

Dynamic Shock Wave-Induced Switchable Phase Transition of Magnesium Sulfate Heptahydrate

Aswathappa Sivakumar, Paramasivam Shailaja, S. Sahaya Jude Dhas, Paramasivam Sivaprakash, Abdulrahman Almansour, Raju Suresh Kumar, Natarajan Arumugam, Sonachalam Arumugam, Shubhadip Chakraborty, S. A. Martin Britto Dhas

► To cite this version:

Aswathappa Sivakumar, Paramasivam Shailaja, S. Sahaya Jude Dhas, Paramasivam Sivaprakash, Abdulrahman Almansour, et al.. Dynamic Shock Wave-Induced Switchable Phase Transition of Magnesium Sulfate Heptahydrate. Crystal Growth & Design, 2021, 21 (9), pp.5050-5057. 10.1021/acs.cgd.1c00476 . hal-03367691

HAL Id: hal-03367691 https://hal.science/hal-03367691v1

Submitted on 26 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2	Dynamic Shock Waves Induced Switchable Phase Transition of Magnesium Sulfate Heptahydrate
3 4 5	Aswathappa Sivakumar ¹ , Paramasivam Shailaja ¹ , S.Sahaya Jude Dhas ² , Paramasivam Sivaprakash ³ , Abdulrahman I. Almansour ⁴ , Raju Suresh Kumar ⁴ , Natarajan Arumugam ⁴ , Sonachalam Arumugam ³ , Shubhadip Chakraborty ⁵ , S.A.Martin Britto Dhas ¹ *
6	¹ Shock Wave Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, Abdul Kalam Research Center,
7	Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur, Tamil Nadu, India – 635 601
8	² Department of Physics, Kings Engineering College, Sriperumbudur, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
9	- 602 117
10	³ Centre for High Pressure Research, School of Physics, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli,
11	Tamilnadu, India- 620 024
12	⁴ Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh,
13	Saudi Arabia- 11451
14	⁵ Institut de Physique de Rennes, UMR CNRS 6251, Université de Rennes 1, 35042 Rennes
15	Cedex, France
16	Corresponding Author: <u>martinbritto@shctpt.edu</u>
17	Abstract
18	To date, even though a large number of shock wave induced irreversible crystal-crystal
19	phase transitions have been reported for the past few decays, switchable phase transitions of
20	solid state materials by dynamic shock waves remain to be quite un-known and also attainment
21	of switchable phase transitions is somewhat a difficult task as compared to the irreversible phase
22	transitions. Hence, experiments on switchable phase transition arising out of materials and the
23	related publications are always considered to be valuable asserts for the materials researchers so
24	as to master over the enhanced understanding of the behavior of materials and their probable
25	applications. Moreover, materials of switchable phase have enormous number of spectacular
26	industrial applications. In the present framework, we have presented and demonstrated the

switchable phase transition of magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO₄.7H₂O) at shocked
 conditions and the switching behavior has been screened by diffraction, vibrational spectroscopic

and optical spectroscopic techniques so as arrive at X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman scattering and UV-Visible spectral analyses, respectively. All the observed results clearly reveal that the switchable phase transition is achieved at dynamic shock wave loaded conditions. The interesting aspects of the present experimental results with respect to the number of shock pulses are discussed in the upcoming sections.

Key-words: Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate, Shock waves, Switchable phase transition, Re crystallization

36 Introduction

Studies on the impact of shock waves on materials found in the Nature have started to 37 38 receive a great deal of attention such that their influence on the properties of materials has attained a standpoint of pinnacle which has triggered a series of innovations that have driven 39 efficiency and sustainability of materials to the levels of previously unattainable and this new 40 sphere of activity offers multiple opportunities for the researchers to rise to the occasion. 41 42 Structured reflection on those lines could provide remarkable scope of finding materials of prominence such that switchable phase transition could be very well established for a few 43 44 materials by the impact of shock waves. Furthermore, switchable crystallographic phase transition is one of the special topics for materials scientists who work in the crystallographic 45 46 phase transitions of solids because such materials are highly used in pressure transmitters, molecular switches, current flash memories and phase shifters etc. ¹⁻³ To achieve such special 47 phase transitions, knowledge of the crystal structures at high-pressure, high-temperature and 48 49 low-temperature environments is highly required. Over the last couple of decades, several 50 thousands of publications have been achieved in the field of static high pressure compression and 51 de-compression enforced on materials such that an array of data have been accomplished through 52 experiments which have paved the way for the switchable phase transitions emerging out of materials as that of solid state phase transitions which include crystal – crystal and crystal – 53 amorphous phase transitions.⁴⁻⁶ It could be noted that, in the case of static high-pressure 54 experiments, many of the materials exhibit such reversibility which has been very well 55 established in both inorganic and organic crystals.⁴⁻⁶ Here we present a few examples of static 56 high-pressure and high-temperature experiments wherein the obtained results of phase transitions 57

in materials could provide convincing substantiation on the above-mentioned statements. Yun-58 Zhi Tang et al have demonstrated the switchable phase transitions of 18-Crown-6 Clathrate that 59 have been accomplished during the heating and cooling conditions.⁷ Reversible double phase 60 transitions have been observed in Hybrid Iodoplumbate (C₄H₁₂NPbI₃) during the process of 61 heating as well as cooling.⁸ Kai Wang et al have performed the static pressure compression and 62 de-compression experiment on sulfamide and found the reversible phase transitions.⁹ Thomas D. 63 Bennett et al have demonstrated the crystal – amorphous reversible phase transitions in zeolitic 64 imidazolate framework at pressure compression and de-compression stages.¹⁰ Bo Zou and his 65 team has performed the static high-pressure experiment and reported the reversible 66 crystallographic phase transition for Ammonium Formate¹¹, Lithium Amide¹², Acetamide¹³ and 67 magnesium silicide (Mg₂Si).¹⁴ 68

