Petts, G. E. (Eds.): *Hydrosystèmes fluviaux*, Masson, Paris, 301 pp., 1993.
- Arnaud, F., Piégay, H., Schmitt, L., Rollet, A. J., Ferrier, V., and Béal, D.: Historical geomorphic analysis (1932–2011) of a by-passed river reach in process-based restoration perspectives: The Old Rhine downstream of the Kembs diversion dam (France, Germany), *Geomorphology*, 236, 163–177, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.02.009>, 2015.
- Bayley, P. B.: The flood pulse advantage and the restoration of river-floodplain systems, *Regul. River.*, 6, 75–86, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450060203>, 1991.
- Beechie, T. J., Sear, D. A., Olden, J. D., Pess, G. R., Buffington, J. M., Moir, H., Roni, P., and Pollock, M. M.: Process-based principles for restoring river ecosystems, *BioScience*, 60, 209–222, 2010.
- Belletti, B., Rinaldi, M., Buijse, A. D., Gurnell, A. M., and Mosselman, E.: A review of assessment methods for river hydromorphology, *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 73, 2079–2100, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3558-1>, 2014.
- Bensing, W.: *Gewässerkundliche Probleme beim Ausbau des Oberrheins (Problèmes hydrologiques liés à l'aménagement du cours supérieur du Rhin)*, Deutsche Gewässerkundliche Mitteilungen, 85–102, 1966.
- Bernhardt, C.: Die Rheinkorrektion. *Der Bürger im Staat*, 50, 76–81, 2000.
- Berger, M. and Schwarzbauer, J.: Historical Deposition of Riverine Contamination on Terrestrial Floodplains as Revealed by Organic Indicators from an Industrial Point Source, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 227, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-015-2708-8>, 2016.
- Bogen, J., Bolviken, B., and Ottesen, R. T.: Environmental studies in Western Europe using overbank sediment, Erosion and Sediment Transport Monitoring Programmes in River Basins, Proceedings of the Oslo Symposium, August 1992, 317–325, 1992.

- Bonnefont, J.-C. and Carcaud, N.: Le comportement morphodynamique de la Moselle avant ses aménagements/The morphodynamic behaviour of Moselle river before its harnessings, *Géomorphologie*, 3, 339–353, <https://doi.org/10.3406/morfo.1997.932>, 1997.
- Bouleau, G. and Pont, D.: Les conditions de référence de la directive cadre européenne sur l'eau face à la dynamique des hydrosystèmes et des usages, *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, 22, 3–14, <https://doi.org/10.1051/nss/2014016>, 2014.
- Boulton, A. J., Findlay, S., Marmonier, P., Stanley, E. H., and Valett, H. M.: The functional significance of the hyporheic zone in streams and rivers, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 29, 59–81, 1998.
- Bravard, J.-P.: Dynamiques à long terme des systèmes écologiques ou de l'Eden impossible à la gestion de la variabilité, edited by: Lévêque, C. and Van Der Leeuw, S., *Quelles Natures Voulons-Nous?*, Elsevier, Paris, 133–139, 2003.
- Bravard, J.-P. and Bethemont, J.: Cartography of rivers in France, in: *Historical Change of Large Alluvial Rivers: Western Europe*, edited by: Petts, G. E., United States, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 1989.
- Bravard, J.-P. and Gaydou, P.: Historical Development and Integrated Management of the Rhône River Floodplain, from the Alps to the Camargue Delta, France, in: *Geomorphical Approaches to Integrated Floodplain Management of Lowland Fluvial Systems in North America and Europe*, edited by: Hudson, P. F. and Middelkoop, H., Springer New York, New York, NY, 289–320, 2015.
- Bravard, J.-P. and Magny, M.: Les fleuves ont une histoire. Paléoenvironnement des rivières et des lacs français depuis 15 000 ans, Errance édition, Errance, Paris, 2002.
- Bravard, J.-P. and Peiry, J.-L.: The CM pattern as a tool for the classification of alluvial suites and floodplains along the river continuum, *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 163, 259–268, 1999.