Followed by the static high-pressure and high-temperature observations analyzed, 69 70 dynamic shock waves induced phase transition experiments conducted on solids also could provide significant information to the researches to understand the behaviors of molecules and 71 72 atoms and their response at dynamic high transient pressure and temperature conditions which is identified to be one among the rising research topics in current years.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ It is well known that, 73 during the shock wave loaded conditions, a test sample possibly experiences crystallographic 74 phase transitions of solid to solid^{19,20} and crystal to amorphous phase²¹, lattice deformations and 75 defects^{22,23} and stable crystallographic phase.²⁴ Among the listed three possible occurrences, 76 stable crystallographic phase at dynamic shock wave loaded conditions is quite a rare case to 77 occur since majority of materials experience lots of deformations without undergoing the phase 78 transitions. Furthermore, it is quite interesting to achieve reversible crystallographic phase 79 80 transitions of solids at shocked conditions as that of static high-pressure experiments. But tremendous amount of effort and energy have been continually paid to achieve such reversible 81 phase transitions in materials at shock wave loaded conditions for the above-mentioned 82 applications since shock wave induced phase transitions are highly rapid than that of the pressure 83 and temperature induced phase transitions. Our research group has reported the switchable 84 crystallographic phase transitions of potassium sulfate crystal at shock wave loaded conditions.²⁵ 85 Furthermore, switchable magnetic phase transitions have been observed in ZnFe₂O₄²⁶ and Co₃O₄ 86 NPs.²⁷ 87

In the present context, we have chosen magnesium sulfate heptahydrate ($MgSO_4.7H_2O$) 88 single crystal to examine the crystallographic phase stability at shocked conditions. 89 MgSO₄.7H₂O is one of the familiar polymorphic hydrate salts which is available in the planetary 90 materials.^{28,29} With respect to pressure and temperature, it undergoes series of polymorphic phase 91 transitions based on the prevailing processes of de-hydration and re-hydration.³⁰⁻³² On the one 92 hand, so far, several material scientists and geological scientists have examined the 93 crystallographic phase stability of the title single crystal with respect to pressure, temperature 94 and humidity and have come up with several sequences of phase transitions which are highly 95 associated with the temperature and pressure of the respective experimentation.³⁰⁻³² Moreover, 96 such kinds of high-pressure and high-temperature conditions are highly required to get into the 97 deep understanding of the formation of the present solid state – earth and other planets (Mars and 98 99 Jupiter) as well as interior icy satellites. On the other hand, through such kind of hightemperature and high-pressure experiments only, we could be able to quantify the water 100 resources of the planets.^{28,29} In recent years, a lot of experiments have been performed on the 101 minerals of Martian planet surface especially magnesium hydrates.^{33,34} Several materials science 102 103 research groups in the global arena have been continually working on this theme and providing their significant contributions so as to understand better on the phase stability of the title crystal. 104 So far, the known phases of magnesium hydrates are MgSO₄.11H₂O, 7H₂O (P2₁2₁2₁), 6H₂O 105 (C₂/C), 5H₂O (P-1), 4H₂O, 3H₂O, 2H₂O, 1H₂O, and anhydrate MgSO₄. But, among the listed 106 phases, MgSO₄.7H₂O is a thermodynamically stable phase at ambient conditions so that it is one 107 of the promising candidates for heat storage applications due to its high energy density.³⁵⁻³⁷ In 108 109 some cases, the non-integer water content phase has been observed as that of mixed phase of magnesium hydrate (MgSO₄.6/7 H₂O) due to the nature of the experiential conditions.³⁵⁻³⁶ In 110 111 1948, Bridgman has performed high-pressure experiments (piston compression method) on the title crystal and observed a few sluggish phase transitions at 1.0 - 1.5 GPa and 2.5 GPa.³⁸ 112 Followed by Bridgmen, Livshits et al have carried out high pressure experiments on crystalline 113 hydrates of magnesium sulfate and found series of phase transitions such as I-II at 0.45 GPa, II-114 III at 1.2 GPa, III-IV at 1.6 GPa, IV-V at 2.5 GPa.³⁹ Gromnitskaya et al have performed high-115 pressure neutron powder diffraction study of crystalline MgSO₄.7H₂O and found series of phase 116 transitions at 1.4, 1.6, and 2.5 GP.⁴⁰ Very recently, Linfei Yang et al have carried out the 117

118 combined high-pressure and high-temperature experiments on the title crystal and found three 119 steps of phase transitions with respect to temperature and also observed that the de-hydration rate 120 is increased while increasing the pressure range.⁴¹

In the present framework, we have systematically examined the crystallographic phase stability of the title crystal at shocked conditions such that it has been screened by XRD, Raman and UV-DRS techniques. The present shock wave recovery experiments could provide the alternative way to be implemented so as to understand the behaviors of hydrate salts at icy moons and other planets so that it is very much possible to unearth the unknown facts as well as new structural formulations.

127 Experimental section

Commercially available, MgSO₄.7H₂O was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Company and 128 the material was dissolved in double distilled water. The saturated solution of MgSO₄.7H₂O was 129 130 prepared at room temperature and stirred continuously for 5 hrs with the help of a magnetic 131 stirrer in order to obtain a homogenous solution. Furthermore, the saturated solution was allowed 132 to begin the crystallization process by slow evaporation method and bulk size crystals $(10 \times 10 \times 2)$ 133 mm³) were harvested after 25 days so as to be used for the present experiment and the photograph of the as grown crystal is presented in Fig.1 as an inset. The shock wave induced 134 135 phase stability analysis has been performed using an in-house tabletop semi automatic Reddy Tube for which the details of working methodology have been discussed in our previous 136 papers.^{19,27,42}. In the present experiment, we have collected three samples of equal dimension 137 $(5 \times 5 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3)$ and have ensured to be defect free crystals so as to be fit for the investigation. 138 139 Among the three samples, one sample has been kept as the control sample and the remaining two 140 samples have been used for shock wave impact experimentation. In the present experiment, we have used a semiautomatic Reddy Tube for the generation of shock waves of Mach number 2.2 141 possessing transient pressure 2.0 MPa. The crystal is placed in the sample holder such that one 142 143 shock pulse is exposed on the crystal and two shock pulses are loaded on the other crystal. The time duration between the shock pulses are kept as 5 seconds. After the completion of the shock 144 wave loading procedure, the crystals have been sent for the analytical studies such as XRD, 145 Raman and UV- DRS spectral analyses. 146

147 **Results and Discussion**

148 XRD results

Herein, powder X-ray diffractometer has been used for notifying the shock wave induced 149 150 structural changes of the title crystal and the observed XRD pattern is presented in Fig.1. As per 151 the basic standard, it is quite mandatory to confirm the formation of the crystallographic phase of 152 the test crystal since it is highly sensitive to temperature and pressure. There are enormous possibilities to observe the de-hydration process even at 25-30° C. If such changes occur, the 153 154 final product structure must be of the lower hydrate phase or mixed phase structure. Hence, the conformation of the grown crystal structure is highly crucial before discussing the shock wave 155 156 induced changes occurring on the test crystal.



157

Fig.1 The XRD patterns of the control and shocked crystals and the photograph of the crystal as
an inset

160 The grown crystal's XRD pattern is compared with simulated MgSO₄.7H₂O and 161 MgSO₄.6H₂O and the corresponding XRD patterns are presented in Fig.2a. As seen in Fig.2a, the

prominent diffraction peak position of MgSO₄.7H₂O phase is at 21.02 degree and for 162 MgSO_{4.6}H₂O phase is at 20.01 degree. But in the case of the control crystal (as grown crystal), 163 the prominent X-ray diffraction peak position is at 20.6 degree and the corresponding XRD 164 pattern is presented in Fig.2a. Hence, the control test crystal does not belong to a single phase of 165 MgSO₄.7H₂O (P2₁2₁2₁) as well as for MgSO₄.6H₂O (C₂/C) so that it is considered as a mixed 166 phase existing for both the cases. Fig.2a gives the clear justification for the consideration that has 167 been also witnessed by several researchers i.e. existence of such kinds of mixed phases in 168 magnesium salts hydrates.^{35,36} There could be two prime reasons for the existence of the mixed 169 phase for the grown crystal; the first point is, during the de-hydration process occurring at lower 170 temperature region (25-30°C), the grains can obviously dehydrate into mixed phases because the 171 dehydration process is incomplete due to in-sufficient temperature. To achieve complete de-172 173 hydration, it should reach the temperature transition line. As a result, the existing grains do not have the integer values for the hydrates.^{35.36} 174



176 Fig.2 (a) Comparison of the XRD patterns of MgSO₄.7H₂O, MgSO₄.6H₂O and the control crystal

177 (b) The XRD patterns of simulated and shock wave induced MgSO₄.7H₂O

Second point is that some of the lattice waters are changed to pore water states in the 178 same crystal. But, different phases are present due to the in-complete dehydration. Moreover, all 179 180 the water molecules might not have involved to influence these changes in the crystal.^{35,36} which induces the formation of mixed phases and the observed changes of water states of this crystal 181 will be discussed with reference to the Raman spectroscopic results. As seen in Fig.1, the 182 significant changes have been observed in the XRD pattern with respect to the number of shock 183 pulses. The XRD pattern of the first shocked crystal is completely different from the control 184 sample wherein the most prominent XRD peak is shifted towards the higher diffraction angle 185 which reflects the formation of MgSO₄.7H₂O. As seen in Fig.2a, the prominent diffraction peak 186 of MgSO₄.6H₂O appears at lower diffraction angle as compared to MgSO₄.7H₂O. But, in the 187 case of 1st shocked condition of the crystal, the prominent XRD peak experiences the higher 188 189 angle shift and it appears at 21.5 degree. The diffraction value is little larger as compared to the XRD pattern of simulated MgSO₄.7H₂O. There is no signature for the occurrence of de-190 191 hydration process influenced by shock waves even though it has enough transient pressure and temperature. If such de-hydration process has happened, the diffraction peaks would have moved 192 193 towards the lower diffraction angle and induced the formation of MgSO₄.6H₂O. But, such kind of reflection is not observed in the XRD pattern of the crystal at 1st shocked condition. Hence, it 194 195 is very clear that the mixed phase of the test sample is phase transformed to single phase crystal at 1st shocked condition due to the re-organization of hydrogen bonds as well as dynamic re-196 197 crystallization. Due to the shock transient pressure and temperature, sufficient latent heat is supplied to the crystal and the extra pore water molecules might be pushed to the lattice water 198 199 state so that the resultant formation becomes the single phase Mg.SO₄.7H₂O. The water molecules are connected with Mg octahedral and SO₄ tetrahedral such that the existing bond 200 201 pattern is presented in Fig.3. In general, SO₄ tetrahedral is highly sensitive for pressure and 202 temperature and it undergoes significant rotational order-disorder processes at high-pressure and high-temperature conditions.²⁵ Due to the lattice water states changes, the rotational order-203 disorder of SO₄ tetrahedral is also possible to take place at shocked conditions.²⁵ Due to the 204 existence of the mixed phase during the crystal growth, we can assume in such a way that the 205 disorder SO₄ tetrahedral may be present in the control crystal and specially ordered SO₄ 206 tetrahedral appears at 1st shocked condition due to the dynamic re-crystallization which results 207