- Bravard, J.-P., Amoros, C., and Pautou, G.: Impact of Civil Engineering Works on the Successions of Communities in a Fluvial System: A Methodological and Predictive Approach Applied to a Section of the Upper Rhône River, France, *Oikos* 47, 92, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3565924>, 1986.
- Bravard, J.-P., Goichot, M., and Tronchère, H.: An assessment of sediment-transport processes in the Lower Mekong River based on deposit grain sizes, the CM technique and flow-energy data, *Geomorphology*, 207, 174–189, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.11.004>, 2014.
- Brierley, G. J. and Fryirs, K. A.: *River Futures: An Integrative Scientific Approach to River Repair*, Island Press, 325 pp., 2008.
- Brookes, A. B.: *Channelized rivers: Perspectives for environmental management*, Chichester, UK, 1988.
- Brown, A. G.: *Alluvial Geoarchaeology: Floodplain Archaeology and Environmental Change*, Cambridge University Press, 404 pp., 1997.
- Bull, F.: Technisch-statistische Mittheilungen über die Stromverhältnisse des Rheins längs des elsass-lothringischen Gebietes (Atlas), in: *Ministerium für elsass-lothringen*, Strassburg, edited by: Schmidt, C. F., 193 pp., 1885.
- Carbiener, R.: Le grand ried central d'Alsace: écologie et évolution d'une zone humide d'origine fluviale rhénane, *Bulletin Ecologie*, 14, 249–277, 1983.
- Carbiener, P., Carbiener, R., and Vogt, H.: Relations entre topographie, nature sédimentaire des dépôts et phytocénose dans le lit alluvial majeur sous forêt du Rhin dans le fossé rhénan : Forêt de la Sommerlet (commune d'Erstein), *Revue de géographie de l'Est*, 4, 207–312, 1993.
- Cairns, J.: The status of the theoretical and applied science of restoration ecology, *Environmental professional*, 13, 186–194, 1991.
- Casper, M.: L'aménagement du parcours allemand du Rhin : mesures exécutées, en cours et en projet, *La Houille Blanche*, 2, 229–248, <https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/1959037>, 1959.
- CECR: Ministère de l'Agriculture, Service Régional d'Aménagement des Eaux d'Alsace, Rapport finale de la Commission d'Etude des Crues du Rhin, Colmar, 87 pp., 1978.
- Champion, M.: *Les inondations en France depuis le VIème siècle jusqu'à nos jours*, Dunod, Paris, 501 pp., 1863.
- Ciszewski, D. and Grygar, T. M.: A Review of Flood-Related Storage and Remobilization of Heavy Metal Pollutants in River Systems, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 227, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2934-8>, 2016.
- Corenblit, D., Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J., and Gurnell, A. M.: Reciprocal interactions and adjustments between fluvial landforms and vegetation dynamics in river corridors: A review of complementary approaches, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 84, 56–86, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.05.004>, 2007.
- David, M., Labenne, A., Carozza, J.-M., and Valette, P.: Evolutionary trajectory of channel planforms in the middle Garonne River (Toulouse, SW France) over a 130-year period: Contribution of mixed multiple factor analysis (MFAMix), *Geomorphology*, 258, 21–39, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.01.012>, 2016.
- De Boer, J., Dao, Q. T., van Leeuwen, S. P. J., Kotterman, M. J. J., and Schobben, J. H. M.: Thirty-year monitoring of PCBs, organochlorine pesticides and tetrabromodiphenylether in eel from The Netherlands, *Environ. Pollut.*, 158, 1228–1236, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.01.026>, 2010.
- Desmet, M., Mourier, B., Mahler, B. J., Van Metre, P. C., Roux, G., Persat, H., Lefèvre, I., Peretti, A., Chapron, E., Simonneau, A., Miège, C., and Babut, M.: Spatial and temporal trends in PCBs in sediment along the lower Rhône River, France, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 433, 189–197, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.044>, 2012.
- Dister, E.: La maîtrise des crues par la renaturalisation des plaines alluviales du Rhin supérieur, *Espaces naturels rhénans – Bulletin de la société industrielle de Mulhouse* 1, 10, 1992.
- Dister, E., Gomer, D., Obrdlik, P., Petermann, P., and Schneider, E.: Water management and ecological perspectives of the upper rhine's floodplains, *River Res. Appl.*, 5, 1–15, 1990.
- Dufour, S.: Contrôles naturels et anthropiques de la structure et de la dynamique des forêts riveraines des cours d'eau du bassin rhodanien (Ain, Arve, Drôme et Rhône), *Université Jean Moulin Lyon* 3, 244 pp., 2006.
- Dufour, S. and Piégay, H.: From the myth of a lost paradise to targeted river restoration: forget natural references and focus on human benefits, *River Res. Appl.*, 25, 568–581, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1239>, 2009.
- Duplay, J., Semhi, K., Errais, E., Imfeld, G., Babcsanyi, I., and Perrone, T.: Copper, zinc, lead and cadmium bioavailability and retention in vineyard soils (Rouffach, France): The

- impact of cultural practices, *Geoderma*, 230–231, 318–328, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.04.022>, 2014.
- Dynesius, M. and Nilsson, C.: Fragmentation and flow regulation of river systems in the northern third the world, *Science*, 266, 753–762, 1994.
- Eisenmenger, G.: Études sur l'évolution du Rhin et du système hydrographique Rhénan et propositions données par la faculté, Université de Paris, 512 pp., 1907.
- Eschbach, D., Piasny, G., Schmitt, L., Pfister, L., Grussenmeyer, P., Koehl, M., Skupinski, G., and Serradj, A.: Thermal-infrared remote sensing of surface water-groundwater exchanges in a restored anastomosing channel (Upper Rhine River, France), *Hydrol. Process.*, 31, 1113–1124, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11100>, 2017.
- Eschbach, D., Grussenmeyer, P., Schmitt, L., Koehl, M., and Guillemain, S.: Combining geodetic and geomorphological methods to monitor restored dynamic mid-sized channels, *Geomorphology*, in review, 2018.
- Evers, E. H. G., Ree, K. C. M., and Olie, K.: Spatial variations and correlations in the distribution of PCDDs, PCDFs and related compounds in sediments from the river Rhine – Western Europe, *Chemosphere*, 17, 2271–2288, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535\(88\)90140-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(88)90140-3), 1988.
- Falkowska, L., Reindl, A. R., Grajewska, A., and Lewandowska, A. U.: Organochlorine contaminants in the muscle, liver and brain of seabirds (*Larus*) from the coastal area of the Southern Baltic, *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.*, 133, 63–72, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.06.042>, 2016.
- Fedorenkova, A., Vonk, J. A., Breure, A., Hendriks, A. J., and Leuven, R.: Tolerance of native and non-native fish species to chemical stress: a case study for the River Rhine, *Aquat. Invasions*, 8, 231–241, <https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2013.8.2.10>, 2013.
- Felkel, K.: Die erosion des oberrhein zwischen Basel und Karlsruhe, *GWF (Wasser – Abwasser)*, 30, 801–810, 1969.
- Fischbach, G.: Compte rendu des séances de la société – Séance ordinaire du 3 juillet 1878 présidée par M. de Turckheim, Société des sciences, agriculture et arts de la basse-Alsace – Bulletin Trimestriel – Tome XII – 3ième fascicule, Travaux sur les eaux entre Erstein et Strasbourg présentés par M. Schanté, 118 pp., 1878.
- Frings, R. M., Gehres, N., Promny, M., Middelkoop, H., Schüttrumpf, H., and Vollmer, S.: Today's sediment budget of the Rhine River channel, focusing on the Upper Rhine Graben and Rhenish Massif, *Geomorphology*, 204, 573–587, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.08.035>, 2014.
- Fryirs, K., Brierley, G. J., and Erskine, W. D.: Use of ergodic reasoning to reconstruct the historical range of variability and evolutionary trajectory of rivers, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 37, 763–773, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3210>, 2012.