into single phase Mg.SO₄.7H₂O with the P2₁2₁2₁ space group. At 2^{nd} shocked condition, the original mixed phase is observed as it is noticed in the control crystal and Fig.1 clearly shows the reversibility of the phases with respect to the number of shock pulses. Hence, at this stage, it is clear that the switchable phase transition is achieved with respect to the number of shock pulses similar to that of K₂SO₄ crystal²⁵ and the schematic diagram of the claimed phase transition is presented in Fig.4.

214



215

Fig.3 Single molecular bonding pattern of MgSO₄.7H₂O



218

Fig.4 Schematic diagram of shock wave induced reversible phase of MgSO₄.7H₂O

During the 2nd shocked condition, some of the lattice water molecules are transferred into 219 pore water states and as a result the mixed phase is observed. In particular, the seventh water 220 molecule (H13 and H14 atom in Fig.3) has the maximum possibility to have induced the mixed 221 222 phase of MgSO₄.7H₂O. During the shock wave loaded conditions, the actual MgSO₄.7H₂O crystal structure is unable to withstand its own state due to low energy hydrogen bonding 223 224 network and try to begin the de-hydration process. Since the existence of the shock wave within 225 the sample is very short period, the water molecules cannot be able to be removed from the 226 substance but the seventh water molecule is displaced from its original position where the hydrogen bond cannot be able to form. Hence the distortion of the crystal structure is highly 227 228 possible at shocked condition without the removal of the actual water quantity of the test sample. In addition to that, the test crystal is a solid substance so that the internal vapor transport is 229 highly constrained.³⁵ However, the water molecules create a different configuration which leads 230 to deliquescence and formation of the mixed phase of the crystal. As per the previous reports, 231 232 hydrated sulfates undergo dehydration reactions under high temperature and pressure conditions and result into the formation of lower hydrate structures.^{35,36,40,41} But in the present case, we have 233 234 not observed such dehydration process in MgSO₄.7H₂O.

235

237 Raman Spectroscopic Study

Raman spectroscopic study is one of the powerful techniques to authenticate the molecular fingerprints and solid –state phase transitions of the materials. In the present research work, Raman spectral analysis has been performed so as to justify the XRD results and to understand better the mechanism of the reversible phase transitions of the title crystal such that the observed Raman spectra of the control and shocked crystals are presented in Fig.5.



243

244

Fig.5 Raman spectra of the control and shocked crystals

As per the previous publications, MgSO₄.7H₂O has the characteristic peaks at 983 cm⁻¹ (ϑ_1 –SO₄), 3322 cm⁻¹ (ϑ_1 –H₂O) and 3458 cm⁻¹ (ϑ_3 –H₂O) bands. Based on the XRD results of the present experiment, the control crystal has mixed phase of MgSO₄.7H₂O and MgSO₄.6H₂O such that the observed Raman spectra also justify the XRD results through the vibrational modes

of water molecules so that the corresponding zoomed version of the Raman spectra are presented 249 in Fig.6. The tetrahedral coordination of SO₄ position is almost similar for MgSO4.7H₂O and 250 251 MgSO₄.6H₂O crystal structures and hence we cannot suspect significant changes in the SO₄ tetrahedral wherein the SO₄ band should move towards the higher wavenumber region during the 252 de-hydration process expect in MgSO₄.6H₂O.³⁷ But, in the case of the vibrational modes of water 253 molecules, one can see considerable changes in the Raman spectra since the lattice water and 254 pore water transformation has the significant contribution in the formation of the mixed phase. 255 As seen in Fig.6b, the water molecules identified in Raman bands have less Raman intensity and 256 high full-width half-maximum (FWHM) values. It may be due to the contribution of the 257 enhancement of pore water in the crystal than that of the lattice water due to the incomplete de-258 hydration process. In addition to that, the strong interaction between lattice water molecules is 259 reduced during such kinds of incomplete de-hydration process.^{35,36} 260



Fig.6 Zoomed versions of Raman spectra of the control and shocked crystals (a) SO₄ band
(b) H₂O bands