- Garban, B., Ollivon, D., Carru, A. M., and Chesterikoff, A.: Origin, retention and release of trace metals from sediments of the river seine, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 87, 363–381, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00696848>, 1996.
- Gocht, T., Moldenhauer, K.-M., and Püttmann, W.: Historical record of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and heavy metals in floodplain sediments from the Rhine River (Hessisches Ried, Germany), *Appl. Geochem.*, 16, 1707–1721, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927\(01\)00063-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00063-4), 2001.
- Grabowski, R. C. and Gurnell, A. M.: Using historical data in fluvial geomorphology, in: *Tools in Fluvial Geomorphology*, edited by: Kondolf, G. M. and Piégay, H., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 21 pp., 2016.
- Gregory, K. J. and Benito, G.: *Paleohydrology: Understanding Global Change*, University of Southampton, 396 pp., 2003.
- Grygar, T. and Popelka, J.: Revisiting geochemical methods of distinguishing natural concentrations and pollution by risk elements in fluvial sediments, *J. Geochem. Explor.*, 170, 39–57, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2016.08.003>, 2016.
- Gurnell, A. M., Peiry, J.-L., and Petts, G. E.: Using Historical Data in Fluvial Geomorphology, in: *Tools in Fluvial Geomorphology*, edited by: Kondolf, G. M. and Piégay, H., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 77–101, 2003.
- Gurnell, A. M., Bertoldi, W., and Corenblit, D.: Changing river channels: The roles of hydrological processes, plants and pioneer fluvial landforms in humid temperate, mixed load, gravel bed rivers, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 111, 129–141, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.11.005>, 2012.
- Habersack, H., Jäger, E., and Hauer, C.: The status of the Danube River sediment regime and morphology as a basis for future basin management, *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 11, 153–166, <https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2013.815191>, 2013.
- Herget, J., Bremer, E., Coch, T., Dix, A., Eggenstein, G., and Ewald, K.: Engineering Impact on River Channels in the River Rhine Catchment (Menschliche Eingriffe in Flussläufe im Einzugsgebiet des Rheins), *Erdkunde*, 59, 294–319, 2005.
- Herget, J., Dikau, R., Gregory, K. J., and Vandenberghe, J.: The fluvial system – Research perspectives of its past and present dynamics and controls, *Geomorphology*, 92, 101–105, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.034>, 2007.
- Hering, D., Aroviita, J., Baatrup-Pedersen, A., Brabec, K., Buijse, T., Ecke, F., Friberg, N., Gielczewski, M., Januschke, K., Köhler, J., Kupilas, B., Lorenz, A. W., Muhar, S., Paillex, A., Poppe, M., Schmidt, T., Schmutz, S., Vermaat, J., Verdonschot, P. F. M., Verdonschot, R. C. M., Wolter, C., and Kail, J.: Contrasting the roles of section length and instream habitat enhancement for river restoration success: a field study of 20 European restoration projects, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 52, 1518–1527, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12531>, 2015.
- Hooke, J. M.: River channel adjustment to meander cutoffs on the River Bollin and River Dane, northwest England, *Geomorphology*, 14, 235–253, 1995.
- Horowitz, A., Meybeck, M., Idlalkih, Z., and Biger, E.: Variations in trace element geochemistry in the Seine River Basin based on Floodplain deposits and bed sediments, *Hydrol. Process.*, 13, 1329–1340, 1999.
- Hudson, P. F., Middelkoop, H., and Stouthamer, E.: Flood management along the Lower Mississippi and Rhine Rivers (The Netherlands) and the continuum of geomorphic adjustment, *Geomorphology*, 101, 209–236, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.07.001>, 2008.
- IKSR-CIPR-ICBR: État des lieux DCE, partie A, Rapport soumis à la Commission européenne sur les résultats de l'état des lieux établi conformément à la directive 2000/60/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 23 octobre 2000, 2005.

- IKSR-CIPR-ICBR: Plan d'action contre les inondations 1995–2010 : objectifs opérationnels, mise en œuvre et résultats – Bilan synthétique, Coblence, 2012.
- IKSR-CIPR-ICBR: Mise en œuvre du Plan de gestion des sédiments Rhin, Commission Internationale pour la Protection du Rhin (CIPR), ISBN-13: 978-3-941994-54-6, 2014.
- Jähnig, S. C., Lorenz, A. W., Hering, D., Antons, C., Sundermann, A., Jedicke, E., and Haase, P.: River restoration success: a question of perception, *Ecological society of America*, 21, 2007–2015, 2011.
- James, L. A., Singer, M. B., Ghoshal, S., and Megison, M.: Historical channel changes in the lower Yuba and Feather Rivers, California: Long-term effects of contrasting river-management strategies, in: *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, edited by: James, L. A., Rathburn, S. L., and Whittecar, G. R., Geological Society of America, 451, 57–81, [https://doi.org/10.1130/2009.2451\(04\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2009.2451(04)), 2009.
- Jeanpierre, D.: L'érosion des fonds : conséquence secondaire de la protection de la plaine d'Alsace par l'endiguement longitudinal du Rhin, in: *Société Hydrotechnique de France*, Presented at the *Xième journées de l'hydraulique*, Paris, 8 pp., 1968.
- Jenkinson, R. G., Barnas, K. A., Braatne, J. H., Bernhardt, E. S., Palmer, M. A., and Allan, J. D.: Stream restoration databases and case studies: a guide to information resources and their utility in advancing the science and practice of restoration, *Restor. Ecol.*, 14, 177–186, 2006.
- Jones, C. J. and Johnson, P. A.: Describing Damage to Stream Modification Projects in Constrained Settings, *J. Am. Water Resour. As.*, 51, 251–262, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12248>, 2015.
- Knighton, A. D.: *Fluvial forms and processes*, Edward Arnold Editions, London, 218 pp., 1984.
- Kondolf, G. M. and Larson, M.: Historical channel analysis and its application to riparian and aquatic habitat restoration, *Aquat. Conserv.*, 5, 109–126, <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3270050204>, 1995.
- Kondolf, G. M. und Micheli, E. R.: Evaluating stream restoration projects, *Environ. Manage.*, 19, 1–15, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02471999>, 1995.
- Lair, G. J., Zehetner, F., Khan, Z. H., and Gerzabek, M. H.: Phosphorus sorption-desorption in alluvial soils of a young weathering sequence at the Danube River, *Geoderma*, 149, 39–44, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.11.011>, 2009.
- Large, A. R. G. and Gilvear, D. J.: Using Google Earth, a virtual-globe imaging platform, for ecosystem services-based river assessment, *River Res. Appl.*, 31, 406–421, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2798>, 2015.
- Lawler, D. M.: The measurement of river bank erosion and lateral channel change: A review, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 18, 777–821, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290180905>, 1993.
- Lespez, L., Viel, V., Rollet, A. J., and Delahaye, D.: The anthropogenic nature of present-day low energy rivers in western France and implications for current restoration projects, *Geomorphology*, 251, 64–76, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.05.015>, 2015.
- Lintern, A., Leahy, P. J., Heijnis, H., Zawadzki, A., Gadd, P., Jacobsen, G., Deletic, A., and McCarthy, D. T.: Identifying heavy metal levels in historical flood water deposits using sediment cores, *Water Res.*, 105, 34–46, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.041>, 2016.
- Loomis, J., Kent, P., Strange, L., Fausch, K., and Covich, A.: Measuring the total economic value of restoring ecosystem services in an impaired river basin: results from a contingent valuation survey, *Ecol. Econ.*, 33, 103–117, 2000.
- Magdaleno, F., Anastasio Fernández, J., and Merino, S.: The Ebro River in the 20th century or the ecomorphological transformation of a large and dynamic Mediterranean channel, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 37, 486–498, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.2258>, 2012.