261

So that, the Raman bands of water molecule have less intensity. Followed by the Raman bands of water molecules, SO₄ tetrahedral Raman band also shows the possible difference while compared to the pure single phase of MgSO₄.7H₂O crystal structure. As seen in Fig.6a, the control crystal's SO₄ band (984 cm⁻¹) has high full-width half-maximum values compared to that of MgSO₄.7H₂O crystal structure. During the mixed phase formation, the

partial MgSO₄.6H₂O structure molecules are pulled to the (SO₄) tetrahedral to stay at lower 269 wavenumber side so that the resultant slight changes can be obtained in full-width half-270 271 maximum since the actual positions of SO₄ tetrahedral for MgSO₄.6H₂O (984.1 cm⁻¹) and MgSO₄.7H₂O (984.3 cm⁻¹) crystal structures do not have the great differences.³⁷ At shocked 272 conditions, considerable amount of changes have been noticed in symmetric stretching mode of 273 the sulfate tetrahedral and in the vibrational modes of water molecules. At 1st shocked condition, 274 the SO₄ Raman band showcases less FWHM compared to the control crystal and it is one of the 275 signatures for the existence of the single phase with higher structural order and similar results are 276 also noticed in the XRD results. But the position of the SO₄ Raman band has not changed. On the 277 other hand, highly visible changes have been noticed in the vibrational modes of water molecules 278 both in $(\vartheta_1 - H_2O)$ and 3458 cm⁻¹ $(\vartheta_3 - H_2O)$ bands in particularly their intensities which may be 279 due to the phase transition occurring from mixed phase to single phase of MgSO₄.7H₂O crystal 280 281 structure. The increment of Raman intensity may be due to the transition of some water 282 molecules from pore water states to lattice water states. At this stage, the interaction of lattice water molecules are significantly increased so that strengthening of the hydrogen bonding is 283 increased. Hence, the Raman bands have higher intensity at 1st shocked condition and the 284 obtained phase transitions results are well corroborated with the XRD results. It could be noted 285 that there is no significant dehydration process and formation of pure phase of lower hydrate 286 structures occurred at shocked conditions even though it has enough transient temperature and 287 pressure. At second shocked condition, revert of mixed phase is confirmed due to the existence 288 of the higher value of FWHM for SO₄ (984 cm⁻¹) band water molecules of 3458 cm⁻¹ and 3237 289 cm⁻¹. As seen in Fig.6a and b, the band patterns of SO₄ and water molecules are similar. For 290 better understanding of the FWHM values of the control and shocked crystals, we have provided 291 a clear picture about the values with respect to the number of shocks and it is presented in Fig.7. 292





294

Fig.7 ϑ_1 –SO₄ tetrahedral FWHM values of the control and shocked crystals

295 UV-DRS spectral Analysis

Assessment of optical transmittance for the optically transparent crystals is another 296 important parameter which can give the possible information to draw the convincing conclusion 297 about the crystallographic phase transitions.²⁵ Herein, the ultra-violet diffused reflectance 298 299 spectrometer (UV-DRS) has been utilized to measure the optical transmittance of the control and shocked crystal and the observed optical transmittance profiles of the crystal are presented in 300 301 Fig.8. As seen in Fig.8, the control mixed phase crystal has transparent window in the entire visible region. But, the percentage of the optical transmittance is quite low such that the value of 302 the optical transmittance is 17% at 600 nm. The value is quite convincing even though it is 303 304 optically transparent crystal, since the test crystal has the mixed phase it obviously has several grain boundaries which belong to MgSO₄.7H₂O and MgSO₄.6H₂O. Hence, it is quite obvious 305 that the net values of the optical transmission are significantly reduced. At first shocked 306 condition, the optical transmittance spectrum is significantly changed and the value of the optical 307 transmittance has remarkably increased such that the transmittance value is found to be 47 % at 308

500 nm. This is may be due to the formation of single phase MgSO₄.7H₂O crystal. At this stage,
the light scattering centers are significantly reduced so that the amount of propagation of light
has increased giving rise to enhanced optical transmittance.



312

313

Fig.8 Optical transmittance spectra of the control and shocked crystals

It could be noted that the optical transmittance profile of the 1st shocked crystal is wellmatched with the previous reports of MgSO₄.7H₂O.⁴⁴ At second shocked condition, the percentage of optical transmittance is reduced due to the formation of mixed phase which supports the XRD and Raman results. The optical transmittance percentage of the crystals at 600 nm with respect to the numbers of shock pulses is displayed in Fig.9. Based on the optical transmittance analysis, it provides the possible supporting evidence for the observed changes in the XRD and Raman measurement with respect to the number of shock pulses.



322



324 Conclusion

325 In summary, we have systematically demonstrated the switchable phase transitions of hydrated magnesium salt with respect to the number of shock waves and the results have been 326 evaluated by XRD, Raman and UV-DRS techniques. The XRD results evidently demonstrate 327 that the MgSO₄.7H₂O + MgSO₄.6H₂O has been phase transformed to MgSO4.7H₂O crystal at 328 329 the first shocked condition due to the dynamic re-crystallization and changes in the pore water to lattice water states and the mixed phase is retrieved at the second shocked condition. The Raman 330 331 spectral analysis gives the clear picture about the water molecules states which are considerably changed from pore water states to lattice water states due to the order-disorder process of 332 333 tetrahedral (SO₄) with respect to the number of shock pulses and it gives direct correlation for understanding the switching behavior of the test crystal. UV-DRS spectral analysis also provides 334 335 the possible substantiation to justify the XRD and Raman results so that switching of optical transmittance percentage with respect to the number of shock pulses could also be achieved. It 336 337 could be noted that all the three different types of analytical techniques provide the similar

authentication with respect to the numbers of shock pulses. Hence, we strongly claim that the test 338 samples have undergone switchable phase transitions and such kinds of materials are highly 339 340 deserved for applications in sensor, phase shifters, resistive memories and flash memories. Innovative theoretical insights into unlocking the truth behind such shock impacted switching 341 behavior of materials with respect to the number of shock pulses would be extremely valid so 342 343 that it is reserved for the continual activity which will be in progress for this extended future course of research work. We strongly believe that the present shock wave recovery experiment 344 345 would provide the new beginning for bringing out many more experiments on hydrate salts of planets so that new structural concepts could emerge at shocked conditions. 346

347 **Compliance with ethical standards**

348 None

349 **Conflict of interest**

350 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

351 Acknowledgement

The authors thank Department of Science and Technology (DST), India for funding through DST-FIST progarmme (SR/FST/College-2017/130 (c)) and for the Abraham Panampara Research Fellowship. The project was supported by Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP-2021/231), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

356 **Reference**

- [1] Yi, L.; Hai,T.Z.; Shao-Peng,Chen.;Yu-Hui, T.; Chang-Feng,W.; Chang-Shan, Y.; He, R.
 W.; Yun-Zhi, T. Reversible Phase Transition and Switchable Dielectric Behaviors
 Triggered by Rotation and Order-Disorder Motions of Crowns. *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47,
 3851-3856.
- 361 [2] Wojciech, W.; and Matthias, W. Reversible switching in phase-change materials.
 362 *Mater.Today*, 2008, 11, 20-27.
- 363 [3] Yun-Zhi, T.; Yi, L.; Ji-Xing, G.; Chang-Feng, W.; Bin, W.; Yu-Hui, Tan.; and He-Rui,
- 364 W. Reversible structural phase transition, ferroelectric and switchable dielectric

- properties of an adduct molecule of hexamethylenetetramine ferrocene carboxylic acid. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 41369.
- [4] Wen, C.; Mingguang, Y.; Dedi, L.; Quanjun, L.; Ran, L.; Bo, Z.; Tian, C.; and Bingbing,
 L. Reversible Polymerization in Doped Fullerides Under Pressure: The Case of
 C₆₀(Fe(C₅H₅)₂)₂. J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116, 2643–2650.
- [5] Yun-Zhi, T., Wang, B.; Hai-Tao, Z.; Shao-Peng, C.; Yu-Hui, T.; Chang-Feng,W.;
 Chang-Shan, Y., and He-Rui, W. Reversible Phase Transition with Ultralarge
 Dielectric Relaxation Behaviors in Succinimide Lithium(I) Hybrids. *Inorg. Chem.* 2018,
 57, 1196–1202.
- [6] Silva, J.A.F.; Freire, P.T.C.; Lima Jr. J.A.; Mendes Filho, J.; Melo, F.E.A.; Moreno,
 A.J.D.; Polian, A. Raman spectroscopy of monohydrated L-asparagine up to 30 GPa . *Vib. Spectrosc*, 2015, 77, 35–39.
- [7] Yun-Zhi, T.; Zhi-Feng, G.; Chang-Shan, Y.; Bin, W.; Yu-Hui, T.; and He, R.W. Unusual
 Two-step Switchable Dielectric Behaviors and FerroelasticPhase Transition in a Simple
 18-Crown-6 Clathrate. *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, *1*, 6772–6776.
- [8] Guangfeng, L.; Jie, L.; Zhihua, S.; Zhenyi, Z.; Lei, C.; Junling, W.; Xutang, T.; and
 Qichun, Z. Thermally Induced Reversible Double Phase Transitions in an Organic–
 Inorganic Hybrid Iodoplumbate C4H12NPbI3 with Symmetry Breaking. *Inorg. Chem.*2016, 55, 8025–8030.
- [9] Kai, W.; Jing, L.; Ke, Y.; Bingbing, L.; and Bo, Z. High-Pressure-Induced Reversible
 Phase Transition in Sulfamide. *J. Phys. Chem.C.* 2014, *118*, 18640–18645.
- Thomas, D. B.; Petra, S.; Stephen ,A. M.; Fabia, G.; Piero, M.; David, A. K.; Jin-Chong,
 T.; and Anthony, K.C. Reversible pressure-induced amorphization of a zeolitic
 imidazolate framework (ZIF-4). *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 7983–7985.
- 389 [11] Lei, K.; Kai, W.; Shourui, L.; Jing, L.; Ke, Y.; Bingbing, L.; and Bo, Z. Pressure-
- Induced Phase Transition in Hydrogen-Bonded Supramolecular Structure: Ammonium
 Formate. *J.Phys.Chem.C*, 2014, 118, 8521–8530.
- Xiaoli, H.; Da, L.; Fangfei, L.; Xilian, J.; Shuqing, J.; Wenbo, L.; Xinyi, Y.; Qiang, Z.;
 Bo, Z.; Qiliang, C.; Bingbing, L.; and Tian, C. Large Volume Collapse during