- Marchal, M. and Delmas, G.: L'aménagement du Rhin à courant libre du Bâle à Lauterbourg, *La Houille Blanche*, 177–202, <https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/1959032>, 1959.
- Martin, B., Drescher, A., Fournier, M., Guerrouah, O., Giacoma, F., Glaser, R., Himmelsbach, I., Holleville, N., Riemann, D., Schonbein, J., Vitoux, M.-C., and With, L.: Les évènements extrêmes dans le fossé rhénan entre 1480 et 2012. Quels apports pour la prévention des inondations?, *La Houille Blanche*, 82–93, <https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/20150023>, 2015.
- Middelkoop, H.: Heavy-metal pollution of the river Rhine and Meuse floodplains in the Netherlands, *Neth. J. Geosci.*, 79, 411–427, 2000.
- Mika, S., Hoyle, J., Kyle, G., Howell, T., Wolfenden, B., Ryder, D., Keating, D., Boulton, A., Brierley, G., Brooks, A. P., Fryirs, K., Leishman, M., Sanders, M., Arthington, A., Creese, R., Dahm, M., Miller, C., Pusey, B., and Spink, A.: Inside the “black box” of river restoration: using catchment history to identify disturbance and response mechanisms to set targets for process-based restoration, *Ecol. Soc.*, 15, 20 pp., 2010.
- Naiman, R. J., Décamps, H., Pastor, J., and Johnston, C. A.: The Potential Importance of Boundaries of Fluvial Ecosystems, *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.*, 7, 289–306, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1467295>, 1988.
- Naiman, R. J., Decamps, H., and Pollock, M.: The Role of Riparian Corridors in Maintaining Regional Biodiversity, *Ecol. Appl.*, 3, 209–212, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1941822>, 1993.
- Ochsenbein, G.: La végétation sur les bords du Rhin, *Saisons d'Alsace*, 383–398, 1966.
- Palmer, M. A., Bernhardt, E. S., Allan, J. D., Lake, P. S., Alexander, G., Brooks, S., Carr, J., Clayton, S., Dahm, C. N., Follstad Shah, J., Galat, D. L., Loss, S. G., Goodwin, P., Hart, D. D., Hassett, B., Jenkinson, R., Kondolf, G. M., Lave, R., Meyer, J. L., O'Donnell, T. K., Pagano, L., and Sudduth, E.: Standards for ecologically successful river restoration: Ecological success in river restoration, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 42, 208–217, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01004.x>, 2005.
- Pardé, M.: Périodicité des grandes inondations et crues exceptionnelles, *Revue de géographie alpine*, 16, 499–519, <https://doi.org/10.3406/rga.1928.4457>, 1928.
- Passega, R.: Grain Size Representation by Cm Patterns as a Geological Tool, *J. Sediment. Res.*, 34, 830–847, 1964.
- Passega, R.: Significance of CM diagrams of sediments deposited by suspensions, *Sedimentology*, 24, 723–733, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1977.tb00267.x>, 1977.
- Peiry, J.-L.: Approche géographique de la dynamique spatio-temporelle des sédiments d'un cours d'eau intra-montagnard : l'exemple de la plaine alluviale de l'Arve (Haute-Savoie), *Lyon* 3, 1988.
- Petts, G. E., Moeller, H., and Roux, A. L. (Eds.): *Historical change of large alluvial rivers: Western Europe*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY (US), 1989.

- Preusser, F., May, J.-H., Eschbach, D., Trauerstein, M., and Schmitt, L.: Infrared stimulated luminescence dating of 19th century fluvial deposits from the upper Rhine River, *Geochronometria*, 43, 131–142, <https://doi.org/10.1515/geochr-2015-0045>, 2016.
- Provansal, M., Raccasi, G., Monaco, M., Robresco, S., and Dufour, S.: La réhabilitation des marges fluviales, quel intérêt, quelles contraintes? Le cas des annexes fluviales du Rhône aval, *Méditerranée*, 118, 85–94, 2012.