- 394 Pressure-Induced Phase Transition in Lithium Amide. *J.Phys Chem.C*, 2012,
 395 *116*, 9744–9749.
- Ici, K.; Kai, W.; Shourui, L.; Xiaodong, L.; and Bo, Z. Pressure-Induced Phase
 Transition in Hydrogen-Bonded Molecular Crystal Acetamide: Combined Raman
 Scattering and X-ray Diffraction Study. *RSC Adv*, **2015**, *5*, 84703-84710.
- Jian, H.; Bo, Z.; Pinwen, Z.; Chunxiao, G.; Yinwei, L.; Dan, L.; Kai, W.; Weiwei,
 L.; Qiliang, C.; Guangtian, Z. In situ X-ray observation of phase transitions in Mg₂Si
 under high pressure. *Solid. State. Commun.* 2009, *149*, 689–692.
- 402 [15] Sivakumar, A., Saranraj, A.; Sahaya Jude Dhas, S.; Sivaprakash, .P; Arumugam, S.;
 403 Martin Britto Dhas, S.A., Spectroscopic assessment on the stability of benzophenone
 404 crystals at shock waves loaded condition. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A.* 2020, 242, 118725.
- [16] Sivakumar, A.; Suresh, S.; Balachandar, S.; Thirupathy, J.; Kalayana Sundar, J.; Martin
 Britto Dhas, S.A. Effect of Shock Waves on Thermophysical properties of ADP and
 KDP crystals. *Optic.Laser.Tech*, **2018**, *111*, 284-289
- [17] Zhi, S.; William, L.S.; Yu-Run, M.; Sizhu, Y.; Dana, D. D.; and Kenneth, S.S. Shock
 Wave Chemistry in a Metal–Organic Framework. J.Am.Chem.Soc. 2017, *139*, 4619–
 4622.
- [18] Renganathan , P.; and Gupta. Y. M. Shock compression/release of magnesium single
 crystals along a low-symmetry orientation: Role of basal slip. *J.Appl.Phys.* 2019, *126*,
 115902.
- 414 [19] Kalaiarasi, S.; Sivakumar, A.; Martin Britto Dhas, S.A.; Jose, M., Shock wave induced
 415 anatase to rutile TiO₂ phase transition using pressure driven shock tube. *Mater. Lett*416 2018, 219, 72–75.
- 417 [20] Leiserowitz, L.; and Schmidt, G.M.J. Shock-Induced Irreversible Phase Transitions In
 418 Inorganic Solids. J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 1966, 27, 1453-1457.
- [21] Xuan, Z.; Yu-Run, M.; William, L.S.; Kenneth, S.S.; and Dana D.D. Shock Wave
 Energy Absorption in Metal–Organic Framework. *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* 2019, 141,
 2220–2223.
- 422 [22] Johannes, R., Shock waves in quasicrystals; *Mater. Sci. Eng*, **2000**, *294*, 753–756.

- 423 [23] Sivakumar, A.; Saranraj, A.; Sahaya Jude Dhas, S.; Jose, M.; and Martin Britto Dhas,
 424 S.A., Shock wave-induced defect engineering for investigation on optical properties of
 425 triglycine sulfate crystal. *Opt.Eng* 2019, 58, 077104.
- 426 [24] Sivakumar, A.; Sahaya Jude Dhas, S.; Martin Britto Dhas, S.A., Assessment of
 427 crystallographic and magnetic phase stabilities on MnFe₂O₄ nano crystalline materials at
 428 shocked conditions. Solid State.Sci, **2020**, *107*,106340.
- 429 [25] Sivakumar, A.; Reena Devi, S.; Sahaya Jude Dhas, S.; Mohan Kumar, R; Kamala
- Bharathi, K.; and Martin Britto Dhas, S.A. Switchable Phase Transformation
 (Orthorhombic-Hexagonal) of Potassium Sulfate Single Crystal at Ambient
 Temperature by Shock Waves. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2020, 20, 7111–7119.
- [26] Mowlika, V.; Sivakumar, A.; Martin Britto Dhas, S. A.; Naveen, C. S.; Phani, A. R.;
 Robert, R. Shock wave induced switchable magnetic phase transition behaviour of
 ZnFe₂O₄ ferrite nanoparticles. *J. Nanostruc. Chem*, **2020**, *10*, 203–209.
- [27] Sivakumar, A.; Soundarya, S.; Sahaya Jude Dhas, S.; Kamala Bharathi, K.; and Martin
 Britto Dhas, S. A., Shock Wave Driven Solid State Phase Transformation of Co₃O₄ to
 CoO Nanoparticles. *J.Phys.Chem.C*, **2020**, *124*, 10755–10763.
- [28] Alian, W.; Freeman, J. J.; Ming Chou,I.; and Jolliff, B. L. Stability of Mg-sulfates at
 -10°C and the rates of dehydration/rehydration processes under conditions relevant to
 Mars. J.Geophys. Res. 2011, 116, 1-22.
- [29] Alian, W.; Bradley L.J.; Yang, L.; and Kathryn, C. Setting constraints on the nature and
 origin of the two major hydrous sulfates on Mars: Monohydrated and polyhydrated
 sulfates. J. Geophys. Res. Planets, 2016, 121, 678–694.
- [30] Larysa Okhrimenko, Loic Favergeon, Kevyn, Johannes, Frederic Kuznik, Michele
 Pijolat; Thermodynamic study of MgSO₄ H₂O system dehydration at low pressure in
 view of heat storage. *Thermochim. Acta*, **2017**, *656*, 135-143.
- [31] Carolina, C.; Antonio, S.N.; Olmo-Reyes, F.J.; and Daniel Martin Ramos, J. Powder X ray Thermodiffraction Study of Mirabilite and Epsomite Dehydration. Effects of Direct
- 450 IR-Irradiation on Samples. *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, *79*, 4455-4462.

- 451 [32] Van Essen, V.M.; Zondag, H.A.; Cot Gores, J.; Bleijendaal, L.P.J.; Bakker, M.;
- 452 Schuitema, R.; van Helden, W.G.J. Characterization of MgSO₄ Hydrate for
 453 Thermochemical Seasonal Heat Storage. *J. Sol. Energy Eng.* 2009, *131*, 041014.
- [33] Fortes, A. D.; Wood, I. G.; Vočadlo, L.; Brand, H.E.A.; Grindrod, P.M.; Joy, K. H.; and
 Tucker, M.G.; The phase behaviour of epsomite (MgSO₄.7H₂O) to 50 kbar: planetary
 Implications. *Lunar and Planetary Science XXXVII* (2006)
- 457 [34] Grindrod, P.M.; Fortes, A.D.; Wood, I.G.; Sammonds, P.R.; Dobson, D.P.; Middleton,
 458 C.A.; and Vočadlo, L., Synthesis and strength of MgSO4·11H₂O (meridianiite):
 459 preliminary results from Uniaxial and trixial deformation tests. *Lunar and Planetary*460 *Science XXXIX* (2008)
- [35] Pim, A.J.D.; Steffen, B.; Leo, P.; Frank, S.; Michael, S.; and Olaf, C.G. A. Water
 Transport in MgSO4·7H₂O During Dehydration in View of Thermal Storage. *J.Phys.Chem.C*, 2015, *119*, 28711–28720.
- Elpida, P.; Luigi, C.;, Paolo, B.; Vincenza, B.; Valeria, P.; Angela, C.; Andrea, F.; Luisa,
 F. C.; Edoardo, P.; and Candida, M.; Morphological and Structural Evaluation of
 Hydration/Dehydration Stages of MgSO₄ Filled Composite Silicone Foam for
 Thermal Energy Storage Applications. *Appl. Sci.* 2020, *10*, 453.
- Kirsten, L.; Michael, N.; Dennis, B.; Konrad, P.; Michael, S. Experimental studies of the
 mechanism and kinetics of hydration reactions. *Energy Procedia*, **2014**, *48* 394 404.
- 470 [38] Bridgman; P. W.; Rough Compressions of 177 Substances to 40,000 Kg/Cm. *Proc. Am.*471 *Acad. Arts Sci.*, **1948**, *76*, 89-99.
- 472 [39] Livshits, L.D.; Genshaft ,Y.S.; Ryabin, Y.N.; Equilibrium diagram of the crystal hydrates
 473 of MgSO₄ at high pressures. Russ. *J. Inorg. Chem*, **1963**, *8*, 676–678.
- 474 [40] Gromnitskaya, E. L.; Yagafarov, O. F. Lyapin, A. G.; Brazhkin, V.V.; Wood, I.G.;
 475 Tucker, M. G.; Fortes, A. D. The high-pressure phase diagram of synthetic epsomite
 476 (MgSO_{4.}7H₂O and MgSO₄-7D₂O) from ultrasonic and neutron powder diffraction
 477 measurements. *Phys Chem Minerals*, **2013**, *40*,271–285.
- [41] Linfei, Y.; Lidong, D.; Heping, L.; Haiying, H.; Meiling, H.;and Xinyu, Z.; The Phase
 Transition and Dehydration in Epsomite under High Temperature and High
 Pressure. *Crystals*, **2020**, *10*, 75.

481	[42]	Sivakumar, A.; Balachandar, S.; Martin Britto Dhas, S. A. Measurement of "Shock
482		Wave Parameters" in a Novel Table-Top Shock Tube Using Microphones. Hum. Fact.
483		Mech. Eng. Defense. Safety. 2020, 4, 3.
484	[43]	Bu, C.; Rodriguez Lopez, G.; Dukes, C.A.; Ruesch, O.; Mcfadden, L.A.; and Li, J.Y.,
485		Search for sulfates on the surface of Ceres. Meteorit Planet Sci, 2017, 53, 1–15.
486	[44]	Rathna, N.; Daphne Rebekal, S. Investigations on the Growth, Structural, Optical and
487		SHG Behaviour of Potassium Maesium Sulphate Crystals. Studies In Indian Place
488		Names, 2020, 40, 3294-3303.
489		
490		
491		
492		
493		
494		
495		
496		
497		
498		
499		
500		
501		
502		
503		

504	For Table of Contents Use Only
505	Dynamic Shock Waves Induced Switchable Phase Transition of
506	Magnesium Sulfate Heptahydrate
507	Aswathappa Sivakumar ¹ , Paramasivam Shailaja ¹ , S.Sahaya Jude Dhas ² , Paramasivam
508	Sivaprakash ³ , Abdulrahman I. Almansour ⁴ , Raju Suresh Kumar ⁴ , Natarajan Arumugam ⁴ ,
509	Sonachalam Arumugam ³ , Shubhadip Chakraborty ⁵ , S.A.Martin Britto Dhas ¹ *
510	TOC Graphic Image



512 Synopsis

513 Dynamic Shock wave induced reversible phase transformation of MgSO₄.7H₂O is achieved at

ambient condition due to occurrence of dynamic re-crystallization. The phase transition is

515 evidenced by XRD and Raman spectroscopy.

516