- Reimann, C. and de Caritat, P.: Distinguishing between natural and anthropogenic sources for elements in the environment: regional geochemical surveys versus enrichment factors, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 337, 91–107, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.06.011>, 2005.
- Richards, C. and Bacon, K. L.: Influence of fine sediment on macroinvertebrate colonization of surface and hyporheic stream substrates, *The Great Basin Naturalist*, 54, 106–113, 1994.
- Rinaldi, M., Surian, N., Comiti, F., and Bussetini, M.: A method for the assessment and analysis of the hydromorphological condition of Italian streams: The Morphological Quality Index (MQI), *Geomorphology*, 180–181, 96–108, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.09.009>, 2013.
- Rinaldi, M., Surian, N., Comiti, F., and Bussetini, M.: A methodological framework for hydromorphological assessment, analysis and monitoring (IDRAIM) aimed at promoting integrated river management, *Geomorphology*, 251, 122–136, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.05.010>, 2015.
- Riquier, J., Piégay, H., and Šulc Michalková, M.: Hydromorphological conditions in eighteen restored floodplain channels of a large river: linking patterns to processes, *Freshwater Biol.*, 60, 1085–1103, <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12411>, 2015.
- Rollet, A. J., Piégay, H., Dufour, S., Bornette, G., and Persat, H.: Assessment of consequences of sediment deficit on a gravel river bed downstream of dams in restoration perspectives: application of a multicriteria, hierarchical and spatially explicit diagnosis, *River Res. Appl.*, 30, 939–953, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2689>, 2014.
- Rumsby, B. T. and Macklin, M. G.: River response to the last neoglacial (the “Little Ice Age”) in northern, western and central Europe, *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 115, 217–233, <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.115.01.17>, 1996.
- Schäfer, W.: Der Oberrhein, sterbende Landschaft, *Natur und Museum*, 1–29, 1973.
- Schirmer, W.: Holocene valley development on the upper Rhine and Main, in: *Lake, Mire and River Environments*, edited by: Lang, R. and Schlijchter, C., Balkema, Rotterdam, 153–160, 1988.
- Schmitt, L., Trémolières, M., Blum, C., Dister, E., and Pfarr, U.: 30 years of restoration works on the two sides of the Upper Rhine River: feedback and future challenges, *Int. Conf. Integrative Sciences and Sustainable Development of Rivers*, Lyon, France, 101–103, 2012.
- Schmitt, L., Houssier, J., Martin, B., Beiner, M., Skupinski, G., Boës, E., Schwartz, D., Ertlen, D., Argant, J., Gebhardt, A., Schneider, N., Lasserre, M., Trintafillidis, G., and Ollive, V.: Paléo-dynamique fluviale holocène dans le compartiment sud-occidental du fossé rhénan (France), *Revue Archéologique de l’Est* 42è supplément, 15–33, 2016.
- Schmitt, L., Lebeau, M., Trémolières, M., Defraeye, S., Coli, C., Denny, E., Dillinger, M., Beck, T., Dor, J.-C., Gombert, P., Gueidan, A., Manné, S., Party, J.-P., Perrotey, P., Piquette, M., Roeck, U., Schnitzler, A., Sonnet, O., Vacher, J.-P., Vauclin, V., Weiss, M., Zacher, J.-N., and Wilms, P.: Le “polder” d’Erstein : objectifs, aménagements et retour d’expérience sur cinq ans de fonctionnement et de suivi scientifique environnemental (Rhin, France), *Ingénieries no. spécial*, 67–84, 2009.
- Schulz-Zunkel, C. and Krueger, F.: Trace Metal Dynamics in Floodplain Soils of the River Elbe: A Review, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 38, 1349, <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2008.0299>, 2009.
- Sear, D. A. and Arnell, N. W.: The application of palaeohydrology in river management, *Catena*, 66, 169–183, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2005.11.009>, 2006.
- Sear, D. A., Darby, S. E., Thorne, C. R., and Brookes, A. B.: Geomorphological approach to stream stabilization and restoration: Case study of the Mimmshall brook, Hertfordshire, UK, *Regul. River.*, 9, 205–223, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450090403>, 1994.
- Starkel, L., Soja, R., and Michczyńska, D. J.: Past hydrological events reflected in Holocene history of Polish rivers, *CATENA, Past Hydrological Events Related to Understanding Global Change*, 66, 24–33, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2005.07.008>, 2006.
- Tao, F., Jiantong, L., Bangding, X., Xiaoguo, C., and Xiaoqing, X.: Mobilization potential of heavy metals: A comparison between river and lake sediments, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 161, 209–225, 2005.
- Toonen, W. H. J., Winkels, T. G., Cohen, K. M., Prins, M. A., and Middelkoop, H.: Lower Rhine historical flood magnitudes of the last 450 years reproduced from grain-size measurements of flood deposits using End Member Modelling, *CATENA*, 130, 69–81, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2014.12.004>, 2015.
- Trimble, S. W. and Cooke, R. U.: Historical Sources for Geomorphological Research in the United States, *The Professional Geographer*, 43, 212–228, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-0124.1991.00212.x>, 1991.
- Tulla, J. G.: Ueber die Rectification des Rheins, von seinem Austritt aus der Schweiz bis zu seinem Eintritt in Hessen, Müller’s, Karlsruhe, 1825.
- Tümmers, H. J.: Der Rhein: ein europäischer Fluß und seine Geschichte, 2. Überarbeitete und aktualisierte Auflage, Beck, München, 481 pp., 1999.
- Uehlinger, U., Wantzen, K. M., Leuven, R. S. E. W., and Arndt, H.: The Rhine river basin, in: *Rivers of Europe*, edited by: Tockner, K., Academic Press, Amsterdam, London, 199–245, 2009.
- van Helvoort, P.-J., Griffioen, J., and Hartog, N.: Characterization of the reactivity of riverine heterogeneous sediments using a facies-based approach; the Rhine–Meuse delta (The Netherlands), *Appl. Geochem.*, 22, 2735–2757, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.06.016>, 2007.
- Wetter, O., Pfister, C., Weingartner, R., Luterbacher, J., Reist, T., and Trösch, J.: The largest floods in the High Rhine basin since 1268 assessed from documentary and instrumental evidence, *Hydrolog. Sci. J.*, 56, 733–758, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2011.583613>, 2011.
- WFD: 2000/60/EC, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European parliament and of the council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy, 2000.
- Wittmann, J.: Chronik der niedrigsten Wasserstände des Rheins vom Jahre 70, Chr. Geb. bis 1858 und Nachrichten über die im Jahre 1857 – 58 im Rheinbette von der Schweiz bis nach Hol-

- land zu Tage gekommenen Alterthümer und Merkwürdigkeiten, insbesondere die damals sichtbaren Steinpfeilerreste der ehemaligen festen Brücke bei Mainz und die unfern dieser Stadt im Rheinstrome gemachten Entdeckungen, Seifert, Mainz, 142 pp., 1859.
- Wohl, E., Angermeier, P. L., Bledsoe, B., Kondolf, G. M., MacDonnell, L., Merritt, D. M., Palmer, M. A., Poff, N. L., and Tarboton, D.: River restoration, *Water Resour. Res.*, 41, W10301–1–W10301–12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR003985>, 2005.
- Woitke, P., Wellmitz, J., Helm, D., Kube, P., Lepom, P., and Litheraty, P.: Analysis and assessment of heavy metal pollution in suspended solids and sediments of the river Danube, *Chemosphere*, 51, 633–642, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(03\)00217-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00217-0), 2003.
- Ziliani, L. and Surian, N.: Evolutionary trajectory of channel morphology and controlling factors in a large gravel-bed river, *Geomorphology*, 173–174, 104–117, 2012.
- Zimmer, D., Kiersch, K., Jandl, G., Meissner, R., Kolomiitsev, N., and Leinweber, P.: Status Quo of Soil Contamination with Inorganic and Organic Pollutants of the River Oka Floodplains (Russia), *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 211, 299–312, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-009-0301-8>, 2010